



(REPORT)
(ON THE)
MANUSCRIPTS

OF HIS GRACE

THE DUKE OF PORTLAND, K.G.,

PRESERVED AT

WELBECK ABBEY.

Vol. VII. — VIII

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by Command of His Majesty.

2v. in 1.



162255.

27.5.21.

LONDON :

PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

BY MACKIE & CO. LD.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from
EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE, EAST HARDING STREET, FLEET STREET, E.C., AND
32, ABINGDON STREET, WESTMINSTER, S.W.; or

OLIVER AND BOYD, EDINBURGH; or
EDWARD PONSONBY, 116, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

1901.

[Cd. 783.] Price 2s. 3d.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE.
Introduction 	v
Letters (June 1710 to May 1729) 	1
Index 	479
Errata 	523

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2008 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

INTRODUCTION.

THE letters calendared in this volume were written by Dr. William Stratford, canon of Christ Church, Oxford, to Edward Harley, afterwards Lord Harley and 2nd Earl of Oxford. Dr. Stratford, who, previous to his appointment as canon, in 1703, had been chaplain to Robert Harley, was son of Dr. Nicholas Stratford (successively Warden of Manchester College and Bishop of Chester) and godson of Thomas Harley, minister to the Court of Hanover. ✓

The letters begin in the summer of 1710, and the first great political event noticed is the fall of the Whigs, and Robert Harley's advent to power; news so welcome at Oxford, that Stratford feared excess of joy might make vacancies amongst the headships (p. 9). The only drawback, to the minds of the ardent Tories at Christ Church, was that Lord Chancellor Cowper was appointed Lord Lieutenant of the county of Hertford, which made them fear that the Queen meant to allow him to retain the Chancellorship, especially if Sir Simon Harcourt should refuse it. Dr. Stratford shrewdly suspected, however, that Harcourt's coyness was only meant to raise the terms (pp. 11, 13); and perhaps it was so, for shortly afterwards he took the Great Seal.

At this time Christ Church was enjoying a peaceful calm under the gentle rule of Dr. Aldrich. The eight canons were Dr. South, now an old man; Dr. John Hammond; Dr. Thomas Burton, Sub-dean; Dr. John Potter, Regius Professor of Divinity; old Dr. Woodruffe, who died in 1711 and was succeeded by Dr. George Smalridge; Dr. Roger Altham; Dr. Francis Gastrell; and Stratford himself. With most of his "brothers," as he calls them, Dr. Stratford was on very friendly terms; but his intimates appear to have been Gastrell and Smalridge.

In December, 1710, Dean Aldrich died, and the appointment of his successor was long delayed. "We are headless indeed," Dr. Stratford observed, "but not for that reason miserable, only as we have lost our old head, not for want of a new one . . . The College is as full as it was and as regular, without a Dean, and Peckwater goes on without money, and in both cases we subsist well enough yet upon the stock of our old credit" (p. 24). Reports were circulated of riots in the House, but they proved to be quite unfounded. In June, 1711, a rumour reached Oxford that Dr. Atterbury, Dean of Carlisle, was to be transferred to Christ Church. It was not believed at the time, but in August positive news of the appointment arrived. Stratford received it calmly. "Our new Governor," he wrote, "will find his subjects in a better disposition to obedience than I believe he expects . . . I hope we shall have discretion enough, as well as honesty, to preserve peace amongst ourselves." But he thought the appointment a great mistake. "None," said he, "but one blinded with passion, would have desired to have come where he knows so many stories of former failures are yet fresh, and where no one can have the authority that is requisite to this place whose youth was not without blemish in it. But he was mad, and would hear nothing from any friend he had" (pp. 49, 50). From this it will be seen that the Doctor's prejudice against Atterbury was very strong. In fact his prejudices on all subjects were very strong. He was a good lover and a good hater. He appears to have had an extravagant faith in Harley (even allowing for the fact that the letters are written to Harley's son), and very little faith in any other minister at all. His views are interesting, not because they are unbiassed, but because they seem to represent the opinion of the honest Hanoverian-tory party at Oxford, whose hatred of "the enemy," *i.e.* the Whigs, was counterbalanced by loyalty to the Anglican Church, and dread of a Roman Catholic successor to the Crown. With the intrigues with the Pretender, Stratford and his friends had nothing whatever to do, and he apparently had not the slightest suspicion that his patron had anything to do with them either.

Atterbury accepted the deanery, and re-adjusted his minor offices, resigning the chaplaincy of the Rolls, but keeping that of Bridewell, in order, it was said, that he might have lodgings in town during Convocation. Christ Church was anxious and not

hopeful. "Do what he will," wrote Stratford, "he will fall short of his predecessor, and in other things as well as his speeches. He may be without some of that poor man's infirmities, but he will never have all his virtues, either intellectual or moral" (p. 59).

On September 24, a message was received that on the next day, at such an hour, the new "Governor" designed to be at the foot of Shotover, where it was hoped his students would meet him; and every one who had or could hire a horse was advised to oblige him. On September 27 he was installed, with a "very noble" entertainment, and the new reign began (pp. 61, 62).

Before long the College was in an uproar. "Whilst we hope for peace abroad," Stratford declared, "we expect nothing but war at home" (p. 64). The Dean claimed the sole disposal of the College "curacies," overhauled the muniments, took possession of the books, disputed the rights of the Canons, and tried, not very successfully, to ingratiate himself with the students, by treating them with tea in an afternoon. The "brethren" were united entirely among themselves, his attempts to divide them having only cemented them more closely, and an open rupture appeared to be unavoidable. At the end of the year 1711, Stratford laid down his office of Treasurer, and a new Sub-dean had also to be appointed. After some demur, Dr. Potter accepted the latter office and Dr. Gastrell the former, the Chapter resolving to bear anything save the surrender of their rights, in order to avoid a breach. About this time however, they complained that the Dean was adding illegal proceedings to arbitrary ones, for at the admission of the new students, having forgotten to bring a Bible, he swore them on a statute book instead. "Had any other done so, he would have made the kingdom roar with it" (p. 84).

There are no letters of the year 1712 of earlier date than August, when Stratford and his friend Gastrell were travelling in the west of England. At this time, Charles Aldrich, nephew of the late Dean, brought an accusation against Stratford of having embezzled books, papers and money from his uncle's study. Stratford, believing that the whole thing was got up by Atterbury with the hope of provoking him to "a great passion," resolved to defeat this design by taking everything quietly and submitting to a strict inquisition (pp. 85, 86). A Chapter was

called to consider the matter, and some of the Canons began to take notes of the proceedings, upon which the Dean declared that if they were going to write down what was said he should leave the Chapter, rose from his seat, cried "Good-bye to you," and so departed. A deputation was sent to beg him to return, but he received it very rudely, would not ask the Canons into his study, and called after them "I despise you," as they left the house (p. 96). Dr. Smalridge thought his rage was real, but Stratford, on the other hand, suspected that he wished to break up the Chapter in order to prevent Aldrich from having to submit to examination.

Atterbury was apparently uneasy at the presence in Oxford of Dr. Smalridge (p. 97), who had succeeded him as Dean of Carlisle and had brought strange accounts of his doings there (p. 94). Dr. Stratford thus describes the situation at this time:—"We are in a state of as great confusion as it is possible to imagine any body corporate. He will not allow us to propose anything in Chapter but what he likes. He will not allow the Registrar to enter anything in our register but what he bids him do. If we put any question to him, he will give us no direct answer; he will exercise what power he pleases without saying that he claims it. . . . We have no remedy against this but to throw ourselves at the Queen's feet." And this remedy, Stratford goes on to show, was attended with great difficulty, for Atterbury would probably forestall them by appealing to his friend, Lord Keeper Harcourt, and thus the Canons' complaint would seem to lie not only against their Dean, but against the Lord Keeper, who would do his utmost to have his own sentence justified. There was apparently considerable doubt at this time, both at Christ Church and other Colleges, as to who was their lawful "visitor," which increased their perplexities (pp. 121, 126, 131).

One thing was not at all doubtful. The Dean was very angry with Dr. Stratford, and accused him of writing letters against him to the Lord Treasurer, and of blasting him all over England. If Stratford said a tenth part to the Lord Treasurer of what he had said to his son, the accusation can hardly be alleged to have been without foundation. But that Stratford was not alone in his views is shown by a letter from Dr. Smalridge, written about this time, in which he praises the temper and patience shown by Dr. Stratford, under the "ill, inhumane and unchristian treatment"

of the Dean. "I don't look upon myself to be a very fiery man," he continues, "but I could not promise that I could be so easy under so grievous provocations. My temper has been pretty much tried, but not in such a manner as my poor brother's. Mr. Dean is very much nettled at our disproof of his false accounts of brother Stratford about the meadow money; he has protested with all the solemnity in the world that he never gave a copy of the paper laid before us to any person whatsoever. But it so happens that none of our body give any credit to his most solemn asseverations" (p. 103). The allusion here is to another accusation brought by the Dean against Stratford, as Treasurer, of falsifying his accounts, in support of which, as the brethren had positive proof, the Dean had sent certain papers to the Lord Keeper, in order that they might be laid before Lord Treasurer Harley. On November 4, Stratford made a formal protest against the charges concerning Dr. Aldrich's study. He reminded the Dean that in former times, he had given him (Atterbury) a key to his house in Westminster, where for two years he went in and out at pleasure. During that time, he continued, "several things were missing in it. When I removed to Oxford, there were some books wanting in my study. Should I desire you, at this distance of time, as I do not, to declare upon oath what you did in my house, whilst you had a key to it for so long a time, and used that key so often; and what books, papers or other things you removed, would you think such dealing like that of a gentleman, a friend, a Christian, a clergyman?" (p. 107). By this time the Dean had found out that it was useless to continue this particular struggle. Young Aldrich had himself given Stratford receipts which completely exonerated him, and the Dean's own friends urged him to abandon the matter. At the next meeting of the Chapter, the Canons pressed him with uncomfortable questions, and at last he could stand it no longer, "bounced out of his chair, and ran out of the Chapter House" (p. 109).

About this time a diversion occurred, by the adjudging of the prizes for the new *Certamen Poeticum*, a project of Atterbury's, for which he had diverted funds hitherto used for the college buildings (p. 91). There was a great ferment among the young candidates, who declared that there was false play; that certain persons had private notice of the theme before it was given out

and that others had had their verses written for them. When the three victors were announced, and the first two proved to be Thomas Bromley, son of the Speaker of the House of Commons, and William Le Hunt, a kinsman of the Lord Keeper, the suspicions of a "juggle" were much strengthened, and shortly afterwards Dr. Stratford even learned that Noel Broxholme was the real author of the verses for which Bromley (in order, as it was believed, to make his father the Speaker easy "after the disobligation laid upon him" in the summer), had received the prize (pp. 110-113).

At the end of this year, 1712, a new source of dissension arose from the Dean's determination to turn out the Chapter clerk, an old and valued servant of the House. The Canons retaliated by refusing to sign a "great glut of fines" which were about to come in, and of which the Dean's own share would be some 400*l.* (pp. 123, 124). At the next meeting of Chapter, the Dean proposed a reference of the dispute to two persons, one to be chosen by each side, and, according to Dr. Stratford, was non-plussed when the Canons at once agreed to it (p. 130). He tried to modify his suggestion, proposing to submit the matter to the Lord Keeper as Visitor, but the Canons, ignoring this, answered that they embraced his offer, were ready to name their arbitrator and were quite willing that all their differences should be referred in the same way (p. 131). Upon consideration, however, the Canons decided that, if they declined to submit the disputes to their Visitor, it would look as if they mistrusted their cause, and that it might be well to accept the Lord Keeper "as Visitor under the Queen." They prayed the Lord Treasurer for his direction in this matter (p. 133), and he evidently advised compliance, for, a few days later, Stratford told Lord Harley that they had acted in obedience to the Earl's wishes, but believed that it would do no good, as the Dean and the Lord Keeper had evidently made up their minds to bring it to a public hearing. The only thing which might draw him to a speedy decision would be want of money. There was now 1,000*l.* lying in the Treasurer's hands, and as much more expected shortly. The Dean had hoped that lack of funds would have brought the Chapter to submission; but their resolution was taken. They would neither comply nor appeal. The Dean was as much afraid of going to the Queen as the Canons

were of going to the Keeper, and it was shrewdly suspected that the "Visitor" was by no means anxious to exercise his function ; in fact, he had declared that he would rather quit the Seal than do so (p. 136). The audit came to a full stop. The Dean demanded his money, fell into a violent passion, hopped up to Dr. Gastrell, pushed him with great violence several times and bid him get out of his house for a pitiful fellow. "This whole storm proceeded from three words. Dr. Gastrell had said in answer to very provoking language 'Mr. Dean, do not use me so; you know that *I know you*'" (p. 137). When he calmed down, an attempt was made to arrange something, but things were still at a deadlock when the news arrived that Atterbury was made Bishop of Rochester and Dean of Westminster. Christ Church was astonished and angry. "Nothing," Dr. Stratford remarked to Lord Harley, "that has been done since your father's ministry, has struck such a damp upon the hearts of all that have honour or honesty, as this promotion. All of any weight here . . . wondered that such a one should be permitted to act as he did here, but they lift up their hands to see him preferred for it" (p. 140).

The deanery of Westminster had first been offered to Dr. South but declined by him. Stratford went to visit him soon afterwards, and wrote "My brother South begins now to fail in his understanding. I am afraid his head will go before his legs. It was a providence of God that he retained his faculties enough to know that it was proper for him to refuse the deanery. He is complete eighty in next September" (p. 161). Dr. South's letter to Lord Oxford is printed in the 3rd Volume of the Harley Papers (p. 295), but it by no means gives the impression of being written by one who was failing in his wits. "Alas," he wrote, "that answer which Alexander the Great once gave a soldier petitioning him for an office in his army, may no less properly become her Majesty to my poor self (though not petitioning for, but prevented by her princely favour): 'Friend,' said he, 'I own that I can give thee this place, but I cannot make thee fit for it.'"

Atterbury's appointment brought about complications at Oxford. He was on the point of being installed as Dean of Westminster, but he had not resigned his office as Dean of Christ Church, and had sent down a proxy to Dr. Smalridge to act on his behalf. There were grave doubts as to the legality of the proxy,

and whether, when once admitted to his new dignity, he could continue to exercise the functions of his former one. Everything was at a standstill; no degrees were granted, the doctors could not "proceed" (p. 142). At the end of June, Atterbury came to Oxford for a parting visit, and Stratford believed he had discovered the reason of his "scandalous practice of holding two deaneries together" in his anxiety to secure his share of "the grass" which was only now being cut (p. 147). He met the Chapter, and to his claims of money the Canons replied that he should have "every style of payment" which had been made to his predecessors; that they themselves had no interest in the matter, for they would "only have the same share, whoever had the Dean's." The Canons stood firm, but Atterbury's "easy successor" gave way, and urged them to agree to what was demanded. The easy successor was Dr. Smalridge, who succeeded Atterbury here as he had done at Carlisle; and is said to have observed that Atterbury went first and set everything on fire, and he followed afterwards with a bucket of water. In the end, the Canons agreed to fall in with Dr. Smalridge's suggestion on condition that the retiring Dean should give up all the books, own Mr. Brooks as Chapter clerk, renounce all further claims, and sign for the money with his own hand (p. 149). Thus ended the stormy period of Atterbury's connexion with Christ Church. The picture is a curious one, but Dr. Stratford, as before said, was a man of strong prejudices. He did not do justice to Atterbury's abilities, gave him credit for no principles at all, and attributed to meaner motives the actions which more probably arose from an overbearing disposition and love of power.

Peace now descended upon Christ Church. "I have nothing to tell you," wrote Stratford, "but that you are not likely to be troubled with such long letters for the future as you have for two years past. We shall have some quiet ourselves and allow a little to our friends; we breathe another sort of air than we did" (p. 150).

On July 18, 1713, the new Dean was installed, but without the usual ceremony, partly because he was out of health, and partly, Stratford declared, because it was usual for the successor to make mention in his speech of his predecessor, and in this case the successor "could not with safety to his own conscience speak any good of one of whom he not only believes but knows so much ill" (p. 155).

The seat of war was changed, but the war itself was not at an end. Only a fortnight after Atterbury's final departure from Oxford, the news arrived that he had begun a new campaign at Westminster, where the vestry of St. Margaret's were already up in arms against the new Dean. He objected to their choice of Lord Guernsey as a vestryman, and "desired them to have a care of bringing Lords into the vestry, and to put them in mind that when they chose Lord Halifax, they had soon after the Palatines brought in upon them" (p. 157). He tried to dictate their choice of a vestry clerk, but by a majority of fourteen to four they elected their own man, and left the Dean to seek his remedy (p. 165). He "fell foul" of old Mr. Only, the "curate" of St. Margaret's, who had supported the said vestry clerk, and "used him worse than he ever did any one" at Oxford. Stratford believed that the war would last as long as that between France and the Confederates, and did not hesitate to suggest that the Bishop's aim was "that the peace of Westminster may be an argument for removing him to Lambeth" when there should be a vacancy there.

For several years after this there are only casual notices of Atterbury—"Ruffe" or "Ruffian," as Stratford usually calls him after his elevation to the see of Rochester—but in 1722, Stratford informed Lord Harley that war had begun again at Westminster, about the Receiver's place, and also that "Ruffe" was "making pretences to the good Duchess of Buckingham," and was caressing Pope, with a view to making him useful in the matter. The Duchess had dined at Bromley Palace, taking with her the young Duke, the late Duke's natural daughter, Pope and Chamberlain, and to say the least of it, "this was an odd condescension to one who had not then been a widower a full month," if she designed no further (pp. 325, 326). Following close upon this came the discovery of Atterbury's intrigues with the Pretender, made through the incident of the dog "Harlequin" sent to him from Rome, which revealed to Government "the cant name by which Ruffe goes in the letters," a name well known as that of a Jacobite plotter, but not hitherto identified with the Bishop of Rochester (pp. 326, 328, 330).

Atterbury's committal to the Tower followed, and now, when his old enemy was in trouble, Stratford began to relent towards him. In giving an account of a quarrel between the Bishop and

Col. Williamson, he shows plainly that he thinks the former in the right, and he repeatedly speaks scornfully of the manner in which Lord Harcourt had abandoned his old friend. The sentence of banishment seemed to him worse than imprisonment, to one of "Ruffe's" age, and with his ignorance of foreign tongues (pp. 332-337, 344, 355, 356, 362). Soon after Atterbury went to France there was a gossiping rumour that he had fallen out with his son-in-law, Morice — "the poor man," Stratford observed, "must have somebody to quarrel with." The last notices of him are at the Court of the Pretender, where he was reported to have quarrelled with all his intimates, and to have "roused amongst those poor desperate wretches as great a bustle as ever he did elsewhere." In fact Stratford believed that he had set them all by the ears, and that the Government had never done a wiser thing than in sending him thither (pp. 385, 386, 390). In this connexion may be mentioned some curious details given of the rupture between the Pretender and his wife, "Madam Sobieski."

The news from Oxford in Dr. Stratford's later letters is not so interesting as in those written during Atterbury's rule at Christ Church. Amongst other matters, he mentions the settling of prebends upon the Colleges (pp. 170, 171); the struggle over the Margaret Professorship (p. 211); the quarrel with the soldiers quartered in the town (pp. 216-218); the setting up of the "Constitution Club" (p. 222); the parliamentary election of 1717 (p. 231); Hearne's difficulties (pp. 234-236) and his assiduity "in publishing those useful pieces of monkish antiquities by which he is to immortalize his own name and reform us all" (p. 249); the election of a new Rector of Lincoln (pp. 256-259); the deaths of Dean Smalridge and Dr. Hudson and the appointment of Dr. Boulter in Smalridge's place, and of Mr. Bowles as librarian (pp. 260, 262, 265); and the election at All Souls (p. 263). On Candlemas Day, 1720, he chronicled the serious fire in Christ Church Hall, caused by the burning of the ever-green decorations there on Candlemas Eve, "to put out Christmas." The cost of repairs amounted to 600*l.*, but this included replacing the "rotten lantern" by two chimneys, arching the hall, and repaving it (p. 268-270). "Our governor" Townsend, making a survey of it on this occasion, declared that "there was never in England a better building for

fineness and curiosity, as well as for strength and the goodness of the materials." This is no doubt Townsend, the architect, whom Hearne mentions as surveying the ground for the new printing house (*Collections*, ed. Doble, III. 347). There are many notices of the deaths and appointments of Professors and "Heads," including a detailed account of the struggle between Cockman and Dennison for the Mastership of University College (pp. 340-343, 346, 349-351).

In 1723, Dr. Boulter was made Archbishop of Armagh, and Dr. Bradshaw succeeded him as Dean of Christ Church. His first act was to promote an address to the King on the royal donation of a History Professorship to the University. Over this address there was much discussion and diversity of opinion. The Dean wished to insert the clause, "the only support of the Church of England, of all the Protestant interest abroad and of all the Protestant Universities." Dr. Stratford had his doubts whether either the Dean or any of the Ministry could even give the names of a tenth part of the "Protestant Universities," so little had England to do with them. In the end, the clause was rejected, the address was taken up by some of the "grandees," and was kindly received and graciously acknowledged. But the poor Vice-Chancellor—who had never been at Court before or ever seen a King or a Secretary of State—"to the no little surprise of the company, made no answer to the compliments" (pp. 327-390).

In his later years, Dr. Stratford spent much of his time at a "cottage" on the Berkshire Downs, probably built by himself, in the parish of Little Shefford, of which he was rector. Nothing is more curious in the clerical life of that day than the universal system of pluralities which prevailed. One Canon of Christ Church was Bishop of Chester, another was Bishop of Hereford. Yet Gastrell and Smalridge were not ambitious politicians, but quiet students and earnest Christian men. Stratford himself, when asked one autumn when he had last seen his parsonage, vaguely replied "this summer." He had the excuse apparently that there was no rectory house, as he added that he "designed to build"; but there is no hint of how the services of the Church were carried on there during his life in Oxford; no mention of any intercourse with the curate who presumably supplied his place.

That some sort of line was drawn between what was right and what was wrong appears evident, for Atterbury was blamed for keeping the chaplaincy of Bridewell when he took the Deanery of Christ Church, though he seems to have held it without comment—and that of the Rolls also—when he was Dean of Carlisle; and Stratford distinctly declared that the rectory of Presteign ought not to be held by any one who could not reside there. But the rules by which they were guided are not very apparent to a modern eye.

The letters of these later years contain comparatively little Oxford news. Stratford did not like Dean Bradshaw, and gave gloomy accounts of the state of Christ Church under his rule (pp. 441, 447). But though much away from his old haunts, he kept to the last his love for the "lads" of the House. In 1726, he wrote to his friend (now Earl of Oxford) that he wanted to beg his charity. "I have not been troublesome to you or my lady on that head," he says; "I have always forborne you while the poor late Bishop of Chester [Dr. Gastrell] and others were picking your pocket for good purposes. But I now want a few guineas, beyond what I can afford myself, to help some poor lads with necessaries, bare necessaries, to go on with their studies." It is evident from a letter written a few days later, that Lord Oxford responded to this appeal promptly and generously (pp. 432, 433). In November, 1726, the Earl offered his old friend the valuable living of Presteign in Radnorshire, but, though much gratified, Dr. Stratford did not accept it. Had he been fifteen years younger, he wrote, he would most thankfully have done so; but at his age, he ought not to think of beginning a new course of life, and whoever took the living ought to spend most of his time there. He could not feel it right to put a curate into such a place that he might have the overplus to put into his purse and to spend at a distance, doing nothing for it (pp. 443, 444). After this his health gradually declined, and on May 8, 1729, his servant wrote to Lord Oxford that the evening before his beloved master had peacefully passed away.

This volume does not give us much fresh information concerning the Harley family, but there are two or three letters of Dr. Stratford's (of earlier date than those calendared here) which throw an interesting light on Edward Harley's college life, and show that as regards the love of books, the boy was father of

the man. Young Harley went up to Oxford in 1707. Stratford, already a canon, could not be his tutor (that office being shared by Mr. Terry and Mr. Keill), but he evidently had charge of him, as in July 1708 he wrote to Robert Harley, "it will be the endeavour of my life to lay hold on all opportunities to express to the utmost of my poor power the sense which I have of my obligations to you; but the favour which you are pleased to allow me of Mr. Harley's company is a very great addition to them." In December following, he sent up the half-year's account, which, with part of the letter commenting on it, may perhaps be worth giving here.

Mr. HARLEY'S ACCOUNT.						£	s.	d.
Commons.—Michs. Qr.	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	4
Xmas. Qr.	-	-	-	-	-	1	6	0
Buttery.—Michs. Qr.	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	0
Xmas. Qr.	-	-	-	-	-	1	9	10
Tutors, $\frac{1}{2}$ year	-	-	-	-	-	20	0	0
Servitor	-	-	-	-	-	1	0	0
For washing for himself and man	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	0
Barber	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	0
For a set of microscopes	-	-	-	-	-	3	5	0
Rec ^d . of Dr. Stratford	-	-	-	-	-	26	1	4
To his man for board wages	-	-	-	-	-	2	19	2
Bookseller's bill	-	-	-	-	-	25	15	9
Bookbinder's bill	-	-	-	-	-	16	3	4
Tailor's bill for morning clothes *	-	-	-	-	-	8	19	10
						<u>£112 15 7</u>		

1708, Dec. 19. Christ Church.—“I have enclosed Mr. Harley's account for this last half year. I am afraid you will be surprised, as I was, at the bookseller's and bookbinder's bills. It was unknown to me till within this week that he owed anything to either of them. When I inquired why he had not called in for their bill sooner, he told me he hoped to have discharged those bills without troubling you with them. But he could not tell me how he proposed to do it. I told him I thought myself obliged to acquaint you with any debts he contracted here, that all the comfort I could give him was, that if you must pay bills I believed you had rather pay these sort of bills than others. I wish you would be pleased to order him to send up the bills to

* Perhaps mourning clothes for the Prince of Denmark.

you, two-thirds of the bookseller's bill are for very trash, and I am afraid at least half of the bookbinder's is for gilding and Turkey leather. He is very uneasy at the apprehension of your displeasure on this account, and any notice that you are pleased to take of it will, I believe, be a sufficient restraint to him for the future. I bless God, in all other respects he is as you would desire he should be. If you will be pleased to order Mr. Bateman to pay the money to Mr. King in Charter House Square, I will discharge everything here. Mr. Harley would have me put in full pay for his tutors, but I am obliged to acquaint you that five pounds for this last quarter to Mr. Keill ought to be deducted. Mr. Keill was here at the beginning of the quarter, when Mr. Harley was at Brampton. But since Mr. Harley's return, Mr. Keill has been attending at London, and has not read to him this quarter."

In the Calendar of the Harley papers proper is the note sent up by the culprit at the same time. "I am extremely ashamed," he wrote to his father, "of the two articles that have so great a share in it; I mean what's paid to the bookseller and bookbinder. I have nothing to say in excuse for my fault but only that as it is the first of this sort that ever I was guilty of, so I assure you it shall be the last."

It is curious to note, in this connexion, that concerning another item of expense, which would seem much less legitimate, he makes no apology whatever, but merely informs his father, "I gave Mr. Broxholme two guineas for the Ode that is printed in the Oxford verses with my name under them." This is not the only occasion on which Mr. Broxholme is mentioned as writing other people's verses for them. (See p. 113 of the present volume.) He ends by saying that if he may have the set of razors promised him, the expense of a barber will be needless in the future.

Edward Harley does not seem to have kept his terms very regularly, for in a letter of August, 1710, Stratford wrote to him, "I now begin to despair of seeing you within your usual time of half a year. . . . Your tutor, not expecting you some months yet, takes this opportunity of your absence to go himself upon a ramble" (p. 9); and in a rather earlier letter, not calendared here, the doctor asked him if, at least once while he was at college, he could not return "in less than half a year's time."

In August, 1710, Robert Harley was made Chancellor of the Exchequer and practically Prime Minister, and from that time his son paid only flying visits to Oxford.

There are allusions in the letters to Guiscard's attempt upon Lord Oxford; to Lady Betty's marriage to Lord Carmarthen and to her early death (pp. 97, 114, 173); to the unhappy married life of the Earl's other daughter, Lady Dupplin, afterwards Countess of Kinnoul; and very warm letters of congratulation when the rather prolonged negotiations which preceded Lord Harley's marriage reached a satisfactory conclusion, and the heiress of the Duke of Newcastle, Lady Henrietta Cavendish Holles, became his wife (p. 166). In February, 1715, the little daughter, Margaret, was born, who was destined one day to unite the House of Cavendish to that of Bentinck. It was remarkable, Dr. Stratford thought, that she should arrive on the very day when her mother came of age. Many allusions in the letters show how greatly Lord Harley desired a son; but when at last (after the father had succeeded to the Earldom of Oxford) little "Lord Harley" made his appearance, he only lived a few days (pp. 402, 403) and Lady Margaret remained her parents' only heir.

The letters of the early summer of 1714 are full of anxiety concerning the Lord Treasurer's position, all the more so because Stratford believed that he was "too stout" to take means to insure his own safety (p. 189). On July 29, the news of his fall had reached Oxford, but other than personal regrets were speedily much lessened by the fast following news of the downfall of his enemies' hopes in consequence of the Queen's death.

"The disposition of the staff," Dr. Stratford wrote, "was indeed lucky; if [the Queen] had recovered, all the new measures would for the most part have been broken by it; but I could wish, however, that it had been in your father's hands at this juncture, and so I believe they do who wrested it from him." Stratford was not without hopes apparently that Lord Oxford would return to power, especially as Thomas Harley had been a *persona grata* at the Court of Hanover. "Do you hear how your own family are like to be with the new powers?" he asked on August 3, and two days later he observed: "The Regents drive fast; it is to be hoped he who is represented by them will like a gentler race when he is in the saddle. He has it in his power to establish not only himself but his family, if he would take the way which I

believe your father would suggest to him. If they who are his justices should be his counsellors, his reign will be uneasy" (p. 198).

Lord Oxford was in much financial embarrassment after his fall from power, and his son also, in spite of his wife's wealth, appears to have been in difficulties. There was talk of the two households sharing an establishment, but although Lord and Lady Harley were very willing to have the Earl with them they by no means wished to have the Earl's second wife, who evidently was not popular with her husband's family. Dr. Stratford declared that Lord Oxford's private debts were above double anything he had, without computing the encumbrances on the estate, for which interest must be paid; and he strongly urged Lord Harley to put a stop to all unnecessary expenses and not to think "any frugality, be it never so little, useless," in order to bring his expenditure within the compass of his income (p. 208).

The birth of Lord Harley's daughter at this juncture was considered a great blessing, giving the prospect of the permanent settlement of the great fortunes of the Cavendishes in the Harley family (p. 212, 213).

There are no letters in relation to Lord Oxford's committal to the Tower, and only a casual mention of his release (p. 220). After this, there is nothing beyond slight allusions to his health and movements, until his death in May, 1724, when Dr. Stratford offered his condolences, dwelling upon "the integrity with which he served his country in the midst of temptations as well as perils, his universal capacity for it, and the unblemished honour with which he is gone out of the world" (p. 379).

There are many references to other members or connexions of the Harley family; especially to "Mr. Auditor" and his son Edward (afterwards his cousin's successor as Earl of Oxford), and to the Foley family. Lord Foley is usually spoken of as Abel; his wife as Abelina or the Termagant, his son as Abelin. Thomas Foley, of Stoke Edith, is the "little captain" or the "little captain of Stoke."

Sir Simon, afterwards Lord Harcourt, Lord Keeper and Lord Chancellor, is very frequently mentioned, at first with respect, but afterwards with a bitterness only second to that shown

towards Atterbury. His son "Sim" or "Simkin" appears chiefly in connexion with his attempts to get into Parliament. He died abroad, to his father's great sorrow, whilst still young (p. 278).

Dr. Stratford appears to have been very intimate with Mrs. St. John, afterwards Lady Bolingbroke, and keenly resented her husband's neglect of her. "I was last week to pay a visit," he informed Harley in the summer of 1711, "to a poor disconsolate lady in Berkshire. I met nothing there but sorrow and disorder. That unfortunate gentleman is more irregular, if possible, in his private than [public] capacities. A sad instance to all young gentlemen of quality, how the greatest parts and expectations may be made useless and be disappointed by the folly of vice—the only way in which that unhappy gentleman will ever be of any use in the world" (p. 39). In the midst of his plottings, in the summer of 1714, to turn Oxford out, Lord Bolingbroke wrote to his wife praying pardon for all his ill-usage, promising amendment, and expressing his intention of coming to her shortly at Bucklebury. Stratford professed himself at a loss to understand his reasons, but believed it was either that he thought he should lose the day and have occasion to retire, or that he had been advised by his new ally [? Atterbury] to treat his wife better for their common credit (p. 193). Lady Bolingbroke clung to him in spite of his ill-treatment of her, and after the Queen's death was in great distress. "She burst into as great concern for one who has deserved so little of her," Stratford told Lord Harley, "as Lady Harriot could have done for you . . . and would part with all she has in the world to save him" (p. 203).

She died during her husband's exile, and when he returned to England, it was with a second wife, a French lady, whom he had married abroad.

There are several allusions scattered through the letters to sayings of Dr. Radcliffe's, the noted physician, as that "a man might as well draw a face as prescribe for one that he did not see" (p. 334); that Bath was good for the colic, but good for nothing else (p. 385); and that he knew not what to do for babies, and so was for giving them as little as possible and leaving nature to do its own work (p. 403). On Radcliffe's death, in 1714, Stratford supplied Lord Harley with some details of his will, observing "120,000*l.* in charity is what

can scarce be paralleled in any age from a private man, and all gotten by himself by the fairest practice that ever any of the profession used" (p. 206).

Swift and his writings are occasionally mentioned, and Dr. Stratford confessed to a great liking for "Gulliver," although he did not dare to own so much to his friend "grave Thomas" (*i.e.* Lord Foley) who was full of wrath against the book (p. 445).

When Pope's "Dunciad" appeared, Stratford at once guessed who was the author, being confirmed in his suspicions by a newspaper report that there had been "some insult lately made on him" (p. 464).

There is a rather interesting criticism of Burnet's History of his own time, on p. 367, and several letters about the wordy war which raged round the "Epistles of Phalaris," in which Dr. Stratford, like most other of the learned men of the day, believed Bentley to be in the wrong (p. 437). Notices of books and the writers of books occur so frequently throughout the letters that the reader can only be referred to the Index.

On p. 417, mention is made of Dean Berkeley's design to build a college in Bermuda. Another matter which is repeatedly alluded to is the struggle in relation to the wardenship of the Collegiate Church in Manchester (pp. 272, 390, 391), for the better understanding of which it may be well to remind the reader that in 1718 the Rev. William Peploe, a zealous Whig, was appointed Warden, and not having the necessary doctor's degree, obtained one from Archbishop Wake instead of going to his University for it. Gastrell, then Bishop of Chester, his diocesan, demurred to the Lambeth degree, but, by a decision of the Court of King's Bench, its sufficiency was declared, and the Bishop, silenced though not convinced, proceeded to the institution. On Gastrell's death, Peploe was made Bishop of Chester, with permission to hold the wardenship *in commendam*. This raised a fresh difficulty, for the Bishop of Chester was, *ex-officio*, visitor of the College, and Peploe could not visit himself. But Ministers came to the rescue of their ardent partisan, and passed a bill vesting the office of visitor in the Crown whenever the bishopric and wardenship were held by the same person. To this bill, the High Church party objected strongly, holding "that the authority which takes upon it to alter a statute relating to a

Visitor may do it in any other point," and that "if this power of altering charters and statutes by Act of Parliament for sinister ends be allowed to Ministers, no one knows when any foundation is secure, be it never so firmly established by law" (pp. 475-477).

There are a few notices of the new Palace of Blenheim and its mistress. The stoppage of the works there is mentioned (pp. 19, 20), and the consecration of the chapel (p. 467). The position of this show place, so near to Oxford, was not without its disadvantages. "I am almost foundered," Stratford wrote, "with showing sights to people that take us in their way to Bath or Blenheim. That Blenheim is a curse upon this poor place; I would at any time make one in a rising . . . to raze it to the ground" (p. 55). Of the imperious temper of the great Duchess, one or two instances are given. She forbade her grandson, young Lord Sunderland, to accept a post in the Royal household, and even obliged him to decline the Garter, telling him that "if he would despise their baubles, she would give him somewhat better." And when "Mr. Coke of Norfolk" (afterwards Earl of Leicester) took his bride to Blenheim, it was said that the Duchess "sent them word they should not see it" (p. 243).

Sir Robert Walpole's financial schemes are often alluded to, and there is frequent mention of the South Sea Company, in which, by Lord Oxford's advice, Dr. Stratford bought some stock (pp. 112, 124), although he appears to have sold it again before the bubble burst. On pp. 275, 284, are allusions to the danger which Law, of the Mississippi scheme, ran in France, and to his pluck in meeting it. When he afterwards came to England, he brought introductions to Dr. Stratford at Oxford, and spent an evening with him. He talked very readily of his affairs, and seemed to regard it as a great reflection upon himself that anyone should imagine the South Sea Scheme to have been formed on the plan of his Mississippi one, evidently deeming the projectors of the former to be great bunglers (p. 335).

A gossiping letter from Bath, written in 1718, reminds us of an old custom in connexion with the drama. "My Lady Harley's play last night," Dr. Stratford wrote to her husband, "was crowded more than any that has been acted this year, but . . . Lady Katherine Edwin would not go to the play, nor let Lord Manchester's or her own daughter go, and was so well-bred as to

own her reason to be because it was bespoke by Lady Harley. But the ladies stand by us bravely, and have vowed revenge upon Dame Edwin, if she dares to bespeak a play this season" (p. 237).


Two or three anecdotes show the anxiety felt by the upholders of the House of Hanover, in the early days of its rule, in regard to the spirit of the English people. In 1718, the Bishop of Salisbury, Dr. Talbot, had a great quarrel with his Dean and Chapter for letting the anthem "By the Waters of Babylon" be sung on the 1st of August, the anniversary of the Queen's death. "The thing," Dr. Stratford told Harley, "was perfectly casual, a new boy who was to be tried having desired it might be in that anthem." If it was really an offence, he suggested, his Lordship had better get an Act of Parliament to have it left out of the ordinary course of the Psalms (p. 240). Two or three years later, great offence was given to the Court at the production of Handel's new opera, "Floridante," in which a rightful heir is imprisoned and afterwards triumphs over his oppressor, at which "last circumstance, there happened to be very great and unseasonable clapping, in the presence of great ones" (p. 311). While on the subject of music, we may draw attention to the account of the "Act" of July, 1713, when Croft, the well-known church musician, received his degree of Mus. Doc., offering as his "exercise" settings of two odes by the Oxford Professor of Poetry on the peace of Utrecht. "Croft's music," wrote Stratford, "was as fine as ever I heard, and will be worth your hearing in town"; and again; "the music, which was very extraordinary, made our guests tolerably easy . . . The English music was preferred, and very deservedly, to the Italian, though the last was very fine, I believe, in its kind" (pp. 153, 154).

Many of the passages quoted in this introduction have shown Dr. Stratford as a good hater. Perhaps we may fitly conclude the notice of his letters by showing that he could be a good lover too.

The most charming passages in the letters are those in which he writes of his friend Dr. "Robin" Morgan. Stratford greatly desired to get him to Christ Church. "There is one you know well," he wrote on Dr. Woodroff's death, "beyond Snowdon Hill, who is of too great value to be buried in that obscurity, but who yet is of so peculiar a temper that we can never hope to see him here, unless he is forced to it by such a call which . . . he

would look upon to be God's Providence" (p. 44). In 1711, the vicarage of Ross was offered to Dr. Morgan, but Stratford much questioned whether he would leave his Welsh retirement. "The difference of the profit will have no manner of influence on him. That which he has is scarce subsistence, but if it pays his journey to attend Convocation, he looks no further . . . He acts not by any of those motives by which we other poor mortals are influenced." And again, "If his own motives do not tell him it is proper for him, you can no more persuade him to take such a considerable preferment than you could our Dean [Atterbury] to bate one shilling in a fine. If one could but have an art of transfusing souls, I would not have my dear friend's pure gold alloyed with any of our Governor's copper, but the least tincture of Robin's would burnish strangely the other's coarse ore. But it is a peculiar mercy in God not to leave Himself without witness in the world, but to raise up such pure bright souls to show others what human nature even in its present state is capable of" (pp. 71, 78).

The selection of Dr. Stratford's letters for publication was made by Mr. Richard Ward and the Secretary to the Commission. To Mrs. S. C. Lomas the Commissioners must express their acknowledgments for the Introduction and Index to the Volume.



THE MANUSCRIPTS
OF
HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF PORTLAND.

Vol. VII.

HARLEY LETTERS AND PAPERS.—VOL. V.

Letters of DR. WILLIAM STRATFORD of Christ Church, Oxford,
to EDWARD, afterwards LORD, HARLEY.

1710, June 24 [Oxford *].—Your letters are like a man's first paper when [he] sits down to make a sermon; a great many hints very proper to be enlarged upon. But I make the proper allowances for one who has so many visits to receive and return, in so short a time as he designs to stay in town.

Where did you meet my friend Henry Hoar? I supposed he was the person you dined with, and not the eldest brother. When you see him again my most hearty respects to him.

The insolence of these fellows is without example, but as our actions have often consequences that are little expected from them, I believe this may too; not only in the general indignation which is of great use and must weaken their interest, but this very insolence of theirs, if duly managed, as no doubt it will be, may prevent that only inconvenience that was feared upon a change, and by which, as their last resort, they thought to awe the Queen, I mean any failure in the public credit.

We are as ready as you can wish us to be for a new Parliament. Nay more than you wish us, for we think your proceedings too slow, and are afraid you are terrified by the Bank. But should the power of the Bank come down to Kensington, we must call up Dr. Sach[everell] and his posse to encounter them.

1710, July 7.—I bless God I am safe at home, I have taken so sound a rest after my journey that I have yet seen nobody. I am sorry you and I should differ in any thing, but I speak only

* All succeeding letters are dated from Oxford, unless otherwise stated.

upon my own experience. I find rest more sound and refreshing at this season here, than it was in London. I suppose I shall see you, when the Parliament is dissolved, in your way to some borough in Herefordshire.

My most humble duty and thanks to your father for the great kindness he was pleased to honour me with when I visited him. Be pleased to let him know that if any letter comes to me from abroad, it will be immediately delivered to him. When your father has read it, pray do you enclose it to me. I know not but it may oblige me, much against my mind, to see your wicked town again. You shall hear by the next post about Lord Strathallan.

1710, July 8.—I had some discourse with Lord Strathallan yesterday, he readily agreed to that I proposed, said he had resolved before to have turned him off, and that he had been told from other hands that his credit had suffered for this fellow's faults. You may be certain I was much pleased with this, and looked on his Lordship's ready compliance as a good argument of his own innocence. It was agreed to discharge the fellow this morning. I enquired this evening and found it was not done, his Lordship pleaded that, upon second thoughts, he was afraid it would be thought unhandsome to turn off one so nearly related to him at so short warning, that Lord Drummond would take it very ill, and much to this purpose, and he desired to keep him till Michaelmas. This unexpected change has raised some suspicions in me. I shall not trouble you with all that passed between us, I insisted that the fellow should be gone immediately and told his Lordship that I had hitherto stopped the complaints from coming to the Dean, that if his Lordship would not, the Dean, when the complaints were laid before him, must dismiss him. And that I thought it most fit for his Lordship's, and his cousin Gann's credit too, to have him discharged as soon and as privately as might be. We agreed at last that he should be discharged on Monday morning. You shall hear by Tuesday's post if his Lordship keeps his word with me. I have not intimated to his Lordship yet, that I suspect there is any truth in the reports that have been upon his Lordship, through this fellow. I thought it not proper to take notice of them, till the fellow is gone. He is more willing to part with him, whilst he thinks he is not suspected himself. As soon as Gann [*or* Gunn] is gone, I shall examine his Lordship as to himself and let you know what I find.

It is hot discourse here that the Duke of Marlborough has left the army in discontent and is coming over, with all speed possible. You need not be told who spread it or believe it.

1710, July 10.—Gann has taken a place in the coach to-morrow, though to my knowledge his countrymen, of as great quality as he pretends to be, have used no other conveyance than their own legs, for longer journey's. I am glad we are rid of him. This afternoon I had some discourse with my Lord about himself. He protests his innocence; I hope he does it truly.

Though to deal plainly with you, I cannot say that I am altogether cured of my suspicions. His Lordship is to [be] with me again this evening at eight o'clock.

Yours last night revived us. No one I think can doubt now of seeing these changes they wish for—were the conjurer once out, I think it would be for our advantage if the dissolution were deferred for some time.

Dr. Morgan left me this morning, with express charge to desire you to accept his respects. He was much pleased with your letter and thinks you a very notable statesman for your years. It is a dangerous trade, but if it is your fate to follow it, I hope you will make more advantage of it to yourself than your father has done.

Postscript. One of Corpus Christi tells me that a lawyer in town—whom he named not—says that the Queen has granted to Mr. Harley the Remembrancer's Office for three lives. I wish you could confirm this news to me and give me a good account of the value of it, it would be more welcome news than any I have yet heard.

1710, July 13.—The letter at last is come round to me from Exeter. Van Brug (Vanbrugh?) passed through this place on Tuesday and dined with George Clark. He said it was now agreed on all hands that there would be no new Parliament, that all differences were made up, and that Lord Halifax had been very instrumental in reconciling the contending parties. You see what opinion they have of us, when they think this will pass upon us from poor Van.

1710, July 16.—Mr. Palmer is here, but never surely was man sent upon such an errand with so little instructions from his friends what to do. The Bishop of London and Dr. Gastrell could not but know that the Chancellor's letter would be necessary for anything he had to desire here. Nay in my letter to Dr. Gastrell I mentioned it, that he might not fail to bring it with him, and to have it as much in his favour as possible. There will be a week's delay unavoidable in sending for the letter, and it is at the end of the term, when many will be uneasy to have the term continued. Indeed it may be done out of term, but not so well. The letter which your father received from the Bishop of London for the Vice-Chancellor never came to his hands, if he does not lie. The President of Corpus is out of town, thus we are under all the disadvantages possible. I have pawned my credit to the Vice-Chancellor—how far it will go with him I know not—that your father received a letter from my Lord of London for him, and sent it to him. I desire you would enquire what became of that letter. We have drawn and sent a letter this post to be signed by the Chancellor. I have presumed to let Portlock know that your father is much concerned for Mr. Palmer and to desire the utmost despatch. I shall take upon me to use the President of Corpus's name to his Society, and shall do Mr. Palmer all the service I can in all other colleges.

Though I heartily fret at the management of his business, and I desire you would chide my brother Gastrell severely, for instructing one so ill whom he would serve ; I hope we may get it done, but it will be with more trouble, and charge too, to him than he would have had, had he taken proper measures.

The Remembrancer's place is no secret here, your friend Colonel Trelawny had it in a letter last night, as he was at supper at the Dean's, that it was given to your father and to you after him. The Colonel immediately published the news.

My Lord Carteret says you are not a man of honour, you promised to meet him here before he left us. He takes leave of us on Tuesday or Wednesday.

Postscript. Dr. Smith, Principal of Hart Hall, is dead. The Hall is in the gift of the Duke of Newcastle?].

1710, July 17.—The letter that should have come last night has miscarried again, and I suppose by the same mistake, of putting it into the Exon instead of the Oxon bag. I desire Mr. Thomas would superscribe it Oxford and not Oxon to prevent such mistake. I am the more concerned at this mistake, because I hear from my friend in the city that he had received a letter for me which he had sent to yours.

1710, July 19.—To put you out of pain, the letter which should have come on Sunday came to me last night, the post mark on it was on the 17th ; at the same time, I received yours with the enclosed, which were written on Monday. I immediately dispatched the Bishop of London's letter to the Vice-Chancellor. We shall have greater opposition than I expected in Mr. Palmer's business. The Vice-Chancellor pretends to be sorry for it, but I wish he does not secretly foment. It is very unlucky for me that the two persons of most weight here, and on whom I could most depend, are absent ; the Principal of Jesus and the President of Corpus Christi. Those who oppose the degree have a more plausible pretence than I thought they could have had. It seems when Mr. Palmer wrote against Wesley he reflected upon the universities, and upon Dr. Hudson by name, and said his dedications were such as a school boy should be ashamed of. I had sent for Dr. Hudson, and represented Mr. Palmer's case to him, and desired him to do what service he could. He was very unwilling and very uneasy ; this surprised me so much, that I expostulated with him somewhat warmly upon it. After much talk at parting he told me, for my sake he would do what service he could, but that when the business was over he would convince me I should not have asked him. I was still in the dark, and telling a common friend with some wonder what had passed between the Doctor and me, he smiled and told me the reason, of which I was ignorant, having never read either book. I perceive this will be the greatest objection, but I hope to get over it. I shall apply to every college in turn, by myself or by my friends. I have had friends from different colleges with me about it all this morning. It happens unluckily, that at the time I should be going about, I shall be obliged to attend for the most part at

home, on Sir Simon [Harcourt] and his brethren. But I hope I have secured Magdalen on the account of our late merits on Dr. Sa[cheverell]'s account. I reckon too upon Jesus, Corpus Christi, most of New College and Lincoln and St. John's. And should any peevish men persevere to oppose it, I hope we shall have some friends too in other colleges. I hope we shall receive the Chancellor's letter to-night, we have no further occasion for the Chancellor's interest.

Postscript. Since I wrote, I understand Sach[everell] comes to town to-day, and under pretence of going to meet the Sheriff, who comes to wait on the judges. Town and country are going to meet him, and he will be brought in by a vast multitude. I am sorry for the bustle that is like to be, but it will make well for us. By Sir Simon and our own merits we shall secure this great Doctor and do Mr. Palmer's business.

1710, July 21.—Mr. Palmer's letter came last night and was proposed this morning to the Heads of Houses. We did not apprehend any difficulty there, for which reason many did not attend. But it was opposed violently by two extremes, the Master of Balliol and the Warden of Merton, the Bishop of Oxford's chaplain. I am told, among other things, these gentlemen were pleased to say that he was Mr. Harley's convert, and that his converts were not to be trusted, and to instance in Roger Griffith. The Vice-Chancellor was very fair and would not come to a question, but adjourned the meeting till three o'clock this afternoon, when the Dean will be there and has sent to his friends to meet him there; and the Principal of Jesus who is to come to town, and on whom I have waited, will be there too and hearty for us and no doubt we shall carry it amongst the Heads this afternoon, and in the Convocation too, I hope to-morrow morning. A report has been spread here that your father has had a meeting with the great Duchess at my Lord Halifax's and composed all differences. These are very slender shifts indeed.

1710, July 22.—Mr. Palmer is now a Master. Upon the Dean and the Principal of Jesus appearing, all opposition was dropped amongst the Heads, but the struggle was great in Convocation. I was afraid of our neighbours at Corpus. They are refractory fellows. The President very fortunately for us came home on Thursday; I waited on him to know if he had received a letter from my Lord of London to recommend Mr. Palmer, he said he had heard nothing at all from my Lord of London about him. I was a little surprised at this strange neglect. I had desired Dr. Gastrell to let Mr. Palmer know that the Bishop's letter to the President would be of more moment to him than any other recommendation. Upon examining Mr. Palmer, I found the Doctor had told him, but he had not yet any letter. But the President was very kind, and was pleased to say that the concern Mr. Harley had for him ought to command all the respect this

place could show to Mr. Palmer. The Principal of Jesus said the same. The President came to-day to the Convocation and kept his House in order. The Principal came not to Convocation, but sent to every fellow in his House a particular request from himself to desire them to be there. When I waited on the Master of University, he said the kindness which he understood Mr. Harley had for this gentleman was an obligation to him, without any other reason, to serve him. His conversation with Greg has done him great service upon this occasion. When we met this morning, the cry was, we should lose it. We had brought all our own strength, which happened by reason of the Act term to be more than ordinary. Dr. Sacheverell came himself, but brought not the party with him he had promised us he would. I did not see above four Magdalen men that I knew. Just before we entered the Convocation House, Dr. Sacheverell came to me and said he had discovered a combination against us, and the letter would be thrown out, unless Mr. Palmer would come into the Convocation, and make a recantation of all he had written or done against the Church; that if he could prevail with him to do that, he, Dr. Sacheverell, would engage the letter should pass. I said I thought it was very odd, when we proposed to show respect to a gentleman, to require him to do penance first; that I should make no such ridiculous proposal to him, that I, who was his friend, had rather he should be without that he asked for, than have it upon such terms. The House was very full. The Heads and Doctors, who were above twenty, were unanimous for him. There was opposition, but to no purpose, amongst the Masters. Pray do not pity me, I hope I shall never think it a trouble to do my duty.

I brought an ugly cold with me from London which hung on me till Mr. Palmer came. A little exercise this hot weather has been instead of a bagnio and I hope cured it. Mr. Palmer knew this place so little, as to have designed whilst he was here to have gone over to Waterstock to pay a visit to Sir Harry Ashurst, but I have desired him to forbear it, if he has any concern either for his own or his friends' credit in this place. He will be in London on Monday night.

When may I hope to see you here? By Mr. Auditor [Harley]'s going into the country, I conclude the writings are finished and sealed. I suppose the State now detains you. You must allow us to be uneasy here, till the Parliament is dissolved. The judges insulted all who came near them, and said they could assure them there would be no new Parliament. This morning they were very positive in it, upon letters they pretended to have received last night from London. I need not tell you how much they are credited, when I tell you who they are, Blencow and Dormer. One of them, Dormer I believe, said he wondered how any one could imagine any such thing, that no new Parliament could be called without it was first proposed in Council, and that he would not give three years' purchase for any one's life that should venture to propose it.

1710, July 22.—Since I wrote I have some reason to think our new ally Dr. Sacheverell played booty with us; he voted himself for us, but I am afraid every one of his House who were there, except Tom Collins, my old acquaintance, were against us. The Doctor's cavalcade into this place was a trick upon the Sheriff and his company; they little expected the Doctor's company; he came along with Sir John Walters, who had appointed the Sheriff to meet him near Woodstock. The Doctor had secretly appointed some of his own House to meet him at the same time and place. The companies met much to the surprise of the Sheriff. Sir John desired the Sheriff to join companies and to wait on the Doctor to his college. For fear of quarrelling with Sir John, he complied.

1710, July 25.—This place now affords nothing to entertain you we are here in a perfect calm, expecting to hear somewhat from you, that will put us into a new ferment.

Lord Carteret left us on Wednesday last; I may tell you, but let it go no farther, that I think his Lordship has not left us very handsomely. You know the respect and kindness with which he has been used here. He told me at parting he would order a plate to be sent. I desired his Lordship would be pleased to let us have that sum, and no more, which he designed for the plate, to the building. I told him we did not desire it to increase the expense to his Lordship, that the very sum be designed for his plate would be as creditable to his Lordship as a plate, and much more acceptable to us. I could not prevail; he said it did not suit with his quality if he gave money, to give so little, and urged Lord Hatton's example, who has given a plate. I gave him many other examples of those who had given that which they had designed for a plate in money, and withal said, I must have leave to tell him, that I thought we had reason to expect more kindness from his Lordship and my Lord Hatton than others, since they had brothers who would enjoy the benefit of the foundation. He was nettled I perceived at that, and said he knew not how he was more obliged because his brother was to be there. I said I hoped his Lordship would think he had more reason than another to keep our buildings in repair, when so near a relation was to have the advantage [of] it.

So we parted, and I am afraid I have lost some of his favour for presuming to be so free with him; I wish he may not be so very full of himself as to think all the kindness we endeavoured to show him here as strictly due to him for the honour he did us in living in our society. Lord Strathallan is heartily glad that cousin Gann is gone. Drummond has still some power over him, and, as I perceive, upon the point of Jacobitism, and writes to him, I believe frequently, to enquire whether he keeps his principles. He was afraid of displeasing Drummond by dismissing Gann, and thought too lest Drummond should suspect him for that to have lost his principles as he calls them; but he says Drummond is easy at it, for which reason his Lordship is now glad that he is rid of the fellow. He owns he was a saucy and clumsy servant, and I believe he thought him too a spy upon him, who gave Drummond an account of all he did.

Postscript. Blencow showed scandalous partiality to Dormer at his trial. All in court cried shame and perceived from the first moment that it was concerted to acquit him.

1710, July 27.—I must tell you one story of our ally Dr. Sacheverell, but it is not proper yet that it should be known. He told Mr. Palmer that he had reconciled the *Proto-Bibliothecarian* to him, that word was (*sic*) more stately than *Dr. Hudson*. I was sure that must be a lie, because I knew there was no correspondence betwixt them, but an aversion on Dr. Hudson's side. I asked him when I saw him; he said he was never but once in his company, but that as to his speaking to him about Mr. Palmer, it was impossible to be other than a lie, for he had not seen his face since his return till they met in Convocation.

1710, July 29.—We are much obliged to you for accepting so kindly any endeavours here to express our respects to you, but we have been upon another foot with you than with any one we ever had to do with. We have been paid beforehand for any service that is in our power, and the utmost we ever can do must fall short infinitely of that we are obliged to, supposing we were brokers bred at the exchange, and not scholars at a university. We have reason to bless God, if such excellent dispositions have received no prejudice by conversing with a Society that has so long been under so ill a name. The justification you will be of us to the world would be an ample reward, could we deserve any from you, and had no other.

I have been so used to meet with little thanks from those I have desired to serve, that I am not in the least now shocked at it, nor should any wise man, if he can recollect himself a little, ever repine at. It is of mighty use to us in the frail state we are, and inures us by habit to that which the gospel requires so positively of us, to do good upon no other motive than the apparent goodness of the action. Till a man can act upon that view, however good his actions may be, he has no great reason to applaud himself for them, or to hope they are acceptable to God, not to mention the disquiet he must be often in, from the struggle within him of different motives to action. But in the weak state we are, I am afraid disappointments are necessary, as well as reason, to work in us such a temper.

That Lord [Carteret] and I parted with great civilities to each other. Whatever I may think of him, I shall live with him as I have always done. Indeed he had a mind to have had some of my books, and said he hoped I who was his friend would let him have them cheap, and he would have bought them at the rate of a dividend in a bankrupt's estate, at half a crown in the pound. But I did not think myself obliged to carry my compliments so far. It is lucky for him he comes into the world, with an alliance into Lord W[eymouth]'s family, and at a season when honest men are coming in play again. These accidents may contribute to preserve his credit, I say not his conscience, for if I mistake not much, he would have been for advancing his fortune

upon any terms, and with any that had been in power. I think I told you my thoughts of this long ago. I find he expects the court should soon take notice of him, and he expects too, more upon his own intrinsic merit than the merit of his alliance to Lord W.

You will easily think that I have made what enquiries I could, how men voted in Mr. Palmer's business. I heard one thing very remarkable I think. Every Whig and low churchman in the university was against him. This may afford matter for reflection.

Postscript. My Dutch friend [Drummond?] sent me word he had dined with Mr. H[arley] and said in his Dutch English, *to more one knows him, to more one is in the love with him.*

1710, August 1.—I am afraid Dolben's last will must be good, I am sorry though it should, he has used his family so unkindly in it. You were mistaken though I believe in one point, I think you told me that he had left the Vice-Chancellor's wife 50*l.* in it. It is an 100*l.* that he has left her. He was more a cavalier than to leave such a lady so little as 50*l.*, as he was more a merchant I suppose than to part with money without a consideration for it.

Who is it that at this time dares open your father's letters? I thought Frankland had been his servant, the other indeed is Lord Treasurer's nephew, but he too is now near allied to Sir Simon. But methinks either of them might discern the weather well enough, not to offer at any such thing.

I am sorry to hear your father has occasion for cupping. Was it to remove or to prevent a cold?

1710, August 4.—Your weeks that you propose to be absent when you leave us, will in time be prophetic ones. I now begin to despair of seeing you within your usual time of half a year. Be pleased to deliver the enclosed with my duty. Your tutor not expecting you some months yet takes this opportunity of your absence to go himself upon a ramble.

1710, August 10.—You have relieved me with a strong cordial indeed, our spirits were just failing. The Principal of Jesus was with me on Monday evening, but nothing I could say or could give him could cure his despondency. He gave all for gone, and your father's being turned out of commission, which I laughed at, was a sure sign to him that the party were confident of no dissolution. The news you have sent is too good, I am now only afraid of the excess of transport. Such sudden transitions from the extremity of one passion to another are as dangerous to the mind as any quick changes from heat to cold would be to our bodies. For myself, I have been rallying my philosophy this morning, and hope to bear this great event without suffering any considerable alteration in my own compositum. But I much fear how it may work amongst some of my friends of the grave Heads. I wish some who may now think themselves in a fair prospect of being Bishops yet, do not find too much joy make vacancies amongst the Headships.

I shall not quarrel with you for staying, since you do it to so good purpose. I suppose the compliment will in a few days be returned to the Lord Chancellor. When you have cashiered him, I think you may see us again. You may leave what remains to be done to others, I shall want to hear from you how this late great man and his friends bear his disgrace.

I have written this morning to my correspondent to press for a positive answer by next post, whether we may expect him or not. They have had such accounts sent to those parts, that they could never believe that would be done which, I bless God, is done. I believe that has been the occasion of his delay. I am apt to think this news will both determine him and hasten.

I ought I own to be satisfied with that I had last night, but I know not how, it only makes me more eager. I hope you will not fail me every post, I shall long to know to whom I am to make my compliments.

1710, August 13.—The joy we were in for so great an event as your last gave us notice of would not let us reflect on it at first as we ought to have done, I have now recovered myself enough out of my transport to be able to consider it a little, I find it grows upon me. I could hardly hope to see such a point gained, but I was still more afraid how my master would keep his ground than how he would get it. I was able in my little sphere to foresee mighty difficulties he must have to struggle with, but it seems to me that they are all provided against, and begin already to vanish. The inconvenience we feared at home, the point of credit, is over I reckon, and I fancy my Lord Rivers is instructed to prevent any we were afraid of abroad. Every one upon the news of his going made that reflection, that he was to offer the army to the Elector, and to command under him. It is all wonderful and he who could bring about these great things, I trust in God, can support them too.

I hope you do not think I am so impudent as to expect now to hear from your father, it was too much honour for me that he was pleased to honour me with his letters when he had not this business on his hands. I shall think myself honoured much more than I have reason to expect, if he will be pleased to throw away so much time as to read this I have enclosed.

I am much obliged to my dear Lord Dupplin for his very kind letter. That which took up the greatest part of it and pleased me most was the great gratitude he expressed to his father Harley, and his great sense of the tender kindness he has found from him, and of his own happiness in being allied to him.

I heard from Mr. Drummond by the last mail. Harry and his lady too are your admirers and heartily your servants. Pray don't you supplant me there, I have been served two or three such tricks by my bosom friends. He tells me that all their wise men heartily repent that ever they meddled in our affairs. I am sure he does good service at this time in Amsterdam. I should visit a poor disconsolate lady at Bucklebury [Mrs. St. John], but I have made my excuse, because I would be ready to come at an hour's

warning, when I hear my correspondent is expected. Come when I will, I suppose I may find lodgings empty at Mrs. Beresford's.

Mr. St. John writes to me, that Mr. Harley and Dr. Freind had told him that I was expected every day in town. By Mr. Harley I suppose he means you, but how came Dr. Freind to know that I designed to be in town. Had he it from you? I suppose he does not know on what occasion I am to come.

All here begin to despair of seeing you again, especially since the late turn; they say you are of age, and now entered upon the government of the nation, and not likely to relish any longer the poor entertainments this place affords. I would fain flatter myself that we are not yet to lose you. At least for your own sake I could wish we might have you for one quarter of a year more, but since I perceive you are too much engaged in the state to think of us suddenly, I can wait for my 'snush' no longer. Pray send me such a little paper as my godfather [Thomas Harley] is used to send you, by the post.

I had almost forgot to tell you that I saw in a letter last night that came from Lord Dartmouth's office, that the Lord Chancellor was made Lord Lieutenant of Hertfordshire. It astonished all the company, but all agreed it must be a mistake. Surely as affairs are now, it is impossible. Let me know what is in it. I could not but observe a little alteration in the style of the same letter. The post before it said the Lord Treasurer was turned out, this last post upon mention of him, it said, having resigned his staff.

1710, August 14.—You will perceive by mine yesterday how much all here were surprised at the news of the Lord Chancellor's being Lieutenant of Hertfordshire. Did any of the remainder of the old Ministry, upon the strength of the Queen's old promise, put this in the Gazette, without acquainting her and having her leave for it, or did she give way to it without acquainting her new Ministry with it. Satisfy me if you can which way this was, many fancy from this that the seals will continue in the same hands; but that surely is impossible if the change that we expect is to be. It is much easier to take away the seals than the staff. Whatever the present gentleman's personal qualifications are, he is not surely one of that interest that he can do much hurt to any one if he is dismissed, or service if retained. The difficulty in this case I take to be in supplying the vacancy, if Sir Simon, as he declares he will, should be obstinate. But betwixt ourselves, perhaps that may be to raise the terms.

Postscript. Remember my 'snush' on Tuesday, master.

My peaches and nectarines begin to be ripe and are the finest in the country. Won't this tempt you?

1710, August 17.—I thank you for the 'snush' which is very good, and for your letter I should have thanked you, if it had been somewhat longer, and had answered all my queries.

Has Harry Guy been to make his visit at your house, though I think I need not enquire that, if he is not bedrid. I expect

that you should make that use of this juncture as to get us another 100*l.* out of him. When you see him next, let him know that we are going to build up the west side, and that you are commissioned to desire his kindness to us. If he excuse himself, be importunate, and sour too if there be occasion, he will not refuse you if you insist upon it.

I suppose one thing that may detain you is the great pleasure you have in the daily application that is made to you for places. I have discovered myself within this week to be of much more moment in the world than I could have thought I had been. I have been honoured with several epistles to desire my recommendations, but whatever opinion the gentlemen have of my interest, I find they have a good one of my modesty. But there is one thing, which is a sort of charity, which I wish you would do if there be any room for it, it would be very kindly taken here. You know Seb. Smythe, that was master here. I suppose you know the story of his marriage, and how hardly his father has dealt with him—particulars are too long for this letter, but you may have them, if you know them not, from Dr. Smalridge who was his tutor. His father has taken the wife's portion and will not settle one farthing more than an 100*l.* per annum on him. He must have when his father dies 1,000*l.* per annum, which his father cannot [put] him off. His father, who uses him so hardly now, will I believe leave him his whole estate at last, and then he will have 2,000*l.* per annum in this county. But his circumstances are very hard indeed at present. His utmost ambition is any clerk's place, of 50*l.* per annum value. If there should be any vacancy to which you should think fit to recommend him, you would oblige one who now wants it, and may live to be in a condition to thank you. If there be no vacancy in your offices, let me know and I will try what I can do for him with Mr. St. John. He writes a very good hand and is very sober and diligent. You may know more of him from Dr. Smalridge. Though he was a master of arts here, I cannot boast much of his learning, but he has enough for the place he pretends to.

I am told one Dr. Strahan will be recommended to your father, as one proper to be employed abroad. I have known him before he went abroad, a young man at Balliol, and since his return. He is a good-natured, sensible, and I believe a very honest man, that would give satisfaction to those who employ him. He has been abroad several years. He was secretary to Sir Philip Meadows at Vienna whilst he resided there, but his relation to Sir Philip should be no prejudice to him, for he always was and is heartily with us. I expect to see Sir Simon here to-day, if the haste he is in to take possession of the seal does not hinder him from calling.

Lord Rivers' illness is somewhat ominous, as though all designed for a place of that importance should have some lets.

Mr. St. John's heart will be at ease, he will be in the post he has long wished for. I pray God he consider himself under his new character, a Secretary of State must not take all those liberties one of War might think perhaps proper to his station.

1710, August 19.—You have Sir Simon now in town: he did me the honour to lie here on Thursday night. He still says he will not have the seal, but I perceive it is only to raise his terms, that which he will insist on I believe will be somewhat considerable for life for the young gentleman.

The Bishop of Winton is hastening into Cornwall, he told the Dean at the election that when he had heard he was going into Cornwall, he might be sure there would be a new Parliament. I am afraid he will scarce do himself more credit by his future than he has by past recommendations, but it is to be hoped his influence will not be so great as it has been. The Colonel [Trelawny] owns that Liskeard designs to revolt from him, but he hopes however they may have a struggle for it. The Colonel is gone this morning to go with his father into the west.

Postscript. We are told Lord Marlborough has written a very submissive letter, owning all her Majesty has done to be for the best, and offering his own service at what terms she pleases. But I suppose no messenger can be returned from him, since the staff was broken.

1710, August 21.—You are too kind in saying you would endeavour to make Mr. Smith easy, that expression may seem to import somewhat more than is aimed at. The lowest clerks places in your offices as well as in the Secretaries' are I suppose worth 50*l.* per annum. That is the utmost that he pretends to, or that I would desire you to intercede for. I would not undertake for more than that he writes a good hand, and would be diligent and faithful. If he should be able to recommend himself to somewhat better, it will be well, but I believe he aims only at getting somewhat, that with that he has may enable him to live without contracting debts, till the Jew his father, who though he is tough yet is old, shall be pleased to leave him in better circumstances. I have some credit with the old fellow and could get any thing from him but money. He has many tenants, and though he had always been with us, yet at the last election he declared he would be neuter, and said it would not be safe, for such a one as he was, to oppose the Duke of Marlborough. I was sent to him, and it cost me from one o'clock till six to persuade him that he would suffer nothing by voting with us. Since this turn he takes me for a conjurer; and says he will vote as I would have him so long as he lives.

Not only I, but much better judges, like the "Examiner" extremely. I now know the author of it, but what he writes I perceive has been supervised.

Is that old sinner [Guy?] so weak that he cannot crawl out? If I come to town I will make him a visit, and beg you to go with me. I may hope to prevail of you to assist me. The son of a Premier minister will weigh more with him still, than one of our profession.

We have digested the staff, and our appetite is very sharp. You must furnish out some more entertainment, if you would not have us clamorous.

Postscript. The debt to the workmen at Blenheim that is known is above 60,000*l.* They owe to Strong the mason for his share 10,500*l.* It will go hard with many in this town and the country who have contracted with them. Their creditors begin to call on them, and they can get no money at Blenheim. One poor fellow, who has 600*l.* owing to him for lime and brick, came on Saturday to Tom Rowney [M.P. for Oxford] to ask for a little money he owed him. Tom paid him immediately. It was about 5*l.* The fellow thanked him with tears, and said that money for the present would save him from jail.

1710, August 24.—I thank you for yours, but pray never refer me to others. They do not think themselves bound to be punctual in their correspondence with us poor fellows, that live at such a distance from the chief wheels that move the politic machines. It is a favour if those gentlemen will let us have a line from them when they have nothing else to employ their time in. I had no letter last night from my brother Gastrell, or any other of my correspondents but you and Mr. St. John. Some of them might have been so civil as to have given me some account of their address and their reception. Not that I think it of so much moment to be duly informed of the ecclesiastical part of the politics, but I would not have been at a loss for an answer to those who enquired what I heard of it.

I had letters from the camp by the express, they seem to doubt whether they shall be in a condition to attempt another siege. There is a great sickness among the troops.

Mr. Harcourt is treating to-day at Wallingford, where Hucks and Nevil spend freely to oppose him. I want to have you in. I will be content to let you go to town on that occasion, but on no other. And that too on condition that you shall see us for some time in the recess, though you may be too great to wear a gown then, but I have known Senators wear pudding sleeve gowns.

1710, August 27.—We have worse news of Lord Scudamore than I perceive you have. Our last account said he was given over and could not live. This is very melancholy composition of the differences in your country. You see what comes of riding foolish horses. I hope you will take warning, and mount only such sober beasts as I do.

The address is certainly very well penned, but I cannot see any reason there was to carry it to Lord Anglesey, for his approbation either of the thing or the style. No doubt it galls the godly, since it cuts off in a great measure one of those means by which they might hope to recover ground again. This will prevent the effect of any insinuations that we are at the bottom in the interest of the Pretender. If they cannot make that believed, I know no other way they can have any advantage, unless by our own foolish jealousies and divisions.

I long to have a little discourse with you. I wish you would come to me, or that my Dutch correspondent would bring me to you. Let me know if you can how the change here has worked with the great bully abroad.

1710, August 28.—I could not omit this opportunity of writing one line to you. I had by this mail a letter from your very humble servant Mr. Drummond. He tells me all are satisfied in that country, except at the Hague, where malice and wrong emissaries abound. All modest men are vexed at their impertinent meddling, to which, they allege in their own excuse, that they were not only encouraged, but half forced. They care not for that which is past, but they want to have the Duke continue in command. I suppose they have found their own account better with him than they can hope to do with any other, and they hate the name of a Sovereign Prince to command. I have not troubled your father with this, because I suppose he may have it more fully from Mr. Drummond himself, or from better hands. He tells me there are already at Hanover before my Lord Rivers, emissaries from the Montagu family of Northampton; that is his expression. One thing he mentions which I wonder is not taken notice of in any of the public papers, that Cadogan is turned out of all, to the joy of the whole army.

Postscript. This day I have set the workmen to begin to pull down another side of Peckwater.

1710, August 29. Bucklebury.—I had not time in my last to reflect upon the news we have from Spain. If the victory proves as great as the first report of it is, it must bring with it at once all we can wish for. There is an end of all difficulties we feared, either at home or for our allies, about the command in Flanders; there will be no occasion for any General. We have nothing now to ask of the French but that they have already offered, and they will give more now I suppose if we insist on it, rather than not have peace; but this victory will not only give us peace I hope abroad, but at home too. The change of affairs upon it must give such authority at home to the Queen and her ministers, that they will be able not only to subdue enemies, but to control too unreasonable friends. It is to be hoped they will, without any further delay, take the measures they think proper, without thinking themselves obliged to enquire whether every one they have to deal with is in a humour to be pleased with them.

I owed a visit to the lady of this place. I did not see any time I could have but these two days. I came over hither last night, and have appointed the coach to meet me again at the end of the Downs to-morrow morning. I shall be at home by noon. I believe I may find Mr. King at my house at my return, if that may be any encouragement to you to come to me, but your taste I suppose is quite altered. The last "Tatler" or politics have spoiled your relish of microscopical observations. I want your assistance though in my own affairs. Upon the pulling down of this side of Peckwater, I shall take the advantage of the old materials, to build anew my own outhouses. I shall have a kitchen, a brewhouse, a woodhouse, I shall have lodgings over for servants, and a large room to dry clothes, or lay lumber in, I shall [have] two new bog houses, and a little greenhouse and a little bagnio. I have a plan which will be very pretty I think as

well as very convenient, but I should be glad to have your approbation of it, before I execute it.

The lady of this place commands me to give her respects to you and your father, and she hopes her husband [H. St. John] did her the justice lately to make her compliments.

1710, August 31.—The fame of credit so effectually established, when all at home and abroad expected to hear we were bankrupt, will be more formidable to France than our arms, and dispose them more to peace than even this blow in Spain, if it hold true. It seems, there is more money to be had than is wanted. Mr. Decker wrote to me, that he would engage for 40,000*l.* but there was no occasion for him.

I wonder "Dyer" took no notice of the bills posted up in Spittle Fields. That is the true spirit of the party. When the changes that are expected are made, and the Parliament dissolved, there will be an end of the noise of the Pretender.

Mr. King and I spent the evening yesterday with Tom Rowney and I am desirous to tell you that you are so slow in your changes that we have not yet new healths enough to last for three bottles of wine amongst five, but we hope you design to proceed à la Cohorn, not to fire at all till all your batteries are ready to play together, and then to fire without any intermission till the enemy surrender.

Postscript. In the printed catalogue, which I had from the bookseller I deal with, of new books which they lately have got, one is "*Histoire secrète de la Reine Zarah et des Zaraziens.*"

1710, September 2.—I had several of the letters to the "Examiner," as many as cost me fourteen pence for postage. I suppose they were sent by direction of the author. I soon guessed him. I had heard most of them in discourse. Lord Haversham's speech is much approved, but the "Queries" please wonderfully; I and several had parcels of them by the post. I had two besides that I received from you, and I have this day sent them into different parts of the country.

1710, September 5.—You are very kind in consulting our health so much as you do, you keep us to a very slender diet, you give us, when we are ready to expire, just enough to support life, and take care we shall have time to digest that we have had before you send us more news. We are now alarmed with a coalition, we are told those who threatened to throw up are willing to keep their places and to join with those already in, and the visits your father is said to have paid of late to Lord Halifax have given no little disquiet to us. I am sure of visits every morning after a post-night. Her Majesty ought to make me some allowance for the tea and coffee which is spent in curing her well-affected subjects in these parts of their fears and jealousies.

1710, September 6.—I was told last night with some assurance, by one who has pretty good intelligence, that the Duke of Argyll

is in great discontent. It is said a commission was ordered to make Duke Hamilton Governor of Edinburgh Castle, that upon this news the Duke of Argyll had written a very angry letter—it is not said to whom—threatening the utmost revenge, if that place was so disposed of. That at the same time he had sent a copy of his letter to several friends, desiring them to publish it in all coffee houses, that amongst others a copy was sent to Dr. Garth, who had gone round all the coffee houses to read it. There are many circumstances that would make me think it a lie, but it was told me with so much assurance, that I desire you would let me know, if you can by to-morrow's post, whether there be any ground for this report.

1710, September 7.—I can return you nothing but account of our horse races, for the account you are so kind to give me of the State. The Duke of Beaufort's horse won the plate the gentlemen subscribed for. The Tories look upon this as a good omen. Sir John Walter and Sir Robert Jenkinson sat with me last night, they tell me they expect no opposition in the county, and that they hear Rialton, as well as Reade, has declared he will not stand. Fail not to bring or send those almanacs I sent up to your father. I can now complete the whole set, with very fresh and fair ones. I have duplicates, of imperial paper and of the first impression, of almost all of them. The gentlemen seem secure of carrying it in Gloucestershire for Berkeley, but all on the Oxford side of that county are very indifferent for Howe and many will be single votes for Berkeley. John the father, at our last election, forced a poor honest parson, who had some dependence on him, by open menaces to come against Sir Robert Jenkinson. This was the only vote he could any ways influence in Oxfordshire. The son however applied to Sir Robert for his interest in Gloucestershire, where his estate is much more considerable than in this county. Sir Robert refused him.

1710, September 9.—I saw a letter this morning from a gentleman of good interest in Cornwall, he says they are so well disposed there, that he believes Boscawen might be thrown out, if any one would oppose him. They expect Mr. Granville with new commissions for the county, and are impatient for his coming, they want somebody to head them there.

I forgot to tell you, that Sacheverell had been making interest to be chosen by this diocese Proctor for the Convocation, but he has found little encouragement from either the laity or clergy from whom he most expected it. He is thought much too forward. It is taken ill by the clergy, that one who has no preferment in the diocese should set up to be their Proctor. They look on it as a reflection on themselves as though no one amongst them were fit to represent them. It is so ill relished, that I believe he must drop it, for which I am not sorry upon some other accounts.

Postscript. We are at a loss to account for the delay of that which must be done at last. No one but thinks they have gone too far to stop; and we fear we lose ground by deferring that which is designed, and by not having taken advantage of the fear of the people. The enemy too has had time to retrieve their interest in some places, but this may be of an excellent use to you, who are now entering on the world. Learn from the difficulties your father meets with, what you are to expect; do not think, as many have too fondly, that because your designs are honourable and disinterested, therefore the execution will be easy. I grant you this is a thought that but offers itself to all honest minds, that have yet had no experience, but I think all the records we have of time show the contrary, and let any one try, and he will be soon convinced by his own experience. They who act upon other motives, have not only the more profitable but more easy part. If ever you hope to do any good in any station, high or low, you must be content, not only to forego your own interest, but prepare yourself to deal with the perverseness of friends as well as the roguery of knaves and the malice of enemies. The case seems somewhat hard on an honest man's side, and would be so, if he did not hope to have the better of it in another place. But this very constitution of human affairs would be a good argument for such a place, if we had not better.

1710, September 27.—You judged very truly of the reason of my not writing. I have not stirred out this week, that I might be at home when you came, but I perceive you are a courtier; you must take your chance now whether you find me at home or not. I will not be disappointed any more by trusting to you; it is some satisfaction for having so often disappointed me, that you promise to spend the winter with me. We did indeed suspect you would have been put up at Lympster (Leominster).

I must give you a pleasant account of the event of a great cabal last week at Althorp, though perhaps you may have heard it from better hands, I had mine last night from Dr. Keill. The Grand Duchess, Lords Godolphin and Rialton were there to direct, but not appear openly. All of the party were summoned in from all parts of the county. Upon a great council, it was resolved that the old members could not be thrown out but by breaking the Tory interest. Mr. Bretton of Norton was desired to appear. He is a young gentleman, half mad, who has got a great interest in the common people by an extravagant expense amongst them, always in the Tory interest, but has thought himself disobliged by Sir Just[inian] Isham, who voted for Sir Child against him for a verderer's place. His estate is not above 1,000*l.* per annum. Bretton objected that he could not bear the expense, they engaged to supply him with a 1,000*l.* towards it, upon this he declared he would stand, went that night to Northampton and set the bells to ring. Messengers were dispatched at the same time with great joy from Althorp, to give notice of it in every town in the county. Bretton went home, and his brother, as it is said,

prevailed with him to desist. Whoever did it, he sent word the next morning to Althorp that he would not stand. Upon this the cabal there are become the jest of the county.

I have a little parcel of very good wine ready for me on the other side of the water, it is a present too, not from Mr. Drummond, but from my old dear friend Mr. Watkins. Can you protect it for me, if it comes over, it would help us to pass the winter with a little more comfort and to remember our friends in town. But do not give yourself any trouble, if it is not to be done with ease. I will write to Mr. Decker, to have it seized and to buy it out again for me, but one would save that money, if it may be done easily.

We are surprised at that which "Dyer" says that Mr. Smith is to be Teller of the Exchequer. Is he so formidable that it is requisite to buy his silence with so considerable a place? Surely he has merited nothing from this Ministry. We are somewhat angry in this county that Lord Rialton will not give us an opportunity of showing our interest.

1710, October 1.—You will perceive by the postmark from what part the enclosed came, it is from some one I suppose who thought you knew your own mind, and that they might take your word when you assured them you would be here by such a day.

Dr. Smalridge has given me an account of the impudence of the party in printing a list of names that was of dissenters to one amendment, to another that concerned the Hanover succession and which had passed without any opposition. Were care taken to make the detection as well known as the lie, they would fall into the pit they had digged for others.

By your letter the Lord Hyde and Lord Anglesey seem to be sharers in the Vice-Treasurer's place, indeed I have heard it is of value enough to satisfy two, if they can be reasonable.

We are much at a loss here, when Tom Rowney and I meet to settle the nation, to account for Jack Smith's having any place. We remember how he insulted Sir Simon, and what returns he made to your father.

1710, October 4.—I beg my most humble duty to my good Lord Dupplin, though I am glad he is in England, yet I know not how he can be spared at this time in Scotland. Are the hearts of his countrymen so turned that there is no occasion for one of his interest and authority to countenance the elections there? For you I give over all expectation of seeing you, I suppose I must hope in a short time as little for your correspondence as for your company, if I may judge by the gradual abatement in the length of your letters, which have grown each at least six lines shorter than the precedent for this last fortnight. We had this day notice that all who were at work at Blenheim, of all sorts, even to the weeders in the garden, were discharged yesterday by Vanbrugh sent down on purpose by the Duchess.

The uproar in the town upon this is very great, and I believe endeavours will be used to make some advantage of their resentment, and to set up somebody unexpectedly at the election which comes on upon Saturday.

The elections for the University and town were over this morning in a few minutes. I am afraid Mr. Harcourt will be hard set to-morrow at Wallingford.

I thank you very kindly for your enquiry, but since it must be seized, I need not trouble your friend. I will write to Mr. Decker to take care of it.

I am sorry I shall miss your letter on Friday. I have put off the journey I designed upon this bad weather. I now look upon myself as laid up till next April. I suppose Sir Richard Leving is put up in opposition to Lord Dupplin; he must set up upon the dint of money. He has no acquaintance surely or interest in that country. But we say here, that you who are at the helm are afraid of having too good a Parliament and take measures to cool the affection of the country. You put us in good humour last week, but we are somewhat puzzled at the commission of the Admiralty and at the disposal of some other places. We are told Walpole would have resigned his place of the Treasurer of the Navy, but that his resignation would not be accepted. I know not who has the merit of these things among the Whigs, but care is taken that your father should have the blame of them among the Tories.

Postscript. In one month more, Blenheim would have been covered so as to be secure against any injury from the weather. Their orders were positive to break off, and if it continue in the condition it is left, the frost and wet will ruin all that has been done this summer.

1710, October 9.—You made some omission in yours last night, which though small, would be of great use to me to understand the sentence. The words are—*My father says that this week and that day I shall go*, you designed to have interposed somewhat I believe betwixt *week* and *and*, which through haste you forgot. I suspect this the more, because Lord Dupplin tells me that your father designs for Herefordshire, and that he is to attend him, and that I shall have the honour of seeing them in their journey. This I suppose you designed to have told me; if you know the day your father designs to be at Oxford, I beg you would let me know by to-morrow's post. I wish you would prevail with your father to do me the honour to take his lodging with me, and not to lodge, as he has been used to do, at an inn. If my Lord Dupplin takes part of a bed with you, you know I can accommodate both your father and his Lordship. I believe they may be easier here, than they can be in an inn. If your father will not consult his own convenience, I could wish he would be pleased to do me such an honour.

Why should you enquire whether I will go to the election at Berkshire? I believe you do not doubt of my zeal to the main cause, but I am not so ill [a] courtier as to be wanting in my

personal respects to a Secretary of State. Other ministers of State may not be of so singular a temper as your father is, as not to observe whether the respect and duty of their servants is not increased in proportion to the augmentation of their own honour.

By yours I should guess that Mr. Drummond has sent a bill in which I am concerned to your father. I am heartily troubled at it, and protest to you it was without my knowledge. I hope I have a little more manners than to have been so impudent, and I shall let Mr. Drummond know as much. But for your comfort, that is not the wine I expect from Mr. Watkins, I hope that will be better than Mr. Drummond's will be.

1710, October 12. Christ Church.—I thank you for yours, but I am so far from expecting you within this week or fortnight, that I have no hopes now of seeing you at all. I do not believe your father's business will permit him to take a long journey into Herefordshire, and as for yourself, I think you may have a much better pretence for being in the town in the winter, than you have had hitherto. There will be more company then and more business. Pray let me know your mind whenever you are able to know it yourself, and when you come to a resolution of not coming, pray send the key of your study to me.

We lost the opportunity of throwing out Sir Thomas Wheate at Woodstock, because we were not provided with one to set up there. The town sent round the neighbourhood and could not prevail with any one to appear, nor could our gentlemen in three days' time find any among themselves that would accept it. And Lord Abingdon was unluckily called away to Westbury, whence he had news that Cornish was come thither with 3,000*l.* to turn that election.

Postscript. Since I wrote what is before, I hear that the petition of the Warden of All Souls, about which I presumed to trouble your father, has been delivered to the Queen and brought before the Cabinet Council. The gentleman who put it into the Lord Dartmouth's hand was written to not to deliver it before he had farther notice. I had desired it might be stopped till I had received your father's direction, but Mr. Hill had delivered it to my Lord Dartmouth before he received the letter, and my Lord Dartmouth had delivered it to the Queen before Mr. Hill could be with him again to stop it. I hear it is now referred to the Attorney. We hope his answer will be in our favour.

1710, October 15.—The visitation at All Souls was opened on Thursday, no more was done than to adjourn till three o'clock the next day, and to order every one who had any complaints to make them in betwixt three and five o'clock on Friday in writing. I believe the Commissioner did no more then than to receive the writings that were brought to him, and it is thought he will do nothing but carry up the papers to the Archbishop. As far as can be guessed by the Commissioner, it is supposed the Archbishop will not enter into any other complaints, but those about

the monitions to take orders, and that he will only give them a new injunction to determine how many shall be allowed to study physic. That will indeed lay the disputes in the college, but any such allowance will be contrary to the intention and end of the founder. There is some obscure intimation in one statute of some who studied physic, but in the days in which those statutes were made the practice of physic and study of divinity were usual. There were many Bishops of Ely; and then in the University, I believe, there was no distinction in the degrees.

Your tutor is returned, and tells me you talked of being here at the end of this week. I perceive you have had the pleasure of imposing on him, he really was so credulous as to think you were in earnest.

1710, October 16.—Do you stay in town to drink my tokay, those very numerical bottles which you had were I believe the same which were promised to be given to me upon the change of the Ministry, and which you have now intercepted. I believe you were conscious of this, and to cover yourself the better you prevailed on Lord Dupplin to go a share in your robbery. You depend upon it that I shall acquiesce in my loss rather than call his Lordship to account for it but I may retaliate upon you if I can. If ever I see you here again, I shall way lay the next plum cake or pigeon pie that your mother or Mrs. Betty sends you.

Do you know or did you ever hear of one Anderton or Anderson, a Presbyterian minister? Do you know where his meeting house is in town? He came hither lately to marry Burroughs the iron-monger's daughter, she had been married before by her mother to Woodcock, the Presbyterian minister who died here not long ago. The mother was resolved she should not marry again but to another of the same tribe, for reasons no doubt that are of great weight with the sex, and perhaps from her own experience. This man was sent for to marry her at the first sight. The sisterhood in town, who had been desired to provide a yoke-fellow for the young widow, had pitched upon him as the most proper; but the reason why I enquire if you ever heard of him is, because he affected whilst he was in town, to talk as though he was very familiar with your father. He was always mentioning somewhat that he said had passed betwixt him and Robin Harley, that was the most respectful term he ever was pleased to use.

I was surprised to see the Solicitor General chosen for Bishops Castle. I suppose he was chosen there by your father's recommendation, at least by his permission, but I thought Lord Dupplin had been designed for that place. Pray where does he come in? I have not the bill of lading yet from Mr. Watkins, but I have written to him to send it, and to make it too, to Mr. Decker. I thank you heartily, but I shall not presume to give you more trouble, I am ashamed of that which has happened already, through Mr. Drummond's presumption I assure you and not mine.

Postscript. The Tories here are not a little pleased with the

success of the elections, and the more because there was no previous change of the lieutenancies and commissions of the peace. That circumstance makes us think our obligation much less to you at Court for the Parliament we hope to have.

1711, March 30.—It was dark last night before we could reach Tedsworth; the ways are much worse than when we came up before Christmas. We were out again this morning at four, in hopes to have got home before prayers, but we were obliged to stay at Wheatley to mend some tackle that was broken; there I got on my pad and came home. But they were got to church before I could get hither. I leave you to judge how tired I am who have been up for two mornings at four, no very usual hour with me.

I hope to hear to-night that the matter which came from the contusion is quite discharged. I could not have left the town, had not Dr. Radcliffe assured me that he could not now have any apprehension of danger. I hope your father has nothing now to do, but to improve the uses that are to be made of such a villainous attempt to the good of his sovereign and his country. And I trust that what we have seen is an earnest to us, that if the malice of his enemies is not extinguished by these visible interpositions of Providence, any future attempts of it will be directed by that Providence which watches over him, as all past have been, to his honour and the public advantage.

My fellow traveller Tom Rowney tells me that Dr. Sacheverell last week presented my Lord Keeper with a large bason and ewer double gilt. I wonder his Lordship would accept of it, for he cannot now plead the merit of having served gratis in that cause. There is a very pompous inscription upon it, but the Doctor has so interwoven his own praises with my Lord Keeper's, that his Lordship is afraid he cannot make any public use of the plate. It is said to be given *ob meam causam* upon such an occasion so defended.

Postscript. The title of the book is, as I told you, *Reinesii Apologia pro variis Lectionibus*. Rostock, 1653. I bought it single for Mr. Bernard, I suppose he bound it up with those with which it is now, because they too, as I remember, were written by Reinesius. If you are an adventurer for it, pray let me know what your success is.

1711, April 1.—I thank you for yours, it was the best cordial I have had since my tiresome journey. I rejoice for your father's sake, I rejoice for the public's sake, that he is likely to be abroad again so soon. I rejoice for my own sake, since I have his word, that when he goes abroad I shall immediately have you here again. You cannot think how lonely this place seems to me without you. The concern I have had for you has bred in me sentiments of another sort than I feel for any friend. I can almost as little brook your absence as your father, but I must begin to wean myself from you. Should I get you again this

time, I must not hope to keep you long, or to recover you whenever I part with you again; either a ramble, or a closer confinement than any you have yet had, will in a little time deprive me of you.

The enclosed perhaps will surprise you, as it did me very much I assure you. I found it when I left you, lodged for me at the Speaker's. It would tempt me to think myself much more considerable than I ever yet durst do. The words *ought to have done* have relation to somewhat which he has pleased to throw out, when we dined with Mr. St. John, and for which I had presumed to expostulate with him. I did not expect such a submission from so high a spirit. You see what is desired of you, but take notice that I do not move you to do it. God forbid that I should desire you to misrepresent so far a body that has the honour to have you one of its members. We are headless indeed, but not for that reason miserable, only as we have lost our old head, not for want of a new one. I know not one instance, since the vacancy, in which the authority of a chief Governor has been wanted. The College is as full as it was and as regular, without a Dean, and Peckwater goes on without money, and in both cases we subsist well enough yet upon the stock of our old credit. But pray return my letter to me, it may be of use to me perhaps, some time hence, to be able to produce it.

Dr. Bayly's son is with Mr. Terry, as you desired. Dr. Potter has left the College, without a design of returning till Michaelmas, he has not read one lecture since last Trinity Term. He never preached his own cause but once; Clemens Alexandrinus, in which he was to raise his credit, is laid aside. Allstreet and Jane, after having served twenty years, were used to plead their age, once in a term, for missing a lecture. He is the only professor who ever made a sinecure of their chair, and that too upon his first entering on it; but the saints always had and will have their peculiar privileges.

The Sub-dean [Dr. Burton] designs to be at the election, but I hope you will not see him there.

1711, April 2.—I received the enclosed on Sunday, there is nothing very material in it, but that the Dutch themselves begin to be sensible that the French think not the change that has been there to their advantage. This possibly may dispose the French to make new offers of peace, but I wonder my correspondent should think the French as little pleased with the late Ministry. I believe they had more hopes from the late Treasurer (St. Germain certainly had) than from any one employed here since the Revolution, and my correspondent might have thought so too, if not from his own observation, yet from that which he had often heard from me.

I have had time to look about me. I was alarmed, before I came down, with reports that there were frequent riots in the House, that the gates stood open all night, and that most of the gentlemen had left it. I could not believe all I heard, but I was

afraid there might have been some occasion given to those who might think it their interest to improve such things. But upon a strict enquiry, I find [nothing] has happened since the late Dean's death, that could deserve any other notice than the ordinary ones of an imposition or cross. Many of the gentlemen who had fled from the small pox are returned. The number of commoners is near doubled in my absence, above forty undergraduates commoners resident and in commons in the hall. But though the reports are the reverse in every point of the truth, the reason of giving them out is pretty plain.

My most humble respects to my Lord Dupplin. I shall send by the barge on Thursday the books he has written [for?]. I will not send Maundrell's voyage because I will not cheat him, for there is a new edition ready to be published with some additions.

I shall send in another box the Irenæus, and the letters of King James, King Charles, and the Duke of Buckingham and his Duchess, with most of the originals of those published in the "Cabala." I beg your father would be pleased to let them have room amongst his noble collections.

Can you send me no news of the Archbishop? Can His Holiness get on yet his slipper on his toe? You are very brief, but very full as to the main point, and that makes me willing to excuse you for allowing me so little of your leisure.

This comes by John. I would have advised him not to venture to town whilst warrants and messengers are so rife, but he relies upon you to vouch for him, if he should be snapped and carried before Mr. St. John.

1711, April 7.—Brother Potter has brought himself into a snare from which I know not how he can well disengage himself. The parsonage of Newelme was given by King James the First to the University, in trust for the use of the Regius Professor. They were aware that a professor who had institution might, if he should ever leave the chair, retain the living, and defeat the end of the donation. In the grant therefore it is said that an Act of Parliament should be obtained the following session, to enable the professor, whilst he was such, to hold it without any institution to it, but no such Act was ever had. What other professors did I know not, but Dr. Jane wisely took institution upon a presentation from the university, and the university took his word that he would resign the living when he quitted the chair. Potter took no institution and has lately quarrelled about tithes with one Tipping, the chief man in his parish, and as notorious a Whig as is in the county. Tipping pleads great merit in helping him to the chair, pretends that the Archbishop employed him to get the Duke of Marlborough's favour to Dr. Potter. He says he had a promise from the Doctor that he should rent the whole tithe. He has written to the Archbishop to complain of our brother Potter's ingratitude, and has upbraided him with it to his face. But all this not weighing against that serious thing called money, Tipping has fallen upon another

method that will give our brother much trouble. He insists that no tithes are due to him, for that he never was rector of Newelme, that he never had institution, and that the sequestration which was laid on upon Dr. Jane's death was never taken off. The lawyers I believe have advised brother Potter that the plea is good, and that he can have no remedy but by an Act of Parliament. He desired the university would be at the charge of procuring an Act. They thought that unreasonable, he now offers to bear half of the charge. That is to be considered, but the living is certainly lapsed if advantage should be taken, whether to the Queen or Keeper I know not.

I thank you for my letters. I shall preserve one of them, the letter was written for the sake of the postscript. It surprised me almost as much as the same person's earnest and repeated application to me, that I would pass by what Delaune had said of me to Dr. Smalridge. I could not help thinking him the most improper man in England to make such a request to me. His concern lest Delaune should suffer by it, looked as though he had not been ignorant of that he did, but Delaune has returned the compliment to him, for he has said here since his return with great seriousness and assurance, that if the Archbishop goes off, my friend the Prolocutor [Atterbury] is the most likely to succeed him. I hope, for the Prolocutor's sake, that Delaune has better ground for making him Archbishop, than he had for making me Dean, though perhaps both may come, though with a different intent, from the same place. It is pretty well known, where Delaune's chief application at present is. I heard the same thing mentioned there, but I was so dull as to think no more was meant by it than a high compliment. This would be a compensation that would be accepted of for our poor Deanery. I found Dr. Blechingden in town and had the honour of a visit from him. He brought the President's service and desire too, if I could be at leisure, to wait on me that evening. I returned my thanks for the honour designed me, but said I owed the President three visits, if not more, and that I hoped he would not trouble himself to come to me, till I had paid my debts to him.

John Davies is fallen down with his boat to Ifley this evening; on Monday he goes on, and I hope he will be with you on Thursday or Friday. He has two large chests with all the books my Lord Dupplin desired, and a little box with the Irenæus and all the papers that I had of any value, and some too that I am afraid are not of much, for your father. Many of the letters are in the "Cabala"; there are some, which are of most moment, that are not. You will find a letter from the Duke of Buckingham to King James I. about a letter which he was to write to Pope Urban VIII. You will find by King James's letter how he desired it might be altered before he could sign it. You will among the papers find the letter as it was first proposed and as it was altered and signed

by him, though the original signed by him being here, it should look as though it had not been sent. You will find a letter from the Duke of Buckingham to the Queen of Bohemia, which I have put with some of hers to him, which makes it very probable that there was ground for the report that he broke off the match in Spain, upon an offer from her of marrying his daughter to her son. There are some copies of letters from our King Charles the First to his Queen, dated from Newcastle, which are not among his letters which are printed, but they confirm that odd story in my Lord Clarendon of Sir William Davenant being sent from the Queen to persuade the King to give up the Church. I suppose the King's constancy in that point was the reason why the Archbishop got copies of those letters, and preserved them. Some of the Duke of Buckingham's letters to King James agree with the account that is in my Lord Clarendon, of the state of favour Buckingham was in, after the breaking off the match with Spain. I cannot guess who wrote the account of Prince Henry, but it must be one who was very near him, and is the most particular account of his illness some time before and at the time of his death, that is anywhere to be met with. Beecher's account of Henry the Fourth's amour with the Princess of Condé, and of the mighty preparations he made upon it, for a war with Spain, is a very entertaining and valuable piece. There is no such account in any history that has been written of that court and time, but I could never hear that one of so good sense, as he appears to have been, was ever more at home than a clerk of the Council. Sir Th. Morgan's account of the battle of Dunkirk is very different from the French ones and not much for Lockhart's credit, but I believe it is printed somewhere.

How does Lord Strathallan do? Some care should be taken of his servant here. My Lord, when he went up, said he would send for him in two or three days. My Lord never wrote to him. There are half a year's wages due to the poor fellow, and he has nothing to subsist on. They should pay him, whether they will entertain him still or discharge him. He would make an excellent servant to one who wanted a good one and would use him well.

I had almost forgot to tell whence I had my news of the new Archbishop. The President of Corpus told me on Tuesday that he had it from one newly come from London, who seemed positive in it, and thought he had reason to know, but he named not the author. This afternoon the Warden of All Souls told me, and was surprised that it seemed news to me. He immediately quoted the President for it, as a thing that he reported every where with great assurance, and he seemed to have as little doubt of the President's authority as he reported the President to have of his news.

Postscript. There are some letters in the parcel from Sir Horace Vere, one of your ancestors I think—I do not remember any of them in the "Cabala," but in a hand as little legible as mine is. There is one from Prince Charles to the Duke of Buckingham, that is much to Sir Horace's honour.

1711, April 9.—I am sensible how I tired you last post, I will now be more reasonable. I hope to hear that your father is in the House again before the end of this week. Won't you see Robin Morgan and Charles King, before you leave the town? Robin Morgan is your neighbour, he lodges at a gunsmith's, at the Cross Guns at the bottom of St. Martin's Lane, at the same side with the church, and about five or six doors below it.

I should be glad to hear what fortune you have had in Bernard's auction. You may remember I showed you a book, in which there was a very odd translation of a copy of verses of Beza. They were the verses which gave occasion to Mr. St. John, though without any ground, to say that Beza had written lewd verses, which I had. That book I suppose is in Bernard's auction—he had it, and I never saw any one, but his and my own. The title of it is—"The Disputation concerning the controversit Headdis of Religion, haldin in the Realm of Scotland, the Yier of God, one thousand fyve hundreth fourscore Yeiris, betwix &c. Imprinted at Parise the first day of October, 1581. 8vo."

I exhausted all that this place affords last post, but we are told you will be able to furnish us soon with some news that will be acceptable to all, such as is not only highly proper, but indeed as our affairs are, absolutely necessary, a thing long since due to the person chiefly concerned, but probably deferred by Providence to a juncture in which it will come with the best grace, for his honour and the public service too.

1711, April 12.—I hope the box is with you before this can reach you. I have no apprehension of any miscarriage, there is not a more trusty or careful fellow than he is who has the charge of it, he would swim with it in his hands as Cæsar did with his Commentaries, if there was occasion, and sink before he would part with it. It is your own fault if you had not any books you had a mind to, I gave you the refusal of them all. I forget what the books were that I mentioned at Abel's; I think they were two or three of the Elzevirs which you said you had; you consented that I should send up Epictetus, and the fragments of the poets. However I empower you to take any you have a mind to, but if you should be complaisant, I believe I can procure you another Epictetus in large paper. All the other books, which you wrote in your note, are reserved for you.

I left the town with sad expectations of hearing things I should not care to hear of my old friend the Secretary [St. John]. I had the honour of free conversation with him for the first month I was in town; for the last two months I was there, though he was very civil when I waited on him, yet he was much on the reserve, and seemed as though he was willing to avoid with me. I did not understand it at first, but upon hearing some things, I looked on it as a kindness and true compliment to me. God forbid that I should desire to be thought one that is in his favour, when he holds such a conduct, that no one, of my gown,

can be supposed to be intimate with him, without hazarding his own credit, and such a conduct, too, as must at length make it impossible for the best friends he has to support him. I have no hopes now of ever seeing it otherwise. This post was the last remedy; since that cannot restrain him from extravagances at least, it would be foolish to think any thing can ever alter him.

I pity the poor lady [Mrs. St. John] from my soul, she is a flagrant instance, amongst many others, who are made the most miserable creatures in the world, by having had so great a share in it. Whilst I had opportunity, I did her the utmost service that an honest servant and sincere friend could, but the person with whom I worked is now beyond my reach, and got out of the activity of my poor sphere. I can do nothing but pray for her; that I shall do, and I am afraid there is too sad occasion for it.

The passage you mention of Guiscard's letter is remarkable, it can have but one sense. I hear I have been much obliged for some kind things that have been said of me, since I left the town. A young statesman, that undertakes to manage in the House of Commons, is I am afraid one of my friends, and a young Lord from whom I thought I had reason to expect other things is another I believe, but these things will be me (*sic*). I ought not to complain, when the poor late Dean, that had merited so much more than I could, is not exempted though he is in his grave. Tom Rowney sends me word that the young statesman is in great wrath that our poor Deanery is not yet disposed of. I suppose he thinks it an indignity to himself, since he has been pleased to declare himself for one of the competitors.

Postscript. I think I remember now some of the books I mentioned at Abel's, and they are not sent. I have a variety to part with. I have got the few "Transactions" I wanted, and have now a duplicate of the History of the Royal Society, and of a complete set of the "Transactions." I sent my Lord Keeper lately two small presents which concern his family. One was a short Journal of the famous Siege of the Busse in 1629. In the last attack in which the town surrendered, and which was the only one in which there was hot service, Sir Simon Harcourt (his grandfather as I take it) commanded, and came off with great honour. The other is an account of Guiana, dedicated to Prince Charles in 1613, by Robert Harcourt, esquire, of Staunton Harcourt, who had a patent for it from King James, and attempted a settlement there.

There are among the letters some from the Duchess of Buckingham to her husband, which I would humbly beg leave to recommend to my Lady Dupplin's imitation, when she has occasion to write to my Lord.

Bring down Barnard's Catalogue with you, with the prices marked. Forget not my godfather's snuff.

1711, April 16.—I know there is a great intimacy between old Craggs and the S[ecretary St. John]. The S. thinks he

has always been able to get secrets out of old Craggs, though I am afraid the contrary is true. For his sake he would do anything for the son, who is a very idle silly vain young fellow as you would be satisfied by some stories I could tell you. But I should be sorry to hear Stanyan was sent to Berlin, he would be more improper there at this juncture too, when the Queen is recommending episcopacy and the liturgy to that King, than at any other. He is one who, when he was in England, endeavoured to recommend himself by professing to be a downright atheist. You tell me in yours, *that the Archbishop is much since these last two days*, but you leave me at a loss to know whether you mean better or worse. Your friend Sir Henry Ashurst is dead. I know no one that will be so much lamented, except the good man at Lambeth, if he should go. Sir Henry sent for Ludwell; when Ludwell had written his prescription, he desired to be carried home again, but Sir Henry would not let him have the coach to go home, till he had heard Sir Henry sing a psalm, and a good man that was there pray extempore by Sir Henry's bed for an hour. The next time Ludwell was sent for he would not go.

1711, April 21.—I should be glad to have notice of your health, though I cannot of your coming. I hope you do not stay to see the Sub-Dean at the election, that will be no new sight. The late Dean, in the last vacancy, made the election as Sub-Dean, and after all the noise that has been about keeping this place vacant, it has not been void yet so long as it was the last time. Massey left the College in the night on St. Andrew's eve. The late Dean went up to town as soon as the new roll of officers had been fixed up on Christmas day; he returned not to the College till the night before he came to be installed on the 17th of the next June. During all that time, the College was not only without a Dean, but a Sub-Dean too.

I heartily wish the session of Parliament were over for the sake of the Convocation. I am satisfied the bishops will not at present fall in with the Lower House to do any thing that is proper for them, but I hear the country clergy go off very fast. I wish the number do not grow so small, that the other side be the majority. Should that happen, the other party may not only hinder any good, but lay hold of that opportunity to do some mischief. This place affords no news, we expect that from you, especially upon this news of the Emperor's death. It is very unseasonable at the opening of the campaign, I should be glad to know your thoughts of it. I wish the retarding of a peace may be the least evil consequence of it.

Postscript. I told Lord Dupplin that I am afraid Mills' Testament in the large paper is not to be had. One was bought lately of a private gentleman, but six guineas were given for it. I have taken care to secure for each of you a copy of the "New Year's Gift" in large paper; I think only one hundred and fifty were printed, and they will be sold only in private, not publicly by any bookseller.

1711, June 9.—I hope you are safe at home, though it is nine o'clock and I have yet no notice, nor must I expect any I am afraid to-night. I have missed you much every evening since you left me, the want of you is more uneasy to me, because I thought myself so sure of you till the opening of a new session, and I begin to suspect now that I shall see you no more, at least not much otherwise than as a traveller, for two or three days. But you employ my thoughts more now than when you were here, and I shall trouble you with some of them when I hear how you are disposed of, whether you return to town, as I am much afraid, after your election, or stop here for sometime, as I would fain wish.

I was fast asleep for some hours after you were gone, indeed you were in bed some hours before me, for my guests were dusty and thirsty and stayed not to hear, but to cool themselves with liquor. Did not you think Mr. Chetwynd talked with the air of one who expected to be dismissed? Mr. King took him off from me at the proper season, when he was very willing to have entered into politics.

I have other guests now in town, my Lord Digby and Sir Charles Holt, you have lost a visit by being absent when my Lord Digby is here. I ventured to open your news letter and Mr. Thomas's, I return you Mr. Haye's; another letter which came yesterday by the courier was sent in your box by the coach this morning, the waggon was so loaded that it could not take the box that has the livery, it shall go by the next.

I have read the preamble designed for the Lord Keeper's patent; I think I may say of it *Est quod amputes?* I thought your father's not full enough. Here is in this somewhat that may be spared, I should think, I cannot say how right my notion is, that no virtues should be taken notice of in the preamble to such an instrument, but those which regard the public and by which he has merited of it.

I am told the archbishop is not so well as he would be thought, that he is not able to go abroad yet.

Postscript. Since I wrote yours is come in. I thank you heartily for it. I find I guessed right of my guest Mr. Chetwynd, I suppose he took his journey now on purpose to be absent when he was to be cashiered. I think I told you before you left me that Delaune's Headship, as well as his other preferments, was now under sequestration; but a worse thing breaks out, he had gotten 2,000*l.* of Holloway who was Coggs' partner, which is now reckoned by Coggs' creditors amongst the desperate debts, as well it may.

1711, June 11.—I thank you for the favour of yours, but I should have been more obliged to your Lordship if you had executed your intention of writing me a longer letter. Deny yourself for half a dozen minutes to those who came to make their court to you, and bestow them upon an old friend; they will be employed at least as profitably this way as in the other. I beg your pardon for presuming to rebuke you now, but I have a little good news to make my excuse; I have stole for you, that

which you wanted so much, an Epictetus in the large paper, it is extremely well bound too. I looked in your study this afternoon for those pieces which you have of Xenophon, but could not meet with them, I think it is the Agesilaus which you want.

You tell me not how you are like to be disposed of; whether you go to the country or no? or whether,—which I am most concerned to know—I may hope you will stay there some time as you return from your election.

I had last night a great honour, I received from my Lord Keeper's chaplain, by his Lordship's order I suppose, the preamble that is designed for his patent, as I had before his Lordship's speech in the Exchequer to the Lord Treasurer. If this fit of kindness hold, I shall be put I am afraid to exercise my self denial, by the offer of the next prebend in his Lordship's gift.

Mr. Laughton and Mr. Stuart spent the evening with me, and I believe you think we remembered you.

Pray what opinion have you in town of the sailing of the Brest squadron so much superior to ours, on the same day, as "Dyer" says, that ours set sail? We are alarmed here, and in great pain for our ships and our forces too. Pray afford me a few more lines, being known to have the honour of your correspondence I am supposed to be furnished with the earliest and surest intelligence. I have a levee every morning to enquire what news I have from my Lord Harley; if I say I have none, they wont believe me, but think it ill nature in me, or at the best great reserve.

1711, June 14.—I see already what I am to expect, not only to lose you, but to be forgotten by you. I depended not only upon a letter, but a long one too last night, when you had excused yourself the post before for writing one so short. But I hear where you were, but you left your burgundy and champagne soon enough for the post, and did not drink so much of it as to disable you for writing. I hope you told Decker that you are to return to me, or there will be a drawback upon the burgundy when it comes. I suppose your Lordship is now above the dispensation of a poor pint of wine and water and dry bread and cheese for your evening repast. *Alia vita alios mores postulat*, but I must tell you a few of my own thoughts, before I take my leave of you, when you let me know how you are to be disposed of, whether you now fix in town, or go first to the country.

I have services and congratulations to your Lordship from your humble servant John Drummond and his and my lady. He tells me he is preparing a cargo for your father, and I am afraid will presume without my knowledge to thrust amongst it a little parcel for me; if he should, I must make interest to you to take care of it.

1711, June 16.—I must now tell you somewhat that nearly concerns you. I hear your father before he had a Staff was ready to sink, and had mortgaged his whole estate to the Duke of

Newcastle. But to make you some amends for this sad news, that he designs now to pay off the mortgage by marrying you to his daughter. I heartily wish the story may not be all of a piece, but this has been given out here. I cannot say it was by the same person who made remarks on the patent, but he who gave me the account of that told me this too, though he would not name from whom he had this, but it certainly came from some of that gang, if not from the same person.

I shall not be surprised at any thing I hear of Lord [Carteret?] you know what I have prophesied of him for this last two years. There can be no security of any thing trusted to that other gentleman. Anyone that will think him up to his pitch may have anything out of him. When he is in that condition he is less retentive in his head than in his tail.

Here is a visitation at University college, one of the fellows has appealed against the Master for turning him out before his year of grace was expired. All the Doctors of Divinity in the University are the visitors, I am now to sit in judgment upon the Master, and I will attend him.

1711, June 19.—I have sent your Lordship the proposals for printing the second volume of Spanheim *De usu Numismatum*. Your Lordship I think has not the first, you will perceive by the proposals, that you may have both and in what paper you please. I believe your Lordship and Lord Dupplin too will think fit to have the book; if you should desire it in the small paper, pray come in with me to make a set, and then we may have the advantage of a seventh amongst us.

Tom Rowney came to me the other day to tell me we had a Dean, but not as he said to give me joy of it. He had a letter dated on Thursday from my Lord Keeper's family, to tell him the Prolocutor [Atterbury] had that day been declared Dean of Christ Church. I know not the meaning of such news; if the policy was in expectation of hearing of great joy upon it, they were much disappointed. I could not but smile. He was angry I would not believe his intelligence, but I could not but think, that in a point in which I have some concern, I should have had as good intelligence from your Lordship as he could have had from the other place.

The great living of St. Mary's in Reading is void, it is worth above 300*l.* per annum, in the Keeper's gift. It was pressed upon Blechingden; he declined it, having too much the spirit of a gentleman to deal in any thing but dignities. Afterward he offered to keep it till the President was translated to a bishopric in Ireland, that he might resign it then to Archer, on condition that he should make over his interest in the College to him for it. This was the first plan, but I hear there is some alteration in it now, and that Blechingden absolutely declines it, but I know not to whom it will be disposed.

1711, June 20.—I must ask you how you do, though I have nothing else to trouble you with. I hear my Lord Keeper is to

be at Cockrop as this day. He stays only till Monday. I know not whether it will be proper to wait on him when he makes so short a stay. At least I think in good manners I ought to wait till I hear whether he is willing to admit of a visit. I had a very welcome letter last night from my brother Gastrell, he tells me I may expect to see your Lordship here in your way to Brampton. Pray contrive your journey so if you can, that you may spend an evening here. If you come in here, without baiting by the way, at three or four, we may have some hours together, and you may be in bed and up again as early as you please. Dr. Grabe is very desirous to know whether he may meet with you in town a week hence, he designs if you are in town when he returns to wait on you and my Lord Dupplin with the Alexandrian manuscripts.

1711, June 25.—Your Lordship judged very right when you thought I should not be insensible of the honour that was designed me, but your Lordship might have continued your correspondence till I had begun to break it off. I am just returned home, and find neither any letter from your Lordship nor the news letter. I was in hopes to have had notice last night, to expect your Lordship and my Lord Dupplin here tomorrow. On Friday night Mr. Rowney and I were desired by a special messenger to come over to Cockrop next day; we thought to have returned in the evening, but his Lordship laid a very kind embargo on us, and obliged us to send home for linen. On Sunday in the evening we offered to take our leave again, but were not permitted to go till this morning. His Lordship was very easy and allowed us more freedom than we would take, though I know not whether we did not take more than we should have done. We were very cheerful, I pleaded for Mr. Rowney's case and he mine. I told his Lordship I hoped he would now remember Tom's long and faithful service to him. Mr. Rowney put his Lordship in mind that he had promised me before him, that when his servant Blechingden was provided for, I was to have the refusal of what was in the gift of the Seal. His Lordship was very merry with us both upon our several pretensions, but methoughts he was a little deaf too as well as somewhat blind. No one was with us but Sir John Stonehouse and Mr. Harcourt. His Lordship has promised me to bring the Great Seal to Christ Church, if I could prevail with my Lord Treasurer to meet him there with the staff. I owned to him that would be a very impudent request indeed, but that however I would try by you what I could do to obtain an honour that had never been bestowed upon a poor Canon, since the institution of such societies as ours are. The young gentleman was, as he always is, much upon the reserve before his father, and would not oblige us with any of his politics. He was very sweet to me. Father and son, I find, have both a design to deprive me of you, and concur in opinion that it is by no means proper for your Lordship to return to me any more. They think your Lordship has been brought up too long in the shade, that

you are unacquainted with the world, and ought to be brought into it as soon as possible. I made the best excuses I could for your Lordship's bashfulness, it had been reckoned a virtue in the days of our ancestors, though I could not but own but it was not very common at present in those of your Lordship's age and quality. I know not indeed whether your Lordship is master of so much address as Mr. Harcourt has gained from his travels, but you have one quality fit for a man of business in a greater degree than he has; I had some secrets from him, that I could not get from you. He told me some dismal stories of my old friend Mr. St. John. I was amused that he should tell them, and to me especially, but I soon perceived that there is a personal pique in the case. There is a coldness between that unhappy [man] and his father that has happened of late, and though I know not the cause, I am convinced that the Lord Keeper is as much dissatisfied with him as your father has reason to be. This was new to me, for I thought there had been an intelligence there that could not have been broken. His Lordship was pleased with a smile to enquire if we had a Dean yet. I cannot be wanting on this occasion to my own pretensions, his Lordship having when I was in town engaged to me that I should be so within a year, I think it is not worth while to make any other for the little remainder that is yet unexpired. If I mistake not much, he is not so hot upon that scent as he was. I have given you some account of our conversation, and would give you more if the post would allow me longer time.

1711, June 26.—The post cut me short yesterday, or I should have given you some further account of my conversation at Cockrop. His Lordship did me the honour to talk with me about the great living now void at Reading, he seemed to have some thoughts of offering it to my brother Gastrell, but I question whether he has a constitution to endure the labour that will be necessary in so large a parish. He mentioned the Master of Balliol as one who had been recommended to him, and that could be by no one but the Prolocutor. He then talked of Dr. Tonke and Mr. Hinton. I find he is not yet determined. He was pleased to impart to me a project he said he had been thinking of, to annex four prebends of those in the gift of the Seal to four of our poorest headships. That would be very gracious indeed, and welcome to those who were to have them, but I suppose it is not yet ripe, and may be thought of for some time longer before it is resolved on, but the very rumour of such a thing may be of use to recommend Mr. H[arcourt] to this place, especially to all those colleges who could have hopes of being of the number to whom such a benefaction was to be annexed.

I gave you an account of what the young gentleman said of Mr. St. John. The more I reflect on it, the more I am surprised at it. I believe he was in earnest and spoke his father's sentiments. If it was thrown out—though I do not think it was—with a design to lead me into a discourse of him, he was disappointed, for as he broke into the discourse without any inquiry

from me to bring it on, so I heard it without replying to it.

Have you been wanting to return any civilities that have been paid to you, because both father as well as the son complained, though not in any unkind manner, that you knew nothing of the world? I ask the rather because of a very ridiculous odd story which is got into the College, but I cannot find from whom it came, that when the Lord Keeper complimented you upon your honour, you asked when you should return the compliment to him, and that he replied to you, the Lord of Oxford knows. This was told me last night by John Urry, but I cannot hear or imagine whence so silly a story had its rise.

1711, June 28.—Since your journey into the country is delayed so long, I regret my having parted with you. Why could not you have passed these three weeks with me, and have been called on here by your fellow travellers? Is the town more entertaining than any other place at this season? If your uncle's business still detains him, why should not you come hither in the mean time, and let your uncle call on you when he is at liberty to go? I know your uncle must be much distracted with the variety of business he has, and may possibly not think of our little affair amongst so many of more importance, but I beg you would put him in mind of giving some orders about it before he leaves the town. We have an impudent fellow to deal with, we have a debenture for our money, it appeared by the accounts we had made up with Auditor Jett before Easter that he had money enough in his hands to answer our debenture, and yet we cannot get it. Surely there may be some way of dealing with such a knave.

I have a very proper present for your Lordship. I know your love of antiquities makes you a little superstitious. I have an elder stick, that was cut in the minute that the sun entered Taurus. Such a planetary cutting of it gives it a virtue to stop bleeding to which you know you are subject. If you desire to know more of the time and manner of cutting it, of its virtues, and of the manner of applying it, you must consult Aubrey's *Miscellanies*. You may meet with it without doubt amongst your father's collection of mad books.

The Speaker was pleased to give me notice last night that he was going to the country. Must not we direct to any Privy Councillor 'Right Honourable,' pray tell me in your next?

1711, June 29.—I have just now the sad news that poor Dr. Hutton died at Aynho on Wednesday night of an apoplexy; he was well at nine o'clock, read prayers to his family and went to bed, and was dead before eleven. I regret his loss most heartily on many accounts. I have lost a worthy friend, the church has lost one who by his life and learning was truly an ornament to it; there is not any one, that I know, that had a more sincere respect, esteem and love too, for your father. These sudden deaths have been more frequent of late than they ever were known. I pray God preserve us from them, or prepare us for

them, but I beg of you by all the interest I can pretend to in you not to dally with yourself, as you are used to do when you are out of order, and refuse to lose a little blood. I am as often as I think of you, which is not seldom, in pain, lest your obstinacy in this point should at some time or other bring some fatal stroke upon you.

1711, June 30.—I hope you have received the things you wrote for; I am afraid by your sending for them that I shall not see you in your way to Radnor, which will be no little disappointment to me. You cannot save above five miles by any way that you can pass by me.

I know not that Philips you mention, I never saw but I have heard much of him. Mr. Harcourt is in the utmost rage against him. I chanced once when I was at Bucklebury, to give Mr. Harcourt a gentle hint of what he had done abroad; he flew into a passion, and said I believed all the lies that rogue Philips had told of him. Mr. Drummond recommended Mr. Harcourt to Mr. Forrester, a very ingenious and I think an honest man, who had then the care of Sir Richard Grosvenor. Mr. Forrester being to leave Mr. Harcourt in some place in Italy recommended him to this Philips. Forrester told me that Philips had been very serviceable and kind to Mr. Harcourt, and been very ill used by him. Mr. Harcourt afterwards had great quarrels with Forrester. Forrester had designed at his return to practise the law, and was afraid misrepresentations might be made to Sir Simon of what had passed, which might be of prejudice to him in his profession. I advised him to wait on Sir Simon and to give him a true account of every thing. He did so, and Sir Simon then pretended to thank him. It is news to me that Sir Simon should have bought Philips's silence; I think he had better have let him say what he could, if he had been disposed to tell tales. The money given will be an argument for the truth of any thing he tells, if he should not be so honest as to hold his tongue. The chief thing about which they first quarrelled, was upon Mr. Harcourt's drinking a health in all companies to a young person abroad, and saying that he knew enough who were for him and able to bring him in, and that he was sure his father was in that interest. When this was told Sir Simon he said his son might have called him a Mohammetan as well as a Jacobite. This is all I know of it, and let it not go abroad. Mr. Stawell sends me word that Mr. ——— is dangerously ill; I hope, by your silence, that Mr. Stawell was misinformed. Give me notice of your motions. If I must not expect you here, I intend in the assize week, to avoid that hurry, to make a visit for two or three days to the poor widow at Bucklebury.

1711, July 2.—It is somewhat hard that you will not call on me when you pass so near. Would your calling here have retarded your journey, you might have had some pretence to excuse yourself, but had you contrived your journey so as to have

lain here, you would not have lost one moment. I know not how my Lord Dupplin can disengage himself from the promise he so often has made me, that he [would] never go to Herefordshire without calling at Oxford. I suppose all the blame must be laid upon Mr. Auditor. You pretend to be under his direction, but I have observed that the youngest in the company are for the most part the governors.

If this should not find you in town, I suppose it will follow you. I hope you will let me hear from you when you are in the country, and let me know how to direct to you.

I had last night a very great honour, I received a warrant for a buck from my Lord Bishop of Winchester; within, a letter, a most kind one, all of his own inditing. I was amused at it, and can account for it no otherwise than that it is at the desire of the good Colonel. It is very kind of him, if it was, to remember his old acquaintance at this distance, but his Lordship had forgotten to sign the warrant. I have returned it to-day to be signed, but when I have it again, I am afraid I shall have no benefit of his Lordship's intention, for they have refused for this last year to serve any of his warrants in Whichwood Forest. His Lordship was pleased to tell me that he had employed George Clark to agree with Gibbons for a statute for Cardinal Wolsey, and that Gibbons had sent to have the measure of the niche. I suppose his Lordship means that over the great gate towards the street. We will get the statue, and we will then find a place for it, but I am afraid we dare not put any statue in that place but Henry the Eighth when we can get one.

1711, July 7.—The Bishop of Winchester has agreed with Gibbons for one hundred guineas for Wolsey's statue in marble; it is to be placed in the niche that is in the passage to the hall stairs. I have by this post sent up the dimensions of the niche.

Had not your Lordship of me *Aristeneti Epistole*? I think your Lordship had, but yet I cannot find it among your books, there were two of them in Bernard's auction, each sold for 2*l.* a piece. A prodigious price for so small a book. Those in Bernard's auction are said to be printed at Paris 1596, but I believe it is a mistake, mine is a very fair one of Plantin's edition 1566, and I never heard of any other edition. Upon looking over the catalogue, I find I have most of the books which sold at such extravagant prices; I believe I should part with mine if I could have so good a price for them.

I hope the answer to this will come to me franked 'Harley,' and give me notice that within a week I may expect to see you here. I can allow you one week to converse with your dogs and to hunt, and I think that allowance is fair enough, but I am afraid now you are a Senator you will pretend to be *tui juris*, and not allow me to prescribe your time to you.

Postscript. I have written to secure Dr. Hutton's manuscripts if they are to be parted with.

1711, July 18.—I thank you for the honour of yours, I allow it to be a very reasonable letter for one so much engaged as your Lordship must be at this time. If your Lordship has not that book, I have one of them I will desire you to accept of when I see you. The print is beautiful, it is nothing but love letters, not always so decent as they should be, had they been in a tongue more understood than Greek is. The matter of the book and the variety of it, is that I suppose which raises the price of it, I am sure it cannot be the intrinsic worth of it.

Archer and Tilly preached the Act sermons. Tilly preached in the afternoon, his whole sermon was a panegyric upon Sacheverell and railing at those who had not preferred him. He did not forget himself, but did Dr. Sacheverell the honour to associate himself with him in the merit of saving the nation. *We have stood in the gap and others run away with the preferences.* He plainly pointed out Lancaster and abused him. Lancaster was at church and Sacheverell too, which gave occasion to suspect that this was preached by concert with Sacheverell. But the author was resolved that more than the few persons present should enjoy the benefit of it, and designed to print it, but with much ado Dr. Sacheverell was made to understand, that it might not be for his interest that it should appear, and by Dr. Sacheverell's entreaties, though he could not by any other motive, the author is prevailed with not to print at least at this time.

Mrs. Hutton is left sole executrix to her husband, she is willing to part with his collections to your father, but I perceive she will expect a good price for them.

It must be a round sum indeed that can ever prevail with me to consent that you should mix with such blood. I think no consideration of fortune can make amends either to yourself, for the uneasiness you would have with one of such manners as those of her education often have, or to your family, by corrupting it by mixing with such a strain.

I beg the lady's pardon, she may be a little angel for aught I know. No rule to which there is not an exception, but I suppose there is no danger. The father, though rich, will hardly bid high enough. I cannot think you would sell yourself, now you have the whole female part of the nation out of which you may pick and choose as you please, under 100,000*l*.

My brother Gastrell is come with bag and baggage. Charles King is with me, but we want you to make our society complete. I believe you may find him here when you return.

I have compliments to you from Mr. Drummond and his lady, they design to be in England in the beginning of August.

I was last week to pay a visit, as I told you, to a poor disconsolate lady [Mrs. St. John] in Berkshire. I met nothing there but sorrow and disorder. That unfortunate gentleman is more irregular, if possible, in his private than [public] capacities. A sad instance to all young gentlemen of quality, how the greatest parts and expectations may be made useless and be disappointed by the folly of vice—the only way in which that unhappy gentleman will ever be of any use in the world.

1711, July 27.—I was struck indeed with the death of the great man [the Duke of Newcastle]; it seems to have crossed a project that I well hoped had been pointed out by Providence to establish your family at once, and to leave your father entirely at liberty to pursue his own designs for the public. I know not whether your father can have as good an interest in the Lady as he had in the Lord. If he could it would be worth while still to pursue it. Though the young lady is now less by some thousands per annum than she was before supposed to have, she is still the greatest fortune in the nation. What a prodigious fortune is fallen to the Pelhams! I am afraid it will be employed to worse uses now than it was before.

I may give you joy, I hope, of some little comfort under this great disappointment. I hear from all hands that you will soon have occasion to be chosen again. We have made you Teller of the Exchequer, I heartily wish it may be so, I think it is a very proper post for you. It is time for your father to think a little of his domestic concerns, it will not only be prudence, but strictly his duty to raise his estate so far that it may with credit support his honour.

We are afraid we shall suffer a loss here, that we shall sensibly feel. My Lord Carteret upon the accession he expects from the Bath estate, is going to live with his mother and to set up a family. He has by Dr. Freind endeavoured to inveigle Mr. Herbert from us, to live with him. Dr. Freind has not done well in it, the poor young man was engaged before I had the least notice of it. The proposals are 40*l.* per annum, kind usage, and the reversion of a parsonage worth 300*l.* per annum, on which there is a sickly incumbent, and which is in that part of the Bath estate which my Lord expects will fall to his share. I think the proposals are by no means an equivalent for the certainty he must quit and the fair expectations he has if he stays here, and the poor youth little knows what it is to give up his liberty and depend entirely upon any one, though he were more generous and of a fairer temper than I am afraid that Lord will be. I have done what I could to make him sensible that the conditions are not worth his accepting, and the way of living will by no means be so agreeable as he seems to hope it will; but he seems much inclined to it, and I am afraid will accept of it, though some others will endeavour to dissuade him.

1711, July 30.—I have had a letter from the Speaker, which I want you to explain to me, he complains mightily to me of the practices of some—whom he names not—on whose friendship the Speaker thought your father might have depended. I reserve the letter for you, I cannot guess whom he means. I have desired him to enlighten me a little. I know your father has reason to be dissatisfied with a young friend, but he is not the person meant; he may not behave as he ought to do to him, but he cannot be considerable enough, by his practices, to obstruct or disturb your father.

I expect Mr. Drummond will be in London within a week, he leaves his wife in England and returns himself by September to Amsterdam. He insists with me to see him before he returns, I shall go for three or four days and no more. I can be punctual to my resolutions in such a point, but I shall not stir till I see your Lordship here; and if he be come to England before your Lordship returns, if you will give me leave, I believe I shall wait on you to town, for I begin to suspect, nay I more than suspect, that you will only pass through and not make any stay with us.

Dr. Blechingden told me this evening that my Lord Keeper with his family came to Cockrop last night; his Lordship desires to be *incognito* for the first week. I send my compliments by Thomas to-morrow. I hear some good news, Mr. Harcourt told Dr. Blechingden that my Lord Dupplin is Teller, I beg you would pay my most hearty compliments to my Lord upon it. I daresay you are as well pleased as if yourself had been named to it.

I perceive you have parted with your servant, he is come to Oxford; he says you were very kind to him, and promised to recommend him, if any of your friends wanted such a servant.

Has not the Teller several clerks in his office, I mean under clerks worth about 50*l.* per annum or so? Had you been Teller I had a design on you for one I had formerly recommended to your charity. If there are such places and should be any vacancies, you must assist me in behalf of that poor man; but perhaps my Lord's countrymen will expect he should employ none but of his own nation.

I believe you are weary of company you are so little fit for, but these uneasinesses will induce your Lordship to make reflections on human life, that there is no state of it that is wholly free from some alloy, that may admonish us not to be over fond of it. You who have the chief stations in the world have encumbrances which attend your greatness, that inferior mortals are strangers to. When I see you again I shall accost you with Evander's compliment to Æneas:

*Aude Hospes contemnere opes et Ti quoque dignum
Finge Deo.*

Mr. Cowley says this was a greater compliment than ever was made in the Louvre or Escorial. Can your Lordship relish it, now you have had a taste of the word?

1711, August 10.—The enclosed is the best account I can get of Dr. Hutton's manuscripts, I desire your farther directions about them. If your father desires a sight of them, I will borrow them and send them to you. I waited yesterday on my Lord Keeper and was graciously received. I am sorry I must condole with you for a disappointment you have had. Lord Keeper said that if the Duke of Newcastle had lived your Lordship would have had his daughter and his estate. I know no one on whom both of them could have been so well bestowed,

if he had any design of bestowing either of them well, but I hope Providence has in reserve for your Lordship some thing to make up this loss, if it was one to you. His Lordship was very easy, but nothing of news of our Deanery, except that he wished a bishopric would (*sic*), but not one of the ordinary ones, for that would not do he said. I told your Lordship of a letter I had receiyed; in a late order made about Dr. Hannes' estate, the money left to us was ordered to be paid to the *Treasurer* of Christ Church. I understood that they had inserted in the minutes *Dean and Chapter* and that one of the executors designed to take advantage of those words to delay the payment of the money. I applied to his Lordship to desire he would command his own order to be observed. He answered me by Mr. Harcourt, to whom he dictated, that he had sent, if it was not too late, to have the mistake rectified; but that if it was too late, and we found the objection should stick with the executors, my Lord Treasurer when I represented to him the inconvenience we suffered would instantly remove it. I believe those words in the order had been altered on purpose to have a plea to press for the supplying of this place.

Decker writes me word that he has found my wine and will take care of it. I will give your Lordship no trouble about it.

Postscript. Here was a story about a riot in which Lord Gore was concerned and a man killed. The story was carried to London and to Windsor. Upon enquiry I find there was very little ground for it. A saucy fellow was beaten indeed, but not hurt, and no more beaten, by what I hear, than he well deserved.

This should have waited on your Lordship on Saturday, but my servant was too late for Friday's post. I have nothing to add but that my brother Gastrell has discoursed me, as my Lord Treasurer was pleased to order, what plate it might be fit for your Lordship to give when you leave us, but I immediately told him, what he says was his own opinion, that there can be no occasion to consider of that, there can be no expectations of that kind from your Lordship. It is not very agreeable to me to talk of any thing that implies you are about to leave us.

I must tell you that I am in full favour with my Lord Keeper, for he has promised to dine with me before he returns to his winter quarters, and to bring his whole family along with him. I know not how I shall do to support the envy here of such an honour; all our Heads will conclude me to be next upon his Lordship's roll.

Dr. Pelling is with me, and will stay here I hope some time. I expect Mr. Jennings and Mr. Nelson next week; these are some little reliefs to me under the disappointment I have had from your Lordship.

We hear Sartre cannot last long, I hope Dr. Freind will succeed him, and that use will be made of it to engage him to keep the school, which betwixt ourselves I am afraid he has no design of keeping but till his house at Witney is fit for him.

1711, August 13.—There has been lately a very handsome offer made to Dr. Smalridge, and one that would have been very convenient for him, should [it] be his and our fortune for him to be settled here. Mr. Cartwright offered him the parsonage of Aynho; it is worth 250*l.*, a pleasant place and a good house, but there was one condition which would not allow Dr. Smalridge to accept it. Mr. Cartwright expected that whoever accepted should reside constantly in winter as well as in summer. I cannot blame Mr. Cartwright for insisting on so reasonable a thing, in a living of such value, which he bestows with great honour. But Dr. Smalridge must then have quitted a more profitable post, though not a more easy one, for it, and that his family would not allow of. It has since been offered to another worthy man, Mr. Edgely, Convocation man for Surrey, who lives [with] Mr. Hewer at Clapham, the gentleman who was executor to Mr. Pepys and had all his manuscripts and curiosities. But the expectation Mr. Edgely has from Mr. Hewer, whose niece he married, would not allow him to accept of it on Mr. Cartwright's terms.

I am afraid I have caught my Lord Keeper in a trap, I believe I shall find in a few days that the order which he made in Court was certainly altered by concert betwixt his Lordship and the Prolocutor, that his Lordship might have a pretence to insist for the supplying of this place, because we could not without a Dean receive the money due to us. He seemed surprised that I had notice how the first order was, and of the alteration that had since been made in it; he promised that his first order should be observed, if the order was not signed before his letter could reach London. Now the order is not yet signed. His Lordship when I was with him would not enter on the discourse, but turned it off when I mentioned it to him, and I have notice last night from town, that the order will go at last with the words *Dean and Chapter*, notwithstanding his Lordship's late promise to me that it should not. If it does pass so, I shall be convinced that I was right in my suspicion; but should it be so, this will be playing at very small game. For to tell you the truth, I applied to him only to find out whether this was not concerted between him and the Prolocutor, and not for any occasion that we have for the money, for I have more now in my hands than will answer all due by our agreements with the workmen till next midsummer.

1711, August 14.—Your travels must be very short if you go abroad at this season, I conclude you must be at home again against the meeting of the Parliament. There is one thing indeed you may see now, which you will not have an opportunity of seeing probably at any other time, the Coronation of the Emperor, and perhaps you will have no other leisure but this in your life for such an excursion, for I hope affairs of greater importance to you and your family, before the next year, will not allow you any such thoughts.

We have a strong report here that Woodroffe is dead. Christ Church is your own, under your protection, it belongs to you to

answer for all that come in to any vacancies here, and if we endeavour to do our duties and can be of any service to our country, I hope God will remember it in blessings to you and your family. If you are not under any other engagements or inclinations, you may perhaps have an opportunity of doing an action as generous in itself, and of as great use to this place, as ever was done. There is one you know well, beyond Snowden Hill, who is of too great value to be buried in that obscurity, and who ought to be transplanted into a more southern climate, but who yet is of so peculiar a temper that we can never hope to see him here, unless he is forced to it by such a call which, for being without his knowledge, he would look upon to be God's providence. I find my acquaintance Dr. Blechingden is very eager upon the scent, he was to enquire news of Woodroffe of me. I was abroad. He left a note to desire intelligence, if I had any. Woodroffe's living in London is in the gift of the Seals. It is one of the smallest in compass and greatest in revenue of any within the walls. By houses lately fallen in, which are not yet leased out, it may be made as it is said worth 400*l. per annum*. Blechingden has no design on this for himself, that is too mechanical a part of our profession for so fine a gentleman to deal with, but he certainly aims to get some very good prebend, Windsor I believe if he can, in exchange for it, and to make such a composition for this as he would have done for Reading.

Oakely preached at St. Mary's last Sunday. He prayed for your father. "*Spartam quam nactus est hanc ornet.*" He must be confined to his Orientals.

The Bishop of Bristol [Robinson] is in town. I paid my compliments to him and desired the honour of seeing him here; he said he must return to Hasely to-night, or he would have seen (*sic*). He dines upon invitation at Queen's; those Northern men have good noses.

1711, August 15. Christ Church.—I had last night a long letter from the Speaker, who has sent for his son into the country. He tells me he hears the Bishop of Worcester is grown a child, and weak in body as well as in mind. But that is not the thing I chiefly designed to give your Lordship notice of; I find he is much concerned both about his chaplain and his brother Mr. Stawell, and says it is a month since he wrote to my Lord Treasurer about his brother, but had never yet had the honour to hear from his Lordship. I thought it might not be improper to let you know this, I have written to him what I could judge proper to-day by his son.

Postscript. I perceive the place which the Speaker had hoped for for Mr. Stawell was that he should be one of those sent to Spain.

1711, August 15.—It proves as I expected, the decree for Dr. Hannes' estate was not drawn up till last Saturday, and was not signed I believe till Monday. The words *Dean and Chapter*

were continued, though his Lordship had promised me his first order should be observed, and though I had put his Lordship in mind of his promise when I waited on him on Thursday last. But I have another very material circumstance of this noble intrigue. The secretary, being asked why he had not observed his Lordship's orders in the minutes he took, said he had observed it, but that when he brought the minutes to his Lord, he ordered him to strike out *Treasurer* and insert *Dean and Chapter*, and said if there was not a Dean in a little time, the college might then move the Court, and he would order the money to be paid to the Treasurer. This I find to have been his own express order which, in answer to me when I applied to him, he said was the clerk's mistake. What paltry doings are here, to compass a Dean who may promote his own poor ends in this place, the greatest of which is to make son Sim Burgess for the university, which no Dean he can send, nor all the preferments in his gift, either can or shall compass. Sim's giving out those notorious lies at Windsor was for no other end but to furnish an argument for the necessity of a Dean, because of the extravagances that happened here. The thing that was done was not here but in a gentleman commoner's chamber of University College. Lord Gower was in the company but had the least hand in it, the person chiefly concerned was Skeiler of All Souls, who had certainly most of poor Lord Strathallan's money. The fellow who was beaten was one of those who had broke the windows of the room where the gentleman were sitting, he was so little hurt that he and all concerned in beating him appeared before Charlett as soon as it was done, and the fellow went straight to a coffee house and told how he had [been?] beaten. Lord Abingdon came next day to Dr. Hammond, and sent for Lord Gower, to assure him the fellow was dead and to offer to be his bail. Lord Gower told him he believed the fellow was then in the street very well, and upon going out they found him there.

I will endeavour to make Mrs. Hutton set a price on the manuscripts. You may certainly have them, if you are willing to pay for them. But if I could know so as not to take notice to her what the utmost is my Lord Treasurer is willing to give, I should be able better to bring her to terms.

1711, August 18.—This day the gentleman whom I employed to Mrs. Hutton came over to me; he said the indexes to all the volumes, upon an exacter search, are all near finished. There are thirty-two volumes now in the widow's possession, and three lent out, which she has called for in. In these books is the whole labour of that very industrious man for the last forty years of his life. I cannot tell how material all his observations and extracts may be, nor is it easy to judge of that. Good use may be made of things which seem trivial to those whose thoughts are employed on other subjects, but his exactness and fidelity may be depended on to the utmost, but I am afraid the widow expects too much for them. This gentleman says Kennett is very

earnest to have them. I suppose he is employed by some other person to buy them, by the price he offers. He has already bid above 100*l.* for them. The gentleman tells me he is afraid the widow will expect 200*l.* Let me know what my Lord Treasurer is willing to give, and I will endeavour to get them at the rate you desire. She will not part with them upon any terms, till I send her word that I have no more to say to them.

I cannot say that I am sorry to hear that your going abroad is likely to be deferred for three months, I wish it may be so for two months longer. I shall deliver up all my business here to my brother Gastrell at Christmas. Should not you go abroad till after that season, I believe I should see you safe on the other side of the water, but if you stay longer in England, why should you not spend your time here till the session of Parliament comes on? What diversion or employment can you have in town in this vacation? But I must tell you, that if you come here only to take leave of us, it will be expected, I find, that you should accept of your Master's degree at parting. It will cost you indeed twelve or fifteen guineas, but it has been usual for those of your Lordship's quality, who have lived with credit here, to receive that compliment at parting. But I find by the President of Corpus that it is expected from you, since the Vice-Chancellor had sent to compliment you with the offer of it, immediately upon your father's honour, and when it was thought you would have made some further stay with us I have other reasons too why I wish you should accept it.

I thank your Lordship for your account of that which passed at Windsor on Sunday last. My brother Burton, who returned last night from his waiting, though then at Windsor, had heard nothing of it. So incurious or so great philosophers can some be, on the scene of the greatest business. But how came those three persons you mention to go to make their complaints to the Queen? I suppose that Duke had taken no notice of them, since they were called into the Queen's service.

I fancy there is some ground for that the Speaker said, of the Bishop of Worcester's being very weak. My reason is, because the Bishop of Oxford is in great haste to speak with my Lord Keeper, and has sent that he may have immediate notice when he returns to Cockrop, that he may come to him, I suppose to concert measures for succeeding the old man.

1711, August 23.—I hope to hear you have kissed her Majesty's hand for somewhat more than the bare honour of kissing it. I wish you were with me or I with you for two or three days. To us at this distance the affairs at Court seem somewhat perplexed and embroiled, which we cannot account for, for want of a little light to clear the dark part of them. I pray God direct your father in the choice of those who are to be brought into the service. His high station, though it has augmented the number of those who attend his levée, has not I am afraid increased the number of his friends or lessened that of his enemies; but our circumstances are very unhappy. Though

God gives your father discernment to choose those who are most proper, yet we have none out of whom he can choose. His own merit must support not only himself, but those who are brought in by him. I believe it is plain of many, that he can so little expect any help from their character or abilities, that nothing but his own could bear them up. Not to say, that there may be want of faith in some, as well as of sense in others. It is unlucky too that at this juncture, they are not I am afraid at liberty to take the measures that would make this little part of the nation very easy. But we of this House are prepared for any event. I foresee indeed in one case what sort of interest will be chiefly cultivated here, and to whose use all that can be raised will be chiefly applied.

There is a lady now in London that had the honour to be gallanted by you, when she was here. Pray do not you take the opportunity of my absence to supplant me, but be so honest, since you have the whole female world before you to choose out of as you please, to leave to me my old mistress.

We are to have great doings here next week. The Lord Keeper comes to show himself in his greatness and to receive the compliments of this place. His Lady, son, daughter in law and the daughter's mother come too, and his Lordship designs to spend three or four days in this place. I know not yet where he designs to fix his quarters, whether with me, Tom Rowney, or at the President's lodgings of St. John's which are now empty, he being at Winchester. I shall certainly have them one day at dinner, but I cannot well lodge them. I can make but two beds, and one of those is now taken up by Dr. Pelling. I suppose now will be thrown out the design that has been much talked of of late, to annex four of the prebends in the gift of the Seal to four of our poorest Headships, and which I believe he designs as much as he does his own estate to build alms houses. You shall hear what passes.

Postscript. I believe I shall be able to get the [Hutton] manuscripts for 150*l.*, if you are willing to give so much for them. You need not fear, care will be taken that they will neither be sold nor embezzled.

1711, August 25.—I see in the Prints notice of a paper called the *Hermit*, a weekly paper from one retired from the world, I know not the contents of it, but I fancy the title not very improper for the letters I trouble you with. I am here almost in as great a solitude as any of the old anchorites were in the Egyptian deserts. The little conversation I have with the world I owe chiefly to you. Whenever my thoughts go into the world, it is when the remembrance of you calls them into it, and then they rest chiefly on you, as that part of the world for which I am most concerned. Can you in the gay scene in which you are ever think of your old hermitage? Can you, after the relish you have had of another life, be content to spend a week or two here? I should then be at ease, and think you may be trusted safely in the world, if I find that you can retire from it at any time without

regret. I am afraid you will think this more like a sermon than a letter, truly I was making one this morning, and I know not what influence that may have on my thoughts this evening. But I have much to say to you upon this great change in your life, on the first years of which all the comfort and honour of the remainder of it depends, but I reserve it till we meet, and I give you notice that you must bear with me. For be your station never so elevated, I shall always think I have a greater right to be concerned for you than any one but your own father. But to return to my cell, as humble as it is, two great men were pleased to take their breakfast in it this morning, Mr. Harcourt and Dr. Blechingden. We had a little mundane conversation, Mr. Harcourt was more communicative than you are (which is somewhat hard) of what passes at Windsor, and has told me who is to be Privy Seal, and our own Governor. But not one word of that which I chiefly expected, when I was to see the family here, which was odd, for Tom Rowney tells me they will certainly be here on Tuesday, males and females, and take up their quarters with me. I hope his Lordship does not design to surprise me; if he does, he must fare accordingly, and take up with that you were forced to submit to, when you were under the ascetic discipline, bread and cheese, and half a pint of wine to a pint of water. But how to behave to the content of the ladies I know not. I was but very indifferent at it in the gayer part of my life. My comfort is, that I believe a poor hermit may look on them, without suffering any thing from the sight in his spiritual estate.

Mr. Stawell has given me notice of his obligations to my Lord Treasurer. He will be here this week to take his leave of me, but whether as he goes to or returns from the Speaker I know not. Pray think if you have any commissions for him in any parts he is to go to.

I have this day received somewhat that may invite you, I had a parcel of books which I bought in the last auction in Holland. Some very good indeed, some very proper for the taste of a man of quality, that have nothing but the scarceness of the edition to give a value to them, and I am not yet old enough to leave off buying such baubles. But my burgundy is come along with them. Pray enter among your debts two dozen due to me, which I have lost by your not being here.

1711, August 28.—I find you are now grown a regular courtier, I doubt not but you have got the air of the place, and shine in it with a grace that becomes the only son of the greatest minister that ever was at the head of our affairs. I hope I shall hear no more complaints that you are unacquainted with the world, but shall be told that you [have] worn off the ungraceful habits you had contracted here, by the advantage of a more polite conversation. I doubt not but you receive the addresses which are usual to the son of the first minister. That which I want to know is how your Lordship is affected with them. I own to you, I either know the world or at least value it so little, that I should not be at all

displeased to hear you are so unpolished, and of so ill a taste, as not at all to be exalted with the court that is paid to you, or to think one jot the better of yourself for it.

I have enclosed the answer which I have just received about Dr. Hutton's manuscripts, you will see the widow still insists upon her first price, or would have any abatement of it looked on as an obligation. Be pleased to show it to my Lord Treasurer and to let me know his pleasure. You may be assured there will be no other bargain struck up, till I send her word I have no more to say to them.

Though I had not "Dyer" last post from your Lordship, yet I borrowed it, to see if there was any thing in it about our vacancies. I was not deceived in my expectation. My friend the Prolocutor had found the way to convey a paragraph once more to John Dyer. If you are at a loss to understand this. Master Cunningham can explain it to you. Tom Rowney came for his breakfast to me this morning. He told me Mr. Harcourt and Dr. Blechingden were with him on Sunday in the afternoon when "Dyer's letter" came in. Upon reading the last clause Mr. Harcourt fell into a great rapture, that the point was gained at last. Dr. Blechingden said he must now be Bishop of Oxford and then we have done. Tom made a little demur, and asked if he thought the Dean of Carlisle would have both the Deanery and Bishopric. Blechingden replied, it is his sole aim, he has set his heart upon having both, and then he will be quiet. Tom asked if he thought Oxford would be removed to Worcester. He said it must be for the Bishop of Oxford's sake, and to make way for the other. These projects are idle in themselves, and for purposes in which they will certainly be disappointed if I know any thing of this place and how the interest of it lies; one year will convince both my Governor himself, and those who have been so zealous to have him here, that he will never make that figure, or have that interest in it, it is proposed he should.

1711, August 29.—I beg my most humble duty and thanks to my Lord Treasurer, for the notice he has been pleased to give me by your Lordship of our new Governor [Atterbury]. The conferring of the Deanery of Carlisle, as well as this vacant canonry, on Dr. Smalridge, is a very peculiar mark of kindness and respect to him. But I dare answer for him that he would have been content with the Canonry only. Our new Governor will find his subjects in a better disposition to obedience than I believe he expects. We could indeed have been better pleased we must say with old Canon Gardiner, a Herefordshire man of whom you have heard me tell many stories. That we are content, but we could have been better content. I hope we shall have discretion enough, as well as honesty, to preserve peace amongst ourselves, if it be possible, though we shall never enter into some projects that are already on foot. But now this struggle is over, I tell you my serious opinion, that I believe our Dean himself, if he can be convinced of any thing, or at least will own that he is so, will be satisfied in a short

time that they were his truest friends who wished him in some other part of the world. I can have no reserve to your Lordship, because I know I am safe in you. I will now tell you that which would [not] have looked so fair to have told you, before this business was over. If he had not been bewitched with two things, the pride of carrying his point and the project of joining the diocese to the college, he himself would have desired to have had his lot in any other ground rather than in this. None but one blinded with passion would have desired to have come, where he knows so many stories of former failures are still fresh, and where no one can have the authority that is requisite to this place whose youth was not without blemish in it. But he was mad and would hear nothing from any friend he had. I have just now an account from one in whom he thought himself safe, to whom he came straight, when he had been with your father last week. He was in the utmost exultation, that he had carried his point, and that he had pinned down Dr. Smalridge to that he believed he cared not for; that his own and Dr. Smalridge's patents were then drawing, and Dr. Smalridge knew nothing of it; that it would be offered to him, without leaving him liberty of choice, and let him at his peril refuse it—by the bye I know not why he should think there was any hazard that Dr. Smalridge would be such a coxcomb; that he had staked his all upon the point, and that he was resolved to come hither to show he could not be hustled, though he were to stay here only half a year. I pity the poor man, he is in a proper disposition to manage so many and so different tempers as he will have to deal with, who has so little power over his own. Since it is over, as wise men I hope we shall look only upon the advantages that we shall have by it. Now I shall get my money for Peckwater, without being obliged to my honourable sincere friend my Lord Keeper for it, but I promise him I will never forget that very ingenious trick he played me. Now I hope to have a little business stirring in my own office, and to have the college seal employed again. It has grown rusty, I have ordered it to be new oiled. I cannot indeed tell your Lordship that our bell ropes have been worn since I had your letter, but that was the Sub-Dean's business to order and not mine. But I will acquit my good brother of any malice in the case, I was I own malicious enough, though I observed that he forgot it, not to put him in mind of it.

Mr. Stawell came to me last night from the Speaker. I find the Speaker is in very good humour again. I will transcribe the last paragraph of his letter *verbatim*. "I shall be very glad to have Lord Treasurer think rightly of me, I look upon it that we are embarked in the same bottom, engaged in the same interests, and I am therefore very sincerely and unfeignedly his humble servant and shall do my utmost—as far as I have any power to do it—to support his ministry, and I do hope that such reasonable care will be taken to satisfy and make our friends easy, that I may be able to serve him."

1711, August 30. Christchurch.—Your Lordship's goodness in excusing the freedom I took with you is alone sufficient, though I had no other reasons, to convince me that it was unnecessary. After my letter was gone I myself began to reflect on it, and was sensible that nothing but the truth of my concern could be an excuse for my impertinence. But we monks as well as the ladies are subject sometimes to vapours, and in many the cause of them is the same, and your converse in the world, that secures you from these irregular fits, gives you too freedom enough of spirit to make allowances for them in us that have them.

Your Lordship will perceive by my last, that I thought your Lordship had gone to Windsor on Saturday with my Lord Treasurer. That which you did me the favour to write to me last Saturday came not to me till yesterday, which is odd, since that which your Lordship wrote on Monday came safe on Tuesday. Your Lordship will perceive by the letter I enclosed what the widow Hutton still insists on. She may be beaten down I believe to 150*l*. I have told her my opinion that I think that more than she could have expected. The offer she has of other chapmen encourages her I suppose to insist on her first price. I must expect your Lordship's directions in it.

I beg your Lordship would be pleased to pay my most humble duty and thanks to my Lord Treasurer for the honour he was pleased to do me in your Lordship's letter. That which his Lordship was pleased to mention was indeed surprising, and cannot but be understood by all the clergy, as it is designed. The only doubt is, whether it be not too great instance of respect and kindness to us. The laity ever since the Reformation, in days better disposed to us than these are, have been very uneasy when any of our gown, how great soever their abilities were, have been admitted to any share of secular honours. The gentleman for whom this is designed will be able, if any can, by the character of his ability as well as integrity, to support the envy of such a kindness to our order.

Last night my brother Gastrell and I drank my Lord Treasurer's and your Lordship's health with Tom Rowney in some wine he had from his brother Walters. I had notice there that we are not to expect our great guest yet, his coming is deferred till our new Dean is with us. And as Tom gathered from Mr. Harcourt, his Lordship designs to do the new Dean the honour to grace his installation with his Lordship's presence. That will be a particular honour indeed and needs no comment. [At] the installation of a Dean of Christ Church, if the present Dean enters upon his office with the same pomp his predecessors did, every head of a college or hall, and most of any character in the University, are present. The whole entertainment is at the Dean's expense. The charge of the last Dean on that day was considerably above 100*l*. I believe. I shall save my bacon by it; I may say so properly, for his Lordship was to have had a ham with me.

I am afraid the town is mistaken in the report of Dr. Freind and Mrs. Bovey, though there may be seeming grounds for

it. It is no secret that he is not at liberty to enter into such engagements. Should the widow list him for her service, there is one, who is a virgin much against her will, would send an apparitor to take him out of her custody.

1711, September 1.—I forgot in my last to answer some of your Lordship's questions. The Prolocutor designs to quit the Rolls, but not Bridewell. He keeps Bridewell that he may have lodgings in town during the Convocation. It is the first time I believe that ever a Dean of Christ Church kept the honour of Bridewell since our foundation with this Deanery. But those lodgings lie very convenient and near to Harry VII's chapel where he is to attend. I know not who are competitors for the Rolls; Dr. Fowke is one of them. I believe Dr. Smalridge will have no thoughts of quitting New Chapel [Westminster] yet. Nor will he have any occasion; he will be here in the summer. There will be no occasion for greater residence unless he had an office, but that will not strictly come to his turn in some years. He certainly quits St. Dunstan's, and I believe the Master of the Temple will succeed him in it.

I begged your Lordship when you were in the country, to mention to my Lord Treasurer, when you saw a proper time, Rymer's *Fœdera*, which his Lordship was pleased to give me leave to promise to Mr. Lewis.

Brother Gastrell and I had last night letters from our new Governor, though through his very unusual modesty he will not yet take the title on him. He tells Gastrell there are uncertain rumours of a Dean, but none yet named. He desires him to let him know what it is proper for a new Dean to do, and promises him that he will communicate it to the new Dean whoever he is, and that he doubts not but he will have his thanks. He tells me that he has seen the "Post Boy," in which there is some mention of a new Dean, and many people coming to visit him, who is confined by the gout, and talking the same way. He does not know whether there may be some thing in it. In my answer I have told him that there must be some great mystery, that that should be kept as a secret from him, who is at Chelsea, on Thursday, which was sent to me from a good hand on the Monday before, and that the uncertainty he is in as to his own fate agrees worse still with a piece of intelligence I had on Friday se'nnight, that the day before he himself should have said, that he knew both the patents were then drawing. It is a little hard that he should begin his government with so gross a banter upon his old friends.

The news of the Privy Seal by the last post was very surprising to all, you may be certain it is very acceptable here. I believe the laity will murmur. I expect the run in the pamphlets will be that the clergy are grasping at power again. That will not signify much; but the several pretenders will be uneasy to see themselves disappointed by one they never suspected. But the Archbishop in all probability will drop before the end of the

next winter, and then the Queen may be at liberty to dispose again of the Privy Seal where she thinks fit.

1711, September 2.—I hope there is no such combination as your Lordship has heard of, and I believe there is not yet. Our Dean is upon a better foot with the Principal of Jesus than with any other Head in town, but he is old and concerns himself little, though whenever he does he is of weight. The President of Corpus, who has the greatest authority amongst the Heads, is too wary a man to enter into an open opposition of any one, unless the occasion should be very extraordinary. What he does of that kind will be by private influence. He has the utmost distrust of our Governor, and will never enter into any common measures with him, and be always jealous of any thing that comes from him. Most of the other Heads are at present in the same disposition to him the President is. I know not one with whom he can think he has any true interest; whom he may gain, I cannot tell. That which is likely to be of most use to him for that purpose is the interest he is supposed to have, and which he takes all the methods he can to persuade others that he has, in the ministry, and the plain signification that has been given that whoever in this place expects favour from the Seals must apply through him. These probably may procure some applications to him, but I believe he sees so well upon what foot he is here that he will be very cautious, and not concern himself in any business out of his own house till he has got a surer footing. I do not yet foresee any open difference that is likely to be, but between him and Lancaster. It is no secret how they are affected to each other, and that they will oppose each other to the utmost, and there are some who are under Lancaster's direction.

I take this plan to be a pretty true one of this place. Our Governor has great skill, and I wish he may be able to change it. There is no one with whom he is as yet in any confidence but Delaune. He invited his brethren to sit with him on Sunday night; when they came there, they found it was to meet Delaune and Sacheverell, which was no great compliment to them.

I have had an answer from Mrs. Hutton, she is willing at last to take 150*l.*, and a very fair sum it is for them; when I wait on my Lord Treasurer, I shall take his directions about it.

I assure your Lordship I was surprised to hear of the Bishop of R[ochester]'s courage, though he was so well supported. It is a sure indication that his Lordship thinks it is set in for fair weather.

1711, September 4.—I presumed in my last to put you in mind of Rymer's *Fœdera* for Mr. Lewis, and my letter was scarce gone when I received a letter from Mr. Lewis to put me in mind of it. I have enclosed the letter to your Lordship. You will see he wants to pay his duty to my Lord Treasurer, if he were encouraged to do. You will see too what they think in Holland of the Scotch medal. This is the first day of our horse-race, the town is very full but we are never so quiet in the College as at such a season.

1711, September 8.—I wonder Lord Keeper should continue to give out things so very foolish, because known to be so far from truth. For our parts we are resolved to make the best we can of that we have, but I assure your Lordship, in all the visits I have made, since the vacancies here were disposed of, at Christ Church or Jesus, the Vice Chancellor's &c., the first health to me was joy of my new brother and neighbour Dr. Smalridge, but no mention of the other, nor any more notice taken than if no such vacancy had been filled. I leave your Lordship to judge by this fact of what the Keeper told you; but it was like that he told Ned Jennings last Sunday at Windsor. He had a great deal of discourse with him about Dr. Smalridge, that he was not his enemy though he had opposed him, and that it was in a great measure owing to him that Carlisle was given to Dr. Smalridge.

Our Governor told you true, in saying that every one was leaving the place as fast as he could, but he would not be willing the true reason of it should be known. I wish he may be able to compass that he designs. We have taken care to provide lodgings for all he can bring. We have built from the ground and covered one side during the vacancy. If his influence be as great as he thinks it, surely we shall build another side, now we have such a Dean at the head of us, in as little a time as we reared one without a Dean. A year's experience may show him perhaps what he can do. I wish he do not find that to such a post as this is credit is altogether as necessary as ability. But why was he so officious with your Lordship about Mr. Terry? Mr. Thwaites is ill indeed, and I am afraid in a consumption, but I do not apprehend he will go off suddenly. Possibly he may linger out as long as our Governor will be willing to stay with us, but I believe there was no occasion to put your Lordship in mind of Mr. Terry, nor had our Governor any reason to think that I should forget it when it was proper.

The Scotch nobleman who is coming hither is my Lord Glenorchy's son; his mother was Lord Jersey's sister. His father and mother are in town with him. I was an utter stranger to his Lordship, but he sent yesterday to give me notice he would visit me. He told me he had seen my Lord Treasurer, and that his Lordship had been pleased to tell him that he supposed he would see me here. He said one thing, which you will easily believe pleased me not a little, that your Lordship's character had determined him to have his son bred here. He has taken a house over against the Theatre, and designs to live here whilst his son is with us. His son's tutor is to live in the same chamber with him, and he designs to capitulate with him that he shall take no other pupils whilst he has his son. I know not who recommended Mr. Broxholme to him, but he may be proper for such terms, because he has yet no other pupils. I waited on his Lordship to see some chambers; he has pitched I think on those which were Colonel Trelawny's. They were designed for Dr. South, when that side in which his now are was pulled down, but though that side would have been down this autumn,

had the late Dean lived, yet I fancy we shall not now be in so much haste, and Dr. South does not like those chambers. He would have had Mr. Periam's chambers if he could have had the whole floor, but poor Lord Strathallan's chambers had been taken before by Sir Charles Holt for his son, who is to [be] brought in student. The Speaker must get him in, he wrote to me, and bid me deal freely with him, whether I had a mind to be asked or no, but I had fixed already, and I have referred him to our new Dean's, let one of them pay for his honour.

Had the Lord Chamberlain [Kent] made any stay in our neighbourhood, I should have gone with Tom Rowney to have paid my duty to him. He lay one night in Oxford, but no one knew he was here. The next morning about eight, he with the Duchess drove in their coach round both our quadrangles. My servant came in to me to tell me, I put on my gown and went out to have offered them any respects I could pay them, but they were gone before I could get out.

If your Lordship goes abroad, I am afraid we must give over all hopes of seeing you here before the session.

I suppose I must let the affair about Dr. Hutton's manuscripts rest as it is. The widow possibly will be glad of that which has been offered, when she finds she cannot meet with a better chapman.

I am very sorry for Mr. St. John's sake, that his behaviour to your father is so much known. Should this end, as it must at last if it continues, in dismissing him from his post, he is undone. I know so much of his present condition, though I am far from being at the bottom of it. But this is the consequence of that which was instilled into him last winter, by some who took that way to make their court to him, that he was of capacity enough to stand upon his own legs.

1711, September 11.—We have yet no news of our Governor, I believe he will not be able to take possession of us so soon as he designed. The late Dean was above eleven weeks from the date of his patent before he could be ready for his installation. Our present Governor will [lose] sixty odd pounds by not being installed before next Friday, but we are a poor House and it will go towards the paying of our debts, where it will be better bestowed than in any private pocket. Our Governor has written to the Keeper to let him know he is afraid he cannot be here soon enough to pay his duty to his Lordship before he leaves the country. The whole family leave the country on Friday next. This letter has altered his Lordship's design. I am afraid we shall have the whole family here this week, and I am told by Blechingden still that the head-quarters will be with me.

I will never pass the months of July and August here again. I am almost foundered with showing sights to people, that take us in their way to Bath or Blenheim. That Blenheim is a curse upon this poor place, I would at any time make one in a rising of the University, town and county, to raze it to the ground.

I hope your Lordship has prepared your mind for any change of fortune. The Whigs give it out with great joy here, that there will be a new scene, or rather the old again, before Christmas. I believe too it is concerted to hearten their friends with the same news in other places too. A gentleman was with me yesterday who was lately at Worcester and dined there with the Bishop of Oxford, the Bishop read a passage out of a letter, to this purpose some things have happened here which it is not safe to commit to paper, which give us reason to hope that things will take a very different turn next winter. This was as near as I can judge, by the account the gentleman gave me, about the time the Duke of Somerset would have come to Council. You see what use has been made of that affair.

I am quite out of snuff, and I hope you will give me leave to beg a little recruit of your Lordship; you may send it to me by my brother Smalridge.

1711, September 13.—We are once more baulked, the Lord Keeper sent his gentleman over on Friday last to tell Tom Rowney he would be with him in the beginning of the week. Blechingden brought me the same notice, but not by order. I declared I would not lay in a college crust till I had notice in form. Tom sent to his friends and tenants to send in capons, pheasants, partridge and all other provisions. We expected our guest, but heard nothing of him. This morning the mystery came out, it was thought our Governor's gout would not have let him be here before his Lordship left the country, but they met last Sunday at Windsor, and then concerted their measures, as we suppose, for meeting here next week, for Blechingden says his Lordship was resolved if possible to see the Dean in his new government. I suppose, as we at first thought, he will honour his installation. But this week his Lordship went on a visit to Sergeant Banister, without ever giving his friend Tom notice that he had altered his purpose. The Senator is in a pet, I have a double advantage over him, I have saved my own provisions and shall have a share in his.

1711, September 15.—As much as I desire to see you, I am not sorry that I shall not do it till the installation of our Governor is over. He will be much disappointed if he expects, as I hear he does, that the House should meet him on the road. Their proper attendance on him is in several stations, in several bodies, according to their different degrees, when we wait on him to the Hall after his installation. He will have all the respects paid to him, which were paid to any of his predecessors, but no other, though I believe he may desire more. But he will find it is not the way to make himself greater than they were, to endeavour, as he has done for this last year, to lessen them in all companies, and to raise his own credit upon the ruin of theirs. I hear now he will not be with us till Tuesday se'nnight. I gave him some account of the late Dean's speeches; I believe upon that, he has taken more time for composing his own. But do what he will, he will fall short of his predecessor, and in other

things as well as in his speeches. He may be without some of that poor man's infirmities, but he will never have all his virtues, either intellectual or moral.

The Lord Keeper can wait no longer it seems for our Governor's coming, he has sent me word this evening that he and his family will be with me on Monday. After such an honour, I hope you think I shall not envy either of the new Deans.

I have heard great complaints this summer of both the Blunts. If that I heard was true, your father's interest and that of the South Sea Company have suffered from nothing but the prejudice that is in the city against those two men.

I have not heard from Mrs. Hutton since I sent the last letter I received to your Lordship; she expects to hear from me again. I will let her know next week that I can give her no hopes of any more than I have already offered.

Our Governor gives one reason for not coming down next week because Sunday se'nnight is Ordination Sunday, and that he might avoid any difference in the beginning with the Bishop of Oxford, who might claim as his right to ordain without leave in our church. But that point has been settled ever since the visitation of the college in 1628, when Bishop Laud and others did what they could to support the students in their complaints against the Dean and Chapter. The Bishop of Oxford at that time was by a civil message, by one of the canons deputed from Duppa—then Dean—and the Chapter, told that he could not be admitted without leave first asked. He answered he would not be beholden to them, and ordained in another place; and none of his successors have ever since ordained here, without first asking leave. But by what I hear we shall have no ordination, because it is supposed that the new Dean will be here at that time, and the Bishop of Oxford has as little mind to meet him as he can have to meet the Bishop.

1711, September 18.—I beg you would pay my most humble duty and thanks to the lady whose fair hand was pleased to do me the honour to direct the last letter I received from your Lordship, and I am very glad to find that the ladies of members, as well as members themselves, have the privilege of franking.

I had notice from other hands as well as your Lordship that Buys was to come. I am glad of it, as it must be interpreted by all as a public mark of the respect of the States to my Lord Treasurer, and their confidence in him. It is a very good omen too for peace, which would at once remove all difficulties, and I am afraid nothing else will. Decker gave me an account of the doings at Pontacks, he says the hearts of all the citizens that were fit for anything but to go to the devil were charmed, but his head seemed to be at least as full of the entertainment as of the speech.

I have a very odd story to tell you. Not many weeks since a man and two women went about the country begging with a pretended pass, the Mayor of Stamford suspected that the pass was counterfeited, and designed to seize them, but they

escaped them. He gave notice of them to one Mr. Kirkham, a Justice of Peace, of a very good character in the neighbouring parts of Northamptonshire, near to whose house he heard these people were. Mr. Kirkham seized them and took away their pass. I cannot tell whether he punished them otherwise too, but the next morning one of the women came to desire to speak with Mr. Kirkham, she had put off her beggar's weeds and was dressed in a very handsome riding habit, with cap and feathers. He was surprised to see her in that dress and says he thought her then one of the most beautiful women he had ever seen. She addressed herself to him with great manners, assured him those he had seized were persons of no ordinary condition, desired he would restore their pass and give them no more disturbance. When she could not prevail, she fell into a great rage, bid him at his peril trouble them any more, for he should hear of it again in a little time. Within a month, Mr. Kirkham was fetched up by a warrant for high treason from Mr. St. John ; he was surprised, but suspected whence it came. When he was brought before the Secretary he desired to see the depositions against him, and to be confronted with the witnesses, but neither was granted. After a great deal of charge and trouble he was discharged. He was not satisfied, but insisted upon the expense and trouble he had been at for that which he had done in the execution of his office ; he demanded reparation, and to know who had accused him. He could have no other answer, but that he might if he pleased go about his business. The story needs no comment. I cannot vouch all the particulars to you, but your Lordship has them as they were brought to me out of Northamptonshire last week by my brother Gastrell, where the story makes a great noise, as well it may. I need not desire your Lordship not to publish it, at least as from me.

I think I am very good that have found time to write so long a letter in the midst of the great guests that are now in my house.

Postscript. Mr. Harcourt says the Peace is made. I am glad of so good an authority for it. You would not tell me this, though no doubt you are in the secret too.

1711, September 20.—I promised your Lordship some account of what passed at the great honour I received on Monday. His Lordship designed to have lain only with me and to have dined with Lord Abingdon on Monday, to have supped with me at night and to have dined the next day with Tom Rowney. But my Lady Abingdon being ill of the gout, his Lordship had excused him for not being able to receive them. Tom Rowney and his whole family went out of town on purpose to be even with them for having disappointed him the week before. By these means the whole honour was devolved on me, but his chaplain prevailed to have the honour of his company yesterday at St. John's. The ladies were much at a loss, being disappointed of the conversation which they had expected of their own sex, and this was no small addition of care to me, the ladies being

that part of my guests I knew least how to deal with. His Lordship was pleased to tell, which I knew very well, that he had delayed his coming in hopes of having been here when the Dean came, but since that could not be, he would not leave the country without seeing me. The next morning the Vice Chancellor, the Warden of All Souls, President of St. John's, and the Canons in town paid their compliments to him. His Lordship went with me to see Dr. Smalridge's lodgings, observed that the garden was run to ruin, but hoped the Doctor would not lay out sixpence in a place in which he was not likely to stay. He was pleased to wonder too why I had laid out so much, since he supposed I designed to leave my lodgings if I could. I told his Lordship that I should think Providence dealt very bountifully with me, if it allowed me to lay my bones where it had now placed me. He asked me when I had seen my parsonage, I told him this summer, and that I designed to build there. He said if I would build, he thought I should build upon a better parsonage, and such a one might be had—a gracious intimation to me to apply to him. I told his Lordship this little one best suited all the aims I had in the world, as well as my abilities. Not one word of our Dean, but a very odd expression I thought of his friend, before Lord Abingdon and Sir John Walters after dinner. We were observing that one of my brother Gastrell's and the Dean's election was at this time Dean of Christ Church in Dublin and Bishop of Kildare. His Lordship said it was well it was an Irish bishopric, for he could answer for the Dean that he would let none of them have an English one before him.

When we were at St. John's the President dined there, his Lordship began the Dean's health and told brother Gastrell and me that we must not expect to keep him long. Upon that I told his Lordship that I wondered my friend the Dean would be at the expense and trouble he must, for that which he could keep so few weeks. How few, said his Lordship. Why, he can hold it but three months. Who says so, said his Lordship. One, my Lord, who I hope has honour as well as power to make his word good, even your Lordship; you were pleased to promise me last January that I should be dean before the year was out. I observed that his Lordship's friend the President, though by nature not much inclined to blushing, was somewhat out of countenance upon this as well as his Lordship was.

We parted after dinner with mutual compliments. I was pleased to observe Mr. Harcourt very fond of his lady, she is indeed, by all I have seen, a very good young lady. My friend Dubber, his Lordship's old servant, told me she was the only Christian in the family, and that he knew not what would become of them if they were not all saved by her prayers. Mr. Harcourt said he would not exchange her for the Duke of Newcastle's daughter and all her estate, if he could have them. The latter part of the expression was modest, the former a noble compliment to a good lady; I will not enquire whether there was any other meaning. His Lordship has procured venison out of all the neighbouring parks for the Dean, and to make his compliment entire, has paid the

fees in all places, or left money with me to do it. There is the strictest alliance that is possible between them, which is somewhat surprising to me, who a few years ago knew the opinion which each had of the other, and was employed by our Dean, when the present Keeper first came in power, to bring on an acquaintance between them. It would be worth while, if one could get at it, to know the true ground of this mutual change.

I most heartily thank your Lordship for my snuff, I like it very well. John Urry was robbed as he came down, but my snuff escaped.

1711, September 23.—I shall I believe have no opportunity of hearing more circumstances of that story, all I had were from my brother Gastrell, who had been in some parts of Northamptonshire, not far from the place where Kirkham lives. There can be no doubt of the truth of the main part of it. I wish there be not too great a proof of it by some public complaint.

I troubled you with a very impertinent letter last post, but I was willing to play the fool to divert you. I thought indeed some part of the conversation odd enough betwixt such old friends. His Lordship will now make his chaplain easy; the prebendary of Gloucester, whose prebend his Lordship had disposed of last spring, is now dead. There is a living in this county in the gift of the Seals, near 300*l.* per annum, void by the same man's death; I hear his Lordship's chaplain is in some doubt whether he shall accept that too, because if he does he must quit his fellowship and his college living. I promise you I won't make use of the hint that was given me.

There was much talk when I was in town in the winter that Mr. St. John was to go to Holland. Can the office be carried on in his absence? or would he quit it for the other post? Absence might be of service to him, if he would make the proper use of it, but he must be more discreet at least than he is here, if he would be acceptable there.

1711, September 24.—I had last night a letter from John Drummond, to give me an account with the utmost joy and gratitude of the very kind reception he had met with from my Lord Treasurer. I leave your Lordship to judge how much this pleased me, you know how dear all his concerns are to me, I value him as an old true friend, and as one who has as hearty and sincere an honour for your father as ever any one had that was so happy as to be known to him, one who I know would hazard all he has for the sake of that interest which is under your father's protection, and who is as able too to serve it as any one of his condition ever was. I am to thank your Lordship for your kindness to him, he tells me he had been so happy as to see you once, and acknowledges your favour with the gratitude an honest man should. He tells me he returns soon, and desires to see me before he goes over again. I design to be in town as soon as I have paid my duty to my Governor. God willing I shall be there sometime next week, and at home again the

following week. He tells me too that he hopes you will go over with him, that alone would bring me up, for I cannot let you go abroad without seeing you once again before you go, and giving you my prayers, though I cannot my benediction.

Dr. Blechingden reckoned it seems too fast upon a new parsonage, the prebendary of Gloucester now dead is not the prebendary who has the good living in this county which is in the gift of the Seals.

Our students have been employed this afternoon in hiring hacks. Last night came letters from Dr. Freind, the physician, and others to give notice that the Dean designed to be to-morrow precisely at such an hour at the foot of Shotover, and that it was hoped the students would meet him there. The late Dean forbade any one to meet him, but since we are given to understand that our Governor thinks such a compliment from the young men may be of use to him, we have advised every one, that can hire a horse, to oblige him.

Postscript. The Speaker, upon my writing to him, has returned his son to assist at the solemnity. Though he tells me he parted with him with great regret, he is extremely pleased with him, and the satisfaction he has in him I believe makes the loss of the other pretty easy. He will indeed much better support his family than the other would have done. He is in very good humour upon all other accounts and much pleased with the hopes of a peace. He tells me he hears the Duke of Beaufort has been the most angry man of all the laity at the promotion of the Lord Privy Seal [Bishop Robinson]. That is pleasant enough. Had he any pretensions to such a post?

1711, September 27.—I suppose your Lordship will see an account of our cavalcade in Abel Roper. The Dean could have no other end in being at so much pains to procure it. Excepting the students of the House, Williams the upholsterer was the person of the greatest quality in the whole cavalcade. But I have some pleasant stories of it to divert you, which I reserve till I wait on you.

I write this in the morning before our ceremony is begun, for I shall have no time in the afternoon. I must stay till the next post to give your Lordship the account of any thing which passes then, but I can now tell you, the ceremony will be deficient in one main branch of it. The Dean has no speech, as his predecessors had, to the whole company, which was always the large speech, and indeed the only one of the moment. He designs only to answer the three persons that speak to him, in very short speeches, and that it may not be observed, he has invited his company at one o'clock, that the speeches may be over long before they come. I told him it was unusual, that the whole company invited had attended his predecessors from the Dean's lodgings to the hall, that I was afraid they would take it ill to be invited only to his meat. All the Canons seconded me. The only answer he had was, that he had promised a dinner for them,

but no speech, and that it was too late now to remonstrate that to him.

Brother Smalridge came last night and will be installed to-day too. Brother Gasse [Gastrell], Smalridge and I drank the Lord Treasurer's and your Lordship's healths together, as we are all in duty bound.

1711, September 30.—I promised your Lordship some account of our solemnity, if there was any thing in it that could entertain you. The Sub-Dean installed the Dean, and then the Dean installed Dr. Smalridge. The Dean was disappointed in his design of not having his speeches heard. The company would have his Latin as well as his meat, and most of them though invited at one were got to his lodgings before we came from church. The Dean read his speeches, he used a pretty artifice to cover his want of one speech. He answered not the rhetoric reader, as was usual, at the fire place, but went straight up to the top of the hall, whither the masters followed him, and there spoke to the masters, where his predecessors used to make their last speech to the whole company. He disappointed me in one thing, he spoke very handsomely of the late Dean. The entertainment was very noble and managed without any confusion; the most remarkable thing in the whole solemnity was the Psalm which happened to be the first of the day. It was the 120th. I and my two brethren were struck together with it, and could not but observe it to each other. The fines which begin to come in put us all in good humour. We are all very easy together and I hope we shall continue so. Our Dean says he has now got all he desires in this world, that he will never ask for any thing more, that he will employ for the future all the interest he has in the Lord Treasurer for others. He told J. Keill he would not be quiet till somewhat was done for him, but John does not think there is any occasion for the Dean's application in his behalf when your Lordship has been so kind as to declare you would be his friend, nor will he let the Dean into any share of the merit of that which he must owe wholly to your goodness.

I shall come up to town, God willing, with my brother Smalridge on Thursday. The coach (flies?) still, and it will be late before we can get thither, I cannot hope to wait on you that night, but I shall beg leave to drink a dish of coffee with your Lordship on Friday morning. Should you not be stirring when I call, I hope you will allow me, as I have done here, to come to your bedside and wake you.

1711, October 21.—I most heartily thank you for the favour of both your letters, they are always dear to me, but these have relieved me from the concern in which I left the town on your father's account. A concern which I have not only as his servant, who owe all I have to him, but on account of my own little share too in the public stock. There is but one life in England on which the quiet or confusion of these kingdoms more depends. Since your father is abroad again, I doubt not but we shall soon hear the stocks rise again. He certainly has a

great majority of the nation with him for peace, and he is thought to have those arguments for it which no one who would oppose, either abroad or at home, can answer. If our allies dislike it, let them make good their own quotas; if our senators are against it, let them provide for the war; it is supposed the impracticability of either will oblige both to agree to it.

I have made your Lordship's compliments to the President of Corpus, he drank your health with me last night, and will take it for a great honour to see you when you are here. I am very glad it will be so soon. It must be this week if you are here before our Governor is out of waiting; he has promised to be here on Tuesday se'nnight. I reserve an account of ourselves till I see your Lordship. Our Governor took the advantage of my brother Gasse, George's and my absence to bully our poor brethren who were left here. If he goes on, we shall all soon be better known in the Court of Chancery, or at the Council Board. Our tenants will summon us into one place, and we ourselves shall be obliged to appeal to the other. I have written to him with all deference possible about some things, in which his own credit alone will be concerned. Were I his enemy, as much as he thinks me, I would give him as much rope as he desires.

Yesterday we chose a new beadle in the room of Rogers. We carried it by a great majority for Rawlins, our singing man. You must bear with these small occurrences in the midst of the important affairs you are engaged in.

1711, October 23.—I hope my Lord Treasurer is abroad again, and that he will be prevailed on to have a little more care, than he has hitherto had, of a life in which every one in the nation has a greater concern than he himself has.

I wish you could send me some hopes of the peace going on, we at this distance judge of it only by the rise or fall of stocks, and I am not able to answer their fears who guess at a peace always by the last clause in the "Post Boy."

I cannot say that our number is yet much increased since we have ceased to be a headless society. We have had two gentlemen entered, who came upon Mr. Terry's account, being Kentish men. One is a son of Sir Francis Lee, sent by the Bishop of Rochester, the other is one Desboverie, whose relations are in the Turkey trade, and his uncle a director of the South Sea Company. I hear yet of no more, and more are leaving us than are yet come. Poor Heysham must be taken away, he is now with his father, but we have discovered an intrigue with a girl for marriage. His friends have notice of it, so I suppose we shall not see him again, but this to yourself.

1711, October 25.—I hope my Lord Treasurer was able to go to Hampton Court on Tuesday, and that your Lordship was with him. For want of better news to entertain you, I must give you

some account of our own affairs. It is due to you too, since you are pleased to have so kind a concern for us. Whilst we hope for peace abroad, we expect nothing but war at home. Our Governor claims to dispose of all the college curacies by his sole authority, though given to the Canons as well as the Dean by the Charter, and disposed of always under the Common Seal, nor has he the least colour but his own will and pleasure for such a pretence. It looks as though he were resolved upon a breach, thinking to carry any point by the Lord Keeper, before whom I suppose he believes it must be brought. But in that he may be mistaken; he had agreed to let Mr. Gore's fine remain as it had been set in the late Dean's time, by whose death he has the advantage of it. The fine is 240*l*. His agreement to it was entered in the minute book, upon which I sent to the Receiver to call upon Mr. Gore's agent for the money. Three days after I was gone, he moved the Chapter to have the former order revoked, and a new one made that the consideration of Mr. Gore's lease should be respited till his return, or his further direction. Every one in Chapter begged of him to let the first agreement stand, but he was positive, and they to be easily complied. No notice was given to me of this new order, nor did he mention it when I was with him in town. In pursuance of the first agreement, the money is paid in town, and received as a fine. He now insists to raise the fine 160*l*. above the sum agreed to. Mr. Gore may certainly call us into Chancery and force us to make good our agreement. I have written to him with all due deference to represent the case to him. I suppose I shall have no answer till I see him here on Monday or Tuesday. Not one of the Chapter is with him, even Hammond leaves him and thinks it too shameful. Mr. Gore, when he was first our tenant, gave us very frankly 100*l*. to Peckwater. We are likely to have benefactors when we make such returns. His friend Hammond supposes this to be out of some private pique to the Bishop of London, to whom Gore is related by marriage. But all this to yourself. I had only a mind to let your Lordship know the temper we have to deal with. I believe he will soon convince all our friends that those who know him had reason to wish him elsewhere. We shall, if it be possible, prevail with him to desist in both cases. If we cannot we hope to manage it so that any public complaint shall come from the tenant against him, or from him against us, and that we shall not be aggressors.

1711, October 27.—Yours last night brought me doleful news indeed, but the loss the public will have in Dr. Grabe extinguishes almost the sense of my private loss. I lose indeed a very intimate and very instructive friend, but I know no one man of our profession, whose life is of more concern to the Church at this juncture. Nor can one determine whether we lose more, by the want of his piety or his learning, of his prayers or his writings. No man in Europe can finish the Septuagint as he has begun it, and I am afraid we shall entirely lose those observations which he had made, but never I believe digested into any order, towards

the reconciling the Hebrew and Greek texts. His loss looks somewhat ominous as to the Arian heresy, which is so openly revived. Had he lived he had effectually quashed it, by exposing the forgery of those constitutions on which it is chiefly grounded by Whiston. He had communicated to me a discovery which he had made of those very books from which those constitutions had been forged, and which he had designed to have printed *verbatim* on one part of the page, with the text of the constitutions, and marks to show every particular interpolation in it, on the other. He stayed only for the copy of a manuscript in the Vatican to publish this work. I know no one who can do it, when he is gone. He was as remarkable for his gratitude as for any other virtue he had. My Lord, your father will lose in him a sincere, earnest, daily suppliant to Almighty God for the prosperity of him and all who belong to him, but I hope those who were his patrons, though they lose him, will not lose the merit of their kindness to so good a man.

The loss of this friend naturally increases my apprehension for your father; not only Church, but State would feel a convulsion upon such a loss, should it be at this juncture. We should unavoidably fall into the utmost confusion, but you must excuse those, who are sensible how much depends on it, for fearing danger where there may be none, and for pressing your Lordship, whose interest in his life gives you a right to intercede with him, to beg he would have a little more care of it. If the pain he has ends in a regular gout, I hope it will rather be a remedy than a disease.

Postscript.—Thanks for your good news, not of the Bishop of London's illness, but of your father's amendment. I had rather bear my share of his temper than be eased of it at such a price. Though he may be perverse, perhaps, he hopes that may be an argument for his preferment.

1711, November 1.—The comfort your Lordship gives me as to my chief concern alleviates in some measure that I have for my poor friend Grabe. That indeed is a much less concern, but no little one. I hope the re-establishment of my Lord's health will allow you to make good your intention of seeing us. I hope it will not be before the end of next week, then our Governor must be again in town. We will be as cheerful as those who love you can be upon a visit that is to be parting too. If we may be allowed *recepto furere*, we may too *abluto merere*.

All are pleased that her Majesty has vindicated her own honour so much in resenting, as she has done, the insolence of the Imperial minister. The party for peace grows every day, if that can be called a party to which there is no opposition. I hear not of any one in the country that does not pray for it.

I beg my duty to my godfather, and be pleased to let him know that we yesterday chose Dale, whom he recommended to me, one of Bishop Fell's exhibitioners; it will entitle him to 10*l.* per

annum for ten years, if he resides here so long. The lad appeared extremely well, and has made very good use of the little time he has spent here.

Our Governor I believe will not complain when he comes up again that I do not visit him ; I spent yesterday with him from one o'clock till nine at night ; we were very easy. I made his lady as true compliments as I could, and told her the door of communication should on my side be always unbarred. If he should be jealous of me, I believe he will be the first man ever was so, but that may be, for the least thing works with him of any man I ever knew, and I had spite enough to try what I could do with him.

I am afraid we shall not adjust other points, but we will prevent any difference by keeping them if we can in suspense. At least I will defer the decision to the audit, when I hope to have some more of my brethren here as auxiliaries. I told him the Bishop of London was ill, he pricked up his ears at it, and said it was news to him, but I have damped him again to-day with the news that he is better. Your Lordship I suppose may have heard that we have lost two students in the expedition to Canada. Captain Twisden that was lost in the storm, and Hicks that went chaplain, who died in the return of a fever. The great living, by which poor Herbert was tempted to leave us, is fallen, and the co-heirs have presented Herbert, but the Bishop of Exeter has given institution upon George Granville's presentation. Herbert must now try his title. Does your Lordship think Lord Carteret will bear his expense? I am afraid he will have little reason to think he has gained by leaving us when he sums up his expense, if he should get the better.

1711, November 3.—The recovery of poor Grabe, when we had given him for gone, would make it much more welcome. I am full of hopes upon the account your Lordship gives me, and am willing to believe that God will preserve him to finish those things which no one but he can do. I hope my Lord Treasurer will not venture out too soon. I like not that paragraph in your Lordship's letter about the Parliament. If it is prorogued, we shall have our Governor longer with us. It will be a sore disappointment to us, if he does not leave us at the end of this week. We are heartily weary of him, though his crest is fallen a little, and we shall oblige him to relinquish both his points, about Gore's lease and the curacies. The only thing that sticks at present with him, is how to retreat with honour. We treat with him, as great princes are used, by an ambassador. We have no discourse ourselves with him about the points in which we differ, we transact them with him by the most acceptable person of our body to him, his confident Hammond. He offers to agree with us about Mr. Gore's lease, if Mr. Gore waits on him when he returns to town and begs the favour of him. Since he thinks such a deference of moment to him, if we have the substance we shall for peace sake let him please his own dear self with the form. As to the curacies we insist stiffly, we know our own right

to be upon the very same ground his is, and we shall oblige him to give up that pretence, without any salvo to his honour. I hear he has somebody in your family with whom he corresponds, from whom he has had constant accounts of my Lord Treasurer's health. It can be only Wanley I believe—keep this to yourself—but find out if you can, without telling Wanley that you hear of it, whether he writes to him.

The Whigs have outdone themselves in their behaviour upon [Count] Gallas's disgrace. This is telling the Queen very roundly what they would do with her, if they could; but it is well they are so open, it will have its use with the people as well as with the Queen.

There is a great struggle at All Souls', only one vacancy. George Clarke is come down himself to support the interest of one he recommends; but it is thought the Warden and he will lose it.

Postscript. Our Governor has been looking into our muniments—as he calls them, you and I should call them writings. He is amazed to find the Dean has no greater a power than he appears to have in those he has yet seen. He suspects we are all in a combination to conceal from him those that make most for him.

Dr. Clarke has carried his election by one voice. The man's name is Wilbraham. The opposite party have protested against the election because the Warden took the scrutiny which they say, though I believe without ground, ought to have been taken by the two deans.

1711, November 5.—Our hopes it seems for poor Grabe were very short-lived, we cannot at present be sensible as we ought how much we have lost by him. We can know it only as occasions happen that will require him. He is happy; one so much above this world as he was could not but be ready for the other. The difference there was betwixt the souls of that poor man and our Governor's, who is glad the poor man is gone! Upon a private pique, in which our Governor was the person who did the injury, and a shameful one it was. When Grabe could not agree with Bennet about his Septuagint, Bennet to force him to his terms threatened to print another edition at the same time, and got leave to use our Governor's name, as though he would be the editor, though he no more designed it, nor was any more fit for it, than I. Grabe, for fear the sale of his own edition should be spoiled, offered Bennet to let him have half the profit if the person he employed would do half the work. Our Governor proposed not to work separately but jointly with Grabe. The poor man saw this was to throw all the trouble on him, when the other was to have the credit of his labour. He refused it, but offered to take one part to himself and to leave the other to our Governor, and to let our Governor have his choice of the books he would publish. The sham then appeared,

but our Governor never forgave him, but from that moment did all he could to lessen the credit of his learning, he durst not attack his piety, and the other was too hard for him.

Our Governor and I met last night in my little parlour *tête-à-tête* at his own desire; he sat from seven till nine; he returned after prayers and sat till twelve. He began with Gore's fine, and grew very warm; I was prepared and rebuked him very seriously for his passion, and took the liberty to tell him how little I thought it became him, whether it were affected or real. To be short, I got the better of him, and he agrees to let it go as we would have it, if I will write to Gore to wait on him when he came to town and desire his consent. I told him I did not see the great use of that; he insisted that it was not for his dignity as Dean to have such a lease go without a personal application to him. I said if that was all, we would not break with him for form, he should please himself in that. We then went on the general business of the College, I gave him in every point the fullest information I could, and made my reflections with decency to him, but freedom enough as to my own opinion. I told him by all that I knew of the College, its security from its first foundation to this time against intestine sedition, and assaults from without, had been the unanimity of the Dean and Chapter. He took occasion in his discourse to drop graciously that when he was most angry with me, he took me for an honest man. At twelve, the Judas threw his arm about my neck and kissed me, and desired we might have no more squabbles, for he hated them. I asked him if he could say that with a good conscience, he smiled at that. As to the thing itself, I told him he might be certain it would be his fault, not ours, if we had any. He has been looking into our muniments and finds he has not so uncontrollable a power as he took himself to have. He does not think he can run us down so easily as he once thought he should, he will quit his other point in a day or two, and it was lucky for us that he pitched on those at first which he could so little defend. He is likely to engage in the quarrels abroad sooner than I thought he would have done. He owns he designs upon the first opportunity he can meet with to attack Lancaster. They are as well met as any two I know. I did not discourage him. The more he is embroiled abroad, the less leisure will he have to be troublesome at home, and more occasion too to be easy with us. Your Lordship yourself heard how hard he was on the memory of the poor late Dean. Indeed his infirmities were our Governor's constant topic, but what cannot wise men do. He finds that is not popular here, he has changed his language, and now is full of projects how to do honour to him. He designs to put his picture over the chimney in the study, and talks of putting up his statue in the middle of the area of Peckwater, with the model of Peckwater in his hand. But that I believe upon second thoughts he will judge improper. Mr. St. John offered the late Dean to give 100*l.* for the Duke of Marlborough's statue to be put in that place. The Dean told him he could place no statue there, but one of the Royal family.

Mr. St. John was angry, but he had an excuse for giving us nothing.

Your Lordship was pleased to make an excuse to me for writing so long a letter to me, if it wanted an excuse ; I have revenged myself on you in writing one four times as long upon much more impertinent matters. If it be a true instance of friendship, as I think it is, to write any occurrences without restraint to one you trust, I have showed by mine to your Lordship that I am not insensible of it.

If Herbert be not too bashful he certainly may have the lady. The Bishop of St. David's began upon less encouragement, and was not a cleaner fellow. Rob Freind might have her without doubt, if he was not hampered already, and had a mind to her. I have had it from those who were present that she has encouraged him in plain language to say what he would to her. I wish Herbert may fall into hands that may give him proper instructions. I will try what I can do with him, when I come up after Christmas.

1711, November 7.—I had an account from my brother Smalridge of poor Grabe. May God give his grace to all those who have lost the advantage of his conversation, to profit by the memory of his example. The most truly pious soul that ever I knew, always excepting Robin Morgan, is now gone into bliss, I heard very near his last breath was employed in that he was so truly sensible of, in paying his duty and thanks to my Lord Treasurer. I doubt not but your Lordship and your posterity will find the effects of my Lord Treasurer's great goodness to that saint.

Diram qui contudit hydram, must be my motto I think. If you do not check me, I am much disposed to be vain, but I think your Lordship ought to have the greatest share of the honour. If I do any thing it is by the advantage of the instructions I had from you in town. You said I could not disseminate ; if you don't believe I can, I dare say my Governor does. This day has mortified him more than his noble cavalcade exalted him, his greatness was so gracious as to give up to-day both his points in a full Chapter. A train was laid, which he could not avoid, to bring in the business of the curacies. When we mentioned it he said he was yet in the dark, and desired further time till his next return from London, that he might search the Treasury. But I would not let it go, I told him it would be soon dispatched, and called for the leiger books. I offered him that of Bishop Fell's time ; he is used to appeal to him, as one who he thought stretched the authority of the Dean to the utmost. He declined that, and would know only what his predecessor had done ; he thought that a time of negligence, in which we had no instances against him. We acquiesced in that and immediately produced him several collations under the Common Seal, within these last six years. With much ado he owned he was satisfied, and an order was made that all who had not collations under the Common Seal should be obliged to take

them. Never man started such a pretence, without the least colour for it. Then he brought on Tring and offered again his very scandalous expedient. Every one was against it and requested him to let it go as it had been agreed; he said at last he would have no hand in it. His proxy might do what he would in his absence, provided Mr. Gore waited on him when he came to town, and desired his favour. As he and I came home together he took me by the hand, and desired me to learn from him to *yield*. I told him that when he and I were students together, I was always for submitting to lawful authority; but that he knew he had been an old mutineer. I believe his courage is cooled a little, and that he will not advance any more new pretensions so hastily as he did these.

But what excuse shall I make to your Lordship for the trouble I have given you of all our impertinent squabbles? It may be of one use to you indeed, to convince you that nature can be subdued by nothing but grace; neither sense, nor education, profession, nor even sense of interest can restrain it. It would be a pretty diversion to the world to see us embroiled as much as Trinity College, and about many points too of as little moment. To our shame be it spoken, we have not been more remarkable for our obstinacy in our quarrels than for the trifles about which we squabble, but I hope we shall disappoint our Bentley.

I hear not a word of any reformation designed but that of our revenues, there we are in hearty earnest, though I cannot well call it a reformation. When men reform they retrench, we may do that in our expenses, but not in our gains. We design to extend them to the last farthing, and that will be the point in which we shall have perpetual squabbles, but I believe the canonical part of our Chapter will always be in that on the favourable side.

1711, November 11.—Reports here in town, and some letters which I have had from London, tell me I must wish you joy; I wish I may. Should it prove true, the joy I should have would dispose me to forgive you for concealing from me that in which you know I should take so great a part. But I do not like one circumstance of the report, it says you were married on Thursday last in Henry VI's Chapel by the Bishop of Rochester. Neither the place nor the hand seems likely. Of late persons of your quality have dispatched that affair without the ceremony of going into a church. I stand not much upon the manner, if you can but give me assurance of the thing, that it is done or will be done. I will then let you know my reflections on it. But I am not so impudent as to desire to know any thing that you may have your reasons to conceal, but it is talked of here with that confidence I could not avoid mentioning it to you. If your Lordship sees us within a fortnight you will find our Governor here. This prorogation, however necessary for the public, is unlucky for us. He is now delighted so much with his new government that he will not leave us till the Convocation sits. Your Lordship remembers the letter he left for me with the Speaker, in which he desired me to

represent by your Lordship the *miserable* condition of our headless Society. I then told him, that were it proper for me to meddle in it, I could not say we were a *miserable* society. That I promised him when he came, notwithstanding all disadvantages, he would find it in a better condition than any society in Europe of the same number. He has now changed his note, and is full of the good condition in which he finds every thing but his stubborn Chapter. But though I did not think we were miserable, yet I still think there are many parts of our discipline which ought to be revised, but I perceive by his discourse that is not at all in his thoughts. He says plainly he must be on his guard not to disoblige his subjects. That is, in other language, that he has other use to make of them, than to oblige them to be such as they ought to be.

I have enclosed a ballad upon the cavalcade that was sung in our streets. I know not whether even such as this might not give some little satisfaction, if the Canon had not had unluckily a share in it. I believe you have as bad poetry as this in your collection.

Our Governor has news that a vacant prebend of Bristol is given to Peter Foulks. He has good luck if it is so. Tom Rowney tells me it is given to Harcourt of Jesus, a good young man.

I hope your Lordship will find R. Morgan here when you come.

1711, November 12.—I was much pleased with so long a letter from your Lordship till I came to the close [of] it. This relapse of my Lord Treasurer was unexpected, I hope there is no danger; but the continuance of this pain is as unreasonable for the public as it must be troublesome to him. My Lady Dupplin's safe delivery was very welcome, I have made my compliments to my Lord. It will cure my Lord Kinnoull of the gout if he should be in a fit, to hear of another boy. Had the news we had here two days ago held true, I should have hoped to have seen my Lord Treasurer a grandfather by your Lordship, but by your silence I guess there was no ground for the report. I beg my most humble duty to my Lord Treasurer. Be pleased to assure him that Mr. Buys and the gentleman that comes with him shall have all the respect that I and this place can show them. I am sorry you can think me so insensible of the honour of this recommendation, that you should make any excuses for it.

The greatest inducement Robin Morgan would have to come into Herefordshire would be your Lordship's neighbourhood, I am sure. He has a very sincere respect and esteem for you, but I much question whether he will quit his Welsh retirement. The difference of the profit will have no manner of influence on him. That which he has is scarce subsistence, but if it pays his journey to attend Convocation, he looks no further. Ross as I take it is a large market town, and the business there may not be so agreeable to one who gives himself so much to speculation. I expect him here in a few days, but I am afraid he will have come to a resolution before I shall see him. Not that any thing I could say

would move him, if he has his own reasons against it. He acts not by any of those motives by which we other poor mortals are influenced. What a disparity betwixt the soul of that man and our Governor's? But our Governor will make us all Robin Morgans; we shall all be philosophers. If any thing can bring a man to perfect patience, it is continual squabbling about things of no moment in themselves, and where there is not the least ground for dispute, but only the pride and perverseness of him with whom you have to deal. As the town fills there will still be more in it for peace, I believe no one that comes out of the country but is for it.

Postscript. John Urry begs leave to give his duty to your Lordship and desires to know whether he did not lend to you a letter from Herne (*sic*) to Bagford about Chaucer.

1711, November 13.—The report I mentioned to you in my letter on Sunday grows stronger. All the letters on Sunday night mentioned it as a thing certainly done. They had altered the day indeed, the last letters say it was done on Wednesday. Tom Rowney had a letter which said the young lady was sick of the measles; I hope that is false. I see no one who does not enquire of me, what I know of it; my answer is, that I neither hear of the thing nor of any ground for such a report, that I had the honour to hear from you, that there was no hint of any such thing in my letters, that if such a thing had been I believe you would have been better employed than in writing to me. If after all you should have compassed it, I heartily as I told you forgive you for not letting me into the secret. It is an exploit worthy of you at your first entrance on the world, and a good pledge of what may be expected from you.

We are at present pretty quiet here, the curacy of Caversham is vacant, but we hear no more of any pretences to the sole nomination of it. We now talk of setting our young men at work; a good thing if proper hands are employed on subjects that may be of use. Broxholme is to put out a new edition of Virgil, I know not though how he will mend those we have. Fairfax to put out the Iliads, to answer the late Dean's edition of the Odyssey. But John Urry, because he is an antiquary, must put out a new edition of Chaucer; John scratches his head, and says he is at [our?] mercy and must not disobey, but he hopes our Governor he says will be a bishop before he can gather the materials for his work. These are all the projects that are yet in our view at home; our thoughts indeed seem to lie most abroad, and to aim at framing a party without doors, in which we shall certainly be disappointed, more than we have been in any thing at home. By Sacheverell we hope to make Magdalen and Christ Church go together. Delaune is reckoned as dependent on us, and we court Shippen, because so corrupt a man will certainly fall in, if we can make him hope to find his own account in it. But these noble allies put me in mind of Hoppy and Toppy, and yet I see not any one besides with whom we are likely to be upon any other terms than of bare civility. I believe we ourselves see and are not a

little mortified at it, that all others instead of courting us, as we expected, seem to be in the utmost distrust of us. Lancaster has certainly been beforehand with us and will be too hard for us, especially whilst we stand upon our own counsel and our own bottom, without any aid from the credit or advice of our old friends.

I hope my Lord Treasurer's last fit was the last effort of his distemper; pray put me out of pain, by telling me he is so.

1711, November 15.—You needed not to excuse the shortness of your letter, it had in it all that I desired to hear. Since my Lord Treasurer is likely to be well again, I can rest in hope that every thing else will go as we wish it should.

Mr. Buys and his kinsman dined and supped with me yesterday, they were recommended to our Governor by Mr. St. John. We dine with him to-day. I had provided Mr. Terry to wait on them round the university; our Governor thought I should have the sole credit of that mighty business, and therefore he would have one he said to represent him too; they are his own words. He deputed Mr. Alsop, so they have two attendants. Lord Privy Seal had recommended them too to a very honest man of Oriel. I have a very pleasant scene to tell you when I see you upon this occasion. Our Governor was angry that the Secretary had recommended them to him, and yet angry too that your Lordship had recommended them to me and not to him. He grudged them a little meat, and was yet afraid too lest I should outdo him in my entertainment; and all this to my face, in as plain words as I write to you. Poor man, his soul is under the torment of very different passions. I left it to him to take which day he pleased to entertain them, he said he would have Thursday, but if they should stay only one day, it would be proper for him, not for me, to entertain them; and therefore desired I would provide for them on Wednesday, and if he found they stayed no longer, he would take the dinner I had provided. I humbly begged his pardon for that, I said if I bespoke victuals for any one, they should eat it in my own house or not at all. After some squabble upon so decent a point, he agreed to take his chance whether they stayed Thursday or no. There was another difficulty too, for he was under an appointment to dine with Sacheverell at St. John's; that was put off. I pity Delaune. If he could not sell his victuals to the college kitchen, it may go hard with him to make new provision. Well, if we can but give content to our guests, it is no matter for our own squabbles about doing of it.

Our Governor is now sitting to a Dutchman who is here for his picture, it will soon appear in the world in mezzotints. He is very uneasy, and has observed it openly, that we have had no custom at our shop since he was our chief, not one of any degree entered since he came except two, which were coming before solely on Mr. Terry's account. He thought his name would have drawn the youth from all parts of the nation. Since his name won't do, he is going to try what his picture (*sic*).

As I am writing I have received a very angry message from my Governor, which I suppose will produce another quarrel. A tenant came to pay his rent, and complained that another of our tenants who joined to him, pretended to some part of that which was granted to him by our lease. Not going to dinner I sent him with Mr. Brooks to the audit house, that he might dine there, and have the leiger books looked into to see to whom the ground in dispute belonged. I did not think the Dean would have come in. He happened to come in, and found them talking about it, he was in a great passion. He goes out and calls Brooks and sends him just now to me, with a formal message, that I take upon me to hold chapters without him—though I have not been out of my study to-day—and he is “displeased” at me. My dear Lord, what shall I do? As soon as I have ended this letter I am going to submit myself to him, and to assure him that this was nothing but what is constant practice and could not be designed to encroach upon his authority. Guess what a comfortable life I lead, somewhat or other of this nature is started every day.

1711, November 17.—I hope the Parliament will be in good humour when they meet. I wish your Lordship could contrive that the Convocation may not be adjourned at Christmas. We would be glad to eat our mince pies in quiet; no victuals we have had for this last month have done us any good. You will find me, if you do me the honour to see me again, as spare in body as I am grown humble in mind. I told you in my last of the message which I received from my Governor as I was writing to you, it was the first reproof I believe that was ever sent by a servant to a Canon, and much more an officer who has served seven years, I hope without any great blemish. His predecessors were so gracious as to own us for their brethren, and if they had occasion to reprove a brother, which I believe no one of them ever did, would have done it by themselves and not by a servant. When I waited on him humbly to know the reason of it, he denied it, he said he only said in general that he took it ill. When I pressed him with the words of his message which were delivered by his express order, he put on a passion, said he would not talk with me, and went off with a toss of his head. But I will digest it. My good friend my Lord Keeper shall not have any hold to complain of me. Our Governor is now in a great rage with his friend Dr. Hammond; the occasion was that a gentleman who came from a tenant to desire an abatement of a fine, and had been personally known to Dr. Hammond for many years, came to him to talk with him about it. Dr. Hammond told him it would be to no purpose to desire any alteration, and advised him not to trouble himself about it. Dr. Hammond told the story innocently at dinner, there were no expressions of wrath at that time, but when I waited on him, there were many dark words about Canons presuming to treat of the College business with persons who had not first waited on him. I cleared myself, as I easily could, but upon enquiry of another I

found, to my no little joy, that brother Hammond was the person who had given this great offence, without knowing that he had done so.

Our Governor is now going to enter the lists with the Vice Chancellor, about the nomination of a beadle of beggars. I believe he is entirely in the wrong, but by what he said this afternoon he seems resolved on it. I had imprudently said somewhat to dissuade him, but upon recollection I stopped short, and I hope what I said has had no effect. But take no notice of this, till the noble quarrel breaks out.

We have not yet done with him about our curacies, though he has given up the pretence of a sole nomination, yet now he would have them have no instrument under the Common Seal, nor their nomination so much as registered. This is to leave room to start his pretence whenever he sees a fair opportunity, and to cover as well as he can the disappointment he has had. We must have new conferences upon this point; you see, my good Lord, how difficulties multiply upon us. He leaves us on Friday; if I can hold out till that day, I hope I may recruit enough in his absence to go through another month at his return.

Postscript. Since I wrote I have discovered one thing which our Governor thinks a great secret, and little dreams how I can come by it. The project about annexing some of the prebends in the gift of the Seal to some of our Headships is now set on foot again, whether sincerely I cannot yet determine. The Lord Keeper is to ask the Queen's leave, and our Dean is to have the choosing of the Colleges. The end of this is too plain to need to be mentioned. It must draw applications to him, to be sure too before the places are determined, and is to make his Lordship absolute here, where our Governor is to be his Viceroy. The ultimate end I can yet see is to make Sim [Harcourt] our member.

Pray let my Lord Treasurer know it and then keep it to yourself. There may be reasons I think to doubt whether the Queen should permit it.

1711, November 20.—I will be very willing to buy my peace of my Governor, with letting him have the whole credit of any thing in which I had a share, if that will do. The Dutch coming in so fairly into the Queen's measures has given the dead blow to the last ministry. I hope all will be as easy as we can wish at home, since they go so well abroad. This last attempt of the W[hig?] T[railors?] is a sign they look upon their case as desperate. Surely many must be concerned in it, and it may be easy to come at the bottom of it. I hope it won't be stifled as Guiscard's was. A full discovery of it might be of use at the meeting of the Parliament, and help to unite them and to put them in a good humour. All are in joy upon the hopes of a speedy peace.

I hope, now my Lord Treasurer is able to go abroad again, that John Drummond's business will be soon settled. I believe it would be a great ease to him to be at a certainty of that he is to expect, and be of a great use to him in the measures he must take as to his own private affairs.

Our Governor says he leaves us, as he says, on Friday; say and hold (*sic*). It would be a cordial indeed to my spirits, if I should see your Lordship next week. Our Governor begins to treat his students with tea in an afternoon, but I would tell him that won't do, if ever he attempts, of which we have yet no appearance, to revive discipline. I have given them wine, but that would not do, if I gave any good advice along with it. I must on this occasion tell your Lordship a story of Bishop Fell. He had suppressed the breakfast, which used to be somewhat riotous, of the bachelors on Egg Saturday before Lent. He came out at his back door, to put himself at the head of his House to go as usual to the Schools. He found nobody there, he sent to call the House together, nobody would stir out of their chamber. At last, upon his enquiring with some earnestness the reason of it, one answered him, *Si placet domine nolunt convenire sine vino*.

I beg you would let my Lord Treasurer know that the money due from the House to Dr. Woodroffe will amount as it comes in course of payment to about 280*l*. We hear there is a debt due from him to the Queen. We had rather the Queen should have this money than his creditors. He who had the sequestration upon his canonry had a sequestration for 839*l*., of which he has received above 700*l*. The original debt was but 200*l*., all the rest interest upon interest. There is indeed somewhat due from the Doctor to the House, and I hope we shall be allowed to pay ourselves first, but when that is done, the Queen may get more of the debt here than I believe will be found in any other place. I thought it my duty to give this notice that, if his Lordship thinks fit, the College at least may be enjoined not to pay elsewhere, till further order.

Mr. Urry gives his most humble duty to my Lord Treasurer and your Lordship, and with great thankfulness accepts of my Lord's offer. He will concert that with your Lordship when we see you here. We this day have once more come to a sort of truce with our Governor. It looks as if we should have a little fair weather again, at least I hope it will hold up till Friday. He goes up then with Sacheverell, the rendezvous is to-day at Delaune's.

1711, November 22.—Your Lordship will have a little ease for some time at least. You will neither have so long nor so impertinent letters from me, as you have had. We shall correspond as we used to do about ourselves or our friends. No more for some days of our ridiculous squabbles. We all hope here the peace cannot be defeated, we presume to drink my Lord Treasurer's health by the name of the Peacemaker. We are not surprised at anything we hear of the W[hig?] T[raitors?], these are the last efforts of the rage of disappointed villains. They know when the peace is made that they are at mercy, but surely so desperate a project, in which so many were concerned, may be searched to the bottom. We are more afraid here of your lenity than their villainy.

Pray let me know if you think there will be any occasion for a full House on the first day. Tom Rowney designs to be in town on Thursday, but if there were any occasion he would be there by Tuesday noon.

Poor old Moore that was Proctor for this diocese in Convocation is dead. Our Governor is making interest to set up Rob Freind, who is rector of Witney. I believe it might be easily carried if the Archbishop would let a writ go out to fill the vacancy ; but he never yet would upon any such occasion.

1711, November 25.—I have now for three days tasted the comfort of my old life here, but the joy of it almost oppresses me. I am like one who passes from one extreme to another, or rather I have passed so from a perpetual contention to a profound quiet. But the present calm makes me think how I shall bear a much greater joy about six weeks hence, when I shall have delivered up my staff, and have nothing to do but to study how to spend my time to my own satisfaction. I promise my Governor who thinks now he has too much of me, that he shall find it no easy matter then to get a sight of me, except at prayers.

I begin now to despair of seeing your Lordship till I wait on you in town. When you are entered into the House, you will scarce leave it, unless you go to the congress. If you design that, you would do well to be going before Christmas day, if you stay till after the holidays you shall take me along with you. Lord Dupplin is tied at home, by a better reason than his employment. But whilst you are a free man, I see not how your Lordship can divert yourself better than by being at so famous a negotiation. You will see there at once an epitome of Europe, and be within a due call if any affair of more consequence should require your presence at home.

We want to know a little more of your pageantry plot. We are as suspicious as you can [be] with us, of the designs of it, but we think you know more than you will impart to us and, like other wise men, we cannot see the reason why you conceal it. We want to know who promoted and contributed to the subscription. Your Lordship I hope is entering upon the world in a happy time, when we shall have peace established not only abroad, upon the extinction of so bloody a war, but at home too upon the crushing of a more bloody faction. The last attempt they will endeavour to make will it is likely be in the Parliament this session. But it looks as though they had not great hopes of doing much within doors, when they form such desperate projects without. I suppose you will soon see our Governor, pray let me hear what account he gives you of his brethren or his College. We are as yet very thin. We have one gentleman come who had always been designed for us, his name is Hill, his father is a Shropshire gentleman, I think he was one of the late Dean's parishioners at Wem. Mr. Terry is his tutor.

1711, November 27.—I will be a faithful steward for her Majesty, but I hope his Lordship will allow us to pay ourselves

first. That which is due for bread and beer, &c., though it come out of Dr. Woodroffe's share dividend, is not so properly his money as the College money.

We have this day done a very honest thing that will put our Governor, when he comes to know it, into a great fit of passion. He had charged his proxy Dr. Hammond, if any of the greatest leases we have should be offered in his absence to be renewed, not to proceed in them. It was a very extraordinary strain to pretend to tie up our hands from carrying on the College business in his absence. The very next post after he had left us, were sent offers from one that is the very best estate we have, and from another very good one. His friend Dr. Hammond has left him in the lurch, he called a chapter and we immediately set the old fines. He will never be persuaded but that I was in intelligence with the tenants to send their proposals just upon his leaving us; and Hammond is dipped, he will never forgive him, though he could do no otherwise than he did. Had he pretended to stop up we should all have been about his ears. I wish the Archbishop would keep the Convocation sitting till Christmas, we should then hear no more of our Governor probably till May, and might have a little breathing time.

Some company who were with me last night said the Queen had been already applied to about annexing those prebends I mentioned to our poorest headships, that my Lord Treasurer had moved it to her Majesty and that she had consented. If your Lordship knows whether any such thing has been done, pray let me hear in your next. If such are to be annexed, the librarian's place would be a more proper object of her Majesty's bounty than any headship, both on account of the great deservings of the man and the scandalous salary of his place.

1711, November 29.—I have this day heard of R. Morgan, though not from him; he is now at Hereford, and may have if he will both Ross and the canonry, but cannot yet determine with himself whether he shall take them. I told your Lordship where it would stick. If his own motives do not tell him it is proper for him, you can no more persuade him to take such a considerable preferment than you could our Dean to bate one shilling in a fine. If one could but have an art of transfusing souls, I would not have my dear friend's pure gold alloyed with any of our Governor's copper, but the least tincture of Robin's would burnish strangely the other's coarse ore. But it is a peculiar mercy in God not to leave Himself without witness in the world, but to raise up such pure bright souls to show others what human nature even in its present state is capable of.

May I give you joy yet of being a member? or are you not to be introduced till the Parliament sits. If you are easy in the House of Commons, as I hear from very good hands you are likely to be, surely you may lay the spirit of faction in the Lords. There is a little wand in your family that has never failed to charm on such occasions. I am glad my friend the Speaker answers all your desires, as I hear he does.

1711, December 1.—To show you how little I am concerned in any thing that passes out of my own doors, I assure you I knew nothing of Weston's degree till some days after it had passed. Your Lordship knows I always admired my godfather. In imitation of him I am turned hermit. I may indeed creep out of my cell after Christmas, to see how the world goes; but only to do as he does, to laugh at it for its madness, not to have any concern in it.

But I have [a] piece of news brought to me, which if true will afford you and the world a little sport. I am told there is an open quarrel betwixt Sacheverell and his friend Tilly. Upon Dr. Smalridge's resignation, Tilly is one of the competitors for St. Dunstan's. He desired his dear brother Sacheverell's interest, but the great man told him he was already engaged for another. The little angry man resented this to so great a degree that he bid him defiance, and threatens to publish him to the world. Tilly is obliging the world with a volume of his own compositions; he designs to print one sermon, which he lent as he says to Sacheverell, and which Sacheverell preached at St. Mary's as his own. Perhaps the famous Paul's sermon may prove to be Tilly's at last; but I hope our Governor will endeavour to compose any difference betwixt two such worthy friends.

Our Governor sent word last night to Dr. Hammond, that Mr. Gore had been with him, but that some new matter offered itself, which he was not apprised, and which he would lay before us when he returned. He had solemnly promised us in chapter that when he had seen Mr. Gore he would send his consent to his proxy. He vouchsafes not to tell his new objection, nor can he have any, but this is some new device to bring that matter about again if he could. I believe it will be determined at last in Chancery.

Tom Rowney desires your Lordship would let him know if the House will sit on Friday and if you think there will be any occasion for an honest man to be there on the first day.

1711, December 4.—Lord Dupplin was so kind as to let me know how his own family did and all too in York Buildings. I perceive by his Lordship that some spurs have lately been sent to Scotland and that his countrymen are posting up to town. I suppose gallowses may be had within a fortnight at reasonable rates.

The book of the management of the allies and the late ministry &c.* takes as much as you could wish it. It will put the country gentlemen in the temper you desire, they are very ready to battle it at home for a peace abroad. I am sorry I cannot be in town at the beginning of the fray, but you cannot do much before Christmas, and I will not be out of town many days after it.

Your acquaintance Sir Copleston [Bampfylde] drank your Lordship's health with me on Sunday night, he is come so far in his way to the Parliament and goes forward again to-morrow.

* Swift's "The Conduct of the Allies" was issued at the end of November this year. See "Journal to Stella" about this date.

Your Lordship enters into the world at a great juncture, the negotiations at home as well as abroad will be so important, that they who are so nearly concerned for you as I am know not well where to wish you. You have indeed a more immediate concern to be informed in that which relates to your own nation, and whilst you are here private concerns, that may be of some importance to you, may be attended as well as the public; [so] that I know not whether I should not recant the wish I had that you would go abroad. I only stick to my condition, that if you do go, I will go with you.

1711, December 6.—It was well our Governor was only a spectator, not a *conviva*. The sight of John Drummond would have spoiled his stomach. That same thing, conscience, will be impertinent sometimes, and come in upon those that think they have got rid of it.

I had heard from other hands that you hint about Lord N[ottingham?], it would be a strange part in one of his years, and at a time, too, when not one of those upon whose interest he has valued himself, will go along with him. It shows that passion can make fools of old men as well as young ones. If he is to be wrought upon, and it be thought worth while to do it, I believe the Speaker is the person that would have the greatest influence with him. There has been a great correspondence betwixt them.

Postscript. Since I wrote I have seen in the "Courant" Bothmar's memorial, I suppose it must come from himself or some of the Junto to whom he communicated it to the press. It looks as if done in concert with them; it has a very odd aspect at this juncture. May one ask you what you think of it in town? I fancy they will repent of it before the session ends.

1711, December 9.—You now know *Quantum nova gloria in armis et prudenter decus primo in certamine possit*.

I give you joy of the entire rout you have given the enemy in the left wing. You have lost a little ground in the right I hear, but I hope it will soon be recovered. Such a Treasurer at the head of such a body of gentlemen will secure himself and every one too. We hear how Lord Dismal [Nottingham] has behaved. Every one is sorry that he should throw away his reputation at such an age, but the advertisement in the "Post Boy" gives great diversion.

I wish I could write to you as cheerfully about our domestic affairs, but my heart is as heavy as the weather about them. You will hear from my brother Gasse [Gastrell] a full account of our Governor's pretences, which he has at last openly owned to Dr. Smalridge; an open rupture I am afraid will be unavoidable. All that we can do will be to manage so that he shall be obliged, if he will insist on his extravagant pretences, to make the complaint. We claim nothing but what we are able to show to have been the constant practice from the oldest registers we have within eleven years of our foundation. He says openly, if there is

a breach, it must be determined by the Keeper [Harcourt] and he doubts not of having justice from him. Whether he says this only to intimidate us, or whether he really has assurance from the Keeper of being supported by him I cannot yet judge. Some things look indeed as though he acted in concert with the Keeper, but he will be the first Dean who would ever own any other as our Visitor but the Queen. We shall certainly appeal to her and desire her to take immediate cognizance, by appointing a committee of Council to examine as has been usual, or any other commissioners she shall think fit. Perhaps the Keeper may insist upon it that he is Visitor; if he does, and carries his point, we know our fate, though we should appeal from him, as our predecessors have done, if the Queen will receive it. I must tell you one very odd thing of myself, I had asked the Keeper when he was here with me, what I must do with Dr. Woodroffe's money upon his death, I being to leave my office at Christmas, and yet the order of court being to me personal, and not to the Treasurer for the time being. He then would give me no answer. When I dined with him in town, as I sat next to him, he took me by the hand, and said "Cardinal, you were enquiring what you should do about Woodroffe's money, move me this term, it will cost you only two guineas, and I will give you an order to pay it to your successor." I reported this to the Dean and Chapter, our solicitor was ordered to have such a motion made, it was made by Mr. Jeffryes, and the Lord Keeper refused it, though he had put me upon it, and the thing itself was no favour but common justice. When our Dean was told of it, he said it was odd, but he indeed had not spoken to my Lord Keeper about it. This was to give me to understand that it was refused because I had not applied through him for it, but the extent which I expect from the Queen will make me easy. Adieu to the peace of my place, which was never yet broken from the first foundation of it to this unhappy hour.

1711, December 10.—I doubt not but the tide will soon be turned in the upper House. Not a mortal that does not exclaim against the dismal Lord; but it was barbarous in him to clip his poor son, whose future credit must depend in a good measure upon his behaviour at his first setting out. But I hope allowances will be made for the necessity the poor Lord is under.

I long for the next post to know what the good news will be that you give me hopes of, whether public or domestic, I hope rather the latter. We expect our Governor on Wednesday, and are saying our prayers to prepare ourselves for a state of squabble and contention. We are united entirely amongst ourselves; his attempts to divide us, and dealing with one and then with another, have only cemented us more closely. Dr. Hammond, his proxy, has made use of his full powers to seal Mr. Gore's lease, to prevent any more trouble on that head. It will make him flounce, but as he tells us that we may seek our remedy, let him seek his.

1711, December 13.—Our Governor is under the highest degree of resentment for our having signed Mr. Gore's lease, but he yet smothers it, and we know it only by his looks and his behaviour to us. But I suppose we shall have it all this evening, for he has summoned the Chapter then to attend him, and he can have no other business that I know of.

1711, December 16.—I have been out of order for two or three days, and am now in a little physick. But I would ask your Lordship how you do, and enquire whether I may depend upon seeing you on the 21st.

Our difficulties grow upon us, we have now some that arise amongst ourselves. Potter refuses to be Sub-dean, and insists that old Altham should bear office before him. Altham had been Treasurer for three years when he was Canon by King William's patent; since he came in by her present Majesty's patent he has had no office. Potter insists that being upon a new patent he ought not to plead the service done upon a former patent, in excuse of himself. This is very hard, and though perhaps true by the strict letter of the law is not so in equity; nor do I believe the Queen if appealed to by the different parties would give it against Altham.

I had no "Dyer" on Friday.

1711, December 18.—The news of the Occasional Bill is an extraordinary piece of policy indeed, I did not believe the first rumour of such a design. If they persist in it, they are infatuated. Do they think any one else will oppose it when it comes from them? Will there be any occasion to tell the Dissenters to whom they owe it? Now they have brought it in, I do not see how they can recover the false step they have made, if they should see it. If it comes to your House, that Bill will make the October [Club?] easy for this session, nor will there be any fear lest they should think themselves obliged for it to those who brought it in. I told your Lordship in my last of the difficulty we are like to have in choosing officers amongst ourselves. We know not yet how we shall get over it. We shall I believe choose Potter, he will I fancy refuse to serve or take his place as Sub-dean, though he is chosen. What course we shall take in so new a case, if it should happen, I yet see not. We must contrive to put him upon the complaint. We could manage these points much better if we could act in concert and confidence with our Governor. But the true reason why Potter declines the office is because he will not if he can help it serve under our Governor. He was desirous of the place under the late Dean, but no mortal will have to do with him than can help it. I pity poor Gasse, but I say nothing to him till he is fast. I think I have reason to know both, and am persuaded they can never agree for one hour together. Gasse will not bear to be snubbed as I have been; he looks on himself, as he well may, as his equal in all points but dignity, and as to obligations he is under great ones to Gasse, and Gasse under none to him.

I am somewhat better than I was, but no place agrees with me in this muggy weather but the town. I am hastening to it as fast [as] I can. I am afraid I shall not see you till I wait on you there. The Warden of All Souls is going to be married to one of Sir Sebastian Smith's daughters, a strapping lass, of a proper size for him.

1711, December 20.—I wish I could say I were well, but I have not yet got clear of my illness; and the vexation I have from the difficulties we have I am afraid helps it a little. Potter is obstinate and cannot yet be prevailed with to take the Sub-dean's office. An appeal I am afraid will be unavoidable on that account. Our Dean to-day in the audit has made his demand of all quarterly payments from the date of his patent. Never since the House was founded was any one paid but from the day of installation, and the advantage of all the time of vacancies went to the House. He offers to refer it to two lawyers, but we think we cannot alter the disposal of the revenue of the house but by particular order from the Queen. If he will petition the Queen and get us such an order we shall not oppose it. What he will do this afternoon we know not, we offer him to enter his claim in our books, that the passing of the accounts may be no prejudice to the rights he thinks he has, but I am afraid that will not do. I wish he does not break off the audit, and refuse to go on unless we comply with him. We have hitherto by management been too hard, and avoided all the occasions that he daily invents to provoke us to an open quarrel. On Tuesday night he sat with me and Gasse till two in the morning. You may guess what calm discourse we had, but his greatest quarrel with me at last was that he could not put me into a passion.

1711, December 23.—I thank God the audit is over, we prevailed with our Governor at last to let the account be signed, upon our signing a memorandum at the bottom [of] it, that the signing of it should be no prejudice to his claim. I suppose he will do by this as he has done by other things when he has blustered for a while, and finds it won't do; he will drop it. Our next difficulty comes on to-morrow about the choice of a Sub-dean. We are resolved to choose Potter, and try whether he will refuse to serve. We can justify ourselves in doing it to all the world. Our Governor seems wholly unconcerned at it, he must have some end of his own in his view that makes him so easy. I know of none that he can, unless he hopes he may have occasion of applying to my Lord Keeper on this point, and of having him owned as Visitor, which he may use afterwards in his own claims, but that [he] shan't do.

Our Governor yesterday admitted the new students, and forgot to bring a Bible with him to give them their oath on upon their admission, but when he found his mistake, he would not send cross the chapel for a Bible, but actually swore them upon a

common university statute book. When the Sub-dean told him of it afterwards in Chapter, he said it was all one, they had kissed the oath. He certainly is the first of our gown, or any other, that made a statute book in case of an oath equivalent to a Bible. The poor lads' admissions are certainly illegal, and can give them no right to anything they should claim by it, but let our Governor look to that. Had any other done so, he would have made the kingdom roar with it.

1711, December 24.—I bless God the audit and all our other difficulties are for the present quite over, we have chosen our officers, we have prevailed with Dr. Potter to be Sub-dean, we have had some contest with our Governor about jurisdiction, some points we have gained, others we have deferred to further consideration, and we have now a year to look before us. We were all resolved to bear any language to avoid coming to a breach, if that could be done without giving up rights, and we have been contented with entering our claims, and then to suspend them to a farther opportunity. I hope we shall have a little time to breath till the end of the session at least.

I beg my most humble duty and thanks to my Lord Treasurer for his great favour to Mr. Terry. If your Lordship is so kind as to give him notice of it only by sending his patent, be pleased to take care that the grant be to Thomas Terry, bachelor of divinity. Surely you will be able to control the Lords in a little time.

1712, August 27.* Hereford.—[At Gloucester] Brother Gasse and I spent the evening at the deanery with Mr. Dean [Chetwoode] and our schoolfellow Prebend[ary] Baines. The Dean had very good wine, and we had both entertainment and diversion very good from him. We dined yesterday at Ross, think the situation one of the most beautiful I ever saw, we came hither easily in the evening.

I forgot in my last to let your Lordship know that when the Lord Keeper said those things of our Dean amongst other company the Vice-Chancellor was there. The Vice-Chancellor was received with a great deal of stiff civility, and I believe those things were thrown out before him, to let him know how he must behave to the Dean if he expected favour at Cockrop.

We brought Bob [Freind] to confession at Burford, he owned the Dean had set his heart upon London, and that he was persuaded that he would refuse Worcester if it were offered to him.

1712, September 1. Bath.—I got safe to Bath on Saturday night, but most heartily tired, and with a great cold which I got upon the road. The night I came here I met with a packet from Oxford, which informed me, as I expected, that the Dean was not idle in my brother's and my absence. One attempt was directly against me, but the most extraordinary and most malicious one that I believe was ever made—a humble

* There are no letters written in the earlier part of 1712 in the collection.

representation of Charles Aldrich to him the Dean, a paper, a long one, drawn up every one word by the Dean, and which he had got Charles Aldrich to sign. It is levelled against Dr. Hammond and Dr. Burton in some points, but there are plain and many insinuations against me, as though I had not only embezzled his uncle's books and papers, but had stolen several hundred pounds of his money, and concludes with a request that Dr. Hammond and Dr. Burton would give under their hands what papers were taken out of his uncle's study, when they went in with me upon his uncle's death, and whether they saw any considerable sum of gold there; and that the Dean and Chapter would influence me to do him justice, and that I would declare upon oath what I did in his uncle's study, between the time of his uncle's death, when the key was sent to me by this gentleman himself, and his uncle's interment. This is our Dean's last blow I suppose, and he has used this poor wretch, after he had prevailed on him to deliver up his uncle's letters to him, to insinuate such villainies against Dr. Hammond and me, to whose kindness this poor fellow owes all that ever he had from his uncle, and I may say that the aversion which his uncle for many years had to him was removed by us only. As soon as I have leisure I will transcribe this paper for you, it speaks its author, and will need no comment upon it. I do not believe there ever was in the world a more infernal spirit, but he shall have my oath, though my Governor would discover little by that if I were guilty, if I had as little regard to an oath as I can prove he has. I have yet met with nothing here to entertain your Lordship. Here is much company, but not many of quality.

A letter that came hither this morning from the Secretary's office says Lord Bolingbroke is very ill, pray let me hear if there be any ground for it.

1712, September 3. Bath.—I have sent your Lordship the copy I promised you of the charge exhibited against me in my absence. I beg your Lordship would be pleased to show it to my Lord Treasurer. I am certain, as I could show by undoubted proofs, that it was every word drawn up by the Dean himself, and I am as certain too that he would deny it, if charged with it. His Lordship may in some measure judge by this of the temper of the man we have to deal with. It has been very grievous to be forced to employ our time wholly in ridiculous contests with him, and in fencing against him, but this shows a malice blacker than I could have suspected to have been in him. He begins with me, but others I doubt not would have their turn too, could he succeed in this. I am sorry there is any one of the profession capable of such actions. I believe, in the temper he is, he would not stick at any subornation that he thought he could promote safely to himself, to compass the lives of either me, brother Gasse, or George Smalridge. Let my Lord Keeper, who trusts him so much, have a care of himself. Should his Lordship ever disoblige his man, he perhaps too may

find what it is for one in so public a post to have trusted him. I had once thoughts of sending a copy of this with a letter to my Lord Keeper, but I know not but it may be better to defer it till I have held up my hand and my trial is over. I shall disappoint Mr. Dean. He depends upon it that he shall provoke me, and put me into a great passion by this. I shall be patient beyond his expectation and submit to as strict an inquisition as he can desire, and when I return, in full Chapter, the prosecutor present, I will have it examined line by line, and offer him my oath upon every article. I bless God, Dr. Hammond and Dr. Burton were with me when I first entered into the Dean's study, and are witnesses of all that was done and themselves assisted in sealing up the drawers. Though that was a caution, it was great odds I had not taken, considering the entire confidence in which I had lived with the late Dean for so many years, and the kindness I had showed to his nephew. And this poor wretch has been prevailed upon to sign this paper at near two years distance from the facts, and without ever asking me before about any one thing mentioned in the paper. But I will do him justice in the utmost manner he can desire, and when the impudent falsities of this paper are laid open, I shall leave the Dean to enjoy the honour of the malice of it.

Copy of memorial from Charles Aldrich enclosed.

1712, September 5. Bath.—Your Lordship may be certain I shall not fail to keep up my correspondence with you whilst my neck is in danger. By your Lordship's taking no notice of that I said in my first letter, I believe you thought I was in jest, but the paper I sent you in my last has convinced you, I believe, that I was in too good earnest. Upon the advice and importunity of friends, I have sent a copy to my Lord Keeper, with a letter humbly to express my own resentment and upon the score of old friendship to beg his Lordship would advise me what method I ought to take for my own justification. I have sent the letter by trusty T. Rowney, I shall at least discover by this how his Lordship approves of this way of managing differences in his friend the Dean. I am advised to bring an action in the Vice-Chancellor's court. This will not only bring me upon my oath, but him too, to discover who abetted him in his design and assisted; and perhaps too I may be able to make the Dean himself a party to my bill, and oblige him to take oath whether he was not concerned in it. I do not believe though I shall discover any thing by that, but since I am likely to come upon my oath, I must desire your Lordship to return to me those poor things you had from me, of Clemens' Epistle, the Satires of Horace, and the copies of the Geometry, the perfect and imperfect one, and the Epictetus. I must have it in my power to produce every scrap I had out of the study. I shall send you another Epictetus which I had designed in the place of this, though not quite so well bound, and this itself was a supernumerary one in the upper study. Be pleased to pack them up in one bundle directed to me at Christ Church, they will stay till I return. You see how I am

put to it to make good my honesty, when this villain, who is encouraged to sign this paper against me, is obliged to me for not demanding a good sum of him for books which I had bought for his uncle for some years before he died, as I bought all his books abroad, and which through forgetfulness his uncle never paid me, and I never demanded of the executor. I design, when I hear what Lord Keeper says, to write a letter to Mr. Dean himself, to remind him of his private obligations to me, to recapitulate all his life in short as far as I know it, and to ask him how he dares to receive such a paper against me, when he knows how much I have him in my power.

Here is a very senseless story that came down in a letter by the post to-day hither; that my godfather had invited a fool that is at the Court of Hanover—suppose there is more than one there—and given him money to ridicule the Duke of Marlborough, that the fool carried the money to old Sophy, who sent for my godfather, and reprimanded him.

Lord Bolingbroke has presented the fine ring to his lady; that will go a great way with a lady, but will not make full amends.

I am an utter stranger to Davies [of Hart Hall]. The design to support the namesake at Jesus is the greater for his Lordship's silence. Mede, Taylor the solicitor, clerk of Bridewell, our Dean's creature, and Dr. Henchman have been sent for to Oxford. They have been there for above a week, and may be there still perhaps, and have been several times over with our Dean and Harcourt at Cockrop.

The Vice-Chamberlain's Lady is here, and he is expected on Monday; Lady Mansel is expected to-night. It is said Lord and Lady Wharton will be here next week. Old [Master of the] Rolls [Trevor] is here, and does me the honour to converse with a poor Welsh relation; he asked me how old Altham [did], I told him he was very infirm; he laughed and said then your Dean will make a breach upon you if he can, and bring in a canon of his own, "Doctor, as well as I wish to your Dean, I do not desire that he should make the canons of Christ Church." I wonder why the old "veterator" said this, unless it were to pump me. I had not said one word to him of the College, nor did I make him any reply to this.

1712, September 7. Bath.—Were I with your Lordship to make my observations on the paper I sent to you, I could show, I think to a demonstration, that it is every word indited by the Dean himself. What is there that he is not capable of, who could do so mean as well as a malicious thing? How might this man serve any one who should indeed trust him with any thing of moment, that might be made use of against themselves? I told your Lordship in my last what I had done, and what I am further advised to do. I shall have time whilst I am here to turn it [in] my thoughts, but I could wish to know, if your Lordship could think it proper to enquire, what my Lord Treasurer thinks would be proper for me on this occasion.

Lord Huntingdon has been designed above three years for our House; some stop was put to it for some time by his guardian,

who is Archdeacon of Leicester, upon our present Dean's being preferred to us. His guardian, though no extraordinary man, yet had personal acquaintance with George Smalridge and Jonathan Kimberley, and had designed Jonathan's son for the young Lord's tutor. Alsop has a sister who lives with Lady Betty Hastings, she has been made use of to desire Lady Betty Hastings to recommend Mr. Alsop to the Archdeacon for her brother's tutor. The Archdeacon stuck at it, but the Dean who is at the bottom I hear is resolved on it, if the Lord comes. His enemies could not wish him to do any thing more to his disadvantage than to put one of that quality into Alsop's hands, who, as your Lordship knows, is so infamous for his neglect of Lord Salisbury and all others that ever were entrusted to him; and if I am not mistaken Mr. Dean could not do a thing that will more alienate those very students whom he sets up to court so much. It will be too a great encouragement to draw more men of quality to his House. Surely this man thinks himself above observing common measures with any part of mankind.

1712, September 10. Bath.—I hear from Oxford that care has been taken to make the noise of that scandalous paper so public that it will be absolutely necessary for me, for my own vindication, to have all parties upon oath in the Vice-Chancellor's court.

Lord Keeper has been at Oxford and dined and lay at the Deanery. Mede and Taylor and Judge Upton of Ireland were there to attend him; brother Gastrell waited on him in the morning. His Lordship talked to him of accommodating our differences, and said he would try what he could do, when we were all together in town next winter. Brother Gastrell told him somewhat had happened, which was personal betwixt the Dean and me, which he was afraid would not be determined but by a public decision. His Lordship protested he knew not of it, and was very inquisitive to hear it. Brother Gastrell told him that I designed to acquaint his Lordship with it, and he would not prevent me. In the afternoon Tom Rowney carried his Lordship in his own coach alone to Sandford; as they went Tom told him of it, his Lordship said he had heard it. I can scarce believe he had been alone with the Dean betwixt Dr. Gastrell's and Tom's speaking to him. His Lordship said further, he did not believe I would be guilty of any dishonest action, but I might be overseen in some matters, and not have acted so prudently as he could wish. But I hope to be able to justify my prudence as well as my honesty, though I perceive I must not expect much assistance from his Lordship to do it. However I am not sorry that I have written to him, I never expected other than I find, but I have left him without excuse. He cannot complain now that I did not apply to him. Where these things will end I know not, but I fancy they will recoil at last upon the person that contrives and fomentes them.

Lord Pembroke has been at Oxford and received the appeals of both parties; ordered the government to be carried on

by the other officers, till he had decided their differences; told them he would decide them when he returned to London with all the impartiality he could and should have regard to merit. He received J. W[ynne] with great kindness, he told him he had received several letters about their affairs. They must all come from the other side, for John had written none nor any for him that he knew of. I hope that affair will go as it ought and that all their wicked contrivances will be baffled. Since Harcourt has appealed to the Visitor, I do not believe it can be brought now to common law. That was what they would have done if they could. The Dean had not waited on Lord Pembroke, when my letters came from Oxford, though his Lordship has been a constant friend to us. He gave us 100*l.* to Peckwater. Lord Herbert gave 50*l.*, and Mr. Herbert 30*l.*; such benefactions deserve the respect of a visit at least.

When Tom Rowney asked the Dean himself how such a paper came to be given in against me in my absence, the Dean said that might seem hard indeed to those who did not know that Aldrich had come to town on purpose to offer it, and that no one knew of my going or when I would return, but that there were orders to send a copy of the charge to me.

1712, September 13. Bath.—I look on your advice as an instance of that sincere friendship I have had so much experience of from your Lordship, and I am resolved to follow it. It perfectly agrees with my own judgment; if I had written, it would have been in compliance with the opinion of others, who thought it might frighten. But that itself may look as though I desired to have this paper stifled, and thought to oblige him to it by threatening him with reprisals. It was out of submission to others, and not to my own judgment, that I wrote to my Lord Keeper himself. He may take that handle from it, if he suspect the Dean may be brought in at last, as to advise me to measures which may screen him; and he may pretend to resent it, if I should refuse to take his advice when I have asked it. But I should not have much regard to that, if he should advise me to any thing I think improper for me. I long to know what my Lord Treasurer says to it, and I hope your Lordship's next will bring me an account of it. I have enclosed a letter which I received last post from my brother Smalridge, it is so remarkable that I desire your Lordship should see it, and wish too you would show it to my Lord Treasurer, and then return it to me. Your Lordship will perceive by it that our Governor was the same at Carlisle that he is now with us, and by some gentlemen come from Exeter, whom I have met with here, I find they have the same opinion of him in the west as they have in the north.

On Tuesday the Archdeacon and minister of this place, Mr. Kimberly, and I dined with the Master of the Rolls; he entertained us for two hours after dinner with stories of his chaplain, our Governor, which were very diverting to us, though not much to the honour of his chaplain.

1712, September 15. Bath.—I beg your Lordship would be pleased to give my most humble thanks to my Lord Treasurer for the favour of his concern for me. I think it is a duty which I owe to his Lordship, as well as to myself, to have this matter laid open; that his Lordship may not be reproached for having received into his service such a rogue as I am represented to be. I hope I shall not have occasion to give any trouble on this score to any of my friends. I have nothing at present to beg of his Lordship, but that his Lordship would be pleased as far as he shall think proper to express his sense of these proceedings to my Lord Keeper. The Lord Keeper may say what he pleases to excuse his friend the Dean, but his Lordship I am confident *believes* as much as I do that every word was indited by the Dean. I wish his Lordship does not *know* it. I think it no great instance of kindness in his Lordship to say that he does not think me *dishonest*. I think he had experience of that for some years, when every groat of rent or what he got in the circuits was always left with me. And when he was used to draw on me, when I had no money of his in my hands, for whatever he had occasion for in the country, I am confident I lost money by it in some bills, which I had forgot to put down, and never drew on him again for.

[*The subject of Dr. Stratford's grievances against Dean Atterbury is continued at very great length for the rest of this letter.*]

1712, September 17. Bath.—I can come to no resolution till I know whether an action will lie in the Vice-Chancellor's court against Aldrich. It is worded with all the art possible to avoid being reached by the law, but I have sent to enquire of those who are best able to inform me. I shall then better judge what I am to do.

Though Lord Keeper thinks the Dean had no hand in it, the Dean will not say so much for himself. When brother Gastrell charged him with it in Chapter, he did not confess it, but he did not disown it; he only said, brother Gastrell had nothing to do to examine him. But some days ago the Dean was in brother Gastrell's counting house; upon mention of my name brother Gastrell said to Him, "have not you done yet with brother Stratford?" He grinned and went grumbling out of the room and said, "I have not done half I could do." Gastrell replied, "We are sure you will do what you can, we expect the worst." I think this was fairly owning the libel to be his own.

I have at last heard of his project for his *Certamen Poeticum*. Never surely was so wild a design as that he has for a fund for his prizes and to save his own pocket; but brother Gastrell will give your Lordship a full account of it; I will not prevent him.

1712, September 20. Bath.—I beg my service and my thanks to my friends in Scotland Yard for their kind concern for me. It would be of use to me if Mr. B. could remember from whom he heard of it, I might perhaps by that be able to guess at some of those who are employed by our Dean to disperse scandal for

him—uncommon care has been taken to send this into all parts. J. Urry was over here this week from Longleat; care had been taken to send John a copy of it. He owned not this to me, though he told me he had heard of it, but to Mr. Trevor. But I could not learn from Mr. Trevor from whom John had the copy.

The fund for the reward of achievements in poetry could be no one's project but his own, and is a signal proof of his own generosity, and of his honesty to the College, to design to divert to so ridiculous a use the chief fund we have had for fifty years past for our building, and the only fund we have any prospect of now, for paying that which is still due for the third side of Peckwater, and for finishing the inside of it. When my brother Gastrell waits on your Lordship at Windsor you will have from him a full account of our miserable condition.

1712, September 22. Bath.—The Dean has no just authority to invite a chamber fellow upon poor Palmer; it is the right of the Dean and Chapter to determine which chambers shall be single and which double, though when chambers are so distinguished, it is the Dean's right by custom to appoint who shall have them. Dr. Hammond and I went together to view Palmer's chamber. Upon our report it was agreed that it could not with any convenience be made a double chamber. Then at my request, Dr. Hammond, who was at that time the late Dean's proxy, a little before his death, gave it to Palmer. The Dean has no right to alter this without the concurrence of the chapter, though he may dispose of it to another single person, should it become vacant. When Palmer first apprehended a chamber fellow put into him, he applied to me. I was a very improper solicitor to the Dean; however I took an opportunity at a Chapter, when the Dean was by chance calm, to let him know upon what previous care the chamber had been disposed of to Palmer, and desired him to view it. He told me he would and seemed satisfied. If he now puts in another to him, it can be only to give an instance of his power, and in spite to me, because I had procured the chamber for Palmer. But how can we help ourselves in this or other cases without a public complaint of him? But I well hoped the concern my Lord Treasurer had showed for Palmer would have secured the poor lad from so notorious an injury.

Your Lordship took the most proper way in applying to Terry. And perhaps when our tyrant knows that your Lordship concerns yourself in it, he will forbear. He still has a respect for power and those who can do him further service; and that in this case and others too, if applied, would be a restraint to him. But till you who are in power signify to him that if he does not mend his manners he must expect nothing more from you, he will persist in everything, for he is above conscience or shame.

I am now resolved on the measures I shall take, upon advice on which I can rely. I shall bring one action against Charles Aldrich in the Vice-Chancellor's court for defamation. I shall bring another, as having an interest as canon in the late Dean's

will, to oblige him to show that he has executed the late Dean's will. This last will bring in Mr. Dean himself. Charles Aldrich must forswear himself, or own what papers belonging to the late Dean he has given up to this present Dean.

1712, September 24. Bath.—I shall find greater difficulty I am afraid than I apprehended at first in coming at my point, and be obliged to change my measures in some part. Your Lordship knows well that we have not one in Oxford of common sense in the civil law but old Bouchier, and his son is now assessor in the Vice-Chancellor's court, and is [of] no capacity himself, but does all by the father's direction. I sent brother Gastrell over to old Bouchier to get his advice how to proceed against Charles Aldrich, I have sent your Lordship a copy of his opinion. By that and by what passed betwixt him and brother Gastrell, I entirely agree with my brother Gastrell that the adversary had been with him before, and had his opinion and direction too. He was for excusing Charles Aldrich in every point, even in that of delivering up his uncle's letters.

Opinion of Thomas Bouchier enclosed.

1712, September 27. Bath.—We have a report here that the Duke of Beaufort is much out of order, not from any acute distemper, that I hear, but from a general ill state of health. He would be much missed in these parts, he has been of great use in the elections.

1712, September 29. Bath.—The prebend of Canterbury is certainly worth 200*l. per annum* or somewhat better, but not near so good as Windsor. I do not expect your Lordship should have any success, nor, had I been consulted, should I have advised the gentleman to apply to your Lordship upon this occasion. It is too forward, even if he had not lately received an instance of my Lord Treasurer's favour. Such forwardness must be to his prejudice with such a one as my Lord Treasurer; but I am certain that this is the effect of the new friendship he is entered into with our Dean, he has put him upon it, though I hear T. begins to be staggered a little at some of the Dean's proceedings, and to wish himself disengaged.

I shall long to hear what passes betwixt Lord Treasurer and Lord Keeper. By Lord Keeper's early application to Lord Treasurer before he had received any letter from me, one would be tempted to suspect that he had not been altogether a stranger to it. God alone knows what the consequences of such proceedings will be. Very ill to be sure, be the matters managed with never so much temper and prudence on our side, but the man grows every day more frantic, as you will hear from my brother Gasse.

1712, October 4. Bath.—I have been on a visit at Wells to the Bishop, or I had not missed your Lordship last post. I should make excuses to your Lordship for the trouble I have

given you, and not expect to receive them from you, though if my Lord Treasurer's indisposition should continue, I must beg that I may hear every post from your Lordship or Mr. Thomas.

I had sent to the Speaker a copy of the paper. This morning I received an answer, of which I have enclosed a copy to your Lordship. I beg you would show it to my Lord Treasurer, and to no one else. You will perceive by it how extensive as well as restless the malice of the gentleman is, though I bless God, in all points he has yet started, impotent. This scandal is wholly founded upon the meadow money, which in his paper he supposes to have been divided among the Canons. If it had been so, seven others had been equally guilty with me. But what is our condition who must be quiet under all these efforts of frenzy rather than malice? He ventures on that he does, because he presumes our hands are tied up from exposing him. Nor can we make any effectual or proper return to him in any place but the Privy Council.

May I enquire who wrote to your Lordship about the prebend of Canterbury, did Mr. T—— write himself, or the Dean in his behalf? If the latter wrote, he did it to lay an obligation on T—— though he never expected or desired any success in it. If it were so, I hope your Lordship will let T—— know that you expect he should apply to your Lordship, when he has occasion, by himself and not by another hand.

Enclosure—[William Bromley, Speaker, to Dr. Stratford.]

1712, September 29.—I thank you for the curiosity you sent me, it is a very extraordinary one, but of such a nature that it can do you no harm, for it is impossible it should gain credit with any, even of those that are not your friends, but must reflect most on the author and abettor—if any one can be so abandoned—of the scandal; but their malice does not stop here. I will transcribe a paragraph out of a letter I received last post from Sir Charles Holt. "Here is a surprising report got among the clergy of my neighbourhood, that our friend Dr. Stratford has been detected of making false accounts to the College, during his office of Treasurer, and has endeavoured by vile practices to defraud the College of great sums. I cannot believe there is any truth in this, but I am very uneasy till I am enabled to justify him from this scandal. The story is publicly talked of, and variously reported."

I have taken care to enable Sir Charles to justify you, and withal told him what I believe to have been the foundation of the report.

1712, October 6. Bath.—Here is a report given out here by the Whigs that there is a great breach betwixt my Lord Treasurer and Lord Bolingbroke. I hear Lord Bolingbroke is at Ashden Park with Sir W. Wyndham to hunt for some days. It is not a proper season for diversion, if he has acted a part that must be fatal to him, but I hope it is only given out by those who wish it.

I have just received the favour of your Lordship's. I am sorry it brings me no better news. I pray God preserve a health of such moment to us all. There is but one in Europe upon which more depends. Pray do not trouble my Lord whilst he is out of order with our impertinent squabbles.

I go to-morrow to Longleat with the Master of the Rolls. We return on Wednesday.

1712, October 8. Bath.—I went yesterday with the Master to Longleat, we returned to-day. I was ordered by the Viscount [Weymouth] to make his compliments to my Lord Treasurer and to your Lordship. Your Lordship is highly in the Viscount's favour. He spoke nothing but what your character will justify, but greater things than I expected from him of you. I suppose your Lordship is obliged to your humble servant John Urry, who is there, for the Viscount's good opinion.

I have now done my rambles. The Bishop of Bath and Wells will be here on Friday or Saturday. As soon as I have seen him I shall look homewards, and hope to be there again on Tuesday. I cannot be blamed if I am not in haste to go to execution. I have been learning my neck verse. I had much talk with the Master as we were alone on the road in his chariot. He is positive that I should not bring my action, but treat the matter with contempt; and give the Dean and Aldrich notice before witnesses that if Aldrich has any demands on me I am ready to answer them when they are made in a proper place. And then I shall have all the advantage of a defence, which will be much greater than if I am the actor.

1712, October 11. Bath.—I have not much malice in my heart, but I own I have a little in one point. I should not be sorry to see Lord Keeper, who has buoyed up this gentleman, and by his extravagant countenance of him fomented all our differences, himself embarrassed at last with him, and forced either to withdraw himself from his new friend, and then he will certainly hear of him, or be engaged by him in somewhat he will have reason to repent of. I have a strong persuasion on me that I shall live to see this, and I believe no advantage his Lordship can have from his alliance with the Dean will be an equivalent to him for the servants he will lose by espousing him.

My brother Smalridge and I have adjusted our journeys so as to meet on the same day at Oxford. He brings up with him memoirs of his predecessor's tyrannical reign at Carlisle; of his insolent behaviour to his brethren of the Chapter; of his exactions upon the tenants, and his extraordinary attempts for sole power; which may help to convince the world that our complaints are not without ground, since such practices are not new in him.

I was disappointed this morning in not hearing either from your Lordship or Mr. Thomas, but I hope that is a proof that Lord Treasurer is perfectly well.

The waters this last week have begun to reach that part for which I chiefly wanted them, the right side of my head, which

was struck with a cold last winter of which I never was quite clear. I find it sensibly better. I am pressed much to stay and not to leave that, till they have had all the effect I wish for from them, but I must go home, both for my own affair and the College business.

1712, October 16. Oxford.—I got home very well, I bless God, the last night and met here the favour of your Lordship's. My Lord Treasurer's approbation of the Master's advice has determined me, I shall do nothing at present, but take some of my brethren along with me to the Dean; and desire a Chapter may be called to consider what to do about the paper given in by Mr. Aldrich. If he calls a Chapter, I shall then desire Aldrich may be summoned to appear on a day appointed to answer such questions as the Chapter shall ask him. If he appears, after we have put such questions to him as we shall think proper, I shall tell him I am ready to answer all his demands on me when he brings them in a proper place. If the Dean either refuses to call a Chapter, or to summon Aldrich, or to answer the questions we ask, it will still increase the presumption of his being the contriver of the paper. The Dean of Carlisle, who met me here yesterday, had this morning a great deal of free discourse with our Governor. He would not own that he had anything to do in the paper. He said he did not countenance it, nor would he discountenance it. I believe we shall oblige him to quit the neutrality he affects, and either to declare against it or openly to abet it.

I believe my godfather [Thomas Harley] is very easy in quitting both the title and habit of his Excellency. I beg my duty to him, and my congratulations for his safe return. Did your Lordship receive the letter in which I had enclosed a copy of one I had from the Speaker? Your Lordship does not take any notice whether my Lord Treasurer had any further discourse with my Lord Keeper.

We all conclude my Lord Strafford would come upon no other errand than a general peace. God send it, then every place at home, except poor Christ Church, will be quiet. Perhaps too our Governor may be more temperate when the waters are less troubled about him.

1712, October 19. Christ Church.—I have received the box. I am sorry your Lordship had been at the trouble of binding those sheets, I hope to be [able] to make you amends for your loss, though I know not whether ever even these will be demanded of me. I believe I shall not part with them, but by due course of law.

I have one satisfaction since I came home, if it were allowable to take pleasure in it, to find that the gentleman who has attempted to make a rogue of me has effectually proved himself one by it. Not one man that I can hear, those who correspond with him as well as those who do not, who does look upon him not only to have countenanced the paper, but to have written every word of it. Indeed upon comparing notes with brother

Smalridge there appears so many particular expressions which are peculiar to him, and besides the turn both of thought and style, and some facts which Aldrich could have from no one but him, that it is demonstration to any one's private judgment, though it would not amount to a legal proof in court, that the paper was written by him.

His only support is the confidence he has in Lord Keeper, and I am afraid that is too well grounded. Since my Lord Treasurer spoke to Lord Keeper I hear from a sure hand that Lord Keeper in private discourse with one about our differences said the Dean would be too hard for us; at least he could mean nothing by this, but that the cause must come before him, and that he would give it right or wrong for the Dean.

We are told Lord Strafford has brought a new plan for peace. May we be allowed to ask what it is?

I can tell your Lordship some news that will be welcome to you. On Thursday last Decker came to an agreement with John Drummond's creditors, by which he is to pay them 30*l. per cent.* in two months' time and 20*l. per cent.* in six months' time more; and to pay besides to Guybons 2,500*l.* out of Mrs. Drummond's money now in Decker's hands. By this agreement, that honest unfortunate man will be at liberty again in eight months at farthest.

1712, October 22. Christ Church.—A Chapter was called to-day to consider about Aldrich's paper. The Dean came; Aldrich was without; and Dr. Smalridge proposed, in order to our peaceable proceeding, a question, whether every member of that board had not a right to propose such questions to Mr. Aldrich as he should think fit. Mr. Dean said "To that question I will give no answer, but I will acquaint you with something that looks towards an answer." The Canons took pen and paper into their hands, upon which the Dean said, "Nay, if you write down what is said here, I will go out of the Chapter." He rose out of his seat and cried "Good bye to you" and went out. Dr. Hammond, Dr. Burton, Dr. Smalridge and I followed him to his lodgings, in order to desire him to return to Chapter. He came out of his study, he would not let us speak; he bid us not to offer to come into his study, he did not desire to see us in any room of his house. He would neither hear any thing we had to say, nor give us any answer to any thing we asked. I must leave it to Dr. Smalridge to give you an account of the rage with which he treated us. Though no words can describe it, nor can any thing but a sight of it give any one a true idea of it, no footmen could be treated with the contumely we were. As we went out he cried, "I despise you." Dr. Smalridge thinks all his rage was real, I think it was in good part affected, and that he took the first opportunity he could to break up the Chapter, and to prevent Aldrich from being examined there. I am at present at a stand, but when I see further what I am to do, I will let your Lordship know.

1712, October 23.—Nothing more has happened since Tuesday. This day was appointed for examining into the ground of the Dean's own claim about money, but we have heard nothing of him. He will I believe keep his word with us. He told us at our parting from him, that he would never meet us again in Chapter till the previous points were settled. We fancy he has written to the Lord Keeper to know how far he may depend upon being supported by him. It is so, I believe, or else he acts this part to avoid having any Chapters whilst Dr. Smalridge is here. He is very uneasy at his presence. We are in a state of as great confusion as it is possible to imagine any body corporate. He will not allow us to propose any thing in Chapter but what he likes. He will not allow the Registrar to enter any thing in our register, but what he bids him do. If we put any question to him, he will give us no direct answer, he will exercise what power he pleases, without saying that he claims it. If we desire to know whether he claims such powers as he exercises, he will not he says tell us whether he claims or disclaims it. We have no remedy against this, but to throw ourselves at the Queen's feet. That we cannot do till my Lord Treasurer is pleased to give us leave; and yet we lie under a great disadvantage in this case. Should he complain first to the Keeper, we can have no remedy but to appeal from the Keeper to the Queen. In which case, besides the double trouble, we complain not only against our tyrant, but the Keeper himself, who would use all the interest he has in that case to have his own sentence justified. Our circumstances are to be pitied.

The Dean in all companies now lets them know what reasons he has for resentments against me; that I have written letters against him to the Lord Treasurer, that I have blasted him all over England, &c. He begins to be sensible that he is generally thought to be a rogue, and would, to excuse his own character, pretend that it is owing to my aspersions of him. But I look on all this talk to be, in other language, a plain confession that he wrote the paper himself, and would find reasons if he could to excuse himself for doing it.

My Lord Dupplin is pleased to give me a hint, of which I have had nothing from your Lordship, that I may shortly expect to hear of a marriage in the family. He leaves me entirely in the dark, whether it be of Lady Betty or your Lordship. There is no one will rejoice more heartily at either, or pray more earnestly than I do, that you may both be disposed of as I wish, but I will not press to know more of this than your Lordship is at liberty or disposed to communicate.

1712, October 26.—Indeed I heartily rejoice with your Lordship for the good news you have sent to me. I had I must own some intimation of it from another hand. I am pleased with it not so much on the account of the circumstances of quality and fortune, which are all very proper, as on the disposition of the young gentleman on which good Lady Betty's happiness must depend. It is, by all that I have heard, such as will make

her very easy, and the gentleman who promotes this will, by the influence he must have on the young gentleman, be very proper to do all those good offices, which may be a means to fix an unalterable affection betwixt them upon their first coming together. My old friend Phil [Bisse], will by this provide effectually for himself as well as for his nephew Carmarthen. He has a long head. He had open breach with our villain this summer, and they parted in terms of utter defiance to each other. It was my good fortune at that time to do my friend Phil some service with your father, in undeceiving him in some lies which our villain had conveyed to him by Lord Keeper, for no other end but to prejudice him against the Bishop of St. David's. Nothing can be a greater stab to our villain's heart than the news of the access which Phil must have to your father by this match. This treaty gives your Lordship without doubt an opportunity of seeing the Bishop of St. David's often. Pray inform him of everything that has passed here, if he knows it not already. Gastrell will do it when he comes up to town, but I should be glad his Lordship knew it as soon as may be.

Our villain's behaviour in Chapter was such as no one would have been guilty of whose judgment was not entirely overruled by his passion. I hope your Lordship diverted my Lord Treasurer with it. The gentleman himself begins to be sensible that the report of it may be to his prejudice. We had a Chapter again yesterday; amongst other things, he owned he was in a passion then, but said we had deserved from him every thing that was fit for him to say. He endeavoured to restrain himself, and to keep his temper with every body, but when he spoke to me he had no command of himself, and rage and spite flushed in his face. I told him I knew of no indignity that had been offered to him in the former Chapter; he turned upon me, in a rage, "You! you would think it no indignity if you s—t upon my head." Dr. Smalridge asked him if he thought such language proper to be used in Chapter. He replied, "You may write it down if you please, though I must own there is one word not very proper to be written." I then told him, any thing that was not proper to be written by us was not proper to have been spoken by him.

As we were reading leases, Charles Aldrich sent in to let the Dean know that he was there. The Dean said, "He had no orders from me to attend, ask him what he would have." He sent in word he desired to be admitted to the Chapter when we were at leisure. The Dean asked us if we would admit him, the Canons told him they would not admit him then, because it would be proper to have a public notary present when he was admitted, and our Chapter clerk was not present; and because they desired some matters in relation to the method of our proceedings might be adjusted before we admitted him, and that the Chapter clerk might be present when we debated on those matters. I then desired him to call another Chapter as soon as might be when the Chapter clerk was present. I could have no other answer, but that it would depend on accidents when he should have another

Chapter. I happened in discourse to say "*we* are of opinion," he turned upon me and cried, "*we!* what have you the whole Chapter in your belly, learn to be more modest." I told him I had, besides my own vote, the votes of Dr. Hammond and Dr. Gastrell as their proxy, and might without breach of modesty use that expression. But my poor belly it seems was an eyesore to him. He had ordered Charles to offer himself to this Chapter, because he knew we would not admit him in the Chapter clerk's absence, and then he might have a pretence to say that Aldrich offered himself and the Canons would not hear him. If your Lordship is tired with this stuff, you must blame yourself for laying your commands on me to acquaint you with what passed.

I must beg leave to pay my duty and compliments to my Lord Treasurer upon his receiving the Garter, by your Lordship, unless your Lordship thinks it more proper for me to write to his Lordship. I would not be wanting in any point of my duty, nor would I be impertinent. I consult your Lordship in this case, not as my Lord Treasurer's son, but as my own friend. Dr. Smalridge when he comes up will inform your Lordship that I have observed your commands, and kept my temper, as Dr. Smalridge says, beyond what he expected. Though the gentleman has pointed all his rage at me in Chapter, ever since I returned, he has been able yet to provoke me to nothing but a smile.

I have but one thing now to pray for, and then I shall be at ease in relation to your family, to hear that your Lordship is disposed of as I could wish, but I will ask no question, but wait for information as you are pleased to give it to me.

Postscript. Our Governor will not let his wife visit Mrs. Smalridge because she is in my house. She herself told Mrs. Smalridge that she could not wait on her because she was in the house of one with whom she was not acquainted; that if she went to her own house, whilst she stayed, she would wait on her. This must be by order, for the poor woman knows that brother Gastrell and I have had more than an ordinary acquaintance with her, and have been her counsellors and comforters.

Perhaps I may see your Lordship before the marriage. I hear Aldrich now gives out that he will go up this week to file a bill against me in Chancery, that he has *assurance* of success, that he pretends not to make good what he has insinuated against me, but that if he can but prove that I took a pin out of his uncle's study he shall carry his point, which is to expose me as he thinks and to put me to charge. The Dean's proceeding looks as though some such thing were intended. He ordered him to attend the Chapter when he knew he could not be admitted, that he might have a pretence to say that, since he could have no remedy from the Chapter, he would seek it elsewhere. I am told, too, he designs to drop all those impudent lies that insinuate felony, and only simply to desire that I may declare upon oath what I took out of the study. I am apt to think he will bring an action somewhere, which is that which I desire, but I am sure he

cannot bring it in Chancery without being liable to imprisonment and fine, and expulsion. But I shall not tell him this, and I believe the Vice-Chancellor is entirely disposed to do all he can in my favour. I had rather the cause were in Chancery than in any other place.

1712, October 28. Christ Church.—Your Lordship will have less trouble from me this post than you have had in some former. Nothing new has happened here since my last. We hear nothing from Mr. Dean about a Chapter. Aldrich went out of town on Saturday. Whether he be gone to town to file a bill against me I know not. I wish it may be so, though most are of opinion that his director will not let it go so far. A little time will show us what we are to expect, but I hear Mr. Dean himself is sensible that he has done some prejudice to his own cause by his late behaviour to us when we waited on him. He owns he was in the wrong, and has gone farther; so far as to say that he could be willing to live well again with Dr. Smalridge and Dr. Stratford.

This was said to us by one who heard him say it, but said he had no orders from him to say it to us, but we have had experience enough of him not to trust too easily to him, or to deal [with] him without good security.

I have had a letter from John Drummond, who begins to be somewhat easier upon the prospect of accommodating his affairs. They lose by Dick Hoare 3,000*l.*, for which they are forced to come into a composition of 12*s.* in the pound, to be paid in two or three years.

1712, October 30. Christ Church.—God Almighty begins to reward your father's virtue with those blessings which are most real, though not the most glittering, domestic ones. The next blessing to the having of such children as he has is to be able to dispose of them so much to his own satisfaction and their happiness. I doubt not but as good a Providence waits on your Lordship as on your sisters. I am pleased to hear the affair will be in a little time ended one way or other. The best thing for your Lordship, next to the accomplishment of it, would be the breaking of it.

My own affair has somewhat in it of the nature of Greg's, *si parca licet componere magnis*. I am now easy, for I think I see the very utmost they can make of it. And I thank God there will be nothing that can in the least reflect on my credit, and very little on my discretion. The utmost they can make of it is to instance in one or two things, which a man of caution would not have done if he had known he had had very rogues to deal with, but which any one would have done in my circumstances, that had to do with those who had been much obliged to him and whom he at that time thought honest. But, however, yesterday we prevailed with the Dean to appoint Tuesday next for considering this business; I shall then be able to give your Lordship some further account. We have reason to think here

that he is writing ; his pen is that which he trusts to most, next to my Lord Keeper.

But I fancy he will find his account as little in that as in any other of his measures ; we shall give him an answer which he little expects. We shall not employ ourselves so idly, or in a work so improper for our profession as to revile again, and to divert the world with our abusing one another. We shall only, in some solemn short paper to be signed by us, observe that this place from its foundation to his coming was at peace, that no place where he ever was before could be in peace, that we leave the world to judge of his character and ours. That we should think it improper for us as clergymen to follow the example he sets us, but that we hope we are able to justify our conduct in every point to the Queen when she is pleased to call upon us to do it.

I must conceal nothing from your Lordship, but you must keep that I tell you secret as yet. Bob [Freind] has renewed his *amour* with his old mistress, and entirely reconciled himself with her. It will be a match in a few months, that will bring him into a close correspondence again with his old friends and entirely take him off from the Dean. Then that gentleman will not have so much as one of his friends left that will converse with him.

This day was appointed to examine in Chapter the meadow money. When I produced my receipts from the workmen for that particular money the Dean was astonished, he desired to have them home with him to compare with the books, he said he was not good at these things. Dr. Smalridge asked him if he would not agree with them to declare that the money had not been divided amongst the Canons, nor put in my own pocket. He said if it appeared clear to him he would agree to declare it as soon as any of us, provided we would declare first that it was irregular not to have entered this in the public books. That was in effect that we would declare that though I was innocent yet he had reason for his suspicions. We told him reasons why it was not only improper but absurd to enter this in the public books. None would be allowed good by him. Dr. Smalridge said if he would not come in to declare his opinion, he must desire to know of his brethren what theirs was. He turned upon him, "You collect votes here, why don't you take this chair, you want to be in it, it is not come to that yet." After much noise, when he was desired to hear answers to what he said but would not, he declared he never suspected me of putting one farthing of this in my own pocket or any other money whatever, that he knew me to be a generous man. He took occasion to repeat this thrice, for what purpose I know not, but he said that I had contrived to conceal this matter from him, out of spite to him, to prevent his coming at it. Potter told him that there had been near a year's vacancy betwixt Dr. Jane's death and his instalment ; that he had received nothing that was due during that vacancy. This was a stabbing blow to all his pretences, he parted without doing anything. At parting I put the receipts

into his hands, and told him he might compare them with the books if he pleased against our next meeting.

This morning Dr. Altham had a letter from Charles Aldrich, to let him know that he had written one to the Dean, to be communicated to the Canons, to this effect: that he had waited some months for an answer to his representation from the reverend persons concerned in it, that since my return he had offered himself twice to the Chapter but could not be admitted; that since he could not be heard by us, he concluded he was permitted to endeavour to be heard elsewhere, &c. The Dean has stifled the letter he received and never mentioned it to us. There seems to be some change in the gentleman's measures, though he is ready to burst still with spite and passion. We shall see on Tuesday what he drives at.

1712, October 31.—I had not time in my letter yesterday to acquaint your Lordship with one matter of importance. When your Lordship was so kind as to give me notice at Bath that Lord Keeper had put the Dean's papers about his own claims, and about the Canons stifling Bishop Fell's Exhibitions, into my Lord Treasurer's hands, I remember not whether your Lordship said that the Dean had sent those papers to my Lord Treasurer by my Lord Keeper, or only that my Lord Keeper had put them into my Lord Treasurer's hands. I should be glad to know that. But be it as it will, I have kept myself within proper reserves. But yesterday when I insisted upon a resolution of the Chapter to vindicate me, Dr. Smalridge told him that, upon this paper, he had met with a report in Warwickshire that the Dean had openly in Chapter detected me of cheating the College of great sums. I told the Dean I had received the same account from Warwickshire, and further, that I had reason to be confident that this paper had been put into my Lord Treasurer's hands. I could not say it was sent by the Dean to my Lord Treasurer, or by whom it was delivered, but that my Lord had seen it. He said then, it must have been sent to my Lord Treasurer by Dr. Gastrell, and he laid his hand upon his breast and called God solemnly to witness that he had never given a copy of it to any person whatsoever. This was a rapper. It was necessary your Lordship should know it, that you may judge of the man we have to deal with by it. But I would be glad to know how far your Lordship would give me leave to take notice that I know how that paper came into my Lord Treasurer's hands. Your Lordship may be sure I shall not own any thing but what you allow me, but if it were so that my Lord Keeper did not deliver these papers as a secret to my Lord Treasurer, but owned that he had them from the Dean, or was desired by the Dean to deliver them to my Lord Treasurer, if I could be permitted to tell this to the Dean and to fix it on him, I should spoil his new trade, and make him incapable for ever of being a suborner. But I shall not speak the least tittle in this matter without your Lordship's express allowance.

DR. G. SMALRIDGE to LORD [HARLEY].*

[1712, October 31.]—My brother Stratford gives me leave to endorse his letter with my most humble respects to your Lordship, and I gladly take this opportunity of doing my brother the justice to assure your Lordship that I never yet in my life saw any man bear so ill, inhumane, and unchristian treatment with so much temper and patience. I don't look upon myself to be a very fiery man; but I could not promise that I could be so easy under so grievous provocations. My temper has been pretty much tried, but not in such a manner as my poor brother's. Mr. Dean is very much nettled at our disproof of his false accounts of brother Stratford about the meadow money, he has protested with all the solemnity in the world that he never gave a copy of the paper laid before us to any person whatsoever. But it so happens that none of our body give any credit to his most solemn asseverations.

DR. WILLIAM STRATFORD to LORD HARLEY.

1712, November 2.—Your Lordship was pleased to let me know at Bath that my Lord Treasurer was pleased to say that if he could do me any service in my affair, I should without scruple let him know it. His Lordship may do me if he pleases a very great service, by a thing to which no one concerned can take any just exceptions. I would only beg his Lordship would give that paper which was put into his hands, about the meadow money, to your Lordship, with orders to transmit it to me, and to let me know that his Lordship required to know what I could say to it. No one can take it ill that his Lordship should give a servant an opportunity of answering for himself, before his Lordship believes the accusation against him. But this would give me an opportunity of confounding of our tyrant, not only by returning to your Lordship an account of that affair attested by all my brethren, but by confronting the gentleman in open Chapter with the paper given out by himself, after he had solemnly called God to witness, before the whole Chapter, that he had never given out a copy of it to any one person whatsoever. Since our great men will not directly interpose to restrain this satanical fellow, they may I hope allow us such honest means as these are to humble him a little.

I told your Lordship that he pretended he was slow at accounts, and could not in chapter examine the receipts which I gave in to prove the disbursement of the meadow money, but desired to have my bills and the book of accounts home with him. This was what had never been desired by or granted to any Dean or Canon since the foundation, to examine public accounts in any other place than in Chapter. However I frankly put books and papers into his hands, and let him carry them home with him. What end does your Lordship think he had in his view, when he pretended not to be able to settle

*This letter is written on the fourth page of the preceding.

the account in Chapter, but desired to have the bills home with him? And what use do you think he has made of my ingenuity in giving the bills into his hands? He copied them, and sent privately for the workman, and ordered out of his book (*sic*) and bring him the account of the work of the meadow. It is a year's distance since the first bill was brought in and paid. Should there be any difference in the bills the workman is ordered to bring in now and in those he gave in a year ago, he may have a pretence to say the account is not yet clear to him, but that there was a collusion betwixt me and the workman.

We yesterday, as usual, invited him to dine with us at Bishop Fell's commemoration speech, though he had forbidden four of us his house the week before. We expected, according to custom, to have been invited after dinner to the Deanery, but he could not bring himself to that. He should not have accepted of our invitation, or have returned it. He preached this morning a sermon about conscience, a very good one, every word of it applicable to himself. He surely is under the highest degree that ever yet was, either of ignorance of himself or hardness of heart.

Postscript. I forgot to . . . to your Lordship that . . . the Dean did not invite any of the Canons to his house after dinner, yet he invited Dr. Newton and the students, who dined with us. We were not much mortified with it.

1712, November 4. Christ Church.—Charles Aldrich was this day before [us?], he desired to have an answer to his representation. Dr. Hammond and Burton desired to know whether he had ever made such a demand on them before. He said he would give no answer to any questions till he had an answer to his representation. We desired to take in writing what he desired and what he answered. The Dean ordered him to withdraw and would not let either his demands or answers be taken down whilst he was present. Then in his absence squabbled about his answers and would not let any words be written down but what he approved. If he liked not Aldrich's answers, he would dictate entire answers to him and say, you would say so and so; he would often alter part of his answers for him. When his answers had been written down and owned by Aldrich, the Dean upon second thoughts would insist to have them altered, lest they should be misunderstood. When Dr. Smalridge told the Dean that the Chapter desired Mr. Aldrich's answers, and not his, the Dean's, he said the poor man was in a consternation, and he thought himself obliged as a judge to put him right. It is the first time that a judge declared himself for the plaintiff, and would not let the plaintiff's depositions be taken in the plaintiff's (*sic*) presence. I then desired leave to give my answer to Aldrich's demand, that I was ready to deliver all I had out of his uncle's study when he would come to my house for them, and that I was ready to answer any demands upon oath when he desired it, before those who had authority to give it. I desired him to appoint a time when he would come

to receive what I had. The Dean bid him withdraw, and consider what to answer. I thought there had been no great need of study for an answer to such a desire. The Dean would not let him give any other answer but that he would come to me after dinner, but would not say that he would then appoint a time when to receive the late Dean's books and papers. I desired to ask some questions. The Dean told him it was his advice that he should answer no questions which I asked him out of a written paper, till the question was given to him in writing, and time allowed him to consider of it. This was the substance of what passed with Aldrich. I desired then the Dean would agree with my brethren to consider of the paper itself and to give their sense of it. He said he could not give his sense but in proportion to the evidence for the facts, and that he could not enter into an examination of the evidence lest it might forestall the evidence and blow upon it, which Mr. Aldrich might have occasion to use in court. I took occasion to put the Dean at parting in mind of his having had a key to my house for two years, and asked him how he would like it if I should at this distance of time desire him to declare upon oath what he did in my house every time he was [there]. I will send your Lordship when I have time a copy of what I said. He impudently said he had forgotten, if I had not told him, that ever he had a key to my house, and that he had never used it five times. He was there at least five times a week. The perjury is now owned. As the Dean was coming with Dr. Smalridge from divinity disputations, he called to him, and desired him in the dark, with great hesitation and reluctance, to tell Dr. Stratford that he was sorry he had affirmed that he had never given out a copy of that paper, for upon recollection he owned he had given out a copy of it to a certain person. He found I had discovered him, and was afraid I should fix it on him, and thought the best way to lessen the guilt would be to own it, before I fixed on him. But I hope my Lord Treasurer will still be so kind as to send the paper to me and to require me to give an answer to it. I have enclosed a copy of a paper signed by the Canons, which I desire you to communicate to my Lord Treasurer.

1712, November 6. Christ Church.—I beg my most humble duty and thanks to my Lord Treasurer, and a thousand thanks to your Lordship for your kind concern in my behalf. If I receive that paper from my Lord Treasurer, with orders to give him what answer I can to it, it will give effectual means to put him to as much shame as he is capable of having. I have sent your Lordship my answer beforehand. I had sent you last post in haste an incorrect copy. I have now enclosed a more correct one. I have sent your Lordship too a copy of that which I spoke to the Dean at parting in Chapter. I had almost added *man servant* and *maid servant*, but having reproved him for want of gravity in Chapter, lest I should offend myself, I left them

out. But let no one have a copy of this, I care not who has copies of the other paper.

On Tuesday in the afternoon Aldrich came to me with a proctor of the court, and demanded of me what things I had that had belonged to his late uncle. I gave them to him, and took his receipt; his proctor soon went off. Dr. Smalridge and Brooks were with me. Aldrich was going off, when I told him that he had now only things which I had taken out betwixt the time of his uncle's death and interment, but that I had other things of which he had made no demand. He might remember he had put the key into my hands a second time. He owned he had; that I had then taken out some books for my own reading, that I had exchanged some of the many duplicates his uncle [had] with those to whom his uncle had owed money for other books, and that I desired he would receive one, and give me his hand that he approved what I had done in the other, it being for his service. He did both; I then asked if he had any further demands. He said he would consider. Yesterday he was with Dr. Hammond and Dr. Burton, and they under their hands gave him a full answer to what he had demanded of them, and he under his hand acknowledged it. In the evening we sent to him not to go out of town till he heard more from us. He came to me this morning. Dr. Smalridge was with me. He told me he insisted on my oath, I told him he should have it when he pleased, but I expected he should call me before those who could tender it as soon as he could. He told me I should hear from him in a day or two. I suppose he will put me in the court to-morrow. When he was first with me, he was in such confusion, that if Dr. Smalridge had not out of too much candour hindered Brooks and me from squeezing him a little, we had brought him I believe to confess all. Dr. Hammond, by upbraiding him with his uncle's and his own kindness to him, put the poor fellow into great agonies. But the Dean had him to himself all the last evening and has steeled him, though he could hardly speak one plain word this morning; but I now see the end of this affair. Within a month we shall have gone through the forms of the court, and then, as Dr. Hammond told him, he must expect to hear from us. I pity the poor fellow, he is likely to be undone by it, but when we come upon him for the execution of his uncle's will I fancy the Dean himself will be forced to appear upon the public stage. I hope my Lord Keeper will come down and vouch in court for his honesty.

I told Mr. Aldrich we expected he should stay in town to be ready to appear if he were called before the Chapter. He said he would answer no questions till his own demands were fully answered, and he would stay in town if the Dean bid him. We shall do nothing with him till all is over in court lest, the Dean should say we do it to deter him from seeking justice.

Enclosure.

Endorsed by Lord Harley:—"A copy of what Dr. Strat[ford] said to the Dean in Chapter" [on November 4].

From the manner in which this paper was introduced to the Chapter, and from the countenance which you have now given to Mr. Aldrich, besides many other reasons, I have cause to think you had a share in the contrivance of it.

About March 1703 I was possessed as tenant of the Reverend Mr. Evans' house in the Little Cloisters, Westminster; soon after I was in possession of it, you desired that I would allow you a key to my house, by which you might come in at all times at your pleasure. I need not recite the reasons which you then alleged to me why a key to my house would be in many respects convenient to you, your condition was not then so plentiful as through the good providence of God it is at present. I had that affection for you, and confidence in you, that I gave you that proof of an entire friendship, which few persons give to any one, and I gave to no one but to yourself, the allowing you a key to my house.

By the advantage of that key, you had access to my house, to my study, and to all that I was at that time master of; you came in as *often* and as *privately* as you pleased; not only when I was there, but when I was absent in the country; you came often *alone*, and at other times brought others with you; you stayed there not for *three hours* only, but for days and nights together; you were possessed of this key, not only for *eight* days, but for near two years. During the time that you were possessed of a key to my house, several things were missing in it. When I removed to Oxford there were some books wanting in my study. Should I desire you at this distance of time, as I do not, to declare upon oath what you did in my house, whilst you had a key to it for so long a time, and used that key so often; and what books, papers, or other things you removed, would you think such dealing like that of a gentleman, a friend, a Christian, a clergyman, I had almost said of a brother of the same Chapter?

Mr. Dean, it is insinuated in this paper that I robbed the late Dean. I hope I can declare with a good conscience that I not only never broke the eighth commandment in relation to the late Dean, but that I never broke the tenth; that I never coveted any thing that was his.

1712, November 8.—My affair has had a much shorter issue than I expected; Mr. Dean on a sudden changed his measures. I had intelligence from a sure hand, which I must not trust to letter, that on Thursday evening the Dean sent Aldrich with his proctor to a person on whose advice the Dean has been directed by Lord Keeper in all extremities to rely. But this was the first time that he ever vouchsafed to ask advice. This must be a secret, because I had the whole in the utmost confidence from one to whom that person imparted all that passed. The person and I have had occasion to correspond

about business. Aldrich was to lay all before him, and to be determined by him whether to proceed or not. The person would take no fee, that he might be more at liberty to give his opinion. He told him it was a shameful business; he was so kind to me as to say he thought they had pitched upon the most improper man in the university to fix such scandals on. He advised them as a friend to stop, and to get clear of it as soon as they could. When the report was made to the Dean, it was resolved to stop, and this is the first time that ever he followed advice, as well as asked it. But the true reason was not to [be] owned, and a pretence was to be found out why Aldrich did not proceed. The Dean had been very angry with Mr. Aldrich for signing receipts for me; he was sensible Aldrich had cut the throat of his cause by it, and the Dean did not know how to draw up minutes for the libel, lest Aldrich's own receipts should contradict them. Aldrich came on Thursday night with a witness to Brooks and sent him to me, Dr. Hammond, and Dr. Burton to require copies of all that he had put his hand to. I bid Brooks tell him I expected he should come himself, if he had any thing to say to me. The Dean next morning sent Brooks to me, to know if I desired to have a Chapter. I sent him word I should be glad of a Chapter at any time. Soon after Aldrich came with his proctor to demand a copy of the receipts he had given me, for he could not proceed without them. I told him I did not know that I was obliged to give him a copy of receipts which he had voluntarily given to me before witnesses. His proctor said that he came only to let me know that Mr. Aldrich had been consulting those with whom he advised in this affair, and that they were of opinion he should stay and consider a little before he proceeded further. Dr. Smalridge told the proctor it was very well known with whom Mr. Aldrich consulted on this occasion.

As the Dean and Dr. Smalridge came together from prayers, the Dean said things were come to a great height, and he would be glad to talk with him about them. Dr. Smalridge went to him; in his conversation he pretended a concern for me, though he did not expect I would believe him, that every step I took was wrong (?), that I would find it so at last, especially my denial of a copy of that which Aldrich had subscribed. After a great many turnings and different ways of application to Dr. Smalridge, all ended in insinuating that if I was for the peace of the College, if I would take a voluntary oath, all might be ended. Dr. Smalridge told him he believed I would not think of that, for that he was certain I desired to have this matter examined to the bottom. Dr. Smalridge then expostulated with him for his usage of me; he said there is no treatment so bad which I had not deserved from him. Dr. Smalridge told him, were I so bad, yet there might be treatment which, though I deserved, he as a Christian should not give. He said it might be so, but then I had deserved from him all the treatment that a Christian might lawfully give to a heathen. No divinity that I know of but his own would allow a Christian to use a heathen ill. But this was not very

consistent with the tenderness he had pretended for me at the beginning of the conference.

The Chapter met at one, I told Mr. Aldrich that I before the Chapter told him that which I had said in my own house, that since he insisted on my oath, I expected he should call me as soon as he could before those who had authority to give it to me. All the Canons present told him they expected the same. I then asked him since I had answered his demands as far as I could, and that it was not my fault that I did not answer them further, I desired to know whether he was yet ready to answer the questions I should propose to him. He said he would answer no questions till I had given him copies of his receipts. Mr. Dean, when I insisted that Aldrich should be obliged to call me into court, said that might be inconvenient, but that if I would give a voluntary oath it might be ended. I told the Dean I would give no oath but in court. The Dean said I had a mind to get rid of it. Dr. Smalridge asked him with what face he could say so, when I pressed to be called into court. The Canons proposing some questions to Aldrich, the Dean interposed to tell him what he should answer, upon which Dr. Hammond said, since the Dean makes himself so much a party, I think we should take Mr. Aldrich's answers by themselves, and the Dean's by themselves. The Dean upon this bounced out his chair and ran out of the Chapter house. Several of the Canons called to Aldrich to stay, but he followed him. It was intimated to Aldrich that the Canons would suspend their proceedings till they saw whether he entered his libel against me, that it might not be said that any thing was done to deter him from seeking justice; but that if he did not soon do it, they would proceed to censure his paper and to punish him, though the Dean should not concur—and so they will. The tide is now turned, and I have only to consider if I can come at this fellow, and oblige him by any means to own who made him a tool.

At that Chapter I desired Mr. Dean to own that before my brethren, which he by Dr. Smalridge had owned to me in private, that he had called God to witness to that which was not true. He said he did not swear it, he owned he affirmed it. Dr. Smalridge told him he called God to witness. If he did, he said he was not concerned, for he had forgot himself, and he owned it as soon as he remembered it. I asked him if any poor wretch should for hire forswear himself in a court of justice and be indicted for it, whether he thought such a one would save his ears by saying he had forgot himself. He told me I was very rhetorical. Somewhat passed between him and Dr. Altham, and he used the old man very scurrilously.

In the morning at his own house, he owned to Dr. Smalridge that he had received from Aldrich many letters which had been written to the late Dean, but they were only such as related to the College. Dr. Smalridge pressed the late Dean's will on him; he said the Dean's will extended only to papers in his own handwriting. Dr. Smalridge told him that after the words

in my own handwriting followed, and all other papers whatsoever that he shall find me possessed of at the time of my decease, excepting papers relating to accounts. He would not own that he knew of those words. I have now time to breathe a little, which is more than your Lordship will do after reading all this stuff.

1712, November 11. Christ Church.—I had a letter yesterday from Robin Morgan, to let me know that he was hastening to Hereford, because he heard the Bishop was much indisposed. By the same post came a letter from Dr. Smalbroke, now at Hereford, to his wife here, in which he tells her the Bishop was dying.

My own affair is now at a stand, and I believe it will rest where it is till the audit. We shall allow the Dean's poor wretched tool full time to call me into court, that he may not say that we do any thing to deter him from seeking justice. When we meet again in a full Chapter, at the audit, we shall reassume it, and think in the mean time what measures to take about bringing the Dean and Aldrich into Court about the late Dean's letters.

Your Lordship has heard that my Lord Keeper has set aside the appointment of the Heads, by which Sir Thomas Cooke's benefaction was appointed to Magdalen Hall. It is now thought that it will be settled on Gloucester Hall. I know not in whom the nomination of the Head will be placed, probably in the Chancellor. Dr. Hudson would be glad of it I believe, I know my Lord Treasurer has been pleased to design some kindness for him, and for that reason I thought fit to give your Lordship this notice. He would be a proper person for the place, it would be some provision for him, and it would be done without any expense to the Queen of her preferments.

1712, November 13.—Another prebend of Canterbury is void, and your tutor Mr. Terry desires I would beg your Lordship's intercession in his behalf. I expect your Lordship should tell me you will do what you can, but you are afraid it will not do; but it might not be amiss if you should insinuate that, if a canonry here should be vacant, or the Hebrew professor's place, you believe you could have more hopes of success than for this place.

The judges are now upon examining the exercises of those who are candidates for the prizes of the *Certamen Poeticum*, but there is a great ferment amongst the young candidates; they say there is foul play. They have discovered that some had private notice of the theme, a long time before it was given out in public; that others have had verses made for them, and they suspect that it was resolved to whom the prizes should be given before the theme was published, and say already they will never more make verses upon this occasion. It would be pleasant enough if the lowest part of the College should be the first that takes up arms to rescue themselves from oppression.

Since my Lord Keeper was pleased to receive an accusation against me, I hope he will be so just as to be at the trouble of reading what can be alleged for my vindication. I could wish my

Lord Treasurer would be pleased to put the paper signed by the Canons into my Lord Keeper's hands. If his Lordship does not think it proper, I hope your Lordship will take an opportunity of showing it to my Lord Keeper. One in his station should not take it well that any one should use his favour, only to impose upon him and to use him as an instrument to convey gross lies.

Postscript. I heartily rejoice with your Lordship for the disappointment of the hellish design of which I had an account from Mr. Thomas. It is the most extravagant piece of wickedness that I ever heard of, and so diabolical that I can scarce think any one, but our Dean, could have thought of it. I hope you will not, as usual, neglect it, but do what you can to discover from what hand so kind a present came.

1712, November 16.—I hope your Lordship has been very well pleased with the entertainment you have had at Windsor, and I suppose you will still be better pleased with that you will have in town this week. I hear the marriage is then to be solemnized. I am pleased to hear my friends are in joy, though we are in a comfortless state, which every day grows worse and worse, and without the least prospect of any end, but by a visitation. I look upon my own affair as over. I think the fiend has done all he can to me, though he is as restless as he is malicious, and I must expect always that he will do me some mischief if he possibly can. But I have still a share in the common interest, and that is in such confusion that I see not how we can subsist two months without an appeal. We shall have a general meeting at the audit of all that belong to the Chapter except brother South. I believe then we must come to a resolution of joining in a petition to the Queen, and desiring her Majesty to appoint commissioners to examine and compose our differences. All we do without this is but patchwork. As fast as we stop the mischief in one place, it breaks out in another. For my own part I had rather throw up my canonry, than hold it in the wretched state we are; and I would lose not only that, but all my own little private fortune, sooner than submit to the insolence of one who persists in that he does upon no other ground but some assurance, which he thinks he has, that we shall never be permitted to prefer a complaint against him.

Dr. Smalridge yesterday desired of him to see the chief register of the College which he has in his hands—he positively refused him. Dr. Smalridge renewed his request to-day; he had thought better of it, and said if he came to his house he should see it, but he would not let it go out of his hands. At the same time he claims a right of taking what papers he pleases out of the treasury, and this very book ought to be kept in the treasury, but he has got it into his possession and will not restore it.

The victors in the *Certamen Poeticum* were declared this morning; it proved, as was expected, that Bromley was first, Le Hunt a commoner, Lord Keeper's kinsman, second, and the third was Prescott. I truly believe there was a juggle, as was all along suspected, and that measures were altered as to the last man and

Prescot was brought in, who is known to have been made student by me, and to be one to whom I am kind, to cover better the juggle in the two former. Terry owns to me that he is [of] opinion Bromley's verses were made for him, but not one word of this I pray. I must not own any thing I discover of this kind. This was contrived to make the Speaker easy again after the disobligation laid on him this summer.

1712, November 17. Christ Church.—You must allow me to be in the condition of a lady, who has not heard in some post from her lover; she may be uneasy at his silence, not from any suspicion of his unkindness, but from the concern she has for him and her ignorance how it is with him.

I anticipate to-morrow's post, that I may desire your Lordship to send to the Oxford waggon which will be in town on Wednesday for a little box directed to your Lordship. I did not forget to enquire of the Vice-Chancellor about the Xenophon, he has one single volume in large paper, but not that which your Lordship wants, but the Cyropaedia. Since I cannot complete your Lordship's books, I must make good my own promise of sending your Lordship my own; it is the fairest in England, it was picked out by the late Dean of all the bundles to be given to his friend and father (*sic*) my old cousin Sheldon. Two volumes the Dean bound before he gave them to my cousin, the rest he gave in sheets, but I bound them like the others and lettered them. Your Lordship must do me the honour to accept of them; I am in your debt too for the poor trifles I lately called out of your hands again. I cut them out of their binding before I gave them back to the villain, though he might perhaps have a title in law to the paper, he had none to the binding.

I have private intelligence that Lord Keeper designs to be at Cockrop for a week betwixt the end of the term and the Seals. Nothing can induce him to such a journey at this season, but a desire of conferring a little with our tyrant. I will certainly go to him, if I can swim through the waters betwixt this place and Cockrop; and unless he orders his doors to be shut upon me I will let him know somewhat he may be willing enough not to hear. I bless God, I am not afraid of showing my face to him either out of court or in court.

I had a letter this morning from Robin Morgan, he is returned to Hereford, he tells me the Bishop continues to rise and to go to bed at his usual hours, and that he comes down every day to his parlour, but that he certainly wears away apace, and that a revolution in that place cannot in all appearance be far off.

I am glad to perceive that South Sea rises, I had that faith in my Lord Treasurer as to venture all the little ready money that I have in it, I hope it will be at par before the Parliament meets. I expect some strange turn, for the worse I am afraid in my own soul, now I am got into the funds, which I never was before in my life. I may with more likelihood now be accused of stealing than I could two years ago.

One Houghton, an attorney, a known rogue, shot himself through the head here on Friday. When I heard of it, it shocked me, for I could not suppress the thought that I might live to hear some such thing of our Dean. This fellow had stolen a woman, a week before, whose father was able to give her 4,000*l.* It is said he had forged a licence by which they were married; he quarrelled that morning with his new bride, and went from her straight into his closet and shot himself. The under cook at Queen's had hanged himself but two days before.

The account of Duke Hamilton and Lord Mohun is very dismal. I suppose now the Parliament will begin to think again of a law against duels.

Postscript. Broxholme made Bromley's verses; this to yourself.

1712, November 20. Christ Church.—I suppose this good weather tempts your Lordship to stay at Windsor. My brother Smalridge leaves me to-morrow, he will wait on your Lordship as soon as he comes to town. Your Lordship will have from him many particulars of what has passed here, which could not be conveyed to you by letter.

We are told here that my Lord Keeper presses hard for the Bishopric of Raphoe for the President of St. John's. It would indeed be very convenient for the President's circumstances, there being 3,000*l.* which will come in to the next successor immediately, besides the yearly income which is 1,200*l. per annum.* But it seems somewhat odd in my Lord Keeper to expect to have such a bishopric for one he recommends, whilst his Lordship will do nothing to extinguish quarrels in a place where my Lord Treasurer desires to have peace; which quarrels must cease if they were not supported by my Lord Keeper.

We hear the Duchess of Newcastle has carried her cause in the Commons, against the validity of the will. I should be glad to hear this news confirmed by your Lordship.

1712, November 22.—I have read Thursday's "Examiner" with a great deal of pleasure, but I understood not all the persons that are referred to in it, nor who it was that Macartney offered to kill. I should be glad if your Lordship would send me one with a cipher in the margin. I think one thing very necessary, that my Lord Treasurer's friends should have a little care for him, since he has so little for himself. Every one is not of his Lordship's temper to live unconcerned in the midst of daggers, pistols and subornations. Though the world has no concern in my life, yet I have so much concern I own for myself as not to be altogether easy in the neighbourhood of one who would take me off by any of those means if he could hope to do it with indemnity to himself. But we cannot live in the state we are; our great friends will not show any dislike of what this man does. A dissolution is preferable to our present condition. I think we are now come to a resolution to throw ourselves at the Queen's feet. None are not ready for it but brother Gastrell and

Smalridge, and if they see the rest resolute I believe they will not let the petition go up without their names. Perhaps we may not be heard, but when we have lodged our complaint we have done all we can. We must then wait for the interposition of that God whose name our perjured Dean has blasphemed, by appealing to it in the denial of that which he was forced afterwards to own to be true.

When will your Lordship send J. Keill to us? I hear he stays in expectation of the decipherer's place. Is he likely to succeed?

1712, November 27. Christ Church.—I hear from all hands of the rage of the party about Macartney's villainy. It is said public collections are made for his support. This looks as though there was a combination of assassins. I cannot be without my fears on my Lord Treasurer's account. I hope his children and his friends will prevail on him to go better attended, to ease their fears, though he has himself none.

When I have notice of Lady Betty's wedding day, I will take care to celebrate it that evening here. I think I had a promise from her Ladyship that I should have the honour of doing that good office to her, but my old friend the Bishop of St. David's [Bisse] supplants me. I shall live to see him in Durham or London. Pray tell him he can make me no amends for the injury he does me, in taking this honour from me, but by giving me at least the best preferment he has in his gift when he comes into a better bishopric.

It would be very hard indeed if my Lord Treasurer should not be allowed to recommend to his own diocese the person that will be most acceptable to him.

I have sent your Lordship an odd account of a dream, but it is not more remarkable than it is true.

Enclosure.

Some few days before the news of Dr. Aldrich's death came into Ireland, the present Bishop of Londonderry dreamt that he saw Bishop Fell, who though dead was in great agonies of mind for his College; he foresaw that Dr. Aldrich would soon die, and then he did not know what would become of that society. The Bishop of Derry told him he had no reason to be so much concerned for them, that Dr. Smalridge would in all probability be made Dean, and that he was so excellent a man in all respects, and so well qualified to be a governor, that it was impossible but the College must flourish under him. To this Bishop Fell replied, if it was so I should have no uneasiness; but I know it will be otherwise, Dr. Smalridge will not be the man, Aldrich is to be succeeded by one who will do a great deal of mischief and bring all things into confusion amongst them. The Bishop of Derry told this dream to several of his family the next morning, at least three days before it could be known in Ireland that Dr. Aldrich was dead.

The Bishop of Derry is now in London.

1712, November 28.—Poor Wyat died this morning. St. Mary Hall is now in the gift of the Chancellor. There has not been one scholar in it for many years, but there will be the advantage of lodgings to any one who has it. Dr. Hudson would be glad of it for the present for the sake of the lodgings, and if any one can fill it again with scholars he will. Application will be made for him to the Duke of Ormond, and it will be hard if his Grace should refuse it to one of his great merit. Would my Lord Treasurer be pleased to let the Duke know that he has an esteem and concern for Dr. Hudson, I doubt not but the doctor would succeed in so reasonable and so small a desire.

I hear of no one yet that appears for Orator, but Dr. Newton.

Fenton and the elder Fowke carried the prizes yesterday in the *Certamen Oratoricum*.

1712, November 29.—Blechingden is actually Principal of Gloucester Hall, he had notice of it last night. This is to secure him to be Head when that hall is made a college. He will never resign his principality, but in favour of himself to be sure. I own this to be very dexterous in Lord Keeper.

Simon Harcourt yesterday took possession of Newnham, it was the estate of Mrs. Robinson, that married Lord Wemys. It is a very pleasant situation, and a fine estate. Lord Keeper pays for [it] 17,000*l.*, and Tom Rowney, who managed this bargain for him, tells me it is the cheapest pennyworth that ever was bought in Oxfordshire. His Lordship has laid out within two years 4,000*l.* at Cockrop. He has bought Sir Edmund Warcop's estate that joins to Cockrop for 10,000*l.* and now this purchase for 17,000*l.* It is plain there is money to be got by the Seals, and formerly money was got in the Treasury, but I do not hear that your father is about any purchase.

Digby Coates of All Souls is competitor for the Orator's place with Dr. Newton.

Mr. Dean begins to revive a claim he had once after two months given up openly in Chapter, the sole disposal of all College curacies. I suppose he will next claim the whole revenue, he has altogether as much pretence for it. He has actually put in one to officiate at Cowley by his own authority, but the pay goes through our hands. We shall stop that at quarter day. Great is the expectation of the College of what will pass at Christmas. I foresee disorder enough. I believe at Christmas eve we shall be in greater confusion than Trinity in Cambridge is.

It is thought Lord Keeper will be at Radley on Monday to see his new purchase. I shall endeavour to see him before he returns.

1712, December 2. Christ Church.—I hear from my brother Smalridge that he has been allowed to have an hour or two of your time. We are all sensible, as we ought to be, of your Lordship's concern for us, and I for the particular share I have in it. But our evils require a speedier remedy than that which your Lordship thinks we should wait for, nor are we so wicked as to

wish ourselves eased of that which is evil to us by that which must be greater to the public. God forbid that a son of perjuries should under this ministry be preferred to the mitre! Promotion surely is very improper for one to whom a pillory would be an unjustifiable mercy. Lord Keeper is much mistaken if he thinks we will spare his friend, that we may be rid of him. Be the event what it will, he shall be laid open to the world.

The denying the use of our seal to us, by which we lose the presentations to our curacies and livings; the refusing to let what all the Chapter besides himself agrees to be entered in our register or published in the hall; the leaving the College half a year without a proxy, are such things as no society but we would have borne with so long. But then if we come to other parts of his behaviour, his perjuries, his common swearing upon trifling occasions, his dreadful imprecations on himself and others, his lies, his insolence, his extortion from tenants, &c.; such a catalogue will appear that without doubt indeed he will be pinned down here; but I hope there is as little doubt that he will be humbled here. In Queen Elizabeth's time, Sampson, Dean of Christ Church, was deprived by the High Commission court for that which was but a peccadillo to any one of those hundreds we can prove upon this man. We can hope for nothing from Lord Keeper's interposition. He seems resolved to make good what he said at Cockrop in the summer, that he would stand by him in all things against all the world. I am fully persuaded that he consults Lord Keeper in all things, and acts by his direction. He would not dare to do that which he does, but that he is assured of support, or that we shall not be allowed to complain.

I have now had as good advice as the nation affords about the points in dispute betwixt us. We insist on nothing but what is undoubtedly our right by law. All advise us to have recourse to the Queen as the most certain and most honourable remedy for men of our profession. Can any one think it reasonable that we should defer it any longer? Surely those who say they are our friends will allow us to seek for a remedy where we can have it, since they think it not proper for themselves to give the least rebuke to this man. What has he deserved more than others, or what reason is there to fear him more than others, that all must be obliged to acquiesce under what he does? We are desirous to put all upon the issue of a public complaint. If the commissioners appointed to visit us decide against us, we need not have a greater punishment than we shall have from insults on us. But there are many reasons why no wise man would advise us to defer our complaint one day; we are now unanimous; we have several who were Canons in King Charles's time, and whose age will give weight to their testimony, as well as be an aggravation of his insolence. We are sure the Queen, whose life God preserve, whilst she lives will, from the opinion she had of this man before, be disposed to hearken to us. It is for our interest to lodge our complaint with the Queen, before the Lord Keeper possesses himself of the cause he will right or wrong give against us. We can indeed then appeal to the Queen, but

then we are under the prejudice of appealing against a Lord Keeper's sentence, who will use all the interest he has to support his own sentence, and many may be less willing to do right to us then, lest in doing it they should disoblige him and condemn what he has done. Let us come immediately to the Privy Council and not by appeal from the Keeper, and I venture my canonry upon the issue of the cause.

Dr. Gastrell, Dr. Smalridge, and I shall never I hope show ourselves unmindful of the obligations we have to my Lord Treasurer and the duty we owe to him; but consider, my Lord, there are five other Canons, who received their canonries from other interests. They do not look on themselves to be under those obligations we may to forbear a complaint, nor will their complaint be of less weight if we three should not sign it. If we are not parties to their complaint, they will summon us in as witnesses, and then we must confirm the truth of all they allege. Nor will it be of any service to our great friends to have this complaint deferred; for if they remove this man, and do not subdue him, they will certainly in a few weeks have as great complaints of him from any other place where they put him, and where they who suffer may not bear so long as we have done. It is force and power, not reason or kindness, that must work on him. I gave your Lordship notice that he was reviving his claim to the sole disposal of curacies, he now encourages Alsop not to ask us for his year of grace, but tells him he shall have it without the consent of the Canons. If it is not asked before Christmas, we are resolved to strike his name out of the Treasurer's books and to stop his allowances upon every article. He designs to claim at Christmas the sole nomination of officers, which we shall never allow, but shall break up I believe without naming officers or making a new roll. And then we are in a state of as great confusion as any enemy we have could wish us. We are almost so already. After all his boast of the unanimity of the students, never was society in a more wretched state; not two persons in the House that converse with one another with any confidence. They are jealous of each other, and of his little spies; not one man in the House that would be of use if he stays in it, that is not resolved to leave it the very first opportunity he can meet with. But this day will show what this man's interest is in his own House, as well as in the university. There is an universal opposition to Newton because he is recommended by our man. Newton's best friends have advised him to desist, but the Dean will not let him. On Sunday Newton gave out all over the university the enclosed paper. No copy was sent to Christ Church. The design was to insinuate to the university that, if they would choose him, he would revive the claim of the Orator to a canonry. It was certainly indited by our man, who never designed it in his heart, but hoped to get votes by it. It was to have been a secret to us till the election was over. A copy of it was brought to me, and I communicated it; it has worked as I expected. Half the students are resolved to vote with the Canons against the Dean and

Newton, and the Proctor of our House puts himself bravely at the head of the revolvers. The Dean last night came into the common room; desired their votes for Newton; and begged, whatever the event was, they would be unanimous. He was disordered in his looks, and faltered much in his speech, but he could not stir a man. This day ends the so much boasted of dependence of the students upon him. Of those who stay with him there are not six but do it only out of fear. This day too confirms an interest in the university against our man, which he will never be able to surmount, and crushes all the little designs for Mr. Harcourt. Clarke has declared he will not quit the county, and the gentlemen will not desert Mr. Clarke. Now the thoughts are on the University again for Harcourt, and this day will convince my Lord Keeper, if any thing can, how far our great Governor is able to serve him here. Harcourt has been in town since Sunday; he spent Sunday evening at the Deanery; he dined there yesterday: he passed by my lodgings both times without calling. I am not much mortified. I have known the time when father as well as son would have been glad to come here; when they could be admitted into no other house. I shall have opportunity to return his civilities when he tenders his sweet person to be our representative.

What goes before was written before dinner. The Dean has thrown up the game; Dr. Newton desists, and has been with Dr. Hammond, Dr. Altham and me, to assure us he had no ill intention in his paper. Mr. Dean has done himself some service by preventing that which never happened before since our foundation, a division of the College upon a public election; but that will not cure the division of his students at home, which will not only last, but, *si rite auguror*, increase, every day—every one now knows his character.

Your Lordship asked Dr. Smalridge what could be done. I know no way so probable as if he were told that if he did not immediately alter his conduct, we should have leave to complain to the Queen. We shall never submit to Lord Keeper's determination; if we are summoned before him as a judge, though we shall not refuse to appear, we will at the same time petition the Queen. I know not whether my brethren might not agree to refer themselves to my Lord Chief Justice Trevor, or the Attorney, or both of them. Lord Chief Justice Trevor was of our House, and has a kindness for us, and is not more acquainted with one party than the other.

Postscript. The Dean yesterday at dinner before Harcourt and Delaune, by way of upbraiding other heads, said aloud, every vote in my House goes along with me to-morrow; he keeps close to-day.

1712, December 4.—I am afraid I have tired you with the account of our impertinent squabbles, but you shall have ease. I shall only tell you that Mr. Harcourt is still here; how much longer he will stay I know not. Here are little cabals held every day, but amongst such I believe as will not be able to serve him

as he desires. He has gone by my door every day, but never yet called here, no doubt it is by order. You will think so, when I tell you what I desire you would mention to no one but my Lord Treasurer. I have now reason to think Lord Keeper himself saw the paper signed by Aldrich before it was delivered into the Chapter. I would not have this take air; it may prevent my coming at a full proof of it. Should it prove so, I leave your Lordship to judge whether we can expect anything fair or honest from his mediation. The meanness of the action in one of his station is almost incredible, but what can be the motive to one, whom I have endeavoured to oblige by all the ways in my poor power, to take such sordid means to ruin me, if he could? If this prove true, I believe your Lordship will think I have reason to be on my guard, and to judge that it is determined betwixt him and his friend to compass my ruin by one way or other. Your Lordship may be sure I shall not mention it, till I find more of it, and if I should be certain it is, I shall think a little how far it may be proper for me to take notice of it.

I am afraid Dr. Freind is going to play a worse part than he ever has done hitherto. He renewed his love to his mistress, begged pardon for what was past, gave her the utmost assurances that man could give that he would make good all that he ever promised to her. Our neighbour has had notice of it and has been doing all he can to persuade him to break off again, I am afraid with success; but all this to yourself. I am sorry for Dr. Freind's sake; if he does it, he will no more have ease in this world than happiness in the other.

Postscript. Pray enquire, if you think it proper, whether my Lord Treasurer did not write a letter lately to Lord Keeper about our affairs. Last night in company where our Dean and Mr. Harcourt were, one who was there told me the Dean received a letter; upon opening there were two letters, one as the gentleman thought in Lord Treasurer's hand, the other from Lord Keeper. The Dean immediately gave both to Harcourt to read.

1712, December 7.—I am afraid I am under your displeasure. I think I have not heard of your Lordship in ten days past. In my last I told your Lordship I had some reason to suspect that Lord Keeper had seen Aldrich's paper before it was given in to the Chapter. I have now proof of it, not sufficient indeed to be allowed in a court, but to convince your Lordship when I wait on you. And yet when my brother Gastrell first told him of it at Christ Church, he denied having heard of it, as strongly as the Dean did his having given out a copy of the other paper. This is very hard upon me, and a warning to me to be on my guard, and yet young Harcourt sups with me to-night. He called on me last night; I asked him if he [had] not gone by my door every day this week, he owned it, but that he still designed to call on me before he left the town. I told him I believed I was obliged to the weather for seeing him. After a short visit, he

appointed to come with T. Rowney and to sup with me this evening. I hope you will allow me to have learnt somewhat since I belonged to the court, when I can be upon a point of compliments with the son after I have been used so by the father. If I go on to improve in this way I may in time be qualified for better preferment. Brother Gastrell has given me an account of what passed betwixt him and Lord Keeper. He has only convinced me of that which I always thought, we [are] not to expect anything that is fair from his Lordship. We can never think it safe for ourselves or successors to submit our cause to him either as arbitrator or judge, though I had [rather] appear before him as judge than refer it to him as arbitrator. We may appeal from his sentence as judge, though if he summon us, though we shall not decline his jurisdiction, we shall at the same time appeal to a higher power. But since Lord Keeper thinks it beneath him to admit any others to be with him on any other terms than as advisers, methinks he should not desire to be concerned at all. Nor do I see why he should act at all but as judge. It is beneath him to act as a referee, and we will refer it at any time, if Lord Keeper is left out. Let us have Lord Trevor, the Dean have Judge Powell, and if it be thought necessary to have a third man, let them two pitch on him, and we will stand to that award.

If you think it proper, I wish you would let me know whether Lord Dupplin wrote any letter to our Dean on Monday or Tuesday last about St. Mary Hall—it is a very odd question that I ask, but if your Lordship thinks fit to give me an answer to it, I will let you know why I ask it. I hear from brother Gastrell, but not before, that Lord Dupplin is removed into Poland Street; that will be very convenient for me when I am in town. But I beg your Lordship would enquire whether my Lord Dupplin received a letter from me directed to him in Queen's Square, in answer to that which I had the honour to receive from his Lordship.

1712, December 8.—I can give your Lordship no other account than I have already of your father's letter. All I know was from one who was in company with Mr. Harcourt and the Dean on Wednesday last at Pembroke; he was one who knew your father's hand. The Dean's letters were brought to him, there was one from Lord Keeper and another, which this gentleman thought to be from your father, which when the Dean had read, he put them both into Mr. Harcourt's hand.

Your Lordship seems not to have heard of the disposal of St. Mary Hall, till you had it from the Speaker. Did not your Lordship receive a letter from me on Saturday se'nnight, which I wrote the day Wyatt died, to beg my Lord Treasurer's favour with the Duke of Ormond in behalf of Dr. Hudson? Your Lordship takes no notice of your having received any such letter from me, and yet the Dean on Thursday last in the morning could tell Dr. Hudson that my Lord Treasurer had sent to the Duke of Ormond to beg St. Mary Hall for him, and that the Duke had readily granted it. If this were so it is unaccountable to me

that your Lordship should not have heard of it sooner than from the Speaker. The Dean told John Hudson further that he had had notice of it from my Lord Dupplin, the Treasurer's son. I am sure John Hudson could not dream of this or feign it, for he asked me simply if there was not one Dupplin that was the Treasurer's son, and this was the reason of that I desired to know in the letter of yesterday's post. And I beg your Lordship would let me know, if you can, whether Lord Dupplin wrote to the Dean, or whether his Lordship was sent to the Duke of Ormond about it or if his Lordship was no way concerned in it; but [if] the place however was granted to Dr. Hudson at my Lord Treasurer's desire, how came the Dean to have so early notice of it, before any one else here knew of it? If all this should be without ground, I can guess whence his intelligence came, and for what purposes other circumstances were invented.

I am afraid it would be to no purpose to trouble your Lordship with any further accounts of our squabbles. Our condition admits of no remedy but that from which we are debarred; but though that may be delayed, yet it will be recurred to at last, if this man lives here a year longer. For my own part I have given over all thoughts of our affairs; I foresee a terrible confusion, but the greater it is the sooner we may be delivered from it. Our condition may speak for us, though we speak not for ourselves. I see the whole design, and where the support of it is expected. It is all in concert with Lord Keeper; but by that I told you in my last, your Lordship will easily think we shall never acquiesce under him as our Visitor. Should he, if we appeal to the Queen, insist, as he said he would, to be appointed by her to visit, we certainly would except against him and petition for commissioners less partial, and we have practice on our side; since upon all complaints, whether from the Dean and Chapter or from the students, the Crown always indeed appointed the Lord Keeper as one, but never as sole commissioner to decide our differences, indeed usually it was a committee of Council did it. But the scene now begins to open, and the reason of this strict alliance with our Dean to be seen by all the world. It is the very same we suspected from the beginning. All the bustle to make this man Dean, all the unjust support of him in all his villainies since he has been Dean, was only by his interest to influence things here as Lord Keeper should think most proper for his own turn, and to make young Simkin our representative. Now it appears that the young gentleman came not down to take possession of Newnham, but the university. For this reason he has been with the Dean from day to day round with some of his poor creatures. I suppose I owe the honour of his supping with me last night to the same purpose. We hear from several quarters that it is dropped in discourse that [Sir Wm.] Whitlock is old, that he will not desire to stand again, that if he should it would not be proper to choose one past service, and where can we choose better than Mr. Harcourt? To-morrow he is to be presented in Convocation to his master's degree. This is to be a sort of public

recommendation of him to the whole university, but all will not do. If I am not very much mistaken, the tide is strong against him. Could it be turned for him, as I believe it cannot, I have in reserve that which will quash this noble project at once. I foresee no common resentments from Lord Keeper upon such an occasion; then I suppose he will come in upon us with a high hand as Visitor to crush the poor Canons. The noise will be great, but I know not how it can be avoided, nor what it will end in; in other sort of quarrels perhaps than ours are, and between persons of more importance to the quiet of the world. But this was not unforetold before this man had the broad seal to be the destroyer of this Society. It is hard that we of this place must be the most unfortunate part of the clergy under this ministry. But let things go as they will I purpose to drink the Marchioness [of Carmarthen]'s health on Thursday night, and to wish that two sisters who are so equal in their goodness may be so too in their happiness, which depends on their husbands.

1712, December 9.—We were in expectation of Mr. Harcourt's inauguration to-day, but it is deferred, for what reason I know not. He stays here some time longer, as Mrs. Rowney tells me. Frequent cabals are held.

What I told your Lordship of Bob [Freind]'s relapse was true, but brother Gasse endeavoured to fix him once more before he came away. Time must show us whether he has done it.

We had a Chapter to-day; we were calm, though we differed. The Dean proposed to have one instrument sealed, we insisted to have another sealed first that had been first entered in the leiger book. We offered him to seal that he desired, if he would agree to let that we proposed be sealed afterwards. He told us roundly it should never be sealed whilst he was Dean, so we parted.

1712, December 11.—I would not interrupt your Lordship long in this time, as I suppose, of joy to the family, only if the marriage is over, I would beg your Lordship to make my compliments to my Lord Treasurer and all his family, and to present my most humble duty to my Lady Marchioness of Carmarthen, and to assure her that no servant that belongs to the family prays more heartily for her Ladyship's and her Lord's happiness. When I have notice from your Lordship of the marriage, I shall beg leave to present my duty to the Marquis of Carmarthen by the Bishop of St. David's.

I wish your Lordship could have leisure to write me one line only about that I mentioned concerning St. Mary Hall.

1712, December 12.—I am very sensible of your Lordship's great kindness in favouring me with so many letters when you are engaged in business of so great concern and satisfaction to yourself and to your family. I did suppose that which was said about the letter to be an impudent lie, and told for no other end

but to possess John Hudson and others that should hear of it by him with an opinion that it had been done by my Lord Treasurer at his desire. When I wait on your Lordship I can divert you with a great many stories very ridiculous, coined and given out for the same end, but I shall now trouble your Lordship with somewhat of more moment. Measures are concerted betwixt the Dean and his great friend to bring our affairs to an issue, but it will not be such I believe as they design.

Tom Rowney has acted the part of a friend to me in our late quarrels, in such a manner as I must never forget whilst I live. I have had intelligence from him which has been of great use to me, which I could have had from no one else. Tom was yesterday at Sir John Stonehouse's; after dinner Harcourt said he believed by that time a thing was done at Christ Church which would bring their differences there to a decision. That the Dean had resolved to turn out Mr. Brooks, the Chapter clerk, as on that day, and he believed it was done by the time he spake of it. That the Dean supposed the Canons would then appeal; that if they did, but refused to concur in business with him, then he himself would appeal. Mr. Harcourt added that the Canons had a notion that my Lord Treasurer would be joined with my Lord, his father, to determine their differences, but the Canons would find themselves mistaken, for his father was resolved to have the sole determination of them. Tom made a pretence to come away when he had heard this, and rode up straight to my door to tell this to me. I desire no one may know this but yourself and my Lord Treasurer. The Dean has attempted nothing yet, and I am apt to think he has laid aside this project or at least deferred it. He finds upon enquiry the pretence he designed to have taken for turning Brooks out to fail him. It was an instrument entered in our leiger book, which he thought had been entered by Brooks, but which we had taken care to have entered by his clerk, when Brooks himself was at London. Should he pretend to turn him out before Christmas, we should have no officers elected then, nor any removes from the several tables; but there is a thing which still weighs more with the Dean than all other reasons. A great glut of fines is to come in within six weeks; the Dean's share will amount to about 400*l.*; should he pretend to dismiss our Chapter clerk, all these would be at a stand, we would not seal nothing (*sic*). I am apt to think if he ventures to do it, it will not be till a day or two before he comes up to town, but I will not however answer for what he may do; he goes not by any rules that other men do. A packet was sent over to Mr. Harcourt this morning, whether to give notice of a change of counsels, or to desire Lord Keeper's approbation of those already taken, I know not. Harcourt will be in town again on Saturday. They are mistaken in all their points; the Canons never expected the Lord Treasurer should be joined with Lord Keeper, nor did they ever think it proper that Lord Treasurer should have any trouble about their impertinent quarrels; but the Canons are resolved, if they can help it, that Lord Keeper shall not have the sole decision

of them. We shall not appeal, let the Dean do what he will, but lie still, and put a stop to all business. South Sea stock rises, I hope I can hold out half a year without my canoury. If the Dean appeals, we know what we have to do, but we shall have time enough to consider, and we shall do nothing but with Lord Treasurer's leave and approbation. We are in hourly expectation of this noble stroke or some other of the like nature. I do believe he will do somewhat very extravagant betwixt this and Christmas.

Tom Rowney, brother Gastrell, John Urry, Tom Terry are to meet at my house on Monday night to drink the bride's good health. I will be so impudent as to say we shall do it in as good wine as any you will have at the bridal supper.

1712, December 13.—The blow is struck; it was struck whilst I was writing my last letter to your Lordship. The Dean sent for poor Mr. Brooks a little after three o'clock on Friday, and told him he dismissed him from his place. That you may see what passed I have sent your Lordship a copy of what Brooks could recollect when he came home. The Dean came to-day to the Canons as they were at dinner without giving them any notice. I was not at dinner. Brooks was with them. He told them he had turned out their Chapter clerk, and had put another in for various reasons. They desired to know his reasons; he gave none that I could hear. Dr. Hammond and all there told him they could not allow him to put out or put in a Chapter clerk without their consent. He told them he would hold no more Chapters but in the presence of the Chapter clerk whom he had nominated. They told him they would hold none but with their old Chapter clerk. Then, says he, it will be to no purpose to call any more, and so he went off. We must meet again on Thursday, though not capitularly, yet as trustees to dispose of an exhibition; we shall then offer the Dean, for the sake of carrying on the business necessary for the support of the College, to proceed without any Chapter clerk, either his or our own, and to attest our acts ourselves as our predecessors did before they had a Chapter clerk. If he refuses this, as I believe he will, we are as it were dissolved. We shall have no officers at Christmas, nor will there be any one that I know who will have sufficient authority to receive or pay the money necessary for the support of the House.

I cannot tell how to account for this proceeding, if it is done without the knowledge and allowance of Lord Keeper it is down right madness, and I should think Lord Keeper would not allow this. Lord Keeper had a particular kindness for this man, has in his time received many guineas from him, promised him just before he was Keeper, in my house, to do somewhat for him, has his son now in his service; and always employed this man in all his own business here in the country. The Dean had last winter written to Lord Keeper to tell him he could do no good in the Chapter whilst this Chapter clerk was in, and to desire his

consent for turning him out. Lord Keeper then said, "Hold! not so fast neither, John Brooks must not out." I can scarce think this is done with Lord Keeper's knowledge and allowance, but the Dean for this fortnight has had constant correspondence with Lord Keeper, either directly or by Mr. Harcourt. Mr. Harcourt was acquainted with it some days before it was done. If it was done without Lord Keeper's privity it could be done with no other view but to make any accommodation by way of reference impracticable. I suppose Lord Keeper had given him notice that he would be pressed to that to prevent it, therefore he was resolved to put things into such a condition as should be capable of no determination but by a public decision, in which he supposes Lord Keeper will be the sole judge.

We are now, my Lord, much at a loss how to proceed, it is some comfort to us that it will appear to all the world that the extremity we are in is not owing to us in the least, but how to get out of it we do not well see, without such measures as have not yet been thought advisable. If we do not appeal, we believe he will. That which we apprehend is, that he will bring on us an injunction from my Lord Keeper to command us to act with the new Chapter clerk, named by the Dean, and to acquiesce in all other points till he can hear and decide our quarrels; that would be a short way to enslave us. He may never proceed to hear, and in the mean time subject us to the Dean's tyranny; we can indeed appeal from such an injunction, but it would be easier to prevent it than to appeal from it. Since our differences must now be decided by public authority, they can no longer be a secret, they will be as much known to the Queen, if we are summoned before my Lord Keeper, as if we petition her. But it concerns the Queen's prerogative, as well as our own interest, that we should apply first to her. We would desire leave for no more than to set forth in a short petition, that whereas there are many differences which put a stop to the business of the College, we humbly beg her Majesty would order things to continue as they were before the present Dean came, till she shall think fit to order commissioners to hear and decide our disputes. We hope this may not be thought unreasonable; this is the shortest way of making us quiet. Might we have leave to petition for such an order, we should not be concerned for any further proceedings. We should rest contented under it, unless the Dean himself should force a visitation. I cannot see any other way we have of subsisting as a Society, without an immediate visitation. But we shall do nothing without my Lord Treasurer's leave. Our brother Smalridge is desired by us to wait on my Lord, as soon as my Lord's leisure will allow him access, to lay our state before him, and to desire his Lordship's advice and direction. We would desire only to have leave to have a petition ready, that in case the Dean appeals to the Lord Keeper, we may be able to lodge it with the Queen before we can receive a summons from the Lord Keeper. This acting is very surprising, I thought my Lord Keeper, at my Lord Treasurer's

desire, would at least have restrained the Dean from any new outrage, if he had done no more.

I have sent your Lordship a copy of the most solemn decision that ever was upon any complaint from this place. It appears by it that the Lord Keeper was not then thought our Visitor, but only one of the committee of Council that heard it.

In Queen Elizabeth's time, the committee used to be the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Lord Keeper, and the Chancellor of the University. Afterwards in 1632, two Canons quarrelled about their lodgings and appealed to the Lord Coventry; he sent an injunction. Your Lordship will see the petition of the Dean and Chapter upon it, and the King's answer, by which though the King allows them to have recourse to the Lord Keeper and requires them to obey his orders, yet at the same time he allows them, whenever they desire it, to have immediate recourse to himself, or they may appeal from the Keeper to him. In fact ever since that time, upon all occasions, which have been many, we have always applied directly to the King, and had his orders by the Secretary. There is too in a book which the Dean has got into his hands one letter from King Charles I. in 1643, in which he declares himself our sole Visitor.

I have laid our miserable state before your Lordship; I believe it cannot be longer concealed. We could wish, but my Lord Treasurer knows best, that her Majesty heard of it from my Lord Treasurer, before she heard of it from any one else. Mr. Harcourt retired to Radley whilst this noble feat was to be done here, but your Lordship will perceive by my former that he had been let into it. Yesterday the Dean sent his servant to bring him to town again. He came in the evening. The Dean and Delaune went with him to the Vice-Chancellor, to desire he might be presented to-day to his Master's degree. The Vice-Chancellor invited them to dine with him to-day; called a Convocation this afternoon, and Mr. Harcourt was presented by the new Orator. As the Dean was coming from the Canons to-day, he met me in the quadrangle, and came up to me; Terry was with me; he told me *Lord* Harcourt was to be presented in the afternoon to his degree, and he hoped I would be there; I told him I should certainly attend his Lordship. It is not yet publicly owned, but every one understands that he is to be put up to be our representative. The opposition will be great, and I believe very effectual; then the Dean will have the honour to have put the University into as great a flame as he has done his own College. The University has been in perfect peace for twelve years past, and this, for aught I can see, is the sole end for which our Dean is espoused, and such combustions are to be raised. These are rare politics! Blechingden's being made Principal of Gloucester Hall has quite spoiled the credit of the Lord Keeper's decree. His Lordship disowns his having had any hand in making Blechingden Principal, but nobody will believe. That alone has fixed no small party against his son. I am sorry I must trouble your Lordship with such stuff as this, at a time of so much joy to your family. But the prosperity of

every branch of you, as it will be my prayer, so it will be a comfort to me, in any condition we are in, be it what it will. I told you in my last who are to meet in my parlour on Monday night to drink the bride and bridegroom's health.

Postscript. Poor Brooks's case is very hard. He has I think nine children; he was put in by Bishop Fell and the unanimous consent of the Canons. After thirty years' service and more he is to be turned to the wide world for a new livelihood. I believe he is as honest and as able a servant as ever belonged to a Society. I am sure our business cannot go on without him, but our Christian Dean is *hard* upon no one but when it is for his own *ease*. Is the "Divel" himself more? This poor man has been in hourly attendance upon the Dean ever since he came, he has been forced to neglect all other business to wait on him. He has often, I believe, between six in the morning and nine at night, been sent for twelve times in a day. He has made collections and extracts for the Dean out of all books he could have any occasion for, he has done his own private business for him, he never had a farthing from him, he has been used with the utmost insolence, he has been sent on errands I would not send my footman, and now the Dean would kick him off, just as he did his wench, when he has had his use of him and wants no more of him, to introduce one who would attest right or wrong whatever he bids him.

When St. Katherine's Hospital was visited, Lord Somers was appointed one of the commissioners. Before the commission was opened, I think, he entered a protestation to save his own right of being Visitor as Chancellor, but afterwards sate with the commissioners and acted as one of them.

Jeffryes the chaplain, who was put in by the Dean at Cowley, came to the Treasurer to require his quarterly payment. The Treasurer asked him by whose order he officiated there, he told him by the Dean's. The Treasurer paid nothing. This is the next step to the sole disposal of our revenue.

Enclosure 1.

Dean Atterbury's interview with Mr. Brooks, the Chapel Clerk.

Between three and four, 12 December, 1712.

Dean. I am sorry to tell you what I am about to tell you, you know I gave a hint of it long since, that is, you and I must part; you shall no longer be Chapter clerk here. I am now resolved to turn you out, and have within this hour put another into your place. I know you to be very fit and capable of being our Chapter clerk, and that it will be many years before another can come to your knowledge of the place, but I cannot be easy till you are out.

Brooks. This is a very hard thing, Mr. Dean. Pray, give me leave to ask you, for what cause you would turn me out.

Dean. I am never hard to anyone but for my own ease.

Brooks. I declare to you I know of no cause, unless it be that

I have refused to do my duty to the Canons, in obedience to you.

Dean. Yes, Mr. Brooks, you know you wrote against me, you have omitted to enter several leases in the leiger book, you have kept counterparts of leases by you, and not brought them into the treasury, gone out of town without my leave six days together, and many other just causes I have against you.

Brooks. Mr. Dean, what I wrote was by the Canons' orders, and was I think no more than an account of what things were necessary to have been done in Chapter, and I thought, if that was a crime, it had long since been forgiven. As to your other particulars, they are such very small faults, as would not I think forfeit a man's place, in the judgment of any unprejudiced person.

Dean. I am never hard to any one, unless it be for my own ease.

Brooks. I must say it is hard that I who have had the honour to serve this Chapter for above thirty years, and can say it without boasting, faithfully and with credit, and have applied and spent all the best of my time in it, should at last without any cause be turned out with disgrace, and be now to seek a new way for a livelihood.

Dean. You may if you will avoid the disgrace. There be two ways of your going out, one is that you may leave the place and say that there being great differences between the Dean and Chapter you was weary of serving them any longer, and the other is, you know you must go out. Consider of it till to-morrow morning.

Brooks. No, no, Mr. Dean, this usage is no surprise to me. I have well considered this matter before this time. As I came into this place with the unanimous consent of the Dean and Chapter, so I hope to continue in it till they are pleased to put me out, and I hope never to leave it as a fool or a knave. But, Mr. Dean, I never thought you would have offered this, till you had paid me what you owe me.

Dean. I owe you! I owe you nothing.

Brooks. Yes, Mr. Dean, you know you do, you owe me for your instalment, four guineas. You know it to be the second item in the account of all my fees which you was pleased to exact of me. You owe me for many other things. A bill of which I shall make bold to present you with, and for many attendances. More particular services have I done for you and some slavery than I have ever done for all the deans together in the space of thirty years, and never yet saw sixpence of your money. Your humble servant, Mr. Dean.

Dean. Good night to you, consider on it.

Enclosure 2.

Copy of proceedings at the Court at Whitehall, the 30th of January, 1629[-30], on the complaints of the students against the Dean and Canons of Christ Church.

Enclosure 3.

Copy of the Dean and Chapter's petition to Charles I.; and of his Majesty's declaration, at the Court at Whitehall, 2 November, 1632, that the Dean and Chapter and the Students of Christ Church shall acknowledge the Lord Chancellor or Lord Keeper as their Visitor under his Majesty, the King nevertheless reserving to himself all power and authority, whensoever he shall please to take immediate knowledge of any business concerning them.

1712, December 16.—My friend Mr. Rowney's intelligence was very exact; the feat was to have been done on the day Mr. Harcourt said it was done, but Hammond had the Chapter book in his hands, and was gone a roguing. The feat was deferred till next day, that the Chapter book might be got out of Dr. Hammond's hands before it was done. Upon different pretences the Dean had got all our leiger books and all our Chapter books into his hands, and the most material of our writings before he attempted this. But we know pretty well what he has, and we hope the royal power may oblige him to give them up again.

Lord Keeper we hear will be in our neighbourhood in Christmas week; preparations are made for him at Cockrop. We are afraid it is concerted that he should give us a visit and do somewhat to our disadvantage. This makes us more anxious to know what we may have leave to do, and what measures my Lord Treasurer thinks most proper for us. Our tyrant pretends lameness and keeps within; I suppose he is digesting his materials to be laid before his Visitor, not ours. He had the gout though last Christmas to excuse himself from preaching upon Christmas day.

I beg your Lordship would give my duty to my Lady Dupplin; I have been forced to stay longer than I thought I should have done for some brawn, till I could meet with such as I would presume to offer to her. I shall on Friday or Tuesday next beg her to accept of a collar.

1712, December 18. Christ Church.—The enclosed is what could be recollected and written down by the Canons, the moment the Dean left the Chapter. He did not expect we would so readily and unanimously have agreed to a reference. When we had taken him at his word, he used all the evasions he could think of on the spot to retract what he had offered. I believe he has been pressed by Lord Keeper to make a tender of a reference in hopes we should decline it, but it is secretly agreed betwixt them that points of greatest moment shall be left solely to his Lordship. Now surely it is not proper for his Lordship to be concerned at all unless he came as Visitor. We only beg my Lord Treasurer would be pleased to think how the Dean may be obliged to stand by his own offer. We shall choose Lord Trevor, let the Dean choose any one he pleases, we are ready to refer everything to an arbitration. I hope your Lordship will get

our brother Smalridge access to my Lord Treasurer as soon as you can.

Postscript. We have met the Dean again since dinner to dispose of an exhibition ; not one word more to us of reference, but only a declaration that he would hold no more Chapters till our disputes were adjusted. I have added what passed to the morning account; we fancy by his silence as to a reference that he now designs to appeal to the Lord Keeper. If he does and we are summoned, we hope we may have leave to remove the cause to the Queen by a petition setting forth our summons and desiring to know whether her Majesty will not be pleased, as her predecessors did, to take us into her immediate protection, or refer us to the Lord Keeper. He seems to endeavour to manage so that Lord Keeper shall think himself obliged to insist on it, as his right, to have the sole cognisance of our disputes.

Enclosure.

Dean Atterbury's proposals.

The Dean proposed to us to refer all matters in dispute betwixt us to two persons, he to choose one, and we another. The Canons immediately cried they agreed to it. Then he said he would refer this of the Chapter clerk first. Dr. Gastrell desired all might be referred at the same time. The Dean insisted to refer the dispute about the Chapter clerk first. Dr. Stratford proposed that should be referred first, if the Dean would agree to let the Canons choose what point on dispute should be next decided. The Dean would give no other answer to that, but that other things would come on in course. Then the Dean said he would refer some things in dispute to some persons and some to others. The Canons insisted to have all proposed to the same persons. Dr. Gastrell proposed that the Canons should have but one referee on their side for all points, but that the Dean might change his as often as he pleased. The Dean said he would refer the Chapter clerk and his claims about money to any two referees, but that other things he should refer only to my Lord Keeper as Visitor. The Canons were of opinion that the same referees might decide all points, the Lord Keeper, if he acted as Visitor, not being properly a referee. Dr. Stratford put the Dean often in mind of his first proposal to refer all matters in dispute to two persons. The Dean would not at first own that he made that proposal, but at last said if he had made such a proposal he now rectified it. The Dean said he would send his proposal to us in writing. Mr. Barber being called in about his lease, the Dean was in a heat upon some discourse that passed and when Mr. Barber went out, the Dean followed him. As the Dean went out he cried out, you are not in a referring humour, I will talk no further with you. The Canons told him they were very ready to agree to a reference, that they thought he was in a heat and not they. The Dean called for Mr. Perrot, and the treasurer upon that calling out Mr. Perrot, the Dean told the treasurer he was a rude beast.

Three o'clock in the afternoon, Dr. Hammond requested the Dean that we might have some of the books and papers belonging to the College again. The Dean answered we are no Chapter now, and said "I will tell you further I will have no more Chapters till these matters in dispute are adjusted, I am willing to go on with business that may be done without a Chapter, as the audit, but I will hold no more Chapters."

1712, December 19.—We received to-day the enclosed paper from the Dean ; it is plain he would come off from his first offer of submitting to an arbitration, by explaining it so that he thinks we will not accept of it. I must observe too one alteration, as to the arbitration of those points he still agrees to refer. He does not now offer fairly to refer them to two arbitrators, one to be chosen by him and another by us, but *to arbitrators to be agreed on*, by which he seems to leave himself at liberty to recede if he does not like the arbitrator named by us. But we shall do what we can to disappoint. The answer we design at present to make is, to embrace his offer of referring those points he mentions; to desire they may be referred as soon as possible, and to tell him we are ready to name our arbitrator; to tell him we are ready to accept his first offer too, of referring all other differences to the same arbitrators, with all respect due to the authority and interposition of our Visitor; to offer in the mean time, for peace and the business of the House, to proceed without any Chapter clerk, as our predecessors often did, and we can show many instances particularly of the election of officers at Christmas, no Chapter clerk present, but the election attested only by the Dean and Canons who made it; to offer, if he insists upon the presence of a notary, to act with any indifferent one, to be called in by common agreement. I think no more can be expected from us by any who are most concerned for our peace. When we mention our *Visitor* we shall do it in general without naming either Queen or Lord Keeper to avoid any offence, but we think we cannot accept that which he has proposed of desiring Lord Keeper to take cognisance of our differences. Though we will not decline my Lord Keeper's jurisdiction, we think we dare not submit to it without asking the Queen's leave, without injuring the prerogative. He pretends not to be Visitor, but under her Majesty, and it might be want of duty to her to appeal to him in points of such moment without her knowledge and allowance. We wish we could be so happy as to know my Lord Treasurer's opinion by Sunday night; we shall not give in an answer to the Dean's paper till Monday. We would do nothing without my Lord Treasurer's approbation, and should be glad to know if he thinks any thing more proper for us than that we design.

1712, December 20.—I sent your Lordship on Friday a copy of the paper which we had just then received from the Dean, with our first and hasty thoughts upon it. Upon further consideration we are satisfied we must not decline the Dean's offer of referring

all our disputes to the Visitor. It would give the Dean a great advantage, and look as though we distrusted our cause; we have only a doubt to which Visitor we are to appeal. If my Lord Keeper visits us, I believe the quarrel will be as public, and can no more be concealed from the Queen, than if we are visited by a commission from the Queen; nor do I believe Lord Keeper himself will visit us without acquainting the Queen. But it would be more to our advantage to desire the Dean to join with us in a petition to the Queen, and, if he refuses, to petition by ourselves. This would be more dutiful in us to the Queen, and according to the constant practice of our predecessors; and commissioners from the Queen would have power not only to settle present disputes, but to prevent future, by giving standing rules to us, which Lord Keeper cannot do, who can only judge of past usages and declare which he thinks so. But we throw ourselves at my Lord Treasurer's feet, and beg to know his pleasure what would he have us do. If he would have us close entirely with the Dean's proposal, and desire my Lord Keeper as Visitor under the Queen to take cognisance of our disputes, Dr. Gastrell and I will endeavour to bring our brethren into it, though at present they are very averse to it. We shall put off our answer to him for two days by telling him that though we have no objection to that he proposes, yet we cannot give a full answer to it till we know the mind of our absent brethren, who are as much concerned in it as we are, and propose to him in the meantime the expedients I mentioned in my last for carrying on the business of the College. But I beg I may have a letter from your Lordship on Wednesday night, at farthest; or if your Lordship receive this soon enough on Monday, I wish I could hear on Tuesday what my Lord Treasurer's will is, to which we shall entirely submit. If I should hear nothing from your Lordship, you will give us leave to presume that it is indifferent to my Lord Treasurer what method we take. We foresee a great deal of trouble and confusion, but I hope it is evident by whom we are brought into this extremity. He receded from other methods of accommodation which he himself proposed, the moment we accepted them. Should we decline this he now proposes, he would load us with the envy of it, as though we were averse to peace or distrusted our cause. It now appears that all the steps which he has taken since he returned from London were in order to this at this very time. I believe he has had notice from Lord Keeper himself, or by my Lord Treasurer's letter, which I believe was sent to him, how unwilling my Lord Treasurer was that our disputes should come to the Queen. He depends upon it that we shall never be allowed to come to the Queen, and he thinks we would never agree with him to appear before my Lord Keeper; but he will be mistaken. We shall trust to the goodness of our cause against any favour he presumes on, and do in this what will be most agreeable to my Lord Treasurer.

1712, December 21.—I know not how to beg your pardon for all the trouble we give you, or to thank you for all your concern for us. By the letter I wrote yesterday, your Lordship will perceive we had some thoughts of altering the measures we had at first resolved on in relation to the Dean's proposal. We were apprehensive, and are still, that he would take great advantage of our declining to close with his offer of going with him to our Visitor. He will load us as though we were averse to any accommodation and doubted of our cause. We are very sensible of the noise and scandal that will be upon a public visitation, whether it be before the Lord Keeper or the Queen. An arbitration would be more quiet and less expensive, and if that shall be thought more proper, we can bring our brethren to offer that to him once again. We have kept ourselves at liberty to do that which we shall be directed to. This day we gave in the enclosed, by which we shall gain time till Wednesday to know what my Lord Treasurer would please to have us do. We shall entirely follow any directions we receive, either from Dr. Smalridge or your Lordship. No one can imagine any in his wits desires the trouble or noise of a public visitation, if [it] may be avoided, but so far as my brother Gasse and I can judge, our Governor is resolved on it. If we will not appeal ourselves, or join with him in a petition, he will appeal I believe by himself to my Lord Keeper, nor can any thing stop him or make him come to an arbitration, unless my Lord Keeper could be prevailed on to let him know that he would not receive the appeal, if he brought it, but refer it to the Queen. And if my Lord Keeper should do so, he would immediately fly in his face. No one knows this gentleman thoroughly, but we who have been so long acquainted with him and have had so much to do with him. He will certainly endeavour to prejudice the Lord Keeper against us for not immediately closing with his proposal, but seeming as he will represent it to decline Lord Keeper's jurisdiction. But we hope my Lord Treasurer will do us the justice to let my Lord Keeper know that we were willing very readily to have joined with the Dean, and that the reason of our giving another answer was only to gain time to see if any expedient could be found to prevent coming to the extremity of a visitation. We beg your Lordship would give our duty to my Lord Treasurer and assure him that we are ready either to petition the Queen, the Keeper, or to agree to an arbitration of all differences whatever, or to take any other method his Lordship shall think most proper for us. No one can think but that this life we lead is very uncomfortable to those who have all their life lived in perfect quiet and friendship. But if this man has not secret assurance of support from my Lord Keeper, he must act still upon a worse principle. He must [be] desperate. He may think he has behaved so that he may have lost all hopes of quitting this place upon the terms he would desire to do it. He is now very warm. He has no concern for what the world says of him. He thinks he cannot be dispossessed of that he has, and resolves therefore to disturb and vex to the utmost of his power all he has to do with. God of His

mercy deliver this place from him by the means He shall see most proper! No one that was not desperate would venture to stand the things that would be produced against him at a visitation. If Lord Keeper has not privately allowed him to do that he has done, surely he will show his dislike, and let the Dean know how much he is concerned at such violent proceedings.

1712, December 25.—The enclosed will show your Lordship what effect our last paper had with the Dean, and the answer we have made to his proposal. I believe our answer is in the main agreeable to that which my Lord Treasurer thought proper. Last night at five we went to his chamber to choose officers and make our removes. He pretends to keep in with the gout, though we all know he walks as lustily as ever he did. We desired our Chapter book to proceed by, he said he had put that and a great many other books into the hands of the new Chapter clerk, and had taken a bond of a 1,000*l.* of him for restoring them, and therefore it could not be brought in unless it were by his Chapter clerk. We insisted upon the necessity as well as right of our Chapter book, that surely no dean ever pretended to deny his Chapter the use of their own book. He said he would justify what he had done, that we should not have the book unless we would let it be brought in by his Chapter clerk, or gave our solemn promise to restore it to him, if it was brought. He offered to proceed by the Buttery book after much squabble, we protested against it as irregular, but however for the peace of the College said we would submit to it. We did our business with some intervenient squabbles. We desired to know of him whether Mr. Alsop had not desired him to propose to us a year of grace. After the most intolerable shuffling that ever was known, when he saw we were going to order the Treasurer to stop his allowance he owned Mr. Alsop had desired him to propose it, and he proposed it accordingly. We offered to attest the roll of the election; he would not allow that. We desired to know how it should be attested. He said he would be plain; he designed his own Chapter clerk should transcribe it and sign it *vera copia per me Carolum Perrot Decani et Capituli Ecclesie Christi Registrarium*. We told him that was not according to the agreement which we understood had been betwixt us, that we should look on it as a breach of faith. He said he would consider of it; if we find at noon that he does so, we have a roll ready attested by our own Chapter clerk, which we will put up.

Your Lordship sees our case, and I hope my Lord Treasurer will be satisfied that we have done more than any other men in our case would have done to prevent a public hearing; and I must beg leave to say that we have done it purely in obedience to my Lord Treasurer; but after all it will be to no purpose, for I am convinced that it is not only designed by the Dean, but concerted betwixt him and the Lord Keeper, that this should be brought to a public hearing before my Lord Keeper. Young Simon owned, when he first (blabbed?) this, that it was done only to force us to the necessity of an appeal. Since

we do not, I believe the Dean will appeal alone, for he certainly has taken those measures, upon notice he has had from my Lord Keeper, that will not suffer us to come at the Queen, and that therefore if there is an appeal it must be to Lord Keeper only. But surely, my Lord, we are in the hardest case that ever poor men were, who, as my brother South says of himself in another case, have our hands tied behind us with a strong cord whilst a knave buffets us. But if it must come before Lord Keeper we had rather it should come before him as a judge than as a referee. He himself has declared he will not accept of it as a referee, and we are glad of it, we shall have more restraint on him when the hearing is public. The cause shall be as well pleaded and attended as ever cause was, and such a heap of scandal shall be produced, that if his Lordship will justify his Privy Councillor's doings he shall run the hazard of his own credit by it. But should the Dean appeal, as I believe he will, to my Lord Keeper, we are not then without remedy if we may use it. We cannot refuse to appear before the Lord Keeper, but we may at the same time lodge a petition for redress of our own grievances with the Queen, and should we in that case be still forbid attempting to come at the Queen it will be in effect to require us *præbere jugulum*. And whatever Dr. Gastrell, Dr. Smalridge, and I may do, I believe the other five will not think themselves bound to submit to our measures. What can we expect from Lord Keeper, who could use me so hardly as to receive a paper so entirely false against me, and without giving me the least notice of it, to communicate it to —? What can such a poor fellow as I expect, when his Lordship to support this man should betray to him the letters which he has had from — himself? Can it be advisable to submit to him as a referee? If he acts as a judge, what he does must be in the face of the whole world, and at the worst there will be an appeal to a higher power. Our case is very deplorable, we can see no end of it in the way we are at present; we must not use the proper method, nor is any other way of relief proposed to us. But should Lord Keeper have sole cognisance of this affair as Visitor, that will by no means end this quarrel. Lord Keeper as Visitor cannot give away the private right of the poor man to be our Chapter clerk. We have as good advice as can be had that the man has a right which Dean and Canons together cannot take from him, that at the last it must be tried in Westminster Hall, and if lost there, in the House of Lords. Nor can Lord Keeper, though he should declare as Visitor that the Dean has power to put out this man, ever oblige us to act with another. We are not under any obligation to have a Chapter clerk, he is not an officer appointed by our Chapter. Lord Keeper as Visitor may *jus dicere*, but he cannot *jus dare*. He may interpret the present clauses in our charter, but he cannot add any new ones to it. The Queen only can give us a new charter. But what is this man we have, that he must use all the world with that insolence he does, and yet no one in power will shew the least discountenance to him?

I wish your Lordship a merry Christmas, it is the most melancholy one I ever knew. I thought to have been in town at the beginning of the next week, but I believe now it will be the end before I shall be there.

Postscript. The Dean put up the roll as he said he would, signed by his Chapter clerk. When we went up into the hall, we stopped and made our servants nail up another roll, subscribed *Ita testor ex fide digna venerabilium virorum J. Hammond, &c., canonicorum nunc praesentium J. Brooks Registrarius*. The Dean durst not stand the odium of not choosing officers, but he now declares he will act in no other matter without his own Chapter clerk. As though he could act without a Chapter in business of greatest moment to the College, the choosing the officers and making the removes, [yet] might not, with much more reason to do it, in affairs of much less concern to us. He was such a cripple last night that he hopped upon one leg, and was led by his servant from the fireside to the bottom of his bed. To-day he walked down to dinner, and has company to dine with him and to sit with him in the evening.

His whole aim has been to force us to appeal first. He said to us last night, "If you are grieved, why do not you bring things to a trial."

1712, December 27.—I have written to you of late in pretty much haste and confusion; I am afraid what I wrote has had some resemblance to the state of my mind. We have had no answer from our tyrant to our last paper, but I think we have brought it to that pass, that matters must be accommodated in the way my Lord Treasurer desires they should, if his Lordship can prevail in one point with my Lord Keeper. The want of his money will certainly put the Dean upon taking some method for a speedy decision. There is now lying in the Treasurer's hands above 1,000*l.* to be divided, and we expect as much more in a little time. The Dean thought such a sum would either oblige the old Canons, or those who wanted money, to submit to his Chapter clerk, that they might get their money, or force them to appeal. Upon this prospect he ventured on that stroke, and all his measures of late seem to have been to force us to appeal. He finds nothing will do. We shall neither comply nor appeal. Now we begin to hear loud complaints of his own want of money, he has no way to get it but by an arbitration or an appeal. If my Lord Keeper could be persuaded not to receive an appeal if it is tendered to him, but to tell him he would have nothing to do in it, and refer him to the Queen, the Dean must come in to an arbitration. The Dean will be as much afraid of going to the Queen as we are of going to Lord Keeper. If my Lord Treasurer could prevail with my Lord Keeper in this point, our matters must certainly be determined in the way my Lord Treasurer thinks most proper, and there is this ground for expecting this from my Lord Keeper, that his Lordship declared to my brother Gastrell, the Dean of Carlisle, and me, that he would sooner quit the seals than come

to visit us. And he told my brother Gasse the day before he last left the town that he should look on it as the great misfortune of his life to come amongst us upon a visitation.

We go on very slowly in our audit, the Dean told brother Gasse to-day roundly that he would give him as much trouble as he could. He starts impertinent objections upon the most common things, he has been convinced hitherto that he has been in the wrong in every thing, and that his malice has only betrayed him to expose his ignorance. It would be tedious to trouble your Lordship with particulars of such trifles. Our broils have obliged me to defer my own journey for a few days, but I shall be there God willing by Saturday next.

1712, December 29.—We are now at a stop in our audit; the Dean had tried several methods to oblige us to break off, we were resolved to bear every thing, and that the step should not come from us. When we had this morning gone through the first quarter of the disbursements, he declared he would not proceed further till his claims were stated and the money actually paid to him. We desired that might be no stop, we told him we were ready to refer it when he pleased. He said he would be paid all before he proceeded. He fell on a sudden into a violent passion; he hopped up to Dr. Gastrell from whom he had not had the least provocation; he pushed him with great violence several times, and cried "Get out of my house, you pitiful fellow." We all expected he would have struck him, it was plain he had much ado to forbear it. I never yet saw any man so much under the power of rage, his face looked black and every joint about him trembled. I was in the bow window at the further end of the room. Dr. Burton and Dr. Potter, who were nearest, ran in between the Dean and Dr. Gastrell, and Dr. Potter begged the Dean to sit down again. As he was sitting down, he held up his cane and shook it at Dr. Gastrell, and cried, "Dare to give me any indecent language in my own house." This whole storm proceeded from three words. Dr. Gastrell had said in answer to very provoking language, "Mr. Dean, do not use me so, you know that *I know you*." When he was sat down again he fell into bitter language, and at last turned from Dr. Gastrell to me. I had not said one word, nor did I return one word, I only made him a low bow. At last I came up to him, and only said "Mr. Dean, the two persons you now treat so have done you services, and considerable ones in their time, and they desire to know if you pretend ever to have done them any." He replied, "If you have done me services, you have made amends for it this last year."

When he was a little calmer, I offered to proceed with him to examine all the precedents he alleged for his claim. He called for book after book, the two first alleged by him appeared to be directly against him, and he acknowledged before us all that they were so. He would then go no further; upon one point he said there was no instance since the year '60; that he had examined all, and if I could produce an instance he would give up the point. This he said openly before all; I called

for a book and showed him an instance, and pressed him to be as good as his word. He said he meant there was no instance among those he had in his paper. All would not do, he would not proceed. The Treasurer tendered him a note of all allowed to be due to him, and offered either to pay the money here, if he would sign the disbursement book, or to order the money to be paid in town if he would barely sign a receipt for it for so much money and for such particular sums without saying *in full*. He said he would be paid all he claimed or the audit should stop; his claim should be determined by the proper judge before he would proceed; that if the audit was stopped that would hasten the determination. After dinner Dr. Hammond, Dr. Burton, Dr. Gastrell, Dr. Altham, and I waited on him. Dr. Potter dined abroad. We made him the same offers we had done in the morning, and desired him to proceed. He said he would do nothing till the money was paid him. We could get no other answer. He thinks he shall force us by this to appeal, but he is mistaken. We have gone through all the accounts but three-quarters of the disbursement book. We are resolved to meet to-morrow morning and to go through with it, and attest every page with our own hands, that it may appear to be a just account if the higher powers think fit to examine it. We will then state the balance and settle the arrears, that the Treasurer may be able to go on with the public business. We doubt not but the necessity of the house will justify us in doing this; if he thinks it irregular let him complain.

It appears every day that he would, if possibly he could, have provoked us to be the appellants. He expostulated with us to-day about the paper we put up in the hall. We told him we were obliged to it, because he had broken his word with us. He replied, "If I did that which did not become me, why did not you complain of me?" We told him we thought the method we had taken to be easier and more proper. If he cannot force us, as he shall not, to complain of him, I believe he will himself appeal.

I am sorry your Lordship happens to be now at Wimbledon. In my last by Sunday's post, I told your Lordship that if my Lord Keeper can be prevailed on not to accept the appeal, if one is tendered to him by the Dean, the Dean must submit to an arbitration, and all our disputes must be decided in the way my Lord Treasurer thinks most proper. My Lord Keeper may waive his right in this case without renouncing it. He has said he would quit the seals rather than visit us. He said lately to Dr. Gastrell that he should think it the great misfortune of his life if he should be sent to visit us. These I think are good reasons, besides some others much stronger, why his Lordship may fairly decline receiving an appeal if tendered to him.

1713, June 9.—I heartily thank you for your good news; you will have no more trouble this session.

Upon the report here of what Lord Chancellor had said to Blechingden when he asked him whether he had been to ask

‘blessing of the new bishop, White, the Censor, and some others threw up their caps in the quadrangle and huzza’d to a new dean. Some of the present Dean’s friends got together upon it; much bickering there was, but no blows. At present the College is divided into two parties, the Dean that is wished for has the most valuable party on all other accounts as well as on the majority, but woe to the poor wretches that declared themselves too soon, if the old Dean returns again.

The Vice-Chancellor is disposed to deal with Aldrich with all the tenderness that can be without leaving a precedent against their own jurisdiction. Any submission I believe will be accepted, but I have some reason to suspect he is ordered not so much as to appear before the Vice-Chancellor if summoned, but he is to run the hazard of expulsion, and that his expulsion is to be made a pretence for giving him some preferment. I have slept so soundly since I have been at home that my eyes are yet scarce open. I will be longer by the next post.

1713, June 11.—I hope your late success in the Lords’ house is a pledge to you of success in everything else, and that all other difficulties, as well as those of the session, will at last be surmounted.

I find upon my return that the Lord Chancellor’s interest runs as low in the county as it does in the university. The design to have set up Sim for the county was pushed farther than ever I thought it had been. We were informed of it by Mr. Cole, of Euston, who is our tenant; he says Lord Abingdon was so alarmed that he spent money to oppose it; his Lordship was certainly then in earnest. There was a meeting of the gentlemen at which Cole was to take measures to oppose it. Clarke would have desisted, but continues at the desire of the gentlemen that there may not be any opportunity for setting up Sim. That which surprised me most was that Sir Robert Jenkinson was more eager than any other against. I thought he had been upon very good terms with the family, but he came to Cole and told him he would never join his hand with that fellow, meaning Sim, and asked Cole if he would stand by him if there should be occasion, which Cole very readily engaged to do. They say it will go very hard too at Wallingford; I believe they must have recourse at last to Cornwall. But I am afraid they will require the father to pay for his own election there, which he has not yet done, before they choose the son.

We shall have two Doctors of our House this act, honest Periam goes out as well as his brother Terry, but neither of them can proceed unless our Dean comes down, and we hear nothing of him. We cannot hold a Chapter to give them their degrees in the House. We shall have more proceeders in divinity than we expected. One who is chaplain to the Bishop of St. David’s, of Trinity, takes his degree of doctor, and obliges, by doing so, five who are his seniors to take their degrees too. We have not yet any proceeder in law or physic.

Our Dean has now but one Head here who entertains any correspondence with him; it is [Robert] Shippen of Brasenose, one fit for his purpose, but who certainly proposes to serve himself by the Dean. I suppose his aims are at somewhat from the Court by the Dean's recommendation. The Dean to engage him lately introduced his brother, who is one of the Inquisitors, to the Lord Chancellor's acquaintance.

1713, June 12.—I hear from London, though not from your Lordship, that warrants are passing for making our villain Bishop of Rochester and Dean of Westminster. You may think I give him a hard word, but I am persuaded he is the greatest either in or out of a gown in the three kingdoms, except the person that supports him. The world is no stranger to both their characters, but some late affairs have given us occasion to collect memoirs of both their lives, and they shall, God willing, be better known to the world than they yet are, as much as it is thought they may be now known. One of them passed the first years of business in the neighbourhood of this place; what has passed lately has given occasion to revive many things which were then done for which even necessity cannot be an excuse. You must allow me to say that nothing that has been done since your father's ministry has struck such a damp upon the hearts of all that have honour or honesty, as this promotion. All of any weight here, it is true that I tell you, wondered that such a one should be permitted so long to act as he did here, but they lift up their hands to see him preferred for it. I perceive this was determined before I left the town, but your father was pleased to keep it a secret from me when I took my leave of him. I desire your Lordship would be so kind as to let me know for what part of my behaviour, since I had the honour to belong to your father, I have deserved this. We shall be at quiet however, though we might have been so, had your father pleased, at a much less expense. We shall now have leisure to study the art of being troublesome, in order to be preferred.

Postscript. I beg your Lordship would, with my duty, mention Mrs. Hutton to your father. She is clamorous and very troublesome to me for her money.

1713, June 13. Christ Church.—Your Lordship will perceive by my last that I had been informed how the vacancies will be filled. The Speaker informed me, and was surprised as much as I was, after what he had heard, at the disposition. But your Lordship does not tell me what occasioned the change of those measures which I thought had been resolved on when I left the town. I beg your pardon, if I impertinently press for that I am not to know. It is lucky for the fellow that he has got off; that proud soul would have been humbled had he stayed here longer; he is talked of no otherwise in this place than as a common rogue. Now he is going, they, who have been tampered with every day own some new roguery he would have drawn them into. If your father had occasion to forge plots to support his ministry, this

gentleman would have served the government much better as a solicitor to succeed honest Aaron Smith than as a bishop. I wish my Lord Treasurer do not find those most concerned at this promotion who had the truest respect for him. Where will you find Bishops to consecrate him? I am sure not one on the whole bench would do it, if they did not incur a *premunire* in case they should refuse when commanded. It is a brave fellow that can carry his point, when it is certainly true that there is not one person that he leaves, or that he goes to, that does not hate him; but I pity this poor Church. Had this man been kept out of power, and we had lived to see a new archbishop, there was a certain prospect of peace amongst ourselves and of our uniting to do business proper for our calling. All hopes of that are over, now he who certainly will obstruct it is put into a capacity of doing it.

I am not surprised at that I hear of Francis Annesley. I told your Lordship my thoughts when I parted with you. It belongs to others to enquire into the reason of his conduct. Lord Chancellor can certainly influence him, if he would.

The Speaker tells me he has talked with Lord A. and hopes he has made him easy. I hope you will still go through with the Commerce bill. It would be a slur on the Peace to drop it, and I am afraid it would give the adversary some advantage in the elections.

Since our fellow has got his point I hope his instruments will be soon dispatched, or else we shall have what we never had yet, a public act, without a Dean of Christ Church. I am certain this gentleman with all his assurance will not appear there; he had better too not come down here again for money, unless he acts with our Chapter clerk, and renounces his impudent claims; we will seal no leases, nor pay any money. And if he comes, he shall have a peal in the Chapter House as well as the steeple, at parting, such as he never had yet, and shall be better attended out of town when he leaves us than he was into town when he came to us.

1713, June 14. Christ Church.—I have sent away the letter I had written to your Lordship, but I have just received notice that Dr. Bridges solicits very earnestly for the canonry, and that his friends say openly he designs to hold it *in commendam*. This looks like our Dean's project, who has many views on it. That which I had the honour to hear from my Lord Treasurer when I took leave of him gives me hopes that Dr. Bridges cannot succeed, but I beg leave to furnish your Lordship with an argument against any importunity. This College cannot subsist if the canonries are to be made sinecures, and are not supplied with those who are able and willing too to take their turns in bearing the offices; and the discharge of the offices here requires somewhat more than bare attendance, which alone may be sufficient in other cathedrals. We have struggled many years with great inconveniences, by reason those who ought to have borne the offices were disabled from doing it by it (*sic*.) No

one will answer the duty more to the satisfaction of all concerned than the person my Lord Treasurer was pleased of himself to mention; he is young too and may serve the College for many years, without any inconvenience to himself. And our new Dean will not be able to go through the business without a proper Sub-dean to assist him and to relieve him too upon occasion. I beg your Lordship would represent this with my humble duty to my Lord Treasurer; but your Lordship or my Lord Treasurer will hear more of this from the Dean of Carlisle [Smalridge] himself.

1713, June 15. Christ Church.—Last night the Dean of Carlisle received from the Dean of Christ Church a general proxy; it was said to be sealed such a day and delivered in the presence of; but there was neither seal nor witness to it. The Dean of Carlisle returns it to him to-day. He served Dr. Altham the same trick at Christmas 1711, in hopes to have ensnared him to act by a proxy that was not valid. To-morrow we hear he is to be installed Dean of Westminster, and whether after that installation he can act and sign a proxy as Dean of Christ Church we are not lawyers enough to know. I am sure if he can, it is scandalous that he should, and that he should seal a great many leases which lie ready for him at Westminster on Wednesday as Dean, whilst in the mean time all his appointments here, which are payable weekly with us, go on to him; but his unreasonable profit will be the least damage. Should he send a proper proxy, several of my brethren doubt whether it will be good if signed after he is installed at Westminster. I am afraid we shall not get a sufficient number to act by it; in the mean time all our business is at a stand; no degrees granted; and our Doctors who should appear this act cannot proceed, or if they should be able to go out, they will lose their seniority. I hope my Lord Treasurer will have so much compassion on us as not to oblige us to wait for a vacancy till this man's *deseccration* is over, but will require him to make a resignation of this deanery upon his instalment into the other, and will be pleased to order the new Dean's patent to be despatched with all possible haste. We have suffered enough already, it will be hard if upon so solemn an act we should be in confusion, and without power to relieve ourselves. Were our new Dean now in possession we shall never be able so soon to remedy our late evils as to appear with the advantage we used to do on these occasions.

1713, June 18.—What I wrote to your Lordship was grounded on what I had heard from my Lord Treasurer and from your Lordship too when I parted with you. If your Lordship has changed your opinion since I had no means to know it. Had I known it I should not have vented my thoughts so frankly to you. I shall not presume to use the same freedom again. Had we not been under restraint from your father's command, we could have made ourselves easy by that which would have stopped this man's promotion; a plain representation to the

Queen of his behaviour since he has been here. He is turbulent indeed, but he, like other cowards, never is so but when he foresees he can be so with impunity, and is sure of support from some and permission from others. But I shall say no more of him; but the manner in which he is promoted is still harder upon us than any thing that has yet happened. It belongs to others to consider of the consequence of the precedent of allowing the same man to enjoy together two of the best deaneries in England; but the end, that is said to be aimed at in removing him, will be so far from being attained that our condition will be worse than it was before he was preferred, and the trouble to those above greater, and that every thing is likely to be brought on by his promotion which was designed to have been prevented by it. I believe your Lordship will soon see that it was not without reason that we hoped he would have been obliged to resign this deanery, and to have renounced his extravagant claims before he was installed at Westminster. He has now possession of both deaneries, and I have good ground to believe that he will defer his confirmation and keep both his deaneries unless we will allow his claims, which we never shall do. He will now insist upon his terms, and how anyone can oblige him to quit I know not. You may think this very extravagant. It would be so in any other but in him; but should he be in haste to be in the Lords House, yet he will either commence a suit against us in Chancery on account of his claims, or appeal to the Lord Chancellor as Visitor. In the first case we have lawsuits entailed upon us, in the last we are unanimously resolved, upon any summons from the Chancellor, without any more delay to throw ourselves at the Queen's feet.

My Lord Chancellor is not our Visitor, but were he Visitor, yet as Visitor he has no power, only to gratify the insolence of one man, for no more than 25*l.*, to alter the disposition of the revenue of the College, which has obtained from our very foundation, and to allot to him that which has always been applied to the public use of the society. The Queen only can do this, who is Founder as well as Visitor, and before her I foresee it will come at last. We shall come too late, but however we shall have an opportunity of telling our tale, and of laying before her Majesty all the insolence, the claim and exercise of arbitrary power, the frauds, the imprecations, the curses, the oaths, and the perjuries of this man. I beg your Lordship's pardon for troubling you with our wretched affairs, but you shall hear no more of them; they must now take their fate whatever it be.

I am sorry for the account I had last night of the quarrels that are likely to be in both Houses about the Commerce bill. This party has been forming ever since Christmas, I then or soon after gave your father notice of it. More than one of those, for whom he has shown so much complaisance, have been at the bottom of it, and [if] some who pretend to be greater friends to your father dealt as honourably by him as the Speaker has done, your father had not had this trouble. But these matters are out of my sphere.

1713, June 21.—Tom Rowney gave me an account of what passed on Thursday. I was in hopes your Lordship would have written on Friday, though it was too late to write on Thursday night. There is no account yet of it in this place, but what I had from Tom on Thursday night. It was very unexpected, I did not apprehend it could have gone so in that quarter. I thought no intriguing could have brought about a majority there. Surely there was either some treachery or at least neglect or carelessness in some of your own friends. I am heartily concerned for the difficulties your father has to struggle with, and the returns that are made to him, as well as for the public. It is to no purpose to enquire into the causes of what is passed, or to guess at the consequences. They are obvious enough. One should prevent them as far as one could. If you have a mind not quite to break with these men, but are willing if it could be done, to piece again with them, the Speaker is the only man who has credit enough with both sides to be able to attempt a reconciliation. If the breach is not to be made up, it will be uneasy to him, I know, to act differently from a great many of his old friends, much more in opposition to them; but yet he thinks their opposition in this point so unreasonable and groundless that he might I believe be brought to it, and you ought at this time to secure him; for whilst you have him, you are sure of a standing body of the church and gentry with you. But you will forgive me for talking of these things as I do in the dark, when I am ignorant of the situation of affairs with you. It is well, however, that this has happened at the close of the last session. Had such a division been in the beginning of a Parliament, all in it had been listed probably for the whole Parliament. It is to be hoped now that this new party may not have time enough to take a deep root, and that in a new Parliament there may not only be many new members, but that many old ones may come up to it with new dispositions. I wish, however, that such emergencies may be an admonition to your father to make some provision at least for himself and for you.

1713, June 23.—We were not uneasy without reason. We know what my Lord Chancellor since his friend's instalment at Westminster has threatened to do to us, by his own power, if we should refuse to comply with any of his friend's demands at parting; but we hoped his Lordship had been convinced by late experience that we are not to be bullied.

Your Lordship says you believe, if the Dean had not had Westminster, he would have insisted to have held Christ Church *in commendam* and have been supported in it by the Lord Chancellor. If he would not have taken Rochester without Christ Church what hurt would it have been if he had continued at Christ Church without Rochester? We were willing to bear him, and we were sure his credit was such here that he never could have lived again in this place. But my Lord Chancellor would have supported him in it! Does my Lord Chancellor's place entitle him to recommend to the Queen on these occasions?

Is he first minister? Is he to give the law to, or to take it from, your father? Or is he so very useful and necessary,[†] that he may on that account insist on what he pleases? But I shall say no more; your father alone is concerned in this. We heard he was introduced by the Bishop of Norwich to the Archbishop and received very graciously. As we were mentioning this at table, brother Potter smiled and said he knew the Dean had kept a constant correspondence with the Bishop of Norwich both by visits and letters. Brother Gastrell seemed surprised and said he was sure the Dean could not hope to bring over the Bishop of Norwich to his opinions. Potter replied, smiling, "No, that is certain, but it is as I tell you."

I am very glad to find by your last that you are so easy in town after Thursday's debate. I hope you, who are the best judges, do not fear those consequences from the issue of it which we did here. Some of Sir Th. Hanmer's topics might have been urged betwixt man and man in private, but were surely very improper for the House. I am very glad honest Tom Rowney was with your Lordship.

We had to-day the Dean's parting legacy, and such as was suitable to all the blessings we have had from him since he has been here. Mr. Periam takes his doctor's degree this Act, does all exercises, bears all the extraordinary charges of it, and only begs of the Convocation to be dispensed with for a few terms. The Dean's creatures had entered into a combination to deny this gentleman's letter in Convocation. They had sworn secrecy to each other, and obliged all that they had got into the design both at home and in other colleges to the same. They hoped to have carried it by surprise, few, when no opposition is feared, attending Convocations. I need not tell your Lordship Mr. Periam's character to convince you of the malice of this design. A day or two ago, a false brother, to make court I suppose to the successor, betrayed them, and gave us notice of the design. We had scarce time to send round to our friends to appear. The enemy, though detected, yet persisted, and demanded a scrutiny this morning in Convocation, but we carried our point and the Proctor pronounced, *placet longe majori parti hujus convocationis*. This Christian attempt, which is to prepare him I suppose for the imposition of the bishop's hands, was not only with a design to have put an indignity upon this honest man, but to have fomented a party that should have been under his direction here when he is gone; but it is quashed.

On Friday last the Lord Chancellor's order for dissolving his injunction was read in court, and Aldrich summoned to appear *ad videndum ulteriorem processum*. Aldrich has since sent to the Vice-Chancellor to offer to make any submission he pleases. The Vice-Chancellor said he knew not but he might be prevailed with to change his mind before the next court day, and therefore appointed him to come to him on Thursday next in the evening. The next court day is on Friday. The Vice-Chancellor was so kind upon this as to send to me, to know if I had any demands on Mr. Aldrich. I thanked him, but said I should not think it

worth my while to give him any trouble about my private concern, if he was satisfied as to the interest of the university. My Lord Chancellor may see that the university that (*sic*) dare proceed, though his Lordship since his sentence was pleased to tell the Speaker that Aldrich should be torn in pieces before he should submit, and that the university should not touch a hair of his head.

We have yet no news of our Governor, and yet letters by last post said the consecration was to be next Sunday. If that be so, I suppose we shall hear no more of him, unless he comes to-night.

What wretched stuff are the Cambridge verses !

Postscript. Mr. Periam's grace, I hear, when the Convocation was over, was denied in the congregation. But that is but impotent malice ; any one regent can deny a grace thrice, but then he must [show] a reason to be approved by congregation, or the grace passes.

1713, June 25.—I heartily bless God and rejoice with your Lordship that your late division is likely to have no worse consequences. The Speaker was so kind as to write me a long letter to put me out of pain. It is hard that these gentlemen's understandings cannot be opened till the whole nation smarts for their mistake.

The Vice-Chancellor had a letter last night from G[eorge] Clarke by the Duke of Ormond's order to desire that the proceedings against Aldrich might not be *rigorous*. No such were ever intended unless he had been obstinate, but the High Chancellor upon notice of Aldrich's citation immediately begged our Chancellor to interpose in the poor wretch's behalf. No punishment of that fellow could give me that satisfaction as I have to see so great a man so much concerned to prevent his punishment. This would be thought a plain confession that he had been at the bottom of that business, if we wanted Aldrich's own confession, but we have it now in some measure, and I believe we shall have more of it. The person employed by him to the Vice-Chancellor said that Mr. Aldrich alleged in his own excuse that he was unwilling to have come into Chancery, but that the *Lord Chancellor* pulled him in, and would have the cause in his own court ; but I believe he wishes now it had never been there. But this is not the only thing he has been fond of that perhaps in time he may be weary of. He may possibly in a little time more wish he had never had to do with the promoter of the cause, as well as the cause itself.

I hear the war is begun already at Westminster about the clerk of St. Margaret's. This is somewhat sooner I confess than even I could have expected it.

Postscript. We are told that just after the Dean's instalment at Westminster there was a terrible storm ; the moment he got out of his coach here yesterday, the clouds gathered, and there was

immediately as great a storm as I ever knew of, thunder, lightning and rain for an hour together.

When shall we "nine" meet again
In thunder, lightning and in rain.

The more thoroughly the nation is convinced of their damage by the loss of the Commerce bill, the more your father's interest will be established.

Last night came another about Aldrich in the Duke of Ormond's own hand, to desire their proceedings might not be severe, and that before they executed any sentence they would acquaint him with the method they had taken, and that he hoped they would show their *civility to my Lord Chancellor*, by avoiding any severity to Mr. Aldrich. How comes *lenity to Aldrich* to be an instance of *civility to the Chancellor*; was ever so great a man in such an agony about so sorry a wretch? This is palpable.

The Dean this morning told Dr. Smalridge that he desired to make void a chaplain's and a chorister's place, and to fill them before he left us. This is very unreasonable that he should be allowed to turn out and put in here, when he has now several vacancies which he is to dispose of at Westminster. Two such deaneries at one time is very great indulgence to him, and no little hardship on us.

1713, June 28. Christ Church.—I shall give your Lordship a short account of what has passed at parting. The Lord elect came hither on Wednesday; his first care was to convince us why he had desired to be indulged in that scandalous practice of holding two deaneries together. In equity he had right to no more than the profits of half a year of the meadow, but by the letter of the law he might claim all his share of the grass, as soon as it is severed from the ground, which is at least four-fifths of the yearly profit. He was afraid lest all the grass should not be cut down before his consecration; he spent an afternoon in the meadow, and observed they had begun to cut very late. He was told the orders were not to begin either sooner or later one day than the grass was fit to be cut. He said perhaps such orders might have been given by the Sub-dean, but he believed not by the other Canons. He was told the orders were given by all the Canons in the place. He observed very few hands were employed. He was told, more were employed now than ever had been in any former year. He bargained with the mowers to give them two shillings to drink, if they would promise him not to leave that work for any other till all the grass was cut down, so solicitous is he to make the utmost use of the indulgence allowed him to defraud his successor. When it began to rain last night, he observed it was very fortunate the rain should fall at that time, because the mowers could not have gone on with their work on Sunday, if it had been fair. On Friday he met us in Chapter, and desired to know what we designed to do in relation to two things; his claims of money, and the fines which had been paid in, but not divided because the leases had not been sealed. He

desired our answer the next day. We met again in Chapter on Saturday, the Sub-dean gave our answer; that we were willing the Treasurer should pay him upon every style of payment whatever had been paid to any one of his predecessors; that we were willing he should pay this immediately, upon the Dean's giving such a receipt as the Dean himself had declared under his hand he had once proposed to give. He said the answer was general and he desired a particular answer whether we would pay his claims or not. I told him he ought [not] to be displeased who from his coming hither had never vouchsafed to give us any other answers. He said that might have been formerly, but now he was ready to give a particular direct answer to any thing we desired. We adhered to our answer and would give no other; he asked if we would refer our claims; that he was ready to give such a receipt as we desired if we would subscribe to it that we agreed to refer the money in dispute. We told him we should give no other answer than we had already. He said he looked on the share of the fines as his due, and should not easily part with them. We said the Canons had no interest in that; we should only have the same share, whoever had the Dean's share; that it was not our business to decide betwixt him and his successor. He said he did not expect any sealed in his successor's time, nor did he pretend to set any new fines, but that the leases for those already paid might be sealed before he left us. That he should not be confirmed at soonest before next Saturday, and he could defer it. We asked him if his predecessor at Carlisle had ever received any profits at Carlisle after he had been installed at Wells, though he did not quit Carlisle till some time after his instalment at Wells. He said the resignation of Carlisle was dated from his instalment at Wells. He told us he insisted upon sealing the leases; we said when any leases were brought regularly before us we should do what was proper, but that we insisted to have all our books and papers delivered up to us before we would seal any lease. He said he could not stay to seal the leases, but that the man he had named Chapter clerk should deliver up all the books, and give us no further trouble; that he would leave a general proxy; that we might if we pleased employ our own Chapter clerk again if we would seal the leases before his confirmation, and he desired to meet us again at five o'clock. We told him we could not meet then; he desired to have our answer by the Sub-dean, and left us. We were resolved to stick to our point, but his easy successor thinking it would be a reflection on himself, as though he had prevailed with us to do it, because he alone had interest in it, desired we would seal the leases, if all other points were given up to us. After much entreaty, we made the Sub-dean our plenipotentiary to agree to what he would, but upon the following conditions, that all powers and books should be immediately given up to us, by himself and his pretended Chapter clerk; that any extracts taken out by either of them should be given up, upon such a solemn assurance as both would be ready to confirm by their oath; that he should be told that we should employ

Mr. Brooks the Chapter clerk to draw up these leases only; and that he should act as such and be owned as such without any pretence of restoring him by the person who had his proxy; that the Dean should solemnly renounce every claim he had made of any farthing beyond what we had offered to pay him; and that for the money which we paid he should sign every sum in the public disbursement book with his own hand. If he agreed to these things, at the request of the Sub-dean, we might perhaps seal the leases, if they could conveniently be sealed before his confirmation, but that we gave him notice he must expect no share of the copyhold fines which were paid, because we could not now conveniently hold our courts. If he did not like these terms he might defer his confirmation, and say his points could be decided by a visitation. The Sub-dean went to him betwixt three and four and told him he believed he could prevail with his brethren to seal the leases upon the terms I have mentioned. He immediately agreed to all, but signing the books with his own hand; he said that would be mean, and desired he might do it by proxy. He desired too he might meet us again. Our plenipotentiary reported what was offered to us, we insisted that he should sign with his own hand the public book for every particular sum. We said it was our duty, when called, to meet him in Chapter, but that he had not deserved so well of us that we should meet him only to hear his compliments. When our plenipotentiary returned to the place of treaty, he found other company there. At nine at night his Lordship elect told our plenipotentiary he would come to his lodgings. He came and desired again to be excused from signing the books; he was told that was insisted on as the chief preliminary. He said if he could [not] be excused he was ready to do it, but that it was late and he had left company at his own house, and desired therefore that he might do it to-day. Our plenipotentiary told him it would not be proper for him to do such work upon a Sunday, who was to be consecrated a bishop the next Sunday. Upon that the books were called for, his Lordship elect made a solemn disclaim before witness of all the claims he had ever advanced, and then signed our books and cried several times as he was signing, "You have gained your point." He was asked if it had been worth his while to make the disturbance he had done about that which, if it could have been gained, would not have amounted, according to the way of the payment we should have insisted on, to above 8*l.*, according to his own reckoning not to above 25*l.* He said the point was of more importance than the money. He promised, when he had done signing, to deliver every thing else this evening, and upon oath if we desired it—but upon experience we know that to be as weak a security as his word—and to refer himself entirely to our honour, which is more than we should do to his after his confirmation. Thus has this man for the sake of his money given up every point for which he had raised such a flame in the world, and which he has so often called God to witness he would never

quit, unless he was compelled to it by Westminster Hall or our Visitor. This would afford many reflections; I shall make but one, that we have shown your father how he might have brought him to terms, if he had been pleased to do it, though I doubt not but he knew it well enough, if other reasons had not intervened. Can you have patience to read the detail of this important negotiation? Our Governor leaves us to-morrow at four in the morning to prevent being saluted with a peal at parting.

We hope our new Dean [Smalridge]'s patent will have such dispatch that he may be in possession if it be possible before the Act, both for the sake of business and of authority too at such a time. It would be very inconvenient if he should be obliged to come up to wait on the Queen.

Endorsed by Lord Harley: "An account of what Dr. Atterbury did when he left the College."

1713, June 30. Christ Church.—I have nothing to tell you, but that you are not likely to be troubled with such long letters for the future as you have for two years past. We shall have some quiet ourselves and allow a little to our friends; we breathe another sort of air than we did. Our climate is in the state the poets would suppose any part of the world upon Alecto's return to her native region, after she had been permitted to be abroad for some time.

We have a report that the poor Bishop of London lies past all hopes of recovery. I will lay your Lordship a bottle of burgundy that our fellow puts in for a translation before his consecration.

My diocesan the Bishop of Chester is here, he will be with me I suppose to-morrow. He will let me I suppose into the secret of the Trade bill. I shall know from him all your faults; I think he shows himself to be disinterested, since he can leave the town when there is likely to be such a vacancy in the hierarchy. It is more than the Lord elect of Rochester would have done.

I hope your Lordship left all well at Leather Lake.

Our Act begins on Friday se'nnight. I hope the patent for the new Dean will be here so soon that he may be installed before the Act is opened. We must, I am afraid, defer the solemnity that usually attends the installation to another time, but this only to yourself or your father. The present state of our business, and the season of the Act, will oblige us to install him the day after we receive the patent, and not to wait for ceremony.

Postscript. We have had one discovery already of our departed Governor. He was actually so mad as to have had a design to claim the sole propriety of the copyholds of the College. He had not only commanded Brooks to draw a patent for a game keeper in our manor of Binsey in the name of the Dean alone. And one reason why he pretended to turn Brooks out was because he told him he knew not how to draw such a patent. But at Christmas last he sent the bailiff of the College with an instrument under his own hand, and signed with the sign manual, he called it the seal of his office—a little seal that never was used to any thing but testimonials for orders—to give notice to all who belong to

the homage within twenty miles of Oxford, in any of our manors, that they were to own no authority but his. When the bailiff returned he took the instrument from him and enjoined him strict silence; the bailiff owned this to us to-day. All this pretence was grounded only on this, that the steward brings the copies to be signed by the Dean, and this custom was only of thirty years' standing, and never practised by any steward but Brooks, who did it for the security of the College, that he never granted any copies but what the Dean and Chapter knew of.

1713, July 5. Christ Church.—Our late Governor could have no reason to think we designed him ill language but his own consciousness that he deserved it. Had he been paid as he demanded from the date of his patent all his claims—according to the custom from our foundation, which is to pay in proportion to the number of weeks any one is in possession—would have amounted only to 7*l.* 8*s.* 0*d.*; but he afterwards said he could, if he pleased, advance his claims, and demand all profits from the day of his predecessor's death. Upon that foot his claims would have amounted to about 330*l.*, not 500*l.*; but that would not have affected us only, but every other Cathedral in England of Henry VIII.'s foundation, who are under the same methods of payment that we are. He ought to be more thankful for 174*l.* which we have given him at going off, in fines, of which he would not have had one farthing if his successor, who only was to have the advantage, had not been the intercessor for him, and yet though his successor had got this money for him, and had bought his goods of him to a considerable value, he left orders with the housekeeper to demand to be paid for a little movable reading desk and a step in his study, neither of them worth sixpence, which he had forgot to insert into the catalogue of the goods agreed for. He had ordered her too to take off all the locks on the doors unless his successor would pay [for] them, though all, within two as I take it, belong to the House and have been paid for by the College; and he went himself to my Lord Abingdon, as he is Justice in Eyre, to insist that of the two bucks which her Majesty is usually pleased to give to the Dean of Christ Church he might have one, because he had continued Dean for one moiety of the year, though certainly he who is Dean at the season when her Majesty's favour is bestowed has the fairest title to it. It is a pity but his preferment were suited to his soul.

Lord Chancellor has not yet digested all the wrath he had conceived against the university. We are told from hands we can depend on, that lately in court, upon some mention by Whitlock of laying men by the heels, his Lordship in a fury cried, "Sir William, I know not whom you mean, but if you mean your client the Vice-Chancellor, there is no man in England whom I would sooner lay by the heels." His Lordship best understands the prudence of such language from such a bench. It will not, I am afraid, retrieve the lost game here.

J. Keill says your Lordship and my Lord Dupplin design to honour us with your company at the Act. Upon this notice, I

have put off a little journey I had designed at that time, and will certainly be here. I hope you will give me notice when I may expect you. To the credit of the university the proctors cannot meet with any one that will be a *terræ filius*. The greatest part of our guests will be disappointed of the chief part of the entertainment they had hoped for. Our late Dean, who had nominated the poets of our House last Christmas, has taken care to have due compliments in the verses to my Lord Chancellor and to himself too.

I am sorry to hear of my Lord Treasurer's illness, I wish him soon rid of his troublesome distemper. Brother Gastrell had a fit last week, voided a little stone, and was immediately well and continues so.

1713, July 7.—I have enclosed to you the Bishop of Rochester's evening meditation betwixt his confirmation and his consecration. He had received during the year and three quarters he was Dean here 1,500*l.*; by the largest computation he never spent in this place 200*l.* He must have carried off clear 1,300*l.* The bill for painting which was sent with the enclosed amounted to 3*l.* 5*s.* 8*d.* All the wainscot he so much boasts to have put up never came to 5*l.* No Dean or Canon ever yet had sixpence allowed them by the College on account of painting. The person whom, as presuming him to be his successor, he would have this bill paid by, this very day paid a bill of 14*l.* for painting in his Canon's house, yet this poor Bishop could have leisure from his prayers on Saturday night to write his letter to desire he might be eased of so unreasonable a charge. Pray return it to me safely, for I design to lay it up in the archives of the College, with proper notes upon it for the information of posterity. Had there been a *terræ filius*, it should certainly have been published in the Theatre. The locks which he mentions are stolen by him directly; we can prove them to have belonged to the College.

We have had very seasonable rain this last week, above a quarter of the meadow, in the part where the best grass was, is yet uncut. We shall not allow my Lord of Rochester one blade of it. I hope we shall have a law suit about it.

Postscript. If this fellow were Archbishop of Toledo, no one would have his preferment to have his soul with it. He ought to belong to no one but the Duke of Marlborough.

1713, July 9.—The Dean of Carlisle and all of us are obliged to return your Lordship our hearty thanks for your kind concern for him. It is no worse with him than it was when I first gave you notice of his misfortune. I hope he is in no danger at all, and I believe he will not have much trouble with it if he can once get an instrument that is fit and easy too. I cannot imagine what end there can be in giving out such a report. Now there is a vacancy, he is well enough to be installed, if we had a patent for him. There is one ready in the office to make all the dispatch that may be as soon as the warrant comes.

I thank your Lordship for the favour of your venison, we shall remember you over it, but not more for it. I will send a piece to your true friend and servant, Mrs. Rowney. Your health, and another's in conjunction with you, will be drunk there upon that occasion.

We had an account from eye witnesses how very liberal our new bishop was at his landing at Paul's of the privileges of his new office. He pulled the officers of the trainband to him, when they were in a hurry and never thought of him, and would make them have his blessing. This is strictly true, and there may be reason for it, for if he gives his blessing only to those who desire it, I am afraid he will have little occasion to exercise that part of his office.

He bade a man before he came down last, who was to pay in a very considerable fine, but had lapsed the time set him, to hasten the payment of it; this was concealed from us. On Tuesday night last came letters from the man to the late Dean and to the Treasurer, to give notice to them that he was ready to pay the money. I own I have malice enough to be pleased with this, and the more because I am sure he will be persuaded that the man came three days too late by concert with us.

1713, July 10.—I am to thank your Lordship for a noble buck which I received this morning, the man said they could not kill another, and so he brought none for Dr. Terry, but he has settled with Dr. Terry when he would have another brought, but it was lucky for Dr. Terry that his buck was not brought now, for the weather has been so bad that the venison has suffered a little in the carriage. It can be owing only to the weather, for the bucks which I had in former years came as sweet as if they had been fresh killed, and I shall spend this immediately. And it has not suffered so much but that I can use most of it, only Mrs. Rowney will be disappointed of drinking Lord Harley's health over his own venison. Tom was with me this morning, and desires me to put you in mind of your father's promise to subscribe five guineas to their Oxford race.

Postscript. I am told Lord Chancellor has quitted Wallingford, and is going to try what he can do at Abingdon for his son.

1713, July 12. Christ Church.—All hitherto in our Act has gone extremely well and without the least disorder. Croft's music was as fine as ever I heard, and will be worth your hearing in town. You will perceive through all the exercise of all sorts all the endeavours that could be to pay respect to your father, and it certainly proceeded from the disposition of the persons themselves who were concerned in them, and was not suggested to them by others. In two copies of verses there was mention of the Lord Chancellor, you will easily guess by whose order.

Lord Leominster and Captain Herbert are in town, they did me the honour of a visit yesterday in the morning. I was obliged to attend in the afternoon the exercise of the inceptor doctors,

and could desire his Lordship's company, but they have promised to do me the honour to spend this evening with me.

We have found upon enquiry that those folding doors, on which the Bishop of Rochester would claim so much merit, were actually put up at the charge of the College, and charged and allowed in our bills. The little stools for which he insisted to be paid by Dr. Smalridge, and which are now carried to London, had never been paid for by him, nor were the[y] valued by the carpenter when he appraised the shelves in the study for Dr. Aldrich's executor, as he did lately for the Bishop of Rochester.

He thought himself so sure of his point, to hold the canonry *in commendam*, that he had said to several that, upon his return, having found the *commendam* drawn without the canonry, he had *expostulated* with your father about it, and had *obliged* him to agree to have a new warrant drawn. He has lately too complained how unfortunate he was that the Bishop of Rochester should drop before the Bishop of London.

1713, July 14.—I did not give your Lordship notice of the misfortune of your kindness, with a design to beg more of you.

Our Act is over and without the least disorder; nothing more to be done, but seeing plays and eating and drinking for a few days more. The music, which was very extraordinary, made our guests tolerably easy without a music speech, or *terre filius*. Since they have been once dropped, I suppose they will never be revived. The English music was preferred and very deservedly to the Italian, though the last was very fine I believe in its kind. I attended all the exercise, and there was not one single exercise in which the respect that was due was not paid to my Lord Treasurer, in those which will not be printed as well as those which will. The poorest exercise was the Vice-Chancellor's speech at last—pray though take no notice of this—it was honest enough, but it was the meanest I ever heard, but the Theatre at that time was thin, and not many left to hear it that were likely to report it to our prejudice. He should not have trusted to himself.

My Lord Berkeley brought his son hither yesterday, and we have notice of so many noblemen and gentlemen that design to come to us shortly that we are under great difficulties how to provide rooms for them. We are going to finish as fast as we can the third side of Peckwater, though we do it upon credit.

I thank your Lordship for the favour of the accounts you have been pleased to give me, some good must come out of the confusion you mention, though it may be likely to be attended with difficulties; but were the main point over, I fancy others would be adjusted.

I shall strictly observe your order as to the letter, but how comes that man to be in such strange subjection to the other? Is he at his expense to make amends for the other's extravagance? There is somewhat at the bottom more than any one guesses, when the other too can so easily do it out of places which are in

his own disposal. No bishop in England has so many and so good temporal places in his gift as the Dean of Westminster.

Better late than never, though the compliment from Lord A. is somewhat unseasonable, when all the mischief has been done that could be.

1713, July 16.—If I go any where this summer to stay for any time, it will be to Bath, but I am not yet resolved on that. Does your Lordship design for Brampton? I hope you will be prevented, by somewhat more agreeable and more important to you.

I suppose the Parliament is up, and that we shall now soon hear how the vacancies in church and state will be filled. Why did the Lords reject the Tobacco bill?

1713, July 18.—We this day installed our new Dean; neither his illness nor the confusion of our affairs would allow us to do it with all the ceremony that has been usual on such occasions. There was another reason too of as great weight as any that obliged us to waive it, which regards his predecessor. It has been usual for the successor always to make mention in his speech of his predecessor; it was not thought proper to speak truth of him; and his successor could not with safety to his own conscience speak any good of one of whom he not only believes but knows so much ill.

A canonry is now vacant, and there is an opportunity for your Lordship to show your generous kindness and favour to your tutor. Your Lordship will be pleased to give notice, if any warrant is ordered for him, that care may be taken to have the offices attended. After so long an abstinence, we are pretty hungry for renewals; dispatch may be of use to him as to his profits. We shall hardly pay him the compliment of refusing any leases that offer themselves in the vacancy.

The Queen has parted very kindly with her Parliament, and has given them a very gentle admonition only of their ill treatment of her in the Commerce bill.

Postscript. Since I wrote I have notice of a very sad accident. Bayly, whom your Lordship recommended to me, had been missing ever since Monday night; his tutor, Dr. Terry, had made enquiry after him, but could hear nothing of him. He was the less surprised because the young man would be missing sometimes for two or three days. Upon enquiry, it appeared that he was last seen on Monday night about nine at night, going in his night gown to the house of office in Peckwater; they had the curiosity to look there, and found the poor young man smothered there. His poor tutor is in the utmost affliction. This accident could not happen through any disorder in drink, he was never given to it, nor could he have had enough that night; the whole hall was cleared immediately on Monday night as soon as supper was ended. The under graduates had allowed at their table only one bottle of wine betwixt four; and by the time the poor lad was last seen, this happened as soon as supper was over. But I have

often wondered that no accident of this nature ever happened in that place before. The seats are large, and without any backs to them, that if any one leans back on a sudden, he must tumble in ; when I have mentioned it, I have been told they had been so without mischief ever since the College was founded. His tutor is in such concern that he was not able to give your Lordship an account of it, but desired me to take that sad part on me.

Upon further enquiry we find this poor lad was alive some hours probably after he fell in. Bagshaw, a bachelor student, Dr. Gastrell's kinsman, went into the house of office about eleven o'clock, and heard such a groaning that he was frightened and ran away, but never mentioned it to any one till the body was found. Wainwright went about twelve to fetch a pitcher of water from the pump that is near, and heard a sad groaning, but thought it had been somebody sick in Bear Lane.

There was a great bruise on one side of his head ; it is probable he fell asleep on the seat and slipped in double. There had been a great crowd and bustle, in the undergraduates' gallery especially, that afternoon in the Theatre. We were often afraid they would have tumbled over by dozens. He might be spent and tired and nod as soon as he came on the seat, but he was very unfortunate. I now hear that Jenkinson went into the house of office about twelve, heard groaning and held down his candle to see if he could discover any thing, saw nothing and concluded it was some dog thrown in.

1713, July 21. Christ Church.—I sent your Lordship the first accounts I had of the sad accident that happened here. Upon a further enquiry I am afraid the poor lad had got more drink than was fit for him, for I hear he had gone out of the College when supper was over. No one gave notice to his tutor that he was missing till Thursday morning, and he was the less surprised, because the lad had once run to London for three weeks. Dr. Terry had a year ago given his father notice that he [would] not mind his business, and had advised his father to remove him, but the mother, who was very fond of him, had employed one to write to the Doctor to desire that he would let her son stay in the College, and not make any further complaints to the father. The Doctor however wrote again to the father, but believes his letters were intercepted by the mother.

I am much troubled to hear of my Lord Treasurer's illness. I pray God, Radcliffe may be mistaken. I hope it is only gravel, that will often give as violent pain as a stone.

I have sent your Lordship's letter to the Dean, and I expect that he will come to me, but I can tell you of my own knowledge, from what I have heard from all the gentlemen of those parts, that Gibbons has the best character of any clergyman in those parts, and Todd the worst. They are the reverse of each other's characters in every part; one will be the most acceptable, the other the most ungracious, that can be pitched on. I am a stranger to both. Todd was the Bishop of Rochester's tool when he was at Carlisle, and not only privy to all his rogueries there,

but the instrument by which he managed them. The Lord Chancellor is I am certain a stranger to Todd, and can have no concern for him but at the desire of the Bishop of Rochester.

But it is strange that Lord Chancellor, who has the disposal of fifteen hundred ecclesiastical preferments, cannot be content with so fair a share, but must think that he ought to recommend too to other vacancies. But this is an instance, amongst many others that appear every day, of the entire ascendancy the new bishop has over the Chancellor. By what he commands him I know not, whether by flattery and by some secret. I believe rather the latter, or else his power would not be so absolute as it is. But they who have to do with Lord Chancellor ought, for their own conduct, to know that there is nothing, be it of what nature it will, that is trusted to Lord Chancellor which the Bishop of Rochester is not master of.

We hear the war is proclaimed at Westminster, and I can tell your Lordship somewhat, which you may not have heard. Both Lord Chancellor and Bishop of W———r used their utmost endeavours to persuade the new Bishop [Atterbury] to comply with the desires of the parish, but could not prevail. The vestry sent to desire Lord Guernsey to be a vestryman. The new Dean immediately sent to them to desire them to have a care of bringing lords into the vestry, and to put them in mind that when they chose Lord Halifax they had soon after the Palatines brought in upon them. This has provoked Guernsey, as well it may. This quarrel will be very fruitful and produce others I doubt not, which will never be ended whilst he is there. But I believe it may be designed that the peace of Westminster may be an argument for removing him to Lambeth when there is a vacancy there. He might have been humbled, had we been allowed to lay a true account of him before the Queen. We should have put it out of his power to give any further trouble to the world, but the opportunity was slipped, and I wish they who have been concerned not only to cover him, but to prefer him, be not the first who feel him.

The Faculty place was disposed of on Saturday to Harry Watkins. I should have been proud to obey your Lordship's commands, but Mr. Broxholme had put it out of our power to serve him. I always looked on him as a fair tempered man, that had much of a gentleman in him, but he was drawn into that paltry malicious combination to have denied Dr. Periam his degree. But I hear since that he is ashamed of it.

I had a letter this post that the Bishop of Bristol was declared Bishop of London, but I suppose it is not so, because your Lordship is silent.

The Dean is with me, he is full of company and begs your Lordship would excuse him for not writing to-day; he will write to your Lordship to-morrow, and observe your orders. He is not at the liberty he could wish he were to declare his opinion about a successor at Carlisle, because he has often declared he would [not] pretend to concern himself about it. But he entirely agrees with me that Gibbons on all accounts whatever is the most proper for

the service of the Queen and the church, too, in those parts. He tells me a great deal more than I knew of Todd. I can only assure you my Lord Chancellor never recommended an "erranter" knave than Todd, *excepto semper* the Bishop of Rochester. He is hated and despised too both by laity and clergy. Should he be made dean, that church would once more be in a flame again. He would certainly as far as he could imitate the Bishop of Rochester and act there by his direction.

I am heartily glad the Speaker has acquitted himself to the last so much to your satisfaction. I wish some others who pretend to greater friendship with your father, and who I am sure are under greater obligations to him, would deal as honourably with him.

Every one is pleased with the Speech, and think it was very right to part so kindly with the Parliament and to touch so tenderly what had passed about the Commerce. If there be any thing amiss in it, I think it is rather too short in enumerating what things this Parliament has done.

Postscript. Young Sim[on Harcourt] is put up at Abingdon, and Knap is promised to be made a Welsh Judge for his interest in the town. They were beaten out at Wallingford, and I believe they would lose it too at Abingdon, should there be any opposition.

1713, July 22. Christ Church.—I had little time yesterday to answer the favour of your Lordship's, nor was I very well, but that which I wrote was as to the substance of it true. I have since had more discourse with our Dean; he had reason to know both those gentlemen when he was at Carlisle from his own experience of them, as well as from the different characters they had of them from all he conversed with there. Gibbons is the most beloved man, and as the Dean believes very justly, in the whole country by clergy and laity; the other the most hated, but it cannot be determined by whom most, his own profession or others. I had many particulars to confirm this, but they will be said only to me. The Dean had promised not to concern himself about his successor. When he was pressed by Todd to recommend him, he would go no further than to promise not to meddle in it. He could not, he says, with safety to his own conscience have recommended Todd. Should Todd succeed, you may expect to hear that church is in the same condition it was four years ago, nay greater, for both the bishop and clergy will be more provoked at Todd's preferment than they were at Atterbury's. The last was unknown to them, till they had had experience of him, but it amazes me that they who cannot be ignorant of the state of that country, should yet press for Todd.

Postscript. It is amazing to me that Lord Chancellor and Bishop of Rochester should offer to press for Todd when they cannot but know that your father is more disposed to favour Gibbons. Ought not they to be satisfied with having carried their own points? Do they press the Queen in this case by and

through your father? Or do they go directly to the Queen for Todd without him and in opposition to him? I wish you would tell me that. If the latter, by whom can they apply? I have good reason to think no application from themselves would be acceptable, where their persons are otherwise. If they press by your father, he may [be] certain the more he yields to such importunities, the more he will be troubled with them. This looks as though those gentlemen were in a confederacy to overbear your father whenever they thought fit.

Your Lordship said the loss of the Excommunication bill was a mortification to the Bishop of Rochester. Not in the least; he never had any concern whether it passed or no. He did put [it], as your Lordship observes, into Lord Guernsey's hands. I gave your father notice of that, but he did that for other purposes than for the bill itself—he was then in a ticklish state. He was casting about for new friends, and how to form new parties. He had a design to apply to Lord N[ottingham]. He had made two or three offers there to no purpose. He knew the aversion both the brothers* had to him. He was to recommend himself by giving them an opportunity of doing somewhat that what was to be popular amongst the clergy. This was the reason of putting that bill into Lord Guernsey's hands. Since that he has got clear of his difficulties and gained his points. He was as unconcerned now for the bill as for Lord Guernsey himself. He is now going to be at open defiance with him, and, as I told you in my last, opposes his being chosen into the vestry at St. Margaret's; and I will tell you further, that you will find he will pretend to make his quarrel with Lord Guernsey a piece of merit with your father.

1713, July 23. Christ Church.—There is come out a little pamphlet called "*The Proceedings of the Lower House of Convocation, upon her Majesty's most gracious messages and letters, &c.*" being the substance of a report drawn up by a committee of the Lower House, brought into it and received by it—July 1, 1713. There is a fallacy in saying it was received by it; it was not indeed refused; nor could it be either received or refused; for there were not enough to make a House, or to pass any judgment on it. The design is to reflect on the Upper House, and to be a ground for reviving all those Convocation controversies. There are many tokens in it by which the author may be known, though I think there is one which alone would be sufficient, that there is but one man whose interest it is now to continue those disputes. But I wonder he will let his friend be out of the Convocation, when he has aim still at supporting a party there. Not that he would be of any other use than as a tool to carry orders and to give him an account of what passes, but since he has resigned his interest in Gloucestershire, out he must be, unless he can get a deanery before the session.

I have received a sermon from the Bishop of Bath and Wells [Hooper]. The critics may perhaps nibble a little in some

* The Earl of Nottingham and Lord Guernsey, made Earl of Aylesford in 1714.

passages at the language as somewhat stiff, but all honest men will approve the sense of it. There are a great many notions in it that seem, methinks, to agree with what I have heard on several occasions in York Buildings. There is much more life and fancy than one would expect from one of his years.

1713, July 25. Bucklebury.—I am an utter stranger to Gibbons, and could have no aim in what I wrote but the Queen's or your father's service. If it may be of any use to either of them I shall be glad. I had come from Oxford before the letters came in yesterday, but I left a servant to bring them after me. I could not give the Dean notice to send up his resignation on Sunday, but I propose to be at Dr. South's [at Caversham] on Tuesday and at home again on Wednesday. The resignation shall come up on Thursday; there will be no loss in it, for it will bear date from the time of his installation at Christ Church.

The Speaker tells me he fears, in the disposal of the Bishopric of London, that respect will not be paid to his friend the Bishop of Bath and Wells which he the Speaker could wish and thinks due. I believe, if it had been designed to give the Bishopric to him, it might have been as safely offered to him, as the Deanery of Westminster was to Dr. South. But I find the Speaker more concerned at the neglect, as he apprehends, of the Bishop, because the Speaker had been used to bring him out of the country when no other means could do it. But I have good ground that my Lord Chancellor and his sworn, or rather forsworn, ally, value themselves more upon stopping that Bishop's removal than upon gaining all their other points. The Speaker tells me no other news, but of your new lord,* and that the Auditor's place is disposed of. But you need not apprehend that the Speaker will be troublesome, for he has sent for his horses, and goes next week for Warwickshire.

Your Lordship asks me what I think of your new lord. They who made him know best what to say for it. It was justly alleged in the late creation that all of them were of ancient families; no one I have met with is much acquainted with the new lord's pedigree, nor are his merits in the House from whence he is removed sufficiently known. I am afraid the creation of your new lord will be of as little use to the public service as [that] of your new bishop.

I am sorry I cannot be of your Lordship's opinion on one point, I believe not only Knap, but any other, will be not only a Welsh judge, but bishop too, if my Lord Chancellor pleases.

I do not desire your Lordship should withdraw any of your favour from Broxholme. That which I mentioned would not have permitted us to show the kindness he desired at this juncture, but it would not hinder us upon another opportunity, where he could fairly pretend to it. But there are so many his seniors who think they have as fair a claim as he has, that if there had been more vacancies we could not have chosen him, without doing that which others would have thought, though not strictly unjust, yet unkind to them.

* Robert Benson, M.P. for York, made Lord Bingley.

I beg I may meet a letter on Wednesday to give me notice if you receive this, because it goes by a by-post to Reading.

I wonder your father or any that wish well to him can expect he should be without some distemper, when under such a fatigue as he has undergone. He never allows himself any time, either to take waters, exercise, or so much as a little fresh air.

Accedunt anni et tractari mollius actas imbecilla rolet.

1713, July 28. Bucklebury.—I most humbly thank your Lordship for the favour of yours to this place, and for your goodness in giving Dr. Terry notice that his warrant was signed. One would have been appointed to take care of it, could he have thought it proper for him to presume so far upon the Queen's favour to him as to appoint one to take care of the warrant, before he had notice that it was signed. I am sorry there are still such remains of my Lord Treasurer's distemper as to require so severe discipline as your Lordship mentions. No difficulties in the public affairs can be equal to those he has overcome, the public cannot for the future require so much of his time as it has done for some years past. I hope he will be prevailed with to allow some part to take care of himself.

I go this day to Dr. South's. You will have received, I hope, before this reaches you, the respects of the Lady [Bolingbroke] of this place, in the manner which will most recommend them to you.

1713, August 2. Christ Church.—I am heartily glad poor J[ohn] D[rummond] has given so much satisfaction. I always took his capacity to be equal to his integrity, and when I presumed to recommend him to your father, I thought I recommended to him as able as well as faithful servant as he ever had employed. I believe the event has justified my opinion. It will be an action worthy of your father to enable this poor man to look the world once again in the face. The poor man has not only his service but his sufferings, too, to plead for him. Agatha I believe will be in town again before your Lordship returns from Windsor, she designed not to stay at Bucklebury above three or four days.

I am amazed at Lord B[olingbroke]'s demands, but I believe there is ground for the report, and perhaps he may succeed. He will not be the only example where impudence has been of more use than merit, but the love I have for your father makes me wish he would think a little, whether it can be for his service to let the world see that he can be overborne. Methinks one who has stood so firm as he has done against public noise from any quarter, should be able to be above private importunity.

There is no instance of respect which the university can pay to your father, but what they are I believe in a disposition to pay, but I have not yet heard of that particular instance which your Lordship mentions.

My brother South begins now to fail in his understanding. I am afraid his head will go before his legs. It was a providence of God that he retained his faculties enough to know that it was

proper for him to refuse the deanery. He is complete eighty in next September; should decays come on fast now, he has no reason to complain, nor his friends to be surprised.

I suppose your Lordship will see Lady Carmarthen and Lady Dupplin too at Windsor, I beg my humble duty to them. My prayers always wait on them, that they may long enjoy the reward they have of their own goodness, in such good Lords.

Your Lordship durst not or at least were not allowed to see me last summer; the objection is now removed, you may venture into Christ Church without fear of being obliged to declare for one side or other. I hope you will remember that there are some promises which you have never yet made good.

1713, August 6. Christ Church.—Your Lordship's Canon was installed this morning. I hope your Lordship will be pleased to take this place so far into your protection as not to allow any one but yourself to recommend to any vacancies that shall happen here. Your Lordship's favour to your particular servants will be the greatest security that can be had for the public welfare of the College. On Saturday we shall confer on Dr. Terry another dignity which is in our gift, but which he is made capable of by that he has received from your Lordship. We shall make him sub-dean, but I do not pretend that we do it so much out of respect to your Lordship or to him, as for our own ease.

I suppose you are returned from the solemnity at Windsor, and I hope to-morrow to hear from you again.

1713, August 8. Christ Church.—There were published here last week Chronological tables by Marshall who was lately student of our House, the Bishop of Worcester's nephew. The tables are really old Worcester's, though he lets his nephew put his name to them. Every gentleman should have a general scheme of chronology in his head, and perhaps these tables contain as much as is necessary for a gentleman to know of it. They certainly are more exact than any yet published. I shall beg leave to present your Lordship with a set.

The incumbent of Fladbury is dead. It is the best preferment in the Bishop of Worcester's gift, worth 500*l.* per annum. Will Floyd has it, and Offley the son-in-law will have the living W. Floyd leaves. I suppose Will now will not want a prebend of Worcester.

I have now direct proof that Lord Chancellor saw the bill which was filed against me, and gave his directions upon it before it was shown to Lutwych, the counsel that signed it; and I have probable, but not direct, proof that Perrot was named Chapter clerk by the late fellow, upon Lord Chancellor's recommendation, and that Perrot paid his Lordship money for his recommendation. That was the reason why the late fellow put the Chancellor in mind of his repeated promises to do something for Perrot.

1713, August 10. Christ Church.—Why do you loiter in town? Get you gone into Cambridgeshire. I will throw an old shoe after you. You should be ashamed to show your face any

more in town till you can show another along with it that is better than your own, though that be an honest one.

I was out of my house when the letters came yesterday, or I had answered yours then. I was surprised with the account you sent me of the disposition of the preferments. Methinks an ancient baron with a noble estate should not *desire* a bishopric, but not *accept* a deanery; he should leave those things to those of the profession who want them more. We hear the Bishop of Westminster will not be well pleased, nor do I know whether the Dean here will be in a condition to take the kindness designed for him. But how comes it that my poor brother Gasse is forgot still in the disposition of preferments? He had the honour to be my Lord Treasurer's servant before either the Bishop of Hereford, Rochester, or the designed Bishop of Bristol were known to his Lordship, and to introduce all of them to my Lord Treasurer. And yet they have all changed bishoprics or deaneries twice or thrice since he was first Canon here, and I believe he would do as much credit to his patron and as much service to the public as any of them.

Our late sultan made seats in the windows of two of his bed-chambers; there were only single windows in the room. The two seats had as usual two cushions in them, the deal board was to be painted, but he frugally considered with himself that there could be no occasion to paint that part of the seat which was covered by the cushion. Accordingly this was not painted. He is computed to have saved at the utmost five farthings by it. This was not discovered till the workmen came in for the alterations that are now to be made. This is so great and so new an instance of frugality, that the whole town is invited to see it, and the workmen have pence apiece for showing it.

1713, August 13. Christ Church.—Here are accounts to several hands in town of the rupture betwixt the mother [Duchess of Newcastle] and daughter, and of the daughter's choosing your uncle for her guardian.

I have now no more concern in this world. I have lived to see your father clear of all those difficulties which proved greater than he himself could foresee when he entered on his administration. The nearest wish I had, your settlement in the world, is now upon the point of being accomplished. Providence has made that provision for you, which your father never would nor could have done. My own College, after the highest ferment that ever any society was in, is at perfect peace. Now these things are over, which were the only things that ever engaged my thoughts with any concern, I can go to Ross, and spend the remainder of my days with my friend there. There was indeed another thing for which I had some grudging; it was to have seen a great rogue humbled, and not preferred. I desired this not out of a spirit of revenge, but charity to the fellow's soul. If he escapes here, he must have it elsewhere, and I do not wish that to him, either as he is a rogue or my enemy. But since for *Ragione di Stato* or

some other motive that is ordered otherwise, let us bless God for our own peace, and leave to Him other men's punishment.

If this finds your Lordship still in town, you will give me leave to desire notice when you leave it, and when the affair is finished. I will not put you to much trouble, I know how busy you must be at such a juncture, one line would be more than I should expect, two words, *l'affaire est faite*, will serve my turn.

I have obeyed your orders to our Dean. If her Majesty still continues disposed to promote him to Bristol, I believe you will hear of no more excuses from him, though he had contrived to convey by some other hand besides your father's notice of his own inabilities to support that dignity. I could not learn by what hand, but the chief objections I believe are removed. There are friends who have undertaken to supply him with what he will want on such an occasion, without bringing him under any obligations that may be hazardous to his family. But had I had notice that this thing had been designed before I heard that it was done, I might perhaps have suggested somewhat to your Lordship, which would not be proper now.

I guess by somewhat from Tom Rowney, that the Lord Chancellor has given Tom notice that somewhat is designed for him. It cannot be placed upon an honest man, but I wish any thing of that kind may be managed so that others may not have the merit of what your father does.

I had last night a long and kind letter from the late Speaker; he owns the new honour that is designed for him, but great part was to rejoice with me very sincerely and heartily at your Lordship's establishment, which he looks upon now as certain.

1713, August 16. Christ Church.—I expected no other end of Lord B[olingbroke]'s management, I wonder it has lasted so long. He is a sad warning to gentlemen of how little use the greatest parts are to one void of all sense of honour and religion. How soon will this great blaze be extinguished! His private affairs are in the utmost confusion; he will be desperate when he is cashiered. What the end of all will be I cannot guess. I from my soul pity this poor lady; she has not yet I am afraid seen her worst days.

I have obeyed your orders, and I hope the Dean will have the sense he ought of your father's extraordinary kindness to him; but my last I believe satisfied you that he will not be obstinate. The good man is aware of the difficulties of the post, but Mademoiselle will not I fancy hazard the loss of a new title, though it be only a title of courtesy; but I cannot yet find out the other hand by which he sent to make his excuse to the Queen. Pray learn it if you can, and let me know it.

I was sorry to hear from your Lordship last post, I hoped you had been in another place; but I am more troubled that you give me hopes of seeing you soon, as much as I wish it. But if you are at liberty to attend your election, pray let me know in your next when you think of going. You may be certain I shall not

allow of your going either by Abingdon or Woodstock, but I still hope your journey will be prevented.

The war I hear is now declared at Westminster. On Thursday last the vestry of St. Margaret's by a majority of fourteen to four chose their own clerk, and have left the Bishop to seek his remedy. The labouring oar is on his side. I doubt not but this war will last as long as that betwixt France and the Confederates.

But the great man is now going to engage a mightier enemy, no less than her Majesty herself. On Thursday last he called, as we hear, a Chapter, and supposing there would be a vacancy at Newchapel, had an act of Chapter made and entered, that the Chapter would assert their right of nominating to the chapel. Were there a vacancy, it would be in the Queen's gift, but I see not how there can be a voidance, where there was no institution. He may perhaps say it is void because it was never full, and that Dr. Smalridge never had a legal title to it. That I believe may bear a dispute, and if the Dean and Chapter of Westminster name one, he may try the title, but I believe if he should get the better, he would not be much the richer for it, the profits depending on the rent of pews or free gift. I am apt to think that will be the method, and that the Bishop will persist, since he has once engaged, though the Queen should signify her pleasure to the contrary; but it should be considered that the Queen is not only Visitor but Founder there, and as such may dispose, I believe, of that chapel as she pleases if it belong to the Dean and Chapter. But perhaps my Lord Chancellor may come in once again to help his friend at a dead lift, and claim to be Visitor, not under but in opposition to her Majesty. This is pretty well for the first two months. I doubt not before Christmas to hear of more. It will come to the Chapter itself at last. There have already been warm words betwixt him and Brodrick.

Harry Watkins and his brother candidate Brough called on me yesterday in their way to Brackley. I examined what bank bills they had. They have to do with as experienced a corporation as Weobly itself.

1713, August 18. Christ Church.—I hope this will not find you in town, but it may follow you, though I cannot think you will have much leisure to read letters if you are where I wish you. I shall be shorter than usual for that reason.

I am obliged to desire your Lordship's [favour] to Mr. Ince, a student of our House. He has studied the law for some years, and desires to go Attorney to Barbados. He is a very ingenious young man, and a very honest one; he is young, but I believe as old as they have usually been who have been sent to that place. The Attorney and Solicitor General will both certify that he is qualified for it. His old father is one who has been very useful to this College for many years. We are concerned for the son for the father's sake as well as his own.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1713, September 5. Bath.—The relation I have had the honour to have to my Lord Harley will excuse me I hope for presuming to trouble your Lordship with my joy upon his marriage.

I hope I take the part I ought to do in my Lord Harley's establishment in being possessed of a lady whose fortune, though so great, is allowed to be that which is least valuable in her. But I must beg leave to say, from the knowledge I have so much reason to have of my Lord Harley, that the world, as well as Lady Harriot, will be convinced that all the advantages which my Lord Harley may have from this marriage, are too little for him.

The tenderness which I know your Lordship has for such a son assures me that your Lordship will be pleased, if God thinks fit to bestow His temporal rewards on your Lordship, for your own hazards and cares in the public service, in His blessings on my Lord Harley.

[To LORD HARLEY.]

1713, September 5. Bath.—I can now say my *dimittis*, since I have lived to see your Lordship possessed of that which you have so long wished for, and more, to my knowledge, out of affection to the lady than for any other advantage you could hope for from so great an establishment. You must give me leave to say that my joy would not be so complete as it is were I not certain, from the long experience I have had the honour to have of you, that you will make all the returns that are in the power of the best of men to so excellent a lady, who has deserved so greatly of you.

Compliments though due from all who have the honour to be your servants, yet ought to be short I think, to one who is employed so much more agreeably as you are at present. I would only beg leave to tender through your Lordship my most humble duty to my Lady Harriot. The relation I have had the honour to have to your Lordship, is the ground of my presuming to offer my duty to her Ladyship, and the pledge too of having it received by her.

1713, September 19. Bath.—When I was used to wish the excellent Lady you now possess might fall to your lot, you know it was for the sake of her character as well as her fortune. Your Lordship writes like one that had got possession of that he had long wished for, but bystanders, who may be thought more impartial judges, own that nothing can be said of her by a fond husband which would not bear the scrutiny of a sour philosopher. May you still continue, as I doubt not but you will, to find every thing in your new condition to exceed not only your hopes but your imagination too.

Your Lordship is pleased to allow me the honour to suggest my poor thoughts to you. Your Lordship will always command every thing in my power. That which I am to guard against is lest the concern I have for one whose welfare is so dear to me should make me so forward as to be impertinent. But your

Lordship must allow me to observe that one who in your circumstances can be so good as to ask the advice of a poor friend will have little occasion for it. To show it will be so, I shall only instance in the first and the chief thing that I should presume to suggest, which is certainly needless to you. What has your Lordship to do, upon the entrance upon so much happiness, but to take all the methods that prudence and affection can suggest to make your happiness as lasting as it is great, and to lay at this time the foundation of a friendship betwixt your excellent lady and yourself which may make you both, in this adulterous generation, more remarkable for your mutual affection than for any other circumstance in which God has placed you. This is certainly your interest, but your Lordship is above that motive, and I hope I never preached it to you. Even gratitude, though a noble principle of action, though due from your Lordship to such a lady, is too mean a motive for you to act upon in this case. I am for nothing but pure affection, an affection so entire as not to need the sense of gratitude to enforce it.

Mr. Auditor has done me the honour to communicate to me somewhat that he was obliged to suggest to your Lordship, the friendship that is to be betwixt your lady and yourself for your whole life entirely depends upon the first management of it. And it is of so great moment to your Lordship to rivet that so fast that it shall not be in the power of any accident to weaken it, that I cannot but agree with Mr. Auditor, that what he suggested is not only advisable but strictly necessary. Your Lordship's own prudence will furnish you with the methods by which you may do it with most ease to yourself.

Your father, with all his experience, could not have suggested to you a wiser reflection than that which you have made, that your future quiet and honour depend upon the conduct you observe at your first appearing in so new and so great a scene. A steadiness in the first opening of it, will make you master of yourself and your time for the remainder of your days. Should your Lordship give way to any thing now, which you may afterwards find inconvenient, it will be very difficult, if possible, to get clear of it then, though it may now with ease be prevented. I suppose your Lordship will use your utmost skill, in ordering your time so as to save as much of it as you can to yourself. If you reflect how much of your time must unavoidably be given up, by one of your quality, to the common forms of life, you will perceive that all that you can save will be less than is necessary for your business. Your estate will require your first care, I mean of worldly cares, and I am afraid it will leave little of any time you save for any other use. If you have any leisure hours on your hands, fear not but there will be studies in which you may employ them, and such studies, and to such you ought to confine yourself, as will enable you to answer the great ends of the high station in which God has placed you. At the distance I am I can suggest nothing to your Lordship but what is general. I should think it the blessing of my life, if I could promote a happiness which I so cordially pray for; but I shall carefully

preserve the letter I have had the honour to receive, that I may produce your Lordship's own command as my excuse if I should happen at any time to be impertinent.

I beg my most humble duty and thanks to my Lady Harriot for the honour she has been pleased to do me in owning me for her servant. I am prouder of it than a late bishop was of his rocket on the thanksgiving day. I can say nothing greater. I shall endeavour to show my sense of it, in that which I know will be most acceptable to her Ladyship, my zeal for your Lordship's service.

Your Lordship must expect all your dependents will now be putting in their claims. To show I have learnt a little of the world I shall be as early as I can in mine. Your Lordship may remember when we used to drink Lady Harriot's health at Christ Church, I had a promise of your picture, if it should be your fortune to get the prize. I do not desire but insist to have the promise made good to me, and it must be by Sir Godfrey, and as well as he can do it, and as soon too. Unless it may be convenient to defer it till a star may be drawn on the coat; but it will not be delayed long I suppose on that account.

The waters agree extremely [well] with the Auditor. I prescribe to him. If I can but prevail with him to stay long enough, I doubt not but I shall send him up to town as plump as I am.

I must beg leave to observe that your Lordship has improved your hand since your marriage. I wonder not at it, since you have so fair a one to imitate. If I could meet with one that could teach me to mend my hand, I am sure I should have one good reason to alter my condition.

1713, October 27. Christ Church.—Since I was so happy as to know your Lordship, I never was so long without hearing from you, as since the last I had the honour to receive at Bath. I know how agreeably your Lordship has been engaged, nor am I so unreasonable as to hope to enjoy as constant a correspondence for the future as I have had for some time past. But your Lordship must allow me to enquire sometimes how you do, especially since you are doubled and have got another self. And though I am prepared to expect an abatement in your correspondence, pray let it be gradual, that it may be easier to me. I hope it may not be unseasonable to enquire how my Lady Harley does. Your Lordship will believe by my enquiry that I do not hope to hear that she is without complaint, but none I hope but such as will produce their own recompense. I beg leave by your Lordship to presume to offer my most humble duty to her Ladyship.

I hear the Lord Chancellor has ordered the dragoons he had quartered on you to be discharged.

Now Newcastle House is free, I believe the weather will soon oblige your Lordship and my Lady to think of the town.

I could not prevail with the Auditor to stay at Bath, nor did he return, as he promised me he would, before I left it. I left your

aunt Abigail with a cold, but I hope it was going off. Your aunt Harley had found much greater advantage than she could have hoped for. If she stays long enough, I doubt not but her health will be entirely restored.

I have now Hoffman's Lexicon in sheets, and a complete set of the Transactions of the Royal Society, and the History of it, to part with at easier rates than can be had elsewhere. I think I once got Hoffman for your father; but if your Lordship or my Lord Dupplin desire either of them, you may have the pre-emption.

I have in store some accounts that will divert you when we meet. I shall only tell you now that young Simon is very much displeased with the present management and is resolved to model the ministry anew. He never gave himself such airs as he does at present. I am afraid he is not aware that many judge of his father's sentiments by what he says.

1713, October 31.—I beg my most humble duty and thanks to my Lady, for her great goodness in permitting me to pay my duty to her at Wimple. I must reckon this honour the greatest of those many advantages I have had by my relation to your Lordship. My desire has been with you ever since the happy hour you were joined. I could not but long to see those together I have so often wished so, and I had waited on you this season if the Auditor had returned to Bath as soon as he promised he would when he left it, but new "purchases," the business of you great men, made him break his word. I am afraid it is now too late to think of such a journey, there are some rotten vales between this place and Wimpole, and I, as well as my predecessor Falstaff, have a great alacrity in sinking. Should I attempt the journey, I should be stuck in the middle of it. Could I get at you, I should not be concerned if I could not get home again, but God willing next spring I will wait on you, as soon as you are there.

Your Lordship enquires whether I have been to wait on a distressed Lady [Bolingbroke]. Not since I wrote to your Lordship from that place. I had designed to have called as I returned home, but I had a friendly notice given me not to do it. The country was full, and upon some words that fell, when I was mentioned, it was feared I should be *affronted* if I called. This to yourself, I perceive the displeasure is as great to me as ever the favour was. I shall know what was said as soon as the coast is clear, but I hope I can stand anything, since I have stood a bill in Chancery.

There has been a rendezvous indeed, and a famous one too, if all be true that is reputed here. A young gentleman, for whose lady your Lordship has a hearty concern, I am afraid, is thoroughly initiated.

There are differences I believe among the great ones, and with others than him your Lordship mentioned. I hear some are displeased at the late promotion, and with reason. It is the greatest blow to them, and security to somebody else, of any thing

that has yet been done. I gave you a hint of this in my last, which I do not perceive your Lordship had received before the date of yours.

Here are great discontents here, and much talk of an appeal to the House of Lords against a late order of Chancery about Sir Th. Cook's charity. Somebody is so apprehensive of this, that all means are tried to bring off particular votes, and to prevent a majority of the trustees from concurring in it. Promises of preferment fly as thick as hail. Public notice has been sent that there are orders for settling a prebend of Gloucester on Pembroke, and one of Norwich on Oriel and a vacant prebend of Bristol is to be bestowed on the Master of Balliol. We shall see in a little time whether this be given out only to serve a present turn; but there is no expense will be spared to prevent the storm that is feared. Your Lordship shall know what effect all this has.

We have now another public trial of interest with the Bishop of Rochester; he recommends Mr. Hinton for chaplain to the new Speaker; our Dean recommends Dr. Pelling. The commissioners of the public accounts join with the bishop in recommending Mr. Hinton. The Duke of Ormond and Mr. Secretary Bromley use their interest for Dr. Pelling. We are apt to think we have the better end of the staff, and that we shall show the bishop we can beat him any where, and that we can get the better of him though he gets the better of the ministry.

I think I have tired you, and have convinced your Lordship that I think you in earnest when you allow me to use you so freely. I thank your Lordship for all the kindness in your last; I never doubted of your Lordship's indulgence to me, but I hope I am not so vile a wretch as to presume upon the goodness of a noble friend. The more willing your Lordship is to allow me freedom, the more cautious ought I to be how I use it.

1713, November 7. Christ Church.—I really am ashamed to write to you; you beat me out of all the little play I have. I know not how to acknowledge kindness so much beyond what I can have any reason to hope for from your Lordship. But I should be as great a rogue as the Bishop of Rochester if ever I could make any other use of it than to promote, as far as is in my poor power, the honour and good of him from whom I receive it.

I heartily give your Lordship joy of my Lord Danby. God will reward your father in the way that affects most a great and good man, in blessings on his family. They have hitherto been the most remarkable in every branch of any this age affords, and I hope we shall see the completion of them within eight months more in my Lord Ogle. I hope there is some work coming for me. I hope I am not to be cut out every where. If I had no title to marry you, I have a better to christen the fruit of your marriage than the Dean of Wells can pretend to.

A little French air added to the accomplishments gained in former travels has made the young gentleman your Lordship mentioned as complete a coxcomb as any Great Britain can show.

I have some choice stories for your entertainment when I next wait on you. I have some new ones of the father, but they are of another nature, and such as will raise other sort of passions than those which produce laughter.

I gave your Lordship an account of favours that were to be bestowed here. I wonder how one your Lordship and I know gave way to it, but of that when we meet; but the end that was aimed at is not likely to be gained. More are disobliged than gained by it; some I believe will now declare who before were doubtful.

Mr. Bromley is your servant, the young gentleman here I mean; he tells me he wrote to pay his respects to your Lordship upon your marriage, but is afraid you never received your letter. I forgot to let your Lordship know the raptures your old friend Mrs. Rowney is [in]. I believe she could not be more pleased if young Tom had been married to her satisfaction. She is resolved to come and see you in Newcastle House.

1713, November 16. Christ Church.—I am heartily glad my Lady and your Lordship stay so long in the country, it will certainly be for the health of both, but it may conduce to that which is of more moiment to both of you. So much conversation as you must have together, where you are upon your marriage, must give you a thorough knowledge of each other and endear you to each other, and be the foundation of that inviolable affection which must be the mutual comfort of your lives. For believe me my Lord who have a few more years of my head than your Lordship has, that you must expect no satisfaction in this world, besides what arises from a good conscience, but what is domestic and to be had at your own home. It is well if all the rest, as great as your part is like to be in the world, be only an amusement to you. You must not be surprised if you should often find trouble from it.

The prebend of Gloucester on Pembroke is settled as far as it can yet be, by the Queen's warrant for doing of it. That which is to be annexed to Oriel will not be done till the Bishop of London comes over, who is to have the honour of it, having been bred in that College. The Master of Balliol has the vacant prebend of Bristol but that is not to be annexed to his College, and it is said Charlett will have the vacant prebend of Worcester, but I do not hear that is to be annexed. But all would not do. Since it was perceived that votes were valued, every one was for getting something, a promise at least. There were persons here who had a full commission to give them, and they have now brought off so many that they have a majority by one, and it was done so openly that some who had given their word desired to be released from it and named the preferment of which they had a promise, if they voted as they were desired in this case. The storm is stopped I believe that was apprehended, but they have been obliged to pay dear for it.

I have now a full account of the displeasure against me that I mentioned, but it is such as I cannot convey to you by letter.

I will order one to wait on you when you come to town and acquaint you with it. My crime is that I am supposed, and very truly I own, to be more in the interest of a relation of your Lordship's than in that gentleman's. For this I am called ungrateful; I can scarce think him weak enough truly to think me so in his own breast, but it will appear evident to your Lordship from the whole story that this has been infused into him by my sure friend at Westminster.

Nature, or rather the devil, is broke loose at last there, he has begun now with one of his own Chapter. He perceives that he is entirely in the wrong in the dispute about the parish clerk, and that he should certainly be baffled in it. He has got some of the prebendaries to join with him and has fallen foul upon Only, who as curate of St. Margaret's had given his approbation to Turner. Only was prebendary there many years before the Bishop was of the school. He is a quite harmless old man betwixt seventy and eighty. The Bishop has used him worse than he ever did any one here. I cannot say more, but it has affected the old man so that it is thought his head is disturbed and that his days will be shortened by it. Your Lordship will have the whole story from brother Gasse when you come to town. I believe the uproar is very great in the neighbourhood.

1713, November 19. Christ Church.—You shall be obeyed, though your last, as it increases my obligations, ought also my acknowledgments, but I will receive your friendship in the way you are pleased to prescribe to me, though I hope you will allow me to be as civil to you as I am to others. One of the heaviest accusations against me has been want of breeding, it is somewhat hard to alter one's manner after forty, but I am trying if I can be a little more complaisant than I have been. I would not have those I love best be troubled with the worst part of me.

Dr. Pelling has written nothing against Whiston, but there is now a prosecution against Whiston—not at the instance or charge of Dr. Pelling—but in all prosecutions some name must be used, real or feigned, in which the prosecution runs. The late Bishop of London desired Dr. Pelling to let his name be used, though it was carried on by the order and at the charge of the Bishop; that is the reason of this letter to Dr. Pelling.

There is earnest solicitation made for an Irish bishopric for Delaune, your Lordship will guess by whom. He meets with great difficulties, and it is questioned whether he can overcome, but I wonder a friend of ours should give way to it. Should it be done the noise will be great upon it, our friend will have the scandal of what another does. He who permits it will suffer more by it than he who promotes it.

Your Lordship without doubt has had an account of the new spirit which has risen up in Ireland, by which the Tories, beyond all expectation, are three to two in that Parliament. This unexpected turn will occasion I believe a certain person to alter his measures as well as his humour.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1713, November 24. Christ Church.—I cannot presume to think myself able to offer any consolation to your Lordship under your just grief. As I am one who have had the honour to be your servant, and to be known to the excellent lady who is gone, I beg leave to take the part which all who belong to your Lordship ought to do in so great an affliction to your family, and which is the chief amongst those very few of a private nature of which your Lordship could be sensible.

The sense of my Lady Carmarthen's having been an eminent example to her sex, in every relation she bore, though it makes the loss easy to your Lordship on her account, yet it must embitter it to yourself.

Your Lordship had lived to see a prospect of peace to your country after struggling for it through difficulties which, though not too great for your courage, were beyond I believe even your own expectation. Your Lordship had lived to see every branch of your family, by the especial providence of God, and not by the means of your own wealth or power, in so flourishing a condition as to be the envy of your friends, as well if not more than of your enemies. Such a calamity as the death of my Lady Carmarthen, in such a state of your affairs, ought to teach us all how uncertain those things are which we most value, and how entire our dependence is on God for every thing that is dear to us.

[To LORD HARLEY.]

1713, November 24. Christ Church.—I never wrote to your Lordship with so heavy a heart or so unwilling a hand. The loss of my Lady Carmarthen must be a damp to your Lordship in the midst of your own felicity, though such a blessing as you have in a lady is the greatest support you could have under the loss of such a sister. She was an example to her sex, in every relation she bore. She had as many of those virtues which are the ornaments of her sex as ever any of her years had obtained to, nor could any one I believe name the fault that was a diminution to them. Such a loss when the family had so fair a prospect of prosperity, after all difficulties, public and private, seemed to have been surmounted, should make us all sensible how much we are every moment in the power of God, and depend on Him for that which is dearest to us. I will be so vain myself as to pretend to have had the loss of a most sincere friend in the poor lady that is gone. I had lately received some letters from her which I shall preserve to my dying hour, as testimonies of her opinion of me, and of the confidence with which she was pleased to honour me. I shall not allow place to any other thoughts in a letter on so sad an occasion. Possibly this calamity may hasten your Lordship to town sooner than you had designed, if it does I beg I may know. I have somewhat which should be known elsewhere, but I shall not give notice of it by any hand but your own, nor shall I convey it to you by the ordinary way.

1713, November 26. Christ Church.—On the 22nd of this month I made a visit for one day at Bucklebury. I there learnt that the gentleman was much out of humour whilst he was there, and amongst other signs of it, broke out into these expressions against your father, "I and *Lady Masham* have bore him upon our shoulders, and have made him what he is, and he now leaves *us* where *we* were." I know my old friend will rant sometimes, but the person he joined with himself made me take notice of the expression. I must leave it to others to make reflections on it. Your Lordship will perceive this is to be communicated to no one but your father, because of the person from whom I had my intelligence. When you have read this, I hope you will burn it.

I heard one odd thing more, he and Lord Lausdowne have been very cold to each other for two years past; they were so when I left the town. Their common friends were once afraid there would have been an open rupture. They are lately reconciled, and old friendship renewed, or a new league struck up. I know Lord Lausdowne, when I left the town, pretended to be full of discontent.

1713, November 29.—This is only to enquire how your Lordship and my Lady do, since the sad news from town. I presume not yet to trouble you with any occurrences here. I know thoughts must be too much engaged, to be able [to] attend to such things. I allow your sorrow to be not only just but great for the loss of one who was tied to you as closely by friendship as by blood. But there are succours to be had both from reason and religion, which are proper to be called for after the first gust of grief [has spent] it self. [Your] Lordship has the most effectual consolation in your own lady, whose relation to you as it is nearer to you than any other can be, so it yields a friendship dearer than any other can.

I should be glad to hear if those which I have lately directed to Royston have come to your hands. I hinted to your Lordship that I had somewhat to communicate to you, what I should not send by the ordinary conveyance; I have had an opportunity of sending by Dr. Keill to London.

1713, December 5. Christ Church.—I bless God that you and your Lady have borne your late affliction without any disorder to your own health. I have now nothing more to wish, than that we may all make that use of poor Lady Carmarthen's death, to endeavour to be as well prepared for it as she was. Nothing could so effectually convince your Lordship how little that we most eagerly pursue here is to be depended on, nor can any lesson be so proper at the time you are entering upon the possession of so great a share of it.

I was in hopes your Lordship would have been in town by this time. Remembering that your Lordship thought Juggins had a good hand at brawn, I had presumed to prepare a collar, which I designed, by your Lordship, humbly to beg my Lady Harley to accept of. I had one ready too for poor Lady Carmarthen, but

that shall go to no one now but to myself; but I must beg to know what I must do with that I had designed for my Lady Harley. It will be spoiled if it stays in town till you come up. That which [might] not have been unacceptable in town will be of no use I am afraid in the country, where you must have much better. But if your Lordship, for the sake of your own fancy; would be pleased to have it [sent] to you into the country, let me know as soon as you can to whom I must direct it for you in town.

Londonderry is now vacant, which is the most valuable bishopric in Ireland next to the primacy. The Chancellor presses earnestly for Delaune for one [of] the vacancies. He has yet importuned without any effect; no one knows what perseverance may do, but the Delegates of Accounts have written to the Duke of Ormond and to Mr. B[romle]y, to desire, if a grant is made to Delaune and not before, that they would represent to the Queen the debt due to the university, and beg the grant may be stopped till security is given to the university for it. The Chancellor storms at this, and thinks it designed as an affront to himself; it [may] perhaps help to let the Queen see what regard ought to be had to his recommendations.

They have at last *bought* off as many, and that too not very secretly, as to make a majority to agree for Gloucester Hall. Whether some of the others will not appeal still I know not; they may if they will.

A citation went out of the Vice-Chancellor's court on Friday was se'nnight against Aldrich. Since that he has sent notice to the Dean that he is married. I believe the university will proceed without taking any notice of that, but we are at a loss to know here what the woman is to whom he is married. She is a Scotch woman, about two years older than he, who had married to her first husband one who had married to his first wife Aldrich's mother's aunt. This man was said to have left her a great deal of money. Aldrich's mother persuaded her to come and live with her at Henley; there she lived for a year, and she and the mother often comforted themselves plentifully at a famous inn which is there. This summer she went away for two months with Aldrich; the day she returned she bid Aldrich's mother and sister begone out of the house. The mother asked if she were married to her son; the woman would not then own that, but 'bawd' and 'whore' flew about betwixt them plentifully. The sister within a few days went away, poor creature, with a big belly, the father of it is not yet owned. Soon after Aldrich and the woman went away again to town. The Dean sent to him, to know if he was married; if not, he must summon him for giving scandal by living with that woman. Upon this he has owned his marriage; some say the woman is worth thousands, others that she is not worth a groat.

Lord Treasurer sent lately to me to enquire of the character of one Lewis that is here. I sent what I could learn, and I heard nothing to his prejudice. If Lord Treasurer would have him a student, now is the time for your Lordship to recommend him to Dr. Terry. We shall make a new roll at Christmas. I give your

Lordship this early notice, that you may, if you think fit, write to Dr. Terry, before he has engaged himself by nominating another. Were I at liberty, I should be glad to serve him, but my place in the next roll was mortgaged last act to Dr. Hammond to bring in Sir Ch. Holt's son, Mr. Secretary Bromley's nephew and godson. But that is made a pretence by a great man for breaking off with me, and giving me very hard words.

1713, December 14.—Had the Chancellor consulted his own interest, he would never have run the hazard of letting the Queen be informed what sort of men are in his favour, but we have had more instances than one, within this last year, that his passion can overrule him against his interest. He was in the utmost rage when he had notice of what the delegates desired, he redoubled his own applications upon it for D[elaune], but all to no purpose. The application is not to be made to the Queen unless a grant had been obtained. They who stop it, and by doing so prevent the Queen from being informed more fully of D[elaune]'s character, do as great a service to the Chancellor as they did who persuaded him not to deny us our privilege.

I believe the Duke of Ormond thinks himself very ill used upon Aldrich's account. I believe a promise was made to the Duke that Aldrich should make a public submission, if his Grace would interpose to excuse him from any further punishment. Upon this promise the Duke interposed. The university upon the Duke's desire were willing to remit all other demands, he took advantage of their indulgence to delay his coming till he thought fit to own his marriage, when he supposed he was out of their reach, but I believe the court will still proceed either to expulsion or excommunication.

1713-14, January 5. Windsor.—I am got to Windsor, but I have not relish enough of the honour of my attendance to think it can make me amends for the trouble of my journey. My godfather was here on Sunday, I had a little usual conversation with him, you know he is always open and communicative, that is his foible. But I was pleased with one thing, the account of your Lordship's impatience to return to Wimpole, that was right, and I doubt but the impatience for your absence was, [as] it ought to be, mutual.

I have seen all the great men, I think that is honour enough; I may even return home, and live upon it till the next year. The young gentleman, my old friend, is very fair and smooth in appearance, I desire no more. The great man [Harcourt] and I met at supper at your father's lodgings, I was in the room first, he came in to me—never was man more surprised than he was when he found me there. We were alone near a quarter of an hour, till Secretary Bromley came in and relieved him. I leave your Lordship to guess how much he was at a loss for conversation.

The Queen continues lame in both her hands, she now begins to complain of her knee, it is hoped the gout will fall into her feet.

I have no more than to wish you and my Lady many years, and may you love each other in the last as well as you do now. I shall return on the 16th to Oxford. I must own I had a desire to have seen you and my Lady together, but I ought to be satisfied that I hear you are happy in each other. Now I have seen that I have wished for as to you over, I have no other concern in this world, nor anything to hinder me from passing what time I have to come in it with Robin Morgan in preparing for the next.

1713-14, January 22. Christ Church.—I left Windsor on the 16th; I reached Bucklebury that night, and returned home last night. Your Lordship may perceive by the Gazette, that I shall be obliged to be in London by the middle of March. If your Lordship is not before that time settled in Newcastle House, I will make no stay in town, but wait on your Lordship as soon as my turn is over. If your Lordship continues at Wimpole, I will concert my journey with you, against I come up to town. I beg my most humble duty to my Lady, and my thanks for her goodness in allowing me to pay my duty to her; you won't believe me, but there is somewhat of truth in it, that I have as great a desire to see my Lady, now your Lordship is married to her, as your Lordship had before you were married.

I long to give your Lordship an account of my conversation at Windsor, but I must reserve it till we meet. Lord Chancellor and I were alone in your father's room for a quarter of an hour, he came in upon me there, and was not a little surprised to find me there. Never was man more at a loss for conversation; he would not come to church the day I preached. All very fair in appearance between the young lord and me.

You have heard I suppose of the poor wretch who poisoned herself, for love, as it was given out, of Colonel Killigrew; his friends to excuse him reported that she forced herself into his bedchamber, and would have come into his bed, and because he would not let her, she took ratsbane. I believe your Lordship never heard before of a Joseph amongst the soldiers; the truth was this. He had solicited her long; that he could not for fear of losing his place marry her in the common form, but she should be his wife before God; that the intervention of a priest was no ways necessary, but only a trick of the priests to get money; that she should be his wife as much as Sarah was Abraham's; that he would never know any other woman, and would allow her 50*l.* per annum besides presents which he would make to her. Upon this she complied with him on a Monday. She found when it was too late that he slighted [her], and attempted to speak with him on Tuesday, but he avoided her. On Wednesday she met him alone at the chocolate house, and desired him to provide for her as he had promised; he bid her begone; she told him he had ruined her; he answered "God damn me, because I have ruined you, must I ruin myself?" She went away and immediately tried to get some ratsbane. On Thursday she got some of an apothecary's boy, and mingled it with some sugar and put it into

warm ale, and drank it up as ale and sugar before several people. She had lain for several days in great torture when I left Windsor, there was a bare possibility, but little probability of her life.

1713-14, January 27. Christ Church.—I know not how to express my duty and thanks to my Lady for the honour she does me. I am very glad to hear I shall find you in town when I come up. I shall certainly beg leave to wait on you at Wimpole as soon as you return thither in the summer. Your Lordship will have a neighbour this winter at Newcastle House that you will like. I believe Tom Rowney will have the corner house in which he lived two years ago.

I have received all the letters your Lordship did me the honour to write to me, and I hope your Lordship received the last I wrote to your Lordship from Windsor. I think I told your Lordship in that how kindly I was received by Lord Bol[ingbroke], though he could not help dropping some expressions by which I understood how far I was to rely upon appearances. I have since had a further account from London, that all that civility was perfect hypocrisy, but that is as much as I desire. If he breaks not out into open quarrels, I will never press him for old friendship. Your Lordship will have an account from my brother Gastrell, before I shall see you, of the reason of that minister's wrath against me.

I am sorry to hear of Lord Treasurer's cold, I think he was too complaisant to go out yesterday, before his cold was quite gone, to the christening in Lincolns Inn Fields. I suppose your Lordship has had an account of it. The Bishop of R[ochester], who was to do the office, would by that have an opportunity of speaking with your father, which he complained he could not get, and said he would never attempt any more. I cannot but reflect on the proceedings of great men one to another. To see your father pressed to such a compliment by those who but three months ago were in concert to have ruined him—but let that pass.

1713-14, February 5. Christ Church.—I know not whether this will come soon enough to Wimpole to wish your Lordship and my Lady a good journey to town. I suppose the approaching session obliges your Lordship to think of leaving the country. You must have some days I suppose to prepare for your going to the Upper House. There I expect to hear of you as soon as the Parliament is opened, and I wish there may not be an occasion for more strength there. If there be any ground for the reports we have here, the opposition there will be as obstinate as ever.

Our governor is gone to London, I suppose he will have the addition of a higher title before we see him again. There is now more matter for those of our gown who are intriguing for better preferments. The poor Archbishop of York is dead. The two chief competitors for that see, I believe will be Hereford and Chester. I may tell your Lordship, whom I can trust, that our friend the Bishop of Hereford never at first desired a bishopric

so much as he now does a better. He gave so many proofs when he was at Hereford of his designing to leave them the first moment he could, that he had lost himself in that diocese before he was well in possession of it. Chester [Dawes] will certainly vote against you if he has not York, and I am afraid will not be firm to you if he has it. He will then hope for no more from you, and think himself at liberty to do as he pleases, and the best you can hope for from him will be to be whimsical. It is a very unaccountable part that he has taken on him ever since the change of the ministry, to reflect publicly in all companies on your father, and for that too for which no other person ever pretended to tax him, for want of sense. But I hope my brother Gastrell will not be forgot amongst the vacancies that are likely to be by deaths and removes.

I suppose your Lordship has heard that young Mr. Bromley is gone with my godfather to Hanover. I am glad of it for the young gentleman's sake, and it is very proper too on the public account.

1713-14, February 10. Christ Church.—I hope you have had charity enough to have made a visit in Duke Street. I am afraid cordials may be wanting there; the disappointment will try all the remains of philosophy. I do remember when there was a great stock of philosophy there, at a time when I could not boast of much of it. But what cannot ladies do! I am afraid it was all given in dowry to the noble lady. I could give a little comfort, but I have ill-nature enough not to do it. I could tell him that I believe he is reserved for London upon the next vacancy; but what poor creatures are we to have our peace depend upon these things. Your Lordship may allow me to talk a little at this rate now you are provided for to the utmost of your wishes.

If the new promotion* is for the Queen's and your father's service, it is well. It is lucky for him, he could not have lived any longer where he was. You may not easily believe this, but I think my intelligence in those parts is sure. He was sunk to a degree, scarce credible, for his votes in the last session. Not one gentleman, I repeat it without exceptions, not *one*, would converse with him, of those amongst whom he had been very popular. He has had prodigious luck there, in the short time he has been there he has received more in fines than my poor father did in eighteen years. Two good estates fell in entirely. Bishop Hall had charged on one of them, besides the usual rent, 48*l. per annum* for the augmentation of the salaries of the petty canons, which are wretchedly mean. They petitioned this bishop for the continuance of it, but though he had such fortune as never man had in that place he would not hearken, but gave the whole estate to his son. I suppose your father did not promote him on account of the particular friendship and respect he had for your father. If your father did he was much mistaken, as, with submission, the greatest men may be, but if it is an

* Of Sir William Dawes, Bishop of Chester, to be Archbishop of York.

expedient to make some unreasonable men easier at this time, there may be one reason given for it. His successor will smart for his good fortune. I have a sort of a hint from S[ecretary] B[romley] that my brother G[astrell] may be the man; if he should, it will be well for the diocese, to which I wish well, though I never got anything by it, but G[astrell] will be undone unless he has a good *commendam*.

1713-14, February 12. Christ Church.—I should be glad to know where you are, and whether you received the last I wrote to you. I would not willingly it should fall into other hands. I wrote my mind in it, before I was aware, a little more freely than a cautious man would, by such a conveyance. The competition is as I expected, both equally desire it, but one is too stiff to ask for it, he expects it should be offered to him; the other is a little more supple, *non modo petit, sed pulsat*. I believe we may foretell that *petenti dabitur*. I hope he will be satisfied then, though I will not answer for him. There will be one step still higher.

I remember your Lordship was saying once, that one edition of Speed was better than the other, pray which is the best? Can your Lordship tell me, where you are, in what year and by whom that was printed? I ask because one is offered to me to be sold. I would not buy the worst edition.

1713-14, February 13.—It is plain which way the W[hig]s hope to play their game. Their aim is to offer themselves to join with the T[orie]s who either really are against the Commerce bill, or make that a pretence to pursue other discontents. You must humour your new Lordship a little, you must leave him at liberty to differ from you some times; he will look on that as a point of honour, and do it without much hurt to you. One thing I fancy you will find in him, that he will make it a point of honour not to be against any thing he has ever openly declared himself. You must let him take his own way if he will be content with his own private dissent from you, and not cabal against you.

Grabe's "Spicilegium," that is reprinted, is only on small paper; there are none of them on large. The faults in the former edition are corrected and several additions are made in this.

Postscript. I shall bring up with me to town a note of some books of the late Bishop of Hereford? If you have a mind to pick any out I can procure them for you.

Pray be pleased to think of going to Sir Godfrey's for my picture, I have a design too on my Lady.

1713-14, February 16. Christ Church.—I cannot blame you for thinking of Wimpole again, there is nothing by what I hear in town that can make it agreeable to any honest man. They have put me in the Lent list, were not that on my hands I should have no other motive to come to town, nor business when I am there, but to pay my duty to my Lady and your Lordship. When I have done that I will retreat again as fast as I can to my cell.

I can easily believe there is confusion enough, and it will be as great in church as in state, but I hope there was no good ground for the report you had met with of Sir T. H[anmer]'s refusing the chair. Not that he is such a one as I could wish there, but I know not where at present you would have a better. My kinsman would be as mercenary as you would desire him, he would do any thing you would have him, but if he were in that chair he would be paid for what he did. But if he were in the chair, he would be of no weight or authority in the House. But I may spare my reflections. All will be over before this can reach your Lordship. I hear John Ward has thrown up his Welsh judge's place, I know he thinks he has been unkindly used, and I convinced him that it was owing to my Lord Chancellor. But his throwing up now is no proof of any fresh discontent, for he told me when I met him in [the] summer at Bath that he was then resolved to quit it, but it may be of use to you perhaps to know that if Sir Th[omas] H[anmer] be in the chair he will be more under the influence of J[ohn] W[ar]d than of any one man whatever, even more than of Mr. B[romley].

I had wrong information about the person that is likely to succeed at York. It is said now it will not go to him that asks for it. I suppose he is reserved for another place, but I expect now to be informed by your Lordship how those things will go.

Tom Rowney and his wife go up to town to-morrow, but he could not have the house in your neighbourhood; he is in Covent Garden.

I hear there is a design in which many Tories have combined to move to bring over H[anover]. If this be so, it is either by distressing your father to force him to their own terms, or what is worse, upon a supposition that the Queen cannot last long to make their court to H[anover]. If there be such a design my Diocesan of C[hester] is in it, and I believe you will find some considerable ones in your House come in on this pretence, because they once voted for it, they think it would be dishonourable to be now against it. They will not move for it, but if others move it they cannot be against it. No one in his wits can expect anything from it but immediate confusion.

1713-14, February 23.—Your great neighbour in the Fields [Harcourt] interposed with great warmth, to oppose Dr. Pelling and to recommend Mr. Hinton to the Speaker. And out of mere despatch for J. P[elling's] success he did a very extravagant thing in giving the schoolmaster's place at Ewelme to one Newcome, when the Queen had signed a warrant to give it to Mr. Ivy of our House. It is certainly not in the Chancellor's gift, and he has taken upon him to dispose of that which he has no more right to than your Lordship has. But if it had been in his own disposal, he might have had the manners to have given way to the Queen's warrant. But he has lost more by this disposal of

it, than ever he will get by obliging the Principal of Brasenose or the Bishop of Rochester. The man to whom it is given is very infamous, his story is too long for a letter, and all here are astonished at his getting of it. The place instead of a school will be a bawdy house—perhaps his Lordship may call in as he goes to Cockrop.

The talk was here for my brother Gastrell for Chester. I wish the bishopric were as fit for him as he is for the bishopric. I know of no bishopric in either province that will give more trouble to one who makes a conscience of doing his duty, nor any one that requires more a good man, a man of sense and a discreet man. But his predecessor has so stripped it, that brother Gastrell will be undone if he should not have something *in commendam* with it.

1713-14, February 25. Christ Church.—I am [in] no little pain for my dear godfather, there are no letters yet of his safe arrival [at Hanover], and I am told there are reports in town as though he had been cast away in the stormy night. Pray let me hear from you, or order some other to write to me as soon as you have any account of him.

It is as I guessed it would be, the poor man wants a cordial. I had a letter last night, he could not help owning how very uneasy he was. This is not very episcopal. I am afraid he wants grace upon more accounts than one, had he a little more one way he would not be so much concerned for missing of it another way, but this *entre nous*.

In looking over my pamphlets there were three or four I had forgot, which I know not but you might desire:—Guthry's "Memoirs," worth your having, if you have it not; Burnet's "Account of John, Earl of Rochester"; "The Emperor and Empire betrayed, how and by whom," a famous little pamphlet towards the latter end of Charles II.; Wolsey's "Life"; "Narrative of the Proceedings against James, Bishop of St. Asaph." If you would have any of them I will bring them up with me.

1713-14, March 1. Christ Church.—I heartily thank you for putting me out of pain about my godfather. I do long to see you, but were it not for that I should come up with great reluctance; there is nothing there that I want, and I leave everything here unwillingly. I see not how I can be in the least of any service, but I will obey, and I hope I shall be able to be in town in the beginning of the next week. But you must engage to protect me against your great neighbour. Tom Rowney sends me word—this betwixt you and me—that the wrath is as great against me; I know not the reason, nor do I much care. If things here are not exactly as he would have, I must have the honour of being the cause of it. He says I talk against him, but he will not instance in what. I hope I shall keep myself out of the reach of *scandalum magnatum*. But I have not conversed with above five persons in the university for this last half year,

and have purposely avoided any discourse of things in which he had any concern. But I can easily guess at his intelligence. His Bishop keeps his hold on him, by furnishing him with stories, but he is now in the utmost rage at J. Pelling's success and my brother Gastrell's promotion. He is come to that pass as to declare that he looks on all at Christ Church as his enemies. I believe his Bishop has possessed him to that degree that he looks on any opposition as designed ultimately against himself, and that when any who are not friends to the Bishop are preferred it is in order to strengthen an interest against himself. The choice of the Almoner may perhaps throw him into as great a fit as he had last year, upon the university's proceeding against Ald[rich].

1713-14, March 4. Christ Church.—The Speaker I heard invited those gentlemen because they had spoke for him. I am told it is usual to invite all those that speak to recommend him, but I suppose he will be allowed to take his own way in things of no great moment; he will more easily be persuaded to go right in matters of weight.

I will come up if I can on Monday, but I am afraid it will be Wednesday before I set out. I shall be wanted here till then for the College business, and I believe I shall scarce be able sooner to pick up my own poor rents to enable me to take my journey, but that shall be the latest.

Postscript. I do not believe that you would most labour to prevent will come on in the beginning of the session. I like not the beginning in the Lords' House. Have you not strength enough to protect your friends? This censure will be construed by many as a public approbation of the other. Perhaps it may be a forerunner of it. What if the printer [of the "Spirit of the Whigs"] should squeak, what will become of ——[Swift?] Pray give me some account of this. Was it really through want of number that so little opposition was made to W[harton]?

1713-14, March 6. Christ Church.—Things seem by the Lords' Address to go better in that House than we might have hoped from the first day's work. I hope Barber is a sturdy fellow; I believe no law can touch him. I pity poor D[octo]r S[wift] if Barber should squeak. The pamphlet is very well written, you are obliged in honour to protect the Dean.

Freeman of the "King's Head" is made a justice of peace, no doubt by the interest of a bishop, at Alsop's desire. The reason Alsop had to procure this honour to Freeman can be no secret to your Lordship, the great man has no reserve of complaisance for his ecclesiastical friend.

[To ABIGAIL HARLEY?]

1714, May 1.—Madam, though I durst not presume to enquire directly of yourself how you and Mrs. Harley and my little mistress did, yet I failed not to enquire of Dr. Bettenson. But

since you have done me the honour to mention me in yours to my Lady Dupplin, I think I have a title to trouble you directly.

I hope Mrs. Harley will not be in too great haste to leave the Bath, after so great a struggle as she has gone through, I believe the waters will now take place, and restore her to a condition of health she has not known for many years. Pray do not interpret what I wrote as though I was capable of a thought to the disadvantage of my little mistress. What I said I think imported rather that she needed no improvement, than that she would have none. Be pleased to assure her with my most humble respects, that Lady Harriot has * her, but longs very much to see her. I never had the honour to wait on Lady Harriot, but I began her health to her (*sic*). It is with the greatest satisfaction that I can assure you that I believe there are not in the world a happier couple, and upon truer grounds, than in Newcastle House. Both exceed my expectations, though not my wishes. I hope too in a little time we shall see them easy in a fortune which they may call their own. If it be not as great as one of her birth might have expected, yet it will be as great as one of her sense and goodness will desire. But though the composition should be upon harder terms than it was once thought it might have been, yet what has passed within these last two months makes it highly necessary.

Lady Dupplin commands me to write you some news, and yet she will not furnish me with the most proper materials, which as a lady who receives and returns visits, she is best able Those of the public affairs for two months, are such as would astonish you, but not much entertain you, especially that part of them which has been the most pursued, though it appears least. My poor master has narrowly escaped stabs, of another nature indeed than that which he had from Guiscard, but which were aimed at him with as much malice, and had they taken place might have been near as mortal. No malice so virulent, as well as base, as that which is heightened with ingratitude. I hope he is not only past the danger of it, but may, if his own temper would give him leave, by turning it on the authors, prevent any revival of it. I hope one, who perhaps may [have] had some share in it, begins to see the issue of it would have been her own ruin. There is an accommodation in appearance with others, but after such attempts I believe there can never again be any true confidence.

The ladies I am afraid will have a great disappointment. It is said they have been preparing to set themselves out to the greatest advantage upon the Duke of Cambridge's every one hoping to be the happy lady that will engage him. Some begin to be of opinion that all their pains will be to no purpose, not for want of charms in them, but for his not coming. I cannot on this occasion forbear thinking of the widow you were pleased to mention. I hope she has qualities in her more

* A few words at the foot of each page of this letter are lost from decay.

valuable than her beauty, though that is beyond what a poor parson ought to hope for. Had she been here, as you suppose, there would have been somewhat in town to have made my stay very agreeable to me. I have met with enough to make it otherwise, but the pretty widow is not many miles distant from you. I have a great inclination not to trust to Dr. Bettenson's report, but to wait on you at Bath, and see with my own eyes how you do. But you are so given to suspect me, though I thought I had not been liable to much suspicion on that account, that I am afraid you would not take the compliment to yourself, but be apt to think that I came to Bath only for an opportunity of going a little further.

[To LORD HARLEY.]

1714, June 1. Christ Church.—I thank God I am safe at home, and my relish of my own cottage at present is very strong. How long it will continue I know not, but the change of the scene is so agreeable to me, that instead of envying your Lordship for your greatness, I heartily pity you upon all accounts but one, for your having Lady Harriot; for having herself; and not those appendixes of her dirty manors and woods, which many may think the chief part of your good fortune. But from my soul I think the possession of herself a blessing for which even as great a philosopher as my fellow traveller may envy your Lordship.

I am scarce recovered of my journey, you must be content this post with a short letter. I hear the Dean of St. Patrick's [Swift] is in town. I suppose he will call on me.

1714, June 3. Christ Church.—I was in hopes of a line last night from your Lordship. Your Lordship will excuse a little curiosity when we are in so great a crisis, but I take your silence for a good sign, at least it is a proof that no mischief has happened.

I saw not the Secretary before I left the town, but I received the enclosed [*missing*] from him at eleven at night. I had told him, that I thought things would be brought to an issue in a few days. You will see what his apprehensions were upon it. I leave it to you to show this elsewhere as you shall think fit, but return it to me again.

Lord Anglesey last Friday at dinner at the Speaker's, before the Lord Almoner [Smalridge], said that your father had spoken to him to desire him to speak to all his friends to be for giving the arrears to the Hanover troops, and told him that it was the Queen's desire they should be given. Lord Anglesey said he spoke to Lord Boling[broke] upon it, that Lord Boling[broke] told him it was not the Queen's desire. Lord Anglesey asked him which he must believe, your father or him. Lord Bol[ingbroke] said if he would go along with him to the Queen he should hear it from herself, that it was not her desire.

The Dean of St. Patrick's came hither on Monday, in the other coach, but we met not on the road. He was in the College on Tuesday, and met Dr. Altham, who told him I was in town, but he called not on me. He is gone to one Mr. Gery's, who has a parsonage near Wantage, one who lived formerly in Sir William Temple's family, and was afterwards a fellow of Corpus Christi, and went off about four years ago to this parsonage, which is in the presentation of the College. By what I hear the Dean will be at full liberty to converse with himself, and to sort his papers without any interruption from his landlord, and will be obliged for his entertainment to his own reflections.

Postscript. It is resolved to attempt in the Lords to extend the Seminary Bill to Ireland also. They will first pass the Bill and then offer the amendment. The Primate solicits Lord Anglesey to do this. Lord Anglesey had been twice with the Bishop of Rochester about it; he was received at the first time coldly, but at the second time his Lordship promised to be assisting in it.

1714, June 5. Christ Church.—I had this day a full account from the Bishop of Chester of yesterday's debate and division. The Lords our friends, who were for admitting the petition, are such known friends of your father, that you must expect the Bishop of Rochester and Lord Bolingbroke will say they voted so by his order. One may perceive already how it will go; if the bill is not dropped, it will be garbled, and any amendments that can be desired will be admitted.

Honest John [Drummond]'s coming over was very providential, he has done greater service at home than abroad. It will be hard if he should not reap some little advantage from those services, from which they for whose sake they are done reap so great. It is high time there should be a *mar mission* [?], but can you guess in what manner it will be, will there be a submission or a dismission. There can be no reconciliation I am afraid, that will be safe.

The Dean of St. Patrick's left not Oxford till Thursday morning, one saw him then going out of town with a portmanteau behind his man, big enough to contain his library as well as his equipage. I heard not of him whilst he was here, nor can I learn either where he lodged, or with whom he conversed.

My Lord Treasurer told me on Sunday that he would order the money for Dr. Hutton's books to be paid; if it be paid to Hutton at Somerset House it will be sufficient, but his Lordship was pleased to say that all the manuscripts were not there. I thought Dr. Freind had got in all that had been lent out. If his Lordship suspects any to be wanting, if he can guess what they are, I will order immediate enquiry to be made for them.

I heartily wish to hear that Lord P[elham] and your Lordship were agreed in other points as well as in this which was last in dispute. My dear Lord, let no happy turn tempt you to defer the conclusion of that matter, as far as it is in your power, but rather make use of the sunshine to hasten it. That is of too

great moment to be left any longer to chance. When I hear that is over I can lay down my head on my pillow, without fear of waking before daybreak.

1714, June 8. Christ Church.—I found upon my return that there had been too much ground of complaint against Lewis. He had for six weeks past absented himself from the chapel, the hall, and from his tutor's lectures, and had often lain out of the College. These were all just grounds of suspecting somewhat worse than appeared. I could not meet with him in four days after my return; at last I had him seized in bed in a morning and brought to me. I said what I thought proper, and at last told him if he lost the provision designed for him by your Lordship's intercession, and did not make his peace with the Sub-dean, he must expect nothing more from your Lordship or your father, and might look upon himself as undone. I then ordered his tutor to carry him to the Sub-dean. I have since spoken with the Sub-dean as by your Lordship's order. I told him your Lordship would not press him to do any thing he thought improper, that he was to be the judge, who was fit to be nominated by himself, but that I did not know that your Lordship had any thoughts of recommending any other, if Lewis should be unfit. That I believed your Lordship could still wish Lewis might have his favour, if he should by his future behaviour endeavour to recommend himself to it. The Sub-dean said what I allowed, that after so much negligence, it would be necessary to have a proof, as well as a promise, of his future behaviour; that he could only recommend Lewis to the acceptance of the Chapter, that he should be ready to do what your Lordship desired, if Lewis should behave himself so as to be fit for his kindness. In short what has been done may be passed over, I suppose, if he be regular for the future. And I believe the Sub-dean will not have any nomination this year, so that we shall have time enough to have proof of Lewis's manners. I am afraid the lad has very ill habits. I wish your Lordship would take [care] to have him told, severely, that he must expect nothing either from your family, or his own friends, unless he take care by exemplary diligence to make amends for what is passed. I will not fail to watch him and to send for him sometimes.

Here are reports with us that Lord B[olingbroke] designs to surrender his seals. They are pleased to compliment me so far as to enquire if I know any thing of it, but I very safely answer that I am a stranger to his Lordship's intentions.

1714, June 10. Christ Church.—I am very glad to hear your Lordship mention hand and seal. I hope your Lordship remembered to tell Lord Treasurer what I took to be the true meaning of an odd expression dropped by a certain person to him. He was certainly vexed that your father had taken out of his hands the opportunity he would have had of making his own terms by having the management of such an affair.

I was in hopes you would have been up in a week. Surely the business might have been dispatched sooner; this delay I am afraid gives advantage to those at home, who endeavour to do mischief in that we are chiefly concerned with abroad. Our letters tell us the French commissaries and ours are agreed, and that the bill of commerce will be brought in. Is this true? It may be worth while to protract the session for that bill, though it comes in unseasonably if there should be any opposition to it.

I do not expect any issue of other affairs until the session is over, but methinks assurance might in the mean time be given of what shall be then done.

1714, June 12. Christ Church.—I hear the Queen expressed great concern to Lord Al[moner] about the success of the Schism bill; this was on Thursday last, and she was afraid lest it had been spoiled by the alterations that [had] been made in it the day before. This looks not well. This concern must come from some impressions made from a wrong quarter, and I just now hear that affairs are in as great confusion as when I left the town. I cannot say I have this from a hand that I can entirely depend on, but it is from a friend and one too who has good acquaintance. If there be any ground for this I hope your Lordship will let me know it in your next.

I forgot to tell you that the man who governs the Speaker and infuses all his ill humours into him is Mr. Hill.

Sign and seal the first moment you can, no one can guess where a wind that shifts from one point to another so often will at last settle.

Postscript. I hear Sir Charles Hedges is dead. I hope some of his many places will fall to the share of my [friend], Dr. HENCHMAN. He would be a credit to the greatest of them; there is no one of his profession who upon the test of merit can be a competitor with him. I have just now received the honour of your Lordship's and at the same time one from J. D[rummond]. I perceive by the last letter, in what a dismal way we are. Your Lordship in yours seem to dart a little ray of hope. Pray let me hear, though only on one bare line, how things go and who gains ground, be it good or bad. I suppose the lady [Masham] has the chief hand in this. What is it that she pretends for this new turn in herself?

1714, June 14. Christ Church.—I have not at present much to say to you, but I am in no little pain till I hear from you. I hear by Tom Rowney to-night that which explains to me the expression in your Lordship's letter of going back to ways and means. Pray who were the occasion of that? I hear too that it is resolved to lay aside the old commissioners of accounts in the new bill. Who is it that opposes them? They are not, as I take it, unanimous amongst themselves, but in different measures, and for following different heads. The quarrels at court certainly begin to spread elsewhere, and will diffuse them every day further, unless a stop be put at the fountain of them.

Which way will the Duke of Shr[ewsbury] go, I hear which way he voted yesterday, but with whom will he side in another place? As soon as you are at any certainty, pray let me hear, be it good or bad.

1714, June 17. Christ Church.—If the new scheme prevail, I hear the Bishop of Rochester is to be Lord Privy Seal. I truly believe there is some ground for this, and that we should see, if not this, somewhat in relation to him altogether as extravagant.

I am glad of the new accession of strength, but I am afraid there will be a difficulty in keeping up a good correspondence there and with Anglesey at the same time. The latter is not of that moment as the other, but if he can be kept, he is a hearty enemy to the new minister.

My letters tell me alterations are talked of, and I find all good men wish, if they are to be, that they might be before the Parliament is up. The alarm begins to be taken. You may tell your father from me, that by the accounts which I have, I perceive that if the alterations talked of go on, some declarations which were lately made with great solemnity will immediately sink in their credit.

I wish J. D[rummond] may be in the right, but it is good to expect the worst. I am preparing myself to be a malcontent. I have been used to it, and never found but that I was as easy when the wind was in my teeth as when it was in my back. John has been a faithful servant to you in this, as well as all other cases. If you do go off, methinks it should be a matter of remorse to you that you have not provided better for him whilst it was in your power to do it. I must tell you the vogue is against you, as far as I can learn it. It is thought you cannot keep your ground, though no one thinks others can hold it longer than the next session, nor even to the end of this, at near as it is, if the alteration be before it is up.

My duty to my Lady and my most humble thanks for the great honour she does me in vouchsafing to allow me a place in her memory. I wish these domestic broils do not bring a trouble on her she does not suspect. If the war goes on, and I should be driven from Christ Church, I shall certainly retire for protection to Wimpole.

Stanley may be of use. if there should be occasion to fix Lord Shrewsbury. He heartily hates the new minister, and has good reason to do so.

1714, June 20. Christ Church.—My accounts every post still give me less hopes, I find the expectation of a change grows every day, and it is impossible to express men's apprehensions of it. All I find run into the same suspicion. It is not hard to guess at the consequence of so general a suspicion. But the Lord Treasurer is too stout to do what is necessary to prevent this, in his own account; yet he for the sake of the public and others too should set all hands at work to stop it. They know they have it in their power to expose the chief drivers. As late

as it is in the session, I should think some at least of their practices should be brought into the House of Commons, at least so far as to show them what they are to expect next winter. This possibly might check those who are running so warmly with them. But all who are of any weight, who have honour or fortune, and are engaged in the public service, should agree amongst themselves to withdraw from it, if the alteration happens, and should let that agreement be known. It may be too late to treat for a remedy till the next session, it is probable we shall be in confusion before. If this go on, I am afraid it is upon the prospect of somewhat that must be attempted before the next session.

What party was it in the House that were for continuing the old Commissioners, and who were warm for new ones?

Pray who was it that, when the supply was closed, stood up and said he hoped none of it would be applied to the Hanover troops? I hear a friend of ours thought himself reflected upon in that and could not forbear answering.

1714, June 22. Christ Church.—Has your Lordship heard of the Bishop of Rochester's congratulating Mr. Nelson upon the likelihood of your father's removal? Mr. Nelson gave him an answer the Bishop did not expect, but such as he deserved. I take this to be a proof [of] the Bishop's politics, as well as of his honesty and gratitude. There can be but one point upon which that Right Reverend could suppose such a removal could be agreeable to Mr. Nelson. I hope your Lordship will take care that the right Reverend's goodwill be known where it was intended.

I trust the Colonel's hope is upon some good ground, and not merely the result of his courage.

That which has been started in your House will be of mighty use, if it can be made good. I need not tell your Lordship that others than appear in it are supposed to be at the bottom of it. I hope they are sure of their point. The transferring of stock looks very like a confession of guilt. I have not heard of the Dean of St. Patrick's [Swift] since he went hence, he does not I believe like these shifting winds, and will scarce appear till the wind comes to be settled in one point or other.

1714, June 26. Christ Church.—I like not the present face of affairs, I know not where it will end, but it seems not to forebode anything that is good. I long to hear that your own affair is finished. I should be sorry if the storm should break upon us before that is over. If that were done we might hope to meet it without being overwhelmed by it. I thank you for the hopes you give me of seeing you here soon; it cannot be I suppose till the session is over, and as warm as you are at present yet I fancy the session will be like the days we have now, the hottest at the close.

J. Davies will be in town as soon as ever there is water enough in the river to carry up the barge; at present there is not, the channel may be passed without wetting your shoes in many places betwixt this and Abingdon. I will order him to call on

your Lordship when he goes, and give your Lordship notice when he will be there.

I was in some danger, but came off without hurt. The adventure was so odd, I cannot help smiling when I reflect on it, it will be more proper to be told than to be written, but pray from whom did your Lordship hear anything of it?

Postscript. I have good reason to believe the Bishop of London has of his own accord, at this juncture, done the part of an honest man to somebody, and of a friend to your father. I know not the success.

June 27.—I perceive by the letters just come in that the talk of the town runs on the Captain's side. I hope care is taken to have the Colonel's friends kept steady against such an accident. I hear Lord Treasurer is to be president of the Council, this agrees not with what I heard, that he had left them. I take him to be wiser. It is said Lord Anglesey is to go to Ireland. I know not how such a post may tempt him, but he and B[olingbroke] are mortal enemies and can never hold. All agree the lady [Masham], your quondam friend and cousin, is irreconcilable.

1714, June 29.—I have no news to send, but I expect some from you, and such as must be of greater consequence, be it good or bad, to us all, than any event that has happened in my days. We may learn by what we [have] seen since Christmas last, how foolish all our presumptions of quiet and security are. When we think we are past all difficulties, and that every thing is easy, somewhat we never expect breaks out that gives us more trouble than all we foresaw. But I think the present posture of affairs ought to be a lesson to your Lordship, who are just entering upon the world, though not to avoid any share that is proper for you in the public affairs, yet never to depend on it, and always to keep your own private affairs upon such a foot, that you may at a moment's warning quit any public post not only with honour, but without any inconvenience too to yourself.

1714, July 1. Christ Church.—I shall [write] more freely to your Lordship than I do now, to-morrow by old Mr. Jenks, who then sets out for London. I hope I have pleased the old man, I have had his boy entered, and have prevailed with Benson to take care of him. I shall desire Mr. Jenks, if he meets not with your Lordship at home, to leave the letter for you, and not to fail to do it on Saturday.

Postscript. I told Mr. Benson that Mr. Jenks was one for whom your Lordship was concerned. Mr. Benson upon this promised to recommend the lad to be servitor to and to live with my Lord Lemster, who will be here in a few weeks and is to be under Mr. Benson's care.

Mr. Jenks gave me some diversion; he saw the Lords' address on my table. He was mightily pleased, I perceived, and seemed to look on it as somewhat providential that the Queen was desired to renew her alliance with the Emperor. He thinks the Emperor, I perceive, a sure friend and support

to the Protestant succession; but the Queen's answer did not give him entire content. It did not seem to him direct enough that she would do what the Lords desired; he owned it was, as it were, tantamount.

1714, July 1. Christ Church.—I can by this conveyance desire you to explain a line in your last. Your Lordship says, "Certainly, Anglesey will be theirs if he has Ireland, the Duke of Ormond, I believe, will leave." Do the words "If he has Ireland" refer only to Anglesey, so as to mean that Anglesey would be theirs, in the condition only of having Ireland? Or do those words belong to that which follows, so as to mean that the Duke of Ormond would leave them, if Anglesey had Ireland? But whether those intermediate words belong to those which precede them or those which follow them, it is plain that your Lordship supposes the Duke of Ormond at present to be with them, which amazes. I did not think my friend your father had any one surer to him, or more averse to the new ministry. Nor can I imagine what can have brought him off, unless the Duke of Shrewsbury being with your father. Perhaps he may think if your father continue here, the Duke of Shrewsbury will continue in Ireland, but if your father quit, the Duke of Shrewsbury will not go again to Ireland, and then there may be room for them (?) to hope for him. I would [not] willingly think him capable of such mean thoughts, but I know not otherwise how to account for his being with them. Pray explain this a little to me. I never had that opinion of Anglesey's honour that I had of the Duke of Ormond's, but Anglesey has so often called the others villains and said he knew them to be so, and sworn solemnly that he would never serve one hour with them, that if he does after all this join with them, he must be as great a villain as any of them, and has only played the part he has done to wait for an opportunity in troubled waters of selling himself to those who would buy him with this place. But I would be glad to know how long he has been with them. F. Annesley must have been the man who has negotiated this matter, if it be done. Pray let me know who you think do stick with you, who will to the last do so; and who have, or who you think will leave you. How does my friend the Secretary hold? I ask because I am told he has seemed to favour A. M[asham?] and to enter into measures for bringing him off. I believe the Secretary will do nothing dishonourable. If the Duke of Ormond and Anglesey should upon a change fall in with the new ministers, I am not certain how far the Secretary might be prevailed with to continue too. Though if he has any due sense of his own safety, he will throw up, and if I can be of moment with him, he shall not want to be pressed to do it. If you think I can be of any service on such an occasion, I will come up whenever you summon me.

Pray tell me upon what foot my Lady Abigail puts herself at present; what does she give out as the reason of the open war which she declares at present? Has she no friend to tell her that if your father should give way at present, his own private

and the public interest must bring his affairs where they were again. If her Ladyship should be routed, she is gone without resource.

I must now tell you one thing which must not go to any one, except your father and my godfather. I heard this morning from a certain lady, her spouse [Bolingbroke] tells her that he shall certainly be with her as soon as the session is up and stay a month. He writes this so often and with such protestation, that I perceive she has no doubt of it; what is more, he has begged her pardon for all his ill usage and promised amendment for the future. That would be somewhat more surprising than any thing that has passed since Christmas: but now to account for this. I know no meaning of it, unless he thinks he shall lose the day, and have occasion to retire. If he stays in and is chief, he can have no leisure, any more than he would have thoughts of retiring to her. There may possibly be another reason for the good words he gives her; he may have been advised by his new ally to treat his wife better, for the sake of their common credit, and to answer objections in the world, and that somebody may with a better grace confide in him. If that be the case, then this sweet letter is a sign that he thinks he shall get the day, but that will not account for his coming down.

Answer this by Mr. Jenks, if he returns, as I believe he will, by Oxford.

1714, July 3. Christ Church.—I beg my most humble thanks to my godfather for his kind remembrance of me by Tom Rowney. I find Tom is full of my Lady Harley's goodness to the female part of his family. I have not yet seen them. Tom either knows not, or will not own he knows, the story of the duck pond and Brudenel. But Tom tells me that this very night, the new junto are to hold their cabal at Bromley. Perhaps what passed on Friday may either put it off or make the meeting more necessary. I wish we had a more perfect account of it. I hope to have it by to-morrow's post. By the account we have the Lords came unanimously into the address, upon a short debate, but we cannot yet guess by what we hear where the address points, nor who drew or advised the signing of the three articles, nor whether any of the ministers owned or desired their having any concern in them. To-morrow's post I hope will give us a little light.

I hope your Lordship has received the letter I sent by old Mr. Jenks. I believe you were surprised at the last part of it, and know as little as I what to make of it. It is certainly all villainous hypocrisy, but for what end is the question. I must tell you one thing to prevent any mistake in judging. The letter I received should have been with me a week before, so that I conclude the letters written to the person who wrote to me were at least a fortnight ago, if not earlier. New hopes since

perhaps may have induced him to change his style. I hope your Lordship will bring poor Lady Carmarthen's picture down with you.

1714, July 6. Christ Church.—I have just now the answer to the Lords' address; it looks as though it were resolved, if possible, to cover those who have been concerned. I am afraid it is plain by this answer who has the ascendant at present, and if they can be covered it is easy to guess what may be expected when the session is over. I wish no desperate measures may be thought of in the interval of Parliament to prevent what may be expected when it meets again.

On Thursday last Lord B[olingbroke] had no less than three personal conferences with the Bishop of Rochester, besides notes that had passed betwixt them. If the new project cannot be prevented, they who are chiefly concerned in it are now so blasted that they must stick at nothing to support themselves.

No rain yet with us, nor can we tell when our river will be navigable again.

1714, July 7. Christ Church.—I think I understand your letter, and foresee what we must expect, and that we must expect it quickly. I am sorry there should be any occasion for any one to interest themselves with the Secretary in this point. I know not what I can do, but I will do what I can, and if you continue to think it proper for me, send by Thursday's and Saturday's posts; I will come up on Monday. That will be soon enough for any use I can be of in that place; but take no notice to any strangers of my coming. I shall not stay above two days, if your Lordship's affairs should not allow you to leave the town as soon as you at present design.

Postscript. Are your own affairs in that condition that the other party cannot fly off?

1714, July 8.—I had little time to write in yesterday, but upon further thoughts I have nothing more to add. If by Saturday's post you think it proper for me to come up, I will sup with you, God willing, at Newcastle House on Monday. I am sorry you have any doubts of that person, because if he stays I am afraid some others will, from whom I did not expect it. We must do what we can, and leave the rest to Providence.

I just now receive your Lordship's of yesterday, I will not fail to be in town, God willing, on Monday. I would be there on Saturday, but that I expect both our bishops then, and, besides business of the House, I would inform myself from them in what humour they leave people in town. I hope one day will "break no squares." I shall come up in the stage coach, Acton road, but it will be too great a trouble for your Lordship to meet me there. I perceive we are coming to extremity. Let me hear from you by Saturday, and how things are.

Postscript. If you would have me come sooner, send a servant to me as soon as you receive this, and I will be in town on Sunday.

1714, July 11. Christ Church.—If you give yourself the trouble of meeting me, this will not I believe be with you before I see you. If you stay at Newcastle House till I wait on you, this is to desire that you would be able if you can to inform me when I wait on you, when and where I may pay my duty to your father. He lies at Kensington and I cannot be certain of him at the usual times in York Buildings, and my stay must be so short that I would wait on him as soon as I can, and would not if I could help it call to no purpose. I should be glad to see my godfather as soon as I could.

The close of the Queen's Speech is to me a proof of the resolutions that are taken. If I meet you not, I hope to be at Newcastle House soon after eight.

1714, July 23.—I hope this will find my Lady and your Lordship well in Newcastle House. I must beg your Lordship to present my thanks to my Lady for the honour she has done me. Her Ladyship forgot her cap, but it shall be sent by Eldridge's coach to-morrow, which lies at the "Checquer" in Holborn. I am afraid her Ladyship wanted it on the road. I shall be desirous to know the issue of the present struggles, but I suppose things were yesterday as we left them, because I hear not of any change by this post.

1714, July 24. Christ Church.—I thank your Lordship for the good news of your safe journey, and bless God for it. My most humble duty and thanks to her Ladyship, she has left impressions of herself here that will be as lasting as the College. I thought your Lordship has a tolerable interest in this place, but I must tell you plainly—I have great authority for the expression—that her Ladyship has, if it be possible, I think the better of the two. I never knew any one who had the honour to know her that was not from that moment sincerely her servant.

It is good news that you can tell me none, I reckon every day's delay to be to our advantage. I suppose the Attorney [Harcourt] was not sent for upon the errand young Simkin seemed to hope as well as said he was. I rather believe it was upon the project the Duke owned to me, that if somebody would not do them the kindness to throw up, they would threaten to do unless he were turned out. I fancy the Attorney was sent for to a further consultation about that matter. I hope your Lordship remembers what passed betwixt me and the Duke and betwixt Baron P[rice] and the Attorney.

I forgot to give your Lordship the Greek Testament you had left here printed by Wechely (*sic*), and Daniel's Poems in quarto, stitched but without covers. I shall give them to Sedgely to be sent up with your other books, but if you would have Daniel bound by him, let me know.

I send your Lordship the enclosed to show you that you have prevented our impudence, and that if you had not given we were ready to beg. It was made by Wigan of the last election. The theme was given him by the Dean as an imposition for missing

prayers, but the Dean did not think of the application the lad would make of it, nor had the lad I suppose heard of your Lordship's bounty. He is a very bright lad.

Postscript. I have your Lordship's. We must all prepare to act the part that becomes us on this occasion. I hope your Lordship will now see a greater necessity of that I presumed to suggest to you, with relation to the management of your own affairs. I hope Lord T[reasure]r will not think of any other place than Wimpole to retire to. I wish some who have given reason to think they would run your fate do not act another part when the blow is struck. The reverend person who was with you here will certainly behave decently to your father, but he will adhere to Mrs. Margery [Lady Masham?].

1714, July 27. Christ Church.—We live in expectation of hearing of the change, and in preparing ourselves for the consequences of it; the last will employ the most serious thoughts we have. One thing occurs to me which I will presume to suggest to your Lordship. I would only premise, that it arises purely from my own thoughts, and is not hinted to me by the man himself, or any other on his account. There can be no doubt I believe but that poor Mr. Thomas will run his master's fate, and be dismissed by those who succeed your father. Perhaps it may be burdensome to your father to entertain him, though he will not care to dismiss him. If your father should be willing to part with him, why should not your Lordship take that opportunity of securing to yourself the unvaluable treasure of such a servant, No one can express the advantage of such a servant to one in your Lordship's circumstances, who are entering upon so great a fortune, in which for the first years at least you will have so full employment. And I take Mr. Thomas, for his ability, his honesty, and his temper, to be the very best servant that ever I knew or heard of to any great man.

I hope your Lordship will let me know as soon as Lord Pelham and you have signed. I have then nothing more to pray for, but that you may take the most proper and speedy methods you can to secure and perfect that agreement, and to clear off all encumbrances whatever from the estate that falls to your lot.

The post is come in, and I have no letters from the town. I conclude the blow is not yet struck, though their own confusion is I suppose the only occasion of the delay. It is what never yet was known, that they who have power to vacate should not have credit enough to be able to fill places.

1714, July 29.—The affair is now over; we must now guard as well as we can against the consequences of it. I may now say that they who most rejoice in it will, I believe, have the heaviest share in the consequences of it. But there is somewhat in the manner of this transaction which seems still obscure to me. I thought your father had been resolved to have had the staff wrung from him, but it does not appear to me whether he was turned out or resigned. It is given out, and by young Simkin,

that he goes out upon a composition. If there be any thing of this, I hope you will let it be known to one who is determined to put in all his own little stake upon your bottom. One thing has come to my knowledge by a sure way, though one you cannot guess, that a certain ——— has dealt not only cunningly, but somewhat more with your father. I expected dissimulation, but I did not think my good master could have been snared by one of whom he has had so much experience. You may hint this if you please, but I cannot explain myself till I see you or him, or have the opportunity of another conveyance.

Postscript. When does your Lordship and Lord P[elham] sign?

Our misfortunes come together. I am afraid Lord Weymouth has not made provision for our hall. The surveyor has been here and settled all and was to have made his report the day Lord Weymouth fell ill.

1714, August 1. Christ Church.—I heartily congratulate your Lordship upon the conclusion of your own private affair at such an extraordinary juncture. My heart is at ease as to your Lordship, and now our thoughts must have another turn as to the public. It is to no purpose now to tell you that young Sim came over and met Sprat and some other such at Delaune's, to rejoice at your father's dismissal. I had an account of all that passed, they are now as dejected as they were rampant. Your poor father was to have been loaded, as the ruffian said, as far as such wretches could have done it, with every thing that could have made him odious. The disposition of the staff was indeed lucky; if [the Queen] had recovered, all the new measures would for the most part have been broken by it, but I could wish, however, that it had been in your father's hands at this juncture, and so I believe they do who wrested it from him. You do not think I gave much credit to Simkin, but he was not the only one who gave out such reports. God be blessed that your father quitted it with as great honour as he had kept it.

Late accidents have somewhat in them very remarkable as to the time in which they have happened. We ought to begin our reflections from the death of the Princess Sophia. I hope all tends to public tranquillity. Upon this next half year our future peace in great measure depends. I suppose your journey to Wimpole will be delayed, if not laid aside, but if you attend the Parliament I could wish my Lady had lodgings in the air somewhere near the town.

Mrs. Margery may be safely left to the punishment of her own reflections.

I had received notice to expect our friends in Scotland Yard to-morrow, perhaps upon this accident they may defer their journey.

1714, August 2. Christ Church.—We have no certain news yet of the poor lady's death, but we suppose it. Any particulars of what passed in her illness would be a favour. Is there any

will? Were there any gifts or grants to Mrs. Margery or others? What becomes of Mrs. Margery? How look the Chancellor and Lord B[olingbroke]? Here is a strong report, and I have seen it in some letters, that high words passed betwixt your father and Lord Chancellor the night he resigned his staff. I have seen it in letters. Was there any ground for it? If there was, what were the words? The gentlemen here who were rampant four days ago begin to turn upon their heel very quickly. Delaune ordered King George to be prayed for yesterday morning in St. John's Chapel, when it was objected that it was not certain the Queen was dead. "Dead," says he, "she is as dead as Julius Cæsar." At the ordinance on Wednesday night the President of Trinity toasted the Earl of Oxford. Delaune said then, "He is out, what do you toast him for? What have we to do with him?"

When Sim was here, amongst other stories he said the Duke of Argyll came up to Ruffian [Atterbury] in the House of Lords and asked him if he might give him joy of being Privy Seal. That Ruffian answered, "My Lord do not insult me, I know who it is that has given that out, but I may live to have it in my power to be even with him."

Is the black box opened? Who are our Regents? I hope you forget not what passed betwixt the Chancellor and B[aron] Price. Not the story of Nevil.

Postscript. The late apprehensions we were under make this affliction much easier than it would otherwise have been. They had already begun with Lord Almoner [Smalridge]. Mr. B[romley] had written to let him know that he was represented as one who endeavoured to make the administration difficult by insinuating to all people that the protestant succession was in danger, and desired that he would enable him to justify him. What scenes of wickedness would have been opened!

1714, August 3. Christ Church.—The remainder of the prints are coming. You will desire Mr. Thomas to take care of the enclosed. Pray let one of your servants write out a copy of the list of those prints you received from Vaillant, and send it to me by the next post. What think you of the list of Regents? Is it such as my godfather expected? Can you yet guess, or do you hear, how your own family are like to be with the new powers? You will thank nobody for safety. Do you think you shall have any share of confidence?

1714, August 5. Christ Church.—The ingratitude and the meanness of the bonfire makers is remarkable, but I expected no other from them.

The Regents drive fast; it is to be hoped he who is represented by them will like a gentler race when he is in the saddle. He has it in his power to establish not only himself, but his family, if he would take the way which I believe your father would suggest to him. If they who are his justices should be his counsellors, his reign will be uneasy, and should he stave off the evil in his days, it would come upon his posterity.

I am afraid some will be for provoking him; it is certainly the interest of the late cabal to make an open breach betwixt him and the church party. I have some reason to think it is their design too. They may hope to escape in public troubles, or at least, if they are prosecuted, to say it is for the sake of their party and not their crimes. But I hope our friends will be on the defensive, and wait to see how they are used. I hope they will do nothing which may be a pretence for disgracing them. I mean not by this, that I would have them immediately give the Civil list for life. No; surely it will be sufficient to give it at first for one year, and there is a fair pretence that [it] is beneath you to give it for a longer term till the King himself is here to ask it.

I have yet no directions about binding your books. I have heard what passed betwixt your father and that fellow, though you would not tell me.

1714, August 7.—I thank you for your hint. I have not sold. I always thought the possession would be quiet. The struggle if any will be some time hence, when we see what we are to expect upon the revival and animosities of parties. I foresaw there would be a rise of stock. When it is known abroad how quiet we are here, I am apt to think money will be poured in from Holland. If so, it may rise above 92, but pray enquire of your uncle, who dealt with those who are vested in this trade, what he thinks will be the highest mark. I am resolved to sell when I think it is at that pitch. I will not run the hazard of quarrels here, which must certainly happen, unless that method be taken, which the warm men of both sides will oppose. But I would willingly sell as well as I can, since it is the only hit I shall ever have. 200l. odds is somewhat to one who knows now the utmost he is to expect in this world. I depend upon you for intelligence in this point.

I have had notice of the bonfires from several other hands, they were so remarkable that every one made reflections on them. I hear the word now from Lord B[olingbroke], and Ruffian is forgetting of old quarrels and reunion of friends; they begin pretty early to call for help.

Postscript. My duty to good Lady Dupplin and her lord. My Lord perhaps may have occasion to borrow a little philosophy of my Lady. I fancy some of his countrymen have been mumbling a thistle lately. I need not explain myself.

I forgot to tell your Lordship that little He[a]rne proclaims aloud in all places your munificence to him.

1714, August 10.—I have some obscure remembrance of your Lordship's speaking to me about a Witney blanket to make you a riding coat. Let me know in your next if you would have one, and of what colour you would have it.

Without doubt the late cabal are taking their measures, either how to make their court or stand upon their defence. The rebuke to Sir W. was seasonable. I believe they think their own game desperate, and will endeavour to draw the church party into an open breach with the present powers in hopes of heading them and being protected by them.

The small pox is very rife and very malignant here ; a very pretty woman indeed, Captain Bertie's daughter, died this morning of them. I am glad I did not let Lord Dupplin come hither.

We have had a sore disappointment in our loss of Lord Weymouth.

Is Sir Humphry [Mackworth] returned? I long to hear how he and the Captain explain upon their meeting, I believe Sir Humphry will now venture to give him some strokes.

Dares the Dean of St. P[atrick's] appear ?

I suppose you hear what Lord Marlborough gives out of your father, that Bothmar showed the now late Queen a letter from your father to the Prince to persuade him to come over, of the same date with that printed one which was written to dissuade him.

1714, August 12. Christ Church.—It was very mean in the V[iscount] but no other than I expected from his temper, but I thought he had had better sense than to think such a thing possible. Does he think after what has passed, they who are clear themselves will come in to save him; that is the meaning. The Ruffian's language is just the same amongst our gown; old quarrels must be forgotten, we must unite again, no doubt of it; and it is to be hoped the church party will unite, but exclusively of them. No one will ever have to do with such villains any more. Ruffian caressed the Bishop of Bristol [Smalridge] very much when he met him in the House of Lords upon his last coming.

Postscript. I have not seen "Guiscard's Ghost," pray send it to me. I hear there is a ballad in which your father and the V[iscount] are both abused; if you have it pray let me have that too.

1714, August 13.—I am told that Ruffian has worked himself into the Duke of Ormond and Lord Poulett. I should be surprised at either's having any confidence in him. But most at the last, on account of the friendship he has professed, and I suppose still professes, to your father, and the very good correspondence too he has with the Bishop of Bath and Wells. But it is said that both those great persons visit Ruffian often. It is fit you should know this, and I wish you would enquire what truth there is in it; if there should be any ground for this report it would be great charity to prevent their being drawn in by one who has no aim but to use them, though in that which may ruin them, to promote his own purposes.

1714, August 14. Christ Church.—I hope to send you a Witney blanket before you go to Wimpole. How long do you design to stay at Wimpole when you are there? Though I fancy you will defer your journey and will hardly go now till the King comes, since he is expected so soon. It is as wise men thought it would be, both sides striving who shall bid highest for him.

Since I wrote on Friday, I am told that Lord Steward [Poulett] had quarrelled with your father before the staff was taken away. Is there any ground for this? I am certain that the Chancellor and his party reckon upon him as sure to them.

1714, August 17.—Your Witney rug went this morning by Badcock's waggon, that lies at the Oxford Arms in Warwick Lane, if it is not brought to you, pray send to enquire for it. There is enough to make two coats, that you may have one always dry.

Poor Altham died on Sunday night betwixt ten and eleven.

I hear poor Weymouth was very desirous to have been Privy Seal in the new scheme that was to have been opened. Did you ever hear of this before, it was certainly so. Do you think Sir Humphry was ignorant of this?

I have just received your Lordship's; pray no excuse for not writing to me, I expect it not but when you have nothing else to employ you. I am glad I am misinformed as to Lord Steward, but that which I wrote on Friday was on account of my hearing from a very good hand that Lord Steward often visited in Dean's Yard, and I heard since that Lord Chancellor says that Lord Steward had quarrelled with your father, and pretended at the same time that he had entered into intimacies with himself. I am sure this came from Lord Chancellor, but I never give credit, till I have enquired, to any thing he says.

I thank you for my venison, it will come very seasonably to make much of J. Drummond, whom I expect for three or four days.

1714, August 19. Christ Church.—The Bishop of Bristol has given us an account of an extraordinary conversation he had with Lord Chancellor. The Lord Chancellor took no ordinary pains to convince the Bishop how sincere a friend he had been to your father to the very last, and how he had laboured with the Queen not to displace him. He then gave the Bishop great assurances of the respect he had for him, and said he should be very uneasy if the Bishop entertained any unkind thoughts of him. Both parts of the discourse were alike true. *Quelle impudence!*

The boat which brings your books is expected here to-morrow. You may perceive how scarce water is with us.

1714, August 21. Christ Church.—I thank your Lordship for my own presents. I shall always value the picture of that lady, for the true honour and esteem I had for her. I think the prints of my Lord of O[xford] very good, but graver than his looks commonly are.

Lord Marlborough has been in our neighbourhood, but is gone to-day to dine with Lord Wharton at Winchington, in his way to town. At his coming to Woodstock, the flag on the church tower was displayed, and continued so whilst he stayed there. I have sent you the account I have received from the Bishop of Bristol of his conference with Lord Chancellor. You will perceive that you must not own to him that you have seen it or know of it, and pray show it to no one but your father and under the same caution. The rest of the letter was College business, you will see by it the Lord Chancellor in his perfection. It is plain what they aim at by this attempt on the

good Bishop of Bristol, but at the time he delivered that scandalous paper against me to your father I was not estranged from him, but, had his own professions been to be relied on, in high favour with him. His impudence if possible is greater than his treachery. Nevil, though he has got his own money, has still in his hands a large bundle of notes for money from half-a-crown to seven pounds, borrowed of others in the neighbourhood upon Nevil's credit, and which Nevil could never yet prevail with him to pay. I have some thoughts of buying in these notes, and bringing a bill in his own Court against his Lordship for them.

Looking over the account of your father's library to-day in Nicolson's preface, I remembered the letter which I sent with the papers to your father which you told me was bound in the first volume with the letters. There are in that letter several private things, besides the account which I was able to give of those letters. Somewhat I remember particularly relating to Dr. Potter. I would not willingly have that part of the letter seen by those who may look into those papers. I spoke to your Lordship formerly upon it, I beg you would take it out the next time you go to York Buildings, and I will write another to be inserted there, to give the best account I can of those papers.

I thank you for the "Ghost," they are very good and very proper, but how comes his associate the Bishop of Rochester to escape? Methinks he would have been proper to have blessed the bed in which his Lordship was to solace himself with the sisters. If the poet is of your acquaintance and under your command, pray desire him not to forget to do due honour to the Bishop in the next edition.

1714, August 24.—I troubled you with a long letter last post, I hear since that the V[iscount] gives himself great airs and says there were designs formed for the Pretender, and that he is able and ready to make full proof of it. I suppose this is the effect of his resentment, because the offers he lately made for a reconciliation were not accepted, but I believe he will hardly get anyone to believe that your father if he could have entered into such a design would ever have put himself into the Viscount's power.

T. Rowney's daughter is married this day to Sir Cloberry Noel.

Here is a report that is believed that the Master of Pembroke [Brickenden] is dead suddenly at his house in Berkshire. I believe the College will not suffer much by his death.

1714, August 26. Christ Church.—Has your Lordship received the box of prints, lately sent directed to Mr. Thomas, for which I sent you the bill of lading? Let me know in your next. Leers has sent me the account, and desires to know if my friend would upon some allowance let him draw for the money now, that he may put it in the lottery. But I have ordered him to draw a bill upon me dated the 1st of October to be paid in three months, that will make the money payable at Christmas, and he may negotiate the bill if he will put the money in the lottery.

The Attorney has reason to make friends if he could. I am going to tell you that which perhaps you know better than I. Leers tells me that the King comes over with a full persuasion that the late cabal had a design for the Pretender.

1714, August 29.—To-morrow I shall make a visit at Bucklebury. I have not been there since my return from Windsor at Christmas. I take this opportunity that I may pay my respects before the Lord comes down; I suppose he will be at liberty next week, if pleases to see his Lady.

One [Matt.] Panting, a Fellow of Pembroke, is likely it is said to be chosen Master. The Vice Chancellor is very zealous to pay his duty to the King, he has got an address ready and will be up with it this week. The verses, which are not yet ready, will be a good pretence for another journey.

1714, September 4. Christ Church.—Are you barbarians, do you make a jest of a poor man who has compassion for an unfortunate creature, that has seen many bad days, but perhaps not the worst yet? My duty to your father, and pray tell him I shall not be wanting to do my poor endeavours to comfort other than such widows when he thinks fit to put me in a condition of making pretences to them. But your father should not laugh at me, since he has found that by my advice at least I can help others, besides widows. I understand, though you have never owned, that there is no doubt now of Lady Harriet's being with child. I pretend, next to your Lordship, to the greatest merit on that point, it was certainly done at Christ Church. How will your father reward me for prevailing with my Lady to take that journey? Brother Hammond throws up his cap, and says it is a blessing on your endeavours, for your goodness to Peckwater. He bids me tell you he will be as good as his word, and keep count for you. It was lucky that I made my visit as I did, I saw an instance of tenderness so extraordinary, that I could not but be pleased at another's trouble. I was there when the account came of what had passed in town, the circumstances of it made the poor creature [Lady Bolingbroke] apprehensive of somewhat of a more dangerous nature that might follow. She burst into as great a concern for one who has deserved so little of her, as Lady Harriet could have done for you upon a like occasion, and should anything of that kind ever happen, I am certain she would part with all she has in the world to save him. But the gentleman, let him put on what airs he pleases in town, yet I am certain by what I saw, *spem vultu simulat*. But this to no one, except your father.

Your Lordship has never mentioned to me the famous coach that is shown in Drury Lane for one shilling to any one, bound with purple velvet and trimmed with gold, that was designed for the new ecclesiastical Privy Seal. Pray go see it and encourage as many as you can, it is a pity but it should be public.

I believe I must now lay aside all thoughts of seeing Wimpole till the next spring. Your Lordship will not stir out of town I

suppose till the entry is over. The ill weather will be come before you can be settled at Wimpole, and the roads, some of the worst in England, that are betwixt this place and Wimpole, impassable to so bad a traveller. I hear preparations are making for the Coronation, pray let me hear, if it is known, how soon it will be. I hope you will walk as a peer there.

1714, September 7. Christ Church.—I am sorry for the end of your letter if that be so, we shall be in the state we have been for these last twenty years, but no one can foresee what the issue of new struggles may be, or where they will end. It will be the spoiling too of as fair a game as ever was played into a wise man's hands, and the only way by which it could be spoiled. I remember I heard your father tell a story of your great grandfather, that he with some others went to represent to King James the First the discontents of their country upon several heads. Your *Proavus* told him, "Sir, if the mischief from these things comes not in your own days it will in your son's." I leave your Lordship to make the application, I am afraid you have too good ground for what you tell me, but there can be no hurt in preparing ourselves for it. I am certain your Lordship will act like a man of honour and conscience, and I doubt not you would have done so, though God had not put you out of the reach of any temptation from want of fortune to do otherwise.

I shall be heartily glad to hear your Lordship and my Lady are in the country, it will be proper to allow you a week's time to settle yourselves there, nor could I conveniently come before Monday se'nnight the 20th of this month. But the roads betwixt this place and Wimpole are fit only for the strongest horse and the lightest man in England. And I am afraid before that time they will be impassable for one of my weight and little skill in horsemanship. At least I am afraid if I should be able to get thither, I should never be able to get home again.

1714, September 9. Christ Church.—I hear your neighbour acts the meanest part that ever man surely in his post did, and makes complaints to every one that he can get to hear them, of his miserable condition. That he is deserted by all his friends, though he has given no one occasion to use him so. Amongst other instances, he had the impudence to say that Dr. Stratford, whom he had done all that ever he could to oblige, had deserted him without any cause. Was there ever so rank a knave? I perceive he begins to stink for fear, I begin to think myself more considerable than I took myself to be yesterday. The man certainly has some apprehensions of me, and expects I should have a reckoning with me [*sic*, him?]. I am sure of the truth of what I write to you. Dr. S[tratford] did not play so stinking a part as this is, when he was forced to fence against that man's subornation. But the fear that arises from reflections on his own villiany will be a greater plague to him than Dr. S[tratford] would ever return to him.

Postscript. Duty to my good Lady Dupplin and her Lord. I suppose she is in town to see the show. I heard of an old lady

that went up last week, who said she had not long to live, but God willing she would see as many sights as she could before she died.

We are now in our horse races. Lord Pelham's horse won the Town Plate yesterday, and Lord Wharton's the Gentlemen's Plate the day before. You see the W[hig?]'s carry it.

1714, September 15. Christ Church.—I have just received your Lordship's of the 11th. I am ashamed you should send your coach so far to meet me, but I desire you would not think of coming yourself. God willing I will be at the "Swan" at Newport Pagnel on Monday night, I must come thither in a coach, for though I hope I have got clear of the pain in my arm, yet it is still so weak that I cannot lift it to my head, much less hold a bridle.

1714, October 19. London.—I take the first opportunity to thank your Lordship for the kindness I met with at Wimpole. The state of affairs here grows every day to be more so as it was reported to us. The Duke of Northumberland is turned out of the Castle of Windsor and the Constableness of the Forest; and they are given to the Duke of Kent. The mob have lost their curiosity; it is said their attendance to-morrow will not be so numerous as has been usual on the like occasions. It is supposed that in a few days a party will be declared at Court against the Duke of Marlborough.

Time is short, and I have yet been able to pick up little; I hope against the next post to be furnished with more materials.

1714, October 21. London.—I am got safe from the Coronation. Nothing remarkable but that above twenty persons were killed by the fall of a scaffold. The Duke of Ormond stayed not dinner, but went off in a chair at the end of the procession and was followed by several hundreds huzzaing him. Most of the scaffolds filled with rabble at sixpence a piece for want of other spectators. A fellow with large horns on his head ran about the streets followed by much company.

Duchesses of Bolton and Mountagu declared Ladies of the Bedchamber. Scarborough turned out, I hear not his successor.

By all I can hear, I am confirmed in the necessity of all I presumed to suggest to your Lordship with respect to yourself and family. By all the love and respect I bear you, I beg you to think of it seriously and without delay.

1714, October 26. London.—Your father said this evening that my Lady should be made easy in all things. I will write at large about the public when I am at home. I can only tell you warrants are come to the Attorney for Mostyn and Smith in the room of Dupplin and Mansel. Your family must expect all the spite that can be shown, that still makes what we have talked of more necessary.

1714, November 1.—I left the town full of reports of differences betwixt the Duke of M[arlborough] and the King, and the same Duke and the P[retender] too. It is said too that the Whigs all agree in being angry with the Duke of M[arlborough] for engrossing too much to himself, but at the same time quarrel about their measures amongst themselves. H[alifax] is for moderate measures, the others for going through with that they have begun. What ground there is for these reports, I cannot say, I believe some for all of them, but I fancy all are magnified beyond the truth. I believe it is certain that Lord Townshend has expostulated with the Duke of M[arlborough] for not keeping articles with him, he having promised to desire no more than to be declared General again.

Lord and Lady Dupplin are wisely resolving to suit their expenses to their circumstances, they are going to part with their house in town, and to retire wholly to the country, where his Lordship to show the command he has on himself will not have so much as one hunter.

Your father talks of being at Wimpole again within a fortnight, in his way to Herefordshire. I believe he will be with you again as soon as all things are removed from the old house, but he is not used to execute anything within the time he at first projects it.

Some distant overtures seem to be made by those who lately broke with your father towards a reconciliation. I know not how far they will be hearkened to, but your father will make no advances, but the lady [Masham] declares that she has no more to expect, and that she will have no more to do with your father.

I hear S[hrewsbury?] will be in town again within a month, it is said P[rior?] will continue where he is, and return no more to England.

1714, November 5.—You have heard of poor Radcliffe's death; it was a great mercy that God gave him the opportunity and heart to make so noble a will as he has done. 120,000*l.* in charity is what can scarce be paralleled in any age from a private man, and all gotten by himself by the fairest practice that ever any of the profession used, and himself living nobly too, all his life. Above 60,000*l.* is immediately to be laid out in charity, the rest is at present in annuities to relations, and to be laid out in charity by his executors, as the annuities expire. It is no small honour to Mr. Slaughter to be named as one of the executors of such noble benefactions. I suppose you know the executors are Mr. Bromley, Sir George Beaumont, Mr. Slaughter, and Beck the scrivener. 40,000*l.* for our public library. Amongst other uses John Hudson's place is to be augmented out of it to 200*l. per annum*, and a certain sum every year for ever for buying in what books are wanting. Two travelling physicians, to be as I think of University College, with 300*l. per annum* to each of them for ten years, to be five years abroad and five at home. They are to be chosen by the Archbishop of Canterbury, Bishops of London and

Winchester, the Lord Chancellor, two Secretaries of State, and two Chief Justices, and the Master of the Rolls. 5,000*l.* as I hear for the augmentation of the headship of University and rebuilding the Master's lodgings. 600*l. per annum* to St. Bartholomew's Hospital.

You hear of the reform in the Navy Office. Sergison and Lyddal are rich, I am afraid poor Tollet is not in so good a condition. But now those officers are turned out, who had been kept in hitherto for their sufficiency by all parties, I suppose no one will be spared. It is said Sir R[oger?] M[ostyn?], though he had kissed the King's hand, will not have his place, but Stamford.

Mr. Rowney and his lady are come home and beg their hearty respects to you and my Lady. Tom, to show what an honest man can do and to set a good example to his son-in-law, has got his wife with child again. She is now breeding, and it is supposed may lie in about the same time with her daughter, which affords us good diversion.

1714, November 8.—There came news hither last night that a paper was cried about the streets in London of your father's having been shot on Hampstead Heath by four men in visor masks. It came from Sir James Lumley's sister to him, but it met with the credit it deserved.

1714, November 19. Christ Church.—I hear from a sure hand that the old lady is resolved to stay in the country, I heartily give you joy of it, you will now be provided to your heart's desire, and it will save you so much money that I shall hope, by the project of buying in of Lady Pickering, to see you clear, and able to subsist with what you have at present only, in spite of all your enemies, both in plenty and in honour. I suppose my Lady will desire your father when he is in town to be with her. When he is alone with you he will be a comfort to you both. I hope you will part with your other house as soon as you can.

There will be no mercy to any one. It is supposed N[ottingha]m himself will not keep his ground long. St. Asaph [Fleetwood] goes to Ely, and Willis to Gloucester, and you will be surprised I believe to hear that J. Wynne is Bishop of St. Asaph, but so it is, and obtained at Sir Roger Mostyn's desire by Lord N[ottingha]m and by no other interest as I am told.

[1714, November.]—This is for your own perusal only. As we were on the road I told your father with all the freedom possible that Lady H[arley] would never bear any other mistress than herself in the house where she lived. That he must not think of putting you in that house unless that point were gained. All the answer I had was that that would work easy in time. I went with him to view the house. If you could have it entire or with the reservation only of one apartment above stairs to your father the house both for state and convenience

would be better than any other you could have; but according to the present disposition there is one entire apartment above stairs to your father. Three little rooms reserved to himself for his closets below, two little rooms allotted to Mr. Thomas, two good rooms to the library, and only a drawing-room and parlour for common use. There is no room possible for your own use but only one dressing-room, nor for my Lady's but a dressing-room and closet. If your father live alone with you the apartment above would furnish him with a dressing-room and three closets for his own use, and then if all below were left to you there should be convenience enough for you, but according to the present disposition there will neither be any room for you and much less for your family. Besides other inconveniences of two families it will not be possible to lodge both in that house. One thing you may [be] certain of. The old Lady will go into the country for this winter that you may have the whole house to yourselves for your use at your first coming, and till my Lady is out of the straw. That is a convenience which you should certainly make use of. When you see your father again at Wimpole, you will know what more you may depend on. You need not put off your other house till then. I should advise my Lady, when your father offers the house to her again, to tell him plainly that she is afraid there will not be room for two families. That will oblige him to speak out, and if he offers to leave the whole house and to seek for a house for himself elsewhere then it will be proper for my Lady to desire that he and the servants that attend him should do her the honour to be with her. This will put matters to a short issue, and if the point is gained be a mighty convenience to all of you. I need not desire you not to let any one know that I concern myself in this, nor tell you how far I trust you in dealing so freely with you.

As to other matters depend upon [it] that I have seen every hour more reason to confirm me that all that I have said to you in relation to your own private affairs is not only proper but strictly necessary. You must without one moment's delay put a stop to all sort of expense whatever that is not for the support of your family, and you must turn your thoughts every hour to consider where you can spare either in the number of servants or any manner of expense either in your house or your self. You must not think any frugality, be it never so little, useless. You will find you will not be able to spare enough to bring your own expense within the compass of your income, much less to be able to answer the demands that may be made on you from your family. I have made some enquiry into your father's condition. His private debts are above double to anything he has to answer them, without computing the known incumbrances on the estate, for which interest must be paid. But you must be prepared for all the mischief that can be done to him by those now in power. Both Marlborough and Arg[yll] agree in (vowing?) his ruin. All the Tories shall be received into grace. They desire only one sacrifice and that is H[arle]y. Sacrifice is the word. You

may depend on what I say. And I believe I have satisfied your father that he cannot depend upon anything from those three Lords in whom he thought he had interest because he had deserved it from them. But they have promised their party to concur with them in anything against him that does not directly strike at his life. These things should be concealed as much as possible from my Lady, but they are very proper for you to reflect on. I do not think his enemies will be able to do him any hurt, but they will attempt it if their own divisions do not prevent it; and this being the case I must leave it with your Lordship to consider how far it is necessary to put your own affairs on a foot on which they may last. You may, without care, be in a worse state than you were before your marriage. Upon your present resolutions the honour, the peace, and the plenty of your future life depend.

1714, November 24. Christ Church.—Dr. Radcliffe's body will be brought hither on Saturday, and lie in state in the Divinity School till Thursday, when it will be buried. The corpse will be attended with as great ceremony as Bodley's was. I expect Mr. Bromley here, but I know not yet whether he will come to meet the corpse, or only be here soon enough to be at the funeral. I perceive by a letter I had the honour to have from my Lord of Oxford to-night, that he will not be at Wimpole so soon as you expected him. I was afraid you might have been at Woodstock on Thursday, the day of the funeral, but now I believe you cannot be there so soon. Mr. Bromley will be much engaged in returning and receiving visits whilst he is here, but if you pass by whilst he stays I know not but he may desire to meet you too at Woodstock, if he can get from his company here. If he should not be able to come along with me, I shall beg leave to leave him for a night, and not fail to meet you.

Baker was recommended by our Dean, they may call him sub-preceptor, but he has no other business than to teach the children to read English. The Princess had taken notice at the chapel of Baker for reading well. I never heard that Philips had that post. They who made the new Bishop of St. Asaph [Wynne] will be often disappointed in him, and he himself too will not seldom be at a loss how to act. This promotion was the greatest chance in the world, and owing solely to Mostyn. I little thought Sir Roger would have made bishops, but he has secured a good interest to himself in Flintshire by this. The Bishop never had any fancy for his new patron, Nott[ingham], but he will be grateful, especially whilst his patron continues in the interest he likes. The Bishop is sensible he owes nothing on this occasion to the Whigs, either ecclesiastical or lay, but he was one of those who were in great apprehensions of designs against the succession. He owns now they were groundless, but he still thinks the Whigs are the most in earnest for maintaining it, and on that account will be disposed to join with them in things that are not very flagrant; but he dares not be damned for them. They may, upon pretence of what they call necessary for maintaining the succession,

often impose on him, but where anything is directly against his judgment he will never join with them. He thinks they drive furiously, and as he pretends to love truth and to endeavour to form his judgment right, he has the misfortune often to be of the opinion of the last book he reads or the last man he converses with. There is no fear of his old friends trusting him too far; but they will be a great check on him, and make him often whether [*sic*, very?] doubtful which way to vote.

Postscript. I hear from a good hand that the Whigs now talk favourably of Lord Bolingbroke and Harcourt, and point all against your father and Lord Strafford.

Domestic affairs go to your wishes; your father tells me the house shall be left entirely to my Lady. He seems to hope for somewhat from the disagreement that is certainly amongst those in power, but I am afraid they will agree in opposing. It is certain there will be no mercy to any, much less to any of your family; the measures show that somewhat desperate is designed, nothing less can support the present measures. If they can get a majority returned, they will not only support themselves but soon increase their number. But every thing of this kind is a fresh argument for your Lordship's going through with the retrenching of your own expenses. Every one should prepare himself as well as he can against the worst that can happen. You cannot imagine how much you will save by living in a place where you will be exempt from all parish duties. And if your father lives privately as a guest with you, that will be at least 2,000*l.* *per annum* saved to the family. I suppose you hear nothing from the Duchess.

Lintot printed the "Considerations" in the "White Staff," and we have reason to believe young Sym was the person who conveyed it to him. His father [Harcourt] has laid many trains to get visits and compliments from this place, but to no purpose, nobody went near him.

1714, November 29. Christ Church.—Dr. Radcliffe's corpse will be here on Wednesday, and the funeral will be on Friday. I have notice from Mr. Bromley that he will be here. I expect him to-morrow or Wednesday, he will be returned I am afraid before you pass by us.

1714, December 10.—A proclamation is come out for a thanksgiving, but none yet for dissolving Parliament. It is probable none will come till the thanksgiving is over.

I think they have now made all the changes they can even to the lowest offices, it is now time for them to try what effect these changes will have in the election of a new Parliament.

I suppose my Lord of O[xford] will not think of stirring till you come to town.

I suppose you go straight to the house in St. James's. Have you parted yet with the other house?

1714, December 15.—I suppose this may find your Lordship still at Wimpole. If it does I must desire you would employ

somebody at Cambridge to enquire for Joshua Barnes's History of Edward III. None were sold in his lifetime but by himself. I suppose since his death what remained of the impression is disposed of, and it is most likely to be heard of at Cambridge. I would desire a copy in sheets if it is to be had so; if not, bound.

1714-15, January 25. Christ Church.—Mr. He[a]rne has already returned to me the book which you sent to him by me. He will allow me to be the arbitrator of all differences betwixt him and Mr. Wanley.

I believe Mr. Urry will soon trouble your Lordship with some proposals about his Chaucer, and beg your favour to get subscriptions for him. The subscriptions are to be all for his own use, he having not money but books from the printer, for his own pains in the editing.

Was there any thing remarkable on Thursday last, either in those who attended the solemnity, or in those who were spectators of it? Were the scaffolds for those who were to buy places full at Paul's?

Our elections in university and town were over yesterday. Does the reform go on amongst the military officers?

Postscript. Mr. Bromley, in great compassion to his old friend Archer, would not let his son be put up in Warwickshire. He has undertaken for Mr. Archer to the gentlemen, that he shall no more be a whimsical. Mr. [William] Peyto, a kinsman of Lord Willoughby's, will be the other for whom his Lordship undertakes.

1714-15, January 27.—We shall have a great struggle about the Margaret Professorship. All who used to mutiny against their own Governors and all the "Goodfellows" join for Delaune. The Sub-dean dissents from all his brethren and will alone of this House vote for him. We are afraid our Sub-dean has some secret correspondence still with Ruffian.

[1715,] February 12.—I bless God for His signal mercy to you, it is very remarkable that the child should come upon the day the mother was at age. It is a circumstance that will endear it to you, and her too; you may now wait with safety for settlements. I will christen it with a good will though it be a girl, but I see no use of my coming till the time of the christening. Should the little one be in any danger Mr. Garwood is always at hand. If it continues well, you will defer the christening I suppose till your father returns. It would be most convenient for me to come up with him, but my honoured Lady may command me. Only I would be in town as little as I can, and I cannot stir till after Friday next; on that day is the election for the Margaret professor. I believe we shall lose it, but I must not be absent. I kept Jack only till he had drank some hot wine, but the ways are very bad, deep and wet. I am afraid he will hardly be able to reach Brampton so soon as you hoped he might.

I am very much pleased with all the steps you have taken both to the old aunts and the Duchess herself, it will have its effect I hope at last. I knew not before that she was come to town. You are in the right to try the Bishop of L——, but how came you to know from himself of his interest in the Duchess. Are you acquainted with him? How did he gain it? Did he give institution upon the Duchess's presentation or upon yours?

My duty to my honoured Lady, my daily prayers wait on her. Pray take care that she have entire quiet and no flesh. Radcliffe was used to say that most that he knew that ever miscarried in childbed was by eating flesh too soon.

We kept the birthday, Bishop of Chester, brother Hammond, J. Urry and I. Old John kept the Bishop and Canon almost till twelve; if they offered to stir, John began "It is my Lady's birthday, therefore we keep holy day." This amongst ourselves. We drank you with "*Quos Deus conjunxit nemo separet.*"

[1715], February 18.—If my Lady's uneasiness proceeds only from her milk, I hope the physicians are in the right who apprehend no danger, but I shall be uneasy myself till I hear that she is easy as well as safe. Beg of her not to make too bold with herself too soon, nor to presume too much upon the strength she may fancy she has.

Delaune carried his point to-day by eighteen voices. Many accidents concurred for him, nor was it so much a combination for him as against the Vice-Chancellor and the Heads. Delaune had only two Heads for him, Brasenose and a Whig, the Warden of Wadham, and about six Doctors, the rest of his votes were all Bachelors of Divinity, near half of them jail-birds like himself, who had left the University for debt and had not appeared here before in ten years or more. He had rummaged the nation for them.

1714-15, February 24.—I bless God that all is safe. I hope one may trust it is so now. I hear too, by a hint from Morley, that other matters are dispatched too. I know you will allow me to observe to you the providence of Almighty God to yourself, that at such a juncture, and when your father had made so little provision to support the honour he is to leave you, that all should be so amply secured to you, without your being under any obligation to any one for it but to one who is herself a blessing to you infinitely beyond any thing else that she can bestow on you. Your own goodness will suggest to you the reflections that are proper upon so signal an instance of God's mercy to you. Your fortune is now in your own power, and if you persevere but three years in the method on which you have entered, you will pass the rest of your days in peace, plenty and honour, and no more fear the malice than you will have occasion for the help of the enemies of your family. This child at this juncture is a greater blessing than perhaps at the first reflection you may be aware of. It is no little security to your father himself. The

prospect of the settlement of so great a fortune in his family will dispose many to treat him with more respect than they would have done otherwise.

1715, March 3. Christ Church.—I hear nothing yet of your father, by which I presume I shall not see him until the next week. And by your giving me notice that I may depend upon being brought up by him, I suppose you defer the christening till he comes.

John Urry has sent to his correspondent to order some papers to be immediately left with you.

Simon's rheumatism is returned, and they are afraid it will be as bad as it was before; if it should, he will hardly escape. But on Sunday night as his father was coming out of his chamber his Lordship made a false step and tumbled from the top to the bottom of the stairs. They say he lay dead for a quarter an hour, but upon bleeding he is pretty well again.

[1715,] November 8.—Your friend Hearne is mad. Upon being chosen 'Bedle,' he could not attend his duty in the Library so much as he did before; and what is worse, he would not do it. And when he was there he would neither assist Dr. Hudson himself nor help others as he ought to do, to the books they wanted. His salary from the Library was only 10*l. per annum*. Dr. Hudson, who had been the great instrument to make him 'Bedle,' desired him to quit the Library, since he could not attend it; he refused. Dr. Hudson complained last year to the Visitors and desired them to declare the place void as inconsistent with the 'Bedle.' The Visitors, in hopes that he would himself quit it, would not proceed to that. But upon fresh application the Visitors yesterday declared the place void. Upon this Hearne [has thrown up his?] Bedle's and Arch-typographus's places. The Vice-Chancellor and others have done all they could with him to-day to persuade him to keep them, but to no purpose.

The Bishop of Oxford on Sunday last offered a paper styled "A Declaration of the Bishops in and about town," to the Bishops of Bristol, Chester, and St. Asaph to be signed by them. The Bishop of Chester declined reading it, and said it was his fixed principle not to sign any public engagements but what the law required. The Bishop of Bristol would not sign it, but gave reasons, I believe, in writing why he would not. St. Asaph signed it. I suppose you will soon hear of it in print.

[1715,] November 16.—I shall pay your compliments as you order. I was misinformed in one particular I wrote lately.

The Bishop of Bristol did not give reasons for so doing it, but only a copy of what he had said upon public occasions, and offered to sign another of his own drawing up, which should be altogether as strong, as to the exhortation part, as that was which he declined to sign. But it would not be accepted. He is or certainly will be out.

1715, November 23.—Last week upon some words in Hamilton's coffee house, one of the officers here drew his sword and struck a scholar of Exeter. In the evening, at Line's coffee house, a student of our House, Mr. Bertie, James Bertie's son, and one Floyd a commoner, whose father was lately turned out in Ireland, and says he is related to you, were talking of what had happened at Hamilton's and said it was base to draw a sword on a man who had none. The Major of the regiment came in and heard them; some words passed, the Major, one Duncomb, fell into a violent passion, struck Bertie with his cane, and pulled them both to the guard. This was about nine. With much ado, the Bishop of Bristol got them released from the guard betwixt eleven and twelve. There was nothing said reflecting on the Government or any ways relating to it, but I believe the young scholars, who talked to the officers with the same freedom they talk to each other, might use expressions which men in town do not use to each other. But nothing was said that could justify striking, much more imprisoning them. It is now under consideration what to do in this affair, and whether to make a public complaint.

[1715-16,] January 6.—I doubt not Neddy will prove an honour to us, and a blessing to his family. I suppose we may have his good mother's leave to make him as hearty a Tory as we think fit.

We have nothing to entertain you with from this place but the news of a marriage which makes some noise here. Mr. Alsop, who was engaged by twelve years' courtship to a woman here, has left his old mistress to wear willow, and married his predecessor's widow. I am afraid there is enough under his hand to occasion a prosecution in Doctors Commons. He was so unhappy too as to assure his old mistress under his hand, since last All Hallows day, that he would make good all his promises to her and marry her. When he was asked why he renewed his promise so lately when he had other designs, he said he was not then sure of the widow, and he had a mind to have two strings to his bow. It occasions much talk here, and it is said a little volume of love letters will be published. I am the more concerned on the account of the good Bishop of Rochester, this rogue having been his chief minister. C. Aldrich, Nicols of Westminster, and Bagshaw that was chaplain here, were the persons summoned to attend this honourable marriage.

[1716?] April 19.—I know not where you could have made a provision for yourself so well as in the place where you were. T. Rowney has given me some account of proceedings in their House; they are allowed by all to be more extraordinary in so short a compass of time than the oldest members can remember during the whole time they have attended. I am out of pain, I confess, for my friends upon all accounts but one, but I lately met with a passage in a book I was reading which, upon reflection, I could not own but to be true, by many instances which I recollected:—“*Qu'il n'y a point d'innocence à l'épreuve du choix des juges.*” There is one thing makes for us, every

judge in our case is making precedents which may be used against himself.

I met with a passage lately which perhaps has not occurred to you, it is in the late edition of Misson's Travels in volume one, part one, page 249. If you can meet with the book and consult that place, you will find that the band-box, which some pretended so much to ridicule, was a method of murder that was formerly practised with success. And I believe too you will be of my opinion, that they who tried it lately had either read this book or seen that which is mentioned in it.

1716, April 30.—The Bishop of Chester designs to dine with your Lordship on Thursday se'nnight in his way to Huntingdon, and I design to be at Wimpole before that, if I find by your answer to my last that it will be convenient to me to come at this time. If it be proper for me now to wait on you, I must desire you to send your chariot to meet me at the same inn it did the last time, at Newport Pagnel on Monday next, and then God willing I shall dine with you on Tuesday.

[1716,] June 13.—The friendship I met with, great as it was, is not that which gave me the highest satisfaction I found at Wimpole. I had that in what I saw in your Lordship, since I had an opportunity of observing that you discharged cordially as well as fully the two greatest duties of life, that of a husband and of a son. I hope God in recompensing will give you such a spirit of wisdom that your duty to your father will be so managed as to be without any inconvenience to yourself.

Postscript. I find everything in great confusion here. We have been every day expecting some mischief would happen. There have been quarrels betwixt townsmen and soldiers, and scholars and soldiers, every day for the last week. Three or four scholars have been wounded and several soldiers were beat soundly on Monday night by our countrymen of Jesus.

In coming from the race at Woodstock the Colonel drew his sword in Portmead on a gentleman of Lincoln, one Mr. Ettrick, the occasion said to be that the gentleman had reported that the Colonel and his officers had drunk confusion to the Church. Mr. Ettrick told the Colonel he hoped he would deal fairly, and only one of them fall on him, that he was ready to fight anyone of them. But the Colonel bid his officers who were with him draw their swords, and the Colonel slashed the gentleman whilst the officers stood about him with their drawn swords.

There has been an uproar at Gloucester upon a quarrel betwixt the mayor and the commanding officer of the soldiers. The officer drew up his soldiers, and the mayor the townsmen. The officer bid his men fire, but a captain of the regiment bid them not; the soldiers obeyed the captain. The major, who was the commanding officer, put the captain in arrest upon it. So things were when our intelligence came away. Much blood had like to have been shed.

[1716,] August 31.—On Friday as a sergeant here was beating up for dragoons at the end of Balliol wall, he turned to several scholars who were leaning on the wall, and asked them if they had a Pope in their bellies. Upon this some stones were thrown at him, one of the soldiers with him drew his sword, shook it at the scholars, and swore he would have their blood before he left the town. This soldier was one of the town, newly listed, by name Bull. One asked Bull in the evening why he would draw his sword, he swore again he would have the blood of those scholars. On Saturday evening the Captain was standing at the "Star" inn gate where he quarters, and saw four scholars coming through North Gate he whispered a boy, who ran across to Jesus Lane to an ale house, out of which immediately came six new listed soldiers with Bull at the head of them; the Captain made a sign with his head to the soldiers, upon which they went up to the scholars, looked them in the face, and cried "God bless King George;" one of the scholars answered, "We are all for King George, why do you trouble us, why don't you go to your quarters?" Bull cried, "God damn you, say God bless King George, George and Marlborough." Upon which one of the scholars cried, "Why then God bless King George and the Duke of Ormond." The soldiers, who had all clubs in their hands, immediately knocked them down, and fell upon them, and beat them when they were down. The people ran in, took off the soldiers and took up the scholars all bloody and bruised and carried them into the post house. The town rose, the "Star" inn gates were shut and barricaded, the Vice-Chancellor and Mayor came and with much ado hindered the people from pulling down the inn, and knocking the soldiers on the head. On Sunday night the people came together again, and began to batter the "Star" inn, the Vice-Chancellor came in time enough to prevent any other mischief but the breaking of the windows. On Monday the soldiers went off, the Captain having first given security for their appearing at the session. The scholars were Sir Harry O'Keit of Worcester College, and three gentlemen commoners as I think, one Creswick, Chester, and Castleman. None of them, that I own, were of those who were leaning on Balliol wall.

Postscript. I found when I was at Bucklebury that you had given Sir William Wyndham an account of our adventure as we came from the Tower; I hope it will be your turn next to be undermost, then I shall be even with you.

1716, September 20.—I suppose you are now happy, for I take it that Mr. Wanley must be with you since the books are put up. I have that catalogue I mentioned to you. When you meet with it, I believe you will alter your method. That will be good employment and amusement for another summer. Is my good Lady's room done and made fit for her to come into it again? You have taken care of yourself in the first place. Little Captain of Stoke was with me on Sunday night, he is much pleased to hear of your alterations.

Your tutor, my neighbour, is gone to Canterbury in order to fetch a wife home. He and the lady are agreed, but it sticks with old Isaac; he will not yet part with as much for a settlement as the lady insists on. Whether the Sub-dean can prevail with Isaac to come up to the lady's demands, or with her to abate of them, a little time will show. But he is [in] a desperate condition upon this difficulty. This age can show no example of any one so far gone in love as he is. He comes up to the height of all the noble heroes of romance in all points but the fighting part. I know not how he would acquit himself, if there should be occasion for any prowess, but for soliloquies he surpasses Orondates. And in the midst of family discourse with his servants, he will fall, without perceiving it, into raptures and addresses to his dear Betty. I do not exaggerate matters I assure you.

We have got the 500*l.* which Dr. South left us to our building, and shall pull down the last side of Peckwater next spring. In our new building, we shall observe Dr. Aldrich's model as to the case, but we design to turn the inside into a library, and to make it the finest library that belongs to any society in Europe. A friend of mine may now be furnished with a proper p . . . (*torn off*) if he should have any occasion for that he once hinted to me. I have somewhat more to say, but I am afraid you who write such short letters will think what I have already written too much.

[1716,] October 31.—Last night we had a great disturbance here, the major of the regiment ordered the soldiers to go round the town and break all the windows that were not illuminated. There had [not] been the least difference before since they were here, nor was there any provocation of any kind now. Nor does the major pretend any reason for his orders, but his own displeasure against those who had no candles out. I believe he would disown it now if he could, but it is fixed by oath upon him, by one whose windows were broken and who heard him give the orders for the breaking of his windows, and told him, "Sir, I shall remember you"; upon which the major drew his sword, and ran at him, and had killed him if the man had not got within his door. The Mayor who came to quiet them was fired at, the mace bearer's hat was shot through in four places, and the Mayor's door is a good witness against them, by the bore of the bullet. Poor Tom Rowney's windows were broke, and he himself upon his coming out was insulted by several soldiers who flourished their naked swords over him, but did not cut him. No Colleges were meddled with, nor had any scholar any concern any ways in this riot. The Lieutenant Colonel who commands here lies ill of the gout at the "Angel," he pretends great concern for what has happened. This is the best account I can yet send you, but I suppose we shall have a more particular one when all the affidavits are taken. I believe Tom and his townsmen will be spirited up to make a public complaint.

1716, November 1.—It would be as improper for me to desire to know as for you to write the state of your affairs. I am concerned no farther than for the general event. Let us hear what happen, and whether any disposition be made to any one else to your prejudice. I take it to be a remarkable providence to you at [this] juncture, and I doubt not your own good reflections and, what is more, your experience of what has been in these last years, will prompt you to make the proper use of it, and not to neglect this fresh opportunity of always being able to make yourself and all who are related to you easy. I take a young lady's going off lately, and your own lucky coming up to town, to have been two considerable incidents towards this grand catastrophe.

Upon further enquiry I find the windows of that part of Balliol College which are towards Magdalen Church were broken. The Vice-Chancellor himself was cut, several pieces were fired about him, a blow aimed at him, but it lighted upon the lanthorn on his man's head, and beat one side into the other. The Vice-Chancellor read the Proclamation, upon which the soldiers where he was dispersed. If Tom Rowney's servants had not forced him into his house, he had certainly been murdered. Tom had been at the tavern that afternoon with his corporation, which made him a little more brave [than] usual. No scholar concerned but one Baker of Wadham, a candidate in physick and a constitutioner. He went with the soldiers from house to house and encouraged them to break windows. I believe the university will make an example of him.

Did your Lordship ever see Jasper Wayne's translation of Lucian with a dedication of it to William, Duke of Newcastle?

1716, December 11.—You must give me leave to enquire how my Lady's cold does. I have little else to trouble you with at present. I would humbly recommend lying in bed to her Ladyship as the most certain as well as easiest remedy.

The major is gone up with affidavits sworn by some constitutioners and soldiers in his justification. They are certainly as to the main false oaths. Our Vice-Chancellor and Mayor were not only so unwary as to take and sign these affidavits, by the Recorder's advice as it is said, but they took the affidavits as they were brought ready drawn up without ever examining the persons who swore to them, and two of the soldiers who were brought to swear refused to do it. Nay more, they let the major take the originals away with him, without so much as reserving a copy of them for themselves. The major may foist in what he pleases. I suppose these will be printed too.

1716, December 16.—Surely there will be no great occasion for the future for the parsons to preach up the vicissitude of human affairs, at least not to this generation, they see enough of it. The Whigs at present seem to be under the same agonies the Tories were about this time two years ago. I had a letter from one on Friday night. Recollect, if you can, the language that was used by the Tories when the late Duke of Ormond was

disgraced, and apply it to poor Lord Townshend's case, and you will have what was written to me. But I beseech you to have so much pity upon us rusticated mortals, as to let us a little into these mysteries. You live near the fountain. Is the late dismissal a particular punishment for the misbehaviour of the person dismissed, or only in order to the introducing of another ministry? If particular to him, what was the fault that could deserve such vengeance? If for a new ministry, who has thrown out the old one, or is to model the new one? It will not be easy for the Government to meet with others in whom the good people of the land can so thoroughly confide. The Government may expect to feel upon this occasion the resentments of Hare and Canon.

———*nec te tua plurima, Townshend, lubentem pietas, nec canonis infula texit.* But is your cousin Sunderland gone too, entirely gone? Is he not allowed to keep that little morsel of the Vice-Treasurership of Ireland? We apprehend here too some circumstances which may embitter the fall of our patriots. The rascally Tories here seem all certain that all the Tories who have been turned [out], those especially who received their doom by Lord T[ownshend], will wait upon him to condole with him. Pray let a little light into us. I know you are lazy, but methinks you may be content to take a little pains, were it only to grieve such a Whig as I am.

The honest officers here had twice this week taken care to assert their own authority, by affronting and abusing the Proctor and others as they walked after ten o'clock, but I am told to-day that the honest fellows seem a little crestfallen upon this news.

Postscript. Some prints say Lord T. is to have the Vice-Treasurership of Ireland for life; should this be so, he would gain by his dismissal. Some travellers who came last night from London say your father was at the bottom of this wicked piece of work. I perceive his credit for politics is good still.

[1717,] March 16.—I will make you the first master of a discovery I have made. All Bentley's project for his new edition of a new Testament is stolen out of Toinard's *Prologomena* to His Harmony cap. 2. *De castigando Græco texta.* There Toinard tells you that he altered his Greek text, by comparing the variations of two ancient Vatican manuscripts with the vulgar version, and that to his great satisfaction he found the vulgar version and those manuscripts to agree in many places with the various readings in Fell's Testament. There is not one tittle besides this that Bentley pretends to do, only the comparing of more manuscripts with the vulgar version. Toinard is honest enough to own that he had his hint from R. Stephens. I think you owe me somewhat for imparting this discovery first to you.

A soldier was tied to the whipping post to-day, but not whipped, with an accusation affixed over him, for being perjured in marrying. It seems the colonel of this regiment gives an

oath to the soldiers after they are listed that they shall never marry—the first oath surely of that kind that was ever administered.

[1717,] March 20.—I thank you for your very good news. You observe truly that a bill of indemnity is the most material point; they who have interest enough to carry that will be able to prevent any of the extravagant things they are talked of, and it will be their interest to prevent them.

I never was in much pain for the bill about the universities, it would have been so flagrant a breach of property, and so many private interests, besides that of those who are resident here, would have been concerned in it, that I can never think it would pass. Not but that I am fully satisfied many at the helm would, if it were possible, pass bills even to extirpate us, but I believe there has been much the same management in relation to us that was used to your father. They thought to have us frightened into somewhat mean and dishonourable, but they have been disappointed, though our Pates were thoroughly frightened and, if they had not been restrained by one or two of us, were ready to have gone into any form of submission. But I bless God the enemy knows not our weakness, and now we begin to take heart and to be stout again. The Bishop of Bristol has carried up with him a letter from the Pates to the Archbishop, to lay before him the motives he has to oppose any such bill. I have not seen the letter, but I am told there is nothing improper or unbecoming them in it.

The day of your father's release, by the method by which he is likely to come out, and by which I always thought he must come out, will be more glorious to him than that on which he had the staff.

Now I am out of pain for him, I should be glad to hear your own affairs were settled, both as to your bill and your agreement with Lady Francis. I should be concerned if your business should hinder my good Lady from enjoying the spring at Wimpole. I wish too you could get Vane in, you will not be able to use the timber you have purchased so dearly till that is done.

Here have been strange violences by the soldiers at Woodstock and at Kirtleton; one Captain Gilman here, on Monday morning betwixt four and five o'clock, broke open a house with the help of other officers, carried off a girl by force from her mother, who lay dying, brought her to the "Cross" inn and, as the girl swears, ravished her there, but no magistrate has yet courage enough to grant a warrant against him.

[1717,] May 10.—*Madam. It is with great satisfaction that I now write to you. For security, we clapped two more blisters on Neddy's wrists last night, he went to sleep, and without any

*This and the three succeeding letters, as also a later one dated June 9, are addressed to Mrs. Abigail Harley, at Mr. Auditor Harley's house in Lincoln's Inn Fields. The "Neddy" referred to ultimately succeeded his cousin in the title as third Earl of Oxford.

opiate slept till towards seven in the morning as heartily as any farmer could do. He waked wonderfully refreshed, his blisters succeeded very well and ran plentifully. His pulse continues to grow better, his fever indeed continues still, but is abated. His water sometimes is foul and breaks, and sometimes grows clear again, which gives us some apprehensions that this fever may end in an intermitting one. We are then sure of him. He was very cheerful to-day and laughed with me. I asked him if he wanted to see his mother or you, he said he would not by any means have you give yourselves the trouble of coming. Blisters are not very agreeable to him, but I presume to take a little authority upon me, and I will do him the justice to say he is as tractable in sickness as in health. You cannot hear again till Sunday's post, but I hope I send you now such news that you will be able to bear with one day's intermission. Mr. Auditor will be heartily welcome to us if he comes, though I do not design to let him take my trade out of my hands, for I take myself to be a better physician and nurse too than he is.

1717, May 19.—In spite of all the precaution the doctor and I could use, Neddy found a way yesterday of getting some victuals. It agreed so very well with him, that it was plain he wanted it. He had a purge to-day, which worked as well as could be desired; he was allowed some wine and water and half a boiled chicken to dinner, and is mighty cheerful after it. We have nothing, I bless God, to fear, but lest he should desire to be well too soon, but I shall take care of that, though I can hardly in conscience preach mortification to one who has already undergone so much discipline. He gives his duty and love, as due, to all the family.

1717, May 21.—Your nephew grows too strong for me, I shall have no authority over him in a few days but what will depend upon his own courtesy. He now sits up most part of the day, and walks across his room without any help from a stick. He is to be purged again to-morrow. He has three boils risen in the nape of his neck where his blister was; none of them are yet broken, they are a little troublesome, but the doctor is glad of it, and says it is a sure discharge for any remains of his distemper. After to-morrow I believe I shall leave you to his own correspondence to give an account of himself. He longs for a letter from some of you directed to himself, almost as much as he does for victuals.

Postscript. This day came orders for the march of our soldiers, they go on Thursday and Friday. This is the best news we have had of many a day.

1717, May 22.—Neddy's physic has worked very well to-day, one of his boils is broken. The doctor allows him to go down stairs to-morrow, and to eat what he pleases, provided he does it with moderation. I shall now leave you to a more agreeable correspondence, his own. He designs to write to you to-morrow.

The wine is come, but no tamarinds along with it, which the nurse says were promised by his father. But I wonder Mr. Auditor has sent port wine: surely that, be it never so good, cannot be digested easily by a weak stomach, but must rather load it than strengthen it. I shall not let him venture on that wine for some days yet.

I pray God give a good success to what I suppose is now under debate in the House of Lords.

1717, May 29.—This place now affords matter for a letter. There has been a club lately set up here that call themselves the Constitution Club. It consists only of Whigs; by that you may guess how numerous it is. I never heard of it till yesterday, but it seems they had for some weeks given out that they would have an extraordinary bonfire as yesterday and burn the Pope and Sacheverell. They had taken the room towards the street in the “King’s Head,” and the Marquis of Hartington was to be admitted at that time of the club and declared president, and his tutor Bradshaw of New College, who was Proctor four years ago, was wise enough to assist at the initiation of his pupil. The bonfire, had it been made as it was designed, would have been large enough to have endangered the whole town. As they were piling up the bonfire some persons in the room over them threw out money to the mob, the mob in scrambling for it as it were casually overturned the fagots. Orders were given to rear them up again, but then the mobility could refrain no longer. They said they loved the King and would have a bonfire, but such rogues as the Constitution Club should not have the honour of making it. Every one that could get at the pile seized his fagot, and carried them all off to their own homes. When the fagots were gone, the club prepared to light their candles, of which they had prepared a great quantity. But upon the first appearance of light, the mob saluted them with a volley of large stones, and forced them to quit their room and to retire to the back part of the house. No harm happened hitherto, the mob for two hours ran about the streets crying, “Ormond, Sacheverell.” Their number was prodigious, so many thousands as never had been seen by the oldest man in this place. It was market day, and they had taken that occasion to come in from all the villages round us in expectation of this sport. They cried as they went along, “The rogues want to be at a civil war, we are ready for them, and we will give them their belly full of it.” About ten o’clock they seemed pretty well dispersed, and it was thought all would have been quiet, but they had separated in order to rally. They gathered again about Paradise and fell upon the meeting house and demolished it in a trice. Some say they seized the preacher, and put him in the stocks, but they carried the pulpit in triumph, and were openly this morning gathering up the fragments of the meeting house, in order to wait on the pulpit at a bonfire this evening at Carfax. They were so numerous and furious, that nothing but disciplined forces could pretend to restrain them. We hope they will disperse of themselves

to-morrow. They gave Oriel a token of their respect by breaking their windows, because several of this club are of that College. It is reported that the meeting house at Abingdon has undergone the same fate.

Sacheverell is in Derbyshire, and has taken possession there of 400*l. per annum* left him by his namesake, to whom he dedicated one of his famous sermons.

1717, May 30.—I had forgot to tell you that the mob waited on the Constitution Club as they came out of the tavern to their respective colleges, huzzaing, but not touching any of them. One of the club, one Hamilton, son of Sir David, of Oriel, went from the tavern to New College and when he was within the gate he fired a pistol loaded with bullets amongst the people. The bullets hit against the back door of New Inn Hall and did no damage. The mob would not disperse yesterday but employed themselves in picking up the fragments of the meeting house, to attend the pulpit in the evening. The Mayor came often, they would go off, but return again as soon as the Mayor was gone. In the evening the Vice-Chancellor and Proctors walked and cleared all the public houses; the mob were quiet, but would not separate. After ten, when the magistracy was supposed to be in bed, the mobility fell upon the Quakers' meeting house and gutted it in a trice. They went to his house who is speaker amongst the Quakers, and threw his goods into the street. As part of them were passing by Oriel, a gun was fired out of an upper chamber in that College, and wounded one of Brasenose, it is hoped not dangerously. The person who fired it was one Ingram, there are two of that name in that College, both of the club. Other shots were made out of the windows of that College. The mob turned, and broke all their windows and were with much ado restrained from breaking open the gates. Much work was made in the town for glaziers. The Recorder escaped not without marks of their respect. We are not quite free from apprehensions of further disturbance, the mob are so enraged at the shots from Oriel that they threaten vengeance to any of the club who dare to show their faces. But our governors are taking all the precautions they can to prevent it, they all will patrol this night, and orders are given in every College for all who belong to it to be within at nine, and the gates are to be shut. If you hear not from me by to-morrow's post you may conclude we are quiet again.

It is now said that Bradshaw was not with his pupil at the club.

1717, June 9.—I hear Mrs. Harley is concerned at what she has heard from my godfather, that Neddy has a little pain on his breast. I sent for the doctor, he assures me it is only a little weakness. I believe he judges very truly, for Neddy is quite rid of his pain as soon as he has eat his dinner. I believe this weakness was occasioned by the purge he had on Monday last, it was a good one, and he found himself weaker after it. I doubt not as his strength returns he will be free from his pain, it is much decreased already.

I hope in a few days to congratulate you all upon Lord O[xford]'s liberty.

Postscript. How came Mr. Auditor to be so tender of his old friend Cadogan? Was it from a motive of conscience, or had he any tockay?

1717, July 14.—I wish this may be the last I write to you in town. I long to hear you are going into the country. I suppose your father, who has stood an impeachment, will not fly an exception in the Act of Grace, but for the respect that is proper for the honour done to him in it. I should be glad to hear what he says, at least the substance of it, upon that occasion.

If you consult Meteren, but Strada chiefly, upon the indemnity published at Antwerp in 1570 or 1571, you meet with somewhat to gratify so curious a person as you are. Bentivoglio and Grotius have their remarks on it too, but short ones. I would recommend those passages to my godfather's perusal. Strada's authority, who was a Jesuit, cannot be doubted of in this point. When you are in the country, the story of Philip the Second and his son, Don Carlos, may afford you amusement and reflections too. You will meet with it in short in the historians of those times, but there was a little account gathered out of all the historians, and translated from the French in 1670.

1717, July 18.—The exception of the Att[orney] was a surprise to everybody, but most to him, though he had two or three days' notice to expect it, I suppose from Lord C[armarthen]. By what I hear he is very uneasy at it, but they need not fear much from his resentment, and I fancy others will not put it in his power to make his peace at their expense. I am glad to hear you have thoughts at last of the country, it might be a useful amusement to you to read the history of the troubles in the Netherlands. The effects which you would see there of the oppression of a free people by a Spanish ministry, may suggest reflections not improper to you. The best account of those troubles, of those who wrote against the Spaniards, is Meteren.

I suppose you have heard that Alsop's mistress has recovered two thousand pounds damages. A pretty mulct, if you take too into the computation the load of infamy in this world and guilt in the next. Do you think your friend the Bishop of Rochester will help him to pay his fines? Bob Freind ought to have some pity on him, it was almost his own case.

You tell me you have received the picture, but you tell me not how you like it.

Postscript. My duty to my honoured Lady and your father, I congratulate my godfather upon the honour of his exception. I suppose this is instead of a present for his two embassies. At present it is only an exception; it is to be hoped, it may in the next Act of Grace, be a proscription.

[1717,] August 26.—Your friend the Attorney is returned to his old trade again, and is as very an attorney as ever he was.

He was here lately upon no other account but to endeavour if he could by threats or wheedles to bring the lady who has got a late verdict against a certain person to a composition, but he was forced to return *re infecta*. This I think was the proper work of an attorney, and somewhat beneath one who had been in the high station he once filled.

Mr. Bridges, your brother book-buyer, did me the honour of a visit lately and drank your health with me; he designs to wait on you at Wimpole before the summer is over.

As your Lordship's fame is justly in all places of literature, the widow of Mr. Budyer sent me a day or two ago to know how she might direct her deceased husband's catalogue to you. I told her your address, but said I was afraid the catalogue would make a packet too big for the post, and offered to convey it to you, but I have not heard since of her. Mr. Bridges has seen the books, they are choice but in no good condition, and I believe you have most of them. The widow wants to sell [them] in a lump.

Prior is in the country with the Duke of Shrewsbury. I know not whether we shall see him here before we leave it. The Bishop of Durham has been at Lincoln this fortnight; he is now in his life time vesting the College on the great benefaction he designs for them, to the value I believe of 40,000*l*. He drops too his benefactions of lesser note in other places, amongst others, 100*l*. to our library.

[1717,] August 30.—The man who copied Dr. Radcliffe's picture was gone as soon as I returned. But there is now one in town, one Miller, who has been much employed by G. Clarke, who will copy Mr. King's much better than the other could have done, I believe. I believe the man's hands are full at present, but he shall do it before he goes.

We had some ill effects of the storm here; we lost two trees in our long walk. I hope you have lost none in the beautiful avenue to your house. But the storm has done prodigious damage in Holland, it raised the water at Rotterdam so high, and it happened to be the fair time, that it overflowed all the rooms equal with the ground in all the houses in the town. It broke one of the dykes at Dort, it bore off the roofs of all the country houses in the campagne about Amsterdam, and shattered all the wooden summer houses to pieces, and if it had not changed of a sudden from the north to the south, in probability it would have broken down all their dykes, and laid all Holland under water. I had this account from Mr. Muyssart, a very honest Dutchman, who was here this week for two days with John Drummond. He is nephew and heir to Burgomaster de Haas, who was for the peace. He wants much to see your father, and will be much disappointed in returning to Holland without seeing of him.

Lady Mansel lay here last night in her way to Bath. She did me the honour to send [for] me, and I sat the evening with her. You may be sure I wanted not the stories current amongst the

ladies of her opinion, but I need not trouble you with them. Your father will furnish you with them and no doubt tell you of the famous scolding bout betwixt the Princess and her Majesty of Munster, in the drawing room, upon the Princess saying, as her Majesty approached, "here comes her mock Majesty."

Methinks Lewis fetched a compass in order to go to Warwickshire. I suppose your father will give you the particulars of a brisk quarrel betwixt Don Lewis and poor Prior. Surely it is *impar congressus*, but I believe Don Lewis takes himself to be the richer of the two, and that supplies all other defects. Either I made some great blunder, or you mistook me. The Bishop of Durham, good man, never withdrew his benefaction. I, as Deputy Treasurer at present, am now in possession of the 100*l.* His benefaction to Lincoln is an augmentation of each fellowship 10*l. per annum*, an erection of eight or twelve scholarships, for gentlemen's younger sons of the Bishopric of Durham at 20*l. per annum* each; an augmentation of a living belonging to the rectory 20*l. per annum*; of four livings held with fellowships 10*l. per annum*; and purchasing all the houses betwixt Lincoln and All Hallows, and building there for his new scholars and others.

How came Heywood, who is a perfect stranger to you, to call upon you, with such a trail along with him? Had he no pretence for it but calling upon me, it would have been impudent enough if I had been there. Though I knew him twenty-five years ago, I am a perfect stranger to his spouse and his fireside. Pray let me know whether he had any other pretence for calling on you.

The Bishop of Durham left us this morning, we mustered up all the horse we could in town to attend him out of town, and he relishes the respects that have been paid him full well. Barker, though he owes all he has to Lincoln College, was in town, but never went to wait on the old gentleman.

Lord Harcourt is in London, what will you say if you should hear that he is gone up to solicit for a pardon.

I need not write you news from Eywood. I heard from Neddy this week; he is well, but I find not that he thinks yet of coming hither again. But he promises to enter into indentures with me not to give breakfasts for the sake of showing his equipage. I shall not deliver them to him, but upon those terms.

Postscript. Merton College had like to have been burnt lately; one in the uppermost room over the gate house fell asleep without putting out his candle. The furniture was burnt, the ceiling, the floor, the man escaped; but the flame was seen by one passing by at one in the morning in the street. The walls in the gate house were very thick, which preserved the College.

1717, September 9.—I have had more strangers within these last few weeks than in the whole year before, though some I expected have not yet been here, and Mr. Thomas says your father's business will not let him stir yet. Lord and Lady Foley did me the honour to dine with me on Wednesday last in their way to Woodstock; you may be sure we remembered Wimpole.

The Bishop of Chester took care to observe to him how very fresh venison might be brought from Brampton hither, but I did not perceive that his Lordship made the application the Bishop desired he should. I was so fortunate to give my Lady such content, that she has promised me her custom whenever she passes this way.

My correspondents in town are suspicious of the journey of a late (*sic*) man thither. They think he has been endeavouring to make his peace. Lord Nottingham and Lord Car[marthe]n were with him constantly whilst he was there. Prior designs to be with you about a fortnight hence.

I think it will be best for me to send up Charles King's picture to you when you are in town again, as you must be when the Parliament meets, you may then have it copied by what hand you like best.

You have heard how the bishoprics are disposed of; Holland of Merton has the prebend of Worcester, vacant by Chandler's promotion. Our friend the Bishop of Hereford went to the Archbishop of Canterbury to desire his Grace's interest for that prebend in behalf of his brother Dr. Bisse. I am amazed he could be so mean as to apply there, when his brother too is already so well provided for, and when his Lordship might make further provision for his brother, if there were need of it, by his own preferments. How could he possibly hope he should succeed? It is [very] plain now why he courted this Archbishop so much and used to plead for him. For this and some other practices like it, I cannot help despising my old friend.

1717, October 27.—My honest lad Neddy returned to us on Wednesday last, he is very hearty, and I believe he has brought his virtue entire to us, though he has been more than once upon the Welsh hills with my godfather. The good lad had more wit than his father; he would have had him to have stayed in the country till he himself had come up to town, but the lad was wiser. We expect Mr. Auditor here about the middle of the next month.

Pray explain yourself, who the old friend is who will not make a good D.D. I know no old friend of ours, amongst the late creation.

I am glad if anything we could do was, as it was designed, an evidence to Mr. Prior of the respect we sincerely have for him. You are very happy in having him so long with you. Mr. Fellows, the Master in Chancery, was lately here, to see a good young man, his son, who had put out his ankle, and he gave us, without any application to him, from the sole motion of his own generosity, an 100*l.* to our library. If Providence raises up benefactors where we so little expect them, we shall compass our building.

Old Mapletoft has been ill of a fever at eighty seven, but is got over it, and likely now to live ten years more, to the Bishop of Chester's comfort. He lately published a little book of good

moral sayings of the old Greek philosophers, and I am to have a book for your father and one for you, which he presents you as a legacy to each of you.

I perceive you have had a true account of the reception the Pandar [Brinsden] has met with. Methinks the news of it should not make the master in haste to return, if he could. It is now hotly discoursed that all impediments are removed.

I give you joy of your new aunt. I suppose your uncle has made this match, to prove his capacity to be Prime Minister.

We have been much alarmed for some posts with news of a Royal visit to us, but we are not yet babes of grace enough for such a favour; the talk is over.

[1717,] October 29.—I take this opportunity of the Bishop of Chester's servant, of letting you know what I have heard of the Pandar, that we may compare the accounts we have had and see if they agree. I was at Bucklebury when a letter came from Brinsden to give notice of his being in England, he pretended then to abscond, and that he durst not own his being in England. I since hear he came over with Lord Stair's passport, and went directly to Lord Sunderland and was introduced by young Craggs to the King to present, as is supposed, a petition from his poor master, and it seems agreed that the reversal of the attainder will be proposed next session. Brinsden brought over letters to all Bolingbroke's old friends, he went to Longleat, but Lansdowne would not see him, and sent to him to be gone immediately out of his house. He went to Sir W. Wyndham's, Sir William saw him, but excused it to his friends that he could not do otherwise, being a trustee for his lady. Jemmy Murray, who I think was with Sir William Wyndham, bid the Pandar tell his master that he was a treacherous villain, and that he would cane him whenever he met him. This is my account, and methinks when the poor Lord hears it he should not be so eager for coming home. Neither the reception he is like to have from his old friends, nor the condition of his own private affairs, should make him wish to return. I am afraid he has not philosophy to struggle with contempt and want.

You say nothing of your going to town. I am afraid there will be a very poor appearance of our friends. By what I hear, there is a sort of agreement amongst them not to come up to Parliament. I will give you a paragraph I had in a letter last week from Baginton [W. Bromley's]:—"I do not hear from any one what our friends design to do, more than that it is thought many that are in the country will not come up if they can help it. I wait to know what others in whom I have a confidence will do, or would have me do."

I take those in whom he has a confidence to mean your father. If you would have him up at the beginning of the session, you must send for him. I understand it not. The Court will get what they want before the holidays, if the House is empty. Will it be necessary, on the account of your private bill, that your friends should be in the House?

Sir W. Wyndham made last week mighty entertainments for his neighbours; an amphitheatre was built in his park, gentry and commonalty for several miles round were invited to see sports or to bear a part in them, such as cudgel playing and other noble diversions. Rewards were allotted *tam victis quam victoribus*, and entertainment *gratis* in booths for the several days of the diversion. I know not whether he proposed any thing but diversion, but the Government seems to think him considerable. A regiment of dragoons went down last week to his neighbourhood, I suppose to serve instead of constables.

1717, November 6.—The adventure I am going to tell you is so extraordinary that I would not deny you the diversion of hearing it. Your old acquaintance John Keill is married to a pretty woman, but to one whose quality and fortune are alike. She was a servant, niece to Harry Clements, her father was a book binder, the widow her mother has many other children, and earns by washing what she has not from the parish to support them. But John talks like a philosopher; he has been married five months, and says he likes his wife better now than he did before he married her. That she is that which is agreeable to him, that she is one who will live just as he would have her, and that he has more ease in his mind now than he had in his former life, and in this last point he is certainly right.

Sir John Doily is put up by the Whigs for the county and Sir Robert Banks Jenkinson by our friends. I fancy Sir John Doily will make nothing of it; it is hoped he will see it so plainly himself that he will desist. All the younger brothers of the Jenkinsons are christened Robert with another name too, that upon the death of any, there may still be a Sir Robert surviving.

1717, November 8.—I have received the favour of your Lordship's by Mr. Thomas, I am surprised to find you complain in it that Neddy is not come to us. I am afraid by this that mine to you in which I gave you a long account of Neddy is miscarried.

Lest my letter should have miscarried, I must repeat what I said of my honest lad. He is perfectly well, and as good as his best friends wish him. I do not find that his rambling on the Welsh mountains has in the least tainted his virtue. His father would have kept him still in the country, but to what purpose I cannot imagine. It was with much importunity that the good lad got leave to return to us.

I heard last night again from our friend, and have written to him this morning, and pressed him to be in town. He is ready himself to come upon any summons; should a line come to him from your quarters, it will certainly determine him.

1717, November 15.—Sir John Doily seems resolved to stand it out, and the Duchess of Marlborough has sent orders to their agents to make interest for him, but we doubt not but he will lose it by a prodigious majority.

The little captain came hither on Wednesday with his lady, she went yesterday towards Herefordshire, and he across the country towards you, and designs to be at Wimpole on Monday.

Lord Harcourt appears in this election with a zeal he never was known to do, and rather against Doyly than for Jenkinson. He told Doyly he would oppose him with all his might, as he would any one else, even his own son, if he were set up by Lord Parker or the Duke of Marlborough.

[1717,] November 18.—I believe you may safely presume that I do not only excuse, but thank you too, for communicating that which is so welcome to me in relation to your private affairs. I pray God to confirm you in your resolutions, they are the most proper you could take for your honour as well as for your ease; and when the Act is passed, if my poor thoughts may have any weight, not a stick of timber that was an inch thick should stand in Welbeck—I had almost put in Brampton too—whilst any incumbrance to the value of sixpence was left on you or any that belong to you. But I understand not one paragraph. I can assure you *in verbo sacerdotis*, I never heard any mortals, but two, charge you with the least of crimes, and they too charged you to your face, and with no other than what you confess, a little heedlessness. If you would know the persons, they were Morley and myself. I must bring in Morley to help to bring myself off again. As to all other mortals, as far as I know, you have the most reason to be satisfied of any gentleman in England I ever heard of, in the general esteem of all that know you. I would not say this, for fear of raising your vanity, if it did not seem a little necessary at present to relieve under a contrary sentiment. Here is an old swash buckler here, I mean old Gifford, who never saw you but once, but was then so charmed with you, that at the meeting of any company he constantly bars any one else from beginning your health and claims it as his own privilege. If you would explain that dark paragraph a little to me, I would thank you, and tell you my thoughts of it.

I entirely agree with your Lordship in all your reflections, I find my fears are too true as to a brother of ours lately disgraced. I believe they will find disappointed Whigs not better Christians at least than disgraced Tories. That same sermon I believe sticks in his stomach, but he comes of a race that can digest retractations. The person you enquire about is quite at the end of his politics, this last blow has finished the despair he began to have upon the dismissal of the sober Earl of W[harton]. He pretends not to any conjectures, but waits only in a maze for the issue of things.

I have no manner of apprehension from the other person, nor need any that ever was engaged with him. His foible is too well known. There is a sure way of dealing with him; any one that dare crack a louse may keep him in awe, if there should be any occasion for that method.

You own you would not come to town, if it were not for your Act, and is not that a stronger reason against my coming, who have not so much as a pretence of business, than any you can

give me for coming. I have done with politics and have subdued my own curiosity in that point. Though I own there is somewhat in the present face of affairs that would tempt one that was less a philosopher than I am now in that point. The good Bishop of Chester is as eager to be at the opening of the session as ever he was to be married, and that was enough in all reason.—*Nobis non licet esse tam protervis*, who profess myself a poor disciple to Robin Morgan. I heartily thank those who have given us a late quietus, I thank them for it, on my own account and their own too.

My most humble duty to my Lord and Lady Oxford, my thanks to my Lord for the favour of his, I shall do myself the honour to answer it by Mr. Thomas, when he returns. I shall take care of the enclosed.

My most sincere duty to my ever honoured Lady, I am glad to hear my Christian improves so much. I shall not disgrace her any more by that vile epithet of Little. I have too much concern for her to say any thing to her disadvantage. I hope she is big enough to be able to tend her brother, if she could have one. I wish your old tutor may not have infused some of his own qualities into you which he might well have spared. I hear a great deal of tittle-tattle in the next lodgings to me, but no crying out yet. I wish I could hear that you had been on the back of the Arab, but I suppose that is like other rarities, for sight once a quarter not for use.

1717, November 22.—Perhaps you will hear before this reaches you, that old “shoo strings” [Sir W. Whitlock] died on Wednesday morning. There are two who would if they could succeed him, your friend Simon, and Dod of All Souls. There is no possibility of preventing a dispute, but by putting up George Clarke; that I hope will do it effectually, though it will not be without difficulty that G. Clarke will be prevailed on to serve. Dod is on the spot, and offered himself last night in several places. His hopes lay chiefly in the young masters who are warmest, but I fancy he sees the inclinations so general to another that he will not expose himself by persisting. Delaune, and all who had been obliged by Sim’s father when he was in power—which were too many, to your father’s shame be it spoken, who would not, as he was pressed to do, take the merit to himself, and not let others run away with his due—would I believe have tried what could have been done for Sim if there had been room, but as I take it they will be silent, since the gap is stopped. Had there been room for a trial, they would not have carried it, but they might have given us some trouble.

I have seen young Bentley’s *Patronum non erubescendum*, every one takes it at first sight as a recantation of the old one. It is of a piece with every thing else he does.

[1717,] December 2.—Dod desisted on Thursday last; we shall now have our election for county and university, without opposition in either, on Wednesday. We have done for the university the best that our present circumstances would admit

of here. No other but G. Clarke could have prevented a great struggle amongst us, as they who were for Simon lay on the watch on one side, and as this Dod actually set up on the other. This Dod is a fellow of All Souls, a physician, a paltry insignificant fellow, but has some estate. He has been used to give drink to young masters. In principles he is somewhat beyond a Tory, he was put upon this attempt by the Bishop of Rochester and Shippen, though both disown it, since nothing could be made of it. But besides other good spirits, Dod himself affirmed to the Bishop of Chester, if he stood it out I believe he could not have polled fifty, but if the election were to have been by scrutiny, as other elections are, I am afraid he would have had more on account of his supposed principles.

I am much at loss to guess at the meaning of that paragraph still. I thought your father had had enemies enough for the whole family. I never heard of so much as one particular to you on your own account, nor can I guess upon what ground possibly any one can be so, but I shall wait with patience till you think fit to explain, or till Providence brings us together again.

We expect the Auditor here on Wednesday and he will stay with us till Friday. John Drummond with two polite Scotch ladies will be with me on Friday evening and stay with me till Monday. For the part of gallanting the ladies, I have already appointed Mr. Thomas my substitute. I have sent the letter up by T. Row[ney?]. Mr. Bromley came to town on Saturday was se'nnight, and was in hopes of finding your father there. I hope your father will not be much longer with you. Business seems to call; I suppose we all agree pretty well in our reflections on what has happened in the close of the last week.

[1717-18,] January 30.—The place where you are affords at any time, and much more now, better materials for a correspondence than that of my abode does. I know not what to tell you hence, but about Neddy, and his life is so much of a piece, so very regular and good, that it affords not any variety for a correspondence, and therefore whenever I say nothing of him you may safely presume that all is as you would wish it.

Your own bill, I perceive, is in so great forwardness, and the session is likely to last so long, that I suppose I may safely congratulate you upon the passing of it and upon the merit of your own activity in it.

1717-18, February 1.—You write as gaily as if your bill had received the royal assent that morning. I bless God we make a shift to keep soul and body together, but not in the manner you would seem to imagine. It becomes you indeed well, who riot in plenty, to insult us upon our poverty. We know very well that you carles of the laity think a poor parson luxurious, if he barely supports nature and has not the fear of famine. But as riotous as we are, if I had not had more comfort than my dignity is able to supply me, the weather would have been too hard for me. We have one blessing indeed, which serves instead of plenty, and all other blessings, a thing called independency.

You will guess how we have lived by Mr. Thomas's meagre looks when you see him this week. He has been forced to keep Lent here in the carnival season. I hope you will have pity on him, and make him keep carnival in Lent. I never doubted of my friend the Attorney, but it is somewhat hard that there should be occasion for new instances of villainy to refresh men's memories. That which I told you of when I was last with you begins to show itself. He said he desired not to see a certain person in business again, that he was the last man in the world he would wish to see so. He seems to be afraid least that person's credit should rise again, and to be weak enough too to think that he can stop it.

I thank you for your hint of Sir John, but I had heard of that subtle device. It is hard a poor man should be found out and laughed at for the first sin he was ever guilty of of that kind.

You will hear of old Tom, he will lay himself at your feet to thank you for the honour you have done. As for the poor innocent young one, you must excuse him if the ambition of saying somewhat to you made him impertinent, and charge himself with compliments to you.

The poor Duke [of Shrewsbury] has a wonderful escape if he recover. I should have thought the prescriptions he has had surer than any draughts his lady ever mingled in her own country.

[1718.] February 14.—^aThe Vice-Chancellor sent for He[a]rne yesterday about his preface, he has forbid him printing any more at our press. He has told his printer Rance that he will expel him the university if he prints any more for him. He has told He[a]rne that he will put him into the court and send for two Justices of the Peace and tender the oaths to him, for that he believes him a papist. How He[a]rne will get off, I know not. I am apt to think it will end at least in changing his quarters. Pray communicate this to Lord Harley.

The exportation of bullion on account of interest due to foreigners from our funds was what I was not aware of; that in a little time must drain us, and amounts to the same thing as a balance of trade against us, viz.: Debts due from England to other countries, nor can this have any remedy but by paying off the funds, for which I suppose we are in no condition.

I thank you for your care of my little commissions. When you next pass by Tonson's, pray tell him I will take up Lord Lempster's book and pay the other payment for it as soon as the book comes out, and see that added to the memorandum.

That rogue is certainly not married, but has the impudence to live here publicly with a whore under the name of a wife. The different accounts given by him and her of the place of marriage, and what Taylor says, are clear proofs. There needs no further search, this will end in his ruin.

Lord H[arle]y writes me word that Paleotti was taken out of the Bishop of Ely's house. How came he to have shelter there?

* This letter is addressed to "Mr. Thomas at the Bird in Hand and Star, near the new Exchange in the Strand, Westminster."

Postscript. I had this morning a young valentine come to my bedside to claim me, Dr. Hudson's young daughter. Pray desire Agatha to lay out, as far as a guinea, no farther, in somewhat for a young girl of seven years old, knots or beads or any thing she thinks proper, for I am utterly ignorant what is so on these occasions.

[1718,] February 16.—I desired Mr. Thomas to let you know that your friend He[a]rne is in tribulation, and how he will get out I know not. He has condescended to apply to me to help him, but how to do it I know not well. I am afraid it will not be very proper for me to interpose for one who has so grossly and foolishly abused our founder, if there were no other exceptions. But if I should interpose, though I perceive he wishes himself out of this scrape, yet he is so proud and so stubborn that he will recant nothing. I believe it will end in his being obliged to leave this place, at the apprehension of which he seems not a little disturbed.

You seem to me to be somewhat disturbed that Neddy does so well here, and afraid lest he should come up to the credit you had here. My reason is, the pains you are taking to make him otherwise. Surely you are not wanting to throw temptation in his way. Ten dozen of wine at one lump is enough surely to try the virtue of one of his age. I pray God he may have enough to stand the trial.

Postscript. Pray send me a little good snuff. I have the honour of your Lordship's. Your reflections on the effect the prosecution of He[a]rne will have on him are very just, but there are some such things in his preface, I know not well how the Vice-Chancellor could avoid taking some notice of them; and though He[a]rne will be more stubborn and more proud for it, yet he is very apprehensive of being obliged to change his quarters.

[1718,] February 18.—I perceive your Lordship has still a tenderness upon you for He[a]rne. For your sake, not out of any kindness of my own to you, I have done to-day what I can for him, but I believe to little purpose. The Heads of Houses have now an opportunity of being even with him, no one will resent any thing that is done to him, the tide is turned against him. Every one applies his general reflections to themselves in particular, and suppose that he thinks that no one here is good for any thing but himself. But "Premier" [Edward?] Gwyn, who is his great confidant, and a hearty solicitor for him, owns to me that though he is stubborn still, yet he begins to be concerned. That which gives me the greatest aversion to him is his remarkable ingratitude to the best friends he has ever had. I wish your Lordship may fare better than others have done. My neighbour the Sub-dean is his hearty enemy on this occasion, though once they were great cronies, but that is for his speaking against the marriage of grave Doctors, and from a slight mention which he

made in his preface of the Sub-dean himself, which Mr. Thomas can explain to you. Though I speak truth of him to you, I plead for him as much as his cause will admit of it, when my neighbour attacks him.

[1718,] February 27.—Mr. He[a]rne has been with me full of your Lordship's unexampled generosity to him. It is noble indeed, and fit for the Lord of Welbeck. But for all this, I can be pretty positive that you cannot get the missale nor so much, I am afraid, as a dedication. You may perhaps have a simple *honorifica mentio*, but you must own your political principles a little more plainly to him, before you can be deemed worthy of the honour Mr. Rawlinson has.

Tom Rowney has let me know by this post of the great honour you have designed to him and his family, but Tom at his age is shame faced, and says he has not assurance enough to accept it. Tom is like many fair ladies who through their modesty lose what they have a mind to, and I suppose, like them, will not be ill pleased to have his modesty overpowered.

Dod has been canvassing here again upon presumption of Mr. Bromley's death, and I am told that our friend He[a]rne, upon the report that Mr. Bromley was dying, broke out into great joy, and said now he should see Dod in. If upon enquiry I should find this true, I will stick closer to his hump than all the delegates together do.

Postscript. My duty to my most honoured Lady. Neddy, who is sitting by me, desires his respects to you both. Neddy tells me of a present of two books he has from you. This is right and a little more proper for him than ten dozen of claret.

[1718,] March 2.—I thank you for your news of my Lady Abingdon's being with child again, but I should have been better pleased if you could have sent me the same news of your own lady, *pudet hæc approbra, &c.*

There was on Thursday, much to Mr. He[a]rne's honour, an assembly of the divan of the Heads of Houses upon him. An extract of passages in his preface, that were thought liable to exception, were laid before them. The result was, that the Vice-Chancellor was desired to consult with the Assessor how he could be prosecuted, and the Vice-Chancellor was farther desired to consult with the Delegates of the Press how far it might be proper to allow him to print here still. But there is no doubt but they will permit [him] to go on with "*Neubrigensis*"; and I am apt to think, but I will not undertake for it, that the other part will end in a private reprimand.

I thank you for the favour of your countenance this day to my friend Tom and his family. They are not a little proud of it, as I perceive by his epistle this day. There is not much politeness, but a great deal of heartiness. I have looked over the books you have sent Neddy, you are resolved he shall have *Gustus Eruditus*. It is very kind in your Lordship to form his taste so early by such dainties, but I hope you take care that our

good friend the Auditor knows nothing of this. He would be more concerned at this little box than at the four hampers, he would dream of his boy's having as great a bibliomania as your Lordship has, and be afraid lest some of the acres at Eywood should in time be pawned for Aldus and Colinæus.

Now I am upon this subject, I must not forget Mr. He[a]rne desired me to enquire of your Lordship what part of Tully it was for which you lately gave 30*l*. He thinks it was for one of the first edition of *Natura Deorum*. Pray where and when was it printed?

1717-18, March 8.—I have had Mr. He[a]rne under examination, he stoutly denies that he ever expressed any joy upon the report of Mr. Bromley's being likely to die. He owns upon that report, for which he says he expressed great concern, he might have some discourse of Dod's being likely to appear again. I desired him to recollect what he had said upon that occasion. He pretended he could not, but the result seemed to be this, that if he expressed any joy for Mr. Dod's being likely to succeed, it was only upon a supposition of a vacancy, and not that he desired a vacancy, that he might succeed. Though I could not bring him to own so much directly, I shall now examine into the authority of those who charged him. His affair is still in the briars, and I know not when it will be out.

Neddy is very presumptuous upon the stock of the wine you have sent him. He invited me to solemnize this day, being that on which your father was stabbed, with him. I told him I thought it was more proper to celebrate the day in which he was acquitted than that on which he was stabbed. I could not convince him, but to compromise the matters he has invited me to keep that too. I have promised for that night, but I could not go to-night, because it is Saturday.

[1718,] April 10.—At two days' warning you may have a very good coach here, that will hold four easily, but it will cost you 5*l*.

I hear your retinue will be very numerous. When I resolved to wait on you, I had no apprehension that you and my Lady would be so well provided with friends to attend you. I wish you had been so kind to let me know it. Since you are like to have no want of friends, I believe I should not, if I had known it, have increased the number of them. But I suppose lodgings for the season will be taken for me, before I could send to stop it. Your two or three last letters have been very full, very particular and very instructive.

[1718,] May 1. [Bath.]—I am very glad I can tell you that nobody here wants you. My good Lady takes ample care of all her poor servants, and she herself is easy and cheerful, and much pleased that she has come hither. The waters agree wonderfully with her, and she perceives and owns that she is already much better than she was when she came. I attend in person at places where it is allowed to me to appear. When her Ladyship goes to

the play, I substitute my 'chum old Francis in my place, though he acquitted himself but indifferently last night, for after he had put her Ladyship in the chair, he did not go and sup with her. Now you perceive there is no occasion for you, I hope you will not think of seeing us till June is begun.

Miss Peggy is in perfect health and wantonness, and promises as fair as any lady of her years to be an admirable coquette.

My Lady Harley's play last night was crowded more than any that has been acted this year, but discord and faction will break out in places dedicated to ease and diversion. Lady Katherine Edwin would not go to the play, nor let Lord Manchester's or her own daughter go, and was so wellbred as to own her reason to be because it was bespoke by Lady Harley. But the ladies stand by us bravely, and have vowed revenge upon Dame Edwin, if she dares to bespeak a play this season.

The Duke and Duchess of Richmond are here. The Arabian and his spouse came in with a mighty train last night. The Duchess of Kent is come and the [Duke] expected, and [so] are the Duke and Duchess of St. Albans. I hope then we shall have a due complement of titles and garters. This place affords no more at present.

My most humble respects to the most excellent ladies of Eywood, all three of them, and to the lords of Eywood and Stoke.

[1718,] May 31. [Bath.]—I am glad you had the manners to write to my Lady from Stevenage, you will receive an answer by the "Higler," which is much kinder than you deserve. I thank God I can give a very good account of my charge. You could be so hard-hearted as to leave when she was out of order, and soon after you was gone, about four o'clock, her fit of illness returned, and she was very sick. But the worst of it is, that this is not an illness that is owing to any kindness from you, it is not of that sort which she should have, and which, if you were good for any thing, she would have. But I can now with great joy tell you that under my care she is so very well recovered, that we sat chatting last night till near twelve. She never was better in her life, I thank God, than in your absence. For my own part I find no want of you, but I cannot prevail on her to allow me to say as much to you from her. I find I can live here very easily without you, and I will dispense with your stay as long as you please, if you but send Morley to me.

The evening post informs us of great news from St. Albans. I hope we shall have from you to-morrow somewhat that we may depend on about that great man. Much depends on it.

Miss Margery is perfectly well, and Monsieur Guiney admires her almost as much as he does his own performance.

My duty to your father and my god-father. My respects to good Lady Dupplin, aunt Tabby and the convert, and to others as they ask for me.

Postscript. The rebels at Cambridge broke loose on the 24th of May, they grumbled about the streets all day, at night they attacked

the meeting house, gutted it, and carried the pulpit a mile out of town in triumph, and burnt it with great solemnity. I hope some of the quieters of the nation will be sent to chastise them for this and to prevent any more of it.

Upon further information, we hear the outside of the meeting house was chiefly battered, but that the inside is not much damaged and the pulpit is safe. A virago, or man in woman's habit, crowned with laurel, led up the party to the assault. Many scholars are said to be concerned.

1718, June 15.—I found myself much more able than I thought I had been to bear travelling, and got home a day sooner than I proposed, on Friday night.

I beg leave to return my thanks for all the favours I had from you at Bath, and for your bearing with all the trouble I gave you there. I am obliged to you chiefly, for the satisfaction I had by your bringing me thither, of seeing my opinion as well as wishes answered in the great benefit my Lady has found by the waters. George Clarke came home a day before me, and as far as I can judge by a little conversation I had with him this morning, he is perfectly well in all respects.

The Bishop of Chester continues on the mending hand, but still looks wan, and is very weak. By the accounts I have had of him since I returned, he was in much greater danger than we apprehended. The Bishop of Bristol has nothing about him that shows that he was lately ill.

I found Neddy with a cold on him, but I think I helped to cure it the first night I came home. I have had him at confession, and he does own a sort of a hankering after those celebrated damosels, the Muses. I am afraid he will never have his father's approbation of such an amour.

The worthy Major has told you how we met; pray tell him I have obeyed his commands to John Drummond, and let John know how sensible the worthy Major is of John's steady and successful friendship to him.

The great Mr. Wanley has used the Bishop of Chester very unkindly, not to say rudely, in refusing flatly, with sturdiness enough, to consult some papers for him in your father's library. But he will leave the "Genoa Arms" for no one but you, if he would do it for you.

All here send service and duty to my Lady and yourself, and heartily pray for the same fruit to you from the waters [at Bath] which they suppose you chiefly wish for from them.

My respects to the worthy Major and Mr. Prior; pray tell Mr. Prior my memory served me right, that the word is *λιβερτινος*; that it denotes not men of any sect or opinion, nor ever was used to express any such thing; that the synagogue was that particular synagogue where Jews who were strangers, who lived at Jerusalem but had not been born there or in Palestine, worshipped; that as the Alexandrians, Cyrenians, &c., denoted those who came from those countries, the Libertines signified those who came from Rome, who were the sons of Jews who had

been brought slaves to Rome, and freed afterwards by their masters. Which Jews, when made *liberti*, had a particular quarter in Rome, *trans Tiberim*, as the Jews have now in Amsterdam, where they lived by themselves.

1718, July 25.—I thought my godfather had been too old to go abroad again for pleasure, and I daresay he does not go upon politics, though perhaps some may suspect so.

The Warden of All Souls' and poor Charlett—to his no little mortification—are turned out of the Commission of the Peace. Some gentlemen too are displaced in the county. Those who are put in to supply their places are chiefly, as I hear, shopkeepers. It is said there are alterations in the commissions all over England, and chiefly amongst the clergymen who were justices.

The Bishop of Chester, I bless God, continues very well, and has lately met with very considerable evidence to confirm his own opinion as to the Archbishop's authority of conferring degrees. It is certain the Bishop of Chester has the right on his side, and I am apt to think it will appear so plain that the Archbishop will be obliged to give it up. Should it be so, it would be no little mortification to the Archbishop and credit to our friend. But no thanks to the great Mr. Wanley for his assistance upon this occasion.

1718, July 31.—My friend Neddy is well, and entered upon his sports, for he has sent me the first fruits of them, a pot of grouse.

Your Lordship was saying at Bath, that one volume of the "Magdeburgenses" was very scarce. I beg to know which it is and what is the title of it. I have a set of them which was my father's, and I would be glad to know whether it be complete.

Your friend Steady, being so eminently ingenious, has got the company of two wits for his entertainment, Pope and Gay. Lord Harcourt lends them Stanton Harcourt to live in this summer.

One Smithies some time ago got with some young men of Magdalen, and pretended to have been at Preston. They informed against him to the Vice-Chancellor for drinking the Pretender's health, &c. When he was to have been tried at the assizes, orders came down in the middle of the night to stop his trial here, and to remove it to the King's Bench. He is too to be re-examined, but the examination was taken by the judges out of the Vice-Chancellor's hands, who had committed him, and put to two sitting justices. It is supposed the prosecution will be dropped, and confirms men in what they suspected, that he was a spy sent hither. It is supposed he would endeavour to turn the accusation upon the young men, if after having been accused by them, he can be capable of being an evidence against them.

[1718,] August 3.—You give me the highest satisfaction, when you are pleased to give yourself the trouble of imparting to me any thing that tends to the security or ease of your own affairs. I am glad you have ended with the Duke [of Montagu], but your

bill cannot come in till you have renewed articles with Vane, but your saying that you are in no fear of a chancery suit implies, I hope, that you either have agreed with him or are likely to do it.

I am a little surprised at what you tell me of J. H[udson]'s visit to you, and of your bounty to him. Surely he had no title to it, and if I may have leave to say so, would you search strictly into your own motive to it, I am afraid you would find that your largess was rather from ostentation than generosity, and that you had more regard in it to your own glory than to that "honest" man's merit. Do you remember what you observed to me at Newbury when I told you that Lord Carnarvon had sent John Hudson 200*l*.? You said he always placed his bounty wrong. It would not be amiss to apply such just observations to our own practice.

I condole with you for your loss, but I think our cases are somewhat different, you have timber and stone of your own, and money too for workmen, to enable you to repair. I wish I could say the same of myself upon those articles.

Indeed they were the "Magdeburgenses" of which you told me at Bath that one volume of them was very scarce and hard to be met with. That was it which made me desirous to know whether I had the scarce volume. I have twelve volumes.

[1718,] August 10.—You will be visited in a little time at Wimpole by a gentleman who leaves this place to-morrow, Dr. Savage. He has certainly lost no assurance by his travelling; he is full of himself as well as of his travels. Abroad he was looked on as a spy for the Government, he has somewhat I must own, both in his look and address, that always gave me a caution. His parsonage is within seven miles of you. I find he designs soon to be with you, and as to the account of his travels he will be entertaining enough.

Your Lordship and I will have no difference about "Magdeburgenses."

We have had very much mischief done in all the counties round us both as to men, beasts and houses by the late thunder and lightning. I pray God preserve you and yours from such accidents.

The Bishop of Sarum has had a great quarrel with his Dean and Chapter, for their letting the anthem "By the waters of Babylon" &c., be sung upon the first of August. He showed violent tokens of uneasiness all the time the choir was singing it. When he came out he said it was designed as an affront to him and the guest, and he would not bear it, but would complain of it. The thing was perfectly casual, a new boy who was to be tried having desired it might be in that anthem, as that he was best acquainted with. But if it really is any ground of offence, why does not his Lordship get an Act of Parliament to have it left out of the ordinary course of the Psalms, on the 28th day of every month?

1718, September 7.—I have just now received the honour of a letter from your Lordship dated from Wimpole, but without any specification of month or day, unless your Lordship says in it that you had been there above a fortnight. If your Lordship means by that expression somewhat short of three weeks, this letter must have been written some time ago, and ought to have been with me sooner. I believe your Lordship will think that I have expected to hear from your Lordship for these many posts, as you are pleased to say you did from me, especially since in the last I had the honour to write to you I had begged the favour, though of one line only, to let me know by the first post after you came down how you all got thither. I must confess I have been often at a loss, not to say uneasy, in my own thoughts for the reason of your silence. My only comfort was from my innocence, not being conscious, upon the strictest search of myself, of any possible occasion that I could have given for it. I was thinking once or twice to have enquired the reason of it, but the respect I thought due from me to you restrained me from it. Since I could not but judge your forbearing to write to imply in it a forbidding of me to do so. I think I may as safely venture to stand the charge of forgetfulness of friends as the last Duke of Buckingham thought he could that of covetousness. I have commonly had the opposite imputation, and perhaps not without some reason, laid to me, of being too troublesome to my friends. So much for myself. And as to your Lordship, if there were any heterogeneous humours stirring in you, though I cannot possibly tell how there should, I hope air and exercise have worked them off, and so let us begin a new score.

Your letter came in the very nick, for to-morrow morning I move towards Baginton. I had been there a fortnight ago, if I had not waited for intelligence of the motions of a friend of yours, which you well know are not very certain. At last I was told they were further off now than they were a month ago from being determined, and I was desired to wait no longer. I hope to be at home on Tuesday, or at farthest on Thursday se'nnight. At my return, I shall be able to give you an account of our friend's health, which is not, I am afraid, yet so well as you and I wish it. I expect to meet the Bishop of Chester there, in his return. I believe he will call there on Thursday, but we shall not return to Oxford together. The Bishop strikes off to Northamptonshire, to Fawsly, and proposes not to be here till Saturday se'nnight. I bless God he continues extremely well. I shall bring with me from Baginton, C. King's age and the day of his death. I shall meet with it there, or can send for it when I am there from Aston. The Bishop of Bristol has had an ugly mischance since he was at Bristol, his foot caught in a mat, and he was thrown headlong down a pair of stone stairs. I bless God he escaped with a bruise only of his left arm, he has not yet recovered the use of that arm, and I believe he will call at Bath as he returns, and pump it.

Your uncle called on me as he went home, and told me, much to my satisfaction, that all your affairs will be ready for the opening of the session.

My most sincere respects to the worthy Provost of King's when you see him. Does your Lordship hear anything of 1,500*l.* *per annum* fallen to Bentley by his Archdeaconry?

Have you seen a poem called the Oxford Toasts—if you have not, send for it, it is said to be written by a young poet Whig of St. John's, one Dive or Drive [Dry?]. Tom Rowney young and old and old madam herself are in, upon account of poor Mrs. Perry that lives with them. It is a piece of the most impudent scurrility that was ever published.

[1718,] September 19.—I returned home on Wednesday. I have been out of order since the day I set out, and am so still, but my complaint is such as I hope will soon wear off without any prejudice to me. I found our friend better than I expected, he looks as well as ever he did in his life. He eats well and sleeps well, but his pain in his side continues, it lately returned with such violence that he was forced to bleed, and it continued great too, after bleeding, though not at the height it was before; it began to abate again before I left him. If it be only what his physicians say, a weakness in the muscle, it will give him trouble upon every little cold, but no further damage. He desires [his most] hearty respects to you.

Sir Charles Holt knows not the precise age of Charles King, and that was the reason that no mention was made of it in the epitaph. But Sir Charles thinks our friend was in his 59th year when he died, on March 11, 1712[-3].

[1718,] September 24.—Charles King's monument is set up in Aston church. It is a village within a mile of Birmingham, where Sir Charles Holt's seat is.

The Bishop of Chester returned to us on Saturday, as plump and jolly as ever I knew him.

I take Mr. Bromley to be out of all danger as to life, but I wish his pain in his side may not disable him from attending public business. If it cannot be removed, and be liable to be increased upon every cold, I am afraid he will not be able to live in town, or if he could bear the town, would not be able to attend the Parliament.

I hear nothing of Neddy, it is not I assure you with my approbation that he stays so long in the country, but my opinion has not been asked, and I must not take upon me to offer it unasked, that might look like taking upon me to direct. I am told he stays till your father comes into the country, if so, we shall not see him yet in some weeks.

I hear old madam is moved at last. I congratulate you all upon it, she stood her ground and disputed it notably. You will now I suppose soon see somebody at Wimpole.

Mr. Coke of Norfolk, and his young lady, your cousin, were here whilst I was at Baginton. We are told they went to see Blenheim, and that the Duchess of Marlborough, who was there at that time, sent them word they should not see it.

1718, October 8.—It is as welcome [news] here as it can be at Cambridge, and I must own for my own part that I take a particular and I hope very innocent pleasure in hearing that an insolent knave [Dr. Bentley?] has been humbled. I beg your Lordship in your next would do me the favour to let me know who the six Heads were that were assessors to the Vice-Chancellor in an action of so much spirit as well as justice.

I believe there is no ground for what your Lordship has heard, of an order from Court to stop Hearne's prosecution. The Court can have no pretence or authority to interpose in such an affair, nor should we submit to it. But I am to think that Hearne's prosecution is dropped, but that will not do his business. The inhibition to print here continues still, perhaps too that may be removed under the new Vice-Chancellor.

Yesterday Dr. Shippen entered upon the Vice-Chancellor's office, he had some things in his speech that were more remarkable for their bravery than their discretion, and they might as well have been left out.

Lady Bolingbroke about two months ago thought she found some return of her stomach; she just tasted a little for two or three days of some odd salt meats, but her stomach flagged again, and now it will not bear asses' milk. She keeps her bed altogether, and I do not think that she can last three weeks longer.

[1718,] October 15.—I most heartily thank you for your account of Bentley, it is of more use to me than the private satisfaction I have from it. It gives me not a little credit in this place, where every one is eager to know the event of this affair, but no one has any account of it. It can pardon the Duke of S[omerset] a great many things for his interposing on this account. It is truly very handsomely done, and worthy of the office he holds. It is an obligation that ought to be gratefully owned by the university, it effectually screens them from higher powers, and I take poor Bentley's cause to be desperate. I know not whether a submission to one of his temper may not be a sorer evil than the loss of his professorship.

This place enables me not at present to make any returns to you, but I am going this day to dine with a pretty near friend of yours at Woodstock. I am amazed at his journey, at this season, and when his stay must be so short. The lady to whom he is going may truly be called the magnetic lady, who can attract in such weather and at such a distance. But yet, you and I should, I believe, think her such a one.

Your true steady friend Sir. W. Giffard has been for some time under a miserable fit of the gout, such a one as the old swash buckler never had before.

I know not whether I told you that John Keill had 200*l.* from Lord Carnarvon for his dedication, and he has laid out good part of it on his lately betrothed. He has bought her a gold watch, a white satin gown and petticoat, and a crimson nisdale, laced on the cape and down the sides with a broad galloon. Had she been an honest woman when he married her, I am afraid he would not have done so much for her.

Alsop is at last certainly gone to Rotterdam, which I take to be the completion of his ruin.

[1718,] October 22.—I most heartily thank you for your full and exact account of the proceedings against Bentley. I reckon the breach to be now as irreparable as betwixt his Holiness and the Gallican church. I am apt to think the Court will not [interpose?] at this juncture, though no doubt he will represent his persecution to proceed not only from the Tories, but the Walpolians too, which would be more likely to engage some of the ministry for him, but I suppose it must be brought into Westminster Hall, either by Bentley or the new professor. Who is likely to be chosen in his room?

Your blanket went up by the waggon yesterday directed to Mr. Thomas. I hope it is such as you will like.

I might not perhaps express myself clearly, but I meant only that if the Vice-Chancellor should adjourn the Court to Trinity College, he would do what I thought beneath him; I did not suppose he would do so. I own they have acted like men of mettle, and will retrieve the credit they had lost by their trimming conduct, to say no more of it, for some years past.

It was not our fault that Chaucer was not printed in the black letter, the Bishop of Bristol insisted long and heartily with Lintot upon it, but he also lately refused to go on with it on that condition.

Have you amongst your extraordinary collection a poem written by Scipio Capricius *de Principiis rerum*, in imitation of Lucretius' style? It is not ill done. It is at the end of Contarenus *de Elementis*. I ask because I never saw but one of them, which I have got by chance.

1718, October 27.—I know not whether I shall be the first that gives you notice of poor Lady Bolingbroke's death. She died on Friday morning about eight o'clock. Her father-in-law and his family, Mr. Packer and his wife, were with her. When her will was opened, it appeared that she had given all that she had left to herself, and had not before given to her husband at his leaving England, to Packer's second son, her godson, except legacies to servants.

Lord Harcourt has been in town these five days; he returns to-morrow to Cockrop. He has been very gracious in his visits; this morning he paid visits to the Bishops of Bristol and Chester. I take this for a certain sign that he is upon ill terms with Ruff, though no doubt he has some further aims too in this.

[1718,] October 29.—I thank you for the copy of the “Bedle’s Deposition.” By the Vice-Chancellor’s dismissal it seems plain that the Court will concern themselves in this [Bentley’s] affair. The ferment will certainly be high upon it, and it is not easy to guess the consequences; they may be of an extraordinary nature. By all means get Mr. B[romley] to Wimpole, it cannot be much, if at all, out of his road home. I am very glad to hear he can bear such a journey. He[a]rne’s affair is at last ended. Lord Arran wrote a letter to desire that upon his submission he might be forgiven and have leave to go with his book. The perverse fellow’s stomach is come down; he has made a submission. He says it is nothing more, or very little more, than he offered to the late Vice-Chancellor at first, but by what I hear it must be more than I could have compounded the business for. I shall insult him sufficiently upon this submission; he is now *rectus in curia*, and has leave to go on with “Neubrigensis.”

The Attorney had a copy sent to him of Lady Bolingbroke’s will; he expressed mighty concern for the Lord, and blamed the Lady in pretty coarse terms for not leaving every farthing she could to him. All that ever she had to leave in the world I am certain must fall short of 3,000*l.*, and it is all that she has given to her family out of all the fortune she brought. I am no ways bribed to speak for the poor lady, for she paid not what her husband owed me, and now I may give it for gone. By the Attorney’s great civilities to our bishops, I take him and Ruffian to be broken.

Your public reasons for not coming into Parliament are, I think, over. You may indeed have private ones, which would not appear for the county where you are. You may be afraid it would expose your retirement to too much company. I could wish you were in Parliament if it were on terms you liked. Since you decline it, I hope Mr. Bacon will be pitched on; my hearty respects to him and to Mr. Prior.

1718, October 31.—I long to hear whether your neighbours go on to choose a new professor. If they stick at that it will look as though they were afraid lest they had made some false step.

If the Court come in it will be upon a supposition that this has been carried on by Townshend’s and Walpole’s party, and the ministry may be likely to prosecute it more fiercely upon that suspicion than if Tories alone were supposed to be concerned in it. We hear from town that the Chancellor begins to relent a little. How true this is I know not.

Our bumpkins in this country are very waggish and very insolent. Some honest justices met to keep the Coronation day at Wattleton, and towards the evening when their worships were mellow they would have a bonfire. Some bumpkins upon this got a huge turnip and stuck three candles, and went and placed it at the top of a hill just over Chetwynd’s house, who has bought that which was lately Stonor’s, near Wattleton. When they had done they came and told their worships that

to honour King George's Coronation day a blazing star appeared over Mr. Chetwynd's house. Their worships were wise enough to take horse to go and see this wonder, and found, to their no little disappointment, their star to end in a turnip.

Pray enquire if you can, and let me hear, how the Bishop of Chester's brother-in-law, Mr. Mapletoft of Clare Hall, voted on this late occasion, whether for or against Bentley.

[1718,] November 7.—I am glad your neighbours have such a stock of mettle, it is thought they will have occasion for it. I have heard from Mr. Bromley since his return to Baginton. He is very sensible of your kind invitation, and much concerned he could not wait upon you. But he says the trust he has will give him many opportunities of making you amends. He seems to wish you could have been prevailed on to appear for the county. You forget to tell me who is pitched upon by the gentlemen.

I hear nothing of Neddy, nor consequently of your father, which surprises me not a little. Nor do I hear of any coach ordered down from London, but I shall expect to hear somewhat of them to-morrow night. I must do so if your father and uncle are at the opening of the session, as I cannot but think they will till I see the contrary. I am afraid Mr. Bromley will not be able to go up.

1718, December 2.—I am glad you are all together again. I hope now you are met you will have some regard to your own private business, though none of you have had much to the public, whilst those of you who are in Parliament would not attend it, nor those of you who are out of it stand to come into it. I am hard put to it here to excuse my Lord Harley for letting a Whig [F. Whitchcote] come into the county of Cambridge. Why would not Bacon stand?

One Morris of Jesus, a young master, who has a college curacy in Gloucestershire, preached on Sunday in the afternoon a sermon which gave very just offence. It was all in commendation of Bangorian doctrine, and it was brought very oddly from his text, which was "Let no man despise thee." But he said the exorbitant claims of the clergy were the only reasons of the contempt they met with. Neddy, who was at church, came and brought me in great wrath the first account of it. The Vice-Chancellor demanded his sermon notes. He readily delivered them, and said he could justify what he had said in it. He will certainly suffer what the statutes enjoin, which happens to be very light in this case, only a suspension from preaching, which many will think a reward rather than a punishment. If they who have been rakes with him are to be believed, the fellow is a notorious drunkard. It is certain at his curacy he cohabits with a woman as a man should with his wife, without owning her as such. And yet amongst other things he said no ministration from an ill clergyman could be of any validity. He delivered his sermon with great impudence, and is himself of known impudence on all other occasions.

1718, December 18.—It may be for aught I know to the contrary one of the many peculiar privileges which belong to you great men to expect letters when you answer none. But then you should let us know as much. It would be presumption otherwise in your poor vassals to write to you, till by your answer they are authorised to trouble you again.

It is Christmas time, rosemary and other greens abound, and we are now I think, as my brother South told Ruffian upon a certain address, when he bid him look up to the greens in the church, in a flourishing condition. But is it one of your Christian virtues, now you are so near being out of your own troubles, to divert yourself with the thought that your friends are entering into them? I hope we are prepared not only for this bill and visitations, but for worse too, if it comes. Otherwise we have been Robin Morgan's disciples to little purpose, but I know no one more concerned in interest to stop these things than you are. If I am turned upon the common again, I shall make straight to Wimpole. And I grow old and unwieldy, and if I am once lodged there you will find it very difficult to make me remove and quit my quarters.

You take no notice of what I said about Ruffian, what the town thinks of the late gracious reception he has had at Court.

The small-pox has been very much in town, we have many gentlemen and others of the College who have not had them, but only two have fallen ill, and both, I bless God, are like to do well.

Postscript. Morris appeared yesterday. He refused to sign a retraction which was tendered to him; upon that the Vice-Chancellor suspended him from preaching within the district of the University. The Bishop of Oxford as Professor assisted at the censure. Does this look as though we were afraid of visitations?

[1718,] December 22.—I cry "peccavi,"; you were in the right certainly to complain of my being sparing of my letters, though the balance was on your side.

It is a little hard though (if I may say so) that I must send you from Oxford the reason of some bishops voting at London. I think by the accounts I have had, that L[incoln]'s part has been, as he managed it, the most scandalous. I had yesterday, from undoubted authority, the following story. He has an uncle, one Gibson, who is a man mid-wife, rich, without children, and a dissenter. His uncle came to him last year, when it was thought the bill would have been brought in, and told him that if he did not vote, as he has now voted, he must expect nothing from him. I do not say this was the only reason of his voting as he has done, but it was a good motive to come in aid of the prospect of a better bishoprick. I believe he has outdone what his uncle expected at least, if not what he required. I cannot get one word from you of what is said or thought of Ruff's late reception at Court. But you must let me tell you a merry story that is stirring here. It is said that upon the news of it

John Barber came to him, and asked him seriously whether he left the Chevalier. John desired him to be plain with him, "for," said he, "if your Lordship has left the Chevalier it may be time for me to leave the Kingdom."

Honest Ned is very well, and so good that if, as the good women say, your Lordship had not had my first love, Neddy might have set you hard. I think he is out of danger of being spoiled by any thing, except by his own father or mother.

My duty to my honoured Lady, and most humble thanks for the favour of her letter. Forget me not to my young mistress. A Merry Christmas, and many a one to you all.

[1719,] February 25.—You are beaten, but you have the support of brave men, the conscience of having done your duty, and that you have lost no honour, though you have lost the day. I must own those of my own cloth are grown insupportable and I shall not be surprised, if I should live, to see their truest friends to leave them, and to give them up to the cruel mercies of their enemies.

Here has been a very odd sort of a marriage at Merton, which gives some diversion here. The Warden has two nieces, they are nicknamed by the Fellows, Chloe and Daphne. They were invited on Valentine's day to drink tea at a Fellow's chamber. There were in the chamber, Cowper (Spencer's son), and one Russell another Fellow, in priest's orders, a famous constitutioner. In a frolic, as they now say, the Rev. Mr. Russell would marry the nymph Chloe, and Cowper was to be the priest. Cowper, after he had gone a little way in the office, boggled, and said it was too serious to be trifled with, but the parson and the nymph too called to him to go on. Upon that he took heart and read out every word of the office, the parties each of them answered distinctly and leisurely to their parts. At noon he went to the Fellows in the hall to tell how he had been married. Upon enquiry into the matter, all the grave men gave him joy of his wife. It is now a great question here, whether the marriage be good, much the majority of us are for the affirmative. It is thought parson Cowper too will be called to some account for his share in the farce.

1718-19, February 28.—You are obliged to your own father for the story of the bow. When he did me the honour to give me notice of your bill's passing, he told me how gracefully you made it. I think you come off very cheap with his Majesty.

I heartily thank you for your just rebuke, I shall endeavour to make the proper use of it. Being out of politics, and having strained my eyes with reading, I see not how I can employ myself better than in changing my condition, and in trying what I can make of it, both to amuse myself and to mortify my neighbour. Poor man, his philosophy fails him as well as somewhat else, he flies still like gunpowder at any thing that looks like a remote reflection upon his puissance. I wish for his lady's sake, that so great a tenderness be not a shrewd sign of guilt.

Mr. He[a]rne goes on without interruption in publishing those useful pieces of monkish antiquity, by which he is to immortalize his own name and reform us all. He is still as proper an object as he ever was of your Lordship's great bounty, for he has as high a conceit of himself, and as great a contempt of all others, as little a share of common sense, and as much insolence, as he ever had.

Cardinal Wolsey's statue is come down, and a very fine one it is, but we think it is made to look the wrong way. It looks full upon Dr. Lane's lodgings. If the connoisseurs prove to be of that opinion, we can remedy it, by making it change place with Bishop Fell's.

Postscript. Last Tuesday was a great confusion in St. Mary's. They in the galleries, upon some scholars being driven up and making a noise, thought the gallery falling. They crowded down and bore down those who were coming up. The Doctors and Masters took the fright and all crowded towards the porch. All the benches were overturned, many shins and noses broken. Some poor men were borne down in the passage and trampled on by those who were crowding out; they were much bruised but will do well.

1719, April 9.—I have indeed accounts of what passes at Westminster, but that does not make what come from your Lordship either less kind in you or less welcome to me. The campaign is opened and Ruff has made a very insolent incursion into poor Rob's quarters, but in my poor opinion there is somewhat as mean in what he does as it is insolent. It will be strange if such childish extravagant proceedings do not injure his character. But this is but the prelude, the storm will not be at the height till the election comes, then it will roar, and you will see Ruff, as you truly observe, display himself to the full. I envy your Lordship the diversion—I suppose you intend to be there.

I wish our invasion may not be somewhat akin to the 40,000 Spanish pilgrims in the time of the Popish plot. The account in the last Gazette seems a very blind one, no name either of ship or master from whom the intelligence comes. This story of a return seems only to be to save our own credit, that we may not be thought to have alarmed the nation without any ground. A fleet that was victualled only for twenty days could not be designed for us.

Lord Brooks has won in these two days that are past seven battles out of ten. I think there is some news of moment for you.

1719, May 12.—I am very sensible of the honour of your Lordship's kind invitation to Wimpole. It is the highest instance of mortification that I can practise if I do not accept of it. There are none for whom I have that concern, or to whom I pay the duty due from me, with that pleasure to myself, as to the Lord and Lady of that place. Nor was I ever in a place which, on account of itself, was more agreeable to me than that spot. There is but one objection, which is itself too a temptation, that the way of living there is not so suitable to such a poor

hermit as I am, and it costs much physick and fasting, when I am at home again, to get clear of what I bring with me from Wimpole. These are strong motives to one to accept of your Lordship's generous invitation, but after all I cannot help perceiving that I grow old, and less fit every day either to travel myself or to trouble others. And though it would be impertinent in one, in the insipid life I lead, to pretend to much business, yet some I have too, such as it is, which will not allow me as yet to be positive whether it will be in my power or no to pay my duty to you.

I suppose we shall see the Auditor here in a day or two; a forerunner, a hamper of Cyprus, came hither last night. I want much to see him on Neddy's account. He sent Neddy word that he had lodgings ready for him at Lincoln's Inn against next winter. My lad is afraid lest this is an intimation that he designs at that time to remove him hence. You cannot easily imagine how much this has disturbed him. Should that really be the Auditor's design, it would be the most unaccountable one that ever was in a father, not to permit his son to stay where his behaviour is beyond even what the fondest friend he has could have wished for, and to interrupt that in his child which most other parents strive and pray for to no purpose in theirs. But I will not presume the worst, and if I should find it so will say what I can to divert him from it. But should what I say be ineffectual, I must call in your Lordship's and your father's aid to prevent what will be truly so much to my boy's prejudice, as well as against his inclination.

Mr. Wanley's countenance shall have due honour paid to it when it comes, Neddy desires you would send one for him at the same time. I should be obliged to your Lordship too for a print of Shakespear. I heartily congratulate you upon the rarity you have discovered.

One Triplack, who says he was Lord Morpeth's tutor, came hither for a degree to qualify himself to hold a second living which he is to have from Lechmere. I am no stranger to his true character from other information than what may be discovered from his conversation. But at Decker's desire John Drummond recommended him, and we did him so much service, chiefly by the Bishop of Chester, that in a full house all the Heads and Doctors were for him, but in above 180 he lost it by 12. The masters said they would not enable foreigners to hold two livings, when they cannot get one; even the Constitution club was against him. He says he will try again. I know not but we might carry it, if it were worth while. He saw your Lordship's picture in my parlour, and to show his acquaintance with you said he would write to Lord Morpeth to get your Lordship's letter to recommend him more effectually to me, but I would not let him be so impertinent.

1719, May 14.—Mr. Auditor disappointed us last night, but we hope to see him this evening or to-morrow.

Mr. Garnier has been with me and informed me of your Lordship's generosity to him and kind reception of him. He told me one passage with a smile, and it made me smile too, as an instance of his own dexterity. He said when he was called on for a toast, he named the Bishop of Rochester, I suppose, taking your Lordship for a topping Tory, he thought that would be most acceptable to you.

1719, May 19.—Mr. Auditor came to me about nine on Friday night; he eat a bit, but say what I could, he would go to the inn at eleven at night. He was disturbed in the night, and had but indifferent rest. I cannot say I was sorry for it. He dined on Saturday with the Bishop of Chester; he went on that afternoon to Chipping Norton, and took Neddy with him. Robin was left with me, and has taken up his quarters in your bed. I have had much conversation, both to my diversion and instruction, with my young schoolfellow. I can assure you he does not degenerate; he has very good seeds in him of probity and sense too, and I doubt not but he will be a credit and comfort to you all. Robin rode out yesterday with Mr. Thomas to meet his brother on his return. He rode as far as Heythrop, and came home, you may believe, heartily tired. Neddy came back much easier than he went, his father had told him what had passed betwixt him and me. On Saturday morning I had much talk with the Auditor about Neddy. I found there had been a design, though not fully resolved on, of removing Neddy next winter, but upon what I said to him, he made me a solemn promise that he would never remove him but with my full and free consent. And he told this to Neddy at Chipping Norton, and repeated the promise to him. I suggested one thing to the Auditor, which I thought would be a good compromise, that if he thought it would be any advantage to his son with regard to his study of the law to converse with those learned in it, as soon as possible, he might give him his chambers in Lincoln's Inn, and let him spend two or three months there in the winter, and the rest of the year here. The Auditor seemed very fond of this, and I suppose the business will end in it. He told Neddy he should have his chambers when he would, and spend as much time there, and as much here, as he himself had a mind to. So the affair I hope is settled to all our contents.

1719, May 28.—The person who behaved so basely in the election at Wadham has paid dear for it. He had been induced to it by great promises, some think of the living of Marsh, vacant by the late Warden's death. He went the next day to the new Warden, to claim what had been promised. He was told he should be remembered when there was a proper occasion, but that he was young yet and might stay. News came too that Marsh was disposed of. This affected him so, to find he had lost his credit and was cheated into the bargain, that he locked himself [in] and never has been out since. He began to cry that he had injured an honest man who had been his friend to whom he never could make any amends, that he himself too was cheated. These

thoughts became every hour more tormenting to him, and he is now with two keepers, raging and tied down in his bed. The new Warden and his party keep any one from coming to him, lest secrets should be discovered in his frenzy.

The College has lost probably as much by this election as it is already possessed of. The Primate of Ireland [Lindsay] gave them notice of a very considerable sum, which he would immediately settle on them if Gudlet [Gyllett?] was chosen, which he now designs to dispose of otherwise.

Your father's schoolfellow, Mr. Doyly, sent them word that he had no relations, and was worth 3,000*l.*, which he designed to leave wholly to them upon the same condition, and it is taken for granted he will now think of some other way of bestowing it.

[1719,] June 7.—The person who preached on the 29th May is one Wharton (*sic*), a master of arts of Magdalen, and one who was chosen poetry professor about a year ago. It would be well if his sermon might be looked on as a poetic performance too. I did not hear it, but by the account I had of it it was a very indiscreet and improper one. My boy Neddy shook his head when he gave me an account of it and said he was a very foolish fellow, but I am told it was guarded so that it is thought no hold can be taken of it by the law, but I believe no one that heard it did not think it looked rather forward than backward. But however it is like to make some further noise here.

One Medlicot (Meadowcourt), a constitutioner of Merton, has been with the Vice-Chancellor to complain of it, and said if he could not have justice here, he would apply elsewhere. The Vice-Chancellor has ordered him to bring what he complains of in writing.

Duke Hamilton is here, under a pretence of paying his debts and taking his leave, but I am told he designs to leave part of his debts to be paid at another season. But he has behaved himself very rudely to the Dean since he has been here; he has never been near him, nor cannot be persuaded by anyone to it. The reason he gives is still worse; he says when he came to the "Angel," where he has lived since he has been here, he sent to give the Dean notice that he was in town, and expected the Dean should have visited him first. This reason, bad as it is, is entirely false as to the fact, for he never sent any message to the Dean. Another reason he gives is that the Dean did not invite him to dinner as often as he did other noblemen. This, though not very proper to be urged, was true, but because he never was to be found in the College. The true reason I believe is, because his commons were stopped last Christmas for one day only, upon a general order to stop all who were in arrears for half a year. But after six weeks' notice had been given of the order a message was sent particularly to his governor five days before to give him notice that if one quarter's commons were not paid, his name with others must be stopped on the Friday following, for that it would be impossible for the Treasurer to make an exception of him only. And before the day came, the Treasurer himself spoke twice to the governor, who promised each time that it

should be paid, but did not pay it, till after the commons were sent up on Friday. No notice was taken of this at first, as there was surely no reason there should, but a fortnight after no less men than the Vice-Chancellor, Delaune and the Warden of New College supped with his Grace, and stayed somewhat later than men of their stations use to do with young gentlemen. When they were warm Delaune jested on his Grace for being put out of commons. This has fired him so that he resolved to part with the Dean in this manner, and to complete the business the Vice-Chancellor to-day gave him a doctor's degree, when he was sure the Dean, who should have been the principal person attending on such occasion, could not upon the Duke's behaviour to him be there, and I suppose the degree was designed more as an indignity to the Dean than as a compliment to the Duke. But there was still a greater reason for this Duke's resentment against our governor. The poor Dean is not disaffected enough for him, and politics were at the bottom of all this worthy behaviour, and made use by those who thought it worth their while to put him upon playing so foolish a part. Our young fellows have given him an unlucky nickname, on account of his living at an inn, and not at a College, when he was dubbed Doctor. They have called him Dr. Angelicus, a name given to one famous in his generation, Thomas Aquinas.

1719, June 9.—Neddy writes to you this post, and sends you the charge given in against Mr. Wharton's sermon. The sermon as I am told was in such general words, and so guarded, that though no doubt all contained in the charge was intended, yet I believe the accusers will not be able to bring direct proofs of it. But though the preacher should escape, yet possibly the university may suffer for the sermon, and the judges may be thought not to censure, more for want of inclination to it in themselves than for want of matter for it in the sermon.

1719, June 11.—Had a caution been a security for payment, we might be justly blamed for calling on any one when we had their caution, but our case was as follows. Gentlemen would run beyond their caution, not only one but several quarters, and our arrears amounted to some hundred pounds, which was all out of the College stock, and which if we did not get in, the King our Visitor might oblige us to make it good out of [our] own pockets. We never called for payment till the arrear was so great that the caution would no longer answer it. After half a year due for commons and chambers and buttry, enough of a caution would not be left to answer another quarter on any gentleman commoner's caution. Therefore we were obliged to order that whoever at the end of one quarter did not clear for the preceding quarter should have his name stopped. This allowed every one half a year to pay in, and withal allowed them to be always one quarter in arrear.

This we thought was indulgence enough, we could not allow more with safety to ourselves, and the friends of gentlemen think this commonly too much. When we come to ask them

for debts, they always say we should have made them pay the money when it was due, and not let them squander it on other things. This order was made in the middle of November and put up in the hall. At Christmas we took the names on a Monday of those who had not paid Michaelmas quarter. The Duke's name was there. To prevent any thing that might look reflecting on him, the Treasurer's man was sent to let him know that on Friday next we should be obliged to stop the names of all who had not paid Michaelmas quarter; that it would be impossible for us to make an exception for the Duke out of the general order; that if we should do so, no other nobleman or gentleman would think themselves obliged by it. The governor owned this to be reasonable and said he would pay it. The Treasurer himself spoke twice to the governor betwixt Monday and Friday. He still promised to pay it, but did not until after the commons was gone up on Friday. Whether this was done with a design to have a pretence for a quarrel I know not, but I believe your Lordship will agree that had you been here and been called upon for that from which we at the same time excused any other, you would not have thought yourself bound to obey the House. But this was no new thing, men of as great quality as the Duke of Hamilton have had [their] names stopped and thought it no reflection. But this is to show that what we did was reasonable though we should have had the Duke's caution in our hand. Though in truth he never laid down any caution, and we were so over civil as not to press for it. But though this might have made what was done more reasonable, yet we had no regard to that in our order. But he is gone, and there is an end I believe to this foolish affair. And I have troubled your Lordship with this long account only that you may be apprized of the strict truth if you should chance to hear any talk of it. It will not be for his interest to talk. Should he oblige us to justify ourselves, we must say many things he would wish should be unknown.

1719, June 12.—The bells rang for prayers as I was writing to your Lordship yesterday. I forgot I believe to observe to you that the compliment we paid to the Duke in sending or speaking thrice to his governor, betwixt Monday and Friday, was more than we paid to any other gentleman, who had no other notice than what was put up as usual in the hall. But as I told your Lordship formerly, part of his anger against the Bishop of Bristol was because his Grace thought the Bishop not to be altogether in politics as his Grace would have him. At the time that he behaved so to the Bishop of Bristol, he took care to distinguish the Bishop of Chester by his respects, and was fully of his compliments to him. To make, I suppose, his neglect of the Bishop of Bristol a greater affront to him, another reason of his displeasure to the Bishop of Bristol was the good advice which the Bishop, according to the duty of his place, had from time to time given him, and which the Duke's behaviour called for very often and made but too necessary to him. It seems he lays it

down for a settled rule of his conduct that he will never be controlled or contradicted by any one. He is like to be a wise and happy man, and he had another maxim it seems when he first came hither, and which I assure you he strictly observed, that he would in every thing do contrary to what the Duke of Queensberry had done. You may guess by that what his life was here.

Your Lordship observes truly that persons of the highest quality, especially those who have *victum et cubile* in his college, always use to visit the Dean first, though he were not a bishop. But had the Duke paid a visit to the Dean, I believe it would have been by no means proper for the Dean to have returned it to him at the "Angel" inn, whilst his Grace had his name in the buttery book. But enough of this gentleman; all this is written only for your Lordship's information. I hope we shall hear no more of him, nor have any occasion to let him hear of us.

Pray what is the impression of the seal with which your last was sealed. It seems to be some very valuable "antick." To me it seems to be Cupid showing his finger to Venus after it had been stung with a bee. Won't you give Neddy and me Mr. Prior's print [as] well as the others?

My duty to my ever honoured lady. I hope Mrs. Margaret continues well. It is observed that amongst the streets of new buildings one should have been called Tower Street.

1719, June 16.—I thank you for the good news of Lord Danby. I must have a concern for him, for the sake of his excellent mother, for whose memory I shall always have the utmost respect.

Nothing is yet done about the sermon, nor will be done here. The complainant said he would apply elsewhere, but I do not hear that he has yet done it, nor would he perhaps be much regarded if he should.

We are going on again with our library, as far as 500*l.* will carry it; but that is the utmost we can yet reckon on, both in cash and promise too.

[1719,] June 28.—I suppose this may find your Lordship still in town, having heard nothing of your quitting it. The poor Rector of Lincoln went last week to see the Bishop of Durham [Crewe], and has been seized there with the gout in his head. We expect hourly to hear he is dead. He will be a great loss to that society, and I am afraid we must stay till a new head is chosen before we can get the *Typicon*.

Meadowcourt has laid his complaint against Wharton's sermon before Craggs, the same which he laid here before the Vice-Chancellor. On Friday an express brought a letter to the Vice-Chancellor from De la Fay, by order of the Regents, to require him to proceed against Wharton according to the statutes of the university, to save them the trouble of taking other measures. Yesterday the Vice-Chancellor summoned six Doctors who were present at the sermon to assist him, and sent to Meadowcourt to appear and make good his accusation. He sent them word he

had no more to offer than he had already given in his paper, and bid them pursue the orders they had from the Regents. The Vice-Chancellor summoned Wharton and required his sermon. He said he had it not, nor knew not where it was, and offered voluntarily words made of it. They then drew the general charge of Meadowcourt into seven propositions and gave him till nine o'clock to-morrow morning to answer them. I suppose he will give in as general a denial of them. I believe the Vice-Chancellor has done all that he can, and perhaps more than he was strictly obliged to, but I know not whether it will satisfy above.

One Jans, a clergyman, who had a good living in Oxfordshire, but lived for many years with the late Warden of Wadham in his lodgings, was bound for the late Warden for 300*l.*, and on Monday last arrested for it. He pretended to step only into his bed-chamber to fetch somewhat, and immediately shot himself. He is said too to have been one of the instruments in persuading the fellow that went distracted lately to play the villainous part he did in the late election.

Since I have written, we have had the sad news of the Rector of Lincoln's death.

[1719,] June 30.—Wharton yesterday gave in his answer signed with his own hand. In the preface to it he solemnly disclaims any design of reflecting on the Government, and then gives a round to every particular extracted out of the general charge. How far this will satisfy I know not. I believe they can proceed no further against him. If any thing more is designed, we, not he, I suppose, shall suffer.

The Rector of Lincoln is buried to-night in All Hallows Church, which was raised so much by him. We hope Dr. Lupton will be recommended by the Bishop of Durham to succeed him. When I see who is the new Rector I will apply again for the *Typicon*.

Your old servant Juggins died on Sunday, after being ill three days of a fever. I wish I may be able to send you as good brawn as I used to do, now he is gone.

A brother bookworm of yours, Mr. Bridges, is now in town, and drank your health with me last night.

Postscript. I pray send me two or three of the plans of your new [Cavendish] Square. George Clarke and others beg them of you.

1719, July 2.—We hope Dr. Lupton will be Rector of Lincoln. On Tuesday came on an election for three Fellows at Exeter. The Whigs presumed they should have had a majority, because one of the Tories, who had never had the small pox, was absent; but he lay two miles out of town, and appeared at the election. The votes were equal. Their statutes direct in such a case to call in the Vice-Chancellor. He was sent to and came immediately, and turned the election on the Tory side.

The same day, one Amherst of St. John's, who wrote the "Oxford Toasts," and some more such scandalous pieces, stood to be admitted actual Fellow, but he was rejected by a great majority. They have power of rejecting probationers, without assigning any reason. It is said the fellow glories in it and hopes to find his account in being rejected.

But they were even with them the same day at Wadham, where the new Warden and his party, out of two probationers, rejected one against whom there was not the least exception for either learning or manners. When the poor fellow desired to know of the Warden for what reason he was rejected, the Warden only told him he was too warm. They are now in their visitation at All Souls, but I suppose we shall not know what passes till the Archbishop determines upon the report made to him by the Dean of the Arches. There are mutual accusations of each other by the Warden and Fellows, and interrogatories put to both of them by the Visitor, but we are yet in the dark as to any particulars.

[1719,] July 8.—I am sorry I must tell you that there will be no thoughts of Dr. Lupton at Lincoln. Most of the junior Fellows were pupils to one Mr. Morley, who has been preferred some years in Lincolnshire. They have resolved upon him and the rest are come into them, and they yesterday sent two Fellows with a letter to the Bishop of Durham, to let him know they had pitched upon Mr. Morley, and that they hoped he would be agreeable to his Lordship, not if he should be agreeable. They had done better if they had spared their compliment. It is thought the old Bishop will take it very ill. There are considerable sums designed by him for the College, but not yet settled, which perhaps may now be otherwise disposed of. The old Bishop is much troubled at the late Rector's death, speaks not of him without crying, and sees no company. It is feared these accidents may hasten his death, for his stomach, which has hitherto been good, fails him and is almost quite gone. It is thought the young part of the electors dread Lupton too, as a disciplinarian.

The Dean of the Arches left All Souls on Monday in the afternoon. In the morning he admonished Littleton, Newsom, and Fiddes to go into orders within six months under pain of contempt. He intimated that the Archbishop would for the future rather restrain than increase the number of physicians. This was all he did, nor is it yet known whether the Archbishop will do any more upon his report.

1719, July 11.—I can now tell you the reception the Fellows of Lincoln had from the Bishop of Durham. He asked them, if they were determined to choose Dr. Morley, why they came to him. He said Dr. Morley was a stranger to him, that he was too old to make new friendships, that the late Rector was his friend, that Dr. Lupton was so too, that if his advice was asked he must recommend Dr. Lupton to them, that he did not recommend Dr. Lupton to them for his own sake, that Dr.

Lupton would rather lose by it, but for their own sakes that they might be gainers by choosing him. This last was home indeed, but I cannot yet tell what effect it is like to have; I know what it ought to have.

1719, July 19.—What I feared has happened. The Fellows of Lincoln yesterday chose Dr. Morley. We shall hear I suppose in a few days how the Bishop resents it. Dr. Lupton is with him.

I am a perfect stranger to this new Rector and care not to make any acquaintance with him. I hope Mr. Wanley has some acquaintance here by whom he can borrow the *Typicon* of him. If he has not, I will endeavour to borrow it by some other hand than my own.

Your friend Mr. Bromley was so well as to ride last week by Oxford, not through it, in his way to Lord Stawell's. He rode in one day from his own house to Woodstock. He desired his journey might be a secret to all here, but he was so kind as to give me an opportunity of meeting him at Woodstock; and I shall, God willing, meet him to-morrow night at Abingdon as he returns.

[1719,] July 23.—By a second order I met Mr. Bromley at Woodstock, not at Abingdon. I passed the evening with him on Monday. I cannot say he is quite well, but I bless God much better. For some days he feels nothing of the old pain in his side; when it returns it is much less than it used to be. I hope it will be quite gone before the summer is spent. We remembered your Lordship, he expressed a great sense of the kindness of your invitation of him to Wimpole when he was in Cambridgeshire. He was very sorry he could not then accept it, but believes he shall have an opportunity of waiting on you. He pressed me to go to Warwickshire, but I told him that having excused myself from waiting on your Lordship, upon your very kind invitation, that was a sufficient answer to all other invitations, even to his.

I hear the Bishop of Durham is disobliged to the highest degree with the election at Lincoln. I am not surprised at it.

Your news of the Bishop of London's marriage was surprising. Pray who is the lady like to be happy in his embraces?

I am afraid your Lordship has forgotten an humble request I made to you, of sending me two or three of the plans of your new square. G. Clarke presses me for one of them, and he must have that which I had from Neddy, if I can get no other.

I was in hopes that your last would have fixed the time in which you are to change your quarters. Neddy is very well, but a little impatient to receive his summons. He is pressed and eager enough to go to Eywood, and therefore looks upon every day you stay in town as a double delay to him of seeing Wimpole and Eywood too.

1719, July 30.—I send this by the gentleman who next to your Lordship is the person that is dearest to me. It is with unspeakable satisfaction that I can tell your Lordship that he merits by his virtue the same share in your esteem which he has by his blood in your affection, and that as he is the nearest male relation you have, he is the fittest too, that I know, to be made your friend.

The Bishop of Durham has let Lincoln College know that they must expect no more from him, and that they are much mistaken if they think what he has already settled is irrevocable, and that he had designed them a benefaction of 30,000*l.*, but must now think of placing it elsewhere.

1719, August 2.—The Bishop of London's marriage with Mrs. Cornwallis is confirmed as certain from undoubted hands. Cannot the old man live without a nurse, surely he can want nothing else at his age? But I never heard of his having addressed himself to Mrs. Dolben, nor can I guess how he could have any acquaintance with her or opportunity of offering himself to her. If you have any other grounds for that than a flying report pray let me know them. I have seen Ruff's answer in Chancery and immediately fixed, as every one else does, upon that diabolical part in it to which your Lordship alludes. Whatever it be that he insinuates by that, it must be somewhat that he had in the utmost confidence from Bob, whilst they lived in strict friendship. The villain would now make use of it to ruin Bob. But I believe he will find himself disappointed. Bob writes us word that he has no apprehension of any thing from that clause, but the villain has showed his good will, and I am much obliged to him, for he takes effectual pains to make good all that ever I have said of him, for which I have sometimes been hardly thought of.

The new Rector of Lincoln has been to wait on the Bishop of Durham, but was received so very roughly by him as to give too much reason to fear that that great benefaction will be lost to the College.

1719, August 28.—Your Ruffs and Reeves are delicious food indeed, too good for such poor mortals as we are. But it is very good in your Lordship to give one a taste of what you enjoy, and shows me too what I have lost by not being at Wimpole. I am sorry to hear your new library was so calculated so much short of your stock of books as not to be able to hold half of them. It is a sign you did not know your own riches; one may apply to your *Bibliothèque* what was said of Lucullus's wardrobe, "*Exil domus est, ubi non et multa supersunt et dominum fallunt et prosunt furibus.*"

You will be forced to have recourse at last to what I once suggested of turning your greenhouse into a library.

I am very heartily concerned for poor Dr. Heathcot's death and more for the sad manner of it. I am concerned on my Lady's account as well as on the doctor's. I wish her Ladyship may meet with one she can trust as much and as safely.

I hope to see your father here in a little time, as he passes by. I have this day written to Mr. Bromley, to give him a meeting at the Proto's. God willing, Monday shall be celebrated here in the best manner I can. Tockay shall be poured out to my Lady's and your Lordship's health, out of half a dozen pints, the only stock I was ever master of.

1719, September 21.—I most heartily thank your Lordship for your kind visit, chiefly for your stay after my Lord O[xford] was gone.

Your bounty to Mr. Hearne was, as usual, very large, if we may judge of it by its effects. He went on Friday about one to Antiquity Hall and stayed there, with Rance his printer only, till one next morning. He lost no time whilst he was there, but plied the black pot fairly, and would let no health be drunk during the whole time, but Lord and Lady Harley, junction not division, he drinking it to Rance, and Rance returning it to him. I suppose your Lordship may be now in a fair way for a dedication.

[1719,] September 23.—The Bishop of Chester it seems was got to Eywood on Thursday last. I suppose he is now at Brampton. I thank God I can tell you that Mr. Thomas is quite well again. I hear the keeper of the ducks [Lord Dartmouth] met your Lordship about Thame; he has brought two sons to Magdalen. I wonder who directed him thither; he could not have pitched upon a more unlucky place for breeding up his sons in his own political sentiments. If the youngsters suck in the air of that seminary they will never be hopeful.

Postscript. The knave He[a]rne has another insinuation, as he thinks, against us, p. 794 in his "Spicilegium," for letting a piece of ground that had been grazed about two hundred years be ploughed up. I wonder what put him upon picking quarrels with us, who have been friends to him, but not of the highest rank indeed. We have not done enough for him to merit our being abused by him.

1719, September 30.—I came home last night to attend my poor friend [Smalridge]'s funeral. He found the pain he had so long complained of in his breast to increase about ten at night on Saturday. The doctor was called, and bled him plentifully. He rested tolerably that night, he awaked at six, and heard the clock strike, and asked the maid who watched by him what o'clock it was. She answered six. On which he said, then I thank God I have had a good night's rest. He turned about and without fetching a groan he died immediately. By the manner of his death, his distemper must have been a polypus in the heart.

My brethren who were on the spot immediately sent to the Bishop of Oxford to desire he would use his interest to be Dean, but he positively declined it. I believe he is not fond of it, but I believe too he thinks he could not have it if he desired it. Then they desired Dr. Egerton, who readily complied with them, and

went this day to town to push his interest. He is surely not *par negotio*, but I believe he would be better for us than any other that would be likely to be sent.

I am afraid the poor man that is gone has left his family but in indifferent circumstances.

[1719,] October 2.—I have already had a very kind, sensible letter from Neddy to condole with me upon our loss. I have nothing to say about it more than what your Lordship had in my last. We hear nothing yet of a successor, nor do I believe we shall in some weeks. I am not altogether in so much pain for the poor deceased family as I was when last [I wrote?]. I believe when goods are sold and every thing scraped together there may be a subsistence, though still scanty enough.

1719, October 5.—I am very much obliged to you for your great concern for us upon our present circumstances. I am not a little pleased to find that your thoughts exactly agree with my own. I had the same fears your Lordship has, and in answer to Neddy I wrote largely about them. I made it plain to him that he could suffer no further by this loss than in the advantage he had from the Bishop's own conversation. That he would still have the same tutors and the same friends to converse with, that no Dean that came could any ways affect him or his studies. That as he was now a bachelor, he would be under no obligations to have any correspondence with one whom he might not perhaps like. That any thoughts of leaving us would carry with them an unkind reflection on his other friends, as though there were none left who were either able or willing to serve him.

I have told your Lordship in my former letters all I know as yet about a successor. We may perhaps have one who may want somewhat of that little good which was in Ruff, but we can never have any who has so much ill in him. To your father's honour be it spoken, no ministry that hates, nor any that loved us—if such a thing could now be—can send us either so bad or so good a Dean as he did.

We hear the children of Edom rejoice, and cry "Down with it, down with it, even to the ground." But perhaps they may be disappointed in some measure. We begin to recover of her (*sic*) fright. I believe none of our gentlemen will have any thoughts of leaving us. Whoever comes will not make many converts amongst the present set, and it may require more time than may come to his share to raise up a new generation. I fear more for the Chapter than the students. Some of our brethren are old and drooping. I am likely to be here in a comfortable post.

[1719,] October 9.—I hope this will meet you returned from your ramble. The Bishop of Chester came home on Wednesday; they have crammed him in Herefordshire. He is more plump and jolly than ever I knew him in my life.

The circumstances of the house of mourning in my neighbourhood are, as I suspected, indifferent enough. They will be obliged to make money of all they can. The poor man had not

many books of value ; those which are most likely to bring a little money are in the enclosed note, which they will part with if they can privately, some to one, some to another, as friends may happen to want them, at easy reasonable rates to be set on them by some one who knows books. I believe your Lordship has all of them. There is an edition of the Councils, which if you have not already will be worthy of your library. It is the Louvre edition, perfectly fair, and nobly bound. The edition too of Cyprian by Fell, which is now extremely scarce, is in large paper, and entirely fair and clean.

We yet know not our fate, but as far as our information yet goes, we have most reason to expect Bolter will be our governor.

[*List of about sixty works on various subjects enclosed.*]

1719, October 13.—I have secured the Councils and Cyprian for you, if you like the prices when they are set, which I suppose will be reasonable. I did not think you could have occasion for any of the other books. I believe the widow has no mind to part with Devigne's prints, though if she would take my advice she should ; but they being a present from a Royal hand she seems fond of keeping them. The good man indeed could not have laid up large fortunes for his children, but things might have been better than they are, if the wife had had a little more management.

Postscript. The Bishop of Durham has settled what he had further designed for Lincoln College upon the University, with a reserve to himself to appoint by deed or will the uses of it.

1719, October 28.—We now know our fate, Bolter has both the deanery and bishopric, and we expect him here in the beginning of the next week. How he will behave we cannot yet guess, but I believe by some accounts I have that he will be more a party man than is commonly thought.

The Prince has given the widow and family of our late governor a pension of 200*l.* per annum. Dick Hill was the chief instrument in procuring it.

The late Dean had many volumes of pamphlets, in which pamphlets of very different kinds often happened to be bound up together, which he had bought by chance together many years ago. Perhaps there may be amongst them some old pamphlets hard to be met with which your Lordship may desire to have. A catalogue is taken, but it is too big to send by the post ; I will send it to you by Mr. Thomas when he goes up.

1719, November 2.—The "Louvre Councils"* are valued at 45*l.*, the Cyprian at 2*l.* 10*s.*, I think neither unreasonable. If you like the prices the books may be left with me and sent to you at leisure, as you shall order. You may pay in the money to Dr. Freind when you go to town.

The late Dean had two pictures which were thought good ones, one was brought from Italy by Mr. Nelson and left in his will to the Dean, the other was done by some "Flanderkin." You may have the pre-emption, if you please, and they shall be left at Dr. Freind's for you to see.

* Described in the List above referred to as "Conciliarum omn. General. et Provincial. Collectio Regia. 37 Vol. Paris, 1644. Bound in red Turkey leather. Gilt on the back and sides and leaves, and lettered on the back."

Mr. Clarke desired me to enquire whether you had received the letter he sent to you, with those verses of Mr. Prior not printed in his book. You will be pleased to take notice, if you have received it, either to him or me. I have tried Mr. Clarke about the letter, but I find he cares not to give any copy of it. I cannot much blame him. I believe you would do so if you were in his case, but he says he will take care when he dies that the original shall be preserved.

Yesterday [Queen's] College was consecrated by the Metropolitan of York, their Visitor.

Postscript. I suppose you hear a new war is commenced betwixt Ruff. and the Chapel vestry about the nomination to that Chapel.

1719, November 6.—The election at All Souls was ended last night at nine o'clock, the very last moment of time they had left to choose in. Three are chosen, and a devolution made to the Archbishop for the fourth vacancy. Brotherton of Balliol was chosen upon the first scrutiny on Tuesday morning, then the Fellows insisted to have Stephens—son of the honest gentleman in Parliament—Anderson and Jenkinson of our House, brother to Sir Robert Jenkinson. The Warden offered to agree to the two first, but said he could not in conscience—and he had too much reason for it—agree to Jenkinson, because he had appeared so very ill upon the examination. The Warden [would?] agree to one Thomas—who was of our House—that had appeared the best of all the candidates, instead of Jenkinson, but the majority of the Fellows insisted for Jenkinson. The Warden then offered to choose Stephens and Anderson, without coming to a scrutiny for the last vacancy, but the party would have all three or none. At nine last night, which was the last moment they had left for choice, two of the Fellows came over to the Warden, Tindall and Newsom, and made a majority for the choice of Stephens and Anderson. The fourth vacancy is devolved to the Archbishop, but the Fellows design to insist upon the choice of Jenkinson as valid, in order to deprive the Warden of his negative, but I believe they can make nothing of that point.

Our new governor came to us yesterday, and was installed this morning. He desired to have a private installation, and gives us an 100*l.* to our buildings, instead of the treat which the Deans are used to give in the hall upon such occasions. Nothing extraordinary or remarkable has happened yet. All hitherto is fair and easy and, for any thing that appears yet, may continue so. At least it will be so for some time, for he leaves us on Monday and designs not to be with us again till the end of the spring.

Your old tutor is the veriest poltroon that surely ever was in such a place. It is impossible to express the mean, servile court which he makes to the new comer, who has not only sense enough to see it, but, if we are not all mistaken, to laugh at him too heartily for it.

1719, November 11.—Our new governor was recommended by the present ecclesiastical junto, whose recommendations only are regarded as to preferment, viz. Norwich, Sarum, Gloucester, Lincoln. We are told he received orders to wait on those four bishops and thank them, and that he was expressly forbidden to take any notice of the Archbishop of Canterbury. Accordingly he never waited on the Archbishop till the day before he came hither, when he could not avoid it, in order to know the time of his consecration. It is said too the Archbishop by way of rebuke told him that he was surprised to see him, for that the public news had given him reason to think that he had gone to Oxford. His Grace is said to be more affected with this slight, in relation to the preferment of his own college and the manner of putting it on him—such was the grossest that could be—than any other affront he has had. But his resentments I believe are valued much as they deserve to be.

I must not detain you from Calphurnia's conversation ; that will be full employment for you whilst she stays.

[1719?] November 11.—I believe all people agree in making the same reflections upon the late disgrace of some chaplains. I believe one of them expected another sort of return to this deal. As to myself I can only say *solamen miseris socios dē*. though I think we were used with more tenderness and had not our disgrace notified with so much solemnity to the world. We expected upon this and have found accordingly another royal prorogation of the Convocation to the 14th of February. The Archbishop has given our Governor notice of it, declaring himself to have no hand in it.

[1719,] November 13.—Lord and Lady Foley called here on Wednesday night in their way to London. His Lordship designs his premier for us next Whitsuntide, and has desired me to have him entered immediately. I have recommended Mr. Thomas for a tutor to him. If he talks of it to you, when you see him in town, take care to second me.

Postscript. You will rejoice with me for Ruff's defeat yesterday in Chancery upon moving for a dissolution of the injunction.

1719, November 16.—I most heartily thank you for the enclosed in your last. Mr. Prior has at last met with a subject worthy of him, and the best pen has paid due homage to the greatest example not only of her quality, but of her sex too.

The books [the Louvre Councils, &c.] are here for you. Would you have them sent to your house in town? You may pay in the money to Dr. Freind at your convenience.

The picture brought from Italy by Mr. Nelson is a Madonna, the other is a half length of some Walloon general or admiral. No price is yet set on the pictures, nor do they know how to value them. If you like them I suppose you will have them at a reasonable rate. They will be left at Dr. Freind's for you to see them.

1719, November 23.—The little captain of Stoke took a bed with me on Saturday night, and went away again by six on Sunday morning in order to be at the opening of the session. I believe you think we remembered. He could not tell me when our friends in those parts design to change their quarters.

We have heard of the address you mention ; it is mean indeed. I should be glad to hear how it is relished by their own corporation. I should think that the promoters of it must lose many of their own friends by it. How was it carried ? Was it a surprise ? Was it proposed without giving notice of it before ? I would gladly know too whether it proceeded from themselves, or whether they were put upon it by their Chancellor. The last, though no sufficient excuse, would be the best that could be made for them.

1719, November 30.—Poor John Hudson died on Friday morning about six. Mr. Hall of Queen's had declared he would accept the place if chosen, but declared at the same time that he would never ask for it. But upon Dr. Hudson's death he was prevailed on to canvass publicly for it, but he was too late. The generality were set for Bowles the under librarian, an industrious young fellow, but too young for that place, for he had but this term two terms given him to enable him to take his master's degree. I was and all my brethren but the Sub-dean, and most of the House, on the losing side, as usual, being by virtue of our oath for merit. The Sub-dean left us at Delaune's instance, and would not call the House together to desire them to agree on their votes, which they were ready to have done if he would have proposed it to them. There could be no pretence of comparison betwixt the competitors, but the only objection pretended to Hall was that he had kept close in his chambers for some years, and would not attend the duty of the place.

I hear Ruff. has lately written a letter to the Bishop of St. David's in which he has been pleased to be very free with your father.

1719, December 24.—Our friend [Lord Oxford] is not at all to be blamed for his present stay. He could have done nothing in the House of Lords had he been there. His stay was concerted too with all his friends, even with Mr. Bromley, who had not intended to have been up till after Christmas, if the unexpected stop that was given to the Peerage Bill in the House of Commons had not given his friends an opportunity to press him to come.

The person in custody is not much to be blamed neither upon that account ; he thought and his brother too, as well they might, that no stop could have been given to the Bill. They did not think others would have been so mad as to have pushed it so, if they had not been sure of carrying it. When he [Lord Oxford] heard of the check given to it he would have come up if he had had time ; but I own to you that it will be impossible to convince the generality that there was not some other end in it. And Mr. Bromley sent me word that no excuse would be admitted for him, but the taking of him into custody was the jest of the

House. I did not like that. But you may depend upon it that our friend has not yet done with politics, I take him to be deeper in than ever, from inclination as well as engagement. He might indeed have made use of this juncture had he been on the spot, and others would have been ruled by him. But I take the true reason of his stay to be because those who should will not be directed by him, or put themselves under him again. His spirit is too great to be concerned on any other terms. He lived last winter by himself, and his brother could not bring them to act under any regular conduct. Mr. Bromley, who was the only man who could have had influence on them in that case (though I question whether he could have had enough), was not able then to be in town. This I take to be the chief reason of our friend's rustication, he stays till necessity brings people to that which reason cannot. But though he could not have stopped a late bill had he been in town, yet he might have made advantage of the defeat. He might at least have kept the Tories from hearkening to the offers that are made them. As it is, I believe very few will bite. But Harcourt is very busy and I believe has assurance of being employed if he could prevail with any number of Tories to come in with him; but I believe he will meet with few that either like what he proposes or him. He was very early at Mr. Bromley's lodgings and called there several times, but the other would never be seen. This betwixt ourselves.

The Savage you mention had a title from Ruff. to be his chaplain, and promises of kindness from him, but has been so much disappointed by him that he has little dependence on him or respect for him.

I know little of Dr. Hudson's will, only I hear he has left to University College library those of his books which they wanted, and then to Bodley what is wanting there, and the remainder to be sold; but I will enquire further about them and his papers.

Certainly some bill was designed about empowering the King to visit us if the Peerage Bill had passed. And bills too of more moment than that against us would in all likelihood have been brought in; but I believe they would have found it difficult to have formed any bill against us so as to have answered their end and not to have made all the property in England precarious. But we are safe for this session, Lord Harcourt assures us we are so.

I thank your Lordship for your news about Trinity, and heartily wish a visitation may go on there. But we hear Bentley has at last prevailed with those scoundrels that depend on him to agree that the charges of the last visitation on both sides shall be borne by the College, which will bring a burden on the College of 1,300*l*. There should be a visitation for that only had they no other grievances.

You put a hard question to me when you ask me who can influence the Bishop of L[incoln]. For the present I can only say, his interest, and consequently any one in power. But I will talk with the Bishop of Chester and some others here who know him well, and endeavour to send you a more particular answer by the next post.

The Bishop of Chester is most heartily at your Lordship's service. If there be no dissolution—of which there is much talk—he will be up against the next meeting of the Houses.

Postscript. I am glad to hear you have used so much exercise this winter. John tells me you have been out with the dogs—once.

Our Tories would do no more than vote against the bill. They would not speak against it nor come into any address against those who advised it. Their pretence was, lest they should do a kindness to T[ownshen]d and Walpole. If that be real it is wrong judged, should this ministry go out I do not believe the King would take on the others, he would think that putting himself into Hopeful's hands. I believe the true reason of the Tories was because they think the present [Ministers?] either are, or must be at last, inclined to another interest. Were that the case, it would still have been most advisable to have pushed them. That would not have lessened, but rather increased, their necessity of looking out elsewhere. It would have embarrassed affairs much. It would in all likelihood have forced the King to have broke with the Parliament, which was the thing they should have aimed at; for I do not believe he would have parted with his ministers, because he knows not where else to go.

I leave Neddy to speak for himself. I can give you [no?] farther account of what Ruffe wrote to the Bishop of St. David's but that it was somewhat intimating that he supposed your father had his reasons for not coming up. Your father only said Ruffe had been free with him, but he owed no account of his staying to such fellows as he.

1719, December 28.—I have consulted the Bishop of Chester and some others here, who knew L[incoln] well. All agree that no other answer is to be given to your question but what I have given already. If you can meet with any one power to speak to him, it will do; your uncle Sunderland could do it effectually. If the favour desired is for any honest Tory, it is to no purpose to ask it, unless some Whig will apply for it. His uncle, Dr. Gibson the physician as he calls himself, though I think only a surgeon, could do any thing. He lives in Hatton Garden, and is to make the other his heir to a considerable estate. He married Dick Cromwell's daughter.

[1719,] December 30.—I will not be positive as to the reasons of our friend's present conduct, but I believe that which I suggested is at least one motive to it. Perhaps present impracticability may induce him to suspend other thoughts, but I fancy they are only suspended, not laid aside; but of this more when we meet.

As to the last thing I mentioned, that some gentlemen would only be on the defensive, and not engage in the offensive part, I am very positive. Could I show you the authority, which I have now by me for what I write, you would immediately agree with me.

Have you seen, but why should I doubt it, Steele's public recantation of all he has written against your father? It is a

very remarkable one, and a very handsome one, and I think he deserves the pardon he begs. I take it after all to be a sort of upbraiding of the present powers, for not having done more for him, who for their sakes had treated so ill your father, who had dealt so generously by him when he was a professed enemy. But be his motive what it will, the thing is right, and certainly galls others more than any thing would have done that had been written directly against themselves.

I expect Robert Freind here to-night. I shall then know how things stand at Westminster. My duty to my honoured Lady, and many happy new years to you both.

[1720,] January 20.—You may be sure you shall be served before any one else, except your own father; I have no tie upon me from him. From what I know myself of the boy [Bateman], I doubt not but he will do as well as any brought in by my brethren. I will therefore, without more ado, put him upon the roll to-morrow, but advise his mother to keep him at school as long as Dr. Freind thinks it proper.

Bishop of Bangor is made a justice of peace in the new commission for the city of Oxford.

What think you of the Attorney's going to France? Either he or his son is going, and speedily too, but I think it is the Attorney himself. For what end is the question.

1719-20, January 24.—I shall deliver the book to He[a]rne with the charge you give. Possibly this book may bring him to me, though I have invited him many times since the book came out in which he abused us he has always made an excuse and declined coming. I suppose his guilt made him afraid of some just rebukes.

You will place any respect on Sir W. Giffard very well. His sincere respect and, if I may use an improper word, fondness for you, give him a very good title to your favour. I suppose if you have him you will have the Triumvirate, G. Clarke and little T. R[owney]. All are very sincerely your servants. Sir William returns this week.

Postscript. How come Lord Lewisham and his brother to be sent to Magdalen? I thought their father had been a sharper fellow. He knows little of that place I perceive.

1720, January 31.—The present controversy about the play house is entertaining indeed, and fit for so great a hero as Sir Richard [Steele] against so mighty a Prince as His Grace of N[ewcastle]. This place is dull and barren, and cannot afford such momentous quarrels to entertain you with.

1720, February 2.—When I give your Lordship notice of any misfortune that befalls us, I am sure I write to one who heartily condoles with us for it. We had one here this morning. It is usual for our choristers to put out Christmas, by burning the greens in the hall, the day before Christmas [*sic*, Candlemas]. They did so yesterday at noon, for it was fast night and no fire in the hall. Some of the sparks of the greens had lodged them-

selves in the lanthorn of the hall, which was as dry as touchwood, and I believe too as rotten. Nothing appeared till this morning about five o'clock, when the lanthorn was all on fire, and no other part of the hall either inside or outside. We were in great confusion, and our governor Townsend, who should have directed all for us, happened to be out of town. It was a strong north-west wind, but I bless God we quenched it, with the loss of that part of the roof on which the lanthorn stood, and of the breadth of a window on each side of the lanthorn. No other part of the hall is damaged in the least, and all the rest of the roof entire. I cannot yet estimate our loss. I hope it may be within 400*l.*, but I speak by mere guess. I had not much of sleep last night before the alarm came, and rising on that, and the hurry since, have made me scarce able to hold my pen, but I was resolved to write somewhat.

1720, February 3.—Be pleased to send the enclosed by to-morrow's post to your father, either under your own cover, or otherwise as you think it will go safest. It contains nothing but an account of our misfortune, which I thought myself bound to send him.

Our damage is greater than I at first thought. Upon the best survey that can yet be made it will be about 600*l.* Townsend tells us it is one of the most curious roofs in England, for the work of it. The curious parts are all preserved, or where damaged, not so much but that they may be repaired.

Enclosure.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1719-20, February 3.—The concern your Lordship has always been pleased to have for us, makes me presume to trouble you with an account of a misfortune we have had. You will be pleased too to hear that it is not altogether so bad with us as you may fear perhaps from the first report of it.

It has been usual for our choristers to burn the day before Candlemas the greens which are put up in the hall at Christmas. They did so on Monday at noon. There was no fire in the hall at supper time, being fast night. We suppose some of the sparks of the greens had flown up and lodged themselves in the lanthorn of the hall, which by age alone must be very dry. Nothing appeared till Tuesday morning about 5 o'clock, when the lanthorn appeared all in a flame, but no other part either on the outside or on the inside, but the fire had gotten between the rafters and the lead. I bless God we quenched it, but not without the loss of that part of the roof on which the lanthorn stood, and of the breadth of a window on each side of the lanthorn. The rest of the roof and hall received no damage. We are told our damage is to 600*l.*, we must expect too some jests, besides our loss, and to be told that Christ Church does not now carry the lanthorn.

A friend of your Lordship, contrary to his design, was obliged by extraordinary business to go to town. When the affair was over, he immediately returned, and will continue at home. A

certain Attorney, well known to your Lordship, attempted early and often to have seen him, but your friend was somewhat out of humour and would not be visible to him.

1720, February 7.—Our charge will be much what I told your Lordship in my last, but we shall upon this occasion not only repair what is damaged, but improve and beautify too the hall, and execute part of what would have been done if Lord Weymouth had lived. We shall make two chimnies instead of the rotten lanthorn, and arch the hall, and pave it with the best pavement, from the common fireroom to beyond the present fireplace.

Townsend, who has had occasion now to take a strict survey of the hall, says there never was in England a better building, for fineness and curiosity as well as for strength and the goodness of the materials.

1719-20, February 10.—Poor Neddy's compliments I am afraid will hobble this year in prose, but what would you have him do? His strain keeps pace with his cellar. Since you have not thought fit to replenish that, you must be content with what college "tiff" can produce, which will hardly rise above prose. I own a silver writing equipage has somewhat more durable in it than brittle flasks, but though that may give him more credit, it is not so proper to produce poetry as champagne and burgundy. In the present poverty of his cellar, he will be so gracious as to keep the birthday with me, which he was too proud to do before. Two old swash bucklers, much humble servants to my Lady and your Lordship, are to meet him there, Dr. Hammond and Sir W. Giffard. The old gamesters threaten hard that I shall find that, upon so joyful an occasion, they can be young still. I know not how I shall stand them. This I foresee, that my Lady's health will be drunk by the letters of her name, and that is no short one. If it be my fate to fall on this occasion, there is none in which I am so much obliged to it, or can do it so honourably.

1719-20, February 18.—Neddy did squeeze out a little metre at last, but I think he has given you fair warning that it is the last you are to expect from him. The father begins to stir in him, and he is for somewhat a little more substantial than poetry.

I am afraid there are few projects in which the Attorney is engaged that have not somewhat of scandal in them.

Here is a tenant here, that is come to renew with us, that lives at Mansfield, seven miles from Welbeck. He is well known to Mr. Wenman, and drank your health heartily to-day at dinner. His name is Litchfield. I perceive your character is gone before you and they are in mighty expectations of your coming amongst them. He tells me your woods at Welbeck are worse every day for standing; he puts a noble value on them. I heartily give you joy of it.

1719-20, February 26.—I am very glad to hear of my godfather's return in so good plight; though the news is very welcome upon his own account, it is not the less so for the good news he brings of our friend John [Drummond].

This evening Dr. Bertie of All Souls was chosen professor in Natural Philosophy in the room of Dr. Farrar, who died on Tuesday last. The place is worth 120*l.* per annum. The electors are the Vice-Chancellor, President of Magdalen, and Warden of All Souls. I suppose they have had good proofs of Dr. Bertie's sufficiency for it. *Pudet haec opprobria nobis, etc.*

1720, March 6.—I hear from others as well as your Lordship that the Attorney thinks himself sure of his point, but I agree with your Lordship that he may be deceived, and that the knave does more service as he is than he could in another post.

The visitation of All Souls is ended. The Warden has gained all the points he insisted on, and some he never dreamt of. The Fellows are humbled sufficiently. I cannot but say that, as far as I know the controversy, the decision is very prudent and very honourable. *Si sic omnia*, he would fill the chair he is in with great dignity. The Fellows are laughed at heartily, which is no little aggravation of their mortification.

What will you say if we poor Fellows of Christ Church are coming in play again? What will you give me for my hopes of a good deanery? It is to be hoped particular benefactions may be the consequence of a general one. Our governor gives us hopes that we shall have some share of the royal munificence. It will be the more extraordinary, since none of us ever more dreamt of it than we have asked for it. It will show the man they have put over us, as one they have confidence in, or is this a prelude to some greater change? I fancy such benefactions must give jealousies to some of their dependents.

1719-20, March 10.—I heartily thank you for the verses. Pray be so kind as to send me another copy of the same author's, which I hear is printed, called I think, the Conversation.

Our great men seem to be under no small difficulties, they can have no peace abroad, it seems, without giving up Gibraltar, and I see not how they can hope for peace at home, if they part with it. Are we, who had nothing to do with this war, and who have borne the chief part of the charge, and to whom the success of it is entirely owing, to be the only losers by it, and to purchase for every one else what he wants at our expense? If the Utrecht peace deserved impeachments, what will this do?

1719-20, March 23.—I was much at a loss to know what was become of you; you seem to have dived for some time. I am glad to hear you appear again at Wimpole. You and your fellow travellers are great philosophers that are able to leave the town when so much business is stirring. But your fellow traveller has too much poetry to have his head turned to money, and I think the walks at Wimpole a more proper place for one of your quality than Exchange Alley, though so many peers condescend to be brokers. I heartily congratulate you upon your new employment; it will be no blot on your escutcheon. You know I have often wished that you were bound prentice to Morley

rather than to Wanley, with all due respect to Mr. Wanley's profession. I shall be glad to find you so good a proficient as to [be] able to debate a point of improvement with the merchant. I am not sorry neither, as much as I am to preach up matrimonial concord, to find that you and my Lady have been married long enough to be able to be asunder for a week. This is Lent, and a little retirement is proper to the season, and may be of use to you on some temporal accounts of no little moment, as well as spiritual ones.

I am glad you have got the parsonage, but had well hoped it had been with a design to restore it to the church, and not to enclose it. I am afraid you are layman enough to say this is church language.

We have a royal grant for 1,000*l.* and are to have half as much from the Prince. Is not this somewhat of a reproach to your father? He squandered indeed upon us out of his own pocket, but he kept his mistress's purse close. *Quid non speremus*, when the Exchequer is open to us under your uncle S[underland]'s ministry.

Postscript. The suit is begun against the Bishop of Chester; the citation was fixed on the church doors of Manchester the 6th of this month, to summon him to show cause at the assizes why he hinders the King from filling that church.

1720, April 3.—Neddy was sent for on a sudden and went up, as much against his own as my inclination. His father is not aware of the prejudice he does him. Pray let his father know how uneasy I am at his being called away, and join with me in having him sent back as soon as possible. I suppose you see Neddy every day, pray thank him for his letter and tell him I will answer it next post.

I have enquired of Hudson's letters and papers, but there are none left. He had put all that he thought of any use or moment into Hall of Queen's hands, some weeks before he died.

John Bateman came down last night and I hope will do very well; no care shall be wanting of him.

The giving up of Carolina will mend the matter much, but I suppose they will ask the consent of the proprietors, or must the nation buy it of the proprietors for the French?

1720, April 15.—Can you tell me the secret of Ned's being set? Was it that he might not lose this noble opportunity of learning all the mysteries of stock jobbing? Will his father transfer his gains to Neddy? If he does I hope the boy will have grace enough to give them to pious uses, since he cannot know the true owners to whom they ought to be restored; I wish they may not prove a canker in Eywood.

Our History professor is dying, and numerous are the candidates. I wish I could say we had one fit one. We have one of our own House too, Mr. White. I am afraid he will be distanced, should he come within view all would unite in one to defeat him. The others are Mr. Warden of All Souls, Dr. Harrison of All Souls, Dr. Girdler of Wadham, Mr. Dennison of

University, Mr. Beckham of Oriel, Mr. Hall of Queen's. The last is the fittest, and for that reason I suppose he will be first distanced, and forced to give out. It would be blessed days with us if we had as many fit for it as pretending to it.

1720, April 21.—I am heartily glad my good Lady is prevailed on to go to Bath. I am glad too you do not go along with her, but I am afraid you do not forbear going from the proper motive. You seem to grow a fashionable husband, who can with so much indifference let my Lady go by herself. I hope I shall see you both as you go or return. I am not much out of your way as you go, but I am directly in your way if you return straight to Wimpole. I am not yet ill enough to be able to justify to myself the expense of a Bath journey. Possibly by the next year, I may have contracted scurvy enough to make it necessary.

We are busy here, but I am afraid not to much purpose. The aspect of the planets seems not favourable to Christ Church at present.

1720, April 24.—I assure you I have no aversion at all to Bath, but a great inclination. I always find great benefit from it. Nothing but the regard which one in my circumstances ought to pay to his pocket makes me forbear it, till necessity calls for it. But how come you to be concerned about my going to Bath, who design not to be there yourself? If I might be hearkened to, you should go too, and if you would observe proper discipline, it might be as useful to you as to my good Lady.

I told you in my last I did not like our present canvass here, I am every day more sick of it, and if we persist as I suppose we shall, we shall be laughed at most heartily.

You say there is no news with you, and yet Neddy and the Bishop of Chester give me notice of greater than has happened in some years. The Royal breach it seems is happily made up, I should be glad to hear on what terms. Are T[ownshen]d and Walpole taken into the treaty, or are they given up?

I am glad I shall get my boy again. I pray God he may have escaped the infection, and return without any tang of a stock jobber.

1720, April 28.—The honest Attorney seems to have met with some as treacherous as he himself is. I am afraid the world will not pity him much when he complains of it; his cake is dough. I suppose he sees an end of his present pensions as well as any farther hopes.

I believe all the world have the same opinion of the sincerity of this recon[ciliation], but however it may be strong enough to encourage them to attempt those things which nothing but their divisions restrained them from before. And if it is owing to any common danger, it may last till the fear of that is blown over.

The Tories have leisure to reflect on the prudence of their own conduct; they were not for taking any advantage against those that were in, lest [those] that were out should come in again. Your Lordship once gave in to that measure. They may in vain

perhaps wish again for such an opportunity as they have had and not used. But as far as one can guess any thing at such a blind business, of which we have such obscure reports, Honest Dick [Steele] and they who are of his cabal will be the persons most lured, and consequently most laughed at upon this occasion.

1720, May 3.—Our competitors are reduced to three, Harrison, White, and Dennison, who are now polling for it, but I have no great hopes for ourselves.

The business has gone as I thought it would, we are beaten near two to one. Harrison had 177, Dennison 104, White 92. Many in the scrutiny who had promised Dennison and White, when they saw Harrison would have it, went over to him. We could have given it as we pleased to any other but to ourselves, and we might once have had a chance for having it ourselves, but we had not sense enough to do either.

1720, May 5.—I was in hopes the account of the issue of our foolish affair would have been with you by Wednesday night. I gave my letter to Mr. Benson, who designed to have gone early by the stage coach, but your cousin Winnington came to town that night, who persuaded Mr. Benson to go with him in his coach, and they left not Oxford as I hear till twelve o'clock.

Our northern allies of Queen's from whom, upon the account of many obligations which were owed, we had an assurance of a body of auxiliaries of twenty-five, left us to a man. I am sure at least that not above three of them were with us, but this is not the first time those worthy men have done so, nor were they the only men who did so on this occasion.

It was remarkable that all the Whigs voted for Harrison, who is the most open professed Turk of this place. One of them, Scurlock of Jesus, a famous constitutioner, was taken into custody by two messengers on the day of election, and a messenger came along with him to the Convocation House when he came to vote. We know not yet certainly what he is taken up for, but he preached a sermon lately and dedicated it to Sir Richard Steele. I suppose it may be for some expressions in that.

I thank you for the picture, the original must be a great curiosity, but perhaps more proper to be preserved in the original only in your own cabinet, than to be engraven and dispersed in the print. I suppose you do not design these prints to adorn ladies' cabinets.

1720, May 8.—I beg your Lordship's pardon for disobeying your commands, but I assure you I shall neither burn nor return the print. I think it as great a curiosity as you can, and no ways improper for my own poor collection, though I cannot pretend to the honour of being a virtuoso.

This last election has made good only what, from all my observation, I was thoroughly persuaded of before, that we can never carry anything for ourselves, and may always, with common discretion, give it to anyone we please but to one of our own body. We might have done so now, if we had not been very silly fellows.

Dr. Mangey was with me this week, and I hear from him what mighty things St. John's expects from your Lordship's and my Lady's bounty. We have had, I own with great gratitude, more than we could ever have hoped for, and we are not so impudent as to pretend to engross your Lordship. But neither shall we [be] so far modest to a fault as to let St. John's run away with you. We shall still keep our claim to you, as I told Dr. Mangey. We think we have a better claim than St. John's, and a "Prior" claim too.

1720, May 17.—There are likely to be some new troubles in All Souls. Since Harrison has secured his point in the election, he is fomenting disturbances again there. The Warden has sent up a fresh complaint of him to the Visitor, but few pity the Warden. But I am afraid the University, as well as All Souls College, will find the ill effects of fixing that man here.

Dr. Hammond and Sir William Giffard both beg me to make their compliments and return their most hearty thanks for the honour you did them. When you see your old tutor, pray fail not to expostulate with him a little for debasing his own character so far as to assist at a private election, huddled up in Jerusalem Chamber.

1720, May 19.—We have heard of what has passed at Westminster. Our news here was exactly true, that orders had been given to take no notice of the poor late Dean, and the orders, it seems, were punctually executed. It is hard to say whether the inveteracy of this malice or the meanness of it were greater. It is amazing that a man should not see that he does more hurt by such a thing to his own character than to the poor man's that is gone. All the world cries out of it, as the most brutal thing that even Ruffe himself ever did. I suppose our wise governor may at last be able to open his eyes, and to see that this was designed as an affront to him as well as to his predecessor, and that no mention was made of the last Dean, for this reason amongst others, to avoid saying any thing of the present.

The news from France is extraordinary, and may well frighten all here that deal in such estates. Won't Mr. Auditor sell out upon it?

1720, May 22.—The Bishop of Chester is come safe to us, but he had a little disorder in his journey, which I hope will be over in a day or two. He has given me an account of what passed at the election, and of the general resentment of it. Surely this will finish Ruffe's character and satisfy the whole world of it. I am afraid there are some so unhappy still as to trust him. I wish they do not pay dear for it at last.

I thank your Lordship for the honour you did Agatha, I have not heard from her yet, but I make allowance for her business at her first arrival. I wish poor John were here too. The affairs of France seem likely to break out into some great confusion. In such a case, not only [John] Law may be sacrificed, but his countrymen too for his sake.

I have at last got lodgings for your cousin Foley, to Neddy's comfort, just by him; those over the porter's lodge next to the back gate. I know not whether your Lordship remembers them, if you do, pray take care to inform my Lady Foley how stately and convenient they are.

1720, May 31.—Our Vice-Chancellor has been baffled, he had expelled a servitor, who had an exhibition in the House of 40*l.* per annum. The true reason was supposed to be that he might make way for a creature of his own. The reason he pretended was discourse of the young man against the Church, &c. The young man denied it with the utmost asseverations; offered to submit, if any such thing could be proved. The Vice-Chancellor said he had informations against him, but never would produce them, nor let him know who they were that accused him. Lee, tutor to the young man, and Brooks, the two seniors of the House and undoubted Tories, appealed to the Visitor, upon the point of the Vice-Chancellor's doing it without the consent of the seniors, as by statute he ought. The Bishop of Lincoln, the Visitor, restores the man without enquiring into the grounds of his expulsion, but because it was irregular. The Vice-Chancellor in wrath resolves to take another course and to expel him of the University by the Convocation. This was very improper at this time, and might have engaged the University in much trouble. He summons a meeting of the Heads, through whom it must pass before it can be brought into Convocation. But though he had taken care to have all his own creatures there, he could not get it passed, and I suppose there is an end of it.

1720, June 19.—I hope this will find you safe at Welbeck and full of the satisfaction of viewing some of the noblest manors in the world which you now call your own. A more solid as well as more honest estate, than any got in the extravagant acquisitions of the South Sea.

I have had the honour of a very kind letter from my Lady at Bath, she tells me she is better and that she is advised to continue as long as she can there. She seems too disposed to do so, and I shall endeavour by this post to confirm her in that good disposition. She tells me, if it suits with your convenience, she shall be willing to return home through Oxford.

The Bishop of Chester moves towards Chester on Tuesday, and I shall be going the same day to take a little fresh air for about a fortnight, at my poor hut, on the Berkshire Downs. Our new governor comes to us on Wednesday. I fear no great hurt from him, but the worst of it is that I expect as little good too.

I suppose you have seen in the prints that the Bishop of St. Asaph is married. He sent his resignation the next day, and a new Principal was chosen on Thursday, one [William] Jones, an honest man as to principles, and the best I think in other respects that that place [Jesus College] affords.

1720, July 5.—I was at my little cot in Berkshire when the favour of yours from Welbeck came hither, or I had sooner acknowledged it. I rode home this morning, a greater journey than I have taken for many years, and which I much doubted if I should have been able to go through when I attempted it. Am not I who value myself so much upon riding seventeen miles a fit person to undertake a journey to Brampton? Neddy was so good as to make me a visit at my cot, and to spend four days with me upon scraps of meat and classic authors. But I own I was somewhat unconscionable in getting him a stomach, when I had no victuals to give him; I used to make him ride with me sixteen miles upon the downs before dinner.

Dr. Edwards, who is here to take his degree, has given me hopes from your father of seeing him here shortly in his way to town. If you can learn when he designs to move, I beg you would give me notice. This weather and my poor villa tempt me to make short excursions, but I should burn my cot if it should be the occasion of my being absent when your father calls here.

Christ Church affords no news, we are still without a governor, but we have notice to expect him to-morrow. He sends word he has got the 1,000*l.* of the Royal bounty. I wish that may not be the best thing he ever brings with him hither.

A sad accident happened here on Sunday morning about two o'clock. Sir William Wheeler and one Statham, a gentleman commoner, both of Magdalen, and some others, with a stranger that lives in town, had been drinking all Saturday at a private chamber in Trinity. As they were coming home at that early hour, they picked a quarrel with two men they met in the street. Statham got the stranger's sword, and run one of the men through. It is thought the man cannot live. Perhaps Neddy may give you a more particular account of it. Lord Lewsom [Lewisham?] was one of the company, but by great fortune went away at nine o'clock. It is a pity but his father knew what an escape his son has had.

I know not whether you have heard how the Westminster cause has gone. The Chancellor was of opinion that Dr. Freind had a right to the master's garden, which could not be taken away without his consent. He said he thought the prebend[arie]s too had each of them such a right to the private garden as annexed to their houses, but he was not so sure of that, but he would not take upon him to decide anyone's property, and therefore referred them for a trial of those points to the King's Bench next term. Ruffe, when his counsel had done, begged leave to supply some defects he had observed in them, and made a long speech in great disorder and passion, chiefly to revile Bob Freind. When he saw how the cause was like to go, he flung out of Court in a great rage.

Postscript. Tom Rowney just now sends me word that certain news is come of Sim Harcourt's death at Paris. Tom adds what is scarce credible, that Sim had for his own share in South Sea 100,000*l.*

1720, July 6.—I have nothing to add, but that the news of Sim's death came to his father by a letter from Lord Bolingbroke on Monday morning. He died of a bloody-flux. He was opened, and his liver, &c., were found to be perished. I hear the news struck the father very much, and he was so ill upon it that Dr. Mead was sent for. I was under a mistake in one part of my news, it is the father and not Sim that has in South Sea and African to the value as it is said of 200,000*l*. Our governor came to us about an hour ago.

I wish my Lord Oxford joy of his gout, I am afraid he does not owe it to any great increase of stock in the South Sea.

Postscript. The Duke of Queensberry and Lord Carleton were presented this afternoon to their Doctor's degree, *honoris causâ*.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1720, July 12.—I give your Lordship joy of your gout, I am afraid it is not owing to the advance of your stock in the South Sea, though surely you have the best title to the gains of that company. But though your gout brings no increase of wealth to your Lordship, I hope it will of health.

I wish Lord Harley had not left Eywood before the account came which I sent him of Mr. Harcourt's death. I hear Lord Harcourt is in the utmost dejection upon it, but whatever reason he may have to be concerned at the loss of an only son, I am afraid he has much more reason to be troubled at the manner of it, if he has had a true account of it. I hear Lord Bolingbroke, at whose house Mr. Harcourt died, is much concerned lest Lord Harcourt should think that he encouraged that which occasioned his death. But I truly believe Lord Bolingbroke was innocent of that. J. Dr[ummon]d in a letter to me, a few days before the news of Mr. Harcourt's death, told me that Lord Bolingbroke thought his landlord, would not drink with him.

[To LORD HARLEY.]

1720, July 14.—The opportunity I have of sending by an old college servant who goes to Bath for his health, allows me to write freer than I should by the post.

We yet know not what to make of our governor. All as yet is very quiet, he has hitherto concerned himself little, not entered into any acquaintance with any here, nor seems much to design it. The most he has is with the Sub-dean. We cannot yet judge whether his calmness be from a desire of being quiet, or from a want of capacity to form new models. I do not take him to be a very long-headed man. He seems to be pretty much in a wood, and not to know well to which way to turn himself. If he has any designs, he will not find it easy to get accomplices, the greatest part are prejudiced against him, and on their guard. They suspect him to be a friend of Bangor; nothing yet appears.

Never was son more lamented by a father than Sim, nor less lamented by every one else that knew him. Every one talks with great freedom of him, and no one ever knew him but had occasion to talk so. Had his capacity been equal to his inclinations he would have outdone his father. I cannot say more.

1720, August 2.—I made bold to send for some venison from Wimpole to entertain Lady and Lord Foley. My advice would not be taken in any thing; however I am in high favour, and have had a whole buck with a fine epistle from Witley. The buck came seasonably to entertain Lord and Lady Harold, whom Dr. Cheyne, much to my surprise, was pleased to recommend to me. I had the honour to gallant that Lady about the town for two days. The near relation she had to my Lady Harley commanded the utmost respect in my poor power.

Postscript. I have a strange story to tell you when I see you of the Duke of Wharton's giving 800*l.* to All Souls' building, and being turned "errant" Tory.

1720, August 4.—You need not doubt I believe of finding Neddy. Your uncle has had horses in town this fortnight, but he is so deep engaged in the South Sea that he is not likely to stir yet, though he says he is detained by Cavendish Square.

Be pleased to deliver the enclosed to my honoured Lady, and to let me know by the post after you receive this on what time on Tuesday next I may expect you.

Postscript. Duke Wharton has actually signed articles by which he obliges himself to build a particular piece of the buildings at All Souls, which will amount to 1,183*l.* He is to advance next week 250*l.* and to pay the rest proportionably as the work goes on.

1720, August 22.—I have some ill news for which my godfather will be concerned. Young Bridgwater, whom he recommended to us, was found dead yesterday in the evening in his chamber. He had always been a sober lad, and was particularly so on Saturday night, he complained about nine that night of a pain in his stomach. He was missing yesterday, in the evening his door was broken open, he was found lying with his head on the ground and his feet on the bed; it looked as though he had been striving to get up when he was struck. There was blood which had come out of his mouth and nostrils by which it was plain it was an apoplexy.

We hoped Neddy would have heard from your uncle last night, but not a word, I have put off my journey till Thursday, but if I see not your uncle here by Wednesday night I can stay no longer for him. I wish, too, I could be at any more certainty as to your father's journey. I defer my own waiting on you at Wimpole only in expectation of seeing him here.

We hope to hear of a fracas in Exchange Alley.

1720, October 24.—Give me leave to assure you that, amongst all your great qualities, the sincere kindness and condescension with which you can receive an old servant is one of which you have not the least reason to be proud. I have much cause to rejoice in your prosperity, on account of the share you so generously allow me in it. But I hope I can truly say that I take a much greater pleasure in it on your own account, and that it is with the utmost satisfaction that I think I may be confident that your mind will always be superior to your fortune, and that no blessings can be poured on you which you will not employ to great and noble purposes.

I find all here under dismal apprehensions of confusion and poverty. None rails more outrageously than a great Lady lately in our neighbourhood; she says she once thought there could not have been a worse minister than Oxford. But she owns these V——ns(?) are ten times a greater plague.

John Keill has had wonderful luck, he bought his subscription for which he gave 3,150*l.* of Waller, Aislabie's son-in-law. He is supposed to have been agent to his father-in-law, he now refunds the money to all who have bought subscriptions of him, and is said already to have refunded to the tune of 80,000*l.* I do not well understand the policy of this, and I am afraid it is not only from a principle of probity. I know not whether it may be a proper method to prevent a prosecution, but I am sure it is a plain confession of guilt.

We find here almost a total stop of payment of rents, even from those who were never used to fail a day. I wish we may be able to keep house, you have reason to wish so too. If we break, I am afraid the parish will send me with a certificate to Wimpole again.

Sir William [Giffard], Clarke, and I did ourselves the honour to remember your Lordship and my school fellow last night at Tom's. George is wonderfully pleased with your present, and charges me return his compliments and thanks for it. Tom comforts himself that, though he may have some share in the approaching calamity, yet he has none in the guilt of bringing it on us. His old friend the Attorney cannot plead that. I believe too he has fear as well as guilt. An express came on purpose to summon him to be in town without fail on the night Lord Sunderland was expected. Lord Sunderland wanted to speak with him first; this is a proof of no little intimacy. He set out the moment he received the summons.

We have so many vacancies here, that I shall be able to bring in Bateman student at Christmas.

My hearty respects to my schoolfellow, he must allow me to tell him as I am a theologian, that disappointments, though most sore, yet are of most use to us when we have least deserved or expected them.

1720, October 26.—I forgot to tell your Lordship in my last that the report about Decker was entirely without ground. The failure of Beck, and Decker's intimacy with a great Duke [Portland] were the sole occasions of the suspicions. Agatha assures me that there are not six men in the city of London so well in their circumstances as Decker, and Dick Hill, who is Decker's neighbour at Richmond, writes the same to G. Clarke.

Abel and his young honour will be here this week, I know not whether Lady Termagant comes along with them, but Abel shall not fail of meeting in me with one of Job's comforters as to his S[outh] S[ea] loss.

The Duke of Beaufort is come to University College with Mr. Dennison of that College, who was a sort of a governor to him at Westminster, and is now his tutor there.

Postscript. How goes on the canvass at Cambridge, I have given Mr. Bromley a full account of the state in which I left it.

[1720.] October 31.—Abel and his lady came hither on Thursday and left us this morning. He has dropped his young honour here. Abel and Lady Termagant are both sufficiently mortified, but Abel like Sir John Daw has recourse to philosophy in his afflictions. He supports himself as well as he can with the cordials he brought with him from John of Leyden. He showed me one thing you must take no notice of, a letter under Lord Carlisle's own hand, dated October 13th, entreating with great earnestness, as a favour that would be very seasonable and much acknowledged, that the last Michaelmas rent for the house might be paid immediately. I think this is a full proof of no little distress.

Abel is very angry with the reduction of the subscriptions to four hundred. He says he could have paid his, and now he is cheated by this reduction of his share of thirty-six millions. Much discourse we had, but I could suggest no other comfort to him but what might be had from a serious repentance for the share he has had in the infamous commerce. That with which he comforts himself most is that he bought in most of what he has at so low a rate that he hopes to be a saver at least. But I have some reason to suspect that he fibs, I dare not use a coarser word.

This day was our election for Fell's exhibitions. One Nash, one for whom I think your Lordship was concerned at Auditor Foley's desire, appeared so well that he was one of the two that were chosen.

Frank Annesley has been employing friends to the Bishop of Chester to desire them to make the two Mapletotts for him; but Frank happens not to be in the Diocesan's good graces, and in that case all his oratory is lost, he does *surdo canere*.

Postscript. Ruffe has been baffled in a motion he made in the Exchequer about the Chapel.

1720, Nov. 2.—I told you I would give our friend in Warwickshire a full account of what had passed at Cambridge, to the time I left you. I had last night his answer, which I shall transcribe *verbatim*, but I desire you would communicate it only to my schoolfellow [Prior]:—

“Mr. Prior has been very ill used by the Vice-Chancellor, and having no prospect of success is in the right to lay down. After the unjust and barbarous treatment he met with, and his behaviour under it, I should have been pleased to have had this respect paid to him. According to your account I conclude Mr. Finch will be the member, for I fear Mr. Willoughby will not desist, and I am mistaken if my friend does.”

His Honour is left with us, and desires his service to your Lordship. I begin to hope the youth may do very well, now we have got him from his parents.

What think you of Walpole's being Earl of Walsingham and having the staff; he will deserve it if he can find an expedient, as they say he undertakes, to make all parties of the late gamesters easy. To show too his capacity, he is at the same

time to get the Peerage bill passed, and the Septennial bill not continued but quite repealed, that the prerogative of the Crown may be as much at liberty as it was in former reigns to dissolve or continue Parliaments. These are great things to be undertaken in so stormy a season.

1720, November 4.—What Lord Anglesey wrote to Dr. Audly was surely *satis pro imperio*, but it is very agreeable to his present disposition. He is now at Blechingdon, and I hear from those who converse very intimately with him that he is under utmost concern for the success of his worthy kinsman. I forgot to tell your Lordship in my former that I had the good luck, before I got out of your grounds, to meet the Arab, and was not a little pleased that I had a sight not only of so true a horse, but so great a curiosity too.

We have had a confirmation of Ruffe's battle in the Exchequer. A motion was made against Herbert, in relation to New Chapel. Ruffe in his great prudence and nicety had worded the motion so that it was rejected with open contempt the whole court.

If they have any spirit left in Cambridge, they will never endure to be bullied by one who himself was so much obliged to them.

I beg my duty to my honoured Lady and my young mistress, and forget me not to my schoolfellow and Mr. Thomas.

I hear your cousin Packer is soused in the African. We are now told here positively that Lord S[underlan]d himself has been deeply engaged in South Sea, some say he has sold out, others that he is still in.

1720, November 9.—This was an unexpected turn at Cambridge, but how came the Pates not to be quick-sighted enough when they proposed the Provost to see the consequence of his being chosed. A majority of them is as much against B[entle]y as the young masters can be. I look upon this division to have been purely Whig and Tory, and to show what the Tory interest truly is, if it had not been broken. Pray be so kind in your next as to let me know for which of the candidates Cross is. The putting by of the Provost is a great blow to Annesly pretensions, if the present Vice-Chancellor is engaged for another. I think Cross is looked upon as a thorougher, though he succeeded Sh[erlock] [as Master of Catherine Hall].

I have had a long letter from our friend Decker about the report that was of him. He has not for four months past had any thing to do in Exchange Alley upon his own account. He had sold out betimes, and had left nothing in any of the stocks but what was pure gains. At the time the report went of him he had written to the great banker in Amsterdam, Pels, who, as Decker thought might be pressed, to give him leave if he had occasion to draw at sight 100,000*l.* on him.

1720, November 14.—Methinks the Vice-Chancellor has done B[entle]y too much honour, and seems to make him too considerable. B[entle]y may rely perhaps in some measure on

their dissensions, but more I am afraid on their weakness. Had not they given him advantages against themselves by their own mismanagement, they might have ended this dispute to their satisfaction long ago.

John Drummond is come to us again, and seems to be glad that he is. In the main, I believe he is able to say that he has lost nothing but his time. He is much as he was when he went to France. If there be any difference I believe it is rather to his advantage than loss. But he tells me that his countrymen here are universally undone. It is his opinion, who has seen what has passed abroad, that the only way to preserve us from utter ruin is to leave all things as they are, and that, if there should be any attempt to raise the stocks again, it would undo us, by the advantage it would give to foreigners.

[1720,] November 21.—It must be said of your neighbours that they act consistently with themselves, there is no danger of their degenerating into a more honourable character. Surely this not so proper a time for compliments; you will hear from Mr. Thomas what success such an attempt had here.

If the accounts, which are repeated to us every post, hold good, the great man you hint at will not be long in a condition to pay for such trumpery, as your Lordship justly calls it, and B—— will as certainly leave him in the lurch as he did Lord Halifax.

The Attorney, in expectation of South Seas rising again, bought in to the value of 4 or 6,000*l.* a fortnight ago at 21*5l.* South Sea wrecks begins to be talked of now in the country, as well as in town.

I beg my duty to my honoured Lady and to my young mistress too. Little as she is, she has my heart more than ever any mistress had. If I took notice of her in proportion to my concern for her, every one of my letters would be a—“*Scriptus et in tergo, necdum finitus Orestes.*”

1720, November 23.—The little Auditor took a bed with me last night in his way to town. He enquired after your chimneys, he is positive they may be cured, be the cause of their fault what it will. But if it is occasioned only by the height of the old house, he says he knows a certain cure, and if it is a sure one, I am sure it is a very easy and simple one. It is only by making a hole on the tops of the chimney, on the side of them opposite to the old house. He will explain it to you better when you meet him in town.

If what he tells me be true, I must congratulate you, though the occasion of it be melancholy. He told me, he had heard that your uncle Nat had left all he had to your Lordship.

1720, November 28.—There were some circumstances in the affair of the font which I was forced to omit for brevity. I thought it would divert you. When you see Bob or the Bishop of Chester you will have an account of a long dialogue betwixt Ruffe and old Martin, the brewer, which will divert you more than the font. Ruffe only tampered with the old fellow for three days together to make him give false evidence upon oath; one of Ruffe's peccadilloes.

1720, November 30.—Since it was advisable for my school-fellow [Prior] to desist, I think the affairs at Cambridge have taken the best turn we could wish for the public, as well as for the disappointment of those who were so meanly industrious to disappoint my schoolfellow.

I forgot not to speak to the Bishop of Chester about Swift's verses said to be found in Mrs. Long's cabinet who died at Lynn. The Bishop searched all his papers but could not find them, nor could he recollect what he did with them. But he fancies you may easily hear of them at Cambridge; he had them from his brother, who told him they were common there.

Postscript. I suppose your Lordship hears of the new scheme for redressing our evils, but no one that I hear from seems to have any opinion of it. To me it seems utterly impossible that upon the new scheme any more interest can be paid than is received from the governor, much less fifteen *per cent.* as is proposed. I fancy it must make matters rather worse than better in a little time.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1720, December 1.—I received the enclosed on Thursday last. Mr. Bromley is still in the country; he had earlier notice than we had here that a prorogation was designed, and deferred his journey upon it.

I bless God that your Lordship is past the danger of your late distemper, but I must beg leave to say that none of your servants can be easy till you are in town, where your physician will know better what to advise than it is possible for him at such a distance.

1720, December 9.—Your good tutor begins to own that he is in the South Sea, but we cannot bring him yet to own for how much or at what price, though we know both. He is one of those who expect the Parliament is to work miracles to raise it again.

You will hear before this reaches you that Law is turned out of all; they offer to let him escape privately, but he is brave and resolved to stay and run the hazard of every thing they can do to him.

1720, December 19.—I am much surprised to find that little Robin has been kept in the country ever since your uncle went down, and we have notice to expect him here this week. It seems as odd to send him up at this time to town to be idle there during the holidays, as it was to keep him so long at Eywood.

Mr. Edward I perceive has no thoughts of seeing us again till he comes up with his own father or yours. But by sending Robin at this time, I should guess that none of them have any thoughts of coming up soon after Christmas.

1720, December 23.—Robin came hither on Wednesday noon and was so kind as to be my guest till this morning, when he went up in the coach to London. He brought me a letter from Brampton, in which there was one enclosed to Palmer. Mr. Palmer goes down on Monday by Robin's horses. I believe

Palmer had not been sent for so soon if it had not been to get rid of the Archdeacon. I hear he had no mind to quit his quarters, but designed to keep all the livings as long as possibly he could. My letter, as usual, is not the plainest in the world, but as far as I can decipher it we are in haste to move, and perhaps shall not do it at all, at least till all the racket above is over.

1720, December 26.—I have read Dr. Middleton, and think all his observations just. I own Bentley's proposal to be a bubble, but however I am afraid I shall give in to it. But I hope I may be excused, since it will be the only bubble I have any concern in.

1720, December 28.—There are too many *participes criminis* to let the Directors be called to any accounts. I see nothing designed by the present scheme but to secure to themselves what they have gotten, and themselves from being called to any account for it. Nobody gains by it but the old proprietors, and they gain extravagantly. It leaves every one else greater losers and more discontented than it found them. Nor do I believe that the only evil that they hope to remedy by it can be stopped so much as for the present. But if it should, it will certainly in a few months recoil again upon them with greater violence. Indeed I am apt to think that if a dictatorial power were given to the ablest and honestest men in the nation, they could not help us. But the present scheme may probably hasten the evil day sooner than it would have come otherwise.

I hear that the Cambridge men pretend to take it ill that my schoolfellow did not give a vote at their late election. But surely it was unreasonable to expect it of him.

Postscript. I hear by this day's post that the Directors are in no great fear for themselves, but that the Ministers are in great fear of the Directors.

[1720 ?] December 29.—As to public news we are as in my last. The city continue in a high ferment about the quarantine bill, and the new bridge that is to be built betwixt Lambeth and Foxhall. There will be mighty opposition by the city to that bridge.

1720-1, January 2.—The new scheme does not seem to go down very glibly. There seems to be pretty strong physic used to make it pass that seems not much for the credit of it. They who wish it may pass expect not much from it, only they are for this rather than none, and willing to be at a certainty. Archibald Hutchinson is resolved to oppose it, and has one of his own to offer in lieu of it, which he thinks more equitable, and I doubt not but it is so. The more the merrier.

I have the good fortune to be much in Doctor Edmonson's good graces. He has favoured me with another letter, with some reflections on their late election. I find he is not a little satisfied with his own conduct, and pleased that Mr. Finch had such an opinion of him that he never offered to ask his vote, either by himself or his friends.

[1721 ?] January 6.—I hope this will congratulate you upon [your] own and my Lady's and my young mistress's safe arrival in Dover Street.

You have wisely enjoyed the country whilst nothing of moment passed in town, and have contrived to come just when business begins to open and thicken too. If you have as much leisure to write letters as you are like to have materials for them, I shall have an excellent correspondent of you. If the ferment that seems to begin improves, I shall wish our distant friend in town.

My most humble duty to my good Lady Dupplin. If I might presume that the honour I once had of her Lord's favour is not quite forgotten, I would congratulate him too upon his gains in the South Sea. When his younger children are largely provided for, I hope the overplus will go to support episcopal seminaries in his own fatherland.

[1721,] January 9.—You have sent me the news I most desire, and in which I can acquiesce with satisfaction, without any other, in telling me that my Lady, your dear one, and yourself are come safe to town.

As to fine things to be done by our senators, you know my opinion. Our evil admits of no remedy, were our senators as uncorrupt and as able as they should be, and we with them. The most they can do would be only like a man's delaying the evil hour of bankruptcy, by shifting his debts from one hand to another. That only makes it fall heavier at least. But what the issue of these things will be, no one can pretend to say. Nothing I am afraid that any good man can rejoice in. By a letter I had this morning from Neddy, I suppose your uncle is in town, he did wisely not to wait for a summons by the Sergeant. But pray tell him that I take it unkindly that he did not pass through Oxford. He has spoiled a speech, too, which I had prepared to congratulate him upon his coming up attended with the public officers, as I expected he would.

1720-1, January 12.—I am heartily sorry for the death of young Mr. Foley's wife; she is certainly a great loss to him, and in that, to all the family.

I am glad to find we are likely to live in peace. It is plain no attempt on the Lords House can do any hurt, and the scheme is carried in the Commons. Nor do I fear lest the secret committee, with carrying of which some warm men seem much pleased, should do any great matters. I am most concerned for the scheme itself, lest it should not effect the great ends for which it is contrived, of restoring credit and stilling clamour. Some, who are for putting the worst colour on every thing, gave out that it is calculated more to support those who propose it than to ease those who cry out for relief. But I hope the rise of stocks by the next post will silence that calumny.

Pray remember the epitaph; though it be a mournful ditty, I want it to make me merry.

1720-1, January 12.—The Bangorians were jockeyed yesterday at Merton, at the election which they had for five fellows. They, like the saints who are privileged, had agreed to cheat their own brother Whigs and the Warden too, and to bring in, without communicating with the others, all five of their own principles. The Warden smells this, and secretly treats with the poor remnant of Tories there, and at the election joins his Whigs to the Tories, and excludes all the Bangorians, and brings in every man he had agreed on with the Tories. I cannot yet tell you all their names, but a grandson of Bishop Stillingfleet and a nephew of the Warden of All Souls were two of them.

1720-1, January 14.—I never heard of the Latin epitaph upon the Abbot of Rochester. Is it upon some old Abbot who was famous for the same qualities the present Abbot is, or is it one upon the present Abbot? If the latter, pray by what hand is it? If you have a copy pray be so kind as to communicate it.

I hear the Attorney is published in the "London Journal" for being of counsel for the Directors, under the insinuation of a great person near Red Lion Square.

1720-1, January 17.—I have read, since I had the honour to write to your Lordship, the most entertaining epistolary performance that this age has produced. Mr. Prior could not come up [to it?], nor the famous Lord Rochester, were he alive. I mean the short and concise, but very significant, epistle of Timothy Watch. It ought to be printed by itself, on elephant paper and in the grand pica. I should be proud to be known to the worthy author.

I have just now a letter from Mr. Palmer, dated from Brampton, January 13th; I find my Lord of O[xford] had not then been downstairs and was so weak still that Mr. Palmer had not then seen him. I am sorry to find he recovers so very slowly.

All now seem to agree that the Directors must be hanged. As they please. I have nothing to do, either to tie them up or cut them down. But are they the only men that deserve this fate? Should not some of their judges, if they would be impartial, put their own names into the same bill, especially they who had possession or promise of stock before they voted for it.

1720-1, January 24.—I perceive by my correspondents this day, that the weather begins to grow hot in the House of Commons. Tom tells me that Aislaby was dismissed on Sunday night. Are not some others to follow him? Will the chief minister himself stand firm, when underlings are torn off? Who will be so charitable as to take the management of us in our present condition?

I told you formerly that John Keill had the prodigious good luck to buy his subscription of Waller, Aislaby's son-in-law, and had his money returned in South Sea bonds. John turned part of these bonds into money, how much I know not, but I believe

the best part. The money he lodged into Middleton's hands, who lately stopped payment. Out of the frying pan into the fire. The Sub-dean tells me that he believes John had some other money besides that of the bonds he sold in Middleton's hands too.

1720-1, January 26.—Whoever transacts with your Lordship cannot but have everything to his satisfaction, unless he traffics upon a South Sea expectation of enriching himself by the ruin of the person he deals with.

I agree with your Lordship entirely. No doubt Knight's going was by concert, and it is likely with others besides D[irector]s. I fancy too, as you do, that after all the noise those gentlemen will not suffer what others expect, much less what they deserve. Nor do I see well how they can, without somewhat of the nature of a Bill of Attainder.

His Honour is come again to us; we shall do what we can with him, but we shall never be able to answer Abel's expectation. He thinks poor parsons, in any way, can never give him enough for his money.

Madame Rowney is gone up to her spouse this day, and carries with her a piece of her own work, which she has been hard at this winter, which she designs to beg leave to present to my Lady. She hopes she may be admitted to make a morning visit, for she despairs of finding my Lady in an evening.

[1721,] January 29.—I had a full account of Ruffe's extraordinary motion, but he seems to be happy in some respects. Nothing he can do can make some people think worse of him, or others any thing ill of him. This, if any thing, should open men's eyes. How comes he to be so tender about perjury? He himself has not boggled at[it?], more than once, upon much less temptation.

I had a letter last post from Neddy, he bids me now not expect him till Thursday next. He says he stays to see Palmer instituted, and the Archdeacon dislodged from Brampton. I find Neddy's Welsh blood is up, he gives the Archdeacon hard names, unless they are deserved. In that case, I was always a friend to justice, and should be no more for sparing an archdeacon than a director. Tom Rowney tells me the report of J. Keill's loss by Middleton is not true. He says Keill luckily got 200*l.* out of Middleton's hands, two days before he stopped payment.

They say it is talked that the Attorney is coming in again into his old post. I thought he would have liked better a private pension, and would have avoided such open play. But he can help them as little as any one else.

1720-1, January 31.—If our reports hold true we shall have some sport. Our patriots are said to be upon an Herculean labour of cleansing their own house. The Augean stable surely was not filthier. Should truth come out, the Attorney certainly would appear to have no little share. But though he was in early, and had stock at a very low rate, yet I fancy he was cautious enough to pay for what he had. That may exempt him from the censure others may have, though not from the guilt.

The detection and punishment of the authors of our misery, with the help of the new scheme, will no doubt restore our halcyon days. The present state of East India and Bank, upon the bare apprehension of the scheme before the bill is so much as brought in, give us a comfortable prospect of the redress we may expect from it. It is said there are lists handed about of the patriots in both Houses who entered into council with the South Sea for promoting their cause. If there are any such things, I should be glad to see one of them.

1720-1, February 5.—I most heartily thank your Lordship for the favour of your last. It has some important hints. I shall be very sorry if one person mentioned should be capable of such a thing. My concern would be more for the station than the man, but if it should be so, let shame fall where it is due. It will be better the iniquity should come to light, than be smothered.

I can easily believe any thing of my old friend Ruffe. It will appear by the list lately printed of those who have a vote in the South Sea stock, what interest his son-in-law has there. And it would be no hard matter to learn at what time he first had stock. I wish I had one of those lists.

The Tories, by the list you were so kind to send, seem but merchants of small wares, and to be poor pedlars in iniquity. It is a sign they are not used to it, but it is amazing to find men of such large fortunes playing at so small a game.

Postscript. I think it impossible that the Attorney should have the Seals, or any other place openly, whilst he stands excepted out of the Act of Indemnity. Nor do I fancy that to be his game at present, he deals rather in pensions, and no doubt he makes his bargain well there. But if W[alpole] and his interest should come uppermost, the Attorney may fall short in his pension. How stands S[underland]? Surely his own interest must grow weak as his own creatures go off and his opposites get strength.

1720-1, February 7.—I am amazed that none of my correspondents take notice of the gradual but constant fall of all stocks, since the new scheme that is to redress all our evils was voted. It alarms me, nor can I conjecture any thing from it, but a total failure in a little time of all public credit, and that we are gently going into the same condition our neighbours are.

Not a word from Neddy of your father's moving, I daresay it will be, as we conjectured, spring at least before he thinks of it.

Postscript. Stanhope's death may be some loss, at a time when others seem not very fond of the service. Perhaps it may be of some use on the point of Gibraltar and Port Mahon. No doubt the guilt of that, as far as it can, will be laid on him.

1720-1, February 10.—I am bound by many ties to put up my most cordial prayers for you and your Lady, on the day on which this I hope will wait on you. But I cannot, I think, put up a more comprehensive and more ardent one than that the doubt, which I daresay all who know you both have at present, may always continue.

I shall not interrupt you further on this joyful day than to desire that my duty to you both, and prayers for you, may be received amongst those of your other servants who are now making their compliments to you. I suppose you will be so well employed on the good day, that I shall not expect to hear from you on Sunday.

1720-1, February 14.—The remission of the seven millions is too hard for my philosophy and puts me out of all patience. What ado is made about half a dozen! What shall we get by their being hanged, if we must pay seven millions for the ropes? It is surely such a thing as never was mentioned before in a free nation, to give seven millions out of the pockets of innocent people to increase the gains of those rogues, who have cheated you of twice as many more before. Were I on the stocks, for my own interest I should not desire it. It will be a just reason for those who are injured by it, to sink the stocks themselves if ever they have a juncture for it.

1720-1, February 16.—I have Neddy again at last, he brought me a letter from Brampton. I find by it that your father's nails, every one, both of hands and feet, are coming off, and new ones coming on. This may be painful to him, but I hope it is a great discharge of the venomous humour that has flown about him, and a good proof of strength of nature. As to Neddy, what I feared has happened. His mother has been cramming him, he is as fat as I am, I had almost said as your Lordship.

The Arch-deacon has been playing a very strange part. Your father is wonderful good in covering it as he does.

I hear of a very extraordinary appeal of Ruffe's. As far as I can judge of those things, I believe one of that nature was never brought into the House. Methinks those who are most his creatures should be ashamed of appearing for him in it. I know not upon what prospect he brings it on. I hope your Lordship will solicit heartily for Bob [Freind].

Do not you all conclude that the chief impeachers are returning again into full power, and that great changes are at hand?

1721, February 18.—Neddy tells me that your father's stomach is very bad still. Whether it is much weakened by long illness, or all the bad humour has not yet discharged itself, nothing would do him so much for that, or to clear his body of this humour which runs about, as Bath. He ridicules it, but I hope his doctors will oblige him to have recourse to it.

I am not disappointed in the report, nor shall I be, if we hear no more of the Directors. It is very plain matters must be hushed up. I fancy Knight will come, but he will be well instructed not to hurt himself or others. This will end probably in many alterations in great places. What turn the disoblighed may take time must show.

Tockay is a medicinal wine, it must be a great quantity indeed of that to do so much hurt.

1720-1, February 23.—I have had a very kind and florid epistle from my good friend Dr. Tudway. He tells me Middleton is replying upon Bentley, but the main reason of his letter was to tell me that he designs, when your consecration is over, to set upon a large treatise of music and to dedicate it to your Lordship. He complains he was straitened in compass in the short essay he has written before the book which you have. But he will spend the remainder of his life in writing a full and just treatise, if that short essay meets with that approbation he hopes for from your Lordship. What he means by that last, I know not. If he means verbal approbation, I suppose he may have it as large as he may have what he will of it (*sic*). There are not I am afraid materials enough left out of which a satisfactory account can be drawn, but I believe his is as good as any can be given.

I hear Lord Gore and Sir William Wyndham are at Woodstock, with dogs of all sorts and denominations. Why did they not go to Staffordshire, if they can dispense with their attendance in Parliament? That is a better country for fox-hunting than Woodstock.

1720-1, February 26.—Shame belongs to nothing that I know of but sin, but surely not to our good deeds, and least of all to the best of them. Your letter, of which you say you are ashamed, was proper for your quality, proper for your integrity, proper for your spirit, proper for the character you always had, and I trust in God ever will have in the world. I have reason to be proud of the favour of all your letters, but that was without exception the most glorious one I ever had from you, and as such I esteem it, and shall preserve.

I entirely agree with you as to your judgment in the other part of your letter, and I have been of the same opinion ever since I was with you at Wimpole. But I should be glad to know my godfather's opinion, which I should rely on before any one's in this case. And your uncle's too, who on this point is a good judge too. Pray talk it with them at least. It concerns even such a poor fellow as I am to have one's [eyes?] open upon such apprehension, but much more one of your station and circumstances, that you prepare for the emergency as well as you can. I hear Colonel Churchill returns a *non est inventus*. Men I believe will generally make the same reflections on it, but I should be glad to hear how they resent it.

Postscript. My duty to my honoured Lady. I suppose she is comforting the Duchess of Buckingham. That lady will certainly be a decent, but do you think she can be a sorrowful, widow. Old Rub will be a loss. Not finding the news of Knight's escape confirmed by any of my letters this post, I fancy my correspondent T. Rowney was misinformed.

[1721,] March 2.—Neddy is in great glee upon A[islalie]'s commitment. I am forced to preach up Christian philosophy to him. I can allow him to take pleasure in seeing a wicked wretch humbled, but I am afraid he considers him as a family enemy, as well as a common oppressor, and finds it hard to confine his joy to the proper ground only.

Here is a letter to the Master of Trinity come to us. Who is supposed to be the author of it? I fancy it is a second part of Middleton.

I shall hope to hear from your Lordship, though one line only, every post till the little one is quite well again.

I beg my duty to my honoured Lady, I pray God keep her from any fears about that which is dearer to her than herself.

Postscript. The epitaph and epigram are no secret. A gentleman who came down here brought them with him and I believe has made them pretty public. My schoolfellow [Prior] is talked of too for the author, upon no other ground that I can hear but that they are thought good enough for him, and they know no one else that could write them. I have religiously observed your orders to me.

1720-1, March 3.—A thousand thanks to you for the kindness of yours by this day's post. You must give me leave to rejoice for the news of it, on my own account as well as my Lady's and yours. Next to my little one's parents and her grand papa, no one could have been more dearly affected than I should have been with any thing fatal to her. I hope her physicians will be of my opinion, if the weather would change, to send her into fresh air.

I have the Pates' censure of Bentley; there is more work cut out for him, and perhaps for them too. I hope B. will think himself bound in honour to reply to them. I do not altogether admire the style of this censure. However the style as well as the subject here is somewhat better than it was in another performance of theirs of no very ancient date.

1720-1, March 5.—I have had an abstract of the report sent me by a friend, and upon reading it I am satisfied that we are no more to expect that any thing should be done at home than that Knight should return home. The parties concerned are too great, as well as too numerous, to allow of any such thing.

The honour your Lordship allows me of being your servant procures me compliments I had no reason to expect from Cambridge. I cannot but take satisfaction in them, as such favours to me are a proof of the respect that is paid to your Lordship. I had yesterday a kind letter from Covel, with a copy of the censure of B[entle]y enclosed. I did not think I could have lived so long in ignorance of your Christian name. I knew it not till last night when Dr. Davis's edition of Tully *De Divinatione* was brought to me. That book first informed me that it is Thomas. So learned a man, and that had opportunity of informing himself by being in your neighbourhood, cannot surely be mistaken.

Postscript. I have the favour of your Lordship's, and rejoice with you for Mrs. Peggy's perfect recovery. A little want of strength is no illness. God bless your endeavours against Ruffe. Go on and prosper.

1720-1, March 7.—I have had some further account of the report, and particularly as to the state of the charge against Sta[nho]pe, by which I am fully convinced of the equity of acquitting him.

We see[m ?] to have a method of curing wounds, not by draining off the humour that feeds them, but stopping only the orifice. Legal doctors are used to call this the practice of empirics, and to suppose that the humour would burst out again with more violence in some other place. But this [is] out of my profession, I leave it to those to whom it belongs.

I beg my duty to my honoured Lady, and my dear young mistress.

Postscript. I hope you never forget me to my schoolfellow.

I have heard that the Chancellor eats his decree. This is a full proof to me of Ruffe's secret correspondence with S[underland]. That can be the only means by which the Chancellor has been brought to retract. And this is a juncture in which S[underland] will be willing to oblige any one, especially one that is supposed, though I believe without much ground, to be capable of influencing a great many of a whole party.

1720-1, March 13.—I hope you will be in town again before Bob's cause come on. The great man that Ruffe corresponds with in secret is influencing all he can in his favour, and drawing off Bob's friends. I know nothing that can counter-balance this so well as if the Tories who are Ruffe's friends could be made to see what the interest is by which Ruffe works, and on which he chiefly depends.

We are here in some ferment. Our Recorder is dead. His second son stands for the place, and Sir Robert Jenkinson is put up by Lord Abingdon. The inclination of the electors is certainly for young Wright, and if the University did not interpose with a zeal very uncommon, not to say too violent, Lord Abingdon would be laid on his back, but by our help he will carry it.

I have seen old Rub's epitaph on himself. I am heartily sorry for it. It is a sad thing for a man to seem to glory in that which is not only his shame but too likely, too, the cause of misery to him. I see not of what use it can be to tell all posterity that he was an infidel. The epitaph imports little less. Were I Dean of Westminster I fancy I should never let it be put up. I suppose by *Improbis* he means *Impius*, unless he reckons cheating at Marybone and such peccadillos no breaches of probity.

Your neighbour Mr. Bacon is quarrelling with G. Clarke about a bill that is brought in to enable the colleges to part with lands and houses, to make room for Dr. Radcliffe's benefaction. As to the bill itself, I doubt whether I should have advised it, upon reasons relating to the colleges themselves. But Mr. Bacon can have no reason to think it, as he pretends, an affront to him. His opposition to it is from mere peevishness.

[1721,] April 5.—I bless God for your safe return to your own family again. Tudway indeed did give me a noble idea of the vast alterations that are projected at Wimpole. I could not but

admire them, though at the same time I begged leave to bestow one tear upon the loss of my beloved grove, and the old trees of the old avenue.

I most heartily thank your Lordship for your great service to church and state, in the share you have had in mortifying of Ruffe. I wish Bob may gain his point. But the point I most wanted is gained. The fellow is known, and his character exposed, by a testimony that cannot be eluded, his own insolence. I expect that his rage will every day produce some new extravagancies. I hear he does your father the honour of ascribing to him the opposition he has met with. I wish for the good of my good master's soul it were true. It would be the best atonement he could have made for preferring him. I wish I knew what the reasons are why your Lordship is not surprised at T[revo]r's being so thoroughly for him.

Your friend Sir W. Giffard has lost his wife, and is much afflicted at it.

The less Neddy stays in town the better.

Postscript. My hearty respects to my schoolfellow. I doubt not but he has had his share in the public service of humbling Ruffe. Is not S[underland]d supposed to be decently retiring from public business?

1721, April 6.—I cannot but reflect that Ruffe by his insolence should draw upon himself so just a mortification, in a cause in which much might be said on both sides, but in which he had more to say for himself than in any that he was ever engaged in. But the most wicked men have their time limited. Probably he has now met with his stop and may decline, but never regain credit again.

I am glad you are so well pleased with Neddy's outside, but I hope you will find reason to like his inside still better.

How goes the chapel on? Do you think it can be finished this summer? I suppose not. Pray answer me seriously to that. You know I have a little reason to enquire. I suppose you will not think of consecrating it till every thing belonging to it, that is to be fixed in it at least, is completely finished.

Mr. Bromley tells me that Ruffe was once one of old Rub's executors, but struck out upon that illness which sent him to the Bath. He must have got into old Rub by very mean flattery.

I am told the Attorney droops, upon the apprehension of his friend S[underland]d's going out. The Attorney carries it so far as to talk of retiring within a month into the country, and never more concerning himself in public business; not I suppose till more pensions or subscriptions are to be had. There seems to be a full hue and cry against S[underland]d. All these addresses seem pointed at him.

[1721,] April 9.—I am mightily pleased to hear that my sweet grove and the old avenue have got a reprieve. I hope it will be for my life.

I am so happy as to agree with your Lordship entirely in all your reflections about Lord S[underland]. Some gentlemen we know have been and are still miserable dupes. Those too I am afraid who are the very chief of the party. And Ruffe has been the instrument used in deluding them, and he has kept up his credit with them by that very thing, which has given him an opportunity to merit elsewhere by betraying them. That alone should induce all who have any compassion for those gentlemen to endeavour to take them out of his hands, by showing him in his proper colours. He has got such a hold on them, by the treacherous part he has played, that nothing but what is very glaring can open their eyes. I hear how it has gone at the Chapter, and expected no other. I was always in my own thoughts against the expedient, and wished the House had been left to pursue its own warmth. It will now be returned with much disadvantage to the Lords. Could friends be rallied and the point carried, his mortification would be much greater now he thinks he comes with so much advantage. And if the opposition still should be warm, it would I doubt not once more [give him ?] occasion to display those amiable passions he has. Should he carry the day his insolence will be insupportable. I have too good reason for what I suggest of the part Ruffe has played, and of the opinion that he has instilled of Lord S[underland] into those who take him for their oracle.

Neddy tells me his father is gone to take a ramble during the recess. Is Neddy gone with him? He says nothing of himself. The doubt of it hinders me from writing to him.

My hearty respects to my good school-fellow. He never did any thing more meritorious than to help to humble Ruffe.

Postscript. Is it known who was the mother of this young Herbert who is *heres secundus* to old Rub? I am told by one who has most concern to know that he is suspected to have been by a daughter of his own.

1721, April 16.—Your Lordship forgot one thing, which I am concerned to enquire and which you can tell me, whether your noble chapel at Wimpole is likely to be finished this summer. I had another sweet letter on Friday last from Dr. Tudway. But the old man is so gay and so full of his compliments, that I am not able to keep pace with him.

I hear of a saying fathered on Lord Oxford, that the removing S[underland] to take in W[alpole] would be like a man's putting off one dirty shirt to put on another dirty shirt. It came to me from Warwickshire. It was added that it was reported to have been told the King as Lord Oxford's saying, and that his Majesty was much diverted with it.

[1721,] April 23.—I have seen a very remarkable passage on the answer to a late address. Does your Lordship remember Macbeth's speech to Banquo's ghost, "Thou canst not say I did it." Surely no thanks are due to the minister that drew up that answer. Does your Lordship know that Morley's rake that was

our student is married. Here was a little sorry girl that was a servant to a poor bedmaker here, when that fellow was with us. The girl afterwards went to a service in London, where this fellow met with her last summer and married her. I have been applied to to recommend to Morley's favour his new daughter-in-law, but I believe you will think I have declined that office.

I thank you for your account of Lord P——t's disposition. I hope all friends will be mustered to prevent the putting off the cause again.

[1721,] April 28.—We heard this morning that Mr. Herbert, knight of our shire, was drowned, yesterday as I take it, by a slip of a foot bridge betwixt his own house and Thame. I hear no other particulars. I know not whether you will hear this first from me. Sir John Doily is said to be in town already making interest, but it is not said for whom.

1721, April 30.—Sir John Doily canvassed here for my Lord Chancellor's son, but it is thought he would have set up for himself at last, if he could have met with any encouragement. But he had so little where it was supposed he would have found most, that it is thought he has done with it, and that we shall be left to choose any one we have a mind to. It is thought Captain Bertie's eldest son, that was lately heir to James Norris of Weston, will be put up.

Our late knight is supposed to have been taken with an apoplexy, as he was going over the bridge. He was walking out alone into his grounds, as he used to do, about ten in the morning. There had indeed about five days before been a very hard bout, which might have helped it, at a meeting Duke Wharton had with the Tory gentlemen.

I have read Dr. Middleton's book with a great deal of pleasure, he is a very ingenious man. I shall epistolize my good friend Dr. Tudway very shortly with my thanks. I fancy Bentley will be as little in humour at the election as I hope Ruffe will be. I shall be full of expectation of news from you by Thursday's post.

1721, May 2.—Mr. Edward was this afternoon presented to his master's degree. He was graced with a numerous attendance of Heads and Doctors. I cannot say it passed *nemine contradicente*, there were three and I think no more of our perverse club against it. Mr. Sub-dean made a florid speech at presenting him, in which he vouchsafed to sprinkle a few good words on your father and yourself. I guess by that, and his talking more than ever he did against Ruffe, that he suspects the times are turning. But I will not forestall him. I suppose he will give you a copy of what he said. He sets out to-morrow towards the election.

Mr. Perrot, that married Duke Chandos' niece Bouchier, is set up for our county. I think there can be no doubt of his carrying it. Sir John now makes interest for himself, but he meets every where with so much discouragement that I cannot think he will persist.

1721, May 4.—I am heartily sorry for the news you have sent me of Lord Carmarthen. I pray God make him in some measure sensible before he leaves the world how he has lived in it.

I suppose the rejection of the bill in the Lords will be construed to be equal to a toleration of Arians and used by them accordingly. If they who encourage this can bring the nation to a division of orthodox and Arian, they will find it somewhat more fatal to the peace of it than Whig or Tory ever was. Though I doubt not they who encourage this, design through that to strike at Christianity itself.

The day was as shameful surely in the Commons with respect to our temporal goods. But I take it they have cut their own throats there. What they design to raise credit will I fear in a little time sink that and stocks too with it. There may be a little flourish for the present, but when once it appears that it is impracticable to pay our debts, as I think it is now, every man in his wits will desire to be out of stocks. And I doubt not but they who are in the secret have given up the seven millions, chiefly that they may sell to advantage.

Your Parmesan is most excellent.

1721, May 7.—I am afraid Bob has had a good deal of trouble in his contest. But one may safely say he would have had much more had he submitted to the insolence of his adversary.

It is now said we shall have no opposition in our county election. The Duke of Chandos, upon his new alliance, has brought over the Marlborough interest to his nephew Perrot. I know not how the Whigs will take it, they can do nothing without it, nor could they have done much with it.

I heartily rejoice with you for the news of poor Lord Carmarthen's recovery. I pray God he may make the proper use of the longer time that is allowed him here. But he has used that poor body of his so unmercifully, that I am afraid he cannot hope for any long time.

Postscript. I believe Dr. Middleton is generally right in supposing Bentley has no manuscripts but what have been collated before, but Bentley has had one collated which never was, I believe, made use of before, and the most ancient now extant; and if Walker says true, will be of great use. An account is given of it at the end of Lamy's "Harmony," in the Appendix. I am amazed that Mills had it not collated. That "Harmony" was published many years before Mills' Testament.

1721, May 9.—I am going to my poor villa to-morrow for a little air. If the weather does not force me home again I believe I shall stay a fortnight or perhaps three weeks.

I am heartily sorry for poor Tom Vernon, I had a kindness for him ever since I came acquainted with him, upon drawing up the answer to your father's articles. If what he did were excusable in any one, it was in him. I am sure it might better have been excused than what Ruffe said for Aislaby in the

House of Lords. I am sorry this should happen on the Tory side. The Whigs would have managed such a point more dexterously, and not have punished it if discovered so severely. I believe that has no place in their catalogue of sins.

Postscript. We are here at present full of noble "cockers."

1721, May 16.—I can hold no longer. I must enquire how you and my Lady and Mrs. Margery do. I am here in a perfect solitude, and find I can be easy enough in my ignorance of the state of the rest of the world, public and private. But my thoughts will be going to Dover Street, and cannot be easy when they return without any account of them. It is some step towards happiness for a man to know what it is that has hold on him, and what he sits loose to. And more still to know that those to which he is most attached are those which he has most reason to value. But I think a man can be assured of this by nothing but his own experience of the want of them. You see my state; it is in your power by two lines to make it as happy as I desire it to be. And if you will direct them for me at Mr. Thomas Smith's at Lamborn they will come safe to me.

I find by the Bishop of Chester that you ventured to the school, when you heard Ruffe would not be there. What is the state of that poor place? As miserable, and as little likely to be put upon a better foot, as the nation itself.

I find by what I hear of reports from the public prints, that the remission of the seven millions has had the issue I always thought it would, of sinking stock instead of raising it. What is to be done next? Do they pretend to have any other resource?

1721, May 24. Little Shefford.—I must wait for the particulars of Ruffe's gaining his cause, till I see the Bishop. But I hear in general that it was through the Attorney's jockeying, and the desertion of some Scotch peers. And no one that has the least knowledge of either of them can be surprised at any treachery from any of them. Upon the whole I believe Ruffe will find he has lost more than he has gained. The point I was more concerned for is gained; his treacherous correspondence is now as clear as sunshine.

I know not any thing that has happened to me of late that has so truly grieved me as my missing of my godfather. I had left it in charge with Neddy to send a messenger over to me the moment that he should hear any thing of his coming. And I had resolved to return the moment I had notice of it, though it had been at midnight. But my godfather's consummate prudence frustrated all my precautions. Could he have prevailed with himself to have dispensed with a little of that, and to have let us know a week before when he designed to call at Oxford, I had avoided the misfortune I have had.

I hope you have a better opinion of me as a parish priest than to think I would leave my parish before Whitsunday, though the Bishop of Chester is at Oxford.

1721, June 4.—I have been with the Warden [of All Souls], and have had what account he can give me. They agreed, he says, in the gross with Thornhill, for both pieces of painting, and entered into no particulars with him. For the painting over the altar, Thornhill had 250*l.*, but then Thornhill was at the charge of putting up the frame of boards, lath and plaster, on which the painting is. The painting of the roof is done upon canvas, stretched upon frames. For those roses, and for new painting the figures on the walls, and the two vases, Thornhill had 260*l.* 10*s.* But the old gilding of the buttresses of the beams that support the roof was fresh and wanted not to be renewed. Had that been to have been done, it would have come to much more. This is all the account I can get. I asked particularly if they had computed their charge by so much a foot or a yard, in either piece of painting. The Warden said no, but that they had agreed in the gross with him. If you want the dimensions, or anything else, let me know in your next.

Neddy saw his father safe as far as Morton in Marsh.

1721, June 18.—I agree with you in your reflection, only I would improve it a little. They should be scratched with scorpions and not with briars. I have been amazed on that account at the management of some men. But they can as little use, as create, opportunities. They have acted like men in pay. Perhaps they are so. But if I mistake not much, the thing itself admits of no cure. After all our tinkers can do, they will leave the kettle in as bad a condition as they found it.

I have had a hint, as though Lord Carleton was to be chief minister. Do you think there is any ground for it? I shall be amazed if one in his circumstances would meddle with such as are in ours.

Neddy dined with me to-day; Brother Hammond is drinking the waters at Bristol, and I am alone on Sundays. After dinner I went to see your noble present of the arabs to him. I liked them so very well, that he had much ado to hinder me from carrying them off. I thought they might appear to more advantage in my hall than in his room.

1721, June 23.—I have one secret that I can let you into. When South Sea stock was fallen, the Company itself took off the greatest part of the Attorney's stock at 600*l.*, some was left in to keep up a show as though he had not sold. You see now the reason of his concern for them, and he had from the Government all the money that he put in there. It is a pity but these things were more known.

I suppose the Lord Chancellor's visit last week to Ruffe is no secret in town. He did it with great reluctance, but higher powers obliged him to it.

Postscript. Walpole has been to visit Ruffe, and S[underlan]d and Lord T[revo]r have been there together. Carleton, who is to come in play, is often in close conference with him. If all this does not open the eyes of the Tories, they must have a *gusta serena*

in them. It is plain he is in the secret of the new scheme, whatever it is. I suppose he has engaged to bring in a party of the Tories to it. I have heard that some of the most warm were doubted of. I mean no less a man than W[yndha]m.

1721, June 25.—I must beg pardon for a mistake I made on Friday. It was Carteret, and not Carleton, who is in close and daily conference with Ruffe. Bob is under such resentment to Carteret, for the treachery with which he dealt with him, that he seems resolved to refrain from common civilities to him.

I pray God send you good news from Brampton, we could spare one lady there, but not your aunt. I have had a sweet epistle from old Tudway, in which he desires me to recommend his duty to your Lordship. He seems to take me to have an interest in your Lordship to which I have no title, nor the impudence, I hope, to pretend to. I have just now the favour of your Lordship's. I cannot but be amazed at Lord Car[marthe]n's accepting.

Postscript. What is the Attorney disappointed in? He was talked of for Privy Seal. The Tories will find themselves most rarely bubbled. What junctures have they lost, and would do so again, had they as many more, under their present conduct. They will be the most contemptible set of men in the kingdom.

I hear Sir William W[yndham] is not to appear again for Somersetshire should there be a new Parliament. It would be to no purpose. Nor your cousin Palmer for Bridgwater. But Palmer talks of putting up for the county, but it is supposed can make nothing of it.

1721, June 29.—I heartily grieve with you for the news you have had from Brampton. I shall not pretend to say anything of her to one who knew her so much better than I can pretend to. May we all live so as to meet her again where I doubt not she is. Your uncle's loss will be easier as to the care of his family by his having so excellent a sister to supply his wife's place in that point.

I hear there will be more alterations, but I am of your Lordship's opinion, that all talked of are not possible. Nor can I see of what use those which are to be made will be, but only for those who come in to scrape a little. And there cannot be much gleaning after the late general plunder. I am afraid no change of hands can make those who are undone insensible of it.

I have had a letter to-day from Mr. Covel. He is finding out an office for me for which I am in no way qualified. I perceive your Lordship and he are not yet quite agreed upon the price of his knick-knacks. He invites me to see them, and says he would submit to my mediation and determination in that affair. I shall thank him for his kind offer, which I should readily accept of were I within reach, but shall let him know that my taste is not exquisite enough for me to pretend to judge of the value of such things.

One Franks of Merton, a clergyman whom possibly you may remember, who had Wood Eaton, and the fine cure of Gam Gay (Gamlingay) near you from that College, is thrown into the castle

here for debts by his adventures in the South Sea ; and Painter, the late Rector of Exeter's daughter, has lost 1,200*l.* which her father left her, and is gone to service into Duke Chandos' family. I am afraid we shall every day hear of more of these instances.

1721, July 2.—My Lord Oxford will certainly be much concerned at your aunt's death. If I understand him right such a domestic affliction will sit heavier upon him than an impeachment. But the concern he will be in for your uncle, and his endeavour to comfort him, will make him suppress his own grief as much as he can, and help to divert it too. How different are our fates in this world ! That which is so real an affliction to your uncle would be as real a comfort to my good master.

My neighbour Mrs. Rowney is under no little concern that she had not an opportunity of paying her duty to my Lady this winter. She had been preparing a piece of her own work, of which she had designed to beg my Lady's acceptance. I have comforted her as well as I can with hopes of better luck next winter.

To-morrow the Bishop of Durham completes his fifty-first year since his consecration. They say no English bishop ever was so long a bishop except Bouchier, Archbishop of Canterbury, who was fifty-two years bishop. Many of our Heads go over to-morrow to solemnize the day with him, and they are to appear in their Doctors' formalities and he is to receive them in purple velvet.

Hall of Queen's has married John Hudson's widow and she has given him money to take his doctor's degree. Behold the power of a woman ! It has drawn a hermit [out] of his cell, that was proof against every thing else.

1721, July 9.—I have sent your Lordship as I promised a catalogue of the few Travels which I have. I believe there are scarce any amongst them but what are in your collection. I have met lately indeed with an account of two collections of Travels which are not as I remember in your collection, nor did I ever meet with or hear of them anywhere but in a collection of several pieces of Mr. Locke printed at London, 1720, 8vo. In that piece called "Some thoughts concerning reading and study for a gentleman," p. 241, there is mention of a

Relation des Voyages en Tartarie, &c., le tout recueilli par Pierre Bergeron. Paris, 1634. 8vo.

Le Grand Voyage des Hurons, situées en l'Amerique, par F. Gab. Sayard Theodat. Paris, 1632. 8vo.

Mr. Bridges and Bateman the bookseller are in town. They were with me last night and Tom Heron [*sic*, Hearne ?] was so very gracious as to come along with them, where your Lordship's health was drunk in the first rank of the *Literati*.

1721, July 17.—I find all your Lordship hinted about Ai[slab]y proves true. No doubt it will have the effect you suppose. I admire our great men, they act with a just contempt of the resentments of all concerned. This is a rare job for the Attorney, according

to his own heart's desire. I may suppose that he is not as squeamish as Rosse was. No doubt he finds his account from the person concerned, as well as from those to whom he does journey work.

I hear B[olingbro]ke is not to be included in the act of grace, though he was once promised that he should. They still promise that he shall [have] the King's pardon. That, as the Attorney says, will reach only to life, but not restore either honour or estate. He must be very low if he can submit to come home upon those terms.

We in the country do not like the making of the malt tax a fund for a lottery. We are afraid it tends to the mortgaging of it at another time, for more than one year.

The present proceedings do not seem to me to tend either to a new ministry or a new parliament.

1721, August 5.—The horse-race which is in the beginning of the week at Oxford has obliged me to defer my return thither for a few days. But I shall be there again, God willing, on Thursday next, but I am afraid my stay will not be long; for I have a strong impulse upon me, which I know not yet whether I can resist, to take a journey into Herefordshire.

I find the French papers have transcribed out of the English the news of a match for my dear young mistress. I have had many questions asked me about it, but I could very truly answer that I knew no ground for it.

The Attorney is now *rectus in curia*. We shall soon see whether he will have what he has earned so dearly. He seems to have left his friend B[olingbroke] in the lurch. Great promises were made, which surely might have been performed if the Attorney would have employed his interest for any one but for himself.

1721, August 10.—I believe your Lordship thinks I would deal seriously with you and not presume to recommend any servant to you, much less a chaplain, that I did not think every way proper for you. But if you look over England I do not think you will find one more fit for your purpose than Tom Thomas. He has those parts of learning, I mean the mathematics, which will be of great use to your Lordship in many of your affairs; nor should such a family as yours be without one well skilled in them. He will be a very good assistant or deputy to the great Mr. Wanley. But his learning though good is by no means the chief qualification for the post for which you design him. His temper is chiefly to be considered, and I know not one in the world more particularly fitted by that to live in so great and so good a family as your Lordship's. He is not yet in orders, but, if you are in haste, soon can be, and will go on purpose to Chester to get orders, I dare say, if you should desire he should come to you before Michaelmas, when the next regular ordination is.

I hope my friend Mr. Gurwood has left your Lordship upon a valuable consideration.

1721, September 5. Eywood.—*Post varios casus, post mille pericula, tandem venimus ad Patrie sedem*, but could I have imagined the roads had been near so bad as I found them, a coach and eight horses would not have tempted me to have ventured. On Friday night your uncle's chariot met me at the Hundred House; and on Saturday night, tumbled and bruised by the wretched roads from the crown of my head to the sole of my foot, I reached this place. I received orders at the Hundred House from your father to go to Eywood, and not to come to Brampton. I was told he would meet me here, but I hear nothing of him yet. Your uncle goes over to-day to Brampton, but positively refuses me to go with him. He says he will bring your father here to-morrow. There is some mystery in this which I am not to be let into. All that is told to me is that your father has a mind to come and spend some time here, but that the old Lady has hitherto refused to come. So my being here is to be made use of as a pretence for his coming, and for desiring her to come along with him. If this be true, and the only reason why I am not allowed to go to Brampton, the poor man is put to hard shifts for gaining his own innocent purposes.

1721, September 13. [Eywood.]—I thank your Lordship for the honour of yours which I received here. Your father came not to us till Thursday last. Next day came Baron Price and stayed till yesterday. I have had no discourse yet alone with your father, and I believe I shall have little. Though he says he has as much to say to me, and I suppose when my boots are on to be gone I shall be told that he wants much to speak with me. He gets strength every day. Were he where he could have proper advice, and be tolerably easy, I doubt not but he would soon be as well as he ever was in his life. By what he has dropped to me, and by what I learn from your aunt, he designs for the town, though I perceive your uncle is against his moving now. As well as he was last year, I hear your uncle takes the credit to himself of hindering him from coming up last year, but this betwixt ourselves. If I have opportunity I shall very freely speak my mind. I have left the friend I called on in my way hither in the utmost disposition your father himself could wish to concur with him in every thing. I have just had a hint from your father of some private transactions of your brother-in-law, and I perceive he is nearly concerned at them, and well he may. I must own that would have been the last thing I would have suspected.

Postscript. The book you mentioned against the Bishop of Chester can never be [by] Kennett. It is the product of Grubstreet or some madman's.

1721, September 24. Little Shefford.—I bless God I am safe at my own hut again, but surely there are no such roads in any part of the world as in Herefordshire. If ever I could pretend to any merit from my good master it is upon this occasion. I defy any in the world, but himself or your Lordship, ever to induce me to go those roads again. I am amazed that I have returned with all

my limbs sound. At the very top of the highest hill in Herefordshire, in the place where there are precipices on each side, as the little captain was shewing me the way to Ross, my horse's heels flew up upon the rock and he came flat upon the ground with me, but I bless God I had no hurt. At Stoke I met your aunt Charleton and her wise husband. As I entered Ross I had an honour I very little expected. The people took me for their new bishop.

I thank God I left your father getting strength daily, I wish he may be prevailed with not to defer his journey till the weather and roads will make it more hazardous for him than it is at present.

I met here the account of the Bishop of Durham's death. No doubt that See will be supplied as well as Hereford has been. My godfather was positive that Duke Chandos by proper methods would prevail for his brother. I was humbly of opinion that the usual methods would not be allowed to take place in that case. I proved to be in the right, but none of us imagined the person who has it would have been pitched on. No doubt Ruffe will put in for Durham, as he did for Winchester, but I cannot think he will prevail.

1721, September 30.—I wrote to your Lordship again last Sunday, by the first opportunity after I arrived here, and before I had heard of poor Mr. Prior's death. I heartily [feel ?] with your Lordship for the loss of so dear a servant to you, and bewail my own loss of a very ingenious friend. Neither this nor any other nation as far as I know, has any one of equal talents to him. Poetry is gone with him. The rest of the pretenders to it are but scribblers. He had signs indeed of weak lungs, but I did not think he had actually been in a consumption, but I have not yet heard of what particular illness he died. He had the last satisfaction he could be capable of, in dying with one who had been so noble and true a friend to him as your Lordship had been.

I go for Oxford again next Thursday. There has been a sad mortality this summer. I am afraid the succession of B[ishops?] is not likely to be better in its kind than that of Poets.

1721, October 20.—Last night I received a packet from Eywood, the enclosed were in it, and another from your aunt. But in case you were gone, I was ordered to burn your aunt's letter. If I may judge by Ned's letter, the purport of all was to press you, since you were so far on your way, to come on to Eywood. I have answered for you that they could not well expect that such a thought should come into you, whilst you expected every post to hear that Lord O[xford] had fixed the day for his journey to town. I perceive your father is still at Eywood, and that your uncle has no thoughts of stirring yet. Whilst he stays he will not let your father move. I am sorry for it. If the journey is not quite laid aside, it will be too late I am afraid either for his health or any business.

1721, October 23.—I wrote on Sunday last a pretty home letter to Ned; I desired him to show it to your aunt and his father. I know not how it will be taken, but I have guarded as well as I can against resentment. I pretend not to tell my own thoughts but other men's, that all suppose your father is kept against his inclinations by your uncle's importunity. And I ask, if anything fatal should happen, as it is likely it may in another winter, how your uncle would bear to have his brother's loss imputed to him, though he should know there were no grounds for it. I hope your Lordship will write, as you said you would, immediately to your uncle, to desire him earnestly to press your father not to lose the opportunity of this mild weather for his journey.

I am afraid you will not find poor Prior's estate as free from incumbrances as you thought it was. I hear from Agatha that my namesake, Frank Stratford, has a claim upon Prior for 1,495*l*. If this should prove a legal debt it will take place of all money legacies, and the special legacies, such as are left to you, will be safe, yet Mr. Drift and Madam Cox must submit to deductions if there is not enough besides to answer it.

[1721,] October 29.—I have heard from Ned; they were much concerned that their letters did not come to you before you left me. He says your father was very uneasy at the disappointment of not seeing you. I guess by that there are no thoughts of coming up soon, if at all. I perceive my letter galled somebody—as they please, *Liberavi animam meam*. If your father stays a few weeks longer, I shall never expect to see him more.

You will hear by the prints, before this can come to you, that the great lady [Marlborough] carried the election at Woodstock—it was carried by twelve. There were sixteen, twenty, guineas a vote. After all she had lost it if there had not been as usual great mismanagement on the other side.

The Viscount [Harcourt] has got 600*l*. of the King and 300*l*., some say 400*l*., of the Prince towards building Worcester College. I think this is an instance of power as well as favour. He has been made easy, you may guess which way. He went up to town on Thursday in good humour. He pretends to be positive that the Parliament will be dissolved in February.

1721, November 1.—I am glad you have fresh assurances of your father's health. It will I doubt not be for his health, though not of much use I believe as to any other purpose. All the business of the session will probably be over before he can be there. An opportunity was lost last winter that probably will not be to meet with again, and will be a just punishment on the Tories, if by screening S[underland] they have put themselves out of all hopes of ever recovering the game.

It is now said, there will be a new Parliament, and with such confidence, that I know not what to say to it. But I am afraid it will be such as the present is. There was some bickering lately betwixt Shippen and Walpole. When it was over Walpole came and sat by Shippen and said, "Will, you

are a happy man than can speak your mind." Shippen answered, "Robin, why do not you do so, you can afford to do it better than I. But shall we have a new Parliament as you pretend?" Walpole replied "You must have one, this is worn out, I am quite tired of it, but I hope soon to leave you." The last words were supposed to relate to his going into the House of Lords, he being said to have in his pocket a patent to be Earl of Walsingham.

Your old tutor is as you supposed much elated with his new honour, but knows so little how to manage it that there is danger of his being mad with it. I keep at a due distance out of harm's way, but he has had bickerings with the Bishop of Chester in the Chapter and out of it. To-day was a gaudy, when the undergraduates bring verses to us at our table to desire a gaudy. The Bishop by chance observed to him that in the title they had omitted Doctor of Divinity and Sub-Dean and said "this is only to plain Tom Terry." He took this as a designed reflection, and set into a violent passion openly at table, and said he would not be insulted, especially now in the Dean's absence—meaning I suppose since he was proxy—that he could say things upon the Bishop, but he must not; the Bishop had privilege; he should be punished, if he said what he could—meaning I suppose the danger of *scandalum magnatum*—and at this rate he went on, though he saw the whole table in confusion.

G. Clarke desires me to tell you, and so does the Warden of All Souls too, that there is only one copy of Jerome in the Savilian archives. There is another in the Bodley Library. Mr. Wanley said there were three, and, as I understood him, two of them in the Savilian archives. If there is another, I suppose it is in the Bodley archives. Pray enquire this of Mr. Wanley.

[1721,] November 6.—Neddy says your father leaves Eywood this week—'say and hold'—and that he [Lord Oxford] will go to town as soon as he has notice that a house is taken for him.

I find by my letters that poor Prior's will makes a noise in town much to his disadvantage. Some malicious fellows have had the curiosity to go and enquire of the ale house woman what sort of conversation Prior had with her. The ungrateful strumpet is very free of telling it, and gives such accounts as afford much diversion. You know I suspected such things.

You say nothing to me of my namesake's letter to your Lordship about his claim of a debt from Prior. As far as I understand the case, my namesake has a very equitable claim, at least to that part of the money which he paid down for Hales's share. It was at that time a mighty service to Prior, and if it had not been paid then, the whole intrigue of that stock jobbing would have broken out, and have blown up Prior. I gave you notice I remember in your little room at York Buildings of this very co-partnership, but you would not then believe it.

The election at All Souls was carried on Friday for Mr. F. Gwyn's son by a great majority of six voices. It is a great blow to Harrison and his party.

1721, November 10.—The entertainment of one *Principe* of the *Literati* is surely a sufficient excuse, if you could need any for not writing to such a poor *homuncio* as I am. But what could bring our friend Mr. Bridges to Cambridge? Can that place afford any materials towards the history of Northamptonshire?

A sad accident happened here this week, one Ward, a senior fellow of Oriel, was found on Wednesday morning, in a chamber there not his own, but of one he had the use, shot through his head. He had been out of order sometime in mind and body, he was supposed to have done it in the night time. He was a very honest fellow, and designed for Provost, if the present [one] had gone off. Bowles the librarian is playing the same part in that society your tutor does in ours. He has offered himself to the Whigs there, but they won't receive him. Upon changing sides too, he has changed manners and taken up those of the Whigs, and keeps a wench here pretty publicly. That is somewhat new in this place, nor do I remember any instance of it before but in J. Keill, and he was forced to cover her with the name of a wife.

It is said the new Parliament depends upon the reports that will be made from the west, of what may be hoped for there. Ros. and Vincent are gone down to try what they can secure.

1721, November 13.—All the salaries of the judges of Wales as well as England were augmented at the beginning of this reign except Mr. Jeffries'. His salary is now ordered to be augmented as well as the others, and the arrears to be paid him from the time the others were augmented. This is said to be procured without his privity, by his friend the Viscount; he seems very uneasy at it, but it is thought he will take it. More of this when we meet.

1721, November 20.—I am afraid we shall have a disturbance in this place. Harrison and his party now canvass openly for a sorry fellow, Dr. King. Tim Thomas can give you an account of him. The design is only so far as yet appears to throw out G. Clarke.

We are like to lose one of the honestest men we have here, the poor Master of Balliol [Baron]. He is very ill of a rupture of an uncommon largeness, and has a dropsy, the jaundice, and a mortification too with it. Old Charlett seems to 'pick up his crums,' and I hope will get over this winter.

I have a most kind epistle from my worthy friend Dr. Tudway.

1721, November 24.—You to be sure have earlier notice than I have had, that Sir T. Powis's house is taken for our friend. I like the house and situation very much; but now that excuse is removed, I wish he may not take some months to settle things in the country before he can leave it. By mine of Wednesday last we were still at Eywood.

Postscript. My respects to Mr. Cæsar and the most excellent Calphurnia. Ruffe is doing everything possible to regain credit with old friends. He enters warmly into all opposition that is given. He knows he shall be despised by the others if they see he is not trusted by old friends. I do not find that he can yet gain ground.

1721, December 2. [London.]—I hope you and my Lady are safe again at Wimpole, as I am in town. But I hope your own air agrees with you better than a strange air does with me; I am afraid I have got the usual blessing of the town, a cold. I lodge in Westminster in Stable Yard at Mrs. Broughton's, the brick house that faces the gate that joins to the College chamber.

I had a letter last night from our friend in Herefordshire, he says he is in good earnest going home to dispatch every thing for his journey as soon as possible. He has been pleased to let me know the too sad reason he has had for deferring his journey so long. But no doubt your Lordship has had a fuller account of that than I have, or I should have sent you what I have.

Walpole has been ill. It is said he is now past danger; his illness has deferred the project of the engraftment, which he is resolved to push through the Parliament though the several companies should refuse to agree to it. His project is to aggrandize the Bank by it, and by that to govern the other companies, and consequently the whole kingdom. All wise men are of opinion that it will immediately sink the South Sea Company and ruin the others too at last. There will be a violent opposition to it. There is no doubt in these parts of a new Parliament, and it is said the opposition between the two ministers is greater than ever, and that the great struggle will be betwixt these two parties, and that each of them would choose rather to see a Tory in any place, than a Whig of the other party.

The Viscount's pension is no less than 5,000*l.* per annum, and he earns it dearly. He has now pulled off the mask, and votes openly in every vote with his masters. Lord W[harto]n says that Lord Cowper is at the head of the Tories and Lord H[arcour]t at the a—— of the Whigs.

1721, December 6.—The reason of detaining your father was to break a very scandalous match for your cousin Ned, in which your worthy uncle had engaged himself, upon the hopes of a mighty fortune. Your father, in his letter to Mr. Thomas, says it would have been the ruin of the family. In his letters to the Bishop of Chester and to me, he says it was unmeet in all respects, but his dear brother happened to be entangled in it by the prospect of a great fortune. He says he has put an end to it, but with great difficulty, and that it required a good deal of management. It was some great creature that was I believe as disagreeable in person and humour as in blood. For I find Ned had the utmost aversion to it, though he writes as becomes a son, and says his father's affection to him began it, and his tenderness to him at last put an end. Both your father and Ned refer me to our meeting for particulars, but both enjoin me with the utmost strictness not to take the least notice to his father that I know any thing of it. I can only perceive in general that it was very scandalous, much pressed by your uncle for the sake of money, and that the whole family have been in the utmost fright about it and abhorrence of it, and have with great difficulty brought your uncle off of it. But this has not been the

only mischief that has happened by it. Your father by his delay in the country has lost a juncture, which I know not whether he will recover. Mr. Bromley had come up by your father's desire to meet him. Sir William Wyndham and those who were supposed to have headed a party in opposition to your father, now make their court entirely to Mr. Bromley, and as he has declared he will act with no man but your father, had your father been on the spot, I believe all old breaches had been made up by this time, and Mr. Bromley had brought the whole Tory party once again under your father. But your father having failed Mr. Bromley, he is going into the country again next week, and I cannot say whether there will ever be so fair a juncture again for it. As to affairs here, I find it still doubted whether there will be a new Parliament, though all the ministers swear still that there will.

Walpole's heart is set upon the engraftment, and Sunderland too comes into it, which is a proof to one that the pretence to a quarrel betwixt them two is a juggle. But I am told by those who should know, that the South Sea will never be brought to consent to it. In that case it is supposed Walpole will ram it down them. That may be done, but it will be a hard case.

No one seems to doubt but that the new Parliament, if there be one, will be to the Court's mind. The counties are most of them compounded already.

We are going to bully Portugal with a squadron, in a case in which they certainly have the right.

The Lords too go on to put questions about the debts of the navy and treaties, and are always baffled by 60 to 21, but they still protest and many good speeches are made.

Lord Wharton has left the Tories and returned to those from whom he came, and kissed the King's hand yesterday. The Viscount now votes in every question with the Court.

1721, December 12.—Your sister Kinnoull, with all those 'bearn's' that are with her, is ordered by her Lord to follow him to Kinnoull, and is now I suppose on the road thither. She, poor Lady, writes to your cousin Popham that she hopes to see her still within six weeks. But Mrs. Bateman, from whom I have this, is of opinion that it is with a design to leave her and her children there, and to send those who are there to her. I must own I agree with Mrs. Bateman, who told me further that she was sure it was his design when he left this town. I wish you may ever see your sister again.

Here is a mighty struggle in the city at the ballot for and against the engraftment. The Court exert their utmost strength, and omit no means possible to carry it for an engraftment. But the ballot ran last night against it, and it was thought would do more so to-day. The ferment is great. Many suspect the present directors as much as they did the last, and are as angry with them. It is certain that most of them go entirely into the Court measures. Mr. Walpole's fate is supposed to depend upon the issue of this ballot, nor is that the only consequence that is expected from it.

Mr. Bromley left this place yesterday, he would have stayed longer if I would have undertaken that he should have seen his friend here before Christmas. But I have burnt my fingers far in that already, and more than I will ever do again. I durst not venture to give any further hopes of that nature.

1721, December 14.—Your Lordship's of the 11th was delivered to me yesterday morning. I fancy by it that you are not ignorant of the name of the person designed for our young friend. I was referred for that to our meeting, but if your Lordship knows it, I hope I shall not be kept so long in suspense.

I wish your Lordship had been pleased to let me know when I may hope to see you in town. But you take not the least notice of what I said to that point, by which I am afraid I have as little hopes of seeing you here as your father.

I have been confined to my lodgings for three days by a cold, and am disabled by it to furnish you with much news, though I think there is but little stirring.

The ballot was carried against the Court by 178. No means, no arts were omitted to have turned it on the other side. Many expect there will be some strokes upon it and that Sunderland will take this advantage to give a lift to Walpole. This I can assure you, that though all the rest of the Court were zealous against the question yet some of the most intimate creatures that Sunderland has, Harcourt, Bateman, and Daniel Poultney (Pulteney) were for it. The Lords go to oppose and protest, Cowper at the head of them, who has gained great credit by his management.

Lord Wharton's return to the Court is no news to you. There is much talk of terms which he made, but I am assured he sent the ministry *carte blanche*. When he first appeared after his reconciliation in the House of Lords, he told every one that he designed to make the Bishop of Rochester his confessor, and he did not doubt of satisfying the bishop that what he had done was reasonable; and he went that very morning to the Bishop of Rochester.

Ruffe himself finds that all the world distrusts him, and is using all methods possible that either impudence or artifice can practice or suggest to him to save appearances in some measure to the world, and to seem to have some credit with his friends.

1721, December 19.—The fortune you mention was not so great that it ought to have tempted our friend, where other things were improper. He might without doubt have such a fortune every day in the year for his son, but as your Lordship justly observes the vicinity was the bait. *O si angulus ille proximus accedat, qui nunc denormat agellum*. I see your obligations to the tawdry Duke, and it is very just that you should have such retribution from one whom some of your family have served so much, when he so little deserved it. But if I am not much misinformed, you will still have much worse usage from him, and not only submit to it, but serve him too in points, to the damage as well as discredit of your family.

All I converse with are still fully persuaded that there will be a new Parliament, and I cannot see why there should not. I think there is no doubt but they will have one to their purpose, and that will answer all objections and may do anything.

I hear your uncle joins with Sir Archer Croft [at Leominster], and that they are sure of throwing out Carswell [Sir Geo. Caswall].

I am now told that S[underland] had nothing to do in the answer to the South Sea, that it was left entirely to W[alpole] and written all in his hand, as well as the contract with the Bank was. It is certain he has the odium of it, which grows very strong in the city. It is said he too is very bold still, and says he will force the engraftment on them. But he looks wretchedly, and many think him as uneasy in mind as in body. He is gone into the country to-day. Many still fancy this affair will end in his dismissal.

Some things have happened at a new opera which have given great offence. It is called *Horidante*. There happens to be a right heir in it, that is imprisoned. At last the right heir is delivered and the chains put upon the oppressor. At this last circumstance, there happened to be very great and unseasonable clapping, in the presence of great ones. You will hear more when you come to town.

1721, December 21.—Sir Humphrey says that Walpole will be “gigged,” and I find most people are of that opinion. It is supposed he will retire honourably to the peerage. The public cry designs Carleton for the Treasury, and Poultney for Chancellor of the Exchequer.

1721, December 23.—The cry continues as it did in relation to Walpole. Some say his health will never allow him to engage again in public business, and that his physicians have told him so. Others are still of opinion that though he could be able to go on with business, yet advantage will be taken from what has happened to give him an honourable dismissal. It is said cabals are going on by the ministers, and some of the same nature that were talked of last winter, but I can warrant nothing of this to you.

Postscript. I have been so happy as to meet Calphurnia at the Bishop of Chester's, and shall make bold to wait on her without staying to be introduced by your Lordship.

Lord Rochester has a pension upon the Post Office for 2,000*l.* during pleasure.

1721, December 28.—The talk runs still upon Walpole's going out. The South Sea stock is risen to an 100 upon the hopes of it, and it is said it will be an 110 when he is out. But I find some, who have opportunities too of knowing how things run at Court, doubt whether he will go out.

Kennett's (Bishop) daughter is big with child, impregnated by his coachman, who owns her as his wife. Whether the marriage preceded or followed the impregnation, I cannot as yet say.

No news of our friend's having left Eywood. I fancy the thoughts of moving this way are suspended, till it be seen whether there will be a new Parliament or no.

1721-2, January 1.—You will have from Mr. Thomas an account of the new occasion of our friend's stay in the country. He says it would be determined of the last week, and then he would move, but I have done believing. I shall still doubt till I see. I confess he would put your election in Herefordshire upon the most proper and most honourable foot, if it will go there. But as the world is, it is straining the thing pretty far.

Things here, as far as I can hear of them, are in the same uncertainty they were. Some talk Carteret will be at the head of the Treasury, Pulteney, Chancellor of the Exchequer, and Compton and Treby the two Secretaries. Others with more likelihood, I think, suppose Walpole will keep his ground, and no alteration till the elections are over, if then. Others still doubt whether there will be a new Parliament.

Letters were writ to some of both courts the last week to bid them to be on their guard, for that there were designs such a day against them. They are supposed to be a mere banter, but they put them into a foolish fright. Here are whispers as though a new plot would break out. Should any thing of that kind be broached, I suppose it is in order to continue the Parliament. Some talk it will be continued for the present for a year only.

This is the best trash I am able to pick up for your entertainment. I will relieve the scene a little with what the German doctor said, if you have not heard it already, who was to have been hanged for a rape, but had a pardon. When he was asked how he against whom the proof was so plain could get a pardon, he said the gallows be not for the German, but for the Englishman.

There will be a mighty opposition from the city to the building the new bridge a little above Peterborough House. It is thought it will be offered to the city to drop the Quarantine and the Bridge Bill, on condition that they will choose such members as the Court shall recommend. But such offers are likely to have little effect on them in their present disposition.

1721-2, January 2.—Harry Watkins is Secretary instead of King. The Chancellor told King he could keep him no longer, but to make his dismissal less disgraceful gave him leave to resign. King still persists in canvassing against G. Clarke, but unless some very extraordinary charge should happen in the University, from what it was when I came away, it will be impossible for him to make anything of it.

It will be an utter shame to you in Cambridgeshire, where the Tory interest is so strong, if none are set up against the present members.

I shall be very glad of your duplicate Thevenot. I hope too you will bring up Covel's book with you. You promised me too the book of the gentleman who was with the King of Sweden at Bender. There was some other book too, of travels I think, but I have forgot the name. I want these books to help me to pass the evenings, when I am commonly alone in my lodgings.

1721-2, January 4.—It is confidently said, and I believe with good ground, that Walpole is relapsed, and dangerously ill in the country. It is thought too that Carleton will be prevailed on at last to come into the Treasury. S[underlan]d was never known to be so easy and so gay. Some are ill-natured enough to impute this to the ill condition of Walpole. I forgot to tell your Lordship that R. Morgan wrote a little while ago to know whether your Lordship would appear for Herefordshire. He pressed for an answer that he might be able to tell all others who applied to him, that he reserved a single vote for your Lordship.

1721-2, January 6.—Walpole is somewhat better, he is expected in town again to-morrow night. It is now said confidently that he will be dismissed honourably to the peerage. Compton is the man talked of to succeed him in the Treasury, but some still doubt of all this.

Postscript. Haldane has been named by the Court to a vacancy of the Lords of the Session in Scotland. The other Lords pretend that no one can be named without their approbation, and by a great majority have refused to approve Haldane. Argyll, Ilay and Stair are for the courts complying with them. Montrose and Roxburgh say if any one has been Advocate five years, he may be made a Lord of the Session without the approbation of the other Lords, and advise to insist upon the King's prerogative. And I am told it will be insisted on and Haldane crammed down their throats. I am told further that nothing is more likely to be resented nationally. Haldane was in the commission of the forfeited estates, and very unmerciful in the execution of it.

1721-2, February 23.—I pray God send you well to Wimpole, and continue you in the noble resolution you have taken. It is the only way to make you easy in yourself and as considerable as by your quality, your fortune, and your virtue you ought to be in the world. And is a slow, but sure, and the only way to retrieve the effects of some late management, and will effectually do it at last.

If your relation truckles he may, as you hinted in a former letter, hold longer than some think he will, but I doubt not but he will have a kick of the a—— at last. I pray for Robin on the same account you did.

I hear there are rods in p—— for us, that our wise Governor is got under the influence of Ruffe, and takes his advice how to manage us. But I believe he will make as little of the management of his College as he does of his private family. I have not heard this post from the Bishop of Chester, but your Lordship's hint is sufficient to let me know what is to be expected.

1721-2, February 28.—I hope this will find your Lordship easy, safe, and quiet at Wimpole. But though you are now in the midst of that you with so much reason chiefly delight in, your own admirable library, yet I hope you will converse more with figures than letters, and employ Morley more than Tim Thomas.

I am verily persuaded this will prove a providential event in your life, and the odd circumstances which for the present exclude you from any share in public affairs, by turning your thoughts entirely to your private ones, will be a sure foundation for your future ease and greatness.

We are told here that the Duchess has thrown up the cudgels at Woodstock, and that Wheat and Trotman will come in without opposition.

1721-2, March 2.—I told you that I had hinted my mind pretty freely in a letter I wrote to Neddy. I have heard from him since, but no answer at all to what I wrote, nor the least notice taken of it. I perceive they are deaf on that ear.

They say there is a great deal of money spent in the elections by a new sort of people that never appeared before. They spend out of their own pockets, and not upon the Court expense. They are generally, it is said, the new gentry, that have been raised by the late bubbles. No doubt such a set of men will be as mercenary as any other, but they will not serve without pay, and the ministers may be obliged to discard some of their old dependents, to make way for these new comers.

1721-2, March 5.—Neddy in his to me last week took notice that Lord O[xford] was still at Eywood, but gave no hint of any design to quit those quarters. But I fancy he will be moving upwards when he ought to have been going down, if he had been in town, about the time the elections come on.

The cudgels are thrown up at Woodstock. Clayton is put up by the Duchess at St. Albans.

I believe the little satisfaction you had too much reason to have in town has helped to give a relish to the country. I cannot blame you for being glad to be at present out of the way of questions and answers. But you must not expect to find every thing smooth when you show your face again in the world. I hear there are great grumblings at your not appearing for any place.

Postscript. I hear there is prodigious spending by way of ready money at Bishops Castle. They will only allow the great Duke one. The South Sea man puts up, I know not his name, who is resolved to outbid him for the other member, let him offer what he will.

1721-2, March 7.—Here is some whispering here at present, as though the Whigs of this place would go over entirely to King. I know not what to make of it. There is some ground I believe for it, but I can scarce think it will prove so; but if they do, they will not I am confident be able to carry it for him.

The impudence of these fellows here is prodigious. Harrison two days ago told an acquaintance of mine that his friend Doctor Stratford was staggered, that Lord Harley had set upon him earnestly for Doctor King, and the Doctor was at a loss what to do. My acquaintance was surprised, and said he would go and enquire immediately if it were true. I told him Lord Harley

and G. Clarke were the two last men I had conversed with in town, at Lord Harley's house, and bid him judge by that of the truth of what Harrison said. I shall trouble you with a little news which is not of the growth of this place, because I am not sure that you will have it from other hands.

The news we had when I left you of the prevalence of your relation [Sunderland] is in the main confirmed to me, and I am assured it was at that time true and continues so, though the opponent has carried some things since.

The Viscount has been the great stickler in the matter of expunging protests and is said to have been the chief adviser of it. It is said he will be of the Cabinet, though I hear not yet in what post.

The most material is what I have from good hands. The Congress of Cambray, if it be opened, is not likely to be ended soon, at least not amicably, without we give up Gibraltar. The French and Spaniards are resolved to insist on that. Some fear they will insist too on Port Mahon.

1721-2, March 11.—We shall have all the foul play that is possible, but we must in spite of all they can do carry it by a great majority. But we desire our majority should be as great as we can make it.

You have your private reasons, no doubt, why you have resisted the importunities you have had to stand in the county where you are. But I cannot help wishing that it had been convenient for you to have appeared there. It would have gone far to have taken off all resentments for some transactions elsewhere, in that part of the world which had no immediate concern in them. It would have effectually have done it with every body, and in every place, as to yourself.

But all I can hear yet, the change is not like to be great as to the principles, though it may in the persons of the next Parliament. But it will be owing to the want of unanimity and concert among the Tories; I hear of many places where men might be chosen, if they could be prevailed on to appear.

1721-2, March 12.—I take the secret of some late transactions to be now out. Neddy tells me in a letter I had on Saturday that his father, on account of his health, had quitted his pretensions to Lempster. I suppose this is no news to your Lordship. I could not help telling him in my answer that it would have been kind to have let his friends know sooner, that they designed to withdraw from all public (*sic*). Perhaps some others would have done so too who were now engaged upon expectations and, as they might think, assurances of their joining with them. He tells me further that if our dear friend Lord Harley had come down he would certainly have been chosen. I could not help being a little warmer in my answer on that point. I told him that I was not let into the bottom of that affair, but that I believed your Lordship would have come if they from their quarters had given you any encouragement; that I not only thought it was your inclination, but believed too that you imagined your honour engaged in it;

that to all solicitations your answer was that you would stand only for Her[efordshi]re; that you had run out of town to avoid the confusion you were in, when questions were asked about that matter. He says the Radnorians had pressed my godfather to appear to be their knight, but that he seemed not inclined to meddle with them. And no wonder, when he sees that no one else of the family is to be in Parliament. I perceive poor Neddy is not in the secret; he seems to intimate as though they were all coming up soon, and it is high time to do so. If what I hear be true, the country is grown too warm for them.

We must beg your Lordship to dispatch Tim to us on Monday next. Our election is likely to be on Wednesday in Passion week. Our mutineers give out that they will still persist; if they do we must expect all the foul play possible, and we must use the same precaution as though it were likely to be an even lay. I believe it will be Tim's best road at this season to come by Woburn.

1721-2, March 16.—I forgot to tell you that little Dartmouth is gone up to London at the desire of your relation. Some of the old pretences are still carried on, and hopes are given him that he shall be taken in, but I think it not much matter how this affair goes. If they banter him, his resentment can do them no hurt; if they employ him, he can do them no service.

Twenty-six Scotch peers have met at Edinburgh, and engaged upon honour to each other to choose no one who shall not first upon honour declare that he will never vote for the Peerage Bill as far as it relates to Scotland.

I believe the news I trouble you with hence is very stale before it comes to you, but you should tell me if it is so, that I may spare myself as well as you. A little scrub pamphlet to allay people's resentments about the Quarantine Bill is Gibson [Bishop of Lincoln]'s and is sent in packets all over England, to be distributed gratis. This is very mean work that he submits to for his hopes of London.

I hope on Saturday to hear that I may expect Tim Thomas here on Tuesday.

There has been wise management at Westminster. Sir Thomas Cross and Hutchinson are together by the ears, and are advertising against one another. Does your Lordship design to be at home again by the time that election comes on? If you do, I hope you will vote for both of them. Reading has dealt the most honourably of any borough I have yet heard of. They shut their doors against Cadogan's brother and another who came with him, and declared that, though they starved, they would not be bribed this election. They sent to two neighbouring gentlemen to come, and much ado they had to prevail with them to appear, though they were to be chosen *gratis*.

The Bishop of Exeter [Blackburn] now at Exon, as he was lifting up a sash window which flew up quicker than he expected, tumbled out of the window, which was twenty feet high from a paved court. But the proverb was on his side; he only bruised a little one side of his head and shoulder.

1721-2, March 19.—When I told your Lordship what Harrison gave it out, about your Lordship's canvassing me for King, I hope you did not think that I had the least doubt of your respect to G. Clarke, or of your concern for us, but on the contrary that I mentioned it as an egregious instance of that fellow's impudence.

We shall have bustle enough I believe. They design to offer many votes, who have no names in any college books and have left the university many years ago. Perhaps our heads may be cloudy, but I daresay they are not more so than other persons' expressions are. I defy anyone to guess what they would be at by all they have said, and no doubt it was designed that men should not be able to guess at their meaning.

The little Captain's son is opposed at Hereford and likely to be hard set.

1722, March 21.—We heartily thank you for sending Tim to us, I leave it to him to acquaint you with what has passed at our election. There was a foolish opposition started against our City members, young Rowney and Sir John Walter, at ten o'clock on Monday night, by Counsellor Hawkins and young Wright. They polled seventy-nine out of twelve hundred voters and then threw it up. There is an opposition started up in Berkshire for the county by Grey Nevil; the election is to-day, but I cannot yet learn how it is likely to go.

I am heartily glad Sir J. Cotton puts up [for Cambridgeshire], and I should still be much more pleased if your county would have spirit to choose you, though you do not appear for it.

I hear there is great seeming intimacy between Townshend and Carteret. They affect to appear much together, and they have sent for the foreign ministers and told them that they shall certainly have the next Parliament at their command, and that they may write to their several courts that every thing will go smoothly.

The virtue of the town of Reading was occasioned by a sermon against bribery, preached by Reeves, a worthy man, put into that place by the late Queen's order. But the Court, it is said, will not permit such a bad example to be set, and money is scattered in such large quantities that it is likely to be too hard for the effects of the poor sermon.

The Bishop had his fall upon throwing up the sash to hearken whether the cathedral bells rung upon Rolle's and Drew's coming into town. Those gentlemen had been sent for by the citizens to oppose those who were set up by the Court. All the bells in town rung upon their coming into town except the cathedral bells.

1721-2, March 23.—I have to add to what Tim brought you that King applied to the Lord Chancellor, by Doctor Monro a physician in Greenwich, for his Lordship's interest in this place, and had a promise of it. King went over on Saturday last to the Lord Chancellor, who is now in the country, to thank him for the promise. The Lord Chancellor sent over hither on Monday and Tuesday Sir John Doyly and others who brought

over for King four Whigs of Merton and about six of Wadham, whose names are now actually on the poll for King. His Lordship left his own chaplain, who is a commoner Master of our House, to vote as he pleased, because he said he heard his House was unanimous.

It was pretty remarkable that Meadowcourt of Merton, who informed against Wharton, and Wharton himself, both voted singly for King. The poll will be printed with proper observations, I will take care that your Lordship shall have a copy of it.

The election was carried in Berkshire for the two old members [Stonehouse and Packer] by above four hundred; they had some hundreds more to have polled if there had been occasion.

There are three chosen at Abingdon, Hucks, Doyly, and Jennings. I know not which of them will be returned.

There was an opposition yesterday at Woodstock by Crispe and Clayton, but it was carried by fifty for Trotman and Wheat.

I have heard from Neddy that his father could not refuse the kind invitation he had from Lempster, though he had fully determined not to stand. I suppose there was solicitation by somebody else, as well as invitation from Lempster, that helped to change his mind. Ned is full of the opposition that is given to young [Foley of] Stoke at Hereford, where, as he says, the Tories put up one under pretence of opposing Africanus, but who is truly a creature of Coningsby's. Ned says it now appears how wise the measures were that were taken for the county. That you might have been chosen indeed, but the labouring oar would have been thrown on you in the county, as it is on young Stoke in the city. That now it is seen how prudent it was to insist, as was done, on a meeting before hand, &c. I have not taken the least notice of all these wise reflections in my answer. I perceive poor Ned is not let into secrets, but writes what papa dictates.

It is thought the election will go well at Coventry. There will be a right majority at Lichfield, but the returning officer, who was always thought a Tory and an honest man, has been bought, and will certainly return the others.

1722, March 26.—The prints and our friend William Thomas inform us that your Lordship at last appears for Cambridgeshire. I am heartily glad of it. I doubt not but they who have been so importunate with you to stand have taken care to make your election sure, as well as honourable. I cannot expect to hear from your Lordship in so busy a season, but pray desire Tim to let me know as soon as he can what the success is. May I hope to hear, when you are at leisure, whether anything from another part of the world helped to determine you to alter your resolution. I hope to hear that my godfather has complied with the invitation he has had from his old county.

We are in these parts in perfect quiet again, our own mutineers appear every day more and more to have been great scoundrels. We have proof that they offered money for votes, and pretended to engage the next parsonages that should fall in the university gift to those who would vote for them. There is a strange spirit stirring at Westminster, if it should be contagious, the Lord have mercy on some people.

1722, March 30th.—I heard last night from our friend in Warwickshire; he is wonderfully pleased that your Lordship appears where you do, and your uncle at Lempster. He enquires if I have seen a letter of Coningsby to the Corporation of Lempster. I have not heard of it but from him. I wonder Ned did not send it to me. At the same time I had a letter from the little Captain, he was just returned from Stafford. He says he had heard nothing of or from our friends at the other end of the county, since he left London. But he gives all for lost as to his son at Hereford. He complains loudly of the treachery of Hopton and Geers, who have put one Mayo there, a creature of Coningsby's, though they had given him solemn assurances that they would assist his son. He supposes your father might foresee better than others what these men would do, and seems to think that their present behaviour may be an excuse for your father's insisting on the terms he did with them. I find indeed by my last from Ned that this very use is made of what has happened at Hereford. Whether it was foreseen or no I cannot say, but it will be said now to be sure that it was foreseen. They seem to be laughing in their sleeve at Eywood, at the distress the little Captain is in at Hereford. Hopton and Geers by what I hear pretend only to put up Mayo in opposition to Africanus, but the issue of it is like to be the throwing out of little Stoke.

I am sorry I must tell you that poor brother Hammond begins to decline apace. I am afraid next winter, if he lasts to it, will set him hard. But this to yourself. I suppose now you will soon think of returning to town.

It was carried by the Mayor's vote against Cadogan's nephew at Banbury.

You will see by the "Evening Post" how poorly Erasmus [Lewis?] and John Dy—— are come off at Marlborough. I wonder who put them upon so foolish an attempt.

Postscript. I am told the Viscount, though he should have no place, will certainly be of the Regency. I shall not be sorry to see him in it.

1722, April 2.—I thank you for the account of your very honourable election, which Tom sent me by your order. I heartily give you joy of it. I wish we could have had accounts as much to our credit from other parts. But there must have been some strange management or we could not have been defeated with circumstances so much to our discredit. How could they possibly be so mistaken in their calculations? Treachery alone will never account for the odds betwixt 91 and 105. I am afraid the interest there is gone, as the other Auditor's too seems to be at Hereford, and in all likelihood both had been preserved entire not only in the county, but boroughs too, if the early offer had been embraced of putting up your Lordship with the little Captain. But then we were under [no] engagements to Africanus, and had thoughts of joining you with his son. There

may be one fruit of the disappointment that has happened to both families. It may dispose them to be better inclined to each other, and to join against those who wish well to neither. At least it may check resentments that were rising on both sides, and hinder them from growing to an open breach. Who is to come into the town of Cambridge when Sir John makes his choice for the county?

Our election here for the county was to-day of the old members without opposition.

Ned tells me they have thoughts of a petition for Lempster, but the complexion of the new Parliament seems to give little encouragement to that.

I know not whether I told your Lordship that I am informed from a good hand that the Viscount will be of the Regency. I shall not be sorry for it.

1722, April 15.—Bowles was the person who took the poll for G. Clarke, and in whose hands the original bill was left, to be digested into that order and with those marks with which it is now printed. I suppose too he put it to the press, and corrected the copy. We do not apprehend here any mischief from the printing of it. They of Balliol are the persons who chiefly resent the printing, but their part, especially their Head's, was so foul, that it is fit they should be exposed for it. No one thinks the advertisement other than a sham. There is no fear of any account they can publish. King himself I believe will scarce show his head here again. I am credibly informed of a great load of debts and contracts on him. I am still of the same mind as to the success of a petition. What has the justice of any cause signified of late against a majority? Nor is the gentleman likely to meet with friends even from the same party he might most likely expect it. Besides some old grudges, there are fresh ones against him for being supposed to keep another person away. If he meets with any assistance from T[orie]s it will not be out of respect to him or his cause, but purely on your account. He has one thing indeed that may be of some use to him, the hatred to his antagonist.

As I am writing I receive the favour of your Lordship's. I am heartily glad the common miscarriage has had that effect I expected and hoped it would.

I thank you for putting me at ease about our friend Cæsar. I am satisfied to hear that your Lordship thinks him not to blame. I can wait for particulars till we meet.

It is no surprise to me that you hear nothing of our friend's coming up, he hates moving, and for aught I know may defer his journey till towards the end of this summer, supposing it possible for him to stay there. But if what the Baron said here was true, he cannot be at his own house. The negligence I mentioned to you when I had the honour to see you here, has made things much worse than when I was in those parts. The cry is very great. The other person, if he has the friendship he pretends, should show it in stopping this clamour, though it were without his friend's privity. I am heartily concerned, but I cannot be more concerned than I am amazed.

I am glad to find your Lordship seems to have any hopes of any good from this Parliament. It would be somewhat if we can be able to stand on our defence. *Quamquam O &c.* It grieves one to the soul to think that this mighty opportunity has been lost for want of concert and understanding amongst [us]. All considering men who have observed the disposition of the country are of that opinion. Management would have done it in a great measure, without money, or with very little. And that which would have been necessary would not have been felt if it had been levied amongst friends understanding one another in proportion to every one's fortune. More money has certainly been spent by particular men, though the game is lost, than would have carried it if [it] had been collected from all and laid out properly. But common sense was never the talent of our friends.

1722, April 18.—I have received the present of a book called "Jus Academicum." I suppose it is Dr. Colbatch's. I have not yet looked into it, but I doubt not but it is accurately done, coming from that hand.

The Viscount has lately refused our friend, Tom Rowney, a very slight ordinary kindness, which he asked of him. It goes to Tom's heart to meet with such a return to many faithful and expensive services which he has done for him for thirty years past, and in times when the Viscount very much wanted them. Tom's resentments at present are strong, I believe, and you will imagine I do not lie when I say I hope that this will occasion an entire breach betwixt them. The rest of the family are louder too than Tom. These men seem to think that no turn can ever come that can make them have occasion for their old friends again.

I am told from pretty good hands that our Governor is as weary of us as we can be of him, and that he will leave us as soon as ever he can, for a bare equivalent, without any advantage. But we are not likely to change for much the better. Who do you think is designed for us if the present scheme holds? That scandalous man Baker of Wadham, who is to go over with the King this summer. But keep this to yourself.

1722, April 21.—Your news [of Sunderland's death] was surprising, and is truly what Sir Humphry [would] call a stroke. It is obvious indeed to think all power may be thrown into his rival's hand, though you may have very good reasons for being of another opinion. My chief objection to what you think is, that they will be at loss for any other whom they can employ. This death may be worse for us in one respect and better in another. Church affairs can never be on so bad a foot as they were during his power. A few days will show us what consequences we are to expect. I should be glad to know any particulars of his death, and whether he showed any sense of religion; I suppose not. I am afraid his death will throw a temptation in your way. I suppose his collection of books will soon be scattered. Here will be gleaning for my friend Mr. Wanley.

I have heard from one who saw the Viscount since S[underland's] death that the poor man was inconsolable and in no little consternation. It is not impossible but I may have a visit from him this summer.

I have had a letter from Neddy full of joy upon the second defeat of Africanus, but still justifying the wisdom of their own conduct. He says what has happened will turn much to the interest of your family. I have told him with some freedom that all others I meet with, though friends to them, think quite the contrary.

1722, April 26.—I see by the "Freeholder," as well as by your Lordship's letter, that [Sunderland] had some form of religion at his death. But I cannot see for what reason men of his opinion, in which he was so open when he lived, as well as of his manners, should affect to act such a farce at that moment. The best that can be said is that possibly then they may have fears which they never felt before, and are willing to catch at any twig. But I see not how their confessors can think any few expressions of fear at that time a sufficient ground for giving them comfort, much less the sacrament.

I heartily wish Lord Morpeth well. By what I have heard of him he has many good qualities, which may possibly exert themselves more now his father-in-law is gone. He was not indeed always biassed by his father-in-law, but in some cases he might.

I hear Benson has played the fool in his visitation as Arch-deacon in Berkshire. His speech was full of commendations, not only of the Government, but Governor too. He talked against popery, but he might have succeeded as well if he had preached up transubstantiation, as ———(sic). Such speeches can have but one end, to recommend the speaker to men in power, but they do as little service to a cause as they do credit to the authors. It is proper enough for a nobleman to understand architecture, but it seems beneath him to profess it as a trade. But perhaps the noble person that laid the first stone is a freemason. That has been an honour much courted of late by quality.

Postscript. I see to-day in a letter that though your Lordship and Dr. Freind were not at the laying of the foundation stone yet you both made a visit to Lord B[ingley?] that morning. For what reason, I pray? Did you think good manners obliged you to excuse yourselves to him for not attending him at the ceremony? If there might be some pretence on that account for Rob's going, I see not how there could be any for your Lordship's.

1722, April 29.—I am going on Tuesday for a little fresh air and retirement to my country hut; I shall be out I believe about a month.

We are told that Carleton is likely to have a great share in affairs. It will be a wiser step than I expected. The Viscount then will be kept still in pay.

Want of consent in the Scotch peers can never be pleaded again against the Peerage [Bill]. They have fairly given their consent by choosing those again who were for it.

1722, May 2. Little Shefford.—Your Lordship and I do not differ. I can easily allow that at the last moment, the greatest libertines are most likely to have their fears. But I still wonder that they, and much more their confessors, can think a few acts of religious worship can at that time be of any service to them.

I have had a letter from Ned in which he talks of coming up soon, if others do not. By which I perceive that no one else has any thoughts of moving yet.

1722, May 12. Little Shefford.—I hope this will welcome you to town again. We have strange rumours here of the apprehensions and confusion that you are in there. If you lived where you could have as little certainty how things go, as I have here, you would not think a newspaper so dull a matter. But dull as it is, it may be of some moment now, and not be the only dull thing in the world that is so. We are told here, that one Muscovite begins to be afraid of another Muscovite. That is somewhat new in nature. *Fere non nisi in dispar genus*. We hear too that the stocks have got a great alacrity in sinking. I cannot but congratulate all the friends I have that have any share in them.

The town of Reading, we are told here, is full of soldiers, and a camp marked out within two miles of it. They have the glory of being confessors.

Have you seen a book called the Miseries and Hardships of the inferior Clergy chiefly about London? It is a notable book, but the author, we are told, is very profligate. But one of the same tribe was so spirited up by it, that lately in the cathedral of Winchester he preached very virulently against all other clergymen that had better preferment than he had. The Dean and prebendaries present sent the verger to him to tell him to come down; but his assurance failed him not, and he went on. And he could not be silenced till the organs opened against him.

Be pleased to send any of those papers so dull to you, but comfortable to me, as I before desired.

Is the present hurly burly likely to hasten or retard your motion to Wimpole? I am afraid nothing can put another friend into motion.

Postscript. The "London Journal" informs me that your Lordship is about a purchase of books, that will amount to 10,000. I suppose this can only be Lord S[underlan]d's library.

1722, May 14.—I most heartily thank you for the favour of yours, and agree with you entirely in the reflection you make at the close of it. But we have been [more] "alarumed" in the country than you have been in town. My neighbours at Newbury believed heartily for two days together that 15,000 Muscovites were landed in Scotland. All I could say to comfort was, that they had a great way to go, and I hoped we should get our harvest in before they could reach us.

The story about Lord Sunderland's papers, is, as we country folk say, a very dark one. I am amazed that Lord Carleton should have that share he had in it.

I hear the Auditor writes that he will be in town next week, and bring Lord O[xford] with him. Does your Lordship hear anything of this? If it should be so, I shall leave this place sooner than I designed, but I fancy Ned will give me notice. If the Auditor had such a purpose, I believe he will alter it; when he hears of the hurry in town, he will desire to keep out of harm's way.

1722, May 19.—I hope this will find you well at Eywood. I pray God it may find your father so too. What I always feared, a relapse by his stay where he could have no help, has in some measure happened. They must answer for it who for ends of their own have kept [him] in the country for two years, and gloried in doing it. By some particulars I have heard of his late relapse I perceive he had still great remains of strength in him, and had he been two years ago, or last year, where he could have had help, he would probably have been as strong a man as ever he was in his life. If it please God that he goes off, he is perfectly lost by staying where he is. Your good aunt foresaw this, when she told me in the garden that Lord O[xford] must go to town, and that no one would or should stop him. But she was mistaken it seems in the last part of her conjecture. How your father may be able to bear a journey now, I cannot say, but I think he should be brought up, though it were in a horse litter.

Postscript. Walpole lately made a visit openly to Ruffe.

The Viscount a little before Lord Sunderland's death visited Walpole, by Sunderland's advice. The Viscount was to be Privy Seal and a Regent if Walpole would give way to it. The Viscount told Walpole that some persons apprehended it might be for his Majesty's service for him to be taken into it, and to appear openly in it. Walpole answered very frankly, that he did not apprehend it could be for his Majesty's service either now or at any other time.

1722, May 26.—I bless God that you have found things no worse, and I am glad that you are resolved not to trust to any promises of following you, but to bring our friend up with you. It is an amazing thing that he should be kept in such a condition as he has been for two years in the country. I find the physicians are of opinion that his case is paralytic. I am sure no time is to be lost in that. I hope any more fits may be yet prevented, since the late ones have gone off without help. Had he come up to town last Michaelmas, he would probably have escaped the touch he has had.

I shall be at Oxford next Wednesday.

1722, May 31. [Oxford].—I returned to my headquarters yesterday. I am obliged to your Lordship and Ned for your concern for me, but I should rather attribute Ned's intermitting fever to the late fall of stocks than to his own concern for me.

As the stocks recover, I hope he will. I thank God I am at liberty, both in body and mind. Some of a different coat from from my own passed lately in sight of me, but I lay not directly in their road, though pretty near it. But one of my sphere and condition has as little reason to be concerned at their rumour of plots, as at the rise and fall of stocks. I have to do alike in both of them.

I am might glad that Lord O[xford] has found relief upon his purging, if that had been done under skilful direction immediately after that violent discharge he had, he would probably at this time have been a hale, hearty man. Nature then pointed out what was to be done. Never was there such an eruption which did not leave great dregs of itself behind it. And it is amazing that he was not forced up to town after that, in order to have his body cleared under proper direction; and that he should be permitted to stay where he is, and to let that humour get head again. I hope you will not be prevailed with upon any promises to leave him behind you.

As I write I receive a letter for Lord Oxford from our friend in the country. He tells me it is to compliment my Lord on his illness, and desires me to send it as I have opportunity. But I shall not send it by this conveyance, till I am expressly assured by himself that I may.

The story of the Viscount I had from honest Wainwright, and at the end of it he writes—I think I have very good authority for this.

In a written news letter on Saturday last to our friend in the country was this paragraph. “Lord O[xford] is coming to town in a horse litter. His Lordship of late kept constant correspondence by letters with the last Earl of Sunderland.” I send you this, as it was sent to our friend, that you may see what reports are flying.

A messenger has been to search several houses at Birmingham and, amongst others, two rich Quakers, but found no arms.

1722, June 2.—The war is commenced again at Westminster betwixt Ruffe and his Chapter about Battely’s place, their late Receiver. Ruffe would have it for his son-in-law Morris. A great majority of the Chapter are for Battely’s nephew. Ruffe upon this pretends to dispose of it by his own authority. No one so violent in this against Ruffe as his late friend Broderick. They mutually upbraid each other with ingratitude, but I have a story that will surprise you much more. Ruffe is making pretences to the good Duchess of Buckingham. I do not banter you. This is the report, and the belief too, of many in town. It comes to us from one of my own cloth, a dignitary and no fool, and who offered to lay ten guineas that it would be a match. I do not doubt but he is capable of such a thing, and I believe he has caressed Pope so much of late with a view of making use of him on this occasion, but I know not what to say as to the success. If I consider the lady and her character, I should think it impossible; yet she dined with him last Monday

at Bromley. The young Duke, the last Duke's natural daughter, Pope and Chamberlain came along with her. This in one of her quality and who knows so well how to keep her state, was an odd condescension to one who had not then been a widower a full month, if she designs no further favour.

Postscript. I had almost forgot to tell your Lordship that He[a]rne has published his *Fordun* and brought back his MSS. to me.

1722, June 5.—We hear Ruffe has been very uneasy upon the examination of Johnson, who was lately seized. He has another name of Kelly, but which was his true name, and which that he usually went by, I cannot tell. Ruffe had much dealing, as it is said, with this man, but the man behaved with great presence of mind, and has owned nothing that can be to Ruffe's prejudice. But there were some papers seized at Mrs. Barnes's, the woman where Johnson lodged, in which there was mention of a dog sent from France to some body in England. The woman upon examination was asked much if she knew any thing of a dog sent from France. She said she heard there was a dog sent to the Bishop of Rochester. By this it seems they have learned the cant name by which Ruffe goes in the letters. Carteret asked Johnson if, as he came from France, he did not stop at some place on the Kentish road. Johnson answered that he came up by water, but I suppose Carteret will be remembered for this question.

The quarrel goes on heartily about the Receiver. Ruffe has dropped his son-in-law and proposes another, for whom he thought he should [find] more inclination, but the Chapter stick resolutely to their first man. There are nine against him.

We hear nothing more yet of the plot. It is said they had the first notice of it from Sutton. Some say they have the original memorial given in by Lord Lansdowne to the Regent. It is odd that one of his quality on the spot should give in a memorial. It is said that Churchill was sent to France, to desire that Lansdowne's papers might be seized, but was refused. Upon which it is said a Privy Seal will be sent over to require Lansdowne to come home. I believe they will not be much the richer for any thing of his that they can seize here.

1722, June 7.—I am told there is nothing in the enclosed [*missing*] but what I may venture by the post.

I hear further that in the papers lately seized, the person to whom the dog was sent pressed for a rising at the time of the elections, but a certain lord, whose name is not known opposed it.

It is said there is full evidence that 100,000*l.* has been collected and sent abroad.

Ruffe has now the dog that was sent.

1722, June 10.—I can now tell you the name of the dog which Ruffe has—it is called *Harlequin*.

It is said that by the accident of the dog, other cant names as well as Ruffe's were discovered, but I cannot learn what they are.

I am entirely of your opinion as to her Grace, but the mufti of late has affected to talk much to all people of his vigour, and to let them know that he finds no alteration in himself from what he was twenty years ago. He carried down Chamberlain to his wife a little before her death. I doubt not but he took occasion to let Chamberlain know the state of his body, in hopes of conveying it by him to somebody else. But I wot well, the lady is too sharp to be put upon by such tricks in so serious a matter. But what I sent to you did not come to us alone, it is town talk. It was written to G. Clarke as well as to us.

The disposal of the Receiver's place for the share of the coal tax which goes to the repair of the Abbey is in the Dean of Westminster, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and I think the Chief Justice. The salary is 50*l.* or 60*l.* *per annum*. Ruffe desired Walpole's consent to give it to his son Morris—a mean request. But it was to be a pretence for asking the Receiver's place to the College. Walpole positively refused it. Then Ruffe proposed one Wynne, a pupil and relation of St. Asaph, in hopes of bringing over St. Asaph by it from young Battely. Walpole agreed to that, but Asaph is not brought over by it. Walpole made a jest over the town of the conversation he had with Ruffe. It was chiefly to tell him how incapable he was made for business by his late affliction in the loss of his wife.

1722, June 14.—No doubt Lord O[xford] will be better for physic, it was what he wanted. The violent discharge he had was a plain indication that there was a load upon him which was to be removed. Though nature might throw off a good part of it by herself, she could not throw it all off without some help. It was much that she was able to throw off so much of it as she did.

I fancy you will hear soon that Lord Marlborough is gone off. Dr. John Freind was called to him at four o'clock in the morning on Tuesday last.

Sir John Walters died last Monday night at twelve o'clock. It is supposed his brother Bob, now Sir Robert, will be put up for this city.

Young Lord Sunderland is going to Paris for this summer, and returns about the time he is of age, towards the winter. He has refused being a Lord of the Bedchamber, which shows he is under his grandmother's influence.

The Bishop of Chester went for Chester on Tuesday.

I wish I could have been with you at my godfather's. I suppose it was in his romantic castle of Stapleton. That has somewhat in its situation more agreeable to me than any place I think I ever saw in my life.

Postscript. There was a talk once that the King designed to visit us, but we hear nothing of it of late. I fancy we shall hardly have such an honour unless we earn it first by some

sort of modest application. I do not believe there is any disposition to do that.

The Bank and South Sea are not near agreeing as is commonly reported. Walpole sticks so hard for the Bank, and is so set upon lessening the South Sea, that the present treaty is not likely to be soon finished. I think I have this from very good hands. I think I told you in a former letter that Walpole said that if Johnson had not burnt his papers, they should have made a bishop a martyr. And so they would in one sense. He will certainly, if he is ever pressed, be a witness.

1722, June 16.—The little dog came from Rome. The Abbot claimed him by his name, and by the name of the person to whom the dog was sent, which was the feigned name for his lady, and concluded to be his own. It is said, this has explained several things in the deciphered letters, which are now applied to him. It is said too, there is a great deal against him, but not legal evidence to convict him, but he is not at a loss how to make his peace.

I hear the first intelligence of the wicked machinations came from young Sutton, and that he got from a lady under whose petticoats he was once seen to have his hands whilst she was at play. It is said she told him all she had heard a certain Lord talk, and that she put too some papers into his hands, which were sent over. It is rumoured too that Lord has been so far concerned, that a pension of 1,000*l.* per annum granted to his lady for his use—besides that to herself—on condition that he should live in France and be quiet, is stopped.

It is said too the Seal is offered to Sir Peter King, but he will not accept but upon his own terms. One of which is that Lord Trevor be restored, but they are [not?] disposed to give that place so, nor Trevor to accept.

You see I write all that comes to me, but I vouch the truth of nothing. But you will not be much concerned at that, if you are in the same disposition in the country as I am. Any thing there is welcome. But I wish I were with you for a few minutes, or had another way of corresponding with you. I have heard somewhat in which some particular persons are concerned, which I care not to send by this conveyance. I hope you have seen Thursday's "Flying Post," and read the martial equipage in which the Bishop of Durham appeared at the review—*An hæc est tunica filii tui*, but it may be proper for a Palatine or Lord Lieutenant. I think he should be made General of the ecclesiastics, as Peterborough [Kennett] is of the marines.

1722, June 19.—I have nothing to add to what I wrote by the last post, but that Carteret is looked on as Premier at present. S[underlan]d had given impressions of him to the old one and the ladies, so much to his advantage, that he is thought to have the best personal interest at present.

Sir John Walters has left the Viscount a legacy of 1,000*l.*, he has left his lady his whole estate during her widowhood. He has left the reversion of it to his brother, the present Sir Robert,

to do what he likes with, but not one groat in present. We know not yet who will be put up, he, Sir Robert Walters, at present declines it. Old Tom Rowney is much pressed to let himself be named again, and joined with his son. He may certainly have it upon holding up his finger. At present he says nay, but I am apt to think he will be prevailed on at last.

Young Sunderland had a Garter offered him as well as Bed-chamber place, but his grandmother told him, if he would despise their baubles, she would give him somewhat better than they would or could.

1722, June 21.—I trouble you once more before I leave this place with a few particulars I had last night. Upon the news of M[arlborough]'s death, Cadogan called Oughton, Macartney, Wills, and Honynwood together; they are said to be his Cabinet. It was resolved there that he should ask to be Captain General, and, if refused that, for the Ordnance and the first regiment of Guards for himself, and for the second for Macartney. He accordingly asked to be Captain General, but was told it was designed there should be no Captain General. The Ordnance and regiment were granted. When he asked for the second regiment for Macartney, he was told it was already given to Lord Scarbrough. Argyll upon the news of M[arlborough]'s death was closeted with Walpole for two hours, but we see no fruits of it.

I know not whether you have heard that Lord Craven and Oughton had a rencounter upon some words about the Coventry election. They were parted, but Lord Craven had wounded Oughton and was himself untouched.

The war rages fiercely at Westminster. The Abbot, by a writing attested by Evans and Lowe, demanded of young Battely all papers relating to the College. Broderick got a paper signed by most of the prebendaries, requiring Battely not to deliver up his uncle's papers but to such persons and in such manner as the Dean and Chapter should appoint. Watton, who is Treasurer, has made Broderick his deputy, and he says he will cashier all the workmen and stop the wages of all the servants who have been put in by the Abbot's sole authority. Here is a noble field of contention opened.

1722, June 23. Little Shefford.—I have the favour of yours of the 19th, I am glad to hear you are moving at all. If you are once in motion, I hope you will set out for a longer journey at last. Pray give me as early and as exact an account as you can of your designed stages, that I may have time to get home two or three days before you come to our quarters. I expect my Lord of O[xford] and you will take up your quarters with me. I have sufficient room for you both, and if our Eywood friends come with you, the Bishop of Chester's house, which is now empty, will hold a more numerous company than they are.

The pleasure I take in this place is somewhat delayed by some neighbours that are not very agreeable to me. Lord Hartford has prevailed to have the camp that was designed to have been

at Marlborough, pitched upon Hungerford Down. Only two regiments are yet encamped, but more are expected. Though I am at above five miles distance, I am afraid I am not out of the reach of "Moroders" (*sic*, marauders).

1722, June 30.—I am concerned that you are not able to give me a better account of Lord Oxford's strength and stomach. I cannot say whether relief will be had when he comes to another place. But I am pretty sure he has no chance for mending them whilst he is at distance from those who are best able to advise him. I shall depend upon advice from your Lordship of your motions, for I shall not stir hence till I hear when I may hope to see you in Oxford. Our friend on the other side of Oxford [Bromley?] will be glad to hear that his designed journey is disappointed by yours. Had Lord Oxford stayed in the country till the ways cross the country had been passable, he has such a mind to see him that he would have made him a visit. If the account he has had of those ways had not discouraged him, I believe he had been with you before this.

The mufti puts on the best countenance he can, but is very uneasy, I hear, at the story of the little dog. It appears, I hear, that thanks had been returned to the lady for the dog, and that several letters had passed betwixt the mufti and Lord L[ansdown]ne. I am told that what concerns the mufti will make the greatest part of the narrative that is to be laid before the Parliament.

The great talk is now about what Lord Marlborough has left. They who speak most moderately say above a million of money is left besides land, and what separate estate the Duchess has. Not one farthing is left to any charity, or to any old friend or servant. I hear the will was made in March last, and that there is a clause at last to desire the Legislature to confirm the disposition he has made. But I hear withal that several of the family are already contriving to defeat this disposition.

Upon the late agreement betwixt South Sea and Bank, stock jobbing is said to be revived with as great eagerness as it was two years ago, and as much court made to the present directors for subscriptions as ever were to the former.

The war goes on fiercely betwixt the Abbot and his brethren. Eight of them have already signed a paper, forbidding the late Receiver's nephew to deliver any books or papers to them. Two more I suppose have signed it by this time, so that a strong confederacy is formed against him.

Your worthy tutor made this week a fine entertainment for our Governor, the Warden of Merton, the Provost of Oriel, and the Warden of Wadham [Baker]. I wonder most at the last, because he passes for a scoundrel with his own party, but he is supposed to be designed for our next Governor, and your tutor like a wise man makes his court betimes. This I think is plain dealing and pulling off the mask.

1722, July 21. Little Sheffield.—I have the favour of your Lordship's of the 15th I shall be at Christ Church again God

willing on Thursday next, and wait there with no little impatience for the honour of seeing your Lordship and the good company that comes along with you. If it please God that you reach me safe, I hope you will recruit yourselves there by proper rest for the remainder of your journey.

I shall be very sorry to have any thing dishonourable appear against the person you hint at, but such things have been in the world. My chief hope that the information may be false is because it comes, if I understand you right, from those he has lately quarrelled with upon egregious treachery, as he says, on their side. Your Lordship judges like a wise and good man, in wishing to avoid any retrospect. But I can easily believe that all with you are not of the same mind. Should any foul play appear, or be believed, a breach is unavoidable, and that will be uneasy to both families.

I hear Argyll and his brother Ilay quarrelled when they were last in Scotland, and that Argyll bid him begone out of his house, and told him he had been misled long enough by him.

Now Walpole has gained his point of patching up an agreement betwixt the South Sea and Bank, he begins to open the further design he has against the South Sea. The discourse is hot again of reducing their stock to twenty millions, and converting ten millions into annuities at five per cent. redeemable by Parliament.

Carteret sent to invite your old tutor to dinner, when he was at the election; caressed him much, and since that sent him a present of some of the champagne which he had from the Regent. I believe you will agree that such uncommon favours, from one who is not much used to take notice of those who have just claims of gratitude from him, to one so little known to him, are not without good ground. I suppose our Governor has given assurance that he is true and trusty, and for their purpose.

The prints perhaps will tell you before this that the President of Magdalen [Harwar] is dead. Grandorge, Lydal, and Butler are put up for it. I suppose one of the two last will have it, because they are least proper for it.

A very extraordinary thing has been done in the Isle of Man. The good and primitive Bishop of that place required a man who had been guilty of fornication to do penance before he would receive him to communion. The man readily submitted. The Deputy Governor to the Earl of Derby threw the man, for submitting to the ecclesiastical censure, into the dungeon, and for this and some other acts of censure, purely spiritual, took upon him to fine the Bishop and his two vicars general. Upon their refusing to pay the fines, he sent them all three to prison, by a file of musketeers. That Bishop both acts and suffers like an apostolical man. The whole island have that veneration for him that they would at this time tear their Sub-Governor piece-meal, if the Bishop himself did not restrain them. What the consequence will be, I cannot yet guess. He is by Act of Parliament under the metropolitan of York. Had he done anything irregular, the complaint against him should have been carried

thither. I hope that metropolitan will make a noise at least, should he be able to do nothing else.

Postscript. The camp in my neighbourhood moves in a few days to be joined to that at Salisbury, where his Majesty is expected to review them.

1722, August [22]. Saturday.—I am glad to hear you are at last upon the road, I hope I shall see you early on Monday. At least I depend upon it that you will not bait or eat anywhere till you come to me, and I hope you will let Mr. Cuttle or some one else come before, to let me know about what time I may expect you. I send by Mr. Cuttle the two letters I have received from my Lady for you. Yesterday about three o'clock the Bishop of Rochester, who was sitting at the deanery, encompassed as usual with books and papers relating to his domestic quarrels, was seized by six messengers. They immediately searched his pockets for papers. When they took away his pocket book, he said they should not take that without sealing it, for there were bank bills in it. He desired they would let his servant shave him before they carried him off, but that was not allowed. He was carried straight to a Committee of Council at the Cockpit. Some of the messengers stayed afterwards, and searched the house for papers, and carried off every scrap they could meet with even from the close stool. After he had been about an hour at the Cockpit, he was sent to the Tower. He desired he might first go back to his own house, but could not prevail for that. All the favour he could obtain was to be sent to the Tower in his own coach, rather than in the barge that had been provided for him. This is all I yet hear, if any thing more appeared to-day I am promised to have it by this night's post.

1722, August 23.—Griffith waits on you with this, to beg you would let me know by him how my good Lord Oxford does after his fatigue yesterday. I hope a day's rest will enable him to come out in good time on Monday. Pray let me know too, whether I may hope that you will stay Tuesday with me, or whether you purpose to go forward.

Postscript. I will take care to have Neddy's bed ready for Robin. I shall not have room for him, but I shall be able to lodge my Lord and Lady, and yourself, and my Lord's servant to lie next to him, and your servant and my Lady's woman too, with great convenience. Have my Lord and Lady separate beds? We can provide for that too, if you think it proper. I wish you would let me know what you think my Lord's stomach would be most likely to relish.

[To the EARL OF OXFORD.]

1722, August 30.—I beg leave to return my thanks to your Lordship and my Lady Oxford for the honour you have been pleased to do me. I could have wished for the sake of your Lordship's health that I had received it some months sooner, but I hope still, if Providence has any mercy in store for us, that the assistance which your Lordship may now have will once more make you a hale man again.

[TO LORD HARLEY.]

1722, August 30.—I hope this will find you well after your journey, and in the midst of your joy, on the celebrating of the day in which the happiest couple in the three Kingdoms were joined together. I could not omit the first opportunity of returning you my thanks for the honour of your visit, and paying my duty too to your Lordship and my Lady on this day, and of wishing you for many years the continuance of the mutual happiness which this day gave you.

A gentleman who came down hither on Monday pretends he went to see Ruffe in the Tower, that he could not indeed see him, but had an account from the warder that he was perfectly easy and unconcerned. I do not see the use of so false a report, unless it be to hinder some others from being too much "alarumed" at his imprisonment. If anything particular occurs about him, I hope you will communicate.

1722, September 1.—I wrote you one line yesterday, I had time for no more. You will perceive by that the account I had of what the Attorney says agrees with what you have heard. It is not hard to guess what they aim at by confining Ruffe, and I believe his old friend the Attorney will be the man employed to manage it with him. But if he thinks himself safe, wind and limb, he will never squeak. If convincing be all they hope for, they had better have let him alone. They have done him a kindness, his confinement will give him merit and credit too. There was no occasion to satisfy the world of that which they knew already. Ruffe will be so far from being concerned that he will be pleased to have it made more public if it is without danger to himself.

I thank you for my new paper. Scrub as it is, you would find it comfortable if you were as I am, in a perfect solitude.

Postscript. I do not hear that one gentleman in these parts had the curiosity to go to the rendezvous at Sarum. That is somewhat odd. They seem to have been as insensible as your Silurians to their superintendent.

1722, September 5.—Bob [Freind?] has been so kind as to spend three days with me, he will be in town on Sunday night and soon after wait on your Lordship. I will not anticipate what he will say to you. I shall only tell you that what discourse he has had with the Viscount was agreeable to what we had heard. That perhaps there may not be enough to reach life, but any thing else short of life. And the Viscount assured Bob that Ruffe would never be able to do any one mischief any more. He seemed to intimate that he would be tried by a common jury, that itself is a strong presumption that they think they have good evidence against him. But I believe, by all I can yet hear, that the evidence is particular as to him, and not likely to affect any one else. Nor can I hear that he himself has it much in his power to impeach others if he should be disposed to it. When the scene opens, I believe it will appear that his folly has been as remarkable as any other quality in him.

I am glad to hear by little Robin that Lord Oxford holds well.

1722, September 8. Little Shefford.—I find upon my coming hither that Africanus has parted again with that estate which he had bought of Lord Bolingbroke and has given up the bargain, too, which he had made for Doleman's estate adjoining to it. Here are odd reports in the country, as though strange fellows with his livery on them were in his house for other purposes than to attend on him.

It was thought the Lord of Bucklebury might have fair hopes of returning home by the interest of his friend who now comes in play. But I hear fresh application has been made for that purpose, and he has been flatly refused, and it is thought his old friend will not spoil his own court by pressing too earnestly for him.

1722, September 9. Little Shefford.—You have sent me the best news you could in telling me that you hope my Lord O[xford] is somewhat better. I do not wonder that Dr. Mead has altered his prescriptions. I should have wondered if he had been so lucky in them at a distance as to have found no reason to change them. Radcliffe was surely in the right, that a man might as well draw a face as prescribe for one he did not see.

Our guards are returned again to our neighbourhood and some regiments are encamped in too near neighbourhood. There seems to be great precaution against these parts, though as far as I know them they are as little likely as any in the kingdom to give any disturbance.

I believe the Bishop of Chester will be at Oxford again by the end of this week, and designs to be in town the first day of the opening of the Parliament. You will easily believe that he is a little eager to see how the scene opens upon Ruffe.

There were some odd things in the last paper you sent me, but the stocks are to me the signs of the times, and sufficient ones for any business I have met with the world. I judge by their rise or fall what general apprehensions are.

1722, September 15.—When I had the honour to see you, you told me that your superintendent had ordained one who had suffered but a year before for forging orders. But I remember not well what the punishment was, whether he had done penance or stood in the pillory for it. The latter is the most proper punishment for such a crime. Can you tell me, too, whether that notorious young villain that was of our house lately, and that has been ordained by him, had been able to get testimonials from any one?

Postscript. The Attorney is gone up again. He pretends to be positive that Ruffe will be tried at the King's Bench bar. He says there is one witness besides circumstances.

1722, September 22. Little Shefford.—I have read above half of old Covel's book [on the Greek Church] since I have been here. It is very tedious and full of repetitions. But there is reading in it and some valuable things; if any one would be at the trouble of making an abridgment of it, it would be a useful book. He more

than once refers to accounts which he designs to publish in another book; I take it to be an account of his travels. Is any such like to be published whilst he is alive?

1722, October 5.—The Bishop of Chester left me this morning. The members for town and county go up to-morrow. G. Clarke sets out on Sunday. Mr. Bromley will be in town on Saturday night at his old lodgings in Clarges Street. He tells me that he hears that most of the members will be up. I am told too, that this Parliament as well as the last will be opened with impeachments. But your Lordship who is on the place must know these things better than we poor fellows here, *ad quos vix tenuis famæ perlabitur aura*. I am much concerned for my old friend my Lord Orrery, but I hope there is no other ground for taking him up but the general jealousy of the times. I should not have suspected him for these affairs. I daresay he has had nothing to do with Ruffe, though he was his tutor. There has been nothing but a mere civil correspondence betwixt them for some years. I hear Lord Carleton was much concerned at the apprehending of Lord Or[rery].

Our Vice-Chancellor is sworn into his office again to-day. He is now entered on his fifth year, which no one ever did before since John Owen's time. I am afraid our Chancellor has done a very unpopular thing in naming him again; I wish that may not be the worst of it.

1722, October 9.—Parliamenting would not do well to one with such a family as Affleck has at any time, and much less after losses in the Bubbles that I am afraid are not inconsiderable. But he has a foolish project in his head which he will never compass; nor, if he could, would his being in Parliament in the least conduce, though I fancy he thinks it will.

You will be more numerous than you were in the last Parliament, but not strong enough surely to carry any one point, though all the malcontent Whigs should join with you. No, nor to oppose the repeal of the *Habeas Corpus*, and yet that is the point on which one would think, if on any, an opposition might be made to purpose.

My old friend Dr. Cheyney has been pleased to recommend some more of his friends to me from Bath. I was fetched from the Audit House yesterday to three gentleman who had brought me a letter from Dr. Cheyney. I was once thinking not to have gone home, but when I did, the gentleman proved to be the famous Mr. Law and his son, and Lord Sommerville, a young Scotch lord. Though I was no stranger to Law's character, yet I did not grudge a bottle of wine, for the sake of a little conversation with one who has made so much noise in the world. He spent the evening with me. I put him upon talking of his own affairs, and he entered into them very readily. He seemed to take it as a great reflection on him, as he well might, that anyone should think our South Sea scheme to have been formed on the plan of his Mississippi. I perceive he takes our projectors to be great bunglers.

The little Auditor spent Saturday and Sunday with me. To-morrow I expect Abel and his lady and the babes.

1722, October 11.—You have indeed a very full number, but they seem to me to have little ground for hopes, who with such a number durst not struggle for the Chair. It is said your first point of debate will be the *Habeas Corpus*. If that goes through both Houses, no one can doubt what is to be depended on.

I spent the evening yesterday with Abel, he is gone forward this morning. He seems to have his fears and to think that he is in some danger for having protested so boldly as he did last winter. I did not endeavour to allay his fears, but told him I thought he was more obnoxious on account of his known intimacy with Ruffe. I fancy he will not protest again in haste, unless he has very numerous company to bear him out. He owned to me that he had been a very considerable loser in the South Sea, but he named not the sum.

Postscript. I have read the letter to the Clergyman about Ruff's commitment. I do not take it to be [the Bishop of] Lincoln's. I am told it is one Croxall's, a poet.

1722, October 13.—I fancy you may already see that it would not have been inexpedient if some of our friends had been sooner in town. I hope all here are convinced that the Government have lost nothing by Lord S[underland]'s death, and that apprehensions that his influence would be wanted are groundless. They always I confess seemed so to me. I own I am pleased to hear who the person [Harcourt] was that moved to add the words *are or*. I perceive he has his old friend at his heart. That was a home thrust under the fifth rib. *Hoc jurat et melli est non mentiar.*

We have now a full confirmation of the utmost that was suspected of King. I know not what he can make of it, nor is it yet certain that others will think him creditable enough to make use of his offers. I hear two of our Heads bless God that they have not drank a bottle with him for six months last past. You know the Act limits information for words to that time.

1722, October 16.—You are in the midst of your battle, I suppose, whilst I am writing to you. I am wishing that you may die like men of honour, for I cannot have the least hopes of any thing else. *Quanquam O!* If our friends had had common sense, and had entered into any measures about the late elections, they might have had the game in their own hands. This is a reflection that abates much of the satisfaction one can have in hearing that they fall honourably.

I am mighty glad to hear that Lord O[xford] was at the debate, there was great enquiry here after that; and to hear that he was there added not a little to the great indignation against an old fellow soldier of his, who has changed sides. We already see the utmost that is likely to be done by the Convocation, and if I should come up I find I am not likely to have any other call but my desire to pay my duty to your Lordship and my Lady.

As things are, I believe it is best that we do not sit. It is much to be doubted whether there could have been a majority that would have agreed in what was proper. But if there should, it is certain they would not have been allowed to do it.

1722, October 18.—I heartily thank you for the account of what passed with you, which you were pleased to send me by Mr. Thomas. I think you may now go play, your numbers are not likely to increase after a defeat. It is not hard to foretell the event of the seven years that are to come. And they who ride the fore horse must be as great bunglers as they who oppose them are, if possible, if they leave themselves open to any attack when they are to renew their power. I cannot but make one reflection on your weakness, that in your first debate and in so full a house you durst not oppose the main point, though so obnoxious, but only endeavoured to compound for a little shorter time.

1722, October 21.—I am extremely obliged to you for giving yourself so much trouble in the midst of the business you must have, to let me know so much of what has passed with you, though your own modesty would not let you tell me that part which would have been most acceptable. But other friends supplied that part, and set it in its proper light, as well knowing how welcome it would come to me. May God enable you to go on, and as your heart is as good, may your abilities appear as eminent as ever your father's were. Not that I think that any speaking is in these days of much moment, but we must do what we can, though we foresee little fruit of it. And it will be great satisfaction to your own mind, as well as joy to all who honour you, to be able to say *si Pergama lingua defendi possent*.

There can be no ground surely for what was mentioned as to have been offered on the demise of the Queen. If Ruffe could have had such a thing at all in his head, he could not at that time have gone those lengths. But if there should be any truth in it, I beg leave to observe that the discovery of it now must have come from the Attorney, who at that time, you know, was in the strictest intimacy with Ruffe.

You do not think but that in the midst of jealous and unsettled times I have some pleasure to see the Attorney act the part he does.

1722, October 25.—I wish you may be in a state to be on the defensive. I am afraid you are not in any point that others would in earnest contend with you. But I do not apprehend that they have any other points this session, but a few more troops and the remission of the two millions. And I believe you do not think you can stop either of them. Our member for the town in Walters' place was chosen yesterday without opposition, a young gentleman, unmarried, of 1,700*l. per annum*, and money in his pocket. His name Knowles, a relation of Tom Rowney's, and brought in by his interest.

I am told the apology for the suspension of the *Habeas Corpus* in the last "London Journal," was written by Hereford. It is great condescension in one of his station to scribble for common news writers. What he has now written is as little reconcileable to some things written by Bangor, as some things written by Bangor were to others written by Hoadly.

Postscript. I would observe one thing to you, which I know not whether it occurred in your debates. That in King James's arbitrary reign when a Tory Parliament was sitting, and plots both in England and Scotland which broke out in both Kingdoms to actual rebellion, there was no thought in any of those betrayers of their country, the Tories, to suspend the *Habeas* &c.

1722, October 26.—I am afraid the Master of University has not many hours to live. He was struck on Wednesday not (*sic*) at St. John's where the Ordinance then was, and has not had any sense since. It is thought one Cockman will succeed him, he is the best of those named for it.

Some new stock jobbing is going forward. The Viscount sent to Blechingdon to procure the consent of his College [Worcester] for putting their whole fortune, 14,000*l.*, into the South Sea. Accordingly consent under the College seal is gone up for laying of it out in stock. I suppose a rise is expected, and designed, upon the submission of the two millions, and conclusion of the congress at Cambray. What is thought will be the event of the last?

1722, October 28.—Your public news is very categorical. I think the victors may now go play, as old Colonel Astley told the Parliament generals, when they had defeated, near Stow, the last body of troops under the Colonel which the King had left. Business of much moment has been despatched in a few days. How many shillings in the pound this year, three or four?

Who is this Mrs. Spelman talked of for her fidelity to the Duke of Newcastle?

Postscript. Poor Charlett is alive still and that is all. We may not only have a worse than he, but have little hope I am afraid of having one so good.

You seem not surprised at the great sum I told you was by the Attorney's management to be laid out in South Sea stock. I wish he may not do this with a design to sell his own stock to them. That would be a bite of which he is very capable.

1722, October 30.—Your countryman Saloway's sermon is like to have much credit here. The Bishop of Oxford is extremely pleased with it, and with the prefaces as much as with the sermon.

King's modesty is as remarkable as any of his other good qualities. He is come down to us again, I thought we should have seen him no more.

Charlett is come in some measure to his senses, but sinks apace; he cannot hold long.

1722, November 5.—It is not hard to guess what the issue of any contest about elections will be when the Court concerns itself. I only wish my old friend H[utcheson] may in his

present defence be of a piece with himself and not flag in his spirit. King goes on to deny his having made any offers to Walpole, but he has one plea for himself I was not aware of. He says if he had gone to Walpole, why might not he go to him as well as G. Clarke go every day to the Viscount. Is not the last as great a ——— (sic) as the first? It may be somewhat difficult to answer this.

All Souls may perhaps have some new trouble, they ended their election last night. The parties were equal, so they agreed to take one of each side. They agreed to take no notice of a founder's kinsman, one Wood, a gentleman commoner of our House. But he immediately entered an appeal, and will I fancy be relieved by the Visitor.

Postscript. This Layer seems a very stubborn fellow.

1722, November 8.—The event of your debate is no other than I expected, but I should be glad to know how my friend Hutcheson behaved himself on this occasion. I had heard the poor man was somewhat dispirited. I hope he recovered himself, and kept his credit though he lost the day. Does your Lordship know the names of the three clergymen who came as witnesses on Lownd's side? The Roman Catholics are all alarmed at the report of the tax designed against them, and hastening up to town from all parts of the country hereabouts.

1722, November 13.—I am told that Dr. John Freind spoke very well in the debate of the Westminster election, and had a reflection in his speech on the Viscount. I should be glad to know what the last was.

The poor Master of University lies in a wretched condition, without any sense. It is impossible I think that he should last long so. The College is equally divided, five and five for Cockman and Dennison. If the senior Fellow's voice be allowed in this case to be casting, as I think it ought, Cockman will have it. There will be an appeal to the Doctors of Divinity as Visitors. The words are *absente magistro* the senior Fellow's vote carries it. The appellants pretend that *absente* extends only to the living.

Not one man in this place now opens their (*sic*) month here for the Viscount but his old friend Delaune. He does it heartily and observes on all occasions how happy the University is who has such a friend as he is to us in power; and tells his comrades that the Viscount's moving to add the words *are or* to the Suspension Act was out of tenderness to R[uffe] to prevent his being brought to a speedy trial, and to keep him in prison till men's animosities against him were cooled. I think the Viscount could not have had a more proper advocate.

1722, November 15.—We have neither intrigues at Court, nor contests about elections, nor plots nor trials, to supply new matter to us. We have neither insolence of enemies or folly of friends to afford us variety. But all is as downright hum-drum with us as your old tutor's conversation is with others out of his own doors or with his own wife within them. Your Lordship

threatens to mortify me. Robin Morgan, who is a philosopher in deed and not in word, would tell you that what the world through wrong judgment commonly calls mortification is upon a due estimation of things the most refined and exquisite of pleasures. And in that sense I can allow that the more you honour me with hearing from you, the more you will mortify me. How is your election like to go at Westminster? Is there any spirit, any resentment stirring? It seems to me one of those things by which, though you may not gain much if you are victors, yet you may lose very much if you are worsted.

1722, November 17.—No doubt our good friends the Tories will be much exalted that they have been allowed to carry the Stafford election. We shall be apt enough to think the day may be our own again. A little thing contents us. This election indeed is, as we say, better than nothing, but it is but cold comfort for the suspension and augmentation.

A citation was fixed to-day at All Souls, to summon the Warden, Officers and Fellows to appear at Lambeth on the 10th of January next, in the case of an appeal of a founder's kinsman. The College, as much as it is divided on other occasions, will be very unanimous in making all the opposition they can in this case to the Archbishop's power, but I believe to no purpose.

You forgot to tell me the numbers by which the little Captain's election was carried; but I had them from the Bishop of Chester.

1722, November 18.—The poor Master [of University College] died last night. The Fellows continue equally divided. There will be an appeal I believe to the Doctors of Divinity, who are the Visitors, but as far as I can yet guess it will go for Cockman, who will have the senior Fellow's vote. How is your election at Westminster likely to go?

1722, November 21.—I am sorry you were only passive upon a late occasion, but I hear my old friend the Viscount took care to distinguish him in another place by his zeal, on that as well as all other occasions.

Poor Charlett has died in very bad circumstances I am afraid as to his temporals.

1722, November 22.—Is there such a thing as a step that some men can boggle at? It should seem so by making the election only void. I am glad to find even such slender remains of shame. I am afraid I must not call it grace. My most humble respects to Mr. B[romley], how does he think the next election at Coventry will go? The tide it seems is turned in your own quarters. I take it to be of some moment, but what will not a camp and suspension do? It is happy for G. Clarke that he is laid up with the gout, it may be a good excuse for not accepting a challenge. King is gone up with a resolution, as he told his cronies here, to call Walpole, Bromley and Clarke to account. But I suppose the next news we shall hear of him will be that he is in the Fleet.

Some of your House who are come here say you shall adjourn for five weeks at Christmas and at your meeting again go upon the Lords in the Tower. I suppose then it must be by impeachments. Do you hear any such thing? We are amazed that we hear nothing to-day of Leare [Layer?]'s trial.

Postscript. When will these Travels come out that give an account of the King of Sweden?

1722, November 27.—I have read King's advertisement, and see not but that the main of what has been charged on him may be true, though this advertisement should stand good. He denies that he either *went* to Walpole, or *wrote* to him upon any occasion, but he does not deny that he ever *sent* any one else to him upon any occasion. He denies indeed that he ever gave a *commission* to any one to make overtures in his name, about informing, &c. And perhaps that might not be directly offered at first, but was to be the consequence of his being returned into Walpole's service; or the person employed by him to Walpole, who is said to be his brother-in-law, one Withers, a hearty Whig, might assure Walpole of this, as that which he knew King could and would do, and do this too with King's knowledge, without having King's express commission for doing of it. As to any answer to it, I see not to whom that belongs but to Walpole himself. The report came from him, and he is the person who alone is obliged to make it good. But I suppose he will hardly condescend to enter into an advertisement combat with King.

It is not yet known what part Layer is like to play upon his conviction.

1722, November 29.—Your concern is very just for the death of that poor Lady, who gave an excellent proof of the goodness of her own temper, in being so kind a mother-in-law as she was.

Surely the bill now depending with you is a more real persecution than ever the dissenters complained of in former reigns. Can they press this bill who thought the restraint of occasional conformity a hardship?

Can you learn what Walpole says to King's advertisement? He ought to answer it, he gave occasion for the reports. I fancy Shippen will take notice to him of it. You might learn from him what Walpole says to it. Surely this bustle will bring to light the proofs of this matter, and produce those who were either employed by King to Walpole, or desired by King to introduce him to him.

Postscript. I am afraid your joy is but short-lived. The bill depending seems to have an ugly aspect towards property. Hence, I suppose, as well as from particular application, the great opposition to it. But I much doubt whether in other cases, though of a trying nature too, you would have so much company.

1722, December 2.—We are somewhat impatient to know what will be the issue of King's advertisement and insult upon Dod. No one thinks it will be proper for Mr. Walpole to enter into a paper combat with King. But it is supposed that he is bound in honour, by what way he thinks most decent for himself, to let it be known that what has been reported of King came from him, and that he can make it good.

1722, December 4th.—I thought there had been no petition against our friend Cæsar; since there is one, though upon never so frivolous a pretence, I am afraid he cannot hope to keep his place [for Hertford].

The election at University is over, but will be contested, though I think there is little ground for it. The statute says the Master shall be chosen *per canonicum electionem*. By the canons regularly, there should be to make an election, not barely a majority of votes for one candidate, but a majority of the whole number of voters, but the same canons allow no man to vote for himself, and by the custom of the College the senior's vote is casting. To avoid these two last points, Dennison did not vote for himself, but threw away his vote on one Ward. Four others voted for Dennison and five for Cockman. Dennison has appealed against the election as invalid, because a majority of the ten votes were not for Cockman upon a strict interpretation of the words *canonica*, and if you could suppose all the voters had given their votes *bona fide*, with an intent to make an election, perhaps there might be weight enough in the objection to oblige them to go to another election. But since the Visitors are to go *secundum conscientias*, and it is plain one vote was given, not with an intent to promote but to hinder an election, I do not think there is much weight in what is objection to the election, but that it will be judged very good.

1722, December 6.—The gentleman to whom the words about K[ing] were spoken has written about them to the person that spoke them, but I hear not yet of any effect his letter has had. Perhaps the person that spoke it might have feigned most of what he said to make his own court. If it should be so he is a greater villain than the person he represented as such.

We are to meet this day about the election of University, I know not whether we shall enter into the merits of it to-day, though I think there is no ground for this bustle. Your Lordship shall know the event when it is over.

1722, December 11.—This morning Cockman took quiet possession. When we noble Visitors met, Dr. Hammond put in a protestation against all their future proceedings as null, the three days in which we had cognizance being lapsed. It was signed by Hammond, Burton, Stratford, Carter, Provost of Oriel, Terry, Clavering, Moulden of Pembroke. Then Cockman appeared and read a protestation in the name of himself, and of those Fellows who chose him, against any further proceedings in this cause. The protestation was not received because he called himself Master in it. Our noble court was somewhat disconcerted. The advocate on Dennison's side desired further time to consider. He would have had till Thursday, they have given him till to-morrow in the afternoon. What our noble Visitors will then do, I know not. I thank God we have rid our hands of it, but the King's Bench must determine this at last.

Postscript. The Provost of Queen's signed our protestation to-day in court with this exception, that he took the three days not to be expired till Monday, which we had fixed to Saturday.

1722, December 16.—What was in the Pretender's ships? Were they full of dragoons or ammunition? Or were they employed upon small traffic to help him to get a livelihood? Were they pleasure boats? Surely they will not forbid him to keep a little small craft upon either of the two last occasions.

1722, December 18.—Dennison and his party proceeded yesterday to a new election of Dennison, but I am told there is some confusion amongst them. It is said that all who took upon them to void Cockman's election will not venture to confirm Dennison, but are afraid of burning their fingers any further. But I am not certain how that affair stands.

1722, December 29. From Mrs. Broughton's in Stable Yard near Dean's Yard, Westminster.—I fancy you were as little a stranger to the place of my abode here, as to the time of my coming hither. It is plain you knew the last so well, that you took care to get out of town before I could get into it. And I suppose you will have no thoughts of returning to it, till you hear I am gone from it. I have had the honour to wait thrice on my honoured Lady, and she is so good as to allow me to dine with her to-morrow above stairs. I paid my duty to my old master, on Christmas day in the evening, and to my great comfort I had him alone for three hours. I dined with him on Thursday, but there were many others there. All my observations of him tend I am afraid only to confirm me in those thoughts I had when I saw him at Oxford, but I care not to dwell on so melancholy a subject.

I have not yet been at Lord Kinnoull's. I shall call in a day or two, though were it not for the good lady, I wish I could say mistress of that family, I should keep my due distance.

Your uncle sent Robin to me on Monday night to invite me to dine with him on Wednesday, but I was pre-engaged, and obliged to make my excuse as I did to my honoured Lady and your father for the same day. As I came from waiting on my Lady on Wednesday, I passed by your uncle coming thither; he looks very well, but I have heard nothing further of that family. But the person that has loaded me most with undeserved honours has been Abel. He prevented my respects to him, and came to me himself the moment he heard I was in town with his own and his lady's compliments. Upon my returning the visit, I had great caresses from both, and pressing invitations to make their house my own whilst I stay here. Your father would make me vain; he tells me the good lady designs me for her second. So much for private correspondence.

I fancy there has been no great change in the face of public matters since you left this place. Though differences continue still, the talk of changes is said to be over. No ground yet to expect that Or[rery] or any others in durance will be bailed. The Regent has interposed vigorously against the Catholic bill, but the person who promotes it says that, for that very reason, it shall be driven through. They will show those abroad, as well as those at home, that they are in no fear of

them. I am told the same person is not so forward as he was to augment the troops—the reason when we meet. If men can be reasonable and quiet, and let things remain as they are, perhaps there will be no more prosecutions about the plot. But if they are impertinent, and press to be let into the bottom of what is discovered, they must thank themselves if they who are provoked, when they are not furnished for prosecutions in inferior courts, have recourse to Parliamentary methods.

Postscript. Since I wrote the Auditor has been with me and we have chatted an hour or two. I wish I could give you as good an account of your father as of your uncle. I think I never saw him better in my life.

1722-3, January 3.—Pray thank Mr. Harley for the favour of his. Since my last to your Lordship I have waited on Lady Kinnoull, who will look better when her red spots are gone than ever she did in her life. Yesterday I paid my duty in Dover Street, and found all well there. I dined with your uncle and spent the evening with your father. He is very deaf still, and must be so till he can prevail on himself to keep better hours. But in other respects I thought him somewhat better than when I first saw him. All other affairs continue as when I last wrote to you.

There are nothing but conjecturals as to what will be done when you meet again. The Speaker [Compton] has been very ill, but is on the mending hand. However some think he will not be in a condition to attend the service of the House at the time to which you are adjourned, and that you may be adjourned for a week longer upon his account. You will have heard that Lord Carlisle on Friday last received a letter from Lord Townshend to let him know that the King had no further occasion for his service. I can hear of no other reason for his dismissal but his reproof of Williamson for his behaviour to the prisoners. You have heard no doubt, too, of the battle between the Bishop of Rochester and Williamson. Reports are very various. Williamson has made an affidavit that the Bishop collared him, struck him, and threw him down. A pretty odd affidavit for a great officer to make—that he was beaten by a gouty bishop. The story by the Bishop is very different. Williamson and White, the Major of the Tower, came to visit him; the Bishop ordered both his servants to stay in the room. Williamson said upon that he would have two warders up. The Bishop said, and surely with reason, that there could be no occasion for warders when superior officers were there, and when he was not conversing with suspected persons. Williamson ordered one of the Bishop's servants to call up warders. The Bishop bid his servant not to stir. Williamson then desired the Bishop to dismiss one of his servants that they might be two and two. The Bishop gratified him in that. Williamson after that insisted still to have one warder, and bid the servant call him. The Bishop said he should have no warder, and bid the servant not to stir. Williamson then said he would call one himself. The Bishop was nearest

the door, and got thither first, and set his back to it. William-son, in coming round the bed, entangled his foot in a little chair at the bottom of the bed, and was thrown down flat on his back. The Bishop protests he did not, I cannot see anything else he did which could be on any account improper.

1722-3, January 5.—The talk is very general and warm that the plot is to be laid before the Parliament. Opinions are different about the consequence of it. Some talk of impeachments, others only of proper addresses from both Houses. It is as hotly talked that Carteret is to be Groom of the Stole, and Pulteney Secretary. The Prelate since his late quarrel with the gaoler is confined to his room and not allowed to come down stairs to speak through the grate to his son and daughter, and upon complaint, no redresses, but the order confirmed by friend Carteret. I am told by one who has good intelligence that Walpole went out of town much out of humour upon being pressed to come into a new German treaty, which he did not like.

1722-3, January 8.—We hear nothing more of King's advertisement, nor shall we. I hear Walpole says to private men that what he had said of King was true, but there will be nothing made public against him. The talk grows daily that there will be a narrative of the plot laid before the Houses. It is said Walpole has taken Bland and a chaplain with him into the country, and trunks full of papers in order to draw it up. But I meet with no one that thinks any thing can be made of it. You say not when you design to be in town. There will certainly be a further adjournment. The Speaker will not be strong enough by the time you are to meet to be able to attend the House. I have seen Kinnoull and was received with great demonstrations of joy and friendship, to a degree that seemed to me to proceed from a consciousness of guilt, which he would willingly hide. I have just come from my Lord of Oxford; his hearing continues as bad as it was, and in all other points he is much as he was. I believe I may now tell you with some assurance that some sort of narrative is preparing in order to be laid before the Parliament. I hear nothing yet but conjecturals as to the further use that is to be made of it.

1722-3, January 19.—Lord Harcourt sets up for a benefactor to [torn, Oriel?] and would have us give up an alms house for ground to build a chapel for them. Your old tutor is in great haste to give up the site of our College to oblige his Lordship. I learn his Lordship [is] afraid of opposition from his old friend Stratford. This betwixt ourselves. I have no interest now in [torn], however I believe difficulties may ted which his Lordship will not be able to surmount with all his skill and all the interest one of his power may have amongst a parcel of mercenary fellows. Some think this only a feint in his Lordship, and that he foresees or desires difficulties may be started to bring him off.

1722-3, February 23.—I forgot to tell you yesterday, that Browne, one of the proscribed, preached the Latin sermon yesterday, before the determining bachelors. He prayed openly for Cockman as Master of University College. All the bachelors immediately turned their heads and looked on the Vice-Chancellor. He was in some confusion, but he may perceive by this in what disposition the Fellows are to submit to him.

1722-3, February 24.—I have brought the young gentlemen safe to Christ Church. I bless God we are all well, only a little weather-beaten. I beg leave to pay my most humble thanks to your Lordship and my Lady for all your goodness to me in town. Be pleased to tell my Lady that the new bride of Balliol occasions much discourse here. The majority of his brethren, the Heads, condemn the match. The young men of the College laugh heartily at their governor, but hope to find their account in their governante. You may imagine the debates are not less amongst the ladies, but their assemblies are divided on the point. The graver part seem resolved at present not to visit her. I have not yet been out to learn any other news, if there should be any.

1722-3, February 26.—On Saturday in the evening the Vice-Chancellor sent to the Doctors to meet him yesterday at University College at one o'clock in the afternoon. He gave no summons to any who had protested, nor any summons to any of the College to attend. There met this day, the Vice-Chancellor, Delaune, Hole of Exeter, President of Corpus, Newton of Hart Hall, Brabourn of New Inn Hall, Fenton of Edmond Hall, Hunt of Balliol. I cannot learn that there were any more. They locked themselves up, and admitted nobody but Dennison, whom they called in once. The Vice-Chancellor pressed unanimity much, and encouraged those who were backward and timorous. They resolved that Boraston the senior Fellow should be ordered to deliver up the keys of the Treasury to Dennison, that Nevil and Taylor, two Fellows in possession of the Master's lodgings for Cockman, should give up the lodgings to Dennison, and Browne the Bursar pay to him what was due to the Master, before Thursday se'nnight, upon pain of suspension, and if they incurred suspension and submitted not within seven days, upon pain of expulsion by the 14th of March. It is hard to say whether the proceeding be more irregular or extravagant. I am told many were drawn in upon assurance that the Fellows would be intimidated and submit. I believe they will find themselves mistaken in that, and if they dare then venture to execute their own resolutions a fair way will be opened to Westminster Hall.

Postscript. I hear since I wrote that Fenton, the Principal of Edmund Hall, withdrew from the rest and would not act with them. Dr. Haywood of St. John's was dragged in much against his will by the President; it seems he has some favour depending in the College. Martyn, the junior Pro-Proctor of New College, was one too who had no more to do there, supposing they had a legal power, than your footman. They not only did not summon any

of us who had protested, but no other Doctors in town who had not protested, of whom they did not think themselves sure. Their decree was fixed up to-day, in which they adjourn themselves to Thursday se'nnight. If I can prevail on others to appear with me, they shall have more company than than they expect, and such as will soon make the room too hot for them. If all who are against them would but act, we are two to one now in town against them. When they had locked themselves up, they opened their court with a bottle of wine.

Pray communicate this to Mr. Bromley.

1722-3, February 27.—I have enclosed to you a copy of our famous decree made on Monday last by our Visitors. I would only observe that, if they had power, what they require of the Fellows, if submitted to, might not excuse them from punishment. For though they should submit, yet if afterwards their friend, Mr. Denison (*sic*), should refuse to certify under his hand their submission, they would still be suspended and at last expelled. The other blunders are too obvious to be observed, the grammar and sense in it is just as good as the law and reason of it. This made by these few men locked up without ever summoning even those who did not protest against them, if they [had ever?] before differed from [them], without ever summoning the parties they pretend to punish, or giving notice to them of any complaint against them, or hearing any proof of such complaint, and upon proof admonishing them to do their duty by such a time, or then they would consider what further to do—the usual constant method in all courts of this nature that have full legal powers.

1722-3, March 2.—We had a remarkable struggle here on Friday for the Curator's place vacant by the death of Sir Christopher Wren. The event of it will have its consequences here, but I could not know it soon enough to send it to you by that day's post. That place has been usually bestowed by way of compliment to the Vice-Chancellor for the time. The present Vice-Chancellor has made more attempts than one to get a surrender from Sir Christopher. He has for the last two years doubted of his own interest in the Convocation, but to remedy that he took other precautions, sure ones as he thought. The Curator must be chosen out of the delegates of Accounts. They are named by the Vice-Chancellor and the two proctors. The present delegates are the Heads of Brasenose, Magdalen, Exeter, Oriel, Corpus Christi, St. John's, Trinity, Pembroke, All Souls. The present Vice-Chancellor, whenever he made any one the compliment of naming him a delegate of Accounts, asked him at the same time for his vote for himself in case of Sir Christopher Wren's death. He did so particularly to the President of Magdalen last November, who promised him his vote. All Souls is already a Curator, and having secured, as he thought, Magdalen, he apprehended no opposition. When he had notice on Wednesday of Wren's death, he immediately went to Magdalen. The President repeated his promise, and said he would recommend

him to his House, but there appeared a strange aversion in the whole university to the Vice-Chancellor. The men of Corpus [wished] to put up their Head, but he said he had promised the Vice-Chancellor and was under obligations to him not to let himself be named in opposition to him. Many went from several colleges and desired the Magdalen men to put up their Head, and offered them their assistance; they applied to their Head, but he still declined it. At last they begged of them to put him up without his consent. It was Friday morning the day of election before they could be prevailed on to do it, but at last they did. All the Pates, Whig as well as Tory, out of deference to the Vice-Chancellor's authority, stuck by him. Our Sub-dean was the only Canon who was at the election; he solicited our House man by man for the Vice-Chancellor, but could only get two of the whole House to go along with him. Only eight of our House were at the election, of which five were against the Vice-Chancellor; the rest stayed at home. The issue was that it was carried for the President of Magdalen against the Vice-Chancellor by 96 to 65. All who stayed away, had they come, would have been against the Vice-Chancellor, and in that case he would have lost it by above an hundred.

Never was there a public mark of detestation so remarkable in all its circumstances ever fixed before in this place on one in his post. The Masters cried aloud in the Convocation House that they hoped this would be fairly represented to the Chancellor [Arran], and that he would see by it how his Vice-Chancellor was esteemed and beloved, and that they should have no more of him; and indeed it is high time for our Chancellor to look about him, if he thinks it worth his while to preserve any interest here. If he continues to countenance this man and his cronies he will find a great majority here revolt against it. When the affair was over, as the poor proscribed Fellows came along the street, every one ran up to them to give them joy of the Vice-Chancellor's disgrace.

Be pleased to communicate this account to Mr. Bromley. Dr. Haywood, the most considerable both for learning and morals of those who signed the decree, has written a letter to the Vice-Chancellor to take shame to himself, to beg pardon of the poor men whom he has wronged, to desire his name may be withdrawn from the decree, and that at the next meeting his own protest may be entered against himself. This is the substance of a very handsome letter, in the beginning of which he does me too much honour by attributing the change of his opinion to his discourse with me.

I have seen Tim, but if he did not bring more money than he has news with him, I am afraid he has fared very hard upon the road.

1722-3, March 5.—I hear you are in the report, or rather appendix to it, though not in the Plot. I give you joy of your escape. It is well so you had so wise a father. But I have a quarrel to you, that the true reason of your journey last year into

Herefordshire should be kept such a secret to me. But could you think that any of your finesses could escape Ruffe's sagacity? It is hard though that he who has so great talents should not employ first to guard himself, and that one of great penetration into other men's motions should have so little circumspection as to his own.

It is generally thought, I find, that somewhat extraordinary is designed. I want only to hear the issue of Thursday's debate. If such a thing be voted, I shall easily guess what the success will be. If these things go on you will not go to Wimpole so soon as you imagined?

Postscript. Was there any thing in Ruffe's letters about the patriarchal power, or insisting upon great secular offices as well as the Archbishopric?

1722-3, March 9.—Our noble Visitors met again yesterday. Mr. Dennison was called and asked if the Fellows had submitted; he said no, but he made it his humble request to them that they would be pleased to allow them longer time. Upon this they were so gracious as to allow them till the second of May. The grimace of this was good to seem to do at Dennison's intercession (*sic*). What the aim of it is I know not. It can be of no use, unless they begin to be sick of the business, or have a mind to drop it leisurely. But I scarce think that, and to me the affair seems not capable of accommodation by reference.

I have the favour of your Lordship's. They do me great honour who suppose me to have such influence in the University. But the gentlemen ought not to be defrauded of any part of their own merit. I can affirm that I never had occasion to speak of this election but to two persons, one of our own House and one of another House. Had I been so busy as they think me, I should I suppose have used my interest against him in our House, where I have still a little, and where many stayed at home whom I could have sent against him, and some voted for him that I could at least I believe have kept at home. The Warden of All Souls [Gardiner] voted for the Vice-Chancellor. I believe some who did not appear for the Vice-Chancellor were at least as good friends as he to G. C[larke?]. I fancy Christ Church were as steady and as numerous as Brasenose. No one doubts, I believe, of Blechingdon's friendship to G. C[larke], yet he differs from the Vice-Chancellor heartily in University cause, and has been used brutally upon their account by his old friend the President of St. John's.

1722-3, March 10.—I am informed this morning that an appeal was lodged on Friday with the King against the Vice-Chancellor and his adherents, and was received with all the favour possible. Keep this as yet to yourself, though it cannot be long a secret. When I first suspected it, I sent for Mr. Cockman to stop it at least for some time, that our mad men here might have leisure if they pleased to come to their senses. I must do Mr. Cockman the justice to say that no one could be [more] ready to do it than he was. Before I spoke to him he

had written to London to stop it, but he had left an appeal ready drawn at London, to use if there should be occasion. And the Fellow who is left agent for them there put in Mr. Cockman's and the Fellows' too, even after he had received Mr. Cockman's letter to stop it. Our great men may see what is to make men desperate. Though I did what I could to stop this, yet I cannot in my judgment blame them for having recourse, after the usage they have had, to any one from whom they could hope for relief. I have discovered, too, that there has been for some time all possible encouragement given underhand to these Fellows to apply where they do for relief. But they had never taken this step if the last decree had not driven them to it. Now *jacta est alea*; our Visitors must stand to the consequence of what they have done. Pray communicate this to Mr. Bromley. The malignity of the planets seem to shed their (*sic*) influence at the same time on Ruffe and his creatures.

I have read the report, but cannot say that I am much wiser than I was when I was in town. But by the result of your debate on Friday, I find it has all the effect they who drew it could wish for in your House. Who is Hacket, with whom Ruffe was to be reconciled and to act when he came out of the country? Not a friend of ours surely. Musgrave must be much out if he could have such a mad thought.

Postscript. I fancy our grandees rely upon the Viscount to help them out of the scrape. No doubt he will help them as he does R[uffe].

1722-3, March 11.—By a letter I saw last night from Dr. Henchman, I perceive Mr. Cockman's letter which came on Friday night was too late to stop the application to the King. The agent left in town for the Master and Fellows had been with Lord Carteret on Friday morning, and acquainted [him] with their business, and was appointed by him to bring the petitions on Saturday morning. Upon this, he says, he thought himself not at liberty to stop upon Cockman's letter when he came to Carteret on Friday morning. Carteret told him the matter had been laid before the King, who received it very graciously, and bid him assure them that all dispatch possible should be given to their business. Now they are in for it, no one can foretell the issue. But no doubt they who have it now will make the most of it they can, for their own purposes. Pray acquaint Mr. Bromley with this.

Your Lordship says it is plain what the chief aim is in pursuing Ruffe, others will be sufferers. What is the aim besides humbling him? I guess not what you mean.

1722-3, March 12.—I have another humble petition to you, and of a little more moment, though not in my own behalf, but of one who deserves it much better. It is for the sake of honest Wainwright. It is very usual for those of your Lordship's quality to give instruments to your lawyers, by which you constitute them and retain them counsel for you, with a certain fee of two or three marks a year, as you please. Could Wainwright be honoured

with such an instrument by your Lordship, he would not expect, nor is there any reason he should, to be employed in your business any more than he is now, unless in opening a cause, or any under job which your usual counsel, or Oliver Martin, would not care to be concerned in. At least he would not hope for any share in your business but what you would be disposed to throw on him without such an instrument, till your other servants fail, and his own age and experience be greater. But such an instrument would be of great use to a beginner in the world, by the credit it would give him, and without entitling him to your business would certainly be a means to bring other grist to his mill. But if what I have presumed to beg be on any account improper or inconvenient, I hope you will be so good as to excuse me, and to suppose it never mentioned to you.

Tim comes to you with the addition of another character, but no more money I believe in his pocket than when he left you. I suppose he carries off with him all due to him here, but as corn goes I fancy it may all be left upon the road before he reaches you.

By what I still hear, our grandees, as I hinted in my last, rely upon an Egyptian reed, that will run into their hands that lean upon it. I begin to feel in me compassion for Ruffe. The manner in which they treat him plainly shows they are determined to come at him and to lay him low. I could not have imagined such a number could be brought into such extravagant proceedings. May God grant him to make the right use of it, and to own before Him in secret that what he meets with is due to him for other sins, though not for those crimes for which, or from those hands by which, it is laid on him.

1722-3, March 14.—There seems surely to be somewhat more than party in the eagerness of the present prosecution. It seems to come from a spirit of resentment in one you may remember who upon a certain breach was supposed to influence those he could to stick to one man, in order to keep out another. In others without doubt there is a pleasure in exposing the profession through R[uffe]. Somewhat of this kind in many I suppose, else there might be punishment without acrimony.

You need not fear there will be time found for the affair of University [College], assurance was given that all possible dispatch should be used. I do not see how any one can be affected in this case but the men immediately concerned in it, but it may be expected that this may create further divisions in this place, and increase their interest in it.

I just now hear what has happened to Dr. John Freind. You may be sure my friendship to the family gives me no little concern.

1722-3, March 21.—I was surprised last night with the news of my cousin Dolben's being brought to town in custody of two messengers. I am told she has been examined and is confined more closely upon it. Yet no [one] hears as to what she was examined, but only in relation to some conversation she had with

Mrs. Hughs. It must be long ago surely, if she had any conversation with her. Mrs. Dolben I believe has not been in town since the other is said to have returned. You may be sure I am in some concern for so near a relation. If you can learn from Mr. Affleck, or any other, any particulars which are supposed to be laid to her charge, pray be so kind as to communicate. Your Lordship may not have leisure to write them, but Tim may.

Our noble Visitors are now in for cakes and ale. To make the appeal odious, it is given out I hear that it will be a Royal visitation on the University. But that is idle. Nothing can possibly be brought in question upon this appeal but this particular affair of University, nor can any one have any concern in it but the thirteen who took upon them to void Cockman's election, and the seven who proceeded to suspend and expel those who would not submit. They indeed may all of them be liable to a *Quo Warranto* in the King's Bench. But I do not apprehend it will be carried farther than to declare what they have done to be without due authority, and therefore null. Dr. Newton, one of the Visitors, is publishing a case on Dennison's side.

1722-3, March 24.—Dr. Newton's performance is published. I would have sent it to you, but that I suppose you will have it from the booksellers in town before this can reach you. The poor man has been very ill informed by those who furnished his materials to him. I am willing to suppose the best, and not to think there has been any want of ingenuity in himself; but his quotations and facts are as false from the beginning to the end as his reasonings, nor is there one true position or one right inference in the whole.

We had like to have been in a flame here last week. The President of Trinity as Pro Vice-Chancellor had, in the Vice-Chancellor's absence, given leave to a servitor of University to have a letter from the Chancellor for one term for his bachelor's. The Vice-Chancellor when he returned was in wrath, and said he would stop the letter because Mr. Dennison's consent was not first had, though Dennison was out of town at the same time the Vice-Chancellor was. The Masters got it, and vowed they would [stop] all other letters and business till that letter was passed. Upon the Proctors representing this to the Vice-Chancellor the gentleman was pleased to pull in his horns and to let the letter pass.

Postscript. How comes Pulteney, who is so severe a prosecutor of the Bishop of Rochester, to put up Charles Aldrich for your preacher who was the Bishop's chaplain, and creature and tool too, to as infamous a degree as so poor a wretch could be. Charles too is mentioned in Lawson's evidence, printed in the report, for one who frequented Bromley, whilst the plot was in agitation.

1723, March 26.—I thank you for the comfort of your last. If it holds good, those I am concerned for may have some more trouble, but are out of danger. As for the first, though I wish it to no one, much less to my friends, yet by the just dispensation of Providence every one commonly has their share, of some sort

or other. And some of that in this world is often of main use to make us wish for the happiness of the next. But I beg your pardon, you will think I am transcribing a paragraph out of a sermon.

You must give me leave to expostulate with you. I am told my patron, my Lord Harley, and all his relations voted for the rider to the Bishop of Durham's bill. You may say, I suppose, that it was only with a design to quash the bill itself. But you must allow me to say that you took a very dangerous method. Should both parties in the Lords agree, as they did with you, and take you at your word, your poor friends may smart severely for your joke. Besides your rider itself is nonsense. Many of the estates which would bear the best fines would by your wise rider be wholly excused from any fine at all. How many estates have we and others, upon lives, which have paid no fine at all for above twenty years last past? If it could be just or equitable to have such a clause at all, it ought to ascertain the fine to such a fixed value, a year's or what you please, and at the same time oblige the tenant, under sufficient penalties, to give in the full value of the estate. And then you laymen would get little by such a clause. But I do not like these jokes in the laity, they seldom get such things in their heads and move them; but though they fail at first, they revive them again and pursue them till they carry them. Our best security is that you are too much in danger yourselves to be at leisure to new model us.

1723, March 28.—I thank you for your good news that my relation is likely to be at liberty again. I hear poor Ruffe could not forbear intemperate behaviour to Williamson before the serjeant of the House of Commons. If you hear what it was, pray let me know. Nature must be very strong that could not be restrained by his present circumstances.

I hear poor Newton is so pleased with his own performance, that he designs a supplement to it in a few days. The parties in that cause are summoned to attend the Attorney General on the 5th of April.

I have once in my life had the good fortune to please Mr. He[a]rne, and to be highly in his favour. He has thanked me wonderfully for the share I have had in that cause. He is the most zealous solicitor in the university for Cockman and his friends.

Harry Watkins is with me again in his return to town. Master Edward [Harley], and he and I, shall presume to drink your health to-day at dinner.

1723, March 31.—The gentleman who gave me my intelligence is a most sincere friend and servant to your Lordship and your family. He was misled I suppose by common report, as one who was with me here last night, and who is much your servant, had been also. He read to me your Lordship's name out of a catalogue of Tories who had voted for the clause, when I was enabled by your letter, which lay on the table before me, to contradict him.

What violence on the motion on Friday! Where will these things end? But it is pretty plain what will become of Ruffe, notwithstanding the opinion which I find some have, that he may still have a chance to escape.

1723, April 2.—I hear Mr. Edward leaves us at the end of this week. I suppose the lady is ready, and that as soon as Passion Week is over, he will be entered upon the great business of mankind. I could heartily wish he had spent two or three years abroad, before he had been tied down to work for the continuation of the family. I shall send by him that sermon of Burnet's, which I promised to you. I have another present for your library; it is as I take it one of the commandments of the Grand Signior, which as those you had from old Covell, but this is somewhat finer. The beginning is in golden flourishes, and the paper is pasted upon green silk. Harry Watkins found it among his father's old papers. I have given it to Hunt and Gaynier to decipher for me. As soon as I know what it is, I will send it to you.

The Vice-Chancellor has lately dismissed poor Gaynier from being deputy to Wallis the Arabic professor, and has put in a young Fellow of Magdalen College. The Fellow knows not the letters, and began to read the very hardest book in the language, Pocock's "*Carmen Tograi*," but read only the Latin translation. Hunt and another of Hart Hall attended his lecture, and when it was over asked him, as the Statutes empower them, questions. He gave them ill language. They complained to the Vice-Chancellor. The Vice-Chancellor begged them to hush it up, said he was sorry he had put one in unfit, but could not now help. The Fellow in his next lecture reflected on them. They complained again and demanded he should produce his lecture. The Proctors came along with him to bully for him. The complainants, who had the Statutes to defend them, stuck to their points. The Vice-Chancellor again begged them to drop it, and said the man should make them public satisfaction in his next lecture. They would be content with no other satisfaction but his being turned out. The Vice-Chancellor again entreated them to put it up for his time, and then they might do what they would. But I believe they will pursue their point.

1723, April 4.—Mr. Edward brings with him Burnet's Sermon on Fletcher's father, which I promised you; it is but small, but has all the value which such a thing can have by being scarce. I do not believe there is another of them in England; Burnet bought up all he could. It was designed to make his court to Fletcher's widow. It is a proof of that which was charged on him by Lesly in the remarks on the Sermon on Tillotson, and which Burnet denied so heartily in his answer to those remarks, viz., that he once set up for mystical divinity, or if he was not, he was somewhat worse, a great hypocrite, and pretended to be so, in hopes of engaging the widow by it. And perhaps that was the truth of the case. Lord Bolingbroke lost the title-page when I lent it to him.

1723, April 6.—Gaynier's *Life of Mahomet* is finished. He will be in town in a few days to make his presents to his benefactors, amongst whom your Lordship is chief. There is a proper mention of your Lordship's library and just respect paid to your worthy librarian, to whom my service if you please. If Gaynier meets with encouragement he will go on to publish an *Arabian Geographer*, that has been much wanted and very proper for the understanding the story of Mahomet or any other Arabian history.

1723, April 9.—Is banishment thought a greater instance of lenity than imprisonment at home? I should not think so were I of Ruffe's age, and had that want of foreign languages which he has, not to mention other inconveniencies. But perhaps it is designed as the greater punishment. Notwithstanding the vile usage I have had from that man, I cannot help now feeling some compunction for him. May God grant him to make the proper use of what happens to him, upon reflecting that what he had practised so long to others is in so short a time returned sevenfold into his own bosom. Were his son here capable of any kindness, I should be ready to show it, but he is such a creature as would alone be a severe affliction to any other father.

I hear I am represented as the great incendiary in the cause of University. Your Lordship was so good as to give me a hint of it some time ago. It will not be long now before the world will be able to judge who were the incendiaries in that cause and who the peacemakers. But the thing that is surest is the bustle in the Curator's place. It is a great honour to me, to suppose so many men, a dozen of whose faces I know not, should pay that deference to my opinion as to [be] influenced by it, only upon supposing it. They will scarce allow this honour to me in any case but in one, where they want some excuse for so remarkable an instance of hatred, and would throw it upon any other cause rather than a detestation of their own actions.

1723, April 12.—I hear Ruffe is now indeed affected with his circumstances, it is thought he will not be able to support his spirits when his defence comes on.

I have it from a sure hand that the Attorney exults and is in great joy; it is so great, that with all his skill he cannot conceal it. The time is come that he has been watching for during these last four years, and he has made use of. What have I lived to see betwixt these two men; righteous art Thou, O God, and just in all Thy judgments!

1723, April 15.—My accounts still continue to tell the concern Ruffe begins to be in for his present circumstances, and well he may. For I hear from a sure hand that one tittle of alteration is not to be hoped for in the bill in any respect. Nay, it is said that all which some unreasonable men think hard is, in a due estimation, downright mercy. Perhaps so. The Psalms as I remember mention a sort of mercies that seems to have some

resemblance to this. But I am astonished at the policy of those who quitted the House when the clause was brought. I am told it was at Ruffe's own desire. Not unlikely. It is so very peculiar and so impenetrable to poor common mortals. That clause well managed might have taken up a fortnight, if not much more, in debating it. Not a comma in it, but should have been opposed singly.

Your cousin tells me he is to be put up, upon the vacancy which is expected in your county. Better late than never. But it is hard to give a reason why that which is so proper now would not have been much more so at this time last year. His coming in now may be of some use to himself but not of much use to any other purpose. He may help indeed to carry a sprig of rosemary to the funeral of poor brother Hammond. Your most sincere servant declines apace, he cannot have many weeks of life left, perhaps not many days.

Postscript. As soon as my turn here is over next Sunday, I believe I shall be going to Berkshire, where an evening "Post" will be no little consolation in my solitude.

1723, April 25.—There was an election at Oriel on Friday last. Five honest men were chosen, one by ten votes, four by nine votes, against the Provost and three in the first case and four in the other. The Provost refused to admit them, and designs to insist upon a negative; a claim that was never heard of before in that ancient foundation. I suppose the Bishop of Lincoln, their Visitor, will allow it, and himself claim the nomination, as upon a devolution, though there is not the least colour for it in the statutes. But our Doctor Visitors have set the example, who roundly affirmed all power where not expressly taken away was lodged in Visitors by the nature of their office. They too once had designed to proceed by way of devolution. They were sufficiently told of the danger as well as absurdity of their doctrine. It recoils upon them sooner than I expected.

Postscript. Since I wrote I have received the favour of your Lordship's. I wonder you should not think R[uffe] likely to be disconsolate. If I were in his state I believe I should feel it. He certainly did so when I wrote to your Lordship, though I hear he is now pretty easy again. As to the Attorney it is pretty plain why he should exult, if you remember what I told you three years ago, that he said to a friend of ours that ——— was a villain, and he watched his time to be revenged of him. He has met with it, and has begun to be as good as his word, as you will hear when you come to town.

1723, April 27. [Little Shefford.]—I thank God I am got into the country at last, and have a hearty relish of it, though I have but very indifferent company to converse with, no one but myself. It is for you great ones to be perfectly happy and to be able to have company as well as place that is agreeable. Though I believe you too sometimes have some alloy to your satisfaction, and though you can command what you like you are forced to bear too with those whose room you would rather have than their company.

My neighbours here trouble their heads about the Plot as little as I do. Our chief concern here is for want of rain. We suffer as much by it as you can do in Cambridgeshire; and if this dry weather should hold a fortnight longer I shall have no great reason to brag of my being a farmer.

1723, April 30.—There was one odd thing at the sessions last Saturday at Newbury. A clergyman had high treason sworn against him, the highest of high treason, a downright attempt to list men for the Pretender. One man swore directly that he offered to settle an estate of 14*l.* *per annum* on him, to engage him for himself and any others for that purpose. Some say he had been endeavouring to deal with the “Blacks” for that purpose. His name is Power, he was formerly a commoner of Christ Church. His father is at present minister of East Hamstead near Reading, where Sir William Trumbull’s estate is. The man’s character is ill enough on all other accounts. My neighbours who bring me the story say his design was to inveigle others, and to discover the enemies of the Government. It is certain the man behaved as though he apprehended no danger to himself. He offered at no defence of himself, nor showed any concern at his accusation. I have but an imperfect account, but expect a letter. But there must have been treachery or treason, and he deserves to be hanged for either of them.

1723, May 3.—I beg your pardon for mistaking your meaning as to R[uffe]’s behaviour. You had very good reason to wonder that he should not be better prepared for that he had so much reason to expect.

I have had some hint of a conversation with a certain person, but I am bid to expect no more till I can have it *tête à tête*. I can easily suspend my curiosity for a few weeks. Nothing from that quarter will surprise me.

I told you of a clergyman committed for high treason, I can now give you a more particular account of it. This Power was curate to his father at East Hamstead. His father, though a vicar in Berkshire, lives at Bristol and has 300*l.* *per annum*. This son his curate was so scandalous that Lady Trumbull sent to the father to remove him, or she would complain to the bishop. This son went not long since with three of Evans’s dragoons, without any warrant, to search a house, as he pretended for some of the “Blacks.” He found only a country fellow or two taking a pot of ale, but committed such disorders there that the people of the house got a warrant, and carried him before Mr. Barker, son of Surgeon (?) Barker, a justice near Reading. Barker committed him for a riot, but kept him for a week in the constable’s hands, to see if any one would bail him. He was so scandalous, no one would. Barker asked him if he thought his own father, if he were there, would bail him. He frankly owned he believed he would not. To gaol he went, but he told Mr. Barker he would send him a paper, if he would promise to return it, that would justify him in what he had done, or to that effect. A

paper was sent, signed at the top, and countersigned at the bottom, being as pretended an authority to converse with the Blacks in order to discover them, and leave also to talk treason, and to make any reflections he pleased on any of the Royal family. In a little time the man was bailed by Mr. Fellow, a justice in those parts. Both his bail together were not supposed to be worth five pounds. These things made a noise, and at the sessions Mr. Jones of Ramsbury, seconded by Mr. Shirly a clergyman my neighbour, moved to have the matter laid before the grand jury. Mr. Barker was called on for an account of the paper; he owned he had seen such a paper, but could not remember the contents of it. Being much pressed, he owned he had taken an extract of it, but as I think, he said he had it not about. Mr. Jones and Mr. Shirly still pressed to have the matter laid before the grand jury. The other justices opposed it, no proof appearing as they said against him. Whilst this was in debate, three men from Ockingham came and swore high treason against him, for speaking treason, and for endeavouring to list them for the Pretender. This was not to be withstood. The fellow muttered somewhat of a paper, which he intimated would indemnify him. He said Colonel Negus had procured him the paper, and how should the King's enemies be discovered but by such men as he was? That the three men swore against him to save their own lives, he having already informed against them to my Lord Chancellor. But to gaol he is gone for high treason. If you know Mr. Kent, the member for Reading, he perhaps can give you a fuller account of it.

If Robin is not called off from his business, I believe he will do extremely well. I have taken upon me to alter one direction his brother left, that he should read nothing but classics for half a year. His tutor beginning a mathematical lecture, I ordered him to take Robin into it immediately. I am obliged to his brother for a letter and should be for the continuance of his favour, but cannot pretend to make him a return in kind from this place.

The warm weather may cheer Lord O[xford] a little. I wish, but I cannot say I hope, it will do more. As for good Madam, fear not but she will bury you as well as your father.

I have been confined since I was here with a distemper proper enough for one of my bulk. I am now on horseback again. I have a horse that I make a tolerable shift with, but I cannot say I ride with that security, nor consequently that pleasure, I did on old Black.

1723, May 9.—I doubt not but the great affair that is going on in your parts will be finished, but to me it seems to go somewhat more heavily than it did. I fancy many who are engaged, and will go, wish it had not been begun. But my old friend the At[torney] does not bear his share with that alacrity I thought he would. I hear indeed he was very hearty upon an incidental point, but they say he is tongue-tied upon the main point. I wish, for the sake of his soul, that his shyness were owing to his

dislike of the business. But I am afraid it may be ascribed to a meaner motive, he would not give enemies opportunity to observe the consistency of his present and former notion. He knows how open he is to personal replies upon this occasion.

I hear my old friend Charles Aldrich has taken care to convince his new friends, by his late sermon, that he has contracted no political malignity by his former intimacies with the Bishop of R[ochester]. But there was no occasion for poor Charles to lay in so fresh a stock of merit. His former qualifications gave him a good title to some dignity, if perjury, matricide, incest and the last degree of stupidity may be thought to deserve any thing. I wonder who cooked up Charles's sermon. He could not, I believe, so much as transcribe it himself. I wonder how he read it. He must have had recourse to his old crony Alsop. But Charles, I hear, has lately had another piece of merit. Upon the vacancy of a trustee's place in the school at Henley, he admitted Lord Parker; and the new Dr. Herbert, who is to be next Canon of Christ Church, was sent down to him in a coach and six to negotiate that important affair.

I long to hear who has Ely. The Cantabs have claimed a sort of right by custom to have it given to one of their University. I know none of the present set, that is a Cantab, that can put in for it but Benjamin [Hoadly]. No doubt he will. Should he have it, I suppose Hereford will be happy in Dick Smalbroke.

1723, May 11. Little Shefford.—I heartily condole with you for the confinement of worthy Dr. Colbatch. I must beg, when you see him again, that you would let him know that no one has a greater concern than I have for his trouble. Surely the interest of the Cambridge men is very low with their great patrons, that they cannot prevent things being carried to such extremities as these are.

Postscript. I am very glad to hear that R[uffe] supports himself so well under such long and constant fatigues. Anger and resentment, which I hear yet subsist in him, are certainly very useful to him on this occasion, and furnish him with that extraordinary supply of spirits which his affair requires.

I make no reflection on the news you send me, because I like better being in my poor village, lonely and obscure as it is, than in the *Grand Monde* with my friend Dr. Colbatch.

Postscript. Mr. Harley told me in his last that a clause was offered and received by the committee to extend the tax on R[oman] C[atholics] to the Nonjurors. Is it to bring in the Nonjurors to ease the R[oman] C[atholics] and to bear a share in the 100,000*l.* laid on them, or is it to lay the same tax in proportion on the Nonjurors, over and above the 100,000*l.* to be paid by the R. C. ?

1723, May 18.—I was much surprised at Annesly's and Commyn's leaving you in the vote you mention. They could not but see that you who were for it designed by it to excuse all. But if it were to pass upon [some?] what reason could possibly be alleged why it should not in equity be laid too on others? They

might perhaps say that since they could not exempt all, they would exempt some. But that still makes the hardship greater on those who are loaded. I am afraid that which would not pass in a clause will much less pass in a bill, where nothing else depends on it.

Mr. Edward has been wonderful kind in giving himself a great deal of trouble to inform me largely of what has passed. It is now over, and I shall say no more, but that I wish what has happened to Lord T[ownshend] and Lord Denbigh may be the worst consequences of this affair. I believe I may safely say that R[uffe] himself has met with more concern for him than he would have had upon any other occasion whatever, and that too in a great measure from those from whom he had least reason to expect it. But what could be the meaning of the Attorney's withdrawing upon the last vote, after the part he had acted in all former votes? This was pure grimace. Sense of decency, out of regard to past friendship, should surely have tied his tongue at least upon former occasions, as well as have obliged him to [be] absent upon this. But I think Lord L[echmere] has, in a contrary way, outdone even the Attorney.

I heartily wish I may hear our friend Dr. Colbatch is released.

Postscript. Poor Brother Hammond declines so fast that I shall return to Oxford sooner than I designed, and be there on Friday next.

1723, May 27.—Dr. Hammond died about eleven o'clock on Saturday night. I suppose this may find you at Wimpole. I was willing you should know our loss, and your own too, of a very sincere servant to you, as soon as you could. We shall soon have his successor.

The Bishop of Chester is safe with us again. Our deceased friend has left directions for a very private funeral. Mr. Biggs is his executor. The chief of what he has left to him is an estate of 60*l.* *per annum*, and perhaps about 2 or 300*l.* besides his household goods. The good man had the heart to give, while he lived, the most of what he had saved, having given in his life time at several times about 1,000*l.* to the College, and I believe near 1,500*l.* to his relations.

1723, June 6.—I hope you had that which I directed to Wimpole to give you notice of Dr. Hammond's death. The successor shows how kind their intentions are to us, but I hear there is some difficulty in it. It seems he is only a Lambeth Doctor; that will never be allowed here. And should he not desire a degree in the usual form from us, he will be treated only as a master of arts upon all occasions, which I suppose he will not much care for. On the other hand, if he asks a degree, it will be a public acknowledgment of the inferior value of his Lambeth degree. That will never be done I believe with the Archbishop's allowance, but I leave those concerned in it to solve this difficulty.

The Vice-Chancellor yesterday proposed a scheme for settling the late Bishop of Durham's benefaction. He began wisely by proposing the articles that were most reasonable. When he

found opposition, he solemnly averred that what he proposed was agreeable to the Bishop's own intention. But all would not do, so great is the aversion to any thing that comes from him, that every thing he proposed was denied. Few of our House were there, they who were readily came in to what was reasonable, though they would have opposed other things had they been brought on; but nothing will go down during this man's mayoralty.

I give you joy of your old tutor's coming in for his share of Dr. Hammond's preferment. He has now the reward of the part he has acted here of late years, and I hope they who foretold this may be allowed now to have spoken from foresight and not from resentment.

Postscript. To whose interest is B[olingbroke]'s return owing? To the Attorney's alone? Is he so powerful? Is it thought B[olingbroke] will return before his attainder is reversed?

1723, June 11.—On Thursday I return again to my hermitage, where an evening "Post," whilst you are in town, will be as before a great favour; and my butcher, Mr. Joseph Brunsden, at Lamborn, must be the medium of our correspondence.

No doubt the Attorney will claim the chief merit in B[olingbroke's] return, and promise to himself much support from it, though some who pretend to good intelligence think the Attorney had little share in it. I find it occasions many speculations in town, but I fancy some of them either are not designed or will not be found practicable.

1723, June 15.—I cannot have too much of this place during the summer season, I find the exercise and air of it as agreeable to my health as the quiet and solitude is to my inclination. What should I do at Oxford, there are but three in the whole town, when the Bishop of Chester is absent, that I have any correspondence with. I must go about a fortnight or three weeks hence to receive John Drummond and the Laird of Blair at Oxford. And I suppose I may return hither again in a week, and stay, without being called home, till the end of October.

Our new Brother at Christ Church is likely to be in great difficulties about his degree. We are now very certain that he was sent by London, on purpose to involve the university in difficulties. That man is possessed with an implacable spirit of revenge on both universities, because Oxford refused him a degree and Cambridge would not allow him *ad eundem*. But if we are but steady, as I hope we shall be, I think we are in this case upon very sure grounds, and can never be compelled by any thing, but an Act of Parliament, to allow Bradshaw, on account of his degree from Lambeth, the privileges of a Doctor with us.

I have not seen the "True Briton." If it be proper and not too big to be conveyed by the post, I should be glad to see it.

Postscript. What say you to a great lady's presents to R[uffe]? A 1,000*l.* in a bill; and fine, proper suits of apparel, one of them of purple velvet embroidered with gold. What is all this a sign of?

Would not she have had him, if he had stayed? Or would not she still have him, if he should return, whilst he can be presumed to have life left in him? I cannot much doubt of it.

1723, June 18.—We have sure information that our new Brother is sent to us upon the charitable view that he will be the most disagreeable to us, and put the university to difficulties about his degree. Perhaps the pious authors of this counsel may be disappointed in both their views. I take the university to be upon a sure foot, and our new Brother will be mortified, unless he submit to that which those who send him at present seem not disposed to allow him to submit to.

The trials of our “Blacks” are over and, to our comfort though to our disappointment too, nothing of treason or even of sedition appeared upon any of the trial. The extraordinary commission had no other business than to give due correction to the old sin of deer stealing.

1723, June 23.—You have acted the part of a Christian hero in paying so much respects to one in distress, who had made such base returns to you and your father too for the extraordinary kindness he had received of your father, without ever having had any title to it by any service, respect, or affection to him. I hear he has had a comfortable *viaticum* to support his spirits; that, and the incense offered to him, have helped to keep him up pretty well here. I wish he may not find that they flag when he is abroad, where all old correspondence will be entirely cut off, and the want of language will make it difficult to enter into new, if that were a thing to be done with any comfort by one of his age in a strange country.

His suspicions of the Attorney I believe are very true, and his resentments very just, and I, who am no stranger to the honour, gratitude, and friendship of both of them, cannot but admire the justice of Providence in permitting one of the brethren in iniquity to be the instrument to punish the other. And I should still have more reason to acknowledge this, should I live, which is not impossible, to see the person now humbled to retaliate in full measure what he now receives to his old friend. I shall long to hear what the message is that he has left for him.

I suppose you are no stranger to the villainous letter wrote by one of Dennison's party, if not by himself, to the Attorney-General. But it has recoiled, as it ought, upon the author. Such a practice could come from none but some of Ruffe's disciples, the Vice-Chancellor or Delaune.

Since I wrote I have the favour of your Lordship's of the 20th. I have not had the “True Briton” for the two last posts, so I suppose it is stopped. I can easily believe that the reports about the contribution of the great lady and others were much beyond truth. I always thought so. I entirely agree with your Lordship that if they came from friends they were highly foolish and did much prejudice. I believe they came chiefly from enemies *ad invidium faciendum*.

Notwithstanding L[ondon's] charitable design to us, our new Brother must submit to ask formally his degree, if he will live as a Doctor with us. We have a rule that if any who is a gremial with us take a degree, even at Cambridge itself, he shall never be allowed *ad eundem* with us, but must take it again regularly, if he will be such with us. And a reasonable rule it is.

1723, June 27.—I thank you for your last favour to Shefford. I shall soon desire a renewal of it, for God willing I shall be there again on Tuesday next. I find at my return an empty stall. We hear nothing yet of our new Brother. They are convinced I believe that they should be baffled upon a contest, and will condescend to let him petition for his degree.

I hope to drink your health with John Drummond this evening.

1723, June 30.—I am now pretty full of company, but I would not omit to thank your Lordship for enabling me to entertain them. The venison is perfectly good, and you will believe the founder is not forgotten. Here are to be with me to-day, the Lady who is the head of the Kinnoull family, and the Countess of Errol, who has the constableness of Scotland in her, but I am afraid there is more of title than substance left to the family.

I hear my old friend is returned, which I confess I do not well understand. But the place in which the Attorney was first to have met him occasions speculations not much to his advantage. I wish him well, but he will have a difficult game to play.

1723, July 31. Christ Church.—I suppose you will have seen my godfather before this can be with you. You will have learned from him our misfortune, and how dearly we had like to have paid for our good nature in changing coaches. I bless God we had no hurt, and pray tell my godfather what happened was not ominous as he said it was. The braces of the stage coach held out, and brought me safe home. We had one pleasant adventure. As we were getting into our new coach, and bid the fellow drive to the deanery, he took Bob for Ruffe, "Oh" says he, "I know who you are, you are the late Bishop, I will take care of you I warrant you." And as we came out of the coach, he cried "God bless you, my Lord."

1723, August 1.—I thank you for the favour of yours by yesterday's post. It was the more valuable because written after such a journey. It was with no little uneasiness to myself that I left the town the day my lady was expected there.

I am sorry to find upon perusing this new Act that my lady must either swear or register. But I am afraid it is too certainly so, and no doubt the very utmost advantage will be taken of any omission.

Postscript. Yesterday's terrible rain has obliged me to defer going to Berkshire till to-morrow, that I may give time to the downs to be dried a little.

1723, August 3. Little Shefford.—I bless God, I am at my hut again, and I begin to have a better opinion of myself than I had, because I find I have still some taste of my own homely doings here, after what was enough to have destroyed all relish of them, I mean your Lordship's elegant and nice as well as kind entertainments.

Ned and Robin spent the evening with me before I left Oxford. I know not whether I debauched them, or they me, but we three alone chatted till past eleven.

I find great confusion amongst my neighbours about your last Act for taking the oath or registering. Many women as well as men, who have forty shillings or three pounds *per annum*, who never heard of a state oath in their lives, and scarce knew who was King in Israel, are told they must leave their harvest work and trot a foot fifteen or sixteen miles, to take oaths or register. The poor creatures are frightened out of their wits, and think their copyholds are to be taken from them, and any one might have answered for their good behaviour, though they had never been obliged to this new engagement.

I heartily pity you who are obliged by your business to stay in town this weather, but that is one of the blessings that attends your high station.

1723, August 8. [Little Shefford.]—Archdeacon [Gery] died lately, possessed as Mr. Bromley hears of a great collection of books and some MSS. Mr. Bromley knows not but some, and especially the latter, may not be improper for your library. They are in the hands of a farmer in Mr. Bromley's neighbourhood, who was executor to Gery; they cannot in those hands be much valued. Mr. Bromley is promised an account of them, and as soon as he has it, he will give your Lordship or me further notice of it. Mr. B. takes our friend Mr. Wanley to be nearly related to the farmer's wife. Your Lordship once wrote to me that the Abbot had left a message to be delivered to the Attorney. I forgot when I waited on you to enquire about it. If ever you heard it, pray communicate it.

I go to Oxford to-morrow to wait upon Lord Orrery, who is coming there with his son, though he does not settle him there till Michaelmas. But I shall be here again on Monday.

1723, August 14. Little Shefford.—I think I told you the Archdeacon's name was Gery. He was one of the Archdeacons of Lincoln diocese, but of which part I know not. Nor know I the name of the farmer who was his executor.

The news about the "Raw minister" is agreeable to what was rumoured when I was in town. If he is out of credit, it will not I suppose be long before he is out of place too. The sooner the better.

1723, August 24.—I could have wished myself with you at Richmond. I doubt not of your being very easy there. I am sure the master of the place took it sincerely for a very great honour to him to see you there, and the company, the place, and

entertainment would all contribute to make you pass your time there pleasantly. I could wish you would attempt the raising of the Ananas at Wimpole, it would be a noble curiosity, and the expense is a trifle to what I apprehended it till I had talked with Sir Matthew [Decker].

I hear Dr. Blechingdon has had an apoplectic fit, it is doubted whether he can recover, but if he should he must be in a condition in which life can be little desirable. The chief canal of the Viscount's intelligence in our parts is stopped, I suppose.

I thank you for the news of Lord O[xford]'s being better. I wish it may not be owing only to the warmth of the weather; that which is a trouble to most is certainly a benefit to him.

Postscript. My diocesan [of Salisbury] Willis was to have held his primary visitation this week. The days were fixed for every deanery, and public notice given to all the clergy to bring in their children to be confirmed. Upon the death of Winchester his Lordship appears not but sends his chancellor. Many poor clergy, who can have no notice, may bring their children with them and find no Bishop to confirm them. No doubt his Lordship is employed on that which will be of more use to the church of God, the getting of a better bishopric for himself.

1723, September 14. Little Shefford.—I hope by due discipline that I have in some measure subdued my cold. The most mortifying part of it has been that I have lost the advantage of this fine week for riding. I heartily wish you the benefit of a little country air, though it be but for a few weeks, for I cannot think it proper for you, much less for the ladies, to be at Wimpole after the middle or at farthest the end of October, though you should not be called to Parliament. And I am apt to think you will not have that call so soon as you seem to expect. I was assured when I was in town, by those who have always had good intelligence on that point, that there could be nothing of that till February at the soonest.

I heartily pray God that Lord O[xford] may go on to grow better, and that the change may prove to be from some more durable cause than the extraordinary warm summer which we have had.

I hear from Oxford there was a venison dinner on Thursday in the Audit House. The Bishop of Chester taking physic was not there. There was no restraint on them. The governor was invited. The healths proposed were Gibson, Hoadly, Blackburn, &c. Some there were not a little astonished, indeed it was the first time that those names were the current healths of that place.

Postscript. If B [Blechingdon] be gone on the account you mention I doubt not but he has carried with him that which will make him very easy, wherever he is to be.

If this be so, it looks still as though W[alpole] prevailed; but one would not think so by some late promotions.

1723, September 21.—I was in hopes, when I saw what you wrote of the General that which I received last post, of the dismissal of Carteret. A friend of ours wrote to me with great

assurance that a house was already taken for Carteret at Paris, and that he was immediately to go thither. He told me at the same time this report about the General. However I take the continuance of this report about the General to be a good confirmation of the former, since it is so likely to be a consequence of it. This is doing of business. T. Rowney writes me word that one Wilson, who keeps a tavern at Gloucester, has been barbarously used, as I understand him, by the gentlemen in red.

The season is now perfectly right for riding. I was upon a full pace to-day on the downs for near four hours. I have got a little ugly cropped horse exactly to my mind.

Postscript. There are a thousand comical stories stirring here about the poor people that go in to take the oaths.

1723, October 5.—We yet know not who will succeed Egerton at Christ Church, we are told a friend of Lord Townshend's is recommended, who is a Cambridge man. We cannot learn his name, but it is said his being a Cambridge man is an objection. I have heard of those who swallow camels and strain for gnats. Formerly any one's not having been of the College was thought a just exception to his pretensions. That has been overruled, and I suppose this new exception may be so too.

1723, October 9.—Next Tuesday, God willing, I shall return to Oxford, and lay up my hulk there for the winter.

The poor man that is sent abroad [Atterbury] must have somebody to quarrel with where ever he is. There has been a disturbance betwixt some servants of his and his son-in-law's family. He enjoined the servants of each family not to converse with each other, though they were in the same house, till further order. Two were discovered holding a secret correspondence and were dismissed upon it, and are come to England. These things already have made him the jest of that place. It is thought his son-in-law cannot live with him, but must soon leave him.

1723, October 16. Christ Church.—Since I wrote last to your Lordship I received the enclosed list of Dr. Gery's MSS. from Mr. Bromley. He has not seen any of them but those written in his own hand, and he gives no character of them. He says the man who is now possessed of them is grown purse-proud and pretends to breed his son a scholar; he sets on that account a high value of the MSS., and says he won't part with them. But Mr. Bromley takes Mr. Wanley to be his next relation and believes Mr. Wanley might persuade the man to part with them, if you should have a fancy to them.

The Duke of Somerset went yesterday with a great equipage through this place to wait on her Grace of Marlborough at Blenheim.

Enclosure: "A Catalogue of MSS." A Latin Bible, fol.; *Plauti Comed.*, fol.; *Tullii Offic.* fol.; *A. Gellii Noctes Atticae*, fol.; *Statii Papirii Surculi Thebaidos*, Lib. 12, fol.; *L. Junii Moderati Columellae Rei Rusticae*, Lib. 13, fol.;

A Latin Comment. on St. Paul's Ep. fol. ; A Latin Comment. on the Canticles and *Synonyma Beati Isodori*, 4to. ; Berentrand Exp. of Apocalyp., 4to. ; *Oculus Moralis*, 4to. ; A Piece of old English Poetry, 4to. ; An old Psalter, Latin, 4to. ; Anonymous Treatise of Divinity in English, 8vo.

King James's Speech to Parliament, 1620 ; and the Genealogies of the Nobility in his reign.

An Account of the Pedigrees of several Families.

A copy of the Heralds' Visitation of Leicestershire 1619, by S. Lennard, Blew mantle, and Aug. Vincent, Rouge-rose, Officers of Arms.

Many medals and coins.

[*The last items are in W. Bromley's handwriting.*]

1723, October 25.—I hear the affairs that have been mentioned in the prints are not so bad as they have been represented ; that the gentleman and his son-in-law parted very good friends. One of R[uffe]'s men would have been doing a little with the daughter's maid, and when he was forbid conversing with her, pursued her by letters, which were conveyed by one of Morris's men to her. The maid complained, Morris insisted to have the man turned off, and offered to turn his own off at the same time. R[uffe] yielded with some reluctance, but it is said the masters parted friends.

Here has been a sentence given by the Bishop of Lincoln as pretending to be Visitor of Oriel, by which he would void the late election of five Fellows at Oriel, and take the nomination to himself, the most arbitrary and groundless that ever was given I believe in any case. He pretends to give the Provost a negative, contrary to the plain words of statute and the practice of four hundred years. And upon voiding the election he claims the nomination to himself, without the least colour for any such pretence. If the Fellows can be well supported, this cause may make near as much noise as ever the case of Magdalen College did.

It is not yet certain that Dr. Egerton will quit his canonry, he will keep it if he can. One George Lavington, one that was of New College about seven years ago, puts in for it. He is recommended and supported in his pretensions by Ben Hoadly. He is chaplain to Lord Coningsby, married his daughter's maid, and was made prebendary of Worcester by him. We know nothing of him but what we have from the Whigs, who own him without any reserve to be of a very infamous character as to his morals. God's will be done. But I defy him to be worse than my neighbour.

1723, November 15.—I have been for this last fortnight confined with a cold. I have gone through all sorts of discipline, and bled too at last. But that which never failed before to ease has not yet relieved me. Gibby Burnet's history came seasonably to entertain me during my confinement. I have a much worse opinion of his understanding, as well as of his morals, since I read it than I had before. It is a strange rhapsody of

chit-chat and lies, ill tacked together. There is a strong tang of enthusiasm in all the accounts he gives of himself. It is plain that in most things he was very ignorant, and very silent in those of which he could have given the best, I mean the Popish plot. There are great omissions from the original draft, and they are made so clumsily that they are obvious to any one upon a cursory reading. They are I believe such as chiefly related to himself. Certainly there was most reason for those omissions, and they were made chiefly by the persuasion of Johnstoun. But there is enough left to show that he played a double game during the Popish plot, and betrayed both parties to each other. There is a peculiar venom runs through all his book against King Charles and Archbishop Sharp. His comparison of King Charles to Tiberius is monstrous. Both these men knew him, and dealt with him always accordingly. Sharp had once convened him, and would have deprived him of his orders and have excommunicated him, if it had not been for the intercession of Bishop Scougal. It is amazing that he could give such an account as he has done of Sharp and the Privy Council in relation to Mitchel, when he owns at the same time that a contrary account was extant, written by one Hicks. That pamphlet of Hicks is called *Ravillac Redivivus*, fol. 1682. You ought when you read Burnet's to confront Hicks's account with it. He has omitted the whole account of his treachery to old Grimston, with relation to the Bill of Exclusion, which I have so often heard from your father. All passages printed in Lesly's *Associations* and Cassandra's are omitted, except one in relation to Sharp's murder, and part of that too is omitted. But I hope the omissions will be supplied out of true copies of the original which are still extant. I never knew any book more open to be lashed. But it would be too tedious to mention more of the lies and absurdities that offered themselves to me upon a very hasty perusal.

1723, November 25.—I assure you I was far from disapproving your passive conduct. I cannot recollect the words of my letter, but either they were contrary to my thoughts, or I supposed you would understand in a proper sense, what I might write with a view of answering any one's curiosity who might peep into the letter.

The Westminster election has answered my expectation. I never doubted but those poor misled people would upon proper application come to their wits again. Is Layer likely to be obstinate after the conviction? If the gallows frighten him, it is to be hoped there may be enough discovered to stop their mouths who pretend yet to doubt of the plot, at least of the greatness of it. We heartily thank you for laying the load on the Papists, rather than the Protestants. They who are eased by your proceedings will not much trouble themselves to consider either the equity, the necessity, or the policy of them.

There has been above 3,000*l.* spent on both sides at Warwick. Sir William Keyte, who is returned, is a Tory indeed, bating that,

I hear a mighty good character of him in all respects. He was bred up by Mr. Cockman, who is now put up to be Master of University, and has I am told so great a kindness for his tutor that he will stick at no charge, if there should be occasion, to support his cause. That affair is likely to make more noise and bustle here than I think there can be ground for.

1723, December 3.—The poor injured men of Oriel have moved for a prohibition in the Common Pleas. It is ordered, unless cause be shown why it should not issue, the first day of next term. It may be a long suit, and if the poor men are supported it must make a great noise in the world, and make the character of their pretended Visitor more scandalous, if possible, than it is at present. If you enquire in your neighbourhood at Cambridge, you will find that about June last he ordered his son, who has always designed for the civil law, to take his bachelor of arts degree, to the surprise of everybody. Afterwards a certificate was got for his learning and morals, that he was qualified to be a member of any society in either university. This was some months before ever the cause came before him. By which it appears that he and the Provost of Oriel had long before the cause was heard settled betwixt themselves what should be done in it. Every one must be bachelor of arts here before he can be capable of being Fellow of Oriel. But I may venture I believe to foretell that his son will never be allowed to go out *ad eundem* here.

Abel and his lady called here last week on their way to town.

1723, December 7.—We met yesterday about the appeal against the election at University. Much squabbling we had; there were twenty-six Visitors, but two of them had no right to be there. Many of us were clear that our power by the statutes expired if we did not determine within three days. Others thought they had time as long as they pleased. We divided, ten for hearing it to-day within the time prescribed by the statutes, sixteen for putting it off till Monday. There seems to be a party set to turn the poor man, by any method though never so extravagant, out of his headship, to which he has as undoubted a right as to any shilling he has in his pocket. If he should have spirit, this may be an affair that may prove of very fatal consequence to the whole university. The Vice-Chancellor and Delaune, instead of being judges, are canvassers and managers for Dennison.

Postscript. Mr. Bromley is much concerned for Cockman, having by his interest first brought him into the world.

1723, December 13.—The Attorney-General has not yet made his report about University, but he gave very plain indications at the last hearing that he should make his report in favour of the King's title to be Visitor.

What your Lordship is pleased to write of Bentley is of a piece with all his other acts, both as to the assuming of authority and as to the exorbitancy of the punishment. Surely he could have

done no more with the concurrence of the senior Fellows, if the young fellow had actually made a breach in little Moss's body. But for a bare declaration of goodwill, a reprimand, and an interdiction of his lodgings to him, would have been abundantly sufficient.

1723, December 13.—Our noble Visitors met yesterday, they voided Cockman's election, and the Vice-Chancellor called in Dennison, who is not the senior Fellow, and ordered him to proceed to a new election, and to act as senior Fellow. The Vice-Chancellor, I suppose, presumed Boraston to be absent because he did not appear in court. The man was really gone out of town, but that was more than the Vice-Chancellor knew. Dennison, when the Visitors were gone, got to the buttry book and struck out Mr. Cockman's name. They go to a new election on Monday. Here is sport for Westminster Hall.

Postscript. These withdrew from yesterday's work, besides the eight protesters, the President of Trinity [Dobson], the Warden of Merton [Holland], Dr. Holdsworth, and Dr. Evans of St. John's. Both the Proctors withdrew themselves too. Only thirteen gave sentence out of twenty-nine who were in town, and of twenty-eight who attended. Vice-Chancellor, Delaune, Bridge, Wile, Moss of St. John's, Master of Balliol [Hunt], Dr. Wilks of Trinity, Dr. Davis of Magdalen, the Heads of Jesus [Jones], Exeter [Hole], Corpus [Mather], Brabourn, Principal of New Inn Hall, Newton of Hart Hall.

1723, December 19.—I hear not what the session is likely to be opened with, nor of anything extraordinary that is likely to come on, but Bolingbroke's bill. That was not long since despaired, but now his friends begin to have some hopes again. I am told it will in a good measure depend upon some changes that are expected before the sessions. What those are my friend could not learn, but they must be to Walpole's advantage, if Bolingbroke's interest depends on it. And yet Carteret [certainly] not only kept, but gained ground. And if, as the Gazette tells us, [we shall] have peace abroad, I fancy Carteret will maintain his ground too at home. I take Walpole's interest to depend not upon any great liking to him, but the necessity of their affairs; but a little time will show us what we are to expect in these matters.

It is said the bill for ascertaining our fines is drawn up and has been perused by the ablest lawyers. If that come in I think our friends should consider well how to behave in it. It will be a high strain of virtue in them to come in to support those bishops who have done, and still do, all in their power to ruin them and their friends. Few honest men are now left in any preferments that are likely to be affected by this bill, and not one honest man likely, upon present views, to come into any future vacancy. There are none but the Universities likely to be affected by this bill, for whom any honest gentleman can have any concern. I think our friends should at least frighten

[*torn*] tes, and if they do at last oppose the to manage so as to let the bishops know that they themselves are saved, for the sake of the Universities which they are endeavouring to ruin.

We have had warm work this Audit. We caught our brethren at most scandalous faults in their accounts, particularly in allowing a bill to Egerton, for work done seven years ago, and which upon a solemn examination in Chapter six years ago had been resolved not to be allowed to him. We made them cry *peccavi*, and say they would do so no more, but we insisted to have it retracted. That they refused. The Bishop of Chester and I said we must then enter our dissent to it, with our reasons for it. They agreed to it, but when we had entered it in the Chapter book, they said it was a reflection on them, and ordered it to be struck out. Upon that we withdrew and said we would come no more to Chapter—as we shall not—looking upon the liberty of our vote as infringed. We have entered another protest to-day which I suppose will be struck out too as a reflection. But I am very glad of [such an] honourable occasion of having them. Your old tutor, though he was not here when the act for paying Egerton's bill was made, and though he was forced to own openly that it was wrong in every circumstance, yet said he would main[tain?] it, since it was done. He proposed an act, that the other act should never be drawn into precedent. He was heartily laughed at for his wise expedient, and told that it would be a plain confession of the iniquity of what was done, as well as a fruitless precaution against the like. But he stood to his tackle to support what he owned was unjustifiable, and to strike out our dissent.

1723, December 30.—I am very glad your brawn pleases, I believe you will have time to eat it in the country, if your return is designed, as I guess it is, to be at the sitting of the Parliament. Though the King be landed, yet he comes so late that I believe they must have a week longer to adjust their business.

I have not yet condoled with you for the loss of Lord Mansel. One part of his character was very commendable, his honourable behaviour to your father. I hear he died of a broken heart, partly for the loss of his son, but much more for the marriage of his daughter.

1723-4, January 8.—I must refer your Lordship to the Bishop of Chester for an account of our melancholy condition here. Perhaps he will not tell you all. Your old tutor used his Lordship in Chapter very brutally, worse than ever he did me, and without any provocation, and could have no motive to it but to merit with his new patrons, by being very impudently, though very stupidly too, rude to the Bishop. You shall have it when we meet.

1723-4, January 12.—Honest Wainwright was so kind as to send me the Speech. I wish your news may hold true, that we shall have only one shilling in the pound. But the Speech seems to intimate that we must expect the same we had last year, though it hopes there may be no occasion for more.

There is no mention of the state of affairs abroad, but the observing that the augmentation of our forces has given weight and credit to our foreign negotiations insinuates that the continuance of the same augmentation will be necessary to preserve our credit abroad. And that seems to intimate that all is not settled yet there, though no doubt we should have had the same paragraph if the Congress of Cambray had been ended.

You may be sure all our hearts are full of joy and gladness, upon so authentic an assurance of the present happy situation of our affairs. And surely we may be allowed after the trouble we have been in, from our apprehensions of pestilence and rebellion, to refresh ourselves a little with the innocent diversion of masquerades.

The Speech seems to intimate as though there was a project on foot for paying our debts. Very good news indeed, if it be no ways akin to the last. It is said Walpole has a scheme for it. Some say one shilling per pound on land is to be mortgaged for a large term of years. I am afraid we poor country folks should think that somewhat worse upon us than the South Sea. I hope there is nothing in it, it would be a great damper of our present exultation.

1723-4, January 26.—You cannot surprise me with any thing that you tell me from your own House. You know my thoughts pretty well on that head. I have given over all home politics, ever since I saw the management about the new Parliament. Perhaps they may think, with reason, that they have more occasion to continue their additional forces than they had to raise them.

The town I believe was very calm and insipid. I began to be concerned for my friend the Bishop of Chester, lest he should be out of humour with it. But this strange accident will raise, I suppose, a little ferment; at least it will furnish some new conjecturals to support conversation a little.

I hope Lord Oxford has had no return of his fainting fits.

Postscript. Here is a report that the late Duke of Ormond was much in favour with the Prince of Asturias. It is to be hoped the young man will have more wit now he is King.

1723-4, January 30.—I suppose Mr. Bromley is now with you in town. His son, who will be soon in town too, called on me on Tuesday. He was to have paid his duty to your Lordship when he returned from his travels and stayed but four days in town. You were then gone to Wimpole. He will [call] on you again very soon. I never knew any one more improved than he is. Those things one would have wished otherwise in him are quite worn off. He is returned a good-natured, well-behaved, sensible, sober, honest gentleman. I wish your cousin Ned had gone along with him. If you ask some close questions you will find he had some curiosity whilst he was abroad.

Have you seen Gibson's sermons before the Society for reformation? The last paragraph about masquerades I think

ought to be publicly complained of by those concerned in the late Queen's affairs, for the sake of their own credit as well as her honour. I should be glad to hear it was brought into the House of Lords, be the issue what it will. The French minister too ought to complain to the King of it. It is as impudent as it is groundless.

If Baron Scroop comes into the Treasury my godfather will have a friend there, but can any one that has been honoured with his acquaintance be proper for a post of so much confidence?

1723-4, February 4.—The verses are very good, and, as your Lordship truly observes, have a true taste of human life. May one enquire who is the author? He need not be ashamed of them. Who is Tr——? I know of no Trevor that now belongs to the Court, and yet that is the only name I can think of at present that rhymes to endeavour.

I have heard talk of the bill your Lordship mentions of putting it into the King's power to recall whom he pleases. But as a friend to the other person[Bolingbroke], I should not wish to see an attempt to make him easy by that method. Many who would be for him upon a direct bill will be against that way of serving him, if it is understood right, for that:—

1. It gives up the power of Parliament in having any share in repealing that which they had enacted.

2. It puts a very great and I believe a new power in the King which was never heard of before.

3. It puts it on the ministry to show grace to those who can give them money, and to exclude from it all who cannot.

I much question whether such a bill, when it has been talked of, and considered a little, will pass in the present House.

The town is employed very properly, for such a set of mortals as are there at present. What more suitable business can be found for them, than quarrels about operas and the ladies that belong to them?

1723-4, February 10.—All joy and happiness to you, upon the good day on which I hope you will receive this, and a continuance for many, many years of the blessings it has brought you. I am very sorry I cannot have the honour to assist at the celebration of it, but I shall keep [it] as well as I can here. I have bespoke your cousin Robin, old Sir William, and a friend or two more to assist me, and I believe the healths of the day will go round as often here as they will in Dover Street, unless old Sir William's courage fails him, which it never did yet.

I did not think Arbuthnot had had a genius for such performances. Your Lordship is much in the right, that he has no reason to be ashamed of it. Pope has the credit of it here, and has no reason to be displeased that another's child is laid to him. It does him as much credit as any of his own.

I shall return your Lordship my subscription money to Pope by the first opportunity I meet with. Had I known of it a week ago, I could have sent it up with other money to Mr. Thomas. I am a subscriber in obedience to your Lordship; it is a little

prodigal in a country parson whose benefice is worth but 60*l.* per annum to pay five guineas for that in English which he can read in Greek.

The transaction at Westminster is a very comical one and much for the credit of the moderation Prelates, it shows too their sense as well as their temper.

I shall be very glad to see Motray[’s Travels].

1724, February 18.—I meet with no one but what is very glad to hear how the great man you mentioned has been mortified. I believe no one that ever got and spent so much money as he has done, ever got, by spending it, so little goodwill. The more I think of the bill you mention, the more I believe it will meet with opposition if men are aware of the consequences of it. However fair the title may seem, and the consent of all may be thought to be had to it, yet it is indeed reversing an Act by other powers than that which enacted it, and giving power to the Crown which it never had before. I fancy no precedent of this kind can be pretended.

I live in expectation of Motray, as full as it may be of silly stuff. A man that converses with men or books must hope for little diversion from either, if he has not the skill of picking a little from those which are silly.

I wish this late weather may not have pinched Lord O[xford]. I am sure it pinched me, though I am not so old or crazy as his Lordship, though I am crazy enough.

1723-4, February 23.—It is likely enough I may sometimes be whimsical, and take more precautions than are necessary for my health. That is a usual effect of a solitary life. But that was not my present malady. I had a violent return of my cough. I bless God it is pretty well over, and I hope to be out again in a day or two.

I hear Fiddes’ Life of our Founder [Wolsey] is despised, as no doubt it deserves, in town; I always thought it a vain ridiculous design. But I am afraid the success of it will not cure him of being conceited of himself, or troublesome to others.

The Duke of Beaufort here has been in great danger with a violent cholic. They almost despaired of him, but youth preserved him. It came through extravagant drinking after hard exercise, and young as he is, he will drink and swear with any cocker in England. This to yourself. It is owing entirely to the company he is brought into by his tutor, who ought to be hanged for it. *Hic fiunt homines*. No doubt his relations, who endeavoured lately to have taken him out of his guardian’s hands, will make proper advantage of this for our credit.

1723-4, February 27.—I have some news that will be very agreeable to your Lordship’s curiosity. At Sandford, three little miles from us, where one Powel, a papist, is impropiator, there was occasion a few weeks ago to pave the church. In order to it, it was necessary to take up the steps that lead into the church. Upon the taking up of the first step, they found upon the side of

it that was turned to the ground, a fine image of the Virgin with an imperial crown on, designed for the Assumption, and eight angels about her. A great deal of the blue and gold left upon the image. This, no doubt, was placed there to conceal it from the Commissioners that were appointed to destroy such images, and was forgotten, and lain undisturbed ever since. It is to be brought into the Museum.

Sir Nathaniel Floyd is giving them a building at All Souls which will cost him 1,200*l.*, and will finish their new quadrangle, all of it, except the turning of the hall.

[1724, March 1.] St. Taffy's.—I thank you heartily for your last. The spirit rather increases than flags, and a diabolical one it is. It may be very just that Providence should permit him on many other accounts to be distressed by these men, but that no ways alleviates the implacability of their malice. He certainly deserves nothing on that account for which they pursue him. But I doubt not but they are under apprehensions, and without any reason, of designs in the Attorney, if he could bring in his associate to help him to undermine them. And these apprehensions will not be lessened, if there be any ground for that which is told us with great confidence by those who come from town, that Sir William Wyndham is to have a considerable post and the Duke of Somerset to be again Master of the Horse. Sir William's son [is] to marry Lady Dye Spencer and to be made Earl of Northumberland. We hear, too, Lord W[alpole] isto be made a Duke, and the father, to show the excess of his vanity, is to take Garter without a title.

I suppose your next may tell me that young Mr. Quin has already opened in your House. I take him not to want necessary assurance.

1723-4, March 5.—I had not Motray till Tuesday last. He is impertinent and silly beyond the reach of any expression, he is the veriest Frenchman I ever yet read. What does he pretend to for religion—I fancy a papist, though I suppose he has much the same religion as he has sense. But after all I am glad I have him, for the account he gives of the poor King of Sweden. Though his account is a very imperfect one, yet I think it is the best we have of what passed whilst that King was in Turkey. There is I own in that poor King's character something very extravagant and near approaching to madness, but yet there are many things very great, and I cannot read his fate without a great concern. La Roque (Larroque) I have, and it is certainly the best account we have of that spot he treats of. Quesnel I have read, but he is nothing but a merry fellow that gives you an account of himself and his companions, rather than of the countries he went to. The others I shall send for.

I doubt but W[alpole?] may if he pleases have the thing passed by very great numbers, but if only a few of his own party would be disobliged by it he may not be willing to hazard that for the other. Nor indeed can I see that he will let it be done, unless he could some way find his own interest in it, and how he will do that I see not.

1723-4, March 8.—That your Lordship mentions was as stupid as it was barbarous. However I take it for certain that those ninety seven who joined in that question did by that in effect declare that they would join in opposing another, if it should be brought on. And if any Tories should join them in that case, and others be absent, the opposition might be greater than is commonly expected. I do fancy that business will be dropped for the present. It is plain to me that these men have jealousies of their leaders. If there were any conduct in our friends, care would be taken to improve these jealousies. Do these men think that neither they themselves nor any of their generation shall ever have occasion for mercy and compassion?

Postscript. Since I wrote I have received a letter from my dear young mistress, which I esteem more than I should letters patent for Winton or Durham. I shall preserve it accordingly. My most humble duty and hearty thanks to her.

1723-4, March 12.—Your Lordship's judgment is very becoming your own goodness. The case is hard indeed. It may be very just in God to permit the poor man on other accounts to be used so by such cruel men, but that does not lessen the barbarity of that unrelenting, hardened race. Since it is dropped now, I am apt to think it will never be revived. Oh, the honour of the Attorney! Has he no resentment for having been made a tool to lead his dearest friend into a snare? Or was he in the secrets? Many will be apt to think so if he shows no resentment on this occasion, as I will answer he won't. I am afraid the poor man himself may begin to wish that he had not given himself some of the airs he did last summer.

Your Lordship observes very justly that it is pretty odd for one at that age to plead *heat* in excuse of himself, who was never famous for much of it in his youngest days.

1723-4, March 14.—There are very quick turns in my old friend's affair. There must have been some extraordinary service to earn so sudden a change of counsels. They must be speedy if they design anything. They have but little time to turn themselves in, if you are to rise in Passion Week. Whatever the event be, by that which has passed lately in your House I perceive the opposition will be more violent, and perhaps too more numerous than was once expected, and though it should be baffled, may leave ill blood behind it. What is become of the project for paying our debts, which [we] were made to expect from day to day. Is it gone in fume? Or is it deferred to be opened at the time the treaty of Cambray is finished.

I am glad Mr. Gwyn may have an opportunity of showing his parts before he is turned out.

Your Lordship's appetite seems keen for the country. I wish it may hold, but I own my fears lest I should not hear of your leaving the town till much about the time that others are returning to it.

Postscript. I am sorry for that you write about Mr. Bridges. I hear it too from other hands. He will be a loss to the *Res Literari* and to his private friends.

1723-4, March 19.—I am heartily sorry for our loss of Mr. Bridges. Do you hear how his books are disposed of? If they should be sold, perhaps there might be some picking for your Lordship.

Dr. Freind's fate could not be doubted. Several Whigs must have joined you, or the number of those for him would not have been so great as it was. I am glad he is gone off with so much spirit, I think there is no reason to be concerned on his own account, that he is not continued in the House. I always thought it an attendance not very suitable to his profession. I suppose neither of the great men you mention will ever make use of him as a physician.

If any thing be done for my old friend this session, it cannot I believe in so short a time be by any other way than by an act of grace. And they will find it not a little difficult to extend grace to him without naming him—for which I suppose there is no instance—and not comprehend others at the same time, as I believe they design not. I am apt to think it will be put off to another session.

We hear that by the help of Lunn the Jews have carried it against Jesus Christ.

1723-4, March 23.—I thank your Lordship for the account of what passed with you. It is plain the great man may carry the point if he pleases, but he never intended it, or does not care to break even with a few desperadoes on the other's account, or may be influenced by both reasons. Though I am told too of a stronger reason, that his antagonist at Court opposes it, and that the umpire will not allow any thing to be brought on in which both do not agree. Be the reason what it will, I take it for certain that my old friend will be dropped this session at least. It was odd that no one seconded Miller. Several of those men are certainly hearty against it. I take the motion to have been as much against the Attorney as against his friend. Had it come to a question, though lost by a great majority, I believe the Attorney would have been uneasy upon it.

1724, April 3.—Here is a report here to-day that the Bishop of Winton is struck with a palsy, and is to be succeeded by Exon. If there be any thing in this, it must come cross the country, for he was at Farnham.

The project for preachers at Whitehall is managed in such a manner as is not likely, I am afraid, to work the wonders expected from it. No indifferent men, none that would go many though not all lengths perhaps, are to be taken notice of [in] it. It is declared none must hope for a share in this bounty but they who are staunch Whigs, and openly profess themselves to be so. I am afraid this will not increase the number of honest thorough Whigs; rather unite and confirm, than break the perverse Tories. You will allow me some concern to see so great a project, through ill management, not likely to be improved to the ends it was designed for.

1724, April 10.—There was no ground for the report of Winchester's death. There has been another election at Oriel to-day, where six Fellows chose two very worthy men against the Provost and two who were with him, but he insists on his negative and refuses to admit them.

There is talk of an address to be proposed to our Convocation, to thank for the late bounty of the Whitehall preachers. But that affair is managed so ridiculously as well as scandalously here, that should any such address pass the the Heads, and be brought before Convocation, I am confident it would be thrown out by great numbers. That would be rare work.

I am pretty well informed the great ones above are dissatisfied with the management of our wise Governor, and want to remove him, and to put in Bradshaw, not Tanner, as I once thought. That man would bustle a little more, but to as little purpose as the present gentleman. I hope your next will tell me when you return to town.

1724, May 13. [Little Shefford.]—I am returned again to my hermitage; since there will be no occasion for my attendance on your Lordship this summer, I believe I shall not stir hence till I return to my winter quarters. I most humbly thank you for your kind invitation to Wimpole, but not only old age, which makes me lazier if not weaker than I was, but other business will oblige me to deny myself the greatest satisfaction I am capable of in this world.

1724, May 21.—I thank you for the favour of your note on Mr. Thomas's letter, bad as the news was. I must beg the continuance of it though it should be more melancholy. I presume not to beg it from your own hand, you may be in too great a concern to write. But be pleased to order Tim Thomas to let me know how things go, I own I expect the worst. Bleeding may give a little present relief, but I am afraid nature is too far spent for any one to hope reasonably that our friend can continue much longer.

I hear a letter came on Sunday last to the Vice-Chancellor, signed at the top "Ge. R." countersigned "Townshend," to be communicated forthwith to the Convocation. It was three sheets of paper, full of favour and affection, and to give notice of a new establishment, for a Professor for modern history, in each university. Surely somewhat more than appears is aimed at by this great profusion of favours on a sudden. I wish they may be distributed with such prudence as not to defeat what is aimed at by them. Some are so unreasonable as to doubt whether this be a full equivalent to the university for the suit to support the Archbishop's degrees, or to the church for the new Archbishop. I hear a letter was proposed and passed the Convocation in answer to his Majesty's letter. But in my poor opinion this was using his Majesty with too much familiarity, though the letter be sent up by a Bedell in one enclosed to Lord Townshend, to be delivered by him to the King. No doubt a solemn address, attended by the most considerable of the body, was expected.

1724, May 23.—I received this morning from Oxford, not having yet my letters from Lamborn, the news of the death of my Lord Oxford, my honoured and only master. The long reason your Lordship has had to expect it has I hope in some measure prepared you for it. The integrity with which he served his country in the midst of temptations, as well as perils, his universal capacity for it, and the unblemished honour with which he is gone out of the world, as they are the proper grounds of comfort for your Lordship upon this juncture, they will also, I doubt not, be a means to assure to your Lordship the continuance of the protection of God to you, for his sake as well as for your own. My concern upon this occasion is chiefly, I own, upon another account. His decay and death, and some other late events, forebode to me what we are to expect. The removal of those who alone [are] likely to prevent what we dread, or in any measure to redress, is a token to me that Providence is likely to permit it to come on us. But these thoughts are not seasonable now, nor at any time, but for our private use. I hope I need not assure your Lordship that if my own duty to you, or concern for you, could be greater than it always has been, that which I paid, inviolably as I hope, to your great father, would, as it ought by right of inheritance, be devolved to you.

Postscript. I beg your Lordship would be pleased to deliver the enclosed with my duty. I need not say that it is not to the Dowager.

1724, June 8.—There is one topic which I did not think proper to mention in my last, which is as proper to afford you comfort as any that can be suggested to you. I mean the satisfaction you must have from reflecting in how tender a manner you paid your own duty, upon the most trying occasions, to such a father. If your Lordship may justly glory in having had such a father, I am sure he was blessed, in the judgment of all others as well as of himself, in the best of sons. To my knowledge he thought it a blessing, that did not only support him in all his troubles, but far outweigh them. And you have reason to bless God for those troubles which ended so much to your father's honour, and gave your Lordship too an opportunity of showing to the world so great an example of filial piety.

1724, June 21.—I thank your Lordship for the favour of your last. I should scarce have troubled you again so soon from so barren a place, but that a very odd story has been brought to me from Oxford, which perhaps your Lordship may have heard more of in town. It is said the Viscount four days before his lady's death had a consult of physicians, and pressed them to tell him sincerely their opinion, for that it was of great importance to his affairs to know it. They told him they believed she could not live three days, upon that he called his coachman and ordered him to have the coach ready next morning, and drove him directly to Lady Walters at Sarsden, and was there at the time of his lady's death. The gentleman who came to

me from Oxford and told me this is a worthy honest man. I am sure it is believed at Oxford, but I will not answer for the truth of so extraordinary a story. If it be true it needs no comment.

1724, July 1.—I am by further accounts now persuaded of the truth of that I wrote to your Lordship about the Viscount. It was so monstrous that I could not at first give entire credit to it, though it was brought to me by a very honest man. Should he succeed, I am afraid it will be as little for the lady's credit to admit of his address under such circumstances as for him to make it. The woman that is gone, though in many respects disagreeable, had a right by the relation she had to him to have the common forms of decency observed to her. Though she was not very proper to excite affection, she had highly deserved respect and gratitude, if one who has saved another from a jail may be said to have deserved any thing of him.

I have heard somewhat of the affair in which our old friend is embarrassed, but not enough to be able to make a clear judgment of it. I suppose your Lordship means the money deposited in his hands. I know not who advised him to act the part he does, but I fancy he will not let go his hold till he has such authority for it as shall indemnify him at least, and prevent any after reckoning. I take him to be on the sure side of the hedge. He has the money in his hands. In my opinion they have the worst of it who want to have the money out of his hands. He may perhaps lose some credit, but men of his climate value not that much if by losing credit they do not lose gelt too. But they who want to have the money again must, I am afraid, submit to some things of very hard digestion before they can come at it.

I hope, as your Lordship observes, that the French raise their demands so high, that they may compound with us the better for that which they most desire. I trust it is so, because I see the demands have not yet affected Change Alley. Should they make these demands that they may have a pretence to break with us, methinks we should not be so indolent upon it. But our best security, if I am rightly informed, is the inability France and Spain are in, from their own poverty, to disturb their neighbours.

1724, July 22.—Our late brother Egerton, your Lordship's present diocesan in Herefordshire, is got into a fine scrape. He met lately near Whitechurch a loaded cart, the driver of which refused to give way to him; upon this the bishop was dormant in him, and the nobleman alert and predominant. He swore at the carter like a dragoon, and beat and bruised the poor fellow with the butt-end of his whip. The rude rustic has been so uncivil as to employ a noted attorney for such matters to take the law of his Lordship. His Lordship has offered two guineas by way of composition, the attorney insists upon an hundred and says he doubts not to have lusty damages against one of his character for swearing and striking. Thus the case stood when heard last of it. It comes on, if not compounded, at these assizes, and I question whether my late brother does not love money well enough to run the hazard of being exposed at a public trial, if he can hope to save any thing by it.

Postscript. Since I wrote I have received my ring, for which I most humbly thank your Lordship. It is, as it ought to be, dear to me both for the person by whom and him for whose sake it is bestowed on me, and though I have, I hope, at the same time in my mind more substantial things of another nature to preserve dear my old master's memory to me.

1724, July 25.—Your Lordship will have heard before this can come to you of the change that will be at Christ Church. The new Governor may perhaps make a little more bustle, but will serve no purpose, good or bad, any more than the old one. But I am amazed at the taste of the great men, that can think Hugo fit to be Primate. Here will be a proper occasion for our old acquaintance Jonathan to revive his talents.

1724, August 4.—I am but an indifferent horseman, but when I have my Lady's leave I would surely go much farther than the distance is betwixt Shefford and Newbury to pay my duty to her Ladyship. Spinam (Speenham) Land at the entrance to Newbury is the proper place for her Ladyship to bait; and the "Bear" is the best inn. Newbury is just the midway betwixt Reading and Marlborough. If her Ladyship should have a mind to go the best part of the journey in the morning, she might bait at Hungerford, which is twenty-two miles from Reading and eight from Marlborough, and the "Bear" inn there too is a very good inn. I shall depend upon notice from your Lordship of the day and place.

I live in a place where new books are never heard of, our intelligence reaches no farther than to the price of corn at the next market. But when I send to Oxford I shall enquire for my friend Cheyney's book; I should be glad to meet with anything that may contribute to health whilst we live. As to long or short life it is not much worth while to be very solicitous. I expect some nostrums.

They must know our new Governor [Bradshaw] very little, or be very weak in their own judgment, who have great expectations [of] him. There is an old maxim, which I believe will hold as true for the future as it has done hitherto, *nemo dat quod non habet*. He certainly will do them no more service than Hugo has done, he may possibly make them some more enemies than Hugo did. He will be more *busy* than Hugo was, but not to any more purpose. I expect more from him out of the College than in it. He will attend the meetings of his brother Pates, be pressing or opposing things which he may think will recommend him above, and possibly raise some intestine broils amongst the Heads, and inform against them to the ministers. He may perhaps at home give port sometimes. He loves his bottle, and if he will give drink he will meet with those who will help him to drink it. But farther than this I apprehend nothing, either without or within doors.

1724, August 15.—I must beg leave to divert your Lordship a little with a soliloquy of your old tutor. He thinks it seems the Government have done him great injury in not naming him

to succeed his friend Hugo, and could not forbear lately to make his complaints of it, as usually, to himself. "I have been nine years Sub-dean, and could govern the College I say as well as Dr. Bradshaw, and am as loyal as he for the heart of him. I say I shall not care to serve long under him."

Honest Dr. Fowke I hear is to be the new Canon, he has earned it by a part that has outdone even your tutor. It is too long for a letter, but when your Lordship sees Bob, if you ask him, he can give you some account of him.

1724, August 23.—The master and his brother surprised me last Saturday night about eight o'clock. They stayed with me Monday evening. The master is now beyond dispute plumper than your Lordship. I am afraid his father has stayed so long in looking out for a fortune great enough, that whenever the master marries, he must scarce hope to see the proper effect of it. I congratulated him very heartily upon the approaching marriage of his sister. There is not a gentleman in England upon every account more desirable than Mr. Verney. The young lady will indeed have occasion to show her great goodness and discretion in her behaviour to her mother-in-law. She is of the first rate of the Termagants, and upon a fair trial of skill would I daresay outdo our old dowager.

What I wrote to your Lordship about your old tutor is now no secret. He cannot forbear expressing his resentments to others as well as to himself. It is now said Hugo insists for him, and that he stands fair for it. I know not whether this be given out to banter him or upon any ground. But there seems to be some stop put to the disposing of that preferment, and we are told Tanner is talked of for it as well as Bradshaw and your tutor. If they would give it to any of our own growth, I fancy the new Primate would be able to get it for his own convert, honest Peter Fowke.

The Viscount and his lady that is to be are preparing their wedding clothes. She is to be married before Michaelmas, for then she quits Sarsden to Sir Robert. She is said to have great settlements and to expect an addition of honour to her spouse.

1724, August 29.—My duty to my godfather. I hope it is for some very good purpose that he stays in town, when a marriage, to which I am sure he wishes so well, is coming on in the country. We are now sure who will be our Governor. Bradshaw that was first named is the man, and Clavering, who has Llandaff, is to keep his professorship with it. As little regard is had to suit men's different preferments to the proper business of each of them as to their own capacities. How the bishopric of Llandaff and deanery of Hereford will be consistent with a Regius professorship in Oxford, I cannot see. There will too be no little inconvenience to the College, for want of one to hold the offices. Poor Tanner I believe must be summoned to do duty, and in that case will have reason to wish that he had kept Ely.

Your old tutor has exposed himself to be laughed at heartily by taking people's banter of him for earnest, but he has made such loud professions that he would be Sub-dean no longer, that I see not how he can come off of them.

Postscript. I expect to see some sport when I return to Christ Church. I have letters thence just now, which tell me that our Sub was in high expectation last week of being Dean; that the old *ab origine* Whigs roared at it, and cried what would become of their pretensions if converts had such encouragement. But upon Bradshaw's being declared, the poor Sub cannot forbear showing the greatest dissatisfaction and to Bradshaw himself. Their bows to each other are very short, and their looks very shy. This it is likely will in a little time afford some diversion.

1724, September 2.—Possibly my next letters from Oxford may furnish me with somewhat to entertain your Lordship with. Their new Governor is expected every day, and I fancy his Sub will not be able to command himself enough at the installing of him as not to show some visible marks of resentment at the injustice done to his own merits.

1724, September 5.—I do not remember who was the author of that satire in block letter which your Lordship mentions. If the book was in verse, it was probably Skelton's the poet laureate, some of whose verses Fiddes quotes out of a satire written by him against the Cardinal.

I know not but I may have John Drummond here to drink your Lordship's health over your venison. The news letters come regularly. I propose at present to go from hence on the 1st of October to Oxford, but my stay there will not be long.

1724, September 25. [Oxford.]—An ague has obliged me to return hither a week sooner than I designed. I may thank myself for it, by presuming too much upon my strength and by walking by the river's side an hour after sunset. But I hope the worst is past. I am so weakened and tired with the discipline I went through last night, than I can write no more now.

1724, September 28.—I believe I have such a share in your Lordship's good wishes—that you will not be ill pleased to hear that I missed my fit last night. But I am still but so so, and have not yet done with the nasty draughts of the bark. I can now from my own experience advise you to guard against so troublesome a companion as an ague is, and I take Wimpole soil at this time of the year to be likely enough to give you one.

1724, September 30.—Your old tutor seems to have digested his resentments. A bottle of port has worked wonders and brought on a good understanding between them. He goes in with our Governor, as he did with Hugo, after nine o'clock prayers, and was lately met unluckily coming out of his Governor's lodgings past one in the morning. This is known to every under-graduate, and they easily see from it what government they are to expect.

Is not this Trefusis that Sir John Cotton has married a daughter of Craggs?

1724, October 1.—I had the honour yesterday to receive safe from Shefford your Lordship's of the 22nd, directed to Lamborn. Your Lordship may perhaps think I had received it sooner by my mention of Sir [John] Cotton's marriage in my last. This is as quick dispatch as the Viscount's. But Sir John is the person purchased and not the purchaser. Such a fortune will be a noble support to him. Is the lady tolerable as to her person? His end is obvious enough, but what was the lady's? Cavaliers who drink so very hard as our friend Sir John, are not thought to be the most likely men to answer what the ladies chiefly propose to themselves. I have heard it whispered as though there was no ill understanding betwixt the lady and Sir John in Trefusis's life time. Yesterday the Viscount was to conclude his famous marriage. It [was] deferred till yesterday to save Michaelmas rents. Never was known such an extravagant change from a penurious wretch, who has all his life before sponged upon others, to a profuse prodigal, and for the sake of a woman nearer 50 than 40, whom he can feel indeed, but has not been able to see for these ten years past. 1,200*l. per annum* rent charge, added to the 800*l. per annum* from her former husband. Great presents of jewels and plate, country house and Cavendish Square house. The last to be richly furnished with damasks and velvets brought on purpose from Genoa. And it is said the lady says she will show him what it is to live. I look upon this as a plain crack in his head, and the beginning of the fall of his understanding, if of nothing else.

I should have told your Lordship in my last that I am afraid our old friend Sir William Giffard has not many more days in this world.

Postscript. I am told this afternoon, and I believe it comes from the Viscount's family, that there are to be ten days of open house at Sarsden, and ten after at Cockrop, and then the lady is to be carried to court, and the husband to be made a duke. I have heard for some time that there was to be an increase of honour upon this marriage, but I scarce think it will go to the highest step.

The Duchess of Marlborough made Lady Walters a visit upon the prospect of this marriage, and presented her with a set of Japan dressing equipage, which had belonged to the late Queen, and been valued by her. The Viscount and Lady Walters together returned the visit to the Duchess.

1724, October 12.—My neighbour on the other side has been at a loss for a curate for his parsonage. He had his living he says from the Chancellor and must therefore have one that is not obnoxious. There was none upon enquiry in his own College of whose affection to the Government he could be sufficiently secure. One of New College was recommended—"How is he affected to the Government?"—"Ask your present curate, he knows him well."—"I cannot rely on what he says, he was put in by Dr. Hammond."—"The gentleman has taken the oaths."—"Ay, that may be, that is no proof."—"He is an honest man."—

“Honest is an ambiguous word, I must have one that is discreet and yet stirring, and that will keep the people together upon the right side upon any election.”—At last he has taken one Parkinson of Wadham, who is one of the Lord Chancellor’s pensioners there.

1724, October 14.—Yesterday I had the honour to hear from my Lady Oxford, with an account of her having been confined for a week to her chamber, and that she was going to Lady Paulet. Her Ladyship mentions not to me what her illness was, but if it was the cholic, I am certain there is no other safe certain remedy for it but the Bath waters. Radcliffe, who would allow the Bath good for nothing else, owned it was a specific for the cholic. And if her Ladyship finds any thing of that distemper I hope she will be prevailed on to spend some considerable time longer at the Bath.

I design at present to be at London early, and to pass the best part of the winter there, but I will not resolve upon any certain time for my journey till I hear when your Lordship designs for Bath, and whether you come through Oxford. If you take this road, I will not be absent when you come here.

I most humbly thank your Lordship for communicating to me so many particulars. I had heard some of them before, enough to satisfy me that all the others are true. That particularly about Ruffe, though there are different accounts of him. Some say he has prevailed to have all he has quarrelled with discarded. Others, that he has been told his advice was not wanted. In general, it is certain that he has quarrelled with everybody he was most intimate with, and has roused amongst those poor desperate wretches as great a bustle as ever he did elsewhere.

I am far from having any disadvantageous opinion of Wimpole, I think it for the summer as delicious a spot as is in England. I cannot indeed think a ground that at bottom is clay, and consequently that holds water as much as that does, to be wholesome in the winter.

The Viscount says the Parliament will certainly sit on the 12th of the next. I suppose your Lordship will be at the opening of it.

Sir William Giffard, contrary to the expectation of his physicians, is still alive, but he cannot, I think, last long.

1724, October 17.—Poor Giffard is still alive, but drooping. G. Clarke has been forced to leave him upon a summons from Dick Hill, who is ill too, and who, I fancy, designs to leave G. Clarke some concern in his will.

I hear Mar has written a letter to the King, owning the letter taken about Erskine’s to be his, and begging pardon for it. This looks like a prelude to his coming over, but if he comes, it must be upon the same foot Bolingbroke had his pardon, without honour or estate. And if that be done again, it may possibly occasion some notice to be taken of both pardons in Parliament. Ruff has played the devil abroad, but there are

different accounts of it. Some say he made complaint of those who had the management and had interest enough to have the powers of two of the chief superseded. Should this be so, it is probable that was one of them, and this may be the occasion of his present measures. Others say Ruff advised not to have any Scotch or Irish trusted, and wrote that he could not serve unless they were all removed. The answer said to be given to him was that his advice was not wanted. Should this be the account that is true, I know on what side Ruff is by this time. All agree that he has set them together by the ears abroad, and that the Government here never did a wiser thing for themselves than in sending him thither.

I hear exactly the same account of Bolingbroke's affair that your Lordship does. He is upon the History you mention, at least pretends to be so. As far as I understand, it is only an account of what passed whilst he was in the Pretender's service.

I hear your countryman Lord North has not been able to prevail with his mother-in-law in Holland to let him take out thence part of his wife's fortune for the payment of his debts. He is retired upon it to Paris, not being able to return hither.

Our worthy friend the Viscount, I hear, begins already to be weary of the change of his house keeping, and is come again to the usual diet of loins and legs of pork. But fondness continues as yet, and the old fellow kisses so heartily that the smack is heard three rooms off. Blechingdon preached there last Sunday, the rogues here say his text was out of Genesis, 18th chapter, 11th verse. The Viscount carries his young lady to Court on Monday. It is supposed he puts in for her to be of the Bedchamber to the Princess in Lady Grantham's room.

The new Metropolitan that is to be is returned from the west, where he has been distressing all the clergy that agreed not with him in politics to the very utmost of his power, and has parted with them in the most provoking manner possible. One of them that was to preach before him took his text out of the 12th of the Revelations and the 12th verse: "The devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that his time is but short."

Postscript. Great intercourse and compliments and frequent visits pass betwixt the Viscount and the Duchess of Marlborough. I think I told your Lordship that she had made the Viscount's spouse a present of a Japan dressing equipage that was the late Queen's and of which she was very fond. The Viscount has power for sending for what venison he pleases, and uses it very freely to save beef and mutton at home. He has had three does already this year.

I heartily pity poor Mrs. Hay, they will convict her, but they cannot be so barbarous as to take her life. I heard of all Kinnoull's usage of her from T. Dod when he was with me. But as I observed before to you, is it to be wondered at from one who uses such a wife, and his own family, in so vile a manner. But if I am informed right, he is likely to pay for it in this world, and

will not be able to get so much as bread in a little time. Should that be his case, whatever was done for his wife and children, I think he ought to be left to die in a ditch.

1724, October 26.—On Friday night our new History Professor came down, with the royal donation of the professorship to the University for the King's life, and of the patent to himself only for one year. They have made it a very precarious tenure to the professor himself. He is to take out a new patent for it every year under the sign manual. They are resolved to keep him to his good behaviour. Notwithstanding their resentment of our non-addressing before, Lord Townshend has sent with the donation a most gracious letter to the University. By many circumstances it is plain they desire to have a public address, and I think it is not difficult to imagine why they desire it, both with regard to themselves and to us, and no doubt they will have one. The matter is to be before the Pates to-day. Our new Governor came down here the same day that the Professor brought the donation, and he had been with Lord Townshend the morning before to receive his instructions; he returns too to town next Friday. That it is plain he came down with no other view but to promote this address, and I suppose he will take to himself the merit of it. And the ministry perhaps too may ascribe it to him, and think they have done a fine thing by giving us a new Governor. But he will have no other share in it than any other Pate, and he might have spared himself the journey if he had not proposed to himself new merit. The address would have passed without a single vote to oppose it if he had been absent. Nay, I doubt not but every one there will be as forward in it as he can be.

Postscript. I am now told the business of the address will not go so easily amongst the Heads as it was thought it would have done. Your Lordship shall hear more next post. I should be glad to know whether Cambridge do anything more upon the instruments being brought to them.

[The Rev. DR. STRATFORD to the Rev. T. THOMAS.*]

1724, October 28.—Pray tell my Lord my first thoughts about our address proved the truest. It passed the Heads without any difficulty, out of nineteen only four thought the letter which they had formerly sent sufficient; they were Vice-Chancellor himself, the Warden of All Souls, Brasenose and Lincoln; all the rest with both the Proctors came in roundly for an address, and the person who proposed and managed the debate for an address with great noise and warmth was Delaune.

Our Governor was very modest in the address, he only said that if the University thought fit to address he could assure them it would be kindly taken and they would be well received. It appears by many circumstances that the ministry had set their heart upon bringing us into an address; we cannot yet

* This letter, and the one which follows dated Nov. 4 and referred to in that of November 6 *post*, are printed from copies in Thomas's handwriting, with the dates and a few words inserted or corrected by Lord Oxford.

guess at all their ends in it. The Vice-Chancellor is to draw up the address and no doubt he will be very cautious, but I know not what others may offer to insert, or how the Masters may relish anything extraordinary in it.

Our Heads had more to say for themselves than I knew in sending only a letter upon the notice they had that such a benefaction was designed. When King James I. in 1605 annexed a canonry of Christ Church and the parsonage of Ewelme *in perpetuum* to the Divinity professorship, a much more considerable benefaction than this, the Convocation did no more than decree *Litterae Gratulationae* to be sent.

1724, October 30.—Our grandees go up with their address on Friday this se'nnight. The compliment I suppose will be over before I am in town, and I shall be so unhappy as not to have a share in that solemnity.

Things look but untowardly for Madam Villeck; her cause was put off on Wednesday till this day on pretence that the Attorney General was indisposed, though he was very well at eight o'clock at night at the Rolls on Tuesday. There are suspicions upon this that she may not meet with all the dispatch she expects. That which is done to-day will probably give us more light.

[The Rev. DR. STRATFORD to the Rev. T. THOMAS.]

1724, November 4.—Our address passed yesterday in a full Convocation very unanimously, but our Governor has had occasion upon it to discover himself. In the beginning of the address it is said, *In full confidence that their address will be received with the same favour that their late letter was*, they, &c. Our Governor proposed to leave out that clause at the meeting of the Heads when the address was first read, because, as he said, it was well known their letter was not received kindly. The Vice-Chancellor asserted that it was, and had my Lord Townsend's letter to produce for a proof of it. Our Governor was seconded by the Wardens of Wadham and Merton, but the other Heads stuck to their clause and over-ruled them. Then our Governor proposed a clause by which they were to promise to instil good principles into the youth; part of that he proposed was admitted and part rejected. He took this so ill that he resolved once more to attempt to have the alterations made to his mind in Convocation. He desired the Masters to be at the common room yesterday after dinner with a design to propose to them his alterations, and to tell them that if they would stand by him he would propose them in Convocation. This was a very extravagant as well as unusual method and very improper for his station; but whether his emissaries had brought him word that it would not pass in his own House (as it would not have done with the majority) and much less in Convocation, or whether some friend had suggested better advice, he sent the Masters

word after dinner that he should not come and only desired that they would be at Convocation. The thing had taken air and the eyes of the whole Convocation were upon him; had he moved anything he had been cut down immediately. That dashed him, it was thought, not a little, for he was silent and offered nothing. The Dean could have no view but to recommend himself by an excess of zeal; the address of itself is brimful of loyalty.

1724, November 6.—In my last to Tim, your Lordship has the conclusion of the affair of our address; as far as is proper for a letter. The rest must be reserved till we meet, which I hope we shall do soon, for I am apt to fancy your Lordship will now come to town, and take your place in the Lords' House and go thence to Bath. I am very glad my Lady stays so long at Bath. The two greatest scoundrels in this business of the address were Delaune and Hunt of Balliol, and yet if any two in this place were ever more open, as well as more warm, in their disaffection than others they were the men. The last now makes great court to our new Governor, but I am told he is run into great necessities by his extravagance upon his alliance with the noble blood of Sheffield. Our Governor I hear is gone up in great wrath upon his disappointment, but I believe he has done his business by it in the University, and not a little prejudiced himself too in his own House. His friends above may see that they may safely depend upon his zeal, but not much upon his interest. One part of the clause he would have had in was, "*the only* support of the church of England, of all the Protestant interest abroad, and of all the Protestant Universities." The last was surely somewhat very ridiculous. I fancy our Governor, nor any of the ministry, if catechised, could tell the names of the tenth part of the Protestant Universities, so little have we to do with them.

Our Chancellor I suppose will not go with the address, for the same reason the Duke of Somerset declined going, because he had not been taken any notice of in Lord Townshend's letters.

I am afraid Bentley will have the advantage in the present dispute. The trustees are nominated by Craven's will, the senate had no power to make any alteration.

The French lady has her money, she stays now to solicit her friend's other affair.

I shall lodge at Mr. Battely's in Dean's Yard, Westminster.

1724, November 12 [London].—You will have seen our address and the gracious answer in the Gazette. Lord Townshend made a splendid entertainment for all that came from Oxford. The Bishops that attended the address dined with them. His Lordship gave in an elegant speech fresh assurances to them of the kindness with which his Majesty received this address and was resolved to treat them. But our poor Vice-Chancellor, who had never been at Court or with great men before, to the no little surprise of the company, made no answer to the compliments. I am assured the Royal speech to-day will be full only of grace and favour. No

notice of parties, or complaints of disaffection, but only gracious assurances of favour to all subjects without distinction.

Postscript. I have dined with some who heard the speech ; it is, as I told you it would be, nothing but tranquillity. We are happy if we continue in the condition we are, and to do as we have done. All the same, the same forces are necessary to preserve the continuance of that respect which at present is paid to us abroad.

1724, November 17.—The poor Vice-Chancellor was in a maze, he had never seen a King or Secretary of State before. The address was of his own inditing, that you may easily guess ; it is not over polite. But the matter and thought, though not the words, in that clause about privileges, were suggested to him by the Bishop of Chester.

I never knew so dead a calm as we are in here at present. Young begins to solicit for his bill of divorce, he says he has agreed with his wife about the alimony ; I think it is 400*l.* per annum.

I am glad you have such a prospect of succeeding in your election. If you carry it only, as you justly observe, by a small majority, it will be reversed here. The expectation of the town is against you. Your Lordship will be in such a hurry that I cannot expect to hear from you, but pray tell Tim that I expect that he should give me an account how the election goes.

1724, November 23.—I condole with you for your defeat, but I suppose it was no surprise to you, when you [knew] with what weapons the enemy managed the war against you.

I suppose your friends in the House of Commons were beaten too yesterday, in the only stand they expected to make this session, upon the army. But I have not yet seen any one by whom I could hear how matters passed.

Ruffe has managed things so abroad that he has thrown them into the utmost confusion. The Government never did a wiser thing than in sending him thither. Lately the cry went for Ruffe against Mar, but now it is said that every soul there, even Lansdowne, is turned over to Mar against Ruffe with great bitterness, who with no less fury acts alone against all.

I hope you will come and comfort yourself among your friends in town for your disappointment. Methinks, too, you should desire to hear the debates about Young's divorce.

Postscript. I just now hear that poor Giffard is dead. He has left T. Rowney and G. Clarke executors. His estate, which may be better than 4,000*l.*, after some legacies to servants, to be equally divided amongst his brother's children.

1724, November 28.—The reading of Norton's letters to Mrs. Young gave great diversion yesterday to the House of Lords. The sick, lame, and blind attended it, and crawled out of doors to share in the entertainment.

Postscript. The case against the Bishop of Chester is revived with great violence, and many very unfair circumstances. I always apprehended this would be the return to our address.

1724, December 6.—The return which I expected and foretold is made to our address, though I was not believed but rather smiled at when I suggested my fears, that if the ministers got the address they seemed so much to desire, they would afterwards prosecute the Manchester cause with more violence than they had done hitherto. But I remembered an unlucky story, that when the Lower House of Convocation had upon many promises been betrayed by Atterbury into an address that the church was safe and flourishing, within six weeks, by way of making good the promises of favour to them, a letter was sent from the Queen countersigned by Sunderland, requiring them to submit to the bishops and threatening to punish them if they did not.

On Tuesday last was se'nnight the Bishop's solicitor having heard by chance that the Manchester cause was upon the list to be heard, he went to the solicitor of the Crown and expostulated with him for not giving him notice of it. That solicitor seemed to make light of that. The Bishop's solicitor told him that the bill of exceptions had not been made part of the record, as had been agreed. Paxton, the Crown solicitor, said surely they would not insist upon such trifles. Watson, the Bishop's solicitor, desired Paxton to go with him to Baron Gilbert. The Baron told Paxton he dealt unfairly, for that he had in his presence agreed to make the exceptions part of the record. The Baron immediately called for the bill of exceptions and signed it. Paxton said they did not think the exceptions of any moment. The Baron very justly replied that then he had less reason to apprehend their being argued. The two solicitors went from the Baron to the Attorney General. Upon Watson's complaint of the unfair dealing, the Attorney General only said he did not think they would insist on things of so little weight.

The Attorney General moved next day to bring on the cause but agreed to defer it till next term, because it had been brought late upon the list. Raymond was not in court. Prat and Flat (*sic*) said indeed it was time to end this cause, and that the poor man had been kept long out of the favour the King designed him. Fazakerley, the Bishop's counsel, said the delay had not proceeded from them, they had always been ready, but that the Attorney had not represented the whole of the cause to the court, that there was a bill of exceptions that was by agreement to have been part of the record, and he prayed an order of court to make it so. The court said they must adjust that among themselves. Paxton offered to Watson to make the exceptions part of the record, if he would give bond not to appeal to the House of Lords. Watson asked him what he meant by such an offer, that he (Watson) had no power to give any such consent. On Friday night Watson gave notice to Paxton, that he would move the court again next day for an order to make the bill of exceptions part of the record. Paxton's own hand was produced in court, where he promised it. The Attorney and Solicitor both came out of other courts to oppose the motion with great warmth, but it being matter of right, not favour, the court could not longer

refuse it, and made the order as desired. This long account I suppose will sufficiently explain what I hinted at in my last, and show your Lordship the true meaning of the gracious answer to our late address.

Nothing is expected till after Christmas, nor much then. Some say the Irish halfpences will be brought into Parliament, because they begin now to be vented in England. Probably the affair of the masters in Chancery will come there, it cannot well be settled in any other place. But the ministry, it is thought, will beforehand prepare the scheme they would have pass. It is supposed this affair will end in the dismissal of the present Ch[ancello]r. But his successor is not yet settled. King and Trevor are talked of; Trevor dined lately with Townshend and Walpole.

Bolingbroke's bill will certainly come in after the holidays, but it is not yet generally agreed in what manner it will be brought in. I am sure that at present promises are made to the lady that there shall be a repeal of that part of the attainder which disables him to inherit his father's estate. But this is the most favourable bill that can be hoped for. Others say that to that partial repeal a clause of banishment will be added. But if it is not part of the bill when brought in, I believe it will be moved to add it to the bill, and many think the bill will not pass without it. Bathurst is now the chief if not sole manager for Lord Bolingbroke. I know not that this place affords any thing more than I have mentioned. I never knew so dead a calm.

1724, December 12.—Nothing from my neighbour can be a surprise to me, but there seems to be in this that relates to Tim more of stupidity than malice. But what occasion can he have to enquire whether Tim be in priest's orders? It is to know whether there will be occasion at Christmas to admonish him to take them.

The House of Commons has afforded somewhat this week that has pleased all parties, but the North Britons that are to suffer by it; because they could never yet be brought to pay the malt tax they are exempted from that, but by way of equivalent sixpence per barrel additional excise is laid on them, and the drawback which was allowed to them, upon the exportation of corn, is taken away. The debate was betwixt the Scotch and the ministry. The Scotch pleaded, and I believe truly, that it was a breach of the Union. I do not hear that any other answer was given but that it was not the first breach, and this was necessary. They threatened to leave the House. You will easily think they were not much believed as to that. Cockburn said their drawback was taken away only to favour the exportation from Norfolk. It was carried by 138 against 41. Only Wade, Lawson, Plummer, Bernard, and two more whose names I have forgot, were with the Scotch. I find they who are not much satisfied with the regularity of this, yet think the Scotch have no complaint, who went so violently into the taxing of the papists, and all other exorbitant bills.

A grand jury was called in Dublin to find the bill against the man who printed the pamphlet for which the proclamation came out. The jury twice brought in the bill *ignoramus*. That jury was dismissed, and another called of the most substantial merchants in Dublin. They instead of finding the bill brought in a presentment, a thorough one indeed, against Woods and all his "accomplices," who by fraud or any other means should attempt to vent those halfpence in that kingdom, &c.

Here is a poem in town, I cannot yet get the sight of it, but I hear it is an excellent one, called the Fable of Prometheus stealing Jupiter's golden chain, by the connivance of Venus, and leaving a brass one instead of it. You will not be at a loss for the author of it. I am told Jonathan's picture is put up by the magistrates in the town hall at Dublin.

Postscript. At the time I am writing there is a great meeting of the bishops at the Archbishop of Canterbury's house here in Dean's Yard, to consult what to do to put a stop to masquerades. No doubt they will come to some worthy resolutions. I suppose all will end in some application to the King.

1724, December 17.—On Wednesday night the report was made by the Committee of Council about the masters in Chancery. There are 60,000*l.* deficiencies, five of them have given in, in their accounts, 6,000*l.* in an honourable person's hands, meaning the sum which they gave to the Lord Chancellor for their places. It seems one said, if this enquiry went on, his place would be worth nothing, and therefore he would be so true to his family as to give in what he had given for his place, as part of the account. The others stared at him at first, but when they found he persisted in it they thought him a wise fellow, and did the same. It was proposed, upon the report of the Chancellor, to order the money now in the masters' hands to be paid into the Bank. He promised to make an order for it as yesterday. What will be further done, when this comes into Parliament, cannot yet be guessed. Some think the Chancellor will be out before, most think he cannot stand it, but a few are of opinion that he may still keep in. A great deal of the securities paid into the Bank will consist of securities upon rotten houses, in and about the town, which paid perhaps ten or twenty per cent for the loan of the money.

I am told his Grace of Canterbury proposed taking some methods to stop the masquerades to his brother of York first, but he did not approve it. I suppose he was afraid the lay masqueraders might retaliate on him. Upon that his Grace of Canterbury summoned only the bishops of his own province. It was proposed to consider of some reasons to be laid before his Majesty against masquerades. At a second meeting, it is said, it was referred to the three bishops of this church here to draw up the reasons. If it be so, they will make rare work of it, but nothing further is yet done, and I am apt to think that York, by London, will take care to banter it and quash it. It is said private masquerades grow numerous, and are more diabolical than the public, bad as they are.

The bill against the city of London will perhaps make some noise ; there was a division upon the motion for it of 130 odd to 49. Mr. Walpole told them, if any one had objections, it would be time enough to offer them when the bill was brought in. The purport is, as I am told, to give the mayor and aldermen a negative upon the common council, and to abolish some other privileges which are not used as the ministry would have them. It is surely the highest attempt of this nature that ever was made, and much beyond the taking away of charters in King Charles's and King James's days. There at least was a form of law, and a sentence in Westminster Hall.

I have seen all the Irish pamphlets. All here are of opinion that Jonathan wrote the whole fable, I must own I think so too.

1724, December 22.—The Lord Chancellor now seems to be given up by all the world. On Friday the Council required him to order the masters to give in such security as the Judges should require that they would not alienate the securities which they had in their hands, and to commit any masters to the Fleet who should refuse to give such securities. He thought this hard and boggled at it, but it being insisted on, he consented. It is said Newcastle whispered him that he would have reason to be glad if he came off without an impeachment, and upon that he submitted. My godfather thinks the ministers will endeavour to screen him, and to let him fall as gently as possible. It is thought they care not for the precedent of a minister's being exposed for a corruption. There may be somewhat in that, but others think the matter is gone too [far] to be stifled, if any were disposed to do it, and that he must be obliged to refund, either by bill or impeachment. As I was going to see your worthy aunt to-day, as I passed by the Lord Chancellor's house I saw your cousin Townshend coming out into his chariot. It was a court day too. I leave you to make your reflections. There is much talk that Cadogan will be stripped of all or in part. It is said corruptions have been discovered in the management of the robes, and that the poor Turks have been cheated of their appointments ; a mortal sin no doubt. Some say Poultney designs to lay down. Bolingbroke's bill will be brought in without any clause of banishment. They are not sure that no such clause will be offered by others.

I hear there is a scandalous paper goes about of a copy of verses by way of dialogue betwixt the Devil upon Ben, and a certain statue upon a steeple in Bloomsbury. I hope no one will presume to send it to your Lordship.

[1724,] December 25.—I told your Lordship in my last that I saw Lord Townshend on Tuesday last coming out of the Chancellor's. That was looked upon by some as ominous, but I am informed, very well I believe, that it was to a quite contrary purpose. The Chancellor had desired to know whether he was to go out or no during the holidays, that he might regulate his own motions accordingly. Townshend was sent to him, with the

Duke of Devonshire, to assure him that he should not go out, at least during the session. I believe this to be true. They have no mind of a precedent of a minister's being exposed for corruption. It is not known where such a precedent would stop. Some think he will not go out till the King returns again from abroad, but I believe it is certain he will be kept on till his affair about the musters is quite over, that if he should go out afterwards it may be with as little slur as possible. At another time this matter would have been pushed too far to have been stopped. It cannot still be kept out of the House, and I suppose some means must be found to pay in the deficiencies before it comes. Should there not, I suppose the matter cannot yet be stifled, but if the money that is wanting can be found, I am apt to think there will be strength enough to prevent any farther enquiries. Some noise there may be, but that will be little regarded.

As I am writing I receive the favour of your Lordship's, and find you judged right in thinking they would not let impeachments be brought against any of themselves. I beg leave to repeat my thanks to your Lordship, and to assure you again that I little expected the amends you are so good as to make me for a mischance that could not be prevented. The bill for Bolingbroke will be brought in, in as favourable a manner as possible in all points, but that of restoring him in blood. And I have reason to think, that he himself will come over as soon as his bill is passed. The bill against the city will be carried with a high hand. Time alone must show us whether any of these things will afford you any diversion upon your return to town.

1725, April 1 [Oxford].—I heartily bless God that you are out of doubt of Lady Margaret's having had the small-pox. It is the greatest blessing God could have bestowed on you, except one other which I hope too you have sure reason to expect. Two such blessings in one year are great compensations of Providence for your father's troubles.

Your neighbour's illness is very unseasonable for his friend Bolingbroke's affair. Should your neighbour recover, he will scarce do it soon enough to be able to attend that business. Do you hear any thing how the election goes at Launceston? Was there any opposition to the Doctor [John Freind]? Bob dined with me yesterday in his way to Teddworth, where we did ourselves the honour to remember your Lordship, and all that belong to you. I long to hear that my Lady is past all doubt as to that we apprehended in her, as well as Lady Margaret is as to the small-pox.

1725, April 4.—I thank your Lordship for giving me so good an account of two persons for whose welfare I am so nearly concerned as my Lady Oxford and Lady Margaret. I am now determined to go to Bath to endeavour to wash off some scurvy that has been floating about for some time, and which I ought indeed to have prevented by repairing thither before this time. Sir Humphry has offered to call on me here next week, and to carry me with him. I suppose I shall have the pleasure too of Mrs. Alice's conversation, as well as of his.

A gentleman lately happened unluckily at dinner before your old tutor to say that the late Chancellor had sold all his livings. Your tutor was in no little fear and concern, and fell a stroking his belly, and repeated with great earnestness six times together, "Indeed sir, I came honourably into mine; indeed sir, I came honourably into mine, &c." The gentleman, who had no suspicions of him before, began to entertain some, upon this uncommon earnestness to prevent suspicions. But though he has lost one patron, he is resolved if possible to earn some other. He now begins to closet young men, and to tell them if they expect to be trusted with pupils they must give proofs of their affection to the Governor. "Sir, I have taken the oaths." "The oaths, what is that? Every one takes oaths, are you zealous for it? Will you do as you are directed? Will you choose such *Parliament men* as you shall be directed?" "No, sir, I can never promise that upon account." I think this is going the utmost lengths, nor could I have believed it, as frail as I know him to be, had I not had very sure authority for it.

1725, April 7.—I bless God for the good news of all kinds which you confirm to me. You may be certain that which we suspect and hope shall be an inviolable secret with me till it betrays itself. I hope Arbuthnot, as well as you, is convinced that Lady Margaret's illness was the small-pox. I am glad you are going into the country, though I hope you will not stir till you see the City bill over, though you have no prospect of throwing it out.

1725, April 8.—Have you seen a book called "An Enquiry into the Ideas of Beauty and Virtue"? It is Dean Berkeley's, it is worth your reading. I have but one objection, that in some cases he seems to think better of mankind than I am afraid they deserve, and than facts as attested by our best histories will allow.

1725, April 17. [Bath.]—I bless God I got safe through the rain hither, I am afraid my friend Sir Humphry will find the roads very bad next week. My godfather seems to have no occasion for the waters yet, but if he holds on he may perhaps in a little time, as hardy as he is. I cannot hear that Mr. Jeffrys has as yet found the relief he hoped for here, but he seems not to me to live up to the dignity of his fortune and quality. He and my godfather have each of them one little room in the same house, and eat at the common table with other boarders in the house. Harry Watkins begs his most humble duty to your Lordship; he would be well if he could totally forbear the relapses which his good nature sometimes occasions. But I hope to finish his cure, I shall exercise my authority and put him under proper discipline. I have already began it by forbidding absolutely my godfather in an evening, and I shall allow their congress but rarely too at noon.

I thank God I begin already to find that these waters have their usual effect on me.

1725, May 5. [Bath.]—I thank you for the honour of yours from Welbeck. I was much surprised to hear of your journey thither, which I did first from the master, but I was much pleased too. And I am glad to hear you are gone farther. May you take such journeys once a year.

I did myself the honour of writing to my Lady from this place, and she was so good as to answer me immediately. She tells me her health is bad still, she took no notice of something I presumed to hint at a distance, but I take her silence for a confirmation. And I hear from other good hands that all goes as we could wish, and I heartily bless God for it.

I have had a return of the ague I had in September last. My godfather, who gave his other friends fevers, gave me I am afraid my ague, by walking me by the river side in a cold east wind. But I have not heard of it since Sunday se'nnight, and I was able to go last week to Wells, and to spend six days there, where your Lordship was constantly remembered by the good old Bishop [Hooper] with all respect possible. I thank God I left him without the least decay in any part of his understanding, nor with any in his body worth notice. A little trembling when he writes in his hand, and such a weakness at eighty-five in his eyes that he is afraid he must at last use spectacles. I think he is likely to last many years.

I hear my old friend's bill goes on very heavily. The great ones pretend they cannot govern their dependents, and have already given way twice to them. Possibly it may be so clogged as to prevent the chief ends that were aimed at by it.

Postscript. My hearty respects to my brother Timothy and to the merchant. I hope the merchant will teach you his trade, and make your Lordship as "errant" a landjobber as he is.

1725, May 17. Bath.—Sir Humphry is here, and spends his time chiefly in playing at whist with his landlady and his two maids. He begs his respects to your Lordship.

The letter to put off the Act at Oxford was devised by a party that had been formed secretly against, but I expect to hear by the next post that upon a second letter it is put off, though not without a struggle. There are many who desire to have the opportunity of an Act to recommend themselves. Others think this is not a season for compliments, when the cause that has been so long depending has contrary to promises been called for, and the judgment that was against us affirmed. There has been an appeal lodged to the House of Lords, but I suppose that will only gain a little time.

1725, May 29. Little Shefford.—By the account I have of your being at Dupplin, I suppose this may reach Morpeth before you pass by it again. The visit you have been so good as to make to that excellent lady was as worthy of yourself as it must be comfortable to her. Indeed it must be the greatest comfort to her that she was capable of receiving in this world, in her present circumstances. But now you have seen the good land of Scotland, I dare say nothing but the same good strong motive can ever

engage you to go into it again. I shall long to have an account of your peregrinations, and of the accommodations you met with on the road in that land of promise. If merchant Morley's own cargo failed him, I am afraid the places where you baited would scarce afford him bohea and loaf sugar.

I thank God that I am got to my hut again, and the noise I have been in at town and Bath has only served to endear the quiet and privacy of this place to me. But I hope you have met with better weather towards the northern pole, than we have had here in our southern climate. The cold and rain as yet confine me within doors, which is the only grievance I have to complain of here. I thought to have brought Harry Watkins with me hither, but he had a relapse the morning we were to have come out, but I hope it was a short one, and that it is over before this time, and that I shall soon see him. I paid my duty to my good Lady Oxford, the post before I left Bath. I hope you will find the grand affair so well advanced at your return that it will be no purpose to continue on me the injunction of secrecy. Indeed I find it has taken air, for several have enquired of me if I knew anything of it.

1725, June 7.—I bless God I continue well here, in very bad comfortless weather, which has confined me to my house ever since I came hither.

It was very suitable to your Lordship's goodness to bear with a journey even through part of Scotland, to give the good lady [Kinnoull] you visited the greatest comfort she can have in this world, except from her reflections on her own truly Christian behaviour under her present circumstances. I am very sensible of the honour she did me in remembering me. My prayers for her are as sincere and as earnest as my esteem is great. I can say no more. I beg my most humble respects to her when you write.

The widow was in good health, and in perfect good humour, and entertained me very agreeably and very graciously below stairs, when I was not above with her father. My scoundrel circumstances will not allow me to aspire to any thing more from her, and if she continues as she is a little longer I suppose she may be past it, as well as I.

I hope your Lordship will meet at your return to town with the satisfaction of seeing that you have so much reason to pray for past the ordinary seasons of disappointment, and that you will make your poor servant here happy with a confirmation of it.

1725, June 19. Little Shefford.—I heartily bless God for your Lordship's safe return so far in your way home from so long a journey, and through such craggy, scrubbed ways. The ill weather, which has been here without intermission, has brought my ague again. But I am once more rid of it, and hope I shall hear no more, if the good weather, which we have had for a day, holds.

The fraternity of his Majesty's chaplains is honoured with your old tutor. He and Charles Aldrich are proper ornaments to it.

1725, July 3.—I hope this will come to welcome you home. I heartily bless God for preserving you in your health and from all other casualties in so long and perilous a journey. In all other respects I am sure you must have reason to be pleased with your adventure.

I had heard of that which was feared at home, and bless God that it is over for the present. It is very happy that you are returned, to prevent as far as may be any new occasion for such fears. One word from you will, as it ought, in this case be of more weight than all that physicians or any other friends or servants can say. God forbid that when we have such a fair prospect of having that we have so long prayed for, we should be disappointed for want of a little care, or by presuming too much upon our strength.

If you have any acquaintance among the Red Ribbons, I suppose your Lordship will have business enough upon your hands for a week or two, in making your compliments to them. What a piece of pageantry! Lord Stanhope, it is said, has paid pretty dear for his jests on them.

1725, July 7.—I am glad the impertinent part of my letter happened not to displease your Lordship, but since I wrote I am more convinced of the necessity of those precautions. I hear from more hands than one, and good ones too, that great notice has been taken of the non-appearance of a friend of mine.

People will soon be disabused as to common expectations. Had not the South Sea convinced me that there is nothing so extravagant which the generality will not run into, I should have been surprised at the present opinions. No one in their wits could think there could be ground for them.

Postscript. Your Lordship and others may have one advantage from the present forwardness of others, to be rid fairly for the future of their impertinence. Should they upon disappointments set up for malcontents again, surely they cannot expect that others will be so weak as to give in to their resentments. If they renew old language, others may with honour turn their deaf ear to them, and refuse to have any thing to do with them. It will be some comfort that they who are content to be quiet may now without reproach be so.

I am told Sir S[pencer] C[ompton] is to be in the House of Commons again, and probably Speaker, that he will be content to share the power with W[alpole], and that the new Parliament will be called as soon as ever this is up. If any of these things be true I think it is pretty plain what the measures will be.

1725, July 14.—I thank you for your good news that all is safe. I pray God continue it so till its maturity, and then to bring it well into the world.

Robin writes to me that young Murray writes to him from London to secure his chambers when he leaves them, for Lord Dupplin. I suppose this is perfectly without your Lordship's knowledge, or you would have mentioned it to me. The chambers indeed are the best in the College, but as I take it Lord Dupplin must be upon a frugal foot. It will cost a good sum to furnish those chambers in any tolerable manner. The rent is at present 12*l. per annum*. Mr. Watkins has been offered more and may have it every day in the year, though I would not let the rent be raised whilst the master and Robin were in it. I suppose by the hand by which this comes to Robin that it proceeds from Lord Kinnoull himself, who cares not directly to write to me. But is his Lordship to be Mr. Watkins' paymaster? Would Harry or any one else trust his Lordship for one Scotch bodle? I should be glad to have your Lordship's thoughts on this point. Out of duty to your Lordship, and the great veneration I have for the excellent mother, and my affection to the young Lord himself, I should be glad to do any thing to serve him, but the points I have hinted require a little consideration.

It was lucky for you that you had not the curiosity to see Glasgow, when you were in the land of promise. You might have been suspected for having fomented the rebellion of the True Blews. It is remarkable that the first disturbance should be amongst them who are the heartiest Covenanters in Scotland, and the only men too who have got by the Union. What becomes of the Carles, have they submitted yet?

Postscript. I hear poor Wainwright is out of his Bankrupt commission. I wish his friends could give him a little encouragement in his profession. Does King set up for a more staunch Whig than Jekyll, that he turns out whom the last put in?

1725, July 24.—I have heard, since I wrote last to you, that Kinnoull's affairs are in a very bad condition, and not mended by any late favours to him. I am heartily sorry for it, on the account of his excellent wife and poor "bearn's." But I have not the least concern on me, on his own account; but I am sure this intelligence is a very good reason why I should not advise any friend I have to have any thing to do with [him]. If your Lordship sees Robin, pray instruct him to give the answer you mention. I have heard from the Bishop of Chester, who is on his visitation. He is very well and hearty, he has had bad roads, but business, of which his hands are full, always does him good and gives him life and spirit. That is a very happy constitution.

Postscript. By my letters from Lancashire, they seem to have some other account of affairs amongst the North Britons than we have in our prints. It is represented more favourable in our prints than their neighbours think it.

1725, July 27.—I hear your noble present is come safe to Oxford. A thousand thanks to you for it, I hope I shall value it as I ought, both on account of the person whom it represents and of him from whom I receive it. I long to see it. I believe I shall go over for two or three days next week for that

purpose, but your Lordship shall have notice if I do. Pray by whose hand is it, if it be an original? If it be a copy, by whom and from what picture was it copied?

I hear Lord B[athurst] is given over by his friends, and that it is expected he will leave them quite next winter. This is no surprise to me. This may confirm your Lordship in the judgment you so truly made of some advice which the Shaver gave you. But one thing surprises me, he is said to be deep in debt. He was thought to be a manager and beforehand. They say now 25,000*l.* is known; no less than 10,000*l.* of it borrowed of the young Duke of Buckingham's money. Which way has all this money gone? In venching? I never heard that he played.

Mr. Jeffries is a loss and will be found to be so next session. Who will be put up at Droitwich? The young master of Witley, who is now upon his return, he ought to be. But mamma was used to say she would never let him come in Parliament.

1725, August 5.—I most humbly thank you again for your father's picture. It has a likeness of him, but anyone that remembers him may perceive I think at the first blush that it was drawn after he came out of the Tower. It is a likeness of him when he began to decline and his cheeks began to sink and he had lost somewhat of the plumpness and freshness of his face. But it is very well to have pictures of him at all ages and in all conditions, and there is too a great deal of the same face in the pictures drawn at the most different times.

This place [Oxford] affords no news. The two converts here, my brethren, who are rivals for the next vacancy in the primacy of this place [Christ Church], are observed to be very jealous of each other upon all occasions, and it is expected they will be at open defiance in a little time.

1725, August 15.—I am now in a better state of health than I have been for some months past, and shall be at Shefford again on Monday. I go not only to attend my business there, but to avoid the hurry of the race which comes on here on Tuesday next. Amongst others I hear Lady Cardigan has taken lodgings here for the race week; she has a son at Queen's.

The more I look on my picture the better I like it, and so do others too. But it is the picture of my master after he came out of the Tower, and not of the Speaker. The decline that then begun in him is perfectly visible. Pray forget not Lady Kinnoull's little picture, for which your lordship had a measure.

Your old tutor and his lady are going to Bath, but upon his own head, without any physician's advice. What he goes for I cannot imagine. He has no other disease that I know of but plethory, that will choke him one time or other. But that is not likely to be lessened but increased by the Bath. He will make a notable figure there.

Postscript. Do you hear that your new Northern Metropolitan [Blackburne] continued his journey so upon his going down as to travel from Doncaster to Bishopthorpe on a Sunday. It makes no little noise in this part of the world, which is not used to such freedoms as pass in the Southern climate.

1725, September 10.—I have got Forman's letter, and entirely agree with him as to the main of it; we have been, and are like to be, the bubbles of Europe. But there are some few facts, as well as some judgments, in which I think he is mistaken, particularly that of Stanhope, though he seems to write of him out of gratitude for favours received, the best excuse I confess, if there could be any entirely good, for partiality. But who is this Forman? English or Scotch? He seems to have been concerned in the Preston business.

Postscript. Our last accounts from abroad seem methinks to intimate that we are likely soon to come to an open breach with the Emperor. But that I think cannot affect the English, though it may be the German, dominions.

Bell Tyrrel, one well known to the master and Tim, and to them I design this news, a girl worth 4,500*l.*, has been pleased to steal a husband not worth a groat, a young rake whose only employment was to be master of the horse to Lord Abingdon. Though she has not, I think, done worse than she had like to have done four or five years ago; she had then like to have stole a fiddler.

Forman's letter, and that which probably will come on next session in relation to this affair, will give a fair handle, if there was any one to manage it, to justify your father's conduct, and to return proper compliments to his impeachers.

1725, September 29.—I hear we put on airs at the Imperial Court very different from our old ones. We have been bullied, and we now bully in our turn. A little time will show us the consequence of it. I am told the King is not expected till after Christmas.

I have some choice papers relating to Ruffe to show your Lordship and my godfather when I see you again.

If this find you in the country, I beg my respects to all at Eywood.

1725, October 11.—The Attorney and his gay though old lady come to Tom Rowney's to-day, and spend two or three days in town.

Yesterday the sermon was in the afternoon here. The Bishop of Gloucester is at the Deanery, and he, the governor of this place, and their brother of Llandaff sat in the Deanery, within hearing of the preacher, and not one of them left the table to come to church. I have been enquiring whether old Fell's ghost did not walk last night. Nay, poor Dr. Aldrich always laid down his pipe on such an occasion, though he would go to it again when sermon was done.

1725, October 17.—I was mistaken in one circumstance, but not a material one, in the account I gave you of our three prelates. It was not at the Deanery but at Clavering's that they dined, near enough in all conscience to the Church.

1725, October 19.—God Almighty be blessed for His great goodness to you. You have now the utmost that I daresay you yourself do wish for, or your friends could wish for, for you.

And had your good father lived to see this day, he would I daresay have thought it a full and ample recompense from God in this world for all his own troubles. I beg my compliments to my honoured lady upon this very joyful occasion, and to Lady Margaret too, who I daresay will be as fond as any one of her little brother.

1725, October 21.—I most heartily thank you for your great goodness in giving me an account, by Tuesday's post, that all continued well with the mother and the precious babe. The little gentleman has already had influence as far as to this place. Every friend I have here has done me the justice to think me so much concerned upon this occasion as to make me a visit on purpose to congratulate me.

This joyful occasion had almost so filled my thoughts as to leave no room to congratulate your Lordship upon another extraordinary piece of good fortune, which I hear has happened to you. I suppose one of so just a taste as your Lordship thinks it the greatest that could happen to you, next to the birth of Lord Harley. At least you will, if you have my good friend John Drummond's sentiments. It will be well, in that case, if you do not prefer it. I hear your Lordship is become a burgess of the "auncient and gude city of Edingborough"; an honour no doubt preferable to red or blue ribbons, and that alone was wanting to complete your happiness as well as your dignity. I wish you may bear your good fortune with due moderation. It seems to come thick upon you.

I beg my most humble duty to the good Lady in the straw, and to dear Lady Margaret.

Postscript. I hope the little one will have no gripes that are dangerous. I would only hint that our friend Radcliffe was for giving young babes as little as possible. He frankly owned he knew not what to do with them, and was for leaving Nature, if it were possible, to do its own work.

1725, October 24.—No words I can use can make such a loss as you have had easier to you and my Lady than it would be otherwise. God and time alone can do that. And as to the part I take in it, I believe you as little doubt that I grieve with you now as that I rejoiced with you before. The most proper reflection for all our comforts is, that since it has pleased God that my Lady at such a distance of time has bred again, as you are both young enough, by God's blessing and proper care this loss may be soon repaired. I shall think it my own duty, upon this occasion, rather to hasten than to defer my journey.

1725, October 26.—I most humbly thank you for your account of the continuance of my Lady's health under her late affliction. I hope in God, it is an earnest to you both of your future blessings. I have a strong persuasion on me that upon proper measures she need not doubt, through God's blessing, of children of stronger vitals.

1725, October 28.—I shall have somewhat to entertain your Lordship and Tim with upon my coming up. My honest brother Fowkes has done a thing, the most extraordinary that ever was done in this place, and especially by one who has his view on the deanery. But it is too long for a letter. The College is in a flame upon it.

1725, November 26.—I heartily give you joy of the good news you had [from] Scotland before you left the town. It is no little comfort to have an affair so very desperate to be retrieved as far as it is possible to [be] done. And honest John by pressing his brother William in this point has shown his regard to your family as much as he ever did in many former affairs.

Perhaps you will have heard before this reaches you that Mr. Walpole sent on Thursday to the insurers to advise them to beware of insuring the Ostend ships. This has filled the city with rumours of war, and an expectation that orders will be given to sink the Ostend ships. The apprehension of war is revived strongly and increases every day, and I am afraid upon too good ground.

Letters from Ireland tell us that the great man there [Carteret] has lost his temper as well as his question, and is in a rage as well as confusion. His private secretary has been sent over at an hour's warning, it is supposed to have instructions in some point of moment. It is said a committee of that Parliament, which sits to enquire into abuses of the revenue, makes fresh discoveries every day. Notwithstanding reports given out that North Britain submits now readily to the malt tax, I have undeniable evidence that it is with the utmost reluctance that it is crammed down in every part of that country.

1725, November 27.—Twelve men of war are ordered to be got ready immediately. They are to be ready if possible by Christmas. Politicians are not agreed on the place for which they are designed. It is generally thought they are to winter at Copenhagen.

It is said that to induce the Dutch to come into the new treaty, we offer to agree to demolish the harbour of Ostend, and to submit to have no trade with Flanders but through Holland.

The trial of Huntridge, on Wednesday last, makes a great noise. He lived on the wall of New Park, and had some words with a great man. He was prosecuted for concealing deer stealers. He had many witnesses to depose that they heard those who swore against him own that they were to have money for swearing. A horse stealer had been pardoned, and came in as a witness against him. After a long trial he was acquitted, upon which there was a great shout quite through Westminster Hall. The mobility will have it that a great man was concerned in the prosecution.

1725, November 30.—The Irish grow every day more mutinous. It was desired of them to provide for the 50,000*l.* which they owned to be a deficiency, allowed but unprovided for by

Parliament. It was said the supplies already given would not only provide for the current service, but be sufficient too to pay interest at 7 *per cent.* for that money, till the principal could be paid off. A debate upon it, carried on very warmly by the mutineers. Papers were brought in, saying there had been a mistake in the former account given in to the House, and two sums, one of 6,000*l.*, the other of 5,000*l.*, paid by the King's order, had been omitted. The King's letters for payment of those sums produced and read, but ordered to lie on the table without any regard had to them. The Court doubting of their success proposed to adjourn, that they might have time by proper methods to bring them to a better temper. It was carried against adjournment. Then the main question put, and carried against the Court by nine. The mutineers increase. This is the best account we have yet, but a more perfect one is expected, more, it is feared, to the advantage of the malcontents. Poor Stilletto ! Thou art gone !

The Poles have dismissed all the Protestant officers out of their troops. Upon their application to the King, he has given them a month's pay and ordered Fleming to put them upon vacancies into the Saxon troops. The Emperor is very stiff and stubborn ; he will yield nothing to us. He has secured the Pope, and is negotiating with all other powers that can be of any use to him.

The two great prelates differ about the bishopric of Chester. York is said to have sent an express to Hanover for Gilbert, and London to have written earnestly to the King himself for Anget.

What would you say to a new Parliament ? This will surprise you. What if this should be Sir Robert's last refuge, if he should find himself pressed in this Parliament ? I have a very odd, but very true, story to tell you about this when we meet.

- We say here that your Lordship slunk out of town, to avoid being invited by your new countrymen to St. Andrew's feast.

1725, December 2.—I have seen some private letters of moment from those too who are in the interest of this Government. They abundantly confirm all I wrote of the sturdiness of the Emperor. His minister, Count Coningsek, at the Hague tells the States he is ready to treat about all other points, and doubts not but they may be accommodated if they desist from Ostend. But as to the trade of that place he had orders to tell them that his master will not so much as let it be mentioned ; that his glory was concerned to make good his promises to protect it. This is the language too of all the Emperor's other ministers in other places. They declare they will not enter into any treaty at all about it. Coningsek desired the States to stay and hear what the new Spanish minister, Sir Philippo, had to offer to them. He said he doubted not but he would offer such terms to them as would convince them that it will be more for their advantage to enter into the treaty of Vienna than into that of Heren Hausen.

It seems unavoidable that you must have war or give up your trade. I do not find that they have any other hope left to avoid it but that the Emperor may be frightened, and give up the Ostend

trade, if the Dutch come in with us. But this to me seems a very slender hope. The Emperor and Spain will hinder the Dutch if they can from coming in with us, but will not I believe desist from their own projects if the Dutch should join against them. It is plain that Spain is as deep in this project, be it what it will, as the Emperor. They are both closely united in it, and both as far as yet appears seem to point their whole design against England. As to the Dutch, it is said that Haerlem is prevailed on to consent to come into the treaty of Heren Hausen, but that Dort and Delf keep out still, but it is hoped they may be brought in before the King returns.

It is said the Emperor has sent the Duke of Holstein word that he always was for him, and opposed, as far as he could, the stripping of him of his dominions, and would assist him to the utmost of his power to recover them. The Prince of Cellamare is gone to Rome; he was the Spanish Ambassador, who managed the intrigues in France against the late Regent. He is said to be gone thither to meet some malcontent Frenchmen, in order to raise disturbance there. The French letters give a sad account of the young Bear's behaviour to his Queen. He not only never speaks to her wherever he meets her, but lies too now in a separate apartment.

I gave you a hint in my last of some suspicion of a new Parliament; the ground of it was this. You know Mr. Dawney, my Lord Downe's second son; he has a living in Dorsetshire. Walpole sent to him lately by Lord Scarbrough to ask him if a prebend of Westminster would be agreeable to him. You may be sure the answer was that it would, if conditions were not required that could not be complied with. A week after Scarbrough came again to him, and told him that only one condition was insisted on, and he should certainly have the next prebend, viz. that upon the next choice of Parliament men for Dorsetshire, he should vote for Peter Walters, and another whose name I have not heard. Mr. Dawney honourably declared he would not upon any terms forsake the interest of the gentlemen his friends. This looks as though Walpole had a new Parliament in his view, in case he should find himself pressed in Parliament. It is not probable that he would insist upon a vote now for a vacancy four years hence. Strangeways indeed has used Lord Walpole very roughly; no doubt it is determined to be revenged of him and to throw him out. But it is not likely that he should provide for this four years hence.

Postscript. The two great prelates are at open defiance of each other about the succession to Chester. York insists to have Gilbert. London presses for Anget. All the bishops are said to join with London against York. It is said the difference betwixt York and London was so high that they speak not to one another.

Alterations are talked of in the law. The Master of the Rolls [Jekyll] to be a Lord, the Attorney [General] to be Master of the Rolls, Lutwych to be Attorney. Three Judges, Powis, Dormer, Tracy, to have their quietus and a pension.

1725, December 4.—The Dutch mail yesterday brought news, not very agreeable, that Mr. Finch had left Warsaw. Stocks fell upon it. But that which concerns us more is the resolution of the Ostend Company, who in a full meeting at Brussels gave power to their directors to do as they should think fit in the following points proposed to them:—the setting up a trade to the north and a fishery for whales and herrings, the settling a trade with the West Indies, the building a fort and settling a factory on the coasts of Coromandel and Bengal, the not making a dividend this year but applying their money to extend their trade. These are not the resolutions of men that are likely to quit this trade.

It is plain we must lose our trade or engage in a war. Many think we shall chose the former. It is said one of the secret articles betwixt us and France is that England shall not guarantee the succession of the Austrian family to the Empire; and that the Emperor knows this and said he should then think himself at liberty to recall his guarantee of the Protestant Succession. Townshend asked Staremburg upon what ground the treaty with the Czarina was made. Staremburg answered upon the same the treaty of Hanover was made, to secure the peace of Europe.

Postscript. I find Sir Robert's friends begin to apprehend that a storm is gathering against him.

The town of Glasgow have demanded of the King's Solicitor in Scotland to assist them, in the absence of the Advocate, to prosecute for murder the officer who ordered his soldiers to fire. Sir Robert asked Baron Scroope, as a lawyer, what the Solicitor must do. Scroope told him the Solicitor was obliged by his office to do it.

1725, December 7.—The Parliament sits the 20th of January. The proclamation for it is signed. There is said to be great tampering with the Tories. This, if true, may make it probable that Poultney, as reported, is at work in good earnest. Poultney's party is said to increase. It is reported too that the great man should say that the Tories came in so fast that he knows not what to do with them. That is likely enough for him to say. I think I told you in my last that Lutwych is said to have positively refused the Attorney's place. The present Attorney must, as they say, be dismissed, not preferred. I hear no more of Jekyll's barony. Nothing more that I hear stirring.

1725, December 9.—It was thought the King would have been here during these light nights, but now it is said he will not set out till the light nights in the next month. And I believe it true, for I am sure, notwithstanding the reports, none of the family is set out to meet him, nor have they any orders about it.

Three Dutch mails due to-morrow. Here is a strong report that the Pretender's wife has parted from him. She pretended a visit to the convent of St. Cecilia; when she was in she dismissed her equipage, and sent letters to the Pope and to the Cardinal Secretary, to give them notice that she was retired for the remainder of her days. It is said John Haye wrote a letter to her

to let her know that if she retired upon any suspicion of the Pretender having commerce with his wife, that he could convince her, by very sufficient proofs, that there was no ground for any such suspicion; and that she answered him smartly, that neither he nor any of his were able to give her any uneasiness, that if she had had any reason for complaint he would be the last person to whom she should make them, and required him not to trouble her any more with his impertinent letters. This is the sum of the report. I know not what to think as to all circumstances, but I believe there is some ground for it, and that it may be of fatal consequence to the Pretender that [he] gave out that the reason of Haye's hatred to him was that, because upon some displeasure that Madam Sobieski had taken to John Haye, he had advised, upon being consulted, John Haye to retire till he could make his peace. John Haye expected that Mar should have offered to support him against the lady, but it is plain by this that the displeasure to John Haye has been of some standing. But there is another thing may have contributed to this retreat, the bringing in again of Jemmy Murray. This last gentleman had, not long before he left the Pretender's formerly, affronted the lady in gross terms. No doubt she must be uneasy to see him brought in again upon her. Both Haye and he are ministers of Ruffe's recommending, who has very happily, to the blessing of the Pretender's cause, been sent abroad.

1725, December 9.—I forgot in my letter to beg your Lordship would give leave to have your name used to Alderman Child in behalf of Dr. Girdler for the lecture of St. Dunstan's. You know his character, and what he has suffered for being an honest man. Were your father alive he would be heartily concerned for him. It will be of great service to him, if your Lordship will be pleased to order Mr. Thomas to tell Alderman Child that you would take kindly any service he does to Dr. Girdler.

1725, December 14.—The news I sent you of the retirement of the Chevalier's wife is true. I guessed exactly at the reasons. She said she could not bear any longer the insolence of John Hay and Jemmy Murray, the two new pretended Earls of Inverness and Dunbar. She had forbid Hay's wife her apartment some time before she retired. All other circumstances I wrote to you are true, but I can warrant no farther, though a great many stories go about here, as that he caned her, &c. But it is certain, I believe, that all that has ever happened before to that man has not been so much to his prejudice as his wife's parting from him. This is a ripe precious fruit of Ruffe's ministry.

I hear there is great wrath at Christ Church against Wigan for his speech at the Bishop of Chester's funeral. It is reported here that they threaten to expel him, but I cannot think they will be so extravagant. I hear he spoke in it very handsomely of your father, as well as of the Bishop. I am sent to him to beg a copy of his speech, if he is willing to give one out. Bolingbroke.

is come to town, but I have not yet seen him, but he has signalized his return by a very dishonourable 'action. He has made strange havoc at Bucklebury, he has cut down all the trees in the grove and about the house, that were a defence as well as ornament to it. He has cleared all the hedges round the estate, he has marked 1,100 trees to be cut that are so small as not to be valued at half a crown a-piece. In short he seems resolved to ruin that estate entirely to the utmost of his power. And this has been done without notice to Packer, whose son has the reversion. Poor Packer is come to town with his son to get an injunction to stop cutting, but they are helpless creatures. Were they not so he durst not have used them as he has done. A man of sense and spirit would make him fly his country once more upon better reason than he did before.

An express came on Sunday from Hanover. The prints tell you several days in this month on which it is thought the King may set out, but I find they at Court who should know best expect him not till next month. Three mails came in yesterday, but no news by any of them. Townshend was expected at the Hague to try what he can do with the Dutch.

1725, December 15.—I thought your Lordship would not be ill pleased to see the enclosed [*missing*]. He [Wigan] carried one expression in my letter farther than I designed it. I told him I thought your Lordship would not be ill pleased to see any thing that mentioned your father with respect. He understood it as though your Lordship had employed me to desire a copy of his speech. Our Governor and my brethren are much provoked. They dare not fall on him directly for the speech, but they are trumping up again the old business of his refusing young Fowkes' theme. On Saturday last the boy offered his theme again to Wigan in the hall. Wigan said, "*Non est mei ingenijud icium ferre de tuis scriptis.*" The boy began to say "the Dean"—Wigan interrupted him, "*Quid vis tibi loquere Latine si Decanus jussisset temandata sua ad me deferre, jussisset te etiam ea Latino sermone exprimere.*" The boy began "Decanus, Decanus," but could get no further. Wigan said "*Abi et disce Latine loqui, non est meum in hoc loco audire aliquem nisi Latine loquentem.*" It is confidently said they are resolved to proceed against him for refusing the theme. It will be rare sport. What they will do I cannot imagine, nothing they do can be justifiable. To deprive him of his rhetoric reader's place for three or four days before Christmas will be ridiculous. To deprive him of his librarian's place, for that he has done as rhetoric reader, will be extravagant. But I will not take upon me to answer for what they may do, but do what they will they will do more prejudice to themselves than to him.

1725, December 18.—You will have the other speech which you desired, and Wigan's too entire as soon as the man returns who has it.

No news since my last. The King will be in Holland on the 23rd it is supposed. If the wind is fair he may embark on the 24th. Great struggling about the Dutch to keep them from coming into the Hanover treaty, and to bring them into it. A little time will show what is to be expected from them. They who understand them best still think they will not come into any offensive alliance.

I have two little pieces of news that are of some moment, and that I have from good hands. Fréjus, the King's preceptor, is so dissatisfied with the Duke of Bourbon's management that he offers to retire, and, it is thought, will do so. The French Court have supported the expenses at the marriage and since at Fontainebleau by monopolizing corn and provisions in this time of scarcity, and themselves selling it to the people. The people have perceived it at last, and are not, you may believe, the less discontented with them for it.

Postscript. I can now tell you, I think from sure hands, that Gilbert will be Bishop of Chester. It is not commonly known, nor will it be declared till the King returns. London, after all his burning, knocks under. Next to the Archbishop's own promotion, this is the most remarkable that has ever happened in this poor church.

1725, December 21.—A mail came in on Sunday, but brought nothing more than that Townshend was at the Hague, and the King to set out as on Friday last. They seem to have some more hopes that the Dutch may be brought into the Hanover [treaty], but if they are, it will be on their own terms, and on condition that we be the aggressors. The letters from France continue to tell us that the misery of that kingdom increases daily. The late method they have taken, by lowering their money to induce people to bring it out, has had a contrary effect. They lack it more than ever. Money grows scarcer, and provisions dearer every day. Fréjus and all others are endeavouring to withdraw themselves that they may have no share in the present management.

1725, December 23.—Bolingbroke when he left England reconveyed all his wife's estate to her; she immediately conveyed it to Lord Stawell and Sir William Wyndham for the payment of his debts, &c., giving the inheritance to Packer's eldest son. All this was done for his benefit with his consent. By this settlement, the Government could not come in upon that estate till the debts were answered. I know Chandos's and all other claims were allowed by the Commissioners, and more money has been raised than will answer all the ends, for which the estate was vested in Stawell and Wyndham. However, they now, as Packer tells me, make use of their power at Bolingbroke's request, to make this havoc and destruction to raise some ready money for him. And Bolingbroke has drilled him with hopes of accommodation till the time is past for moving for an injunction, and, though he had promised him that he would stop the cutting of the wood, now tells him he

will go on with it. This is very dirty work for men of such quality to be concerned in. Packer's counsel tell him, and I believe truly, that the trustees have no power to do this. But they know it is more hard to get redress for a damage than to prevent it, and they certainly rely upon poor Packer's weakness and inactivity.

I have been to wait on B[olingbroke] but found him not at home, but he knows I have been there. But he is in such a disposition to me that I question whether I shall see him at all. I have two degrees of original sin in me, which are not to be washed out, the respect I paid to his late wife, and the being supposed to have a greater regard to your father than to him. I may tell your Lordship a secret, which I would have continue so with you. Upon hearing what he was pleased to say, upon the notice he had of my having been to wait on him, I have sent him word, by a friend, that I have nothing to reproach myself with in relation to him, that I am ready to pay all respects due from me, but if it be disagreeable to him to have me wait on him I shall not trouble him. I shall conduct myself according to the answer I receive, which I believe will be that he desires not to see me. This makes me too believe that though others may desire to see your acquaintance with him renewed, he himself has had no desire or thought of it, and I the rather think so, because I have sure and certain intelligence that he is resolved to have done with the Tories and to observe no measures with them, but to throw himself entirely into the opposite party.

Fréjus did come back again at the Duke of Bourbon's desire, but the letters from France still continue to say he will retire, and many others with him. A general crash in their government is apprehended. The Spaniards have presented another memorial to demand Gibraltar and Port Mahon. It is said our great man declared openly that we shall have no war, that he will lay down if we have, and it is not thought, that since bullying will not do, that we shall be quiet.

I thank God the judge grows better at Bath. I shall let him know the honour your Lordship does him to enquire after him.

I take it for certain that Gilbert will be Bishop of Chester ; but London still, as it is strongly reported, continues to oppose him, and has prepared a memorial against it to be given to the King at his return. It is said Newcastle has promised to present the memorial to the King ; that I much doubt of. It is said the Bishop of London, to induce Walpole to join with him in opposing Gilbert, has offered to consent to let Hare be Dean of Paul's, and Sherlock Bishop of Chester. But I take York to have a better interest in Sir Robert, than the Bishop of London. It is said too that Gilbert is to marry a relation of Sir William Strickland, and that Sir William has got a promise from Walpole for him. London has been soliciting on his brethren to join with him in the opposition to Gilbert, but some, who think York to have the best interest, have refused him. Be the event what it will, they say the breach is irreparable betwixt York and London, and may probably spread itself farther amongst the bench.

Postscript. I remember my Lady was telling me once of meat [cooked] down to a glue, and made up in hard cakes like chocolate cakes, one of which dissolved in warm water would immediately make a porringer of broth. She said many used to take them with them on the road when they travelled. Does her Ladyship know where such things are to be had, or has she the recipe for making them. They would be very convenient for my way of life.

The last mail brings notice that the Dutch are likely to come in, that the Imperial Minister has used high language and had as good returned to him. We are not a little uppish on this here.

They go on at Glasgow to prosecute Bushel the captain for murder, it is thought he will stand trial and that the Government will endeavour to support him and bring him off; if he should be condemned, he is sure of a pardon.

1725, December 29.—As to my own affair with my old patron I can yet tell you no more than I did in my last. I have had no answer, but I shall exactly keep to what I then told you I proposed, and not be so impertinent as to press for admittance unless I am told that it will be agreeable.

Public news we have none, but what you have in the papers. The Dutch have acceded to our treaty. We shall not I suppose be let into the private articles upon which they have done it. The Imperial minister bullied them very heartily upon it. They had a report at the Hague that Spain was dissatisfied with the Court of Vienna, but I believe there could be no ground for it, because Ripperda, upon his return to Spain, was much caressed by the King and Queen and immediately declared Secretary of State for foreign affairs.

You have I believe by this messenger a famous manifesto sent to you. Another letter is come over from John Hay, in which he says his master is entirely easy now, since he has discovered all that was passed to have been the management of Lord Mar, who employed Mrs. Sheldon, who had been dismissed upon Jemmy Murray's coming, to incense his wife. But I do not find that this last letter gives any one a better opinion of their prudence than any of the former had done. The bishops here have got it amongst them that Ruffe has been the occasion of the quarrel betwixt the Pretender and his wife, and laugh heartily. I believe they are in the right, and they may well laugh at it.

Peter Foulks is Sub-Dean of Christ Church. Your old tutor quitted his place of power. There was a general joy upon his dismissal, and I am afraid he is the most contemptible man that ever was in the place. They durst not offer, after all their menaces, to pass any censure upon Wigan. When they came to tell noses, the Dean and honest Peter were left alone, the rest would not burn their fingers in such warm work.

Postscript. Bentley is come to town with his Terence and Phaedrus; the first to Prince Frederic, the other to Prince William. He calls Hare in his preface *Quidam Clericus*.

1725-6, January 1.—I can now give your Lordship a better account of the accession of the States to our treaty; they offer to

come in but with restrictions. 1. That they will have nothing to do with the affair of Thorn. 2. That they will not be bound to guarantee the treaty of Westphalia. 3. That England and France shall guarantee to them the payment of the subsidies due to them out of the revenue of Flanders, in case payment should be stopped upon their coming into the treaty. These are some of the restrictions. The rest are not yet known. That affair is not yet over, but we hope now they are come so far, that they may be brought further. Stock sank on Wednesday to 117, upon a rumour of a war with France. A man came on Monday night into Child's coffee house, and offered even wagers that France would declare war against us or we against them in six weeks time. On Wednesday the rumour was hot in the city. I can find no other ground for it but Horace Walpole's coming over with bag and baggage. Some say he came away abruptly, that his wife was past her reckoning, and was designed to have lain in in France; others that he has been soliciting three months to come over, and came now only to have his wife lie in here. I am apt to think this last true. There was a report too in the city that the Jews had private letters that the Pretender was to come shortly to Vienna, but surely things are not ripe yet for that. It is now said that in the struggle for Chester, London would carry the day, that Blackburn wrote to Lord Townshend for Gilbert, and was answered in general terms that the Court would be ready to oblige him in any request. That Gilbert wrote at the same time to young Townshend, with whom he had an acquaintance, and in answer was advised to apply to the Bishop of London, for the Court would not make a bishop against his inclination. I am apt to think this true.

I hear Thomas Terry takes occasions now he is out of his place to swear [at] his governor. There will be civil war there. I think I told you that they were forced to drop the business of Wigan. He is come off with flying colours, but upon his being left out from being rhetoric (*sic*), some of the mutineers there have made a couplet :

Would you have all as dull as he that does preside,
Keep Sherman in, and Wigan lay aside.

1725-6, January 4.—I hear not that my postscript is confirmed, but by authentic accounts from France, Bourbon and all his creatures are in a tottering condition. Indeed it will be absolutely necessary for them, I believe, to try if a change of hands will in any measure revive credit amongst them. They are sure it will grow worse, if possible, every day, whilst he continues in. Some are positive that Stanhope has given notice of a secret negotiation betwixt France and Spain, a preliminary of which is the dismissal of Bourbon and all his adherents. We are very uneasy here at the prospect of alterations in France.

For want of other news you must be content with some from Christ Church. The Governor there neither invited the gentlemen on Christmas day, nor the Masters on New Year's day. He seems to signify to all his subjects that he has no regard for them. One Blith, brother to the Irish lord, a commoner bachelor,

lately brought an epistle to ask leave out of town, and by mere mistake inscribed it *Rev. dc., Johanni episcopo, dc.* John was the name of the famous president Bradshaw. When the Governor met with *Johanni*, "What is the meaning of this? all the world knows my name is William." He went on, and instead of *necnon hujusce Aedis Decano Dignissimo*, met with *nec, dc. Dignissimo*. "*Nec Dignissimo*, this is done to affront me, you shall not go out of town, and I will consider of punishing you, as you deserve." As he was going lately to the audit house, there were several standing under the hall stairs who pulled off their caps, but poor Jesson the chaplain had his back to him and saw him not, and kept his hat on. The Governor came up in wrath to him, and asked him how he came not to pay the same respect to him that others did, and would not admit of his true excuse of his not seeing him. One Whitfield, an undergraduate student, a bold lad, used as he came out of church to look the Governor in the face; the Governor sent for him, and wisely said, "What do you look me in the face for as you go out of church, do you think I will be brow-beaten by you?" The boy was as ridiculous as his Governor was absurd; he answered, "Upon *my honour* my Lord I had no design to affront you." "Upon *your honour*, how long pray have you been a lord?" These are rare methods to secure respect, and this is the man from whom they promised themselves such mighty things, and of whom we were to be afraid. The breach betwixt Thomas Terry and the Governor is now pretty notorious. Thomas jokes on him before all companies.

1725[-6], January 5.—I must beg leave to explain myself as to the consequence of M[orley]'s death. I am a stranger to Peter Walters, but by the character I have heard of him I feared that if he were left in the trust, without a majority to control him, he might give you much trouble. It was in view of that trust solely that I apprehended any consequence of his death, and your Lordship is the best judge how far you might be affected by it in that point. As to any other consequences, perhaps I agree pretty well with your Lordship.

York and London both seem sure of their point, London says he has all with him, except the women. If it is to be carried by them he has nothing to say. It will now be soon decided, but if I were to wager, it should be on York's side. London mentioned Peplo, soon after our friend's death, as a pastoral man, and I know not if he could not carry it for Auget, who was Sund [erland]'s man, he would push for Peplo, and no doubt that would be to show his regard to our late friend's memory and to the universities.

I want much to see the pamphlet about the importance of Gibraltar. I have heard it much commended.

I hear Mr. Pope is with your Lordship. I beg my respects to him.

His Majesty has certainly had experience of the comfort of being in a storm. When he was seen on Monday off Rye his yacht was alone, and separated from all the others; but they say he who steered that course in the storm did like a seaman.

I leave the rest of my paper open for news, if I can meet with any. The Chester and two Irish mails that were to have come in on Monday are lost.

I told your Lordship there was a report that Sir Robert would throw up; it was so strong that the Bank sent some of their members to him to enquire if there was any ground for it, and that he upon retiring was to be Duke of Northumberland and Carteret to be Treasurer. He answered that he was heartily weary of the Treasury, and could he honourably go off, nothing the King had in his power should tempt him to continue; but he had no thoughts of leaving it yet. He did not think it would be proper for the King's service for him to leave it at this juncture, and he promised them that, whenever he did think of leaving it, he would give timely notice to them and all his other friends for them to take what measures they thought fit; that there was nothing in the report of his being a duke. That he had honour as much as he cared for in his son, that he knew not indeed the King's mind as to Lord Carteret, but he knew nothing of his being Treasurer.

The surveyors of the window tax have made a report to the Lords of the Treasury, that there were 4,300 houses empty this winter within the city and liberties of Westminster.

I have been out to-day on purpose to have picked up some news, if there had been any. Townshend's impatience was the occasion of their embarking contrary to the advice of all the seamen. It is thought the King will not stir from Rye till to-morrow morning, and not be in town at the soonest till Friday. A mail last night, but nothing new, all in the same uncertainty. The Dutch will not come, but with restrictions. They will gain as much and hazard as little as possible. We are in great fears lest France should not only leave us, but hop upon us.

1725-6, January 8.—On Thursday evening a man came into the Bank, and offered any wager that Port Mahon was then in the hands of the Spaniards. He was treated as one that was employed to sink stock by such reports. He said that to show he was confident of the truth of that he said, and was not afraid to stand by it, they might take his name and place of abode. Stock fell upon this to 115. Yesterday, some letters by the Dutch mail confirmed this news; they said the Spaniards had landed on a sudden 8,000 men and seized it. South Sea bonds immediately fell to par. I will not yet warrant this news to you, but I find some believe it.

The rumour is very strong that France is leaving us; it has been talked for some days, that the Emperor is to exchange with France that which he has in the Netherlands, for that which the French have in Alsace. This is the most probable scheme that could have been thought of for uniting those powers. Should [there] be any ground for it, good night to us.

It is said the King has got a flux from his tossing at sea, and that is supposed to be the reason of his short stages.

1725-6, January 13.—His Majesty has had a fair escape. Sir Robert says in confidence to friends, that they have managed so abroad that they shall have no war ; that he wants only the malt tax and two shillings *per* pound. But it is hotly discoursed that care will be taken this session to have the two shillings levied *ad plenum valorem*, in the northern and all other counties, as well as here about London. They pretend to be sure that France will not desert them, but by some authentic accounts I have had, which cannot be conveyed in a letter, the Duke of Bourbon is there upon a ticklish foot.

It is now said that the Dean of York will be Bishop of Chester, Gilbert Dean of York, and Hare Dean of Paul's. It is likely enough that this may be designed, as the most likely method to accommodate the difference betwixt the two contending prelates. This too would account for the Dean of York's resignation of Winwick, which he had engaged to do, when it was given him, before he accepted any bishopric. But some of the Dean of York's friends are positive that he will not accept it, if offered to him. Nor can I think the bishopric alone would be worth his while. It is said there is a struggle still about our canonry, but I hear not the competitors.

There were such letters as I mentioned to the Jews about Port Mahon, but they are supposed to have been written in concert, to affect stocks. The talk is revived that Newcastle goes to Ireland, Horace to have the seals, Carteret to go to France.

Poor Neighbour did some dirty work to screen Llandaff, but they never came to vote about officers. It was agreed beforehand that Terry should go out and Llandaff stay in. Terry expected they should have entreated him to stay him, and they were resolved to take him at his word when he offered to quit. Llandaff, as I am told, desired another year to make up his accounts, in other words, to get in money to pay his balance. Authority is at a low ebb here, they are divided amongst themselves, and the students detesting and deriding both of them. It is said there is a struggle still about our canonry, but I hear not who are the competitors.

I thank your Lordship for the favour of yours of the 11th ; surely it is too cold weather for my Lady or Lady Peggy to stay longer in the country. If you have anything done this session, it will be on the first day. I hope you do not design to stay beyond that in the country.

1725-6, January 15.—We are afraid the Dutch are retreating faster from the Hanover treaty than they advanced to it. The Emperor's minister makes them great offers :—to secure to them the barrier treaty, to secure to them the subsidies due by that treaty, to secure trade upon the foot of the Munster treaty, to stint the trade of the Ostend company to three ships a year. One of the chief of the States has proposed this to their East India Company, and desired them to draw up such a project to be shown to the Emperor as will content them.

The Dutch Ambassador at Madrid writes to Holland that the quarrel betwixt the Pretender and his wife is feigned, that he is

expected at Madrid, that ships are getting ready in Spain, and that it is suspected an attempt is designed on Scotland. I believe the Dutch minister has written this, but I do not find that it gains any credit here.

Stocks sink still, upon an apprehension, as I am told, that our great men are selling out.

Master was with me this morning and is very jolly.

Surely we shall see you at the opening of the session, it is to be opened in the evening with a masquerade.

1725-6, January 18.—To the astonishment of all the world Peploe is Bishop of Chester. The contending bishops have had a hearing before the ministers, and *verba brigosa* passed betwixt them. London had procured letters from some of the rankest men in those parts to recommend Peploe as the person that would be most acceptable and popular in that diocese. He has carried it. York is under the highest resentments, and his opponent triumphs as much. But I do not believe he could have pitched on a man that would have been so disagreeable to all sorts of men in that diocese, or that would have made such a figure on the Bench, as this is like to do. Godolphin has resigned the deanery of Paul's, but not his residentiaryship. Hare will be Dean. That was the composition betwixt London and the ministry. Gilbert is to be our Canon, Stillingfleet Dean of Worcester, and one Mordaunt, a late convert from popery, prebendary of Worcester.

More stock comes to market than can meet with buyers. Foreigners are selling, chiefly Spanish Jews, who have most of any foreigners in our stock. They are said to be put upon this by the King of Spain.

Postscript. If your Lordship has no thoughts yet of returning to town, I must beg to know whether you will be pleased to give me authority to subscribe any sum for you to Dean Berkeley's design. I have promised him to apply to my friends, but I have deferred doing it because I would willingly have the honour of having your Lordship at the head of my list, if you think fit to be a subscriber. No money will be called for till there is sufficient subscribed to build a college, and maintain the Head, three fellows and five scholars at least. That may amount to 20,000*l*. There is already subscribed above 3,000*l*. Underneath is the account of some sums subscribed :

Lady Betty Hastings	-	-	-	-	-	500 <i>l</i> .
Lord Pembroke	-	-	-	-	-	300 <i>l</i> .
Lord Arran	-	-	-	-	-	300 <i>l</i> .
Duke of Chandos	-	-	-	-	-	200 <i>l</i> .
Lord Perceval	-	-	-	-	-	200 <i>l</i> .

Mr. Hutcheson - - - - - have a war.
 Sir R. Walpole - - - - - speech about the Pretender is
 Auditor Harley - - - - - Duke Wharton's negotiations with
 Eugene at Vienna in favour of the Pretender.

1725-6, January—all that passed, and that his proposals were servant to an council of the Emperor's, called on purpose, but ill offices to Eugene, after the council, declared he would have 2349? & to do with it.

If I did not do them yet I ought not to have been indifferent where he was concerned. He shall not put himself upon the foot of expostulating with me. He cannot think of living on a foot of friendship with those who had abandoned him in his distress. Would your Lordship have me say anything directly to Dr. Stratford? No. I am not upon a foot to make a breach with any one. I shall do the Doctor no harm. If I chance to meet him anywhere I shall be civil to him. I have no more to say.

I think this fairly implies, though it does not directly say, that he would not have me visit him. I shall certainly understand it so, and have no reason I think to be sorry, since I have sufficient witness that I have done all that could be incumbent on me. I must not be forgiven, a supposed regard to your father and poor Dice; and the last, in my conscience, as little as the first. Though I believe the money is at the bottom of all, but not a word of that. I forgot to talk with you about the havoc at Bucklebury, but that will keep till we meet again. Pray burn this as soon as you have read it.

Sir John told me this morning that Pulteney is said to have been alone two hours with the King in his closet. That the ministry have made up the quarrel betwixt the Chancellor and the Master of the Rolls, and that they are endeavouring to accommodate all private differences amongst themselves. If these things are so, they are as sure a sign as I know of a war.

1725-6, January 25.—Lord Townshend told the Lords on Thursday that he had that day received an express that the Dutch had acceded to the Hanover treaty. A mail came in on Sunday night, and I can aver to you that the States adjourned till Wednesday next come se'nnight, without acceding. And I have very good grounds to believe that when the States meet again, that affair, if done at all, will not be done so soon as some are pleased to imagine, or at least to give out.

It is said Walpole has sent to the Jews to let them know that if they continue to sell out stock with a design of retiring they shall find that, notwithstanding the laws lately made in their favour, there are enough left to keep them in England.

1725-6, January 29.—I have some strange stories to tell you of my neighbour your old tutor, fresh ones come out every day. It is a question whether they are more profligate or more absurd, but they are too long for a letter, I must stay till we meet.

I am told the German councils run all for war, that the speech was designed to have been in effect a proclamation of war, if Walpole had not hindered it. That he was for trying once more what could be done by negotiations, but it is said that they wait for the ratification of that treaty, to which the Dutch have acceded. If they ratify the Munster treaty, to stint the change our language and breathe three ships a year. One of the chief

this to their East India Company, and dear friend that is gone, such a project to be shown to the Emperor as Walpole's fellow, a mere

The Dutch Ambassador at Madrid writes to Holk, he never quarrel betwixt the Pretender and his wife is feigned, was the

proper man for the Government to pitch on, the fellow before him was a Jacobite, the gentlemen of that diocese are all Jacobites. Peploe is proper to keep them in all. It is [] that this fellow should use such language; our friend had always lived well with him. The rogue can have no inducement to it but to make his court as he thinks to those who have preferred Peploe.

I now hear that the Emperor's minister has given in a memorial to tell the Dutch that if they accede to our treaty he will look on it as a declaration of war, and take his measures accordingly. The Dutch are frightened, and it is thought they will not come in to us, or if they should at last, it will be with many and great restrictions. But instead of coming in, they talk in Holland of sending Hop to Vienna, and old Buys hither, to endeavour to make up matters. We shall look very simply with our treaty, if the Dutch will not come in.

A debate yesterday in the House about the army. The number of Tories was so small, that they thought not fit to divide. The Whigs that were against the Court yet said at this time they were for the army. Shippen and Wyndham both spoke; the last is said never to have spoken better. Shippen did not directly oppose the army at this time, but said he wished they had some security from the throne that the army was not to be perpetual, and would not be desired when there was no occasion for it. He observed it was our misfortune that the Prince who now threatened us was one to whom our King was a vassal. Upon this there was a great cry by Walpole and others "hear him." They were in hopes that he would have said somewhat to give occasion for sending him to the Tower, but he kept within bounds, and the word he had spoken was too notoriously true to be taken notice of. Mr. Verney spoke, and observed amongst other things very justly that his Majesty saw only the opulent part of his dominions, and wished he knew the condition of the other parts, of which the gentlemen who lived there were witnesses.

1725-6, February 1.—I told you Sir William Wyndham was said to have spoken very well, I had it from a friend of his. But I hear his speech gave great content where I suppose it was not designed to do so. There were some compliments in it to the King, upon which the courtiers thanked him, and some of them visited him upon it.

It is said, upon what grounds I know not, that Sweden and Portugal are come into the treaty of Vienna.

The Court give out that they have notice from Spain, that the old Spaniards are against a war, and that the King threatens to retire again if the Queen persists to have a war.

The paragraph in the King's speech about the Pretender is said to be in relation to Duke Wharton's negotiations with Ripperda and Prince Eugene at Vienna in favour of the Pretender. We say we know all that passed, and that his proposals were debated in a council of the Emperor's, called on purpose, but that Prince Eugene, after the council, declared he would have nothing to do with it.

Poultney and the great man are sparring every day against each other. It is said the great man loses his temper every day and did so particularly on Saturday.

1725-6, February 3.—This place affords not much to entertain you. You see by the Gazette how we endeavour to support our sinking credit by the notice of the States of Holland, but they tell us not the restrictions with which they come in. The consent of six other Provinces is necessary, and then all to be laid before the States General. There will be no haste I believe in that affair.

I find by our friend Mr. Bacon that Sir William Wyndham's speech has raised jealousies of him. Bacon expected they would have divided upon the army. Wyndham concluded with saying that at this time it might be necessary to continue the 4,000 men, and gave up the question. Walpole in his speech on Saturday last had been very hard upon stock jobbers, who took advantage of poor peoples' fears to sink stocks. He was supposed to mean Poultney and his father Gumly. On Tuesday Walpole was saying how he thought us in a good condition; that he could have all the money of the next year at three per cent., that he verily believed we should have no war, &c., but says he "I will promise nothing, least a friend I have in a corner should call upon me to make good my promise." On that Shippen rose up, and assured the House that friend would always be ready to appear whenever he was called on. He recapitulated what Walpole had said on Saturday against stock jobbers, and then pointed it all directly upon him, and supposed that he had sold out on purpose to sink stocks that he might buy them, with a design to raise them and sell again. He went on with great violence and insolence, he said he would do anything to bring such a bear to the stake; that as much as he detested a bill of pains and penalties, he would readily come into it to make such a monster spew up his ill-gotten wealth, &c. Walpole rose in a great passion, and protested solemnly that he never since he had been in his place had by himself or agents sold 20*l.*; that if that member knew he had, he ought to accuse him. That he called upon him to do it, that he challenged him to produce anything against him, that if he knew of any such thing it was his duty to acquaint the House with it, that if he had no ground for what he said he ought to recant the injustice of his insinuations. That he himself equally detested those who could be guilty of such practices, and those who could insinuate such things against others, without any ground for their accusation. The warmth was great on both sides, Shippen made no reply, but it was once thought he would have been called to the bar. After all it is said that Walpole did sell out 30,000*l.* of Bank Stock, though in such a manner that legal proof cannot be made of [it].

I am told the last masquerade was so infamous that even some of the great patrons of that diversion were scandalized at it.

There is a report to-day that the other States and the States General are come in, but it is not yet believed; but the Dutch

news has as yet had no great effect in the city. Stocks rose to ten per cent., but fell again in a little time to eight. It is certain that Spain as well as the Emperor gave in a memorial to tell the Dutch that they should look upon their accession as a declaration of war. We shall now see what those powers will do, but we are very gay upon the news we pretend to have had from Spain, that the old Spaniards are much dissatisfied with the Queen and Ripperda. And we say we are in hopes there may be a revolution there; but we say that if Spain does anything against us, we will not leave them a ship swimming on the sea. We talk of sending a squadron under Hosier to the West Indies to intercept their galleons, and that ten more ships will be put in commission.

There is said to be a misunderstanding betwixt Walpole and the Banks. It is said it appeared visibly on Tuesday in Walpole's speech when he looked up to the gallery, where there were crowds of citizens, and said he saw many who had been locking up their money for three months past, in hopes of making advantage of the public necessity, but he doubted not to manage so that they should be disappointed, and not get one farthing. There is to be a lottery for 1,200,000*l*, but the subscriptions for it are not to be at the Bank, but to be taken in at the Treasury, and the money to be paid in and paid out there. The great man says he will have all the money for this year's service at three per cent.

Lady Lechmere is very ill, some say upon the ill treatment she has had at home, for her late management at Bath. Her fits seem somewhat hysterical, she has perpetual swoonings. Her mother is gone to her, and lies by her night and day. But the worst of the story is to come. The report is hot that under pretence of physic she has taken poison.

Postscript. The States of Holland are come in with all the restrictions I formerly mentioned. We are to pay the States 129,000*l*. per annum if the Emperor should stop their subsidies on their accession. It is said too that at the instance of the Dutch we agree to demolish not only the trade but the harbour itself of Ostend.

1725-6, February 5.—It is now said every where that Lady Lechmere is dead, and I meet with no one that doubts that she poisoned herself. She was said to die yesterday in the evening, but he was in the House of Lords in the afternoon. Her debts are variously talked of, some say 5,000*l*., some 10,000*l*., but all agree that he will pay no more than he can be compelled to.

On Monday will be the most busy day, as it is said, of the session; the debt of the navy comes on. It is said there are many irregularities to be produced. The Master of the Rolls has promised to exert himself.

My deafness still continues on me, and my head is still stuffed. When you come to town, I am afraid I must cup.

The talk in town is that the Emperor will remove the East India Company from Ostend to Trieste in the Adriatic Gulf. We cannot pretend to stop them there, but it is likely the Algerines would make bold with them sometimes.

1725-6, January 8.—I gave you false intelligence on Saturday, but it was the whole discourse of the Mall that day. Lady Lechmere is alive and like to do well, but all agree that she had dosed herself. They say she was saved by taking too large a dose of laudanum, it made her sick and came up again.

The Duke of Somerset's marriage is at present the entertainment of the town, no one of the Duke's own family had the least suspicion of it till it was over. He had with her 5,000*l.*, but has made settlements proper for a Duchess of Somerset.

Lord Essex is married to the Duke of Bedford's sister. He was married on Thursday last, but it was kept private some days. It was first published by Lord Bolingbroke, who at table where the sisters and others were, that were come up in expectation of being at the marriage, drank to my Lord and Lady Essex. Lord Bolingbroke is the great governor of Lord Essex, and has undertaken to extricate him out of Peter Walters' hands. It is said the family stopped the match till Lord Essex promised to have done with Peter Walters.

It is said the last mail brought an account of a new letter to the States, in stronger and more threatening terms than the last, in case of their accession.

Dr. Freind speech'd it yesterday in the House upon the petition about Wycombe election. There were some things which seemed to reflect upon Walpole's management of elections. He was not in the House, but his creatures Pelham and Lord Tyrconnel fired upon Dr. Freind. But Dr. Freind's side carried it, to hear the election at the bar of the House on this day fortnight.

The Wycombe election occasioned the postponing of the business of the day till to-morrow, when warm work is expected about the army. Poultney and the Master of the Rolls promise to exert themselves.

1725-6, February 9.—The account that Lord Nottingham gives of the match is that he had no reason to expect it, till six weeks ago he received a letter from the Duke of Somerset to desire him to give him leave to make his addresses to his daughter. That when he was received, the Duke desired the utmost secrecy; he had with her only the usual portion of the daughters of that family, 5,000*l.*, and he is said to have presented her with 2,000*l.* of it on the marriage day.

If reports are true, the Emperor is far from receding. It is said he has not only sent another letter to the Dutch, in a higher strain than the former has, but that he has sent a message hither, to put us in mind that we are an Elector of the Empire, and that if we enter into any engagements to his prejudice we must expect to be put under the ban of the Empire.

I can tell you from undoubted authority that Ruffe is at the bottom of the wise management in the Pretender's family. That he supports Hay and his wife, commends the letters and manifesto, and the publication of them, and says the Pretender's conduct on this occasion has done him more service than any thing that ever happened to him.

Walpole in a speech a few days ago in the House, speaking of his projects for raising the money this year at three per cent., said he would take [upon] him to say, without the spirit of prophecy, that the golden days were coming when the only contest amongst those to whom money was due from the Government would be who should be last paid. I am afraid, one that had taken upon him the spirit of prophecy, and been allowed to do so with reason on other occasions, would scarce be believed on this.

1725-6, February 12.—The resentment of the haughty letter from the King of Spain is said to be that which brought in the three towns of Holland which stuck out; four other Provinces were come in since. Gelderland and Over Issel were not come in when the last letters came away. The Spanish ambassador has told the States that he is sorry he is come too late, that his stay will be short, that he expects orders to leave the Hague, as soon as they have formally acceded to the Hanover [treaty].

The same sort of letter is expected here from Spain to the King, with that which has been sent to the States.

The Emperor's new Resident has not yet had audience. The Emperor used to give our Princes the title only of *Serenità*, but when the present Emperor came over hither to be sent to Spain, Leopold wrote a letter with his own hand to the Queen in which he gave her the title of Majesty. The public credentials from their chancery at the same time gave the old title only of *Serenità*. Since that time every minister from the Emperor has brought a private letter with the title of Majesty. That compliment is now dropped. The new Resident is come without such a letter, but he has been told that he must have no audience till he produces such a one.

His Majesty at the opera on Tuesday last, as the Duchess Dowager of Ancaster, who sat next to him, chanced to stretch out her hand towards him, told hold of it and kissed it publicly. She was surprised, but rose and made him a low courtesy. The ladies clapped their fans to their faces, and tittered. The whole house was astonished. This was thought to be pretty near to a declaration of love. It was thought the ministers would have been at her *levée* next morning.

The debate on Wednesday turned upon the question, which you see in the votes, for a commission to state the debt of the nation. It was moved for by Daniel Poultney, the division was 262 to 89. No Tories that I hear of spoke. Fifteen Whigs only with the majority.

1725-6, February 14.—If you design to be in the House of Lords at all this session, you ought to be there on Thursday. Your father's name will probably be much mentioned in both Houses on that day, at least there will be opportunity and occasion for it. This is the day appointed to consider the late treaties. The meeting of Dr. Busby's trustees, which was appointed for that day, is put off upon the expectation of a debate, though I see not how now there can be a very long one in

the Lords, where there are so few to manage it; but if there be any spirit and sense in the Commons, it will appear on that day.

1725-6, February 19.—I wish you had been here on Thursday to have countenanced by your presence the debates which were so much to the honour of your father. Compliments were made by Lord Scarbrough in the Lords House to Lord Strafford upon the treaty of Utrecht. Strafford said he was glad to receive those compliments, but there had been a time when he and all concerned with him in that treaty had wanted these compliments. Strafford spoke very handsomely in the Lords upon the late Queen and her ministers, so did Wyndham and others in the Commons. Auditor Foley spoke to nothing else but to your father and his ministry. Not one word upon that point was said in answer by any of the opposite party. Your Lordship will have heard that the sole point in both Houses was to promise to engage in a war to support the German dominions if any attack should be made on them. The numbers in the Lords were 94 to 15, in the Commons 285 to 107.

Newcastle produced Stanhope's letter in the Lords, which said Ripperda had sent for him and told him that one secret article in the treaty of Vienna was that the Emperor was to assist Spain with 30,000 men if Port Mahon and Gibraltar were not delivered, and Spain was to furnish the Emperor with money to pay 30,000*l.* if any disturbance was given to the Ostend trade. Horace Walpole in the Commons, and Townshend in the Lords, owned that Don Carlos was to marry the Emperor's eldest daughter, by which in case the Emperor has no son, the Empire with all its dependencies will come to Don Carlos, and if his elder brother die, Spain too; and if the King of France should go off, a claim to that kingdom. Men of all sides seem to think these things must end at last in a war. It was said in the House stocks would rise upon their vote, but they have sunk.

Your Lordship was yesterday chosen unanimously by Dr. Busby's trustees to succeed my Lord Carleton. Your humble servant was put in nomination with the Archbishop of York to succeed the Bishop of Chester. Nottingham, Dorset, and Finch for York; Aylesford, Dr. Freind and Bridges for me. It was compromised at last by choosing York now upon a promise to bring me upon the next vacancy. Dartmouth promised Aylesford to be there, but came not. Aylesford brought Dartmouth the day before to the House of Lords, but when it came to the vote he went over to the Court, which was remarkable in one who had such a share in the late Queen's ministry.

Postscript. Carpenter has received orders to go immediately through France to throw himself into Port Mahon, of which he is governor.

1725-6, February 22.—All politics are suspended till we hear what effect our late votes have abroad. Opinions are divided. Some think they will frighten, and prevent a war, others that

they will irritate and hasten it. It is said 18 men of war go to the Baltic, and 12 to the Mediterranean, where they are to be joined by 12 French men of war. I know not whether I told you that [Thomas] Lewis of Hampshire left his friends on Thursday last, and declares himself an humble servant of the great man.

Sir William Wyndham's speech, though well as to the treaty of Utrecht, did not in the close of it give content. He declared himself for the Hanover treaty and for all the address except the last clause, for supporting the foreign dominions. His friends say he had no authority from them to say this, for they approved neither.

Robberies increase in the streets daily. Mr. Shirley was robbed on Friday last in St. James's Street, and a hackney coach was robbed on Monday night in Dover Street, and two chairs in Hanover Square.

The town is now full of enquiry after Harry Nevil (*or* Grey). On Friday he wrote an affectionate letter to his wife, another to Walpole to desire him to take care of his wife, and another to a friend to come to a place named, and to take care of his carcass. He went out with a pair of pistols. His friend came to the place to which he was directed, and found Nevil had been there, but was gone. Nevil went to a fishmonger's who hires a salmonry of him, and took up 400*l.* due to him. It was concluded from this that he had changed his purpose of killing himself, and was gone abroad. It was said yesterday that his wife had said she knew where he was, and that he was well. Some said his servants had found him in the city, with his pistols by him, and had brought him home to his wife; others that he was at Billing Bear, his seat in Berkshire, where he had shot but not killed himself.

1725-6, February 23.—Without doubt Nottingham and Finch called on you for no other reason but themselves to acquaint you that you were chosen a trustee, and to pay their compliments to you upon it, and by that to show you what share they had in doing it. The world is much changed. The trust is to dispose annually of 700*l.* per annum in charities to poor clergymen of Lincolnshire, Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire, or Middlesex, and to poor housekeepers. Through inadvertence so many have been brought in who are Whigs, that there was danger of their having a majority, and then the charity would probably have been perverted and applied chiefly to make votes at election. If it please God that I ever come in, I believe we shall be a sure majority, and have it in our power to preserve this noble charity. Dartmouth is a trustee, and promised Lord Aylesford to be there for me. Had he come there would have been a majority for me, and though that would not have done, for there must be six at least, to choose in another trustee, yet it would have been a good reason to have insisted that the others should comply with them. But Dartmouth failed; I wish one reason was not my declining to bring in his son student. Poor Aylesford was very much out of humour upon his failing there, and his voting the day before.

My landlord Battely ought regularly to have acquainted your Lordship with your being chosen, but I took it upon me to do it.

I heartily wish you had been in the House on Thursday. If the adding of but one vote could be a reason for any one's absents, it would be a reason for every one's doing so. I cannot tell you the names, but I believe you may pretty well guess them, and I fancy you may see most if not all in the protest, though the protesting Lords were jockeyed. The King appointed to be attended with the address at two o'clock. This was much sooner than usual. The Lords who designed to enter their protest found they had not time for it, and desired the leave of the House to have the time for entering it prolonged. This was denied them, upon which, on Saturday, they protested against that denial. No bishop to be sure with the minority, and only one Whig—the Earl of Bristol. Bathurst was against dividing, but when they did divide, he went out with his old friends. I think you are all much wanting to yourselves in not improving this opportunity further. The affairs of Europe justify your father's measures so fully that his enemies are and must be always under confusion of face, when the impeachments are thrown in their faces. It is a pity but that it was done more, and that the articles were reprinted one by one, in some public paper.

Harry is not, that I can learn, certainly heard of yet. It is generally thought that he is in Holland. He is a deplorable instance of what a man may come to by a total neglect of his affairs. I hear of no expensive vice that he had; he lived perfectly well with his wife; he had coming in 9,700*l.* per annum, in as good rents as in England. His northern estate was left charged with 40,000*l.*—the money given for Grey's pardon—which with moderate care might easily have been cleared. But he never could be brought ever to take an account of his condition. His friends pressed him much, as encumbrances grew greater, and offered to clear him if he would confine himself to an allowance they proposed. He never would hear of it, but went on in a thoughtless, expensive way. The interest upon the 40,000*l.* amounted to 12,000*l.* Upon this the mortgagee foreclosed him and entered on his estate. This is said to have driven him to that he now does, and now all other creditors crowd in.

I am told, and it comes from Court, that we had not a true account of Stanhope's letter. That he wrote that the Queen was in council when Port Mahon and Gibraltar were demanded; that the King of Spain was silent, but the Queen made the demand in an imperious tone. That when Stanhope answered that it was not in the King's power, that they could not be delivered without the consent of Parliament, she replied, why does he not call his Parliament and get their consent? Tell him if he does not the King of Spain will take measures to force them from him. But I hear to-day a piece of news of more moment, if it hold true, that Count Palmes, the new Resident from the Emperor, came on Friday to Lord Townshend, and told him the Emperor desired of all things to live in amity with England. That he

owned he owed as much as Prince could do to that nation, but that the Elector of Hanover had behaved so in the Empire that his conduct must be resented. That the Emperor had designed to have fallen first upon the German dominions, but since England by the vote the day before was made a party to the quarrel he would begin with England. That they expected such a vote from the Parliament, but that, however, they did not look on it as the sense of the people of England, which they knew to be otherwise, but only of a party in Parliament. It is rumoured that Wager is to go immediately and sink hulks in the port of Ostend to ruin it. The Dutch will come in if we will agree to demolish that harbour; they would have had it done at the treaty of Utrecht, but your father refused it. The consequence of it would be that all our trade with Flanders must be through Holland, by which we should lose, and the Dutch gain, 500,000*l. per annum.*

The last masquerade was fruitful of quarrels. Young Webb had quarrelled at the "Coco [Tree]" with Oglethorp, and struck him with his cane; they say that quarrel was made up. Webb went afterwards drunk to the masquerade and met a German who had a mask with a great nose. He asked him what he did with such a nose, pulled off his mask, and gave him a slap of the face. He was carried off by six grenadiers. They say merrily that Webb has begun the war. Sir John Hobart and Sir G. Oxenden quarrelled after the masquerade was over at the King's Arms in the Pallmall. Reports are more to the advantage of Hobart's gallantry than of Oxenden's. Some say Hobart, when locked up in his house, got out of a window to meet Oxenden, but Oxenden had taken care to have himself put under arrest. Others say Hobart is under arrest, and that Oxenden absconds. His Majesty is said to have stayed at the masquerade till five in the morning, and had a hot supper for him in one of the little rooms.

Postscript. Sir Robert Rich said yesterday in the House of Commons that Harry Grey was certainly dead, though the family would not yet own it.

1725-6, February 26.—An express came yesterday from Spain. I cannot learn particulars, but in general it is said to bring fresh assurances of war. Portmore is ordered immediately for Gibraltar as well as Carpenter for Port Mahon. All officers belonging to regiments in either of them are to be gone under pain of being immediately cashiered. Six ships are ordered to be sheathed immediately. It is supposed they are to go to the West Indies. It is confidently said twelve more ships are to be put in commission. Great is the difficulty of manning the fleet, the volunteers are few. A battalion of the guards is to go on board the fleet, and it is said six regiments are ordered from Ireland for the Straits. Sir Robert, as some say, has changed his note and begins to own that we are likely to have a war. The new lottery goes on heavily, it is thought great part will be drawn for the benefit of the Government.

His Majesty had a hot supper provided for him in one of the private rooms of the opera. Fabrice and three ladies supped with

him. He said, as he went to supper, that he had only one diversion, and it was hard that people's incivilities would not allow him to enjoy. He stayed however till betwixt four and five, though he still meets with new rebukes. One came in like a drawer, and cried as he went along, "Coming, coming, sir, we are very full to-night." "Is there no room empty," a shrill voice, supposed to be a boy's, cried out amongst the crowd: "None but the King's Head."

Here is a story among the Jacobites that Madam Sobieski received the sacrament on Christmas day, and was waited on afterwards by twenty-two cardinals to whom she declared upon the sacrament that she had received that she had not left her husband upon the instigation of any person whatever, but upon weighty reasons which she did not think fit to own. When this news was brought to the Chevalier's Court, Madam Hay, as it was said, ordered things to be prepared in the Chevalier's Protestant chapel, and sent for Berkely, the chaplain, and desired him to give her the sacrament. It seems she had some declaration to make. Berkely refused it and said she who had occasioned the parting of man and wife was not in a fit disposition to receive it. She in great wrath sent for Cooper, the other Protestant chaplain. He said he was of his brother Berkely's mind, and that if he could prevail, she should not have the sacrament till she had done penance through Rome in a white sheet, with a taper in her hand.

1725-6, March 1.—The last mail brought orders from Holland to sell much stock, but though there were many sellers, there were no buyers. That helped to sink the stock. But another thing contributed more, the report is very hot again that France is leaving us. Sir Robert does what he can to bear down this report, but it is now common amongst men of the best fashion at this end of the town, and it was even said yesterday that Lord Townshend was to go to France to try if he could prevent it.

1725-6, March 5.—The suspension of news continues, till we hear how our late addresses are relished abroad. The talk of France abates, but no one doubts but there are some intrigues going on to draw France off, though we cannot yet guess what the issues of them will be. Lord Townshend said on Monday last that the Court of Vienna talks now in a more pacific strain.

The reason now appears of Lewis of Hampshire leaving his friends in the late debate. He is to be a peer, and the honour to be entailed on his daughter and her issue, and she is to be married to Sir Robert's second son.

I am told that among papers given in at the end of the last session there are some which can be proved false and which would sit heavy upon Sir Robert. It is he himself would give some thousand pounds to have them out. To prevent their being used against him it was violently carried in a late debate not to make use of any papers given in a former session, after the prorogation of that session. Pulteney is said to have had a meeting with some Tories, to know if they would assist him in

prosecuting those papers. They are said to have been very cool upon the matter, and in effect to have declined it. I shall not be surprised if this be true.

I am not used to invite your Lordship to buy pretty knick-knacks, but one has been showed me lately which I cannot help mentioning to you. It is a picture of Archbishop Sheldon drawn by Cooper in miniature. As far as I can judge it is a very extraordinary one. It was a family piece, and is to be sold by one who married a grand daughter of Sir Joseph Sheldon, one English, house-keeper at Hampton Court. He was sent to me with it by the Vice-Chamberlain to desire I would show it to your Lordship. I know not what he asks for it. He says he will let no one else see it till your Lordship has had the refusal, and I have promised him to show it to your Lordship, if you come to town before I leave it. I should be glad only to know whether you think you shall be in town by the 30th of this month. I stay till that day only, because I am promised that on that day I shall receive the money for the Angel Inn, which I have agreed to part with. I shall certainly, God willing, go for Oxford on the 1st or 3rd of April. If your Lordship has no thoughts of being in town before, I must write somewhat to you which I would speak if I could see you.

1725-6, March 8.—I am told that Lord Trevor is Privy Seal in the room of the Duke of Kingston.

There will be a smart debate to-morrow about the bill to enable the Treasury to compound with Hambden. It is a scandalous bill indeed.

Some say the French army is preparing to march across the Rhine into Germany if there should be occasion. The Spanish Ambassador here says we must wait to see who strikes the first blow. I find some who in common discourse pretend to expect no war are of another opinion with their friends in private, but all yet is uncertain as to that point.

1725-6, March 10.—I had not the picture on my hands when I received your Lordship's last night, but I immediately sent for it, and it was brought to me this morning. The lowest price will be twenty-five guineas, no unreasonable price as far as I can judge of these things, but that I confess is but very little. It is perfectly well preserved, both picture and case.

Public affairs are in the state still they were when I wrote last. The wise think we must have war at last, some sooner, some later. The talk still continues about negotiations between the Emperor and France. It is now said the Duke of Bourbon is to marry the young dowager of Spain. That indeed would reconcile him at once to both his sworn enemies, Spain and Orleans, and in that case no doubt he goes entirely into them. It is said the Emperor has ordered 20,000 horse and as many foot to be ready to march.

Lady Ferrars' cause is referred to King, Harcourt, Trevor. After so many years' law and expense it is like to be compounded upon the terms that were offered before the suit began. What a blessing law is!

Bolingbroke after much teasing has his final answer, that he must expect nothing, for this session at least, and I believe I have good authority to add for no other. He seems to be playing a desperate game. He is struck on, as I am told, with Poultney against Walpole. This comes from his own friends. He takes to drinking again, is very expensive, and has but one comfort in view, that his French lady is said to be in an ill state of health.

The lottery does not fill, the time has been prolonged, and the terms too they say must be bettered. Four per cent. for blanks is talked of.

There are letters which say the Imperial Court change their language, that Prince Eugene advises the granting the investiture of Bremen and Verdun; that the Turks are going to fall on the Muscovite conquests in Persia, and are marching a great body towards Hungary. Were this much credited I suppose stocks would rise and the lottery fill.

1725-6, March 16.—I left off my bark by my doctor's order on Monday and entered upon Spaa water and steel, but this morning I found somewhat that looked like a slight return of my ague. I shall stay long enough in town to get rid of it, I hope, and Oxford will be altogether as good an air as London if I should have any return. I propose not to go into the country till the beginning of May, not then unless I find myself hale and free from all symptoms of my distemper, but go I must at the time I propose. I shall have stayed longer by a fortnight than I proposed. I shall have come to my utmost tether. Neither my pocket or business will allow me to be here longer. I must go, and by good husbandry prepare for next winter. But I am afraid I shall not see your Lordship whilst I stay here. I thought the letter Mr. Vaughan brought would have told me when to expect you. By your silence I suppose those thoughts are over. Be pleased only to let me know so much that I may write what I would say if I saw you.

I have sent the picture by Ned Vaughan, I wish you may think it worth your money. I am no judge either of the performance of the painter or the value of his work. I can only say that I believe that it is very like the little, smirking, wise man. It is very like all the best pictures which any of the family have of him. I shall be glad to hear your Lordship's thoughts of it.

An express came in on Saturday morning from Vienna; the report was hot on Saturday and Sunday that the Emperor was dead, but surely without any just ground. Possibly the express might bring word that he was ill or worse than he had been. Here would be joy on such accident. But perhaps without much reason, as he would die without issue male, without marrying any of his daughters, or so much as giving the investiture to any of them of his hereditary dominions—which, however, if it had been given, would be questioned by the next Emperor—there would be a scramble for his dominions, and wars about a successor. All Germany would be immediately in a ferment, and another consequence, in all probability, would be a reunion of France and Spain. The last would attempt to seize all they had

given up in Italy, and France would invade Flanders and we and Holland be left alone to oppose them. But I believe we shall have no ground to improve these speculations, and we shall not know what to guess as to any other affairs, till we hear how our late votes are relished at Vienna and Madrid.

Swift is coming to town. [Erasmus] Lewis has had a letter from him dated from Chester. He designed in his way up to stay two or three days at Oxford.

No other news of any kind. Hampden's petition and Wycombe election, both very scandalous, are the only subject of talk. I know not what is done on the first. I believe what Sir Robert hinted, but would not propose, will be followed, to take half the estate to the public, and to settle the remainder upon his wife and brother.

Postscript. Bolingbroke is certainly in the state Hinton thought him. He is going, if not gone, into the country for constant residence, and to come to town only as occasion requires in the summer. His credit runs as low with all others as it does with the ministry. I never met with that book Hinton saw in his closet. I hear [he] begins to doubt of the success of his lawsuit with Packer; he owns it has broke his measures. He depended he says on the money from that sale for the new buildings that he designs. I hear not how he is with Trevor, but I dare say nothing farther than civility betwixt them. Some think Simon expected the seal.

1725-6, March 19.—An express came in from Madrid on Wednesday morning which was sent to demand some categoricals. It went and returned in a month's time. The contents of the answer are not communicated. Some who belong to the great ones say Ripperda is unintelligible, and gives obscure answers, which they know not what to make of. The city suspect the worst, because it is concealed, and stocks have fallen upon it. The express was sent back on Thursday morning; the great ones say it will return with peace, but the city does not yet give credit to them. Hosier's squadron, that was to go to the West Indies, is said to be stopped till the return of this express. If it goes at last, they say it is to go directly to Portobello or Carthagena to attack the galleons there.

The votes tell you of the scandalous things going on in the House of Commons. Your cousin Hampden's bill goes on heavily, but Walpole says the House must do somewhat in it, and give directions in it. They will not, he says, take it on themselves. Hampden acts like a madman; he has been ranting in the Treasury, and says they shall not make him retrench his way of living.

1725-6, March 23.—I am sorry I shall not see you before I leave this place. When I am at Shefford you may be sure I shall not think of stirring till next winter at least, if then. If your Lordship's business will not allow you, who have a coach and six every minute at your command, to come from Down Hall to town, much less will my business allow me, who have only two

poor horses, to neglect both my harvest and to come above three-score miles from Shefford to Wimpole. Had I seen you I had somewhat to have said that I shall not upon any consideration whatever trust to paper. That which I wanted to write, if I did not see you, is to beg your charity. I have not been troublesome to you or my Lady on that head; I have always forborne you, whilst the poor late Bishop of Chester and others were picking your pocket for good purposes. But I now want a few guineas, beyond what I can afford myself, to help some poor lads with necessaries, bare necessaries, to go on with their studies. I know not a better charity in the world, or one more extensive in the fruit of it. I am taxing your uncle, and I beg you would send your orders to Mr. Thomas, to give me what you find yourself disposed to in your own heart, for so good a purpose.

I have sent you the Bishop of Bath and Wells' letter, there is nothing in it about Arbuthnot's book more than compliment. But though I send this letter to you, I do not give it to you, and I desire you would return it to me before I leave the town. My journey is fixed, God willing, for Monday se'nnight.

It is said the express that is sent back to Spain is to demand once more a direct answer; and in case it is not given to declare that their not giving it shall be looked on as an act of hostility. One of Hosier's squadron is already sailed, with orders to the ships in the West Indies to meet him at an appointed station. He is to sail with four others within seven days, and one stays behind to carry the last orders to him upon the return of the express from Spain. We are here in no little ferment upon the expectation of a message from the King to both Houses. It is said the purport will be to desire a vote of credit to enable him to raise what money and men he pleases, particularly to pay 12,000 Hessians, and besides to lay before the Houses the usage his ministers have met with abroad. This is not likely to raise stocks. Sir Robert is said to be against it, and to be much out of humour. His own creatures in spite of all he can say sell out stock every day. The lottery is in such discredit that many think it will be dropped. But that which is likely to give the finishing blow to Sir Robert's project of raising all the money at three per cent., is the necessity the East India and South Sea Companies are likely to be under to raise the interest of their bonds. Their bonds are brought in so fast upon them that it is thought they can have no way to stop demands but by raising the interest to five per cent., and they have it now under consideration.

1726, March 26.—I am very glad I shall be so happy as to have an opportunity of paying my duty to my Lady before I leave this town. I shall keep the secret of her jaunt. I will call on Tuesday evening to know how she does after her journey, though she should be too much tired to allow me to see her. As for her stomach, you must not I am afraid hope for any alteration in that by any thing but by Bath water.

I must beg to have the Bishop's letter again. I cannot well [part] with such a proof of his friendship to me, and perhaps the

last too of this nature that I may receive. Nor can I think that I can justify it in good manners to part with any such paper written in freedom to me without the knowledge of the person who wrote it, nor can the letter be any use at all to your Lordship.

I dined yesterday with Sir Humphry, where you may be sure you were remembered. His son and daughter Berkshire will be in town next week. Sir Humphry left the House in the midst of the debate yesterday. He said there had been some fine smart strokes upon his governor before he came away, and he supposed there would be. I can give you no particulars of the debate; I can only tell you the result was no more than was expected, carried for the question by 270 odd against 80 odd.

It is said the Spaniard has declared that he will look upon our sending of a squadron to the West Indies as a declaration of war, and that the moment he has notice that it is sailed, he will seize all our effects in the West Indies and Spain.

1726, March 30.—I take the liberty you are pleased to allow me of suggesting to you that you have erred, but you have erred as we say on the right side, not by defect, but excess. Your generosity is greater by much than I could have desired or hoped for. I should have thought my petition for a few guineas fully and nobly answered by ten, but I hope your Lordship will find the effect of the prayers of the poor souls that will be refreshed and comforted by your munificence. I should be prouder of being almoner to your Lordship than to any crowned head. But your Lordship can have no occasion for such an officer, but in the subservient part of distributing your bounty. You have a head to direct as well as a heart to bestow your bounty, and I perceive that if I ever have the honour of being called in to give my poor thoughts I shall have more occasion to restrain than to excite your bounty.

I have left with Mr. Thomas some of the things I would have said, if I had seen you. One I should never have mentioned if the person concerned had not returned to England, as I thought he never would when I heard it. You will perceive it is from indubitable authority, and though I do not think it proper by any means to stop correspondence or to check any common observation of civilities, yet it is a ground to you for caution and to be on your guard, and in that view only I thought myself indispensably obliged to impart it to you. There is somewhat more of greater importance that I should have said, but I own I do not give credit to it myself. However, I do not think it is utterly to be despised, but is ground enough for your Lordship and any one else to put their private affairs upon as sure a foot as they can and as soon too as they can. I have nothing to add of public news to my last, only I am told this morning, by one who has reason to know but did not believe it before, that there has been remitted by Spain to Vienna, to the value of 800,000*l.*, a million of pistols. The ministry give out otherwise, but this gentleman, though heartily in their interest, tells me they are not to be regarded on that point. This is the surest argument I know that

there will be war, and it is an argument that I think cannot be answered.

Your Lordship's great condescension and goodness, for I will not call the expressions compliments, are too great for me to receive, and therefore I shall not pretend to answer them. But if your Lordship can prevail on yourself to take such a romantic journey as to surprise me at Little Shefford, I believe you are persuaded that the joy I should have would be equal to the honour done to me, and though you would meet with nothing fit to entertain you, yet possibly there might be somewhat in the newness and oddness of the scene that would divert you.

1726, April 6. [Oxford.]—I bless God I have had a safe and pleasant journey. Dr. Freind came with me hither, and went on this morning for Witney.

I had the honour to spend several hours with my Lady on Friday last. I can see no cause to alter my opinion, that she must not expect a stomach or strength till she has been again at Bath.

I find Lord Dartmouth has entered his son a commoner; he is to be brought in student by the Dean, I suppose, because the Dean has put him to one of his own creatures. I suppose this is by Townshend's recommendation.

This poor place is in a wretched condition as to all parts of its government. It is a peculiar providence of God that there are so many of all sorts who do still extremely well in it, under so total a want of discipline and encouragement, but I shall not trouble your Lordship with any of our ridiculous stories.

1726, April 28.—I saw your cousin ["Abel" Foley] once before I left the town. I must do him the justice to say that I neither observed or heard any thing but what is to his credit. I never saw any gentleman return from so long travels with so little affectation or foreign airs. He is returned as plain an Englishman as he went out. [His] father designs to have him to wait on his Majesty, and Lord Townshend is to introduce him. This was mentioned to me to see how I liked it. I only said I hope he did not design his son should frequent that place, and turn a courtier. I thought there were many reasons against that, and I believed too it would be less expensive to him to continue a country gentleman. Now their thoughts are upon settling him in marriage; that is certainly right. I was told I should be consulted upon it, with a compliment, because I was a particular friend. I said my mind was known, and I had but little time then at my command. However I appointed an hour, but the father was hindered from coming at the time he appointed, and I could not wait for him, so I can tell you no more of that.

All Souls and the University itself have truly had a great loss by the death of the late Warden [Gardiner]. It is said Bertie and Levett are likely to be returned to the Archbishop. We have had extraordinary diversion in the canvass for a *Custos Archicorum*. My good neighbour has in it, if possible, outdone himself, and

exposed himself so far as to have doubted whether he is laughed at more abroad or at home. But I must refer your Lordship to Tim for particulars, which I know he will have from his correspondents here.

I beg my most sincere respects to Dr. Colbatch, he is a worthy, considerable man. I know not Dr. Middleton, but by that I have heard of him and seen of his writings he deserves the opinion very justly your Lordship has of him.

I think I wrote to your Lordship from town how brutally Bentley speaks of our friend the late Bishop of Chester, though he always lived well with him; and with no other view but to make his court to [the Bishop of] London.

1726, May 2.—Yesterday Wilkins, the Prussian, was with me to desire the use of my *Marmora Oxoniensia*, with Dr. Mills' corrections. He told me he had been promised by Mr. Wanley the use of Lord Oxford's. I told him if he was to have the use of Lord Oxford's he did not want mine; that Lord Oxford's had been transcribed from mine. He said he understood by Mr. He[a]rne that your Lordship's was one that belonged to Togree [Dr. Thomas] Smith. I said your Lordship might, for aught I knew, have that which had belonged to Togree Smith, but I was sure you had a book that had been corrected by mine. In short I positively refused him the use of mine. This man had been recommended by poor Dr. Grabe to me and others and subsisted I believe by the friends Grabe procured him some years. When his great benefactor, Grabe, was dead, he gave out that he died a Papist and of the pox. He was a spy here whilst he was living in a great measure on the kindness of the place, and gave constant accounts to Wilcox—as it was said—of every thing he could pick up, and no doubt added some inventions of his own. Charlett had been very kind to him, lent him the use of his study freely, and would leave him there often many times alone. He once, in Charlett's absence, rummaged amongst a great many of the boys' exercises which poor Charlett had thrown aside, and met with one in where there was honourable mention of the late Duke of Ormond. He pockets this, and sends it up to his correspondent. Poor Charlett, who I dare swear had never read it, had like to have been brought into a plot. This man afterwards was recommended by some German to the Archbishop, he was taken in first at Lambeth as librarian, afterwards made chaplain, and has gotten a 1,000*l.* per annum in spiritual preferments. He married lately a daughter of Lord Fairfax, a natural, that lived at Canterbury with one to feed her and nurse her, for the sake of 4,000*l.* which she had. He has heard of my book, and says he cannot learn what is become of Dr. Mills' own book, and would have my book that he may publish it and get a penny. I am sure there can be no edition worth a groat without my book or your Lordship's, if Dr. Mills' own book is not to be met with. I would freely lend mine to any worthy, good man to make his best of it, but I think such a one should have the advantage rather than this gentleman.

1726, May 4. Little Shefford.—I wrote to your Lordship the day I left Oxford, about a modest request of Wilkins to me. I believe I then forgot one circumstance relating to him that is pretty material. When the Archbishop took him into his family, he sent him to Oxford to get a master's degree. His character was so well known that great opposition was made to it. The Archbishop employed all hands to get it passed, amongst other our then governor, poor Dr. Smalridge, but all would not do, he was refused. Great was the resentment at Lambeth about it, and I am apt to think it was no little motive since to all the violent proceedings in Peplo's case.

1726, May 17.—Surely that needs no excuse which merits so much thanks, as your long letter does. Wilkins could but have one end in enquiring after your parsonages, viz. to see if any of them were good enough for his worship, and then to cast about how to get it of you. But the gentleman is now full of greater dainties. Such a man, a foreigner, has 1,000*l.* per annum in preferments, whilst so many worthy men of our own country are starving.

I am so fully possessed of Dr. Middleton's character in all respects that I extremely long to be known to him. I am not certain from your Lordship's letter whether he has been at Oxford or designs to be there. If he has not been there, if I knew the time when he designed to be, if very extraordinary business did not hinder, I would certainly go over thither. I have not yet seen Hare's book. I have had an account of it from Bob, and I expect it every day with great impatience from Oxford. It will be a controversy very fruitful of diversion to the *Literati*.

I very well know the melancholy subject that employed you and John, you may lament it, but I know not how you will remedy it. The difficulties will grow upon you every day. I know but one thing certain, that your Lordship must in a great measure keep the mother and all her "bearn's" into the bargain. It is a strong argument with many others to oblige you to look sharply into your own affairs. Be as private as you will in your relief of them, the gentleman [Kinnoull] will trust that you will not see them starve, and impart his own money, when he has any, to them accordingly. Nay I know not whether if he were sure that you would let them starve, that he would not do so too.

I have seen Newton's book ["University Education?"]; I had one presented to me. I have the same opinion of it your Lordship has—*vir justus probus innocens timeris*. He is one full instance, of many, that a man of good intention and warm zeal, with but half wit, is less capable of being advised or dealt with than the rankest knave alive. The man in the main meant well. He warped indeed pretty much in the case of University College, in doing ill to bring about a good end as he thought; but it is come home to him. And this book has made his character as well known to the rest of the world as it was to this acquaintance.

I will not answer that young Abel may not be more disposed to Court than the old one could wish. If he is, and madam likes it, the fate of that family is seen. I was told indeed by two

gentlemen, your Lordship's friends, that he was turned Whig when he was abroad. They pretended to be sure of their intelligence from more than one that had been with him there. I was much concerned at it, and enquired seriously of the old man that was with him if there were any ground for the report. He assured me there was not more than once with great solemnity. He said the only occasion that could possibly be for it was that young Abel had, by his advice, conversed with great caution, and avoided entering into foolish conversation when begun by others, perhaps to entrap him. That was certainly right, but as the young gentleman has great reserve for his age, he may have deceived the old man. Should it prove so, I believe the foundation was laid for it where it is little suspected.

1726, May 18.—I have read Hare with wonderful pleasure. He has not spared his old friend, and I think he has exposed him for his ignorance as well as for his plagiarism. If B[entley] were not the most impudent man alive he could not show his face, but we shall have more sport. B[entley] must at Hare again, or the world will suppose he owns himself laid on his back. By the advertisement at the end of the epistle, and by somewhat dropped in the epistle, it should seem as though some other person were writing against B[entley]. The more upon his bones the better. Hare's compliments to Dr. Middleton are very handsome and justly due to him. But I could not but observe that when he mentions those who wrote about Phalaris the malignity of his temper prevailed on him. He owns they had discovered two notorious instances of B[entley's] theft, but not a civil word to them, though he is free of his compliments to all others who have written against B[entley]. But this is agreeable to the inveterate spite he had always to us, though without any cause ever given for it by us to him. When he was asked once abroad, by a friend of ours, if he knew the gentlemen of Christ Church, he answered insolently, "Neither do nor desire to know any of them."

Postscript. The gentlemen of Oriel have carried their cause against the Provost in every point. The jury found for them without going from the bar. This is much for the Bishop of Chester's credit, who alone supported and directed them. This too I take to be a sure precedent for University College. Though Oriel cause was clear yet much more may be said for University. And indeed the plea of my brother doctors is so ridiculous that Comyns, who you know is cautious enough, is now grown very sanguine and advises Cockman not to try the cause, but to demur to the plea, which counsel never advise but when they judge themselves sure, because there is no after game to be played if the demurrer should not be good.

1726, May 28.—I go on Tuesday to Oxford to be ready to receive Mr. Mattaire on Wednesday, who is coming to show his spouse Oxford. I suppose they will be with me till Saturday or Monday.

1726, June 6.—My guests are here and make a much longer visit than I expected, I must not say desired. I thought

they would have left me this morning, but I believe they design not to quit their quarters this week, nor perhaps then if my affairs would allow me to stay here longer. I could do well enough with my old schoolfellow, but his wife and daughter-in-law, I must confess, are too polite for me; they should have a landlord that has a better taste of such conversation. By their being here I shall have the happiness of being here when Lord Kinnoull comes. Murray had letters yesterday that his Lordship will be here with Lord Dupplin to-morrow. I know not whether he will visit me, if he does I shall return it. But as for four years past he has not been pleased to take any notice of me, I think it would be ill manners of me, who am so much inferior in rank to him, to presume to offer other civilities to him than I have had the honour to receive from him.

1726, June 12.—Lord Kinnoull came hither on Tuesday. I met him on Wednesday morning at the deanery. Upon that, when he left the Dean, he called in on me. I waited on him at his inn on Thursday and stayed near two hours with him; I have not seen him since. I took care to turn the conversation upon his father[-in-law] Oxford, and the condition in which we were when he left the ministry and that we were in at present. I gave his son the best advice I could before him, and told Lord Dupplin that the greatest service we could do him would be to prepare him to resist the influence of the ill examples he would see in the world when he left us. The father agreed with me entirely both in morality and politics, and talked like a Tory. I perceived by old Grey, who came with him, that he expected an entertainment from me. He told Grey they should meet with no good wine at Oxford, but at my house. That was obliging, but I told Grey that as his Lordship had not been pleased for four years past to take any notice of me, I, who was so much his inferior, durst not presume to offer greater civilities to his Lordship than I received from him. Grey assured me he would tell it him home, and I daresay he will.

I am desired to recommend to your Lordship's goodness the case of one Mr. Latin, in an affair in which your Lordship is concerned with my Lord Thanet and my Lord Morpeth, as you have the care of the Duchess of Albemarle. It is for a debt due from the Duchess to one to whom Mr. Latin was lately executor. Dr. Henchman, at whose desire I mention this to your Lordship, does not say the debt is strictly legal, but highly equitable. But your Lordship will best judge of that when the case is laid before you. I shall only add that your Lordship may have Mr. Latin's character from G. Clarke. Mr. Latin's fortune was ruined chiefly by his honourable behaviour to his master the Duke of Albemarle, with whom he went to Jamaica. Upon his return to England he could not take the oaths. He has subsisted chiefly by the kindness of G. Clarke, the late Primate Lindsay [of Armagh], and others who were bred with him here, when he was of Wadham College. I do not believe there ever was a man of a fairer character and more universally beloved and esteemed by all that

knew him, nor that has met with harder fortune in the world. He is now seventy, and in great want. If there is room for kindness in the case in which he applies to you, your Lordship cannot meet with a more [deserving?] object of it.

Poor Harry Watkins is here, but much out of order, and I know not when he will be able to proceed on his journey to London. He is skin and bone, under a visible decay, how far his doctor may retard his end I know not, I am pretty sure he can never restore him.

1726, June 13.—Mr. Mattaire brings up my *Marmora* with him to town. I think he is determined to undertake a new edition of it. He is peculiarly qualified for the only thing that is wanting to make a complete edition, the knowledge of the dialects. And by the help of my book and Togree Smith's, which I know he may have from your Lordship, he will not only make a very fine edition, but the poor man too, if he manages right, will put a good penny in his own pocket. I hear my friend Wilkins is in a great rage and threatened before he left this place, and does so too in town, what he will do to me.

You will have heard of the sad accident which happened to Alsop last Friday night or Saturday morning. By the accounts we yet have of the condition he was in that occasioned the accident makes it still more deplorable.

Postscript. I saw in a letter from Dr. Hale that Wanley is going to be married. Dr. Hale did what he could to dissuade him on account of his condition, Wanley answered that he was betrothed and would marry. Hale told him, I believe, as freely as he writes, that his condition is so very bad that he cannot last long. It is pity the man cannot be hindered from such egregious folly, that his poor relations may have what he has. No woman can think of marrying one in his condition but to strip him.

1726, June 20.—I long to see Dr. Middleton's book[] No doubt the world will divide into parties upon it. I take his to be a branch of the war against Bentley, and Mead has drawn it upon himself by his unreasonable espousing of Bentley; but some of the *Literati* said when Mead's speech first came out, that all about in honour of physicians was a mistake.

I am afraid poor little Dupplin is too well acquainted with the state of domestic affairs and has too deep a sense of them. I sent for him before I returned hither, and as I was talking to him with the utmost kindness I could, he burst into tears. I asked him if anything troubled him; I told him I would not press him to know it, but if he thought fit to acquaint me with it he might be assured of the most faithful advice I could give him. He said nothing, but as I went on saying what I thought proper to him, he burst into tears twice over.

1726, June 23.—The Premier and his brother of All Souls called on me last week in their way to young Bromley's. They came from your cousin Popham's at Littlecote. They said Mr. Popham had showed them your uncle's book which he had

given to Mr. Popham when he called on him in his late Bath journey. But Mr. Popham must have strangely mistaken your uncle, for he showed this book to the Gwyns, and I suppose he does so to every one else, as your father's composure. I set the young gentlemen right, as I shall do any others that I meet with under such misinformation. Mr. Popham talked too of somewhat of your father's relating to the peace in his ministry, that was shortly to be published. I did presume to say, that as far as I knew anything relating to the family, I never heard of such a writing, nor did I believe any such was to be published.

1726, June 27.—Poor Dupplin's case is worthy certainly of the utmost compassion, but nothing more can be done than what your Lordship mentions, by all kindness possible to him to encourage him to apply hard to his studies.

Things look as though we should be the aggressors. If the old renegade is gone, I suppose the war will break out this year. As they please, Little Shefford will be one of the last places it can come to, except by fury.

I hope Middleton's paper will come this week by Draper's wagon, that lies at the King's Arms on Holborn Bridge and comes out on Thursday.

I long to hear how the case stood about the *Marmora*. I have some obscure remembrance that I let Lord Kinnoull have my own book, which was corrected by Mills himself, and had a book which was my cousin Sheldon's on beautiful large paper, corrected by the book which Mills corrected, which I kept. In such case, Kinnoull's book will be of greatest authority, though I doubt not but my own was very exactly corrected. And I must beg your Lordship would not only desire the Dean of St. Patrick—to whom I pray my service—not only not to let any but Mattaire have his book, but that he would let Mattaire have it, that he may compare that which he has from me with it. But in this case, I am strangely mistaken if your Lordship had not a *Marmora* of your own corrected by mine.

Postscript. The miss of the family of the Abels will be no little misfortune to me. I shall only save some pounds by it. Do you hear anything more of young Abel? What ply he is like to take. Whether he frequents the Court, and is likely to strike in with them? Is any match talked of for him?

1726, July 2.—It is certainly so, and it shows what a fine fellow I am, and how fit to have books of such moment with the learned, that I could, for the sake of keeping the large paper, part with the book that had the original corrections. Not that I doubt in the least that the corrections in my own book are not very exactly copied, but still the book Swift has is of greatest authority. But if we keep our own counsel, it will probably never be known farther than it is at present where that book is; and if your Lordship and I keep the secret, and your Lordship is better at it than I am, it can never be known that mine is only the copy, and Swift's the original. For I have imparted that secret to no one but to your Lordship, but pray get Swift's book

into your hands as soon as you can, and push on Mattaire, as I shall do, to publish his advertisement. When he has given public notice, I know he will go through with it. He may do it afterwards at his leisure.

1726, July 10.—Mead and his friends too are very uneasy. They own Middleton has hit a blot, but they think that should not have been taken notice of in one of Mead's character. But that on which I perceive most stress is laid, in order to lessen the thing by bringing an imputation on Middleton himself, is that Middleton is obliged to Mead; that Mead was a friend to Middleton when he was under prosecution and got him set free sooner than he would have been otherwise. I know not what ground there is for this; I apprehended the contrary. I thought Middleton and his friends apprehended that Mead screened Bentley, and that they should have had the better of him if Mead had not prevailed on Sunderland to protect him.

Postscript. I condole with you truly for the loss of poor Wanley. He was certainly in his way the completest servant you could have had in Europe. Since he would marry, I am glad his money is gone to a poor episcopal Scotch minister's daughter.

1726, July 14.—I suppose your Lordship has seen the two volumes of Toland's posthumous Tracts. In the second volume I meet with somewhat to which I was not wholly a stranger, though I did not think it had gone quite so far. I fancy your uncle could explain somewhat there to you. I think it I own dangerous as well as improper for anyone to deal with such cattle, upon any terms or any occasions. It is plain Des Maisieux is the man who has written his Life, but though he has printed what Molyneux wrote to Locke in Toland's favour, he has not printed what Locke wrote in answer to Toland's; nor has he told of Toland's being cudgelled most severely by some of Marlborough's ruffians. I find the rogue in his letters is very free with the Dean of St. Patrick's and poor Prior, and has two or three impudent lies upon Christ Church.

1726, July 18.—I hear things go on at a wretched rate at poor Christ Church. The governor's jealousy of designs in his subjects to affront him in everything they do or say and their contempt of him increase every day. And this is the formidable man that was to convert and new model the whole society. He will I am afraid be the occasion of dividing it. They cannot be long without being divided into distinct parties of Whig and Tory, a thing hitherto unknown in that place. But I begin to pity our poor governor, the contempt of him rises to a degree higher than what he has done deserves, and the sense he has of it makes him do more foolish things every day to show his sense of it.

1726, July 23.—My lady errants have left me. What makes the stocks rise, is it the King of France's illness? Do we apprehend his death would be of any advantage to us? It would probably cause a new ferment in the world. We have had many of late

The world is in a pretty odd situation, that neither minister or Prince of any considerable power abroad can be changed but it produces all alteration of measures over all this part of the world.

1726, August 4.—I am sorry for the circumstance of poor Mr. Dennis burning his papers, he was a curious man and a scholar; I fancy there must have been somewhat valuable in them.

Have you any thoughts yet of a successor to Wanley? *Haud illum invenies parem!*

1726, August 8.—The news of Wharton's conversion is very surprising. Can anyone that reflects on the father and grandfather avoid observing the events of their family?

1726, August 17.—The young Queen may have had her *viaticum*, few of her quality die without a suspicion of somewhat of that kind. It is certain her death will be very convenient for the affairs of France at this juncture. It may too occasion a change of measures in other Courts, as well as in that of France, but the present prospect is so confused that I think nothing more can be gathered from it, but in general, that it cannot clear up without some notable alteration.

1726, August 31.—I forgot in my last to thank you for your banter about the stranger that was come to town. I did suspect after I had written to you that it was my godfather. I suppose he came now to buy a pair of stockings, the last time I remember he wanted new ruffles.

Do you know what made Swift return so soon to Ireland? I thought he had come to end his days here, at least to stay some years.

1726, September 15.—Lord Abingdon at Oxford Race was affronted by the mob, and used very freely, for having altered the race posts. In revenge he would not give the brace of bucks he had promised to be run for by freemen's horses. This has raised a mutiny, and they are ripe for a revolt against his Lordship. Her Grace of Marlborough strikes in, and begins to employ all Oxford tradesmen. It is given out, too, that she will give a plate of 50*l.* the next race, and two brace of bucks. There is like to be fine sport in Oxford. The great Berties are like to lose all their interest unless the University interpose with all their might in their behalf, and I know not, as things are, whether they will do.

1726, September 17.—I enclose according to your order the superscription that is marked again, it is not charged indeed, I paid nothing for it, but to what purpose this mark, when the observation is false too, the frank being as like to other franks as any man's hand at one time can be to itself at another time. I had another superscription so marked last week which I did not trouble you with. The frank was struck out, and it was charged nine pence, but nothing was charged for it in the postman's bill, so he asked nothing for it.*

*Annexed is cover of a letter addressed to Dr. Stratford at Lambourn, with "Frank, Oxford," in the corner, and the words "not like others," written above. Also some remarks at back in Lord Oxford's hand.

1726, October 2.—I am heartily sorry for poor Mr. Pope's misfortune. I hear Abel and Abelina with their younkers have been making a tour in Herefordshire, but the chief business was to call on Auditor Foley, and to be carried by him to wait on Lady Scudamore. It is not hard to guess the meaning of that. Should it do, it would make them great indeed in that part of the world. I have not yet stirred out, and have seen Dupplin only out of my window, but I perceive he was well.

1726, November 1.—Your cousin Robin was so kind as to spend an evening with me in his way to town. I understand by him that his father proposes to pass the winter in the country, and that Master and his lady set out for Herefordshire next Monday. I cannot think this a season proper for such a journey with a little one, and I should think a winter's rustication as little agreeable to a young lady as it is proper for Mr. Auditor himself. He will be overrun with the spleen, but I hope Master will be so kind as take Oxford in his way.

I never doubted of four shillings in the pound ; the charge this year, beyond what was given for it, certainly comes to two shillings *per* pound, so that we have already spent the two shillings that is to be paid next year, and if there should be occasion, as no doubt there will, and for more, for the same expense again, we must anticipate again, and be always in debt for half of the year's tax.

I have not yet heard from J. Drummond, but I suppose you do not wonder to hear that he is grown very fat. No hog that is put up for fattening is ever crammed more than he has been for four months past in Fatherland. My neighbour does not cram more on a Gaudy day, when he purges beforehand to prepare himself for it. But the worst is that in this condition our friend John cannot be prevailed on to lose a little blood. I am terribly afraid of a blow some time or other.

1726, November 13.—Your Lordship surprised me much. I must be as great a brute as one not far from me if I can ever forget the noble offer you have made me, and the very handsome and generous manner in which you have done it. I am no stranger to the value of the living, and I know not any neighbourhood I should choose as soon as the friends I have there. Were I fifteen years younger, or had I a wife and children, I would most thankfully accept your offer and settle and spend my days there. I think any one who has that living ought to spend most of his time there. At my age, I ought not to think of beginning a new course of life, and unless I could resolve to live most part of my time there I should neither make the return I ought to your Lordship's great goodness, nor could I give to the world a reason for accepting it that would not be unbecoming one in my age and circumstances. I cannot think it would be a grateful return in your clerk to your Lordship, or proper for my own character, to put a curate into such a place, that I might have the overplus to put into my purse, or to spend at such a

distance, when I do nothing for it. But I shall always have as warm a gratitude to your Lordship, for the goodness and generosity of your offer, as if I had accepted it.

1726, November 21.—You desire I would forgive you. I am glad you are so merry. Men commonly are so when they put the figure on their friends. This is a very notable one, to desire forgiveness for offering me a living worth 400*l. per annum*, as I now understand Presteigne is. I shall most heartily thank you to my grave for offering me the greatest means you ever had, or probably ever will have, in your power of showing your friendship to me. May I be allowed to say too that I value the offer, and in the manner too it was made as much, as it is an eminent proof of the honour and nobleness of your own temper, as for being so great an instance of your goodness to me. But though your Lordship has shown so signally that you wish well to me, yet I daresay, when you consider all circumstances, you do not in your private judgment condemn me on any account for not accepting the offer, unless it be for a foolish weakness, not much in fashion I confess, for not catching at anything that might bring in some money to me. Had your father thought fit to offer it to me, when it was in his power, I truly believe I should thankfully have accepted it, and have made my chief residence at least there. But now it is too late in the day for those things. Your Lordship has done very agreeably to your own character in disposing of it [to] your chaplain. And all who have the honour to belong to you will no doubt by that disposition be encouraged to serve you with zeal as well as fidelity, when they see their service so sure to be considered in a proper season.

1726, December 2.—I believe I know of some applications, that either have been or would have been made to you, if you had given opportunity for it. Abel, Abelina, and the Abelings were here last week. Abelina said, if she might have directed you, you should have disposed of it otherwise than you have, to a near relation, your aunt Charlton's son, a second son, who is not indeed yet fit for it, but is designed for orders, and it might have been kept for him. I immediately said if it would have been proper to dispose so of it, why should you not have given it in trust, for one of your sister's sons, who out of the many she has might well spare one for orders. *Ad quod nihil erat responsum.* I had forgot then that Abel has a living of his own worth 600*l. per annum*. I shall certainly ask him, when I see him again, whether it will not be as proper for him to reserve that as your Lordship to keep Presteigne for his sister Charlton's son. I find they are in good earnest to get if they can Miss Scudamore for Tommy, measures are taking for it. I cannot blame them, if they can compass it.

The application you mention was a very extraordinary piece of impudence indeed. It shows there are always those in the world who will not lose anything for want of asking; I remember I first suspected that man of double dealing in Prior's affair. You and Prior would not at first come into my opinion, though soon after it was evident.

1726, December 8.—There may not perhaps be much occasion for your regard to the Parliament, and yet there was one day last session when I heartily wished you had been there, and I believe when you heard of it you wished so too. If I mistake not there may be more than one of those days next session. And I fancy your honour will prompt you, not only to attend then, but to speak too, and to put out all your sails. I dare engage you will have wind and tide both of your side. But why a special summons, as the news say, to all the peers to attend next session, if the session, as is reported, is to be opened with the attainder of three peers? Poor Lansdowne! I fancy you will feel in yourself some compassion for him.

I had almost forgot to give your Lordship joy of the promotion of Mr. Verney. But it looks as though there was some rub in the way. I hope he has not managed like some indiscreet ladies to have scandals without joy.

I think Master told me that at your Lordship's recommendation young Wigan was to have the case of Lord Poulett's son, and to have an 100*l. per annum* settled on him for life. Is it so?

Do you know that, by Sir Robert's interest, the King allows somewhat for the maintenance of Dup[plin] here?

1726, December 20.—I am not a little proud that I happened to jump with your Lordship in the same opinion of the influence a late match might probably have on poor L[ansdowne]'s affairs. Bob writes to me last post, that is said, that upon this match his affairs are made up and that he is to come home. But what will he do here? What figure will he make? How will he live? It is a strange match. See the fate of great families. Your relation's asking your opinion about accepting the offer made to him is just like men's asking their friend's opinion about matrimony. They are always determined before they come so far and only want a friend's authority to excuse themselves to the world for that which they know well enough they shall be blamed for. I have been so lucky, as well as your Lordship, to observe that this was working last winter, and I designed to have let your Lordship have known my observations had I seen you before I left the town. But I am much pleased for more reasons than one that he wrote to your Lordship, and had the answer you mention. You are certainly right in the person that has been employed in this affair. I wish our friend John were rid of such an acquaintance, he gets nothing but scandal in return for much claret which that spunging fellow soaks there.

Newton's statutes though printed are not sold, but given only to particular friends. He and I have not visited since the University affair, but I will try to get your Lordship a copy, and hope I shall do it.

I have read Gulliver, and I may own to you, with pleasure too. I durst not own so much to long Thomas when he was here. His lady was wonderfully delighted with them, but grave Thomas was in such wrath against them that I durst not come in to the lady's aid, and own that I had read them. But I

referred her to Mattaire, who I told her would help to support her in that point against her lord.

Your Lordship has been extraordinary good in recommending young Wigan, but I am amazed that he should not embrace the offer. He has indeed some fortune of his own, but not such as not to need what this offer would have brought him. But never surely was there an age in which men made such estimates of their own merit, and expected to jump, at their first appearance, into such a fortune as their forefathers would have been glad to arrive at after threescore.

The Rabbit affair is as great a monster in its kind as any, even this age, has produced. I suppose you have seen the ballad on it; it has given, I hear, an occasion to the ladies of our age to show their modesty, in talking modestly upon it such things as their mothers would have thought an affront to them to have had any spoke in their presence.

If our friend B. has lost his lady, I am sure he will be heartily out of humour; never wife will be lamented more. I think her great fortune is to go to Greenwich Hospital.

Wharton, North and Grey, and L[ansdowne] were said to be the three to be attainted. It is now said Mar will go to pot for L[ansdowne]; but Mar is attainted already.

1726-7, February 22.—My chine and fowls and black puddings are come. When will you send in your pie with a hard name? Let it be any day except to-morrow. What think you of Friday? My fowls wont keep beyond Saturday. Settle it with Mr. Thomas, and let me know by him.

1727, April 20.—On Tuesday night I was much surprised with a visit from my old acquaintance the Dean of St. Patrick's; he sat chattering with me till twelve o'clock. He dined with me yesterday; Dup[plin] and young Arbuthnot were his company. He went in the evening for Tidsworth. He is as little altered, I think, of any man I ever saw, in so many years' time.

1727, April 23.—Should I have the honour to see your Lordship at my poor hut, I should accost everybody that came into it after you, with Evander's compliment in the Eighth Ænead to Æneas:

Limina quondam

Mortimer haec subiit; haec illum regia cepit.

Aude hospes contemnere opes, et te quoque dignum

Finge Deo, rebusque veni non asper egenis.

You know the fate you have to expect, but you will meet with a welcome that if I mistake you not will supply to one of your disposition all defects.

1727, April 26.—It is my misfortune to be always the first to find out rogues, but not to be believed till it is too late to prevent the mischief they do. I was the first who found out Atterbury, but no one then would hearken to me. I was the first that foretold what Carteret would be, but they who were sufficiently convinced

after by their own experience thought me at that time at the very best a rash fellow. I must be so vain as to put you in mind that I first told your Lordship and poor Prior, by somewhat I observed in Newcome's acting, that he was then betraying Prior. You neither of you then were of my mind, though I own you were a few weeks after. The fellow is a "thorougher," and is every way qualified to be at the top of the ladder, and he may be there in time.

1727, May Day.—I am glad you called on [Jekyll, Master of the] Rolls. He was brother-in-law and owed his fortune to your father's most implacable enemy, old Summers (Somers); and he himself was a Whig virulent enough in all conscience. Yet he acted the fairest part to your father of any of that set of men; not out of the least personal regard, I believe, to your father—I believe he had as little of that as any of them—but out of regard only to the justice of the cause. But that motive was more for your father's honour as well as his own.

What I first said to you about Newcome was when Prior stood to be Parliament man at Cambridge. Upon somewhat Newcome dropped I found he was not sound. Prior did not think so then, but soon after it appeared that he was dealing underhand for Annesley at the time he pretended to serve Prior.

1727, May 6.—Your Lordship is not pleased to take notice whether you saw the Dean of St. Patrick's before you went to Wimpole. I suppose you did. Did he vent himself to you about Lord Carteret? He opened with great freedom against him to me; he had reason indeed. There was a very ingenious man, who had been introduced by the Dean to Carteret at Carteret's own desire. Carteret had seemingly entered into great friendships with him, and had invited himself often to his house, and lived very freely on him. He had frankly promised him any preferment in his gift. When the preferment fell which the man most desired, being very convenient for him, though of no great value, Carteret told him he could not give it to him, though he esteemed him much, because he was suspected to be somewhat of a Tory, and gave the preferment to a Frenchman recommended to him by the Duchess of Kendal.

1727, May 15.—Poor Christ Church, I hear, is a great combustion. Gregory, the history professor, has declared he will appear for a lecture on the 11th of next July in order to appear for Proctor next Lent. A great majority have declared vehemently against him, and put up the censor Manatou. It is supposed this fellow would not have ventured on this if he were not assured of being supported to the utmost of their power by the Dean and Chapter. I will not believe till I see it that they will act such a part or use threats and violence to bring over votes. If they should, I believe they could not carry it; but if they go this way to work the College will be in a wretched state. We must have Tim up from Presteigne.

1727, June 17. Little Shefford.—I was misinformed as to Swift's being with you. I perceive you had not when you wrote received any notice of the King's death. I hear Strafford, Scarsdale, and many others of that sort have been to make their compliments; in that case I see not why your Lordship should stick out.

1727, June 19.—Your next will tell me, I suppose, how you have been received at Leicester House. I can but smile to think how unconcernedly we poor rustics go on with our hay harvest, whilst you are in such a hurry in town.

1727, June 26.—We are all in haste to make our compliments. I hear our governor carried up little Dupplin to help out the show. I hope his appearing may be of some service. I doubt not but my good neighbour *waddled* in the crowd. But our governor has been baffled basely in his attempt to set up a proctor. He has been forced to throw up the cudgels, and to compliment the man he opposed. Pray be so good as to tell this to my landlord, and that there will [be] no occasion for Tim to leave his duty at Presteigne. Be pleased too to let my landlord know that I received the box very safe on Saturday, and heartily thank him.

I beg when you write to Dr. Middleton you would give my most humble thanks to him. I have read his book with a great deal of pleasure, but it is a pity any of his time should be lost upon such a couple of scrubs. Though Tim thought he could hardly spare time to come up to us, he must find some to spare, to ride about the country, now Master appears. But Tim is a light weight, and will dispatch a good deal of that business with ease. I hope for the honour of the family, now Master appears, he will not let himself be baffled. Make the old man bleed freely. Perhaps that is designed to be thrown on you, but I hope you are on your guard.

1727, July 1.—I agree with you that things must be in a great measure, if not wholly, in the same track. Nor can it be otherwise if they consider who is to be Premier. But the world seems to me to be as mad as they were in South Sea days. Every one expects to make his fortune, but I doubt not they will be as much disappointed in preferments as they were then in estates.

An old friend of yours and your father's too came up I believe to town on Tuesday last. I suppose you will have seen him before this comes to you. By that he writes to me he is likely to be in your Lordship's predicament.

Will your Lordship forgive me if I am very impertinent, and presume to suggest anything to you on a point in which I am perhaps the most improper of all men to suggest anything, and your Lordship has the least occasion for any such suggestion? I myself want most to be advised to caution, and your Lordship the least of any man I know. God be thanked you have a good stock of it acquired as well as natural. But still out of my duty to you, and concern for you, I will beg leave to say *abundans*

cautela non nocet. Unless we have been much misinformed, or there has been a very unaccountable change, next to a miracle, you have other tempers to deal with you than you had. Should any handle be given, though I am fully persuaded none will by any chance, advantage would be taken of it. A great man too, on your Lordship's foot, is always less obnoxious to others the easier he is in his own private affairs. With these two precautions the part you think fit to act may be safe I hope as well as honourable.

You are all I suppose fully employed on preparing for the Coronation. I hear Lady Foley says her robes will cost her more than 400*l.*, but I suppose she will not grudge. She will want indeed for her circumference some more yards of velvet and ermine than other ladies. This between ourselves.

1727, July 15.—As to our friend, to whom there are objections, I suppose he knows the world well enough to be satisfied that one in his condition has always spies on him, who are not only to watch him, but to lead him too if they can into discourses that may be to his prejudice. Very often some of his own family are employed to observe his unguarded moments, and they commonly do that honest office who seem least likely. No wise man would be troublesome either to himself or others by unnecessary jealousies. But caution cannot be too great, nor observation, whilst it is kept in a man's own breast, of all who come near him, either within or from without, especially if any one seems to be more assiduous and prying than either his acquaintance or post can require. An old friend of mine, above thirty years ago, preserved his life and fortune by having had the precaution to mark, by single words, in his pocket book, the places and company he was in every day. He was enabled by it to defeat a malicious design that was laid to have ruined him, and but for this would have done it.

Stock jobbers swarm in this part of the world, and the vilest of them. If reports have any truth, incredible sums are offered for votes. The party seem to have orders to lavish money at any rate to secure their point, and there can be no doubt of their success. And the next assembly will probably have a greater number of that set of men than any yet has had. The vilest surely of men, and much more pernicious to their country, than any officers civil or military.

1727, July 29.—I hope this will welcome you to town. You find I suppose the Attorney gone. God have mercy on his soul ! I am afraid he did not apprehend his end was so near. If you meet with any circumstances of his death or will, I should be glad to hear them.

Many have taken on them to reprove you of late ; I suppose you may now in your turn laugh at them. I never was one of the sanguines, but I own the present turn is beyond my expectation. The run seems to be upon those who were creatures to the late Lord Sunderland. The quick dismissal of Berkeley was a great surprise. I think he was cousin german or second cousin to Sir

Spencer. Now the Attorney is gone and [Lord] Berkeley dismissed, I take Bolingbroke, as he is the most obnoxious man in England to Court and ministry, to be also the most friendless man; a happy state to one of his temper and in his circumstances. What was the particular pique against Young, that his great patron could not keep him in? Room might have been made for Clayton without dismissing him.

What a stupid part has North and Grey acted. Do he and Wharton think they serve the cause they espouse by their worthy conversions? Were I on that side, I should look on them as hired to do what they do. But it is of a piece with the rest of the politics of that party.

The talk of an opposition in the University by one Mosely is come to nothing already. Our knighthood of the shire went a begging. Tom Rowney was much pressed to take it, but would not bite at it. One Sir William Stapleton, a West Indian, formerly of Christ Church, a rake then as I hear he is still, is to be [the] man. He has but little estate in Oxfordshire, and that by his wife Mrs. Paul.

1727, August 5.—My friend Auditor Foley has been so kind as to send me a buck. He writes to me that Abelina has carried the day, and will not let Abelin stand for the county. Was there ever such a termagant, to insist to have her will in a thing that so little belongs to her, so proper for the young gentleman, and in which the honour and interest of the whole family is so nearly concerned? And may not I say too, was ever any one so hen-pecked as poor Abel. I find the Viscount, as I always thought he would, has made our friend Tom Rowney one of his trustees. He has given a great proof by it of his opinion of Tom's honesty, to lay such a trust on him, after his very ill usage of him. But it was no little degree of assurance to lay such a burden on one whom he had used so ill.

Postscript. My poor friend Tom is in great doubt whether he shall accept the trust. He would reject it with indignation, but an uncertain prospect of getting Newnham for son Ned, if it should fall whilst he is trustee, I fancy that will determine him to take it.

1727, September 2.—This comes to bring you very bad news. The horse races were last week at Oxford, and at the Mayor's feast on Saturday poor old Tom Rowney was seized with an apoplexy. He was thought to be past recovery on Wednesday, and I am afraid he is dead at the time. This is the effect of "phlethory and a continuando," and want of a due abstinence:—*Felix quem faciunt aliena pericula cautum.*

We have had the greatest struggle that ever was known in this county, but we have carried the day.

Packer	-	-	-	1620
Stonehouse	-	-	-	1558
Lord Vane	-	-	-	1319

Lord William Pawlet, who displayed himself at our election as eminently as he does on other occasions, thought fit to insist upon

a scrutiny. But we are in no pain about that. Bad votes and foul management were not on our side.

1727, September 11.—This brings you some news that will not be very agreeable to you. The Bishop of Bath and Wells was taken ill about eight days ago. The physician that went to him from Bath, and returned thither on Wednesday, said he did not believe the Bishop could live till next morning.

Postscript. I am told Gloucester [Wilcocks] preaches the Coronation sermon. If so, it is likely ecclesiastical and civil will be of a piece.

1727, October 1.—I could not let Lord Dupplin go without my duty to you. His own looks tell you that all is well with him in all respects, and make any thing I could write of him needless. And as to anything that is passing here, he can tell you much better than I. Pray send him to us again as soon as you can, and if you have any anecdotes you may write them to him. He tells me that our old lady [Oxford] designs to walk at the Coronation. Is it possible? I should not have doubted her inclination, but I thought her age would have been an effectual hindrance. Nor could I think her quite so extravagant as to buy robes at her age, if her purse could afford it. Does poor Lady Kinnoull walk? I am afraid not. I suppose her husband undertakes to excuse her.

1727, October 7.—The design of the old Countess is so very absurd and extravagant that I could not have believed it, had I not had it from you. I perceive that vanity can as little be extinguished by age as another passion.

I had the "Craftsman," I thank your Lordship, last night. The great man's enemies have not lost their mettle, though they are disappointed of the places they lately hoped for. As far as I can guess, by the dim light I have, the conjuncture is like to be more favourable to them, and much worse to the nation in general, than it has been yet. I am afraid we are in a worse state than we were before the preliminaries, with which we were so much exalted.

Pray give my friend Dupplin an account how poor Mr. Windsor came to be jockeyed at Cambridge.

I must not detain you longer from trying your Coronation robes, that will be full employment till that piece of pageantry is over. I have two poor brethren here in a disconsolate state because they are not summoned to make part of the procession. They begin to suspect that they are likely to be dismissed from their chaplainships.

1727, October 12.—Your last has given me much ease. I was really vexed at the old Countess's absurd design of walking.

I perceive the "Craftsman" was to come out on Monday on account of the City election; I beg the favour of it. Should the Tories carry it against Whigs, Court, Ministry, and the three great Companies, it would be such a proof of their strength as never any party gave before.

My duty to my kind Lady and dear Lady Peggy. Could I be so happy as to have an hour's conversation with her, I doubt not but I should have a better account of the whole show from her than from any one else that was there. Did not Lady Foley walk? Mrs. Verney told me her ladyship pretended to be above that vanity, but I offered to lay a wager on nature's side.

1727, October 26.—What is the meaning of “Princess Royal”? Have we had any precedent for this in our own Courts, or is it new, in imitation of the French?

1727, November 20.—The signification of your pleasure, in all cases where I am at liberty, has the obligation with me of a lawful command. It was always my opinion that the right of voting in all public places is only a trust to be employed strictly for the ends designed by the benefactor. I know no one so likely to answer that end in the case of a Poetry professor as in being directed by Mr. Pope's judgment. I beg my service to him. I shall be proud of an opportunity to show my respects to him by endeavouring to serve anyone he is concerned for, but what is Mr. Spence, Whig or Tory? It is of some moment to know that, if I am to serve him with others.

Your Lordship has certainly assigned the true reason of S[herlock]'s accepting, but that is but a bad motive in any case. Much worse to one in his circumstances, for a place of that moment, where he can never be able to do any part of the duty. Possibly Hare may have made the promise you mention, if there was occasion for it and it was required of him, and he was just as much in earnest as old Ruffe when he swore to Mr. Bromley that he would lay his bones in Christ Church, and not take any other preferment, no not the Archbishopric of Canterbury if it were offered to him, because he was fitter he thought for our deanery than the Archbishopric, and could do more good in it. I take it for certain that Hare has his view on the Archbishopric, and sees, and will use too, the means to merit it.

I am told that Mr. Cæsar has made his terms with the ministry, and for that reason the ministry threw all the interest they could command into him against Cæsar (*sic*). It is said, too, his Majesty was somewhat angry at this. Does your Lordship hear anything of this?

1727, November 23.—I had last night a letter in behalf of Mr. Spence from Dr. Arbuthnot at Mr. Pope's desire. I have told the doctor that I had before received your Lordship's command. I perceive Mr. Pope is very heartily concerned for Mr. Spence, but there was no occasion to employ any one besides your Lordship to me. If I could not do a thing at your command, the whole world besides would be to no purpose.

I am afraid our peace is to come by the carrier called Tom Long. It is not easy to see through the mist of the present intrigues. I am afraid to speak out my own suspicions for fear of being laughed at as a fanciful man.

Has Lord Berkeley made his peace? I see by the prints that he is confirmed in all the places he had, except that of the Admiralty.

I have been expecting the rector of Presteign for these two days, he is to bring me my eyes, which I sent up to be repaired. I am almost blind for the want of them.

1727, November 28.—I give you joy of being initiated into the Society of the Virtuosos. You chose a very eminent god-father to answer for you. I am afraid his pretences to natural philosophy are much upon the same grounds as those he makes to divinity, in which he would be thought to be an adept. He had better stick to moral philosophy; he has talents for that, and if he possessed other virtues in as high a degree as he does one, he would be a perfect man. I mean a virtue, of no ordinary rank, called patience. Thanks be to his stars, that have taken care for his daily improvement of it, by the constant exercise of it that he has at home.

The carrying of two in the City, under the present circumstances, is a great victory. Had all that were influenced by Bank, South Sea and East India, by custom house, excise, post office, &c., and all that did not vote at all, been left to themselves, it must have been carried by at least 4 to 1. Great use might be made of such a disposition, were there any able head to manage it. But as that is wanting, it is likely it will not signify much. How is the Chamberlain's place like to go? Should that be carried against a Lord Mayor, the prevalency would be indisputable.

Your Lordship does not tell me whether you think there is any ground for that I mentioned about Mr. Cæsar.

I humbly conceive that zeal will abate in proportion to the pensions. We live not in an age that loves to serve upon dry duty. Indeed it is a crying scandal that such a one as the D[uchess] of K[endal?] should have or take a pension.

1727, December 14.—There is no love lost betwixt Bentley and the two new bishops. There may be one good happen from their promotion, to expose Bentley, they may perhaps help to relieve the College.

My notion of our present condition is that let the Ministry design, if that were possible, to be very honest, yet they know not how to help us. They are not so much to be blamed now, for I see not how they can do otherwise than they do, but they are to be blamed, and ought to be hanged too, for what has been doing for twelve years last past, by which we are brought into our present state. As we are, if we could have the ablest and the honestest men that ever the nation had in all ages alive again for a wish, I see not how they could much help us, unless by such methods of strict religion, self denial, parsimony, unanimity and public spirit, as we may safely say in the present state of morality among us are impossible to be brought about.

Perhaps the session may be put off for some days longer, if they should not be able to tell us by the day appointed, whether

we are to have peace or war, as I believe they will not. Were the French as hearty, as we say they are, sure that point might be soon put out of doubt.

1727, December 17.—I hope some particular compliment was made to Prince William, in the prologue or epilogue. Methinks they should get him entered of the school, though he never came there, and choose him a steward next year. Your neighbour may have interest enough for this, now his glory is high upon Townshend's recovery. It is sad though that Townshend will be so disabled by this severe illness that he will not of a long time, if ever, be fit again for business.

Who is likely to be Speaker? We have reports here that the old one will not be chosen again. Should it be so, will it be at his own desire, or is he to be laid aside? Methinks the last "Craftsman" seems pretty broadly to insinuate that the great man's interest was tottering at Court. I cannot believe it.

Do not men's suspicions increase? Can they think that France, if they were in earnest, could not put an end to this shuffling in Spain, and make them give, one way or other, a direct answer? Who is this mistress of the Duke of Grafton who has forged his name? What was her name? It is a great pity that his Grace was not obliged to pay the money, it would have been a just mulct for his whoring.

We have strange stories here of Colonel Howard's having sent to demand his wife, and having been offered money in lieu of her, which he refuses. But I suppose there is no ground for them.

1727, December 19.—I hear Mr. Spence and his competitor, one Jones of Balliol, are very busy already, though the election will not be till the next Act. I have not yet seen Mr. Spence, but I believe I shall secure him a pretty good interest in this College.

1727, December 28.—Nothing could hinder me from waiting on you at the Westminster feast but the affair I mentioned to you; but money, as Peter Birch said, is a serious thing. And I am mistaken if it does not grow more serious every day. I am amazed that the stocks hold up so high as they are, and much more that South Sea and East India should pretend to reduce their interest at Ladyday to 4*l. per cent.* Do these times promise so much peace and security that people will be likely to venture their money with such bodies for 4*l. per cent.*? And suppose men bring in their bonds, how will either of the Companies pay them off, even though the Bank should come in to help them. I entirely agree with Sir Thomas Hanmer; I am afraid there is ground for the utmost of his fears.

That which passed betwixt the gentlemen and a foreigner shows that *expellas furca licet usque recurret*. And it is likely to show itself more every day, as accidents happen to provoke it, and they are not likely to be wanting.

1727-8, January 2.—I have received orders from the Master to put off his coach. I expected them, and cannot blame him for staying with his beloved as long as he can.

I am glad your Lordship approves the reason of my deferring my own journey. I believe I shall every day be more convinced that is a very good reason. I am amazed that the world are not generally more apprehensive of our condition than they seem to be. The removing of one out of the way is but a poor shift, and can not be of much use. It is not men that the great man has reason to be afraid of; he knows how to deal with them if that were all. His difficulty is to struggle with affairs themselves; they seem inextricable. How he will get out of them I cannot see; but his enemies seem not only to grow upon him, but to crow over him, and write in such a strain as though they were sure his fall was near. Whether they have any ground for this, or it be done only to frighten him, and to hearten others against him, I cannot say, but he may now remember the swarm of pamphlets which came out with impunity against your father in the end of the Queen's reign and the beginning of the late reign. He has no reason to complain if he is served in the same way he served his predecessors.

1727-8, January 11.—Our condition is perhaps such as it never was since we were a nation. We are not likely to have any peace but upon terms dishonourable and detrimental, nor any peace, I am afraid, that will be durable upon any terms whatever. I defy any man to guess what the issue of the present intrigues will be. I dare not tell my own thoughts, not only because it may not be proper by my conveyance, but lest too I should be thought too fanciful.

1727-8, January 15.—I hope this will find you pleased with the entertainment you have this day. It is no little disappointment to me that I could not wait on you and partake of it. I hope to be there another year, unless the Parliament should be put off then too. It would be odd in me, who have no excuse for coming to town but to see my friends, to come thither before they are come.

By Horace's going round by Holland, it is plain some alteration is proposed in the measures that had been taken. My old friends the Dutch are not of the family of the "Hasty's." They will take sufficient time thoroughly to canvas any thing that is proposed, nor will they come at all into that we propose if my obscure guess at it should prove right.

1727-8, January 18.—I hope the entertainment in the hall was not increased in proportion to that in the school. I perceive there was a profusion of compliments. It would be hard if they should be lost and not turn to accounts. We have this to say:—there has been no want of will in us. Was old Peterborough there? I am told he is sending or has sent his grandson to Balliol, and that one Coningsby, who was of St. Mary Hall, has entered himself of Balliol to be his tutor. This Coningsby is the person whose sermon two years ago on the 30th of January, in which the bishops were reflected on, gave so much offence. It is odd that Balliol

should pitch on such a man. I know not the man ; I have heard well of him. I am sure he has the worst end of the staff. I am glad the young gentleman is not sent hither.

1727-8, January 21.—A sad accident happened here on Thursday night or Friday morning. Two demys of Magdalen going home betwixt one and two, met a townsman, a glover and his wife, and some other company going home. One of the demys would kiss the man's wife. There was quarrelling. I know not particulars, but the demy was stabbed two inches deep into his belly, with a pair of scissors as they say. It is said now there is a possibility that he may recover.

If Horace has not brought the ultimatum I know not when we are to expect it, but I fancy his business was to concert new measures in Holland, and by the silence upon his coming I believe the Dutch take time to deliberate, as I thought they would.

1727-8, January 23.—I expressed myself unfortunately when I enquired if the entertainment in the hall bore proportion to that in the school, I meant eatables and drinkables. I thought that was so good last year, that it could not well be increased this year.

I heartily wish my Lady would put herself in your neighbour's hands. If she sees you uneasy at her being in other hands than those you think safest, I fancy her tender affection to you will induce her to comply with you, though not out of regard to herself, yet to make you easy.

Our brother Tanner goes up to-morrow to be chosen Prolocutor. He will have three offices in places pretty distant, Prolocutor, Chancellor of Norwich, and Treasurer of Christ Church.

Here is a report that the Dean of Westminster is dying, and that our friend Bob is to succeed both in bishopric and deanery. I know that deanery is in view, betwixt ourselves, but I can scarce think it will be compassed, much less with the bishopric. But I am apt to think somewhat of that kind is in agitation, because I have not heard thence in some posts, though I want an answer about some business of his own. If you hear any thing of this, pray communicate.

1727-8, January 25.—You are wonderful good in condescending to let me know so often what passes with you. I entirely agree with you in your judgment of the event of our present transactions. One may see I think the conclusion with half an eye. All that has been agreed is to be made good, but it is not yet to be owned either at home or abroad. The Parliament is to despatch money. I suppose there will be some general address, to leave the terms of peace to his Majesty and to acquiesce in what he shall think proper. Other affairs are to come on first abroad, and towards the close of all private articles will be produced and made good, and France I suppose is guarantee for this. I wish we may come off so well. What dull rogue is that in the Whitehall

Evening that talks of "Harley tricks" at this time of day? Does he think he serves his party by reviving the mention of your father's days?

I have seen "The Lord and no Lord." An old friend of mine and his fine old lady are handled very coarsely in it. If the knight keeps his ground, my old friend I am afraid will not have quiet days. Yesterday by chance I met with some letters I had from him and the late Viscount, which I had quite forgot. I was amazed at the strain of kindness in them, but such is this world.

I hear our governor is gone up to put in for Westminster, where a vacancy is expected, and that Bob is talked of for this place. I heartily wish it might fall to his lot, it would be as proper for him as for us. But I believe they would sooner give him Westminster than Christ Church. They are very unreasonable who take exceptions to a few insignificant compliments. But I expected it would be so, and if any consideration is had of him, he will hear a great deal more [of] it. Were there any ground for such reflections, they ought not to fall so much on the elder as younger. He was always more warm in his words, as well as deeper in his dealings.

1727-8, March 5.—The combatants entered the lists yesterday. They began at two, and they alone held on the debate till seven. Pulteney is allowed by his adversaries to have borne up better than was expected. He said he would keep his temper, and did so for a good while, but at last, as the enemy say, he first lost his temper. The great man lost his too, but not so much, as the enemy say, as Pulteney. After seven Barnard came into the debate instead of Pulteney, and Sir William Strickland and the worthy Mr. Verney in aid of Sir Robert. What Mr. Verney said was to justify Sir Robert in assuming some expenses ought not to be reckoned as public debts. Pulteney's party would not have come to any question at that time, and Mr. Cholmly of Vale Royal moved to leave the chair, but carried in the negative by 250 to 97. Then the question passed that six millions six hundred odd thousand pounds of the public debt incurred before 1716 had been paid. This was owned from the beginning by everybody. Then came on the debate what new debt had been incurred since. Sir Robert owned at first that 3,500,000*l.* had been incurred since. With much ado at last he admitted the debt upon the civil list, which is one million more, to be a public debt; that will reduce the debt paid to two millions only. But the further consideration of this, which is the only material point, is adjourned till Thursday, when Mr. Pulteney is to show, if he can, that more debt is incurred. As it is, Sir Robert is not thought to have gained or Pulteney to have lost much, and the debate is allowed to have been of use. I suppose the Master will give you a more perfect account.

Sir Nathaniel Curson, much to the surprise of Mr. Bacon, left his friends on Thursday last in the debate about the King's answer. They say there is a barony in abeyance to which he has pretensions. But he returned to his friends yesterday.

Baker, a man who wants a hand, has the residentiaryship of Paul's ; his character is as poor as any man's well can be.

Postscript. All unprejudiced persons allow Pulteney had the better, but the Master will give you a full account.

We have, as is said, an express from France, which says France has had an express from Spain, which informed them that the Queen of Spain was in a rage at the first notice of the alterations we proposed, and said the English would never be contented, and she would have no more to do with them, but that, upon second thoughts, she said the preliminaries should be signed as we proposed. That the King of Spain continues ill still, which is supposed to be the reason of her agreeing, if she has agreed. But we own no preliminaries are yet signed, nor can we say when they will be signed.

1727-8, March 7.—Upon occasion of the entry of the Dutch Ambassadors, the combat that was to have been renewed to-day is deferred till to-morrow. I leave the account of it to the Master, for I shall have little opportunity of knowing particulars, but as to the last day's fight all impartial men own Pulteney had the better. A Whig owned to me freely that though they had strength on their side, the others had justice. And that he never saw the great man so much disordered and out of countenance as he was at that debate. He designs to come off on Friday, by a ridiculous distinction of an increased debt from an incurred debt, as though I should mortgage an estate for 2,000*l.* and afterwards add another 1,000*l.* to the mortgage, that increase of 1,000*l.* to the debt was not the incurring of a new debt. This is all he has to say, but it will pass, and he will have votes pass in his justification. I must tell you one thing which you will scarce hear from the Master. The Master told me he was sorry for his brother Verney, he was out in what [he] said. But others of Verney's friends say he spoke very ridiculously, and of that which it was plain he was utterly ignorant, and Barnard lashed him and exposed him sufficiently for it.

Our affairs abroad are certainly growing worse every day, but I must reserve them till I see you again.

Dr. Busby's trustees met on Wednesday, but for want of your Lordship to make up a due number they could neither sign some leases nor choose your humble servant trustee. They have adjourned till Wednesday next at twelve o'clock, upon a presumption that by that time your Lordship will be in town.

1727-8, March 9.—I leave the account of yesterday's debate to the Master. It ended as was expected, Pulteney has gained credit though he lost the question. Barnard, who spoke excellently well, declared that when the report was made to the House he would debate it again, article by article, and move to have it recommitted. One may easily foresee the success. The great man artfully communicated to the House, towards the end of the debate, the news that the King of Spain had declared he would sign the preliminaries in the manner we desired. This news was reserved for this

moment. I was told on Monday last that they had it, but some Dutch gentlemen tell me that on their side the water they do not think we are nearer to a peace for this.

1728, March 31.—Delaune is dying of a dropsy. You were used to tell me that the water I drank would bring a dropsy on me. He has a dropsy which has not been brought on him by drinking water.

1728, April 2.—The physicians tell Delaune he cannot last a month. The canvass for his Headship [of St. John's] is very open in the College; Holdsworth and Holme, both Doctors in Divinity, are the competitors, the first it is supposed will carry it. The competitors for his Margaret professorship are supposed to be many, but none as yet appear.

1728, April 8.—I doubt not but we must pay for any thing we have abroad as well as at home. All the world acts now upon the same principle, and we have managed so wisely that every one makes demands on us which we must comply with. But I will not believe yet that Spain upon any terms has agreed to leave us in quiet possession of Gibraltar; or will think themselves obliged to stand to any bargain that termagant lady may have made, if she has bubbled us of any money.

Young Murray showed me a melancholy letter on Sunday night from poor Dupplin, in which he owned that he and his brother could not yet come down, because the father could not furnish them with any [money]. He talked of a place his father soon hoped to have by the Duke of Devonshire's interest, and then he hoped they might get somewhat to bring them down. Be that as it will, I advised Murray to write to have the Colonel sent down by the stage coach on Thursday. He may be entered of the College and matriculated on Friday, and return again on Saturday. He may then stay at Westminster, if his friends please, till within a month of midsummer, but it will be much to his prejudice to lose this term here.

Divines say practice should follow conviction; I shall believe your Lordship approves fasting when I hear you have your set stated times for it, which you observe strictly, without dispensing with them upon any occasion. Forgive my concern that makes me impertinent on this topic, but I am fully persuaded that it would conduce more to make you easy, healthy, and lively than any physic you can take, nay as much as constant exercise itself, and would supply the place of exercise, when that cannot conveniently be had.

1728, April 11.—I thought the debates upon the debts would end, as I find they do, in a panegyric upon the great man; no doubt the debates were brought in for that purpose. But since all without doors are fully satisfied of the truth of that representation, I question whether in the long run it will turn much to his advantage. How did the Finches vote in this last debate? Were they against the great man, as well as they have been in the late elections?

1728, April 14.—I promise you I will never trouble myself more about Abel's concerns, let him be never so importunate with me for my opinion. The true reason of this management is that I told your Lordship in town, the son will not marry unless the father will promise to settle 2,000*l. per annum*, and hopes to bring him to it by seeming averse to marriage; the father will not make that settlement unless the mother will give him 15,000*l.* of her money, and hopes to bring her to it, for the sake of getting such a settlement for her son. And he manages so wisely to get a point he will never gain, when he has it already in his power, if he knew how to use it, and may have all his wife's money, if he will but charge upon his estate, and leave the son to pay himself or his mother. But I will never have any more to do with any of his domestic affairs, I foresee confusion enough in them. The good Earl has too much reason to think himself trifled with, and so I suppose will any one else be that shall ever have to do with them.

1728, April 21.—I must heartily thank your Lordship for the trouble you have been pleased to take to give me an account of Abel's wise proceedings. I am abundantly instructed how to deal with him for the future, though I take all this to be owing to the She. It is plain their view is upon nothing but money. There would be no need to look out for a wife for the young master, if they had the views they ought to have in marrying him. He would be welcome anywhere, but it is evident that no regard will be had to family, education, sense, temper, virtue or person, nor to anything but to money. And I wish I may not be a true prophet that it is likely they will meet the blessings they usually do who marry on such views. But who do they think will have the daughter? If they regard only money in disposing their son, do they think any one will take the daughter for any other reason?

1728, April 30.—I reckon you will not be absent at the time of the extraordinary masquerade, and hear at least what passes in it, though you should not bear a part in it. All our newspapers are full of the great preparations making for it. What is the occasion of these unusual doings? Why is this to exceed all other masquerades so much? In lewdness I suppose too, as well as finery.

Your Lordship I suppose has had an account of the doings at Cambridge. Did any thing very remarkable pass there? They are to be the favourites.

To-morrow this poor College will be like a great hulk without any one to steer it. Brother Tanner leaves, only poor Burton and I. No proxy in the College, nor consequently any power to give degrees, or do any other business of any kind. The less we have of human care, the more I hope we shall have of God's good providence.

Postscript. Delaune holds out still to everybody's wonder.

1728, May 2.—We have a report here that it is still remembered who was and was not chosen Chancellor here in the beginning of the late reign, and that it has been declared no visit shall be made to this place. Can you confirm this news to me? I am sure I should get nothing by a visit but a great racket in my lodging.

In the fourth volume of Anderson's book your Lordship was pleased to bestow on me, in the second part of the volume, towards the end, there is an account day by day of what passed betwixt the English Commissioners and the Scotch that came to accuse their Queen. But the account of the seventh session is wanting, and was not I suppose to be found, neither in the Paper Office or Cotton's Library, from one of which places those papers were taken. But I have met with it here, amongst some other papers concerning Mary Queen of Scots, in a collection of papers belonging to Archbishop Sancroft, which Dr. Tanner has purchased. He has given me leave to transcribe a copy for your Lordship as well as for myself, and I shall make Sedgely transcribe them in a print hand, allowing as large margins as in the printed book, and on the same sort of royal paper, so that they may be bound up with our books, and this will make our books a little more complete and valuable than other books of the same edition.

1728, May 7.—Upon looking over my unbound books, I find I want the two last volumes of Mr. Pope's *Odyssey*. I suppose I might be in the country when public notice was given of their being published, and so miss of them. If your Lordship will be pleased to direct Mr. Thomas where he may have them for me I have written to him to pay the remainder of the subscription. Mine is the large paper quarto. Did your Lordship ever show Bob the letters betwixt Mr. P. and his friend? Bob would be wonderfully delighted with the sight of them; I dare engage for his secrecy.

Tanner has all that were left of Sancroft's papers, but if I understood him right, some books and papers had been parted with before he came in to purchase. His purchase came to near 200*l.* as he said. By some of the volumes I have seen, Sancroft was a great but no very judicious collector. He binds together too a strange medley of papers, that have no relation at all to one another, and a great deal of riff-raff amongst a few good ones.

1728, May 12.—My brother Gilbert, the Dean of Exeter, was here for three days to fetch in his money. He never came once, whilst he was here, within the inside of the church, but his equipage drew the eyes of every one on him. His servant is a black, who rides before him with a long gun, and he himself rides with pistols, with holsters that would become a major-general.

Did the little Auditor tell you that when he and Lady Scudamore happened to send last year half a buck a piece on the same day to the Bishop of Hereford, that his Lordship kept the Auditor's half buck, which happened to be the fattest, for his own

use, and sent for his butcher, and haggled with him a long time for what he would allow him for Lady Scudamore's half buck, and took it out of him in money or meat? This was great diversion to Lady Scudamore.

1728, May 13.—A letter is come from the Chancellor to represent to the Heads and Convocation that he had entered *carcats* in behalf of the university after Dennison's trial; that he since had the opinion of Dennison's counsel, that the university was not bound by the verdict in Westminster Hall, and that he has sent them the state of the case upon that trial and the opinions of the counsel upon it, and hopes they will take such measures as will be proper to preserve their right. I wonder his Lordship should be so unadvised as to send such a letter, only at the instance of Dr. Shippen. The case is false stated, and it is somewhat odd to desire the university to determine themselves upon the opinion of the counsel on one side only. But it appears for what this was. Unluckily there was to be a hearing before the Attorney on Saturday night. It was insisted by Dennison's counsel to defer the hearing till they could know whether the university would espouse this cause, whose right they said was not determined by that which had passed in Westminster Hall. Cockman offered to put off the hearing if Dennison's counsel would engage the university would espouse it and appear in a fortnight. That they would not undertake. The cause was heard, and the Attorney declared his opinion that the university right was bound by the verdict in Westminster Hall. This letter was to have been a pretence for delaying the hearing before the Attorney. But it comes unluckily just after the Attorney has declared his opinion, and I find it goes down so heavily here that I need not stop my journey. I question whether it will pass the Heads, or if it go through them whether it will go down the Convocation. But if it passes both, the utmost they pretend to is to have a delegans appointed to consider of it, who may be ready perhaps with a report a year hence, when the Crown, in whose hands it now is, has settled all.

1728, May 14.—I wrote you a scrawl yesterday which I am afraid you could hardly read. The Chancellor's letter was proposed yesterday in the meeting of the Heads. For bringing it into the Convocation only three, the Vice-Chancellor, All Souls, Bourchier of Alban Hall; the others did not downright reject it, but more decently voted to defer reading it for a week, till they could hear what Mr. Cockman had to say and could know the report of the Attorney and Solicitor upon the late hearing. They were, Magdalen, Magdalen Hall, Queen's, Trinity, Jesus, Wadham, Edmond Hall, and the two proctors. And yet advantage was taken to bring this in when Cockman had fewest friends upon the place. I am apt to think it will be put off again next Monday, and so on till it is quite dropped. It was asserted by some at the meeting who had been present at the meeting, and who appealed to Bourchier to deny if he could what they said, that the representation was false in fact. The

Chancellor is very unhappy to be prevailed on to send such things with his authority and recommendation hither.

1728, May 19.—I go for Shefford on Tuesday.

There is a surmise stirring that the Princess Amelia may return from Bath through Oxford. If it should be so I suppose her parents may meet her here, or else they will hardly see us this summer.

I know your Lordship will be so good as to remember the London "Evening Post" to comfort a poor hermit.

1728, May 20.—What makes Swift's little pamphlets so scarce; surely he will send your Lordship a copy of them? It will be said now that he writes out of pique and revenge. It is given out that he was much disappointed when he was last here; that he was in hopes, by the interest he had in a certain lady, to have exchanged his preferment in Ireland for as good in England. Nay, that at last he would have quitted all he had in Ireland, for 400*l. per annum* here, but could not get it.

Postscript. The Chancellor's letter is thrown out by the Heads of Houses:—For bringing it into Convocation, Brasenose, Shippen; Trinity, Dobson; All Souls, Niblett; Alban Hall, Bouchier.

Against bringing it in, Magdalen, Butler; Magdalen Hall, Coates; Edmond Hall, Felton; Queen's, Gibson; Jesus, Pardo; Wadham, Thistlewaite; Balliol, Lee; Proctor Reynolds, Proctor Manatou. The Vice-Chancellor did not vote.

So I hope there is an end now of an affair as wicked as vexatious. I am afraid there will be some prejudice for the future to any recommendations from our Vice Chancellor.

1728, May 23.—You were pleased to say in your last that you had demolished Hare. On Monday at Oxford, just such another honest man, one Dr. Shippen, was demolished. I gave your Lordship a short account of the event. Of the Heads, who were absent, two of them had been warm men, whilst under Dr. Shippen's management, against Cockman, but could not be prevailed on now to appear. It is to be hoped they repent. All other absent men would to a man, if they had been there, have been for Cockman, but the ferment amongst the Masters surprised me. I own I thought if the letter had come amongst them it would not have been thrown out without difficulty; but I was soon satisfied it would have been rejected by above two to one. Nay, one who understands them perfectly well offered me any odds that it would [have] been lost by above two to one.

I am afraid Mr. Cockman owes this success to the general hatred of Dr. Shippen as much as to the goodness of his cause. I took care last winter that the Chancellor should know what the event of a letter would be, if he was drawn in to send one, but only one person had credit with him. His Lordship must be content with the consequence of it.

1728, May 25.—I supposed when Abel broke off so dishonourably with one much too good for him that his design was

in the city. Yet how have I heard Abelina detest such a match ! It is very likely they will meet with the reward due to them in such a match. Sure Abel's head is turned. He complains that his youngest does not behave with duty to him. Is it the way to increase his son's respect to go with him to a bawdy-house ? Pray who was the duchess that was dressed so ? Was there any particular allusion by such a habit and such chains ?

Postscript. Do you know that Ruffe is turned out of his premiership ?

Who is the author of the *Dunciad* ? Is not Pope ? I want a key to the names, I cannot decypher many of them.

I hear this morning from Oxford that the President of St. John's [Delaune] died last night. I am apt to think Jenner of Magdalen will be Professor and Dr. Holmes President.

1728, May 29.—I heard from Bob that my old friend [Atterbury] was dismissed. They who have dealt with him ought to look to themselves. He will without doubt go over to others if they think it worth their while to receive him. But I want to know for what he was dismissed, and indeed whether he was dismissed or quitted. If the last it might probably be with a view of making his peace elsewhere.

If it be not too much impudence in me I would desire the continuance of the "*Craftsman*" as well as the London "*Evening Post*." The "*Craftsman*" is the chief support to our spirits in the country.

1728, June 5.—I would beg leave to put your Lordship in mind of Swift's manuscript. I had his leave to see it and your Lordship promised to get it of the gentleman who has it. If you will be pleased to send it sealed up in a cover by Colonel Haye when he comes to us, it may remain safe with him till my servant calls on him for it. I suppose the Colonel will now in a little time come to stay with us. When does poor Dupplin return ? This loss of time will be an irrecoverable damage to him.

You tell me not whether Mr. Pope himself is not supposed to be the author of the *Dunciad*. If the advertisement in one of our newspapers be true there has been some insult lately made on him. This would be somewhat more than a paper war.

1728, June 13.—You have not said any thing to me about the election, though I hear you were there. I am told *Rex* and *Regina* were declined through all the cases in the Latin speech ; that I expected. It is said too there were compliments in the speech to the blue ribbon. That I own is a surprise to me. Was there any ground for such a report ? It is said too the election was but slenderly attended, but there could be no reason for such a report whilst your Lordship was pleased to honour them with your presence, though you should have been alone there.

I have read Mr. Pope's *Dunciad* often with a great deal of pleasure. I am sure it must be his, because no one else could write it. Nor had I ever any doubt but from the first paragraph

in the preface; but that might be written by some friend. I should be glad to know whether he has been insulted lately, as a late advertisement seemed to insinuate. If it was so, it was very barbarous to attack so weak and little a man in a manner in which he was so unequal a match for them. I beg you would give my most hearty thanks to him for the kind present of the Dunciad, which I am sure must come from him.

Postscript. I see our friend He[a]rne is in the Dunciad. I cannot say that he has much wrong done him, but he is not used to pass by such things. No doubt Mr. Pope will, by head or tail, be brought into the next *prolegomena* or appendix.

1728, June 22.—I am glad Mr. Pope's corpuscle is safe, he cannot afford to spare any of his body, as I could. But visitations of wit sometimes end in hearty drubbings:—

Ludus enim generit trepidum certamen et iram

Ira truces inimicitias et lugubre bellum.

All great men in what kind soever must expect some alloy to the glory of their merit, from the envy that will pursue it.

1728, July 6.—Our great ones may at present very justly look upon themselves as absolute. There is nothing at home that can in our present circumstances give them any disturbance. Any thing that gives rise to any trouble here must come from abroad; but I do not think they ought to look upon themselves as settled till they see the success of the negotiations abroad. It is using, I think, a very modest word to say that appears as yet doubtful. There is one thing too at home that may deserve some reflections from them, the strange spirit that continues in the city of London. We find too it is a contagious spirit, and has infected the city greatest in extent and trade next to London, that of Norwich. I cannot imagine any reason for the uneasiness of such bodies, but some decay which they feel in their trade. That is the only article that uses to affect them. But it is plain here are great uneasiness ready to be increased and to break out, upon any juncture proper to inflame and favour them. And should not things abroad go to our wishes, I will not answer that there may not be a ferment run again through the nation, somewhat like that which was in Sacheverell's case.

1728, July 11.—Ruffe's friends give out with great industry that he was dismissed at his own desire and with great kindness. Both perhaps are true, but they tell not that he had been for some time endeavouring to make his peace here before he desired to be dismissed in the other place. And yet that is certain, and I suppose he desired his dismissal in order to facilitate his negotiation here. But it was not known there I suppose that he was treating elsewhere. He applied here not by any persons on the spot but by some acquaintance he had abroad. But he could not succeed. He has now cast off one and cannot be received by any other. It is now given out that he is retired and writing a history of his own times that is to come out after

his death. I believe not a word of this, if there should be any such thing, it will just be of as much credit as old Gibby [Burnet]'s.

1728, July 13.—There is a book written by one [William] Smith about University College; he is a minister in Yorkshire upon a College living. He is now, as he tells you in his book, seventy-seven; he was an old peevish blundering fellow when he was at Oxford and the common plague of the whole society. The whole book is a confused rhapsody of lies and blunders; he has slandered in it every one that he ever knew. He has abused poor Charlett, to whom he had great obligations, and has several pages upon Tom He[a]rne, who will not, I suppose, die in his debt.

1728, July 17.—If the news hold true of the King of Spain's death, we continue still to have strange luck in deaths. It must probably cause alterations in their measures; exclude the termagant Queen; and unite them again with France, but without doubt upon the condition of France's procuring Port Mahon and Gibraltar for them. If France has a Dauphin, they too will alter their language and talk to us in another strain than they have done hitherto.

1728, July 22.—I am very impatient till the post comes. I long to know what your neighbour [Dr. John Freind]'s illness is and what the event of it is like to be. Should he be carried off, it would be a very remarkable disappointment to one who was just entering upon the utmost of his aims and wishes. I am afraid too it would be no small check to his brother's hopes. He must in that case be content with a prebend, and glad if he can get that.

There has been a famous quarrel at Christ Church, your old tutor wanted a coach house to be built for him at the College expense. A very unreasonable request of that which not one of his predecessors ever had. He desired the Dean to propose it to the Chapter. The Dean civilly told him he could not do it till an estimate had been made of the charge and more Canons were in town. Upon this he was very uneasy all the Chapter, and the election of the new students; nay he could not at dinner eat his venison in quiet. After dinner he broke out, and gave the Dean very foul language. The Dean was wise enough to make his return in the same dialect. The quarrel lasted long, to the great diversion of all present. It was wholly personal, in calling one another names, which though they should not have given to each other it is likely both might in some measure deserve. Tanner, who writes me this, says he had not time for particulars. When I hear any of them you shall have them. This is rare sport for the students.

1728, July 31.—The poor man is gone. May I ask you from whom you had your daily accounts of his illness? They were truer than his nearest friends sent that were about him. It is a very remarkable event in all its circumstances, and would suggest variety of reflections. One reflection every one ought to make, how little life is to [be] depended on, and how prepared

we ought always to be to quit it. The extremes of both sides will be glad, we shall now see whether his great acquaintances are persons of honour and will remember his brother for his sake. But I am pretty sure a prebend will be the most now.

1728, August 10.—I have been told lately that the poor man's constitution was broken, but I was with him often, I never heard him complain of it, nor did I ever observe any thing from whence I could guess it. He has not left his equal in his own profession. He might I believe have managed his politics a little better, but he consulted with nobody. Bob always "hunted under Pole" to him. Had he excused himself from being physician in waiting, on account of its being inconsistent with his other business, as Radcliffe did to King William but offered readily to attend when called upon any occasion, he might have had as much power, and not much less money, and no one could have made the least exception to it. I am afraid he hastened his end by too great fatigue, but I shall know more when I see Bob next week in his way to Bath.

1728, September 14.—I had a letter this week from good Dean Berkeley. In spite of all discouragements he is now on the high sea in prosecution of his design. He calls first at Rhode Island, to purchase there with his own money pasture for supplying his College with flesh meat, the want of which was by some, who opposed the design, made a great objection. God who moved him to lay the design, has given him a spirit proper to prosecute it.

1728, October 14. Christ Church.—Here is a difference betwixt the Bishops of Oxford and Bristol about the consecration of Blenheim chapel. I cannot say that I have all the particulars of it exactly, but as I have yet heard, her Grace of Marlborough desired the Bishop of Bristol to consecrate it. He acquaints the Bishop of Oxford, who took it not well that another should be desired to do that office in his diocese, and said he was ready to do his duty, but, however, if her Grace desired it should be done by the Bishop of Bristol, he consented, provided that his own register and secretary attended. The Bishop of Bristol would not agree to that. Upon this the Bishop of Oxford would not give leave that the Bishop of Bristol should perform the office. The Bishop of Bristol pretends that Woodstock was not in Oxford diocese, because it was not in Bladen parish, and that being too a Royal chapel, it was not under the Bishop of Oxford's jurisdiction. I believe neither of these pretences will hold, but Sarah has been attacked by so many people for offering to affront the Bishop of Oxford that she has deferred the consecration till spring, and it is thought will then drop the Bishop of Bristol, to his no little mortification.

I suppose you hear that Blandford's marriage with the Dutch lady [de Jonge], sister to the Countess of Denbigh, is now owned.

1728, October 23.—Some of the street robbers have come hither and made some attempts, but many strangers are seized, and we hope soon to suppress them here. A strange discovery

has happened here, one Jennens, son to a very good family in Warwickshire, cut his throat in May last and threw himself out of his window at the Temple. He was of Trinity College, and a sober, ingenious, virtuous young man in all appearance whilst he was there. There were found in his *scrittoire* several letters from one Stevens of Trinity, who is son to Serjeant [Henry] Stevens, now a regent master, in deacon's orders and designing for priest's orders, curate of a village near Oxford, and probationer fellow of Trinity. The letters were full of blasphemy against Christianity; he declares himself a deist, and that he made it his business to propagate deism. He brags in them of the converts he makes, gives directions to Mr. Jennens how to proceed in that work, almost in every line extols Bangorianism, says he finds it difficult to bring men to deism, but through Bangorianism, that it is sufficient at first to bring them to Bangorianism; and a great deal more of such horrible stuff. The friends of the poor gentleman that destroyed himself imputed his end to such principles, and resolved to put the letters of Stevens into hands that might prosecute him. I had extracts of these letters two months ago from a friend of ours in Warwickshire, and was told it was designed to send these letters to the Bishop of Oxford. I confirmed them in the design, and the originals have been sent to the Bishop, and by him communicated to the Vice-Chancellor, and a prosecution is begun in the Vice-Chancellor's court.

The man, I am told, when he heard where his letters were, swooned away and, when he came to himself, said he was undone; but owned he was still of that opinion, but was sorry he had endeavoured to bring others into it. He is since gone off. His father has been here, and declared a great detestation of his son, and himself struck his name out of the College book. But that signifies nothing, but to show the father's abhorrence of his son's principles, for his father had no authority to expel him. One Cater of that College, a bachelor of arts, mentioned in these letters, is since gone off too. Cater designed for orders too, the last ordination, but was stopped by the Bishop of Oxford upon his receiving these letters, though it is said Cater was yet only as far as Arianism, and dispersed books to propagate that amongst the young lads. Lord Aylesford, who by marriage is a relation to Jennens, has been very zealous in prosecuting this business, and offers himself to come down, if there be occasion, to be a witness at the trial. But I fancy Stevens will not appear in Court. I suppose we shall be called persecutors in town, but these are new things in this place, and it is time to put a stop. This accident occasions a little correspondence betwixt your uncle and me.

1728, October 25.—I bless God that I hear by Mr. Thomas that you continue still without any sign of your fever; he says you are very weak, that I am not surprised at, and that the diet your doctor allows you is not likely to give you strength soon. Your diet treats you very judiciously, the more slow your recovery is the surer it will be. I hope there is no danger now but of a relapse by presuming too soon upon your strength. Even when strength returns you must consider that your whole body for some

time after such a shock will be very tender, and liable to be disordered upon the least thing that is irregular or even improper. But I hope since God has brought you within sight of death, and by His great mercy rescued you from it, you will learn by this illness to be more cautious how you manage your health for the future; and perhaps my doctrine of fasting may be a little more palatable to you than it has been.

1728, October 21.—They have been I hear in no little confusion in town upon the apprehension of the King of Spain's death.

Stevens was last Friday expelled the University by sentence in the Vice-Chancellor's court. He did not appear in court by himself or proctor.

1728, November 26.—There was a meeting on Monday se'nnight about publishing a programme in relation to Stephens. Only four Heads were there. Our governor, who appeared then to be against the thing, moved to have it adjourned because so few were present, which was agreed to. They met again to-day, only eight there. Whether the weather or the occasion hindered others from coming I know not. Four for the programme, the Vice-Chancellor, Corpus, Trinity and our Proctor. Four against it, our governor, Jesus, your acquaintance Felton of Edmond Hall who is gone into them, and the Proctor of New College. Our governor gave reasons against it, very proper to come from him, that it would make Stevens too considerable; that it would make men abroad think we had more infidels than we had; that it would be a reflection on the tutors to admonish them to take care of their pupils, and what they had no authority to do.

Warm words I hear passed betwixt our governor and Corpus. The true reasons of our governor's opposition are to make his court above, and to oppose the Bishop of Oxford, who is supposed to have advised this. London too is at the bottom, and he to be sure out of opposition to Oxford, for I hear his cousin, Gibson of Queen's, read a letter from him to some of his brethren, against this programme; I cannot yet learn what the letter was. I hope the Vice-Chancellor will bring this on again next Monday, and take care to have the meeting better attended. In the mean time, till we see the issue of this affair, communicate this I pray only to the Master.

1728, November 28.—I told you in my last that London had written a letter hither, about Stevens's affair, but I could not certainly tell what was in it. I must do every body justice. It is differently reported. Some say it was that the University ought to make some public declaration upon this occasion. As soon as I can learn the truth you shall hear it, but our governor has engaged himself in a cause very worthy of his episcopal character. Some say the Whigs design to make a party cause of it, and I think there is some ground for this report. I heartily wish they would put the credit of their party here on such a point.

1728, December 1.—I was sorry to see in last Thursday's prints that the Turkey company was resolved to use their interest

to have Stanyan continued; but I hope [what] was inserted there related only to the disposition they were in about a month ago, and that they have been mollified since. I should be sorry to have that project disappointed; so convenient a one will not be soon met with again.

Abel and his family came to town last night, and are gone on to-day towards London. The world is well changed and thoroughly reformed when that family travels on a Sunday.

1728, December 2.—Our Heads met again to-day, sixteen present. Our governor opposed the programme as warmly as before, and added one wise argument, that it would infringe the authority of all the particular Visitors of Colleges. Principal of Jesus seconded him, and Wadham spoke a little on his side. But when it was put to the vote only our governor and Jesus voted against it; the rest threw it up. Our governor looks somewhat simply upon this very ridiculous attempt.

Postscript. Your acquaintance Felton would not vote at all. He said he would have voted if he could have told which way would have been for the good of the University. I think I told you he is a new convert to Whiggism. At former meetings he was directly against the programme, but numbers now staggered him.

1728, December 5.—My news as to the main part is true. The point of moment I mentioned was the recalling of Zinzendorf, one part of that is now owned, that he is gone from Soissons with bag and baggage. The other part, his disgrace, you will hear of I believe as soon as he is come to Vienna; that he is disgraced at least in appearance. That Welderen brought this news was a circumstance only which I had from another hand and not from him who sent me the principal points. Though I still believe Welderen came not upon private business only, he might have that or might pretend that, and yet have private instructions for other affairs. The stocks begin to show what men's apprehensions are upon the recalling of Zinzendorf.

Do you not remember it was said lately in the prints that the Wild Boy was come to town and had been at the play house. I wish some unlucky rogue do not think he may have occasion soon to make an allegory of it.

1728, December 8.—Our new Prince will afford without doubt entertainment for conversation and materials for correspondence. I shall expect to hear some of his *Bon Mots*, and who are his favourites as well as his officers. Do you think the ladies are not busy, and laying designs to engage him? Happy the lady who has the good fortune to please most.

I have a book in 12mo. or small 8vo. called:—"The Adventures of (Mr. T. S.) an English merchant taken prisoner by the Turks of Angiers and carried into the inland countries of Africa, &c., written by the Author and fitted for the public view by A. Roberts, &c., London, printed and are to be sold by the Messrs. Pitt at the White Hart in Little Britain, 1670."

In this book towards the end, p. 240, is an account of a town and all the inhabitants of it, and everything else in it, petrified, on account of——. It says it is four or five days' journey distant from Tripoli. A note at the bottom of the page pretends that an account of it had been printed fourteen years before, under the name of Sir Kenelm Digby. But I look upon the whole book as well as this passage to be a mere fiction. I never met with any other account of any petrified town.

1728, December 9.—If the account I have heard of the gentleman you mention be true, I doubt not but he will repay the visit to the ladies who waited on him and be as willing to bestow his favours on them as they can be to receive them.

I cannot think there is any thing in the report of Prussia's investing Embden. He lies surely at too great a distance from it. Should he march from Cleves, the Dutch must have notice and be before him. Should he march from Brandenburg, he must go through Hanover. I have sent you the programme; it is but poorly drawn, and some expressions are not English. But those were not the exceptions our wise governor made, who displays his wisdom every day more upon every occasion whatever. We have had two such sermons lately from Llandaff, as I believe never came before from Christ Church or any other pulpit; most wretched stuff. But his episcopal zeal was strangely stirred in both sermons against the ladies' riding habit.

1728, December 15.—I can guess at no foundation for a strong report of peace, but a design to keep up the stocks a little, that they may sell out before the war breaks out. They who talk this most, believe least of it.

Should it be moved to annex Gibraltar and Port Mahon it can be with no other design, but that either the keeping of it, and a war upon that, or the giving it up, may come from the Parliament, and not from the ministry, as it must do if it be once annexed by act of Parliament.

This refusal of the whole tribe of the Finches has a bad aspect, and may seem to threaten the taking away that they have, instead of giving more. I shall send directed to your Lordship by Godfrey's wagon on Tuesday a piece of brawn, of which I would humbly beg my Lady's acceptance. I wish it may prove good, for our poor cook that used to make it is dead, this was made by his brother.

How come you never to mention to me our friend Auditor Foley's daughter's marriage with the parson of his parish? I conclude you must have heard of it. Nor did Abel say any thing of it. Thope the father bears it like a wise man, but the many examples he has seen of such marriages should have made him look out for a husband for the girl. That is one of the things that will do itself. The parson though is inexcusable, the Auditor had been not only his patron, but kind friend too.

1728, December 19.—I must beg leave to differ a little from your Lordship. Should the news of the Chevalier's death be

confirmed, I do not see how it could be of any help to the ministry, or any use they could make of it. I think quite the contrary. But we will "confer" notes on that point when we meet.

By the book which you say you have seen, I suppose you mean "The Adventures of the Merchant T. S." But I take it all to be a fiction from the beginning to the end. If there be no other authority for a petrified town, I cannot imagine how any one can ground anything in it fit for the Queen's perusal, and yet I never read or heard anywhere else of a petrified town.

How does our friend the Auditor bear his misfortune? Did he ever take any notice of it to you? If I mistake him not he is like to bear such a thing as well as most men.

1728, December 23.—I never thought my scrub book could have been of the value I find it is like to be. I was once going to have thrown it into the fire, but I have learnt from this instance as well as from some others to find that no book can be so contemptible for which one may not have occasion. I fancy Mr. Fowke will not be able to find this petrified city in any other travels.

If this story should prove to have any truth in it I doubt not but the virtuosi will confidently apply Lucan's verses to it; and it would be the best ground that ever has been found for the fable of Medusa. But I cannot imagine, if such a thing is true, how we never came to have any account of it either from Greeks or Romans or in later ages. This is my grand objection. Certainly these countries were known, at least as far as this city is said to be seated, better in former days than they are now; and so remarkable a thing could not have escaped notice.

1728, December 28.—I most heartily thank you for your account of the "London Journal." If the person you mention is the author, it is plain he is in great distress, and has very little to say for himself. The "Craftsman" will have great advantage against him from this paper. There was a report that the printer of the "Craftsman" was seized and his papers all carried off. I suppose it was groundless, because there was no mention of it in the last prints. It is a sure sign things go ill when they are shifting the blame from one to the other. If Stanhope has mettle he will resent what is said of his kinsman and patron. I doubt not but in a little time we shall have them come to the impeachments and laying the blame of them upon each other. The Tories have a fair game before them if they had any that had spirit and conduct to manage it. I forgot, as I designed last post, to observe to you that the very last passage in the "London Journal" seems to be a terrible threat to an old acquaintance of mine.

We expect the Commission here very soon that is to put an end to the differences at University College. Oxford, Llandaff, Bristol, Tanner, are of it, and Drs. Paull and Sayer. It is said it is to be over before the Parliament sits down. Dr. Henchman, who comes as advocate for Cockman, takes up his quarters with me, though I believe there will be little occasion for an advocate. I am apt to think Dennison and his party will not appear.

1728-9, January 6.—The British lay an unreasonable stress upon the succession to Tuscany and Parma. If I mistake not much, though we may oppose it now when we cannot have our own points, we had actually agreed to settle them on Don Carlos by that quadruple alliance to which Spain acceded; and it is ridiculous to pretend that a succession to those territories would be formidable to all out of Italy as well as in it. Are not Milan, Naples and Sicily, dominions of thrice the extent? Are not they supported by the power of the German empire? And yet we thought them so little formidable in one Prince, though of such vast dominions elsewhere, that when he had not Sicily, we perfidiously broke a treaty to get it for him.

I hear they design to do somewhat against Bolingbroke for his scribbling. I believe they think him the author of more than he is. But I see not what they can do to him, unless in the cause that will come on this winter betwixt him and young Packer. If that goes against him he may probably go abroad again.

1728-9, January 12.—I know Carteret drew up that letter which the late King transcribed with his own hand. W[alpole] was once told that he had a fair opportunity by letting it be produced of being even with Carteret. He said "True, but that we must not do." If W. is pressed hard, and should think he may escape himself by sacrificing Carteret, no doubt he will do it. I fancy I shall live to see Carteret called to answer for it. But as your Lordship justly observes it is impossible that such a letter could have been written with the privity of T[ownshend] and W[alpole]. I am sure that single letter is a more proper subject for impeachment than all the articles together in all the four impeachments of famous memory.

1728-9, January 13.—I am amazed that inscription was not blotted or torn out. No doubt it will be printed. Tories and Whigs will agree to promote that, but I do not doubt it will be made use of to the prejudice of Bob himself. Do you know who bought the book? The price for the letters you mention shows what extravagancy men are capable off.

I am amazed my old friend should go on to provoke those who will have it in their power within few weeks to give a cause of great importance to him against him. He will be very uneasy, if that cause goes against him in every branch of it. And his enemies will have this handle, that equity is certainly against him, if the letter of the law should be for him. I am mightily pleased with the Considerations; I wonder who the penman is. They are in the right way of quoting against him, the pamphlets he himself wrote against the late Queen and your father. I take the report of sending Pulteney to the Tower, to be only *in terrorem*. Should they do so extravagant a thing, they would lose much more than they would get by it. They would alarm all the world.

1728-9, January 19.—Your Lordship surely judges very right of his Excellency [Carteret]'s present disposition and of the reason

of his late civility to you. He dreads that letter being brought upon the stage as in the course of affairs it is likely it may. He has been screened hitherto for the sake of him that copied it, but should another person be pressed hard, no doubt he will give up his Excellency if he thinks he can excuse himself by it. That letter would be a just ground for an impeachment, which he would do well to think of, who went as heartily into the impeachment of others for measures for which they deserved the thanks of their country.

I thank you for the story of the madman; they may call him mad, but that demand was no sign of it. I wish some of our friends had known it and followed it. Should that which is aimed at be had at last, I believe it will be hardly purchased; but if nothing, as I am much afraid, should come of all our compliments how silly shall we look! Sir John certainly wants to get somewhat. All who deal with him should take that caution along with them. I wish him well, but things seem to go hard when one of his profession makes his court by a scrap of poetry.

1728-9, January 21.—I thank you heartily for the "British Journal," but surely the fellow writes booty (*sic*). I suppose Roger Manly is a feigned name. He has [not?] told all the truth, but he is pretty near it, and has said more than any honest man could ever have hoped he would. If this should be written by the bare allowance of the great men, it is plain they design to give up Byng and Car[teret], and the credit too of the late King into the bargain; and they hope to come off themselves by pretending they did not approve but could not stop that which they own they were privy to. Here might be fine sport made both within and without doors, were there any to manage these points to the most advantage.

Never surely was there an age in which we have such illustrious proofs that the most worthless men are the most impudent. With what face could our governor ask to have a prebend of Westminster added to that he has?

1728-9, March.—I am sure no bishop since the Restoration was warden of Manchester at the same time he was bishop of Chester till the present bishop, and I am pretty confident no one ever was so before the Restoration. No bishop of Chester since the Restoration was indeed ever warden at all till this man, except my father. Dr. Henchman convinced me thoroughly that the statutes of that College, which were made in Charles I.'s time, do not make the bishop of Chester local Visitor, but only give him the power of visiting there in his ordinary jurisdiction of bishop, which he could not have had in a royal foundation but by express grant from the crown. Indeed it is plain to me from the statutes, that the Crown has reserved to itself the general power of visiting. I am sorry we shall not have you to-morrow.

1728-9, March 22.—I thank you for the enclosed, the minority is less than I expected. Dr. Henchman saw your Lordship yesterday, he has promised me that he will endeavour to retrieve

his original opinion and send it to your Lordship. But he seems apprehensive that, in the trial of the Manchester cause, the King's Bench declared the bishop of Chester Visitor. His opinion, he says truly, though it should be right cannot be set against the decision of that court. I asked him if that point of the bishop's being Visitor was argued in court. He said he could not say it was. I asked him if that point was not taken *pro confesso* without being examined or any judgment given in it—which I take truly to be the case. He said he could not say but it might be so; he had heard only a confused account of that trial, but he would enquire particulars of Fazarkely who was concerned in it.

1729, Good Friday.—This Manchester bill raises my indignation. It is an improvement of that bill, by which, in the late Queen's time, all the charters of the cathedrals of the new foundation, which were really invalid, were by Act of Parliament made valid, and all prior acts done illegally upon those charters were confirmed. This is a new extraordinary method, to enable by Act of Parliament one part of a corporation to oppress the other, and depriving the oppressed of the benefit of the law. Whereas supposing a charter or statutes defective, yet every one of the body has a right to enjoy them, as they are at the time they are admitted to it, and no alteration can with justice be made, but with the consent of the whole body. But that is not the case here. All the difficulty was owing to themselves, by their putting a man into a place that was truly inconsistent with that he had before. But there was an obvious remedy for that difficulty, which was made use of, by recourse to the King's Bench. And now comes an Act of Parliament to deprive the oppressed of that legal remedy, and to put them in a state under which they can have no relief under any oppression. And this to screen a man who has done very illegal acts, and to take him out of the power of the common law, whereas in equity, if any Act of Parliament extraordinary, it should be to punish him, if the common law would not reach him. I could almost wish myself in town, to talk this over with my friends, though it is not my business, who am archdeacon of Richmond, to quarrel unnecessarily with their man, and therefore name not me. Poor Harbin may wish well, but he has not a head to be master of this case. Fazarkely, who I suppose will be counsel, is perfect master of it; and would Anglesey and Bingley be at a little pains to make themselves masters of it, there would be ample room to expose the person who has been put into that church, to expose those who put a man into a place so inconsistent with that he had before, and to expose the extraordinary methods that are taken to cover and justify the illegal acts which he has done, and to enable him to do more for the future, without any remedy against him, and to deprive others of the common benefit of the law.

1729, Easter Day.—If this Manchester bill pass, I am afraid both universities will think their foundations are made precarious by this instance. The authority which takes upon it to alter a

statute relating to a Visitor, may do it in any other point, as well as that of Visitor. And it has been thought an illegal arbitrary act to alter any thing to which men have a right under the great seal, without the consent at least, if not the express desire, of all concerned in the corporation. The universities will have reason to think this an ill return from old T[revo]r for taking in two of his sons to partake of the charity of one of their noblest foundations. I wish somebody would suggest this to him.

I think I told your lordship, that on the 5th of November last, as I think, or on some such day, the sheriff of Lancashire, who lives at Manchester, went in procession to a bonfire, and the bishop followed him with a dissenting minister on each hand of him. The sheriff amongst other healths drank to the immortal memory of King William, the "founder" of the protestant religion. So far my correspondent, a very worthy man, is sure was true. He says farther, he is credibly informed that the bishop pledged the health in the terms it was proposed by the sheriff. Were this story known to Anglesey and Bingley, they might make some use of it, and it might show what the person is for whose sake, and to screen and support whom in arbitrary illegal proceedings, such extraordinary bills are brought in.

1729, April 3.—I am glad Lord Anglesey is so zealous against the Manchester bill. I hope your Lordship, Lord Foley, and all your friends will assist him. It is a scandalous bill, and I wonder old T[revo]r would be employed in such dirty work. You see by the case sent to your Lordship, that there is just ground to doubt whether the Crown be not Visitor already. If it is so, this bill gives the Crown nothing, but takes away a great deal from it. But suppose the bishop of Chester sole Visitor; at best the bill is unnecessary, for in the case when the bishop is also warden, either the bishop against the fellows against the bishop (*sic*) may upon any grievance have recourse to and relief from common law, as the fellows had lately against the bishop's illegal acts, as they may now safely be called, since the King's Bench has called them so. There can be no occasion to alter a constitution settled under the great seal by such an extraordinary proceeding, unless it be evident that without such alteration no relief can be had upon any just grievance, but this bill is plainly to bar the fellows from having recourse to common law—the privilege of all Englishmen—and to put them under another power, by which they who would oppress hope to have the advantage. Do the proceedings of a bishop that have been judged by the King's Bench illegal deserve such a reward, or one rather of another nature? But surely some stop might be put at least to this bill by having the statutes referred to the judges for them to give their opinion who is Visitor. Nor can it be proper to proceed, till you are sure the Crown has not already that power you pretend to give. When you are sure of that it may be proper to consider whether it may be more legal to leave the fellows to have recourse upon exigency that requires it to the law, or to create a new power, unknown to their statutes. And if this power of altering charters and statutes by Act of Parliament for sinister ends be allowed to ministers, no

one knows when any foundation is secure, be it never so firmly established by law. If it should be said in the debate—as likely enough it may by London—that the late bishop had a design to have had the wardenship annexed to the see of Chester, I hope somebody will be ready to answer that it is true, but that he was never so absurd or so wicked as to design to be Visitor of himself, but in the bill for annexing it to have the visitorial power transferred either to York or to the Crown. There may be full room for Anglesey to expose the present bishop, if Anglesey will but take a little pains to inform himself of the cause.

A sad accident happened here two days before I came. The youngest son of Frank Annesly's, who is of Queen's, had some company with him drinking a bowl of rack punch. There was one Field of Trinity, who whiffed some tobacco in Annesly's face. Annesly told him if he did so again he would do him a mischief. The boy foolishly repeated it, and Annesly without more ado stabbed him betwixt the ribs with a pen-knife. At first it was hoped the pen-knife had stuck in the bone, but now it appears it went betwixt them, and the boy's life is despaired of, and Annesly is gone off.

1729, April 7.—Your Lordship judges very truly of the drift of the Manchester bill. The sole end of it is to debar the fellow, when oppressed, from having recourse to that which is the privilege of all Englishmen, the common law. By those who come to see me, I find we are “alarumed” at it here, and think it may [be] a precedent to break in upon any part of our legal establishments whenever those who wish not well to us are disposed to it. They lay on our T[revo]r plentifully, for seeming to have the chief concern in a bill of such pernicious consequence to us, at the same time that he has two sons whom he is pleased to allow to partake of one of the noblest of our charities.

I am, I bless God, tolerably easy to-day, but I live in dread of a return of my fit. My doctors insult me now for not having followed their advice. I perceive if my fit returns they design to let me undergo a great deal more pain before they will do anything to relieve me.

1729, April 8.—After I wrote to your Lordship yesterday I had a return of my fit. The pain was as severe as ever. The symptoms after the pain was gone were more favourable than usual. They have given me physic to-day which torments me without relieving me, and I know not whether the pain I have be owing to my physic or a return of my fit.

The prints say Lord Lansdowne is come to town. Do you hear anything of him or upon what terms he comes?

[1729, April 11.]—As yet I hear of no return of my fit. The doctors tell me I am better; I am sure I am low and weak.

If the termagant of Spain pretends to be disposed to peace, I am afraid she is upon some new juggle.

1729, April 13.—Accounts from Spain I find differ. A correspondent of mine that you may guess at says he has seen letters

of good authority that say the Emperor rules there still and is not likely to dispose them to peace whilst he can get subsidies from them. Should they fail it is thought the case would soon alter.

Postscript. I hear there is a satire on the subscribers to Gay. Could you send it?

1729, April 14.—This is the first day I have been allowed to come out of my bedchamber into my study. I hope I am better, but low and weak still.

Pray let me hear if there be any confirmation of the termagant of Spain's eagerness for peace. If it be so it is somewhat extraordinary, and it is not easy to guess what can be the motive of it.

1729, April 15.—After all my bark my fit returned again last night. It was not quite so violent I think as some former ones but it lasted longer, from five in the evening till six in the morning. The doctors must say somewhat, but I am satisfied that tho' they are able men and very careful of me they know not what to make of my illness. I must wait to see what this will end in. *

1729, May 6.—I shall not trouble your Lordship but upon ordinary days, unless anything extraordinary happens. I hope I get a little strength, but it is very slowly.

I am desired to write to your Lordship in behalf of Mr. Manatou, who desires to succeed Mr. Langford in your chapel. He who was proctor of the University and censor of the College last year is in my opinion humble enough to desire a reader's place.

But I beg all my humble requests to your Lordship may be understood to be upon a supposal that you have no one else in your view.

I beg my duty to my Lady and Lady Margaret.

THOMAS WYATT to the EARL OF OXFORD.

1729, May 8. Oxford.—Last night about six o'clock my dear master died, went away very suddenly without sigh or groan. I am very sensible this is very unwelcome news to your Lordship, but I thought it my duty to acquaint your Lordship.

* Many brief letters of Dr. Stratford follow this, referring entirely to the progress of his illness.

INDEX.

A

- A., Lord [? Abingdon], 141, 155.
- Abingdon :
 [Montagu Bertie], Earl of, 21, 45, 58,
 59, 139 [141, 155 ?], 293, 442.
 —, as Justice in Eyre, 151.
 —, Master of the Horse to, 402.
 —, Lady, his wife, 58, 235.
- Abingdon, co. Berks, 165, 190, 258.
 attempt to get Mr. Harcourt elected
 for, 153, 158.
 election at, 318.
- Action road, the, 194.
- Adams : [Fitzherbert], Rector of Lincoln
 College, Oxford, 255.
 —, death and funeral of, 256.
 —, as the late Rector, 257 (2).
- Admiralty, the, 453.
 commission of, 20.
- Adriatic Gulf, 421.
- Æneas and Evander, 446.
- Affleck, Mr., 335, 352.
- Africa :
 petrified town in, 471, 472.
 prisoners in, 470.
- African company and stock, 278, 282.
- Africanus. *See* Cadogan.
- "Agatha" [? wife or daughter of John
 Drummond], 161, 234, 275, 280, 305.
- Aislabe (Aislaby), [John], Chancellor of
 the Exchequer, 280, 297, 301.
 dismissal and committal of, 287, 291.
 son in law of. *See* Waller.
- Albemarle :
 [Christopher Monk] Duke of, as
 Governor of Jamaica, 438.
 Duchess of, 438.
- Aldrich :
 Charles, 214, 359.
 —, controversy of, with Dr. Strat-
 ford, and proceedings thereupon,
 85-110, 119, 139, 145-147, 175, 176,
 183.
 —, memorial by, 86.
 —, as chaplain to Bishop Atter-
 bury, 352.
 —, as chaplain to the King, 399.
 —, wife, mother and sister of, 175.
- Dr. Henry, Dean of Christ Church, 2,
 4, 5, (2), 13, 29, 55-57, 62, 64, 68,
 69, 77, 82, 106, 402.
 —, his appointment and installa-
 tion as Dean, alluded to, 30, 55, 61.
 —, death of, 24, 25, 114.
 —, books of, 97, 104, 106, 112.
- Aldrich, Dr. Henry—*cont.*
 —, edition of the *Odyssey* by,
 72.
 —, model by, for Peckwater, 217.
 —, picture and statue of proposed,
 68.
 —, property of, disputes relating
 to. *See* Aldrich, Charles.
 —, will and letters of, mentioned,
 106, 109, 110.
 —, nephew of. *See* Aldrich
 Charles.
 —, executor of, 154.
- Aldworth, [Charles], Professor of History
 at Oxford, death of, 272.
- Alecto, allusion to, 150.
- Alexandrian :
 Jews, 238.
 manuscripts, 34.
- Algerines, the, 421.
- Algiers (Angiers), Turks of. *See* Turks.
- Alice [Wharton?], Mistress, 395.
- Allestree, [Dr. Richard], as former Divinity
 professor at Oxford, 24.
- Almoner, Lord High. *See* Smalridge.
- Alsace, French possessions in, 415.
- Alsop [Anthony], tutor at Christ Church,
 73, 88, 117, 134, 183, 224, 225,
 244, 359, 439.
 —, marriage of, 214.
 —, sister of, 88.
- Altham, Dr. [Roger], Canon of Christ
 Church, 87, 102, 109, 118, 138,
 142, 185.
 —, as late Treasurer, and suggested
 as sub-dean, 82.
 —, death of, 201.
- Althorp, co. Northampton, gathering of
 the Whig party at, 18.
- Ambassadors in England (foreign minis-
 ters), 317.
- Amelia, Princess, 463.
- America, travels in, 301.
- Amherst, —, of St. John's College, Oxford,
 257.
- Amsterdam, 10, 41, 225.
 banker at, 282.
 Jewish quarter at, 239.
- Ancaster, Duchess Dowager of, 423.
- Anderson :
 —, of Christ Church, 263.
 or Anderton, a Presbyterian minister,
 22.
- Anderson's book, 461.
- Anget. *See* Auger.
- Anglesey :
 [John Annesley 4th] Earl of, 14.

Anglesey—*cont.*

- [Arthur Annesley 5th] Earl of, 19, 185, 186, 189, 282, 475-477.
 —, report that he is to go to Ireland, 191, 192.
 —, kinsman of. *See* Annesley, Frank.
 Anne, Queen, 11, 15, 16, 21, 46, 67, 76, 77, 185.
 Act of, concerning cathedral charters, 475.
 applications, complaints or petitions to, 21, 46, 75, 78, 158, 159.
 Court of, quarrels at, 188.
 dressing equipage of, 384, 386.
 grants, gifts or patronage of, 3, 151, 164, 165, 317.
 health of, 176, 181.
 letters to or from, alluded to, 13, 159, 391, 423.
 patent of, alluded to, 82.
 speech of. *See* Parliament.
 warrants of, mentioned, 171, 181.
 and Oxford University, 81, 175, 176.
 proposed appeal to. *See* Christ Church, chapter of.
 and her parliament, 155, 158.
 and Westminster, 165.
 and the Emperor, 65, 191, 192.
 and the Schism bill, 188.
 purse of, "kept close" by Lord Oxford, 272.
 death of, 197 (2), 198, 337.
 as the late Queen, 203, 373, 424, 473.
 reign of, swarm of pamphlets in, 455.
 Annesley, Frank, kinsman of the Earl of Anglesey, 141, 192, 281, 282, 359, 447.
 youngest son of, 477.
 "Annesley pretensions," 282.
 Antwerp, 224.
 "Arabian," the, and his spouse, 237.
 Arabian "geographer" needed, 355.
 Arbuthnot:
 Dr. [John], 396, 452.
 —, writings of, 373, 432.
 "young," 446.
 Archer:
 [Andrew], M.P. for co. Warwick, 211.
 a clergyman, 33, 39.
 Archdeacon, Dean of the, 257 (2).
 Argyll, [John Campbell], Duke of, 17, 198, 208, 313, 329, 331.
 brother of. *See* Ilay, Earl of.
 Arian heresy, or Arians, 65, 297.
 Armagh:
 Archbishop of. *See* Lindsay.
 Archbishop-elect of. *See* Boulter, Dr. Hugh.
 Arms, officers of, Blewmantle and Rouge-rose, 367.
 Army or troops:
 augmentation of, 337, 344.
 camps, 329, 332, 334.
 debate on, in the Commons, 390, 419.
 need to keep up the strength of, 371.

Army or troops—*cont.*

- Guards, the, 427.
 —, 1st regiment of, Colonels of, 329.
 —, 2nd regiment of, Colonel of, 329.
 dragoon regiment, a, 229.
 Evan's dragoons, 357.
 regiments of, for the Straits, 427.
 in Flanders, 10.
 —, commander of. *See* Rivers, Earl.
 —, the Duke of Marlborough said to have left, 2.
 —, camp of, news from, 14.
 —, commands in, 15.
 Arran, [Charles Butler], Earl of, Chancellor of Oxford University, 245, 335, 348, 389, 417.
 Ashden [? Ashdown] Park, 93.
 Ashurst, Sir Harry, 6.
 death of, 30.
 Astley, Colonel [Jacob, Lord], saying of, quoted, 338.
 Aston [? co. Warwick], 241.
 Asturias, Prince of, 372. *And see* Spain, King of.
 Atterbury, Dr. Francis:
 as Prolocutor of Convocation, 26, 43.
 report that he is to be made Archbishop, 26, 27.
 is to be Dean of Christ Church, 33.
 desire of, for promotion, 49, 50, 84, 154, 179, 182, 304, 349.
 as Dean of Christ Church (our governor, villain, tyrant, fiend), 49—151, *passim*, 162, 261, 452.
 —, installation of, 51, 61, 62.
 distrust or dislike of, *passim*.
 behaviour of, to Dr. Stratford. *See* Stratford, William.
 as chaplain to the Master of the Rolls, 52, 89.
 bullies the canons, 63.
 proceedings of, in Chapter, 64, 69, 90, 96, 98, 99, 101-105, 109, 122.
 and the new edition of the Septuagint, 67.
 is sitting for his picture, 73.
 begins to treat his students with tea, 76.
 absence of, makes a happy calm, 77.
 as former Archdeacon in Exeter diocese, 89.
 as former Dean of Carlisle, 89, 94, 148, 156, 158.
 ill-temper or "rages" of, 96, 98, 99, 101, 102, 109, 137.
 sermon preached by, "every word of it applicable to himself," 104.
 ill-deeds of, enumerated, 116.
 and Lord Harcourt. *See* Harcourt.
 his support of Mr. Harcourt, 121, 126.
 dismissal of the Chapter clerk by, 123-128.
 has the gout, 129.
 is to be Bishop of Rochester and Dean of Westminster, 140, 141, 144.

Atterbury, Dr. Francis—*cont.*

installation of, as Dean of Westminster, 142, 143, 144, 146.
 is in possession of two Deaneries, 143, 145, 147.
 his parting legacy, 145.
 graspingness or parsimony of, 151-154, 163.
 blesses the officers of the train bands, 153.
 his ascendancy over the Lord Chancellor, 157.
 and the appointment to the Deanery of Carlisle, 157, 158, 160.
 and the Excommunication Bill, 159.
 as Bishop of Rochester (Ruffian, Ruffe), 163, 182, 183, 186, 194, 202, 211, 214, 224, 232, 296, 313.
 as Dean of Westminster (the Abbot), 165, 172, 249, 275, 325-327, 329, 330.
 —, proceedings of, in relation to the vestry of St. Margaret's, 157, 165, 172.
 and the Speaker's chaplain, 170.
 Mr. Harcourt's child christened by, 178.
 design to appoint, Lord Privy Seal, 186, 198, 203.
 and Lord Oxford, 190, 265, 267, 294.
 attitude of, after Queen Anne's death, 199, 200.
 reception of, at Court, 247.
 tale concerning, 248.
 health of, drunk, 251.
 law-suits of, 259, 264, 277, 288, 290, 292, 294, 298.
 and New Chapel, Westminster, 263, 281, 282.
 tampering of, with a witness, 283.
 and the South Sea Company, 289, 297.
 and Sunderland, 293, 295.
 and Bolingbroke, 93, 174, 194, 294.
 visit of the Ministers to, 299, 300.
 efforts of, to "regain credit with old friends," 307, 310.
 and Lord Wharton, 310.
 and Walpole, 324, 327.
 "is making pretences" to Lady Buckingham, 325-327.
 negotiations of, with the Jacobites; the dog *Harlequin* sent to, 326-328, 330.
 and Pope; *see* Pope, Alexander.
 committal to and imprisonment in the Tower, 332-336, 339.
 as former tutor of Lord Orrery, 335.
 accused of assaulting the Constable of the Tower, 344, 345.
 proceedings against, 350, 351, 354, 375-377.
 banishment of, 355-360, 362.
 presents to, 361.
 Dr. Freind mistaken for, 363.
 proceedings of, abroad, 366, 367, 385, 386, 390, 408, 412, 422.
 dismissed by the Pretender, 464, 465.
 address got up by, alluded to, 391.

Atterbury, Dr. Francis—*cont.*

papers relating to, mentioned, 402.
 said to be writing a history of his own times, 465.
 wife of, 66, 99.
 —, death of, 327 (2).
 son of, 345, 355.
 daughter of, 345.
 son-in-law of. *See* Morris.
 chaplains of. *See* Aldrich, Charles, Savage, Mr.
 disciples of, 362.
 servants of, 126, 344, 366, 367.
 "Attorney, the." *See* Harcourt, Lord.
 Attorney-General. *See*:
 Harcourt, Sir Simon.
 Northey, Sir Edward.
 Jekyll, Sir Joseph.
 Yorke, Sir Philip.
 office of, rumours concerning, 407.
 Aubrey's Miscellanies, 36.
 Auditor, Mr. *See* Harley, Edward,
 Auditor of the Imprest.
 Auditor's place, disposal of, 160.
 Audly, Dr., 282.
 Auget or Anget, —, 405, 406, 414.
 Austria, House of, 407.
 Aylesford [Heneage Finch, 2nd] Earl of, 424, 425, 468.
 Aynho, co. Northampton, 36.
 parsonage of, 43.

B

B —, 283.
 B., Mr., 90.
 Bacon:
 Mr., 293.
 [Thomas], 245, 246, 420, 457.
 Badcock's waggon, 201.
 Bagford [John?], 72.
 Baginton, co. Warwick, William Bromley's house at, 228, 241, 243, 246.
 Bagshaw:
 —, bachelor student at Christ Church, 156.
 —, formerly chaplain at Christ Church, 214.
 Baines [Christopher], prebendary of Gloucester, 84.
 Baker:
 —, sub-precentor to the Prince's children, 209.
 —, Canon of St. Paul's, 458.
 [William], of Wadham College, 218.
 —, as Warden of Wadham, 251, 252, 257, 321, 330.
 Baltic Sea, the, ships for, 425.
 Bampfylde, Sir Copleston, 79.
 Banbury, election at, 319.
 Bangor, Bishop of. *See* Hoadley, Benjamin.
 Bangorian doctrine or Bangorism, 246, 468.

- Bangorians. *See* Hoadley, Dr., party of.
- Banister, Sergeant, 56.
- Bank of England, 1, 289, 308, 311, 393, 415, 420, 421, 453, 454.
and the South Sea Company, 328, 330, 331.
- Bankruptcy Commission, 400.
- Banks, the, 421.
- Barbados, attorney for, 165.
- Barber:
John, 248.
[John], printer, 183 (2).
Mr. (and his lease), 130.
- Barker:
—, J.P., 357, 358.
Surgeon, father of the above, 357.
[Thomas] of Lincoln College, 226.
- Barnard, John or Sir John, 392, 457, 458.
- Barnes:
Joshua, *History of Edward III.* by, 211.
Mrs., 326.
- Baron, Dr. [John], Master of Balliol College, Oxford, and chaplain to the Bishop, 5, 35.
—, a prebend to be given to, 170, 171.
—, as Vice-Chancellor, 203, 212, 213, 216, 218 (2), 223, 233-235, 239.
—, as late Vice-Chancellor, 245.
—, serious illness of, 307.
- Baron, the, 320.
- Bateman:
John, 268, 272, 280.
—, mother of, 268.
Mr., bookseller, 301.
Mrs., 309.
—, 310.
- Bath, the, Knights of (the Red Ribbons), 399.
- Bath and Wells, Bishop of. *See* Hooper, George.
- Bath:
Archdeacon of, 89.
estate, 40.
letters dated at, 84-94, 166 (3), 236, 237, 396, 397.
visitors to, 55, 155, 168, 169, 225, 273, 276, 278, 294, 335, 385, 389, 395, 398, 401, 411, 440, 463, 467.
the waters at, 168, 184, 236, 238, 396, 432, 451.
—, a specific for the colic, 385.
- Bathurst [Allen], Lord, 392, 401, 426.
- Battely:
—, late Receiver for the Chapter of Westminster, 325, 329.
—, "young," his nephew, 325, 327, 329, 330.
Mr., Deans yard, 389, 426.
- Bayley. Mr., student at Christ Church, death of, 155, 156.
—, father and mother of, 156.
- Bayly, Dr., son of, 24.
- Beaufort:
[Henry Somerset, 2nd] Duke of, 61, 92.
—, wins a horse race, 17.
[Henry Somerset, 3rd] Duke of, 280, 374.
- Beaumont, Sir George, 206.
- Beck:
—, 280.
—, scrivener, one of Dr. Ratcliffe's executors, 206.
- Beckham [Edward], of Oriel, 273.
- Bedchamber:
Lord of, place of, refused, 327, 329.
Lady of the, 205.
- Bedford, [Wriothesley Russell] Duke of, 422.
- Beecher or Becher, Sir William, clerk of the Council, *temp.* Charles I., 27.
- Bender, the King of Sweden at, 312.
- Bengal, factory for, 407.
- Bennet, a printer, 67.
- Benson:
Archdeacon, 322.
Robert, M.P., created Lord Bingley (*q. v.*), 160.
Mr., 191, 274.
- Bentivoglio, writings of, 224.
- Bentley:
Dr. [Richard], Master of Trinity Coll., Cambridge, 266, 285, 291, 292, 296, 369, 389, 412, 418, 419, 435, 437, 439, 441, 453.
—, project of, for a new edition of the New Testament, 219.
—, as Archdeacon [of Ely], 242.
—, proceedings against, or opposition to, at Cambridge, 243-245, 282 (2).
—, loses the Regius professorship of Divinity, 243.
—, letter to (printed), mentioned, 292.
—, MSS. of, mentioned, 297.
"young," writings of, 231.
- Beresford, Mrs., 11.
- Berkeley:
Lord, 154, 453.
—, dismissal of, 449, 450.
—, son of, 154.
[Dr. George], Dean of Derry, writings of, 396.
—, design of, to build a college [in Bermuda], 417, 467.
John, candidate for co. Gloucester, 17.
—, chaplain to the Chevalier, 428.
- Berkshire, [? Earl and Countess of], 433.
- Berkshire, 39, 202, 425.
Downs, cottage on, 276, 277. *And see* Shefford, Little.
elections in, 20, 317, 318.
knights of the shire for. *See* Packer and Stonehouse.
regiments in, 334.
visitation in, 322.
- Berlin, ambassador for, 30.

Bernard :

Dr., books of, sold by auction, 23, 28, 29, 38.

Sir John. *See* Barnard.

Bertie :

Dr. [Charles], Professor of Natural Philosophy, 271, 434.

Captain, 296.

—, eldest son of, 296.

—, daughter of, death of, 200.

James, son of, at Christ Church, 214.

Berties, the, 442. *And see* Abingdon, Earl of.

Bettenson, Dr., 183, 185.

Beza, verses by, 28.

Biggs, Mr., 360.

Billing Bear, co. Berks, 425.

B[ingley], Lord, 322, 475, 476. *And see* Benson, Robert.

Binsey, co. Oxford, manor of, 150.

Birch, Peter, 454.

Birmingham :

houses at, searched for arms, 325.

village near, 242.

Bishops, the, 30, 389, 391, 406, 412.

"a declaration of," 213.

and the Bill for Relief of Dissenters, 247.

meeting of, against masquerades, 393.

Bishop's Castle, co. Salop, elections at, 22, 314.

Bishopthorpe, co. York, 401.

Bisse :

Dr. [Philip], Bishop of St. Davids, 69, 98, 114, 122.

—, as Bishop of Hereford, 163, 178, 227.

—, nephew of. *See* Carmarthen, Marquis of.

Dr., brother of the Bishop, 227.

Blackburne, [Lancelot], Bishop of Exeter, 316, 317, 365, 377.

as Archbishop of York, 393, 401, 405, 406, 410, 411, 413, 414, 417, 424.

"Blacks," the, in Berkshire, 357 (2), 358, 362.

Bladen, co. Oxford, parish of, 467.

Blair, Laird of, 361.

Bland, —, 345.

Blandford, [William Godolphin] Marquis of, marriage of, 467.

Blechingden, Dr. [Richard], 26, 33, 34, 41, 44, 48, 49, 55, 56.

as chaplain to the Lord Keeper, 32, 34, 58, 60, 61.

as Principal of Gloucester Hall (afterwards Worcester College), 115, 126, 138, 282, 338, 349, 386.

illness of, 365.

Blencow [Sir John], judge of Common Pleas, 6, 8.

Blenheim, co. Oxford, 14, 366.

stoppage of the works at, 19, 20.

is a curse to Oxford, 55.

chapel, consecration of, 467.

Bligh (Blith), Mr., brother of Lord Darnley, 413.

Blunts, the two, 57.

Bodley, Sir Thomas, funeral of, mentioned, 209.

Bohemia, [Elizabeth], Queen of, 27.

son of, 27.

Bois-le-Duc (the Busse), account of the siege of, mentioned, 29.

Bolingbroke, [Henry St. John], Viscount (the Captain), 85, 87, 93, 178, 185, 186, 191, 200, 203, 210, 224, 334, 354, 422, 431, 457.

and Atterbury. *See* Atterbury.

and Lord Oxford, 161, 174.

is void of all sense of honour and religion, 164.

is reconciled to Lord Lansdowne, 174.

report of his intended resignation, 187.

apologies of, to his wife, 193.

after Queen Anne's death, 198-200, 202.

in exile, 228, 278.

and his wife's estate, 244, 245, 410.

is not to be included in the Act of Grace, 302.

bill for the recall of, 370, 373, 392, 395, 397.

conditions of his pardon, 385.

is writing a history, 386.

return of, 361 (2), 363, 408.

makes "strange havoc at Bucklebury," 409.

annoyance of, with Dr. Stratford, 417, 418.

is playing a desperate game, 430.

"the most friendless man in England," 450.

writings of, 473; *and see* the Craftsman, *under* Books.

estates of, commissioners for, 410.

father of. *See* St. John, Viscount.

Lady, his first wife (poor "Dice"), 87, 161, 164, 169, 193, 203, 411, 417, 418.

—, illness and death of, 243, 244.

—, will and estates of, 244, 245, 410.

Lady, his second wife [Madame de Villette], 392, 430, 457.

—, reported death of, 446.

Bolton, Duchess of, made Lady of the Bedchamber, 205.

Books or pamphlets :

collections of, 461.

description of, 27, 28.

editions :

Aldus, 236.

Colineus, 236.

Elzevir, 28.

Louvre, 262.

high prices given for, 38.

Latin, list of, 366.

libraries of. *See* Oxford, Earl of; Harley, Lord.

sales of, 48; *and see* Bernard, Dr., and Hutton, Dr., books of.

titles of :

Account of Guiana, 29.

Account of John, Earl of Rochester, 182.

Books or pamphlets—*cont.*

- Adventures of an English Merchant*, 470, 472.
Apologia pro variis lectionibus, Reinesii, 23.
Associations, Lesly's, 368.
The Bedle's deposition, 245.
British Journal, the, 474.
Chronological tables, 162.
Conciliorum omn. general. et Provincial., 262, 264.
"The Conduct of the Allies" (Swift), 79.
Considerations, the, 473.
The Conversation, verses called, 271.
Craftsman, the, 451, 454.
Cyropædia, 112.
De Divinatione, Tully, 292.
De Elementis, Contarenus, 244.
De principiis rerum, poem by Scipio Capricius, 244.
De usu Numismatum, 33.
"The Disputation concerning the controversit Headdis of Religion &c.", 28.
Dunciad, the, 464, 465.
The Emperor and Empire betrayed, &c., 182.
Enquiry into the Ideas of Beauty and Virtue, 396.
Epistle of Clemens, 86.
Epistolæ, Aristæti, 38.
Fœdera, Rymer's, 52, 53.
Geometry, 86.
Le Grand Voyage des Hurons, par F. Gab. Sayard Theodat, 301.
Guiscard's Ghost, 200, 202.
Gulliver's Travels, 445.
Harmony [of the Gospels], 219, 297.
Hermit, the, 47.
"Histoire secrète de la reine Sarah et des Zaraziens", 16.
History of Edward III., 211.
"Iliads," the, 72.
Journal of the famous siege of the Busse (Bois-le-duc), 29.
Jus Academicum, 321.
Lexicon, 169.
Life of Mahomet, 355.
— of Wolsey, 182.
Magdeburgenses, 239, 240.
Marmora Oxoniensia, 435, 439, 440.
Memoirs, Guthrie's, 182.
The Lord and no Lord, 457.
Miscellanies, Aubrey's, 36.
Miseries and hardships of the inferior clergy, 323.
Narrative of proceedings against James, Bishop of St. Asaph, 182.
Natura Deorum, Tully, 236.
Neuburgensis, Hearne, 235, 245.
New Testament, Greek, 195.
— various editions of, 30, 65, 219; and see Grabe, Dr.
— translation of, 297.

Books or pamphlets—*cont.*

- New Year's Gift*, 30.
Odyssey, the, 72.
—, Pope's translation of, 461.
Oxford Toasts, a poem, 242, 257.
On the Greek Church, 334.
Phalaris [Epistles of], 437.
Poems, Daniell's, 195.
"The proceedings of the Lower House of Convocation, &c.", 159.
"Queries," the, 16.
Ravillac Redivivus, 368.
Relation des Voyages eu Tartarie, &c., par Pierre Bergeron, 301.
Satires of Horace, 86.
Some thoughts on the Greek Church, 312.
Spicilegium, Grabe's, 180.
—, Hearne's, 260.
Spirit of the Whigs, Swift, 183.
Tracts, Toland's, 441.
Transactions and History of the Royal Society, 169.
Travels, Thevenot, 312.
—, Misson, 215.
—, books of, 301.
Enead, Virgil's quotations from, 41, 446.
True Briton, 361, 362.
Turmen Tograi, 354.
Typicon (at Lincoln College), 258.
University Education, by Newton, 436.
The White Staff, 210.
Works of Chaucer, 72, 211, 244.
—, Cyprian, 262.
—, Epictetus, 28, 32, 86.
—, Irenæus, 25, 26.
—, Jerome, 306.
—, Lucian (translation), 218.
—, Phædrias, 412.
—, Speed, 180.
—, Terence, 412.
—, Virgil, 72.
—, Xenophon, 32, 112.
Boraston, —, fellow of University College, 346, 370.
Boscawen, [Hugh], M.P. for Cornwall, 17.
Bothmar, Baron, 200.
—, memorial of, 80.
Boulter (Bolter), Dr. Hugh, made Bishop of Bristol and Dean of Christ Church, 263.
—, installation of, 263.
—, as Dean of Christ Church, 264, 271, 275-278, 306, 313, 321, 330, 331, 365, 378.
—, as Archbishop of Armagh, 378, 381-383, 386.
Bourbon, Duke of, 410, 411, 413, 416, 429.
Bourchier:
 [Catherine], 296.
 [James], assessor in the Vice-Chancellor's court, Oxford, 92.
 [Dr? James], Principal of St. Alban Hall, 462, 463.
 [Thomas], Archbishop of Canterbury [ob. 1486], 301.

- Bourchier—*cont.*
 [Thomas], Professor of Civil Law at Oxford, 92.
 —, opinion of, 92.
 —, son of. *See* Bourchier, James.
- Bovey, Mrs., 51.
- Bowles, [Joseph], under-librarian at Oxford, 265.
 — as Bodley's librarian, 265, 307, 320.
- Brabourn, Dr. [John], Principal of New Inn Hall, 346, 370.
- Brackley, co. Northampton, 165.
- Bradford, [Dr. Samuel], Bishop of Rochester and Dean of Westminster, 456.
- Bradshaw:
 John, President of Council of State, *temp.* Commonwealth, 414.
 William, Canon of Christ Church, 360-363, 378.
 —, as Dean, 381-383, 387-389, 396, 409, 412-414, 434, 438, 441, 447, 448, 466, 469, 470, 474.
 —, as Bishop of Bristol, 467, 472.
 —; of New College, 222, 223.
- Braithwaite, Dr. [Thomas], as Vice Chancellor of Oxford University, 3, 4, 5, 46, 54, 59, 75.
 wife of, 9.
- Brampton, co. Hereford, 34, 155, 211, 227, 230, 260, 277, 284, 287, 290, 300, 303.
 Archdeacon at, 290.
 parson of, 288.
- Brandenburg, 471.
- Bremen, 430.
- Brest squadron, the, 32.
- Bretton, Mr., of Norton, 18.
 his brother, 18.
- Brickenden, Dr. [Colwell], Master of Pembroke College, Oxford, reported death of, 202.
- Bridewell, 52.
 clerk of, 87.
- Bridge [? Dr. Bridges], 370.
- Bridges:
 Dr., 141.
 [John], 225, 256, 301.
 —, his History of Northamptonshire, 307.
 —, death of, 376, 377.
 —, books of, 377.
 —, one of Dr. Busby's trustees, 424.
- Bridgwater, "young," death of, 279.
- Bridgwater, co. Somerset, M.P. for, 300.
- Brinsden (the Pandar), 228.
- Bristol [John Hervey], Earl of, 426.
- Bristol, 241, 357.
 Bishops of. *See* Robinson, Dr. John; Smalridge, Dr. George; Boulter, Dr. Hugh.
 prebend of, 71, 170, 171.
- Brodrick [Laurence], canon of Westminster, 165, 329.
- Bromley, William, M.P. for Oxford University, Speaker of the House of Commons, 24, 36, 40, 44, 46, 48, 50, 55, 61, 70, 78, 80, 93, 95, 120, 121, 140-146, 158, 160.
 —, letter from, 93.
- Bromley, William—*cont.*
 —, first prize for verses awarded to, 111.
 —, the verses written for him, 112, 113.
 —, as the late Speaker, 164.
 —, as Secretary of State, 170, 175, 176, 180, 181, 185, 192, 194, 198.
 —, as executor to Dr. Ratcliffe, 206.
 —, after his dismissal (our friend in Warwickshire), 209-211, 228, 229, 232, 246, 260, 265, 266, 269, 270, 280, 281, 284, 294, 309, 310, 330 (?), 335, 340, 347, 348, 350, 364, 366, 369, 372, 452.
 —, health of, 241, 242, 245, 258 (2).
 —, reported death of, 235, 236.
 —, house of. *See* Baginton.
 —, chaplain of, 44.
 —, nephew and godson of. *See* Holt.
 "young," son of the above, 44, 61, 179, 211, 372, 439.
- Bromley, Kent, Bishop of Rochester's palace at, 193, 326, 352.
- Brooke, [William Greville], Lord, 249.
- Brooks:
 John, Chapter clerk at Christ Church, 74, 98, 99, 106, 108, 130, 136, 141, 148-151.
 —, dismissal of, by Dean Atterbury, 123-125, 127.
 —, interview of, with the Dean, notes of, 127, 128.
 —, children of, 127.
 —, clerk of, 123.
 [Richard], of Christ Church, 276.
- Brotherton [Thomas], of Balliol College, 263.
- Brough [Burgh], John, 165.
- Broughton, Mrs., 308, 343.
- Browne, Mr., bursar of University College, 346.
- Broxholme, [Noel], 54, 72, 113, 157, 160.
- Brudenel, —, 193.
- Brunsdon, Joseph, 361.
- Brussels, 407.
- Brydges, [Dr. Henry], brother of the Duke of Chandos, 304.
- Buckingham:
 [George Villiers], Duke of, 27, 29.
 —, letters to and from, sent to Harley, 25-27.
 —, [Katherine], Duchess of, his wife, letters of, sent to Harley, 25, 29.
 — [Mary], daughter of, 27.
 [George], Duke of, his son, 241.
 [John Sheffield], Duke of (old Rub), death of, 291.
 —, wrote his own epitaph, 293.
 — [Catherine], Duchess of, his wife, 291, 325-327.
 —, natural son of. *See* Herbert, Charles.
 —, natural daughter of, 326.
 —, executors of, 294.
 [Edmund Sheffield], Duke of (the young Duke), 326, 401.
- Buckinghamshire, poor clergy of, 425

Bucklebury, co. Berks, 10, 37, 161, 174, 203, 216, 228.
 letters dated at, 15, 160, 161.
 rendezvous at, 169.
 havoc made at, 409, 418.

Budyer, Mr., widow of, 225.

Bull, one, a soldier, 216.

Bullion, exportation of, 233.

Burford, co. Oxford, 84.

Burnet, Gilbert, Bishop of Salisbury, writings of, 182, 354, 466.
 his History [of his own times], criticised, 367, 368.

Burroughs, —, an ironmonger, 22.
 wife and daughter of, 22.

Burton, Dr. [Thomas], canon of Christ Church, 46, 342.
 as sub-dean of Christ Church, 24, 30, 50, 84-86, 96, 104, 106, 108, 137, 138, 460.
 installation of the Dean by, 62.

Busby, Dr., trustees of, 423, 424, 425, 458.
 trust or charity of, 425.

Bushel, Captain, at Glasgow, 407, 412.

Butler [Dr. Edward], as candidate for the Presidency of Magdalen, 331.
 as President, 347, 348, 462, 463.
 as Vice-Chancellor, 462, 463, 469.
 —, court of, 468, 469.

Buyss, Mons., ambassador from Holland, 57, 71, 73, 419.
 kinsman of, 73.

Byng, Admiral [George], 474.
 "By the waters of Babylon," anthem sung in Salisbury Cathedral, 240.

C

Cabala, the, originals of letters printed in, sent to Harley, 25-27.

Cadogan :
 [Charles], brother of Lord Cadogan, 316.
 General [William], 15.
 —, as Lord Cadogan (Africanus) 224, 318, 319, 322, 329, 334, 394.
 —, made Master of the Ordnance and Colonel of the 1st regiment of Guards, 329.
 —, nephew of, 319.

Cæsar, Julius, and his Commentaries, 28.

Cæsar :
 Mr., 307, 320, 342, 452, 453.
 Mrs. (Calphurnia), 264, 307, 311.

Cambrai, (Cambray), Congress of, 315, 338, 372.
 treaty of, 376.

Cambridge, Duke of. *See* George Augustus.

Cambridge, 211, 237, 284, 307, 387, 451, 460.
 elections and election proceedings at, 280-282, 285, 320, 447.
 meeting house at, attacked, 238.

Cambridge University, 292, 366.
 address from, 265.
 and the Bishopric of Ely, 359.

Chancellor of. *See* Somerset, Duke of.

degrees taken at, 361, 363, 369.
 election at, 282.

Heads of Colleges (Pates) at, 282, 292.
 proceedings against Dr. Bentley at. *See* Bentley.

Regius professorship of Divinity at, 243, 244, 245.

Professorship of Modern History to be founded at, 378.

Vice-Chancellors of :
 [Dr. Thomas] Gooch, 243-245.
 [Thomas] Crosse, 281, 282.

Colleges of :
 King's, Provost of :
 [John Adams], 242.
 [Andrew Snape], 282.
 St. John's, Lord Harley's bounty to, 275.
 Trinity, 244, 266, 453.
 —, Master of. *See* Bentley, Dr. Richard.
 —, quarrels at, 70, 115.
 —, senior fellows of, 370.
 Catherine Hall, Masters of, 282.
 Clare Hall, 246.

Cambridge verses, "wretched stuff," 146.

Cambridgeshire, 162, 258.
 election for, 245, 246, 317-320.
 members for, 312. *And see* Harley, Edward Lord; Cotton, Sir John; Whitecote, Francis.

Tory interest in, 32.
 want of rain in, 357.

Canada, expedition to, 66.

Canterbury, 217, 435.
 Archbishop of, 206; *and see* Tenison, Dr. Thomas; Wake, Dr. William.
 —, a former. *See* Bourchier, Thomas.
 —, as one of the commissioners to visit Christ Church, Oxford, 126.
 —, authority of, for conferring degrees, questioned, 239, 378.
 Archbishopric of, 349, 452.
 prebend of, 92, 93, 110.

"Captain, the." *See* Bolingbroke.

Cardigan, Lady, 401.
 son of, 401.

"Cardinal." the, 383.

Carleton, [Henry Boyle] Lord, D.C.L., 278, 299, 300, 322, 324, 335, 424.
 —, named for the Treasury, 311, 313.

Carlisle, [Charles Howard], Earl of, 281.
 dismissal of, 344.

Carlisle :
 bishopric of, 49.
 chapter of, 94.

Carlisle—*cont.*

Deans of. *See* Atterbury and Smalridge, Drs.

—, a former [Dr. Grahme], 148.

Deanery of, 49.

new Dean of, suggestions for, 156-160.

Carlos, Don, 424, 473.

elder brother of. *See* Spain, King of.

Carmarthen :

[Peregrine-Hyde Osborne], Marquis of, 122, 127, 224, 227, 300.

—, marriage of, to Lady Betty Harley, 97, 98.

—, illness of, 297 (2).

—, son of. *See* Danby, Lord.

Elizabeth (Betty), Lady (his wife), 162, 255.

—, death of, 173 (2), 174.

—, portrait of, 194.

Carnarvon, [James Brydges], Earl of, 240, 244. *And see* Chandos, Duke of.

Carolina, surrender of, 272.

Caroline, wife of George Augustus, Prince of Wales :

notices the reading in Chapel, 209.

Ladies of the Bed-chamber to, 205.

Carpenter, General, 424, 427.

Carter, Dr. [George], Provost of Oriel, 330, 342, 347, 356, 367, 369, 378, 437.

Carteret, [John], Lord, 4, 7, 8, 33, 40, 66, 300, 317, 331, 345, 350, 370, 446, 447.

and the Jacobite plot, 326.

in Ireland, 404.

rumours concerning, 312, 328, 365, 366, 415, 416.

letter drawn up by, 473, 474.

mother of, 40.

brother of, 7.

private secretary of, 404.

Carthage, 431.

Cartwright, Mr., 43.

Castleman, —, gentleman commoner at Oxford, 216.

Caswall (Curswell), Sir George, 311.

Cater, of Trinity College, Oxford, 468.

Cathedrals of the New Foundation, 475.

Caversham, curacy of, 72.

Cellamare, Prince of, late Spanish ambassador in France, 406.

Chamberlain [Richard], 326, 327.

Chancery :

Court of, 45, 63.

—, causes in or to be put in, 79, 146, 259, 264, 277.

—, order of, 170.

—, proctor of (?), 106-108.

—, secretary of, 45.

Masters in, 227.

—, case of, 392-394.

Chandler, [Edward], promotion of, [to be Bishop of Lichfield], 227.

Chandos, [James Brydges] Duke of [formerly Earl of Carnarvon, *q.v.*] 296, 297, 410, 417.

brother of. *See* Brydges, Dr. Henry.

niece of, 296.

family of, 301.

Charles I., 27, 204, 338.

as Prince, a book dedicated to, 29.

letters of, sent to Harley, 25, 27.

declared himself sole visitor of Christ Church, 126, 129.

petition to and declaration of, copy of, 129.

statutes made in time of, 474.

Queen of. *See* Henrietta Maria.

Charles II. :

compared to Tiberius by Bishop Burnet, 368.

pamphlet written in the time of, 182.

Privy Council of, 368.

times of, 116, 394.

Charlett, Dr. [Arthur], Master of University College, Oxford, 33, 45, 239, 435, 466.

to be made prebendary of Worcester, 171.

illness of, 307, 338, 339.

death of, 340.

Charlton :

Sir Blundell, 304.

[Mary Foley] his wife, aunt of Lord Harley, 304, 444.

their second son, 444.

Chelsea, 52.

Chester, —, gentleman commoner at Oxford, 216.

Chester, 276, 302, 327, 431.

Bishops of, *see* :

Hall, Dr. George.

Stratford, Dr. Nicholas.

Dawes, Sir William.

Gastrell, Dr. Francis.

Peplow, Dr. Samuel.

Bishop of, as also Warden of Manchester, 474, 476, 477.

—, as visitor of Manchester, 474-477.

bishopric of, 182.

—, struggle over the appointment to, 405, 406, 410, 411, 413, 414, 416.

mail, lost, 415.

petty canons of, 179.

Chetwoode, [Knightley], Dean of Gloucester, 84.

Chetwynd, Mr., 31.

house of, 245, 246.

Chevalier, the. *See* James Edward.

Cheyne or Cheyney, Dr. [George], 279, 335.

book by, 381.

Child, Alderman, 408.

Chipping-Norton, co. Oxford, 251.

Cholmley [Charles] of Vale Royal, 457.

Christmas, "putting out of" on Candlemas eve, 268, 269.

Church, the :

affairs of, on a bad footing during Sunderland's power, 321.

"confusion to," drunk by King George's soldiers, 215.

Church party, the, 199, 200.

- Churchill:
Colonel, 291.
—, 326.
- Clapham, Surrey, 43.
- Clarendon, [Edward Hyde], 1st Earl of, writings of, 27.
- Clarke, Clark:
Francis, M.P. for co. Oxford, 118, 139.
Dr. George, 3, 67, 146, 225, 238, 256, 258, 268, 280 (2), 306, 315, 317, 327, 335, 339, 340, 349, 385, 390, 438.
—, chosen M.P. for Oxford University, 231, 232.
—, and Dr. Ratcliffe's benefactions, 293.
—, design to throw out (as Member for the University), 307, 312.
—, re-election of, 320.
Mr., 263.
- Clavering, Dr. [Robert], canon of Christ Church, 342.
as Bishop of Llandaff, Dean of Hereford and Regius professor of Hebrew at Oxford, 382, 402, 416.
house of, 402.
- Clayton [William], 314, 450.
- Clemens Alexandrinus, allusion to, 24.
- Clements, Harry, a bookseller at Oxford, 229.
- Cleves, 471.
- Coal tax, 327.
- Coates, Digby, 115.
as Public Orator at Oxford, 126.
as Principal of Magdalen Hall, 462, 463.
- Cobb, Dr. [John], Warden of New College, Oxford, 253.
- Cockburn, —, 392.
- Cockman, [Thomas], and the Mastership of University College, 338-340, 342, 343, 346, 349, 350, 352, 353, 369, 370, 437, 462, 463, 472.
- Coggs, —, 31.
- Cohorn, General, 16.
- Coke, Mr., of Norfolk [Thomas, *aft.* Earl of Leicester], 243.
as Vice-Chamberlain, 87.
[1st] wife of, 87.
[Lady Mary Tufton, 2nd] wife of, 243.
- Cokethorpe (Cockrop), Lord Harcourt's house at, 33, 34, 41, 46, 84, 87, 112, 116, 129, 182, 244.
estate of, 115.
festivities at, 384.
- Colbatch, Dr., 321, 435.
arrest of, 359, 360.
- Cole, Mr., of Euston, 139.
- "Colonel, the." *See* Oxford, Earl of.
- Collins, Tom, 7.
- Commissioners of Accounts, 188, 190.
- Common Pleas, Lord Chief Justice of. *See* Trevor, Sir Thomas.
- Commons, House of, 30, 113, 181, 286, 295, 337, 427, and *passim*.
bills in. *See* Parliament, bills in.
- Commons, House of—*cont.*
chaplain of, 170; and *see* Pelling, Dr. John.
debates or proceedings in, 144 145, 190, 214, 297, 339, 376, 390, 392, 419, 422-424, 433, 457-459.
"manager of," 29.
Sergeant of, 286, 353.
Speakers of. *See* Bromley, William; Hanmer, Sir Thomas; Compton, Sir Spencer.
Speakership of, 181, 399.
the weather grows hot in, 287.
adjournment of, 341.
scandalous things in, 431.
Companies, "the three great," 451; and *see* East India and South Sea.
- Compton:
Dr. Henry, Bishop of London, 3-5, 64.
—, illness of, 65, 66, 150.
—, death of, 154.
—, as the late Bishop, 172.
Sir Spencer, 312, 313, 399, 450.
—, as Speaker, 344.
- Comyns, [John, serjeant at law], 359, 437.
- Condé, Princess of, 27.
- Coningsby:
[Thomas], Earl of, 318, 319, 367.
—, daughter of, 367.
—, chaplain of, 367.
Mr., of St. Mary Hall, 455.
- Convocation, 30, 52, 70, 71, 74, 78, 159, 336.
Upper House of, 159.
Lower House of, 391.
—, report of a Committee of, 159.
—, members or proctors for, 17, 43, 77.
—, Prolocutor of, 456.
- Cook, Sir Thomas, charity of, at Oxford, 110, 170.
- Cooper:
chaplain to the Chevalier, 428.
[Samuel], miniature by, 429.
- Copenhagen, 404.
- Cornish [? Anthony], 21.
- Cornwall, election matters in, 13, 17, 139.
- Cornwallis, Mrs., marries the Bishop of London, 259.
- Coromandel, coast of, 407.
- Cotton:
Sir John Hynde, 317, 320.
—, marriage of, 383, 384.
[Sir Robert], his library, 461.
- Council, the Privy. *See* Privy Council.
- Council Board, the, 63.
- Court, the, 46, 244-246, 386, 413, 419, and *passim*.
and the City of London. *See* London.
appointments by, 313.
and the elections, 309, 317, 338.
- Court party, the, 309, 310, 419, 424.
- Covel, Dr. [John], Master of Christ's College, Cambridge, 292, 300, 354.
book by, 312, 334.
- Coventry, [Thomas], Lord Keeper, *temp.* Charles I., 126.

- Coventry, co. Warwick, elections at, 318, 340.
 Cowley, Mr., 41.
 Cowley, co. Oxford, curacy or curate of, 115, 127.
 Cowper :
 [John], fellow of Merton, 248.
 Spencer, his father, 248.
 [William], Lord, 308, 310.
 —, ceases to be Lord Chancellor, 10.
 —, made Lord Lieutenant of Hertfordshire, 11 (2).
 Cox, Madam, 305.
 Craggs, [James], 29, 30.
 as Secretary of State, 255.
 son of, 30, 228.
 daughter of, 383.
 Craven, [William], Earl of, 329.
 bequests by, alluded to, 389.
 Creswick, —, gentleman commoner at Oxford, 216.
 Crewe, [Nathaniel], Lord, Bishop of Durham, 225, 226, 255.
 benefactions of, to Oxford University, 225, 226, 262, 360, 361.
 as patron of Lincoln College, 225, 256, 257-259.
 celebration of the 51st anniversary of his consecration, 301.
 death of, 304.
 Croft :
 Sir Archer, 311.
 [Dr. William], music of, performed at Christ Church, 153.
 Cromwell, Richard (Dick), daughter of, wife of Dr. Gibson, 267.
 Cross :
 Sir Thomas, 316.
 [Thomas], Master of Catherine Hall and Vice-Chancellor of Cambridge University, (1720), 281, 282.
 Crown, the, solicitor of. *See* Panton.
 as Visitor of Manchester College, 475-477.
 Croxall, a poet, 336.
 Cunningham, Master, 49.
 Curll [Edmund], book by, 334.
 Curson, Sir Nathaniel, 457.
 Cuttle, Mr., 332.
 Cyrenian Jews, 238.

D

- Dale, exhibitor at Christ Church, 65.
 Danby, [Thomas Osborne], Lord, son of the Marquis of Carmarthen, 170, 255.
 Darnley, [John Bligh], Earl of, 413.
 Dartmouth, [William Legge], Lord, Secretary of State, 21.
 office of, 11.
 as Earl of, 260, 268, 277, 316, 424, 425, 434.
 eldest son of. *See* Lewisham, Lord.
 younger son of, 260, 268, 425, 434.
 Davenant, Sir William, 27.
 Davies :
 John, [25 ?], 26, 190.
 —, of Hart Hall, 87.
 —, of Jesus College, 87.
 Davis, Dr., Magdalen College, 370.
 —, edition of Tully, by, 292.
 Daw, Sir John, 281.
 Dawes, Sir William, Bishop of Chester, 150, 181, 182.
 suggested as Archbishop of York, 178, 179.
 unpopularity of, 179.
 as Archbishop of York, 179, 263.
 —, metropolitan to the Bishop of Man, 332.
 son of, 179.
 Dawney, Mr., second son of Lord Downe, 406.
 Decker :
 [Matthew], 16, 19, 20, 22, 32, 42, 57, 96, 250, 280, 282.
 Sir Matthew, 365.
 De Haas, Burgomaster, 225.
 nephew of. *See* Muyssart, Mr.
 De la Fay, [Charles], Under-Secretary of State, 255.
 Delaune, Dr. William, President of St. John's College, Oxford, 26, 31, 33, 47, 53, 59, 72, 73, 76, 118, 126, 197, 198, 231, 253, 265, 339, 346, 347, 349, 362, 369, 370, 387, 389.
 an Irish Bishopric requested for, 113, 172, 175.
 as Lady Margaret Professor of Divinity, 211, 212.
 illness and death of, 459, 460, 464.
 Delft, (Delf), 406.
 Denbigh :
 [William Fielding], Earl of, 360.
 Countess of [Isabella de Jonge], 467.
 Dennis, Mr., 442.
 Dennison [William], of University College, 272, 274, 280.
 as candidate for the Mastership of University College, 339, 342, 343, 346.
 as Master, 346, 347, 349, 352, 362, 369, 370, 462, 472.
 Derby, [James Stanley], Earl of, Governor of the Isle of Man, 331.
 Desboverie, —, 63.
 uncle of, 63.
 Des Maiseaux, 441.
 Devigne's prints, 262.
 Devonshire, [William Cavendish], Duke of, 395, 459.
 De Yonge [Maria Katherine], marries the Marquis of Blandford, 467.
 Digby :
 Sir Kenelm, 471.
 [? William], Lord, 31.
 Dissenters, the, 82.
 hardships of, 341.
 Dobson, Dr. [William], President of Trinity College, Oxford, 198, 247, 370, 462, 463, 469.
 as pro Vice-Chancellor, 352.

Dod :
 [Pierce], of All Souls, 231, 232, 235,
 236, 341.
 T., 386.
 Dolben :
 [John, canon of Christ Church],
 death of, 9.
 —, family of, 9.
 Mrs. or cousin, 259, 351, 352.
 Doleman's estate, 334.
 Doncaster, co. York, 401.
 Dormer, [Robert], judge of Common Pleas,
 6. 406.
 trial of, 8.
 Dorset, [Lionel Cranfield Sackville],
 Duke of, 424.
 Dorsetshire :
 living in, 406.
 parliament men for, 406.
 Dortrecht (Dort), 225, 406.
 Down Hall, [co. Essex], 431.
 Downe, [Henry Dawney], Viscount,
 406.
 son of. See Dawney.
 Doyly :
 Sir John, 229, 230, 296, 317,
 318.
 [? Robert], 252.
 Draper's waggon, 440.
 Drew [Francis], 317.
 Drift, Mr., 305.
 Droitwich, coming election at, 401.
 Drummond :
 Lord, 2, 7.
 John, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 21, 22, 32, 37,
 39, 41, 60, 75, 80, 186, 188, 189,
 201, 225, 232, 238, 250, 267 (?),
 270, 275, 278, 283, 361, 363, 383,
 403, 404, 436, 443, 445.
 —, creditors of, 96, 100.
 —, employed by Lord Oxford,
 161.
 —, wife of, 32, 39, 41, 96. *And see*
 "Agatha."
 William, brother of John, 404.
 Dry (Dive, Drive) [John], of St. John's
 College, Oxford, 242.
 Dubber, servant to Lord Keeper Harcourt,
 59.
 Dublin, 393.
 Christ Church in, Dean of [Wellbore
 Ellis], 59.
 Duke, "the tawdry," 310.
 "the great" (in 1722), 314.
 Dunbar, "pretended Earl of." See
 Murray, James.
 Duncomb, Major of the regiment at
 Oxford, 214, 217.
 Dunkirk, battle of, alluded to, 27.
 Dunster, [Thomas], late Warden of Wad-
 ham College, 251, 256.
 Duppa, [Brian], as Dean of Christ
 Church, 57.
 Dupplin :
 Abigail, Lady, *nee* Harley, afterwards
 Countess of Kinnoull, *q.v.*, 29, 122,
 129, 162, 184, 199, 204, 206, 237,
 286.
 —, birth of her son, 71.

Dupplin—cont.

[George Henry Hay], Lord, afterwards
 Earl of Kinnoull, *q.v.*, 10, 19, 20, 22,
 29, 34, 38, 71, 77, 79, 97, 120, 121,
 151, 199, 200, 204, 286.
 —, made Teller of the Exchequer,
 41.
 —, loses his place, 205, 206.
 —, books, &c., wanted by or to be
 shown to, 25, 26, 30, 33, 34, 169.
 —, wife of. See Abigail, Lady,
 above.
 —, father-in-law of. See Harley,
 Robert.
 —, children of, 286.
 Thomas, Lord, son of the above, 400,
 438-440, 443, 445, 446, 448, 451,
 459, 464.
 —, brother of. See Hay.
 Dupplin, co. Perth, 397.
 Durham :
 Bishop of. See Crewe, Lord ; Talbot,
 William.
 Bishopric of, scholarships for, 226.
 —, vacant, 304.
 Dutch or the Dutch, 24, 75, 456, 471.
 ambassadors in London, entry of,
 458.
 ambassador at Madrid, 416.
 artist, 73.
 East India Company, 416.
 ladies married to English noblemen,
 467.
 mails, 407, 415.
 minister, 417.
 trade, 427.
 and the treaty of Hanover, 404, 406,
 409, 410, 412, 413, 415, 416, 418,
 419, 421-423, 427.
 "not of the family of the Hasty's,"
 435.
 Dutchman, a, 225.
 Dyer, John, 49, 319 (?).
 Dyer's Letter ("Dyer"), 16, 19, 32, 49,
 82.

E

East India Company, the, 432, 453, 454.
 the Ostend, 407, 416, 421.
 Edgely, Mr., 43.
 Edinburgh (Edinburgh) :
 Lord Oxford made a burgess of,
 403.
 meeting of peers at, 316.
 Edmonson, Dr., 285.
 Edwards :
 Dr. [John ?], 277.
 Dr. [Jonathan], Principal of Jesus
 College, Oxford, 4-6, 9, 53.
 Edwin, Lady Katherine, 237.
 daughter of, 237;

Egerton, Dr. [Henry], Canon of Christ Church, 260, 366, 367, 371.
 as bishop of Hereford, 380, 461.
 Eldridge's coach, 195.
 Elizabeth, Queen, time of, alluded to, 116, 126.
 Ely, 382.
 Bishops of, 22; *and see* Fleetwood, William.
 bishopric of, vacant, 359.
 Embden, 471.
 Emperor, the:
 [Leopold I.], 423.
 [Joseph I.], death of, 30.
 [Charles VI.], 191, 402, 405-407, 415, 416, 419, 421, 422, 424, 426, 427, 478.
 —, coronation of, 43.
 —, army of, 429.
 —, minister of, at the Hague, 412, 416, 419; *and see* Königseck.
 —, resident of. *See* Palmes.
 —, —, in England, 423.
 —, his expedition to Spain (when Archduke) alluded to, 423.
 —, daughters of, 424, 430.
 —, rumoured death of, 430.
 Empire, the, 407, 473.
 English, one, housekeeper at Hampton Court, 429.
 Errol, Countess of, 363.
 Erskine's, 385.
 Essex:
 [William Capel], Earl of, 422.
 [Elizabeth], Countess of, 422.
 —, brother of. *See* Bedford, Duke of.
 Ettrick, Mr., 215.
 Eugene, Prince, 419, 430.
 Europe, peace of, 407.
 Euston, Mr. Cole, of. *See* Cole.
 Evans:
 [Michael], prebendary of Westminster, 329.
 —, house of, 107.
 Dr., of St. John's College, Oxford, 370.
 Ewelme (Newelme), co. Oxford, parsonage of, 25, 26, 388.
 schoolmaster at, 181, 182.
 "Examiner," the, 13, 16.
 Exchequer, Chancellor of, 311, 312, 327.
 Imprest in, Auditors of. *See* Harley, Edward; Foley, Thomas.
 Remembrancer of. *See* Harley, Robert.
 Tellers of, 40; *and see* Dupplin, Lord; Mansel, Lord; Smith, John; Moseyn, Sir Roger.
 —, clerks of, 41.
 Court of, motion in, 281, 282.
 —, speech in, alluded to, 32.
 Exclusion Bill, mentioned, 368.
 Exeter:
 Bishop of, [Offspring Blackhall], 66.
 And see Blackburne, Lancelot.
 Dean of. *See* Gilbert.
 accident to the Bishop at, 316, 317.
 cathedral bells of, 317.

Exeter—*cont.*
 election at, 317.
 post bag, 4.
 Eyre, Justice in. *See* Abingdon, Lord.
 Eywood, co. Hereford, 226, 236, 258, 260, 278, 281, 304, 306, 307, 312, 314, 319, 324, 402.
 letters dated at, 303 (2).
 lords and ladies of. *See* Auditor Harley, family of.

F

Fabrice, 427.
 Fairfax:
 [Charles], and his edition of the Iliad, 72.
 Lord, daughter of, 435.
 Falstaff, alluded to, 169.
 Farnham, Surrey, 377.
 Farrar, Dr. [James], late Professor of Natural Philosophy at Oxford, 271.
 Fawsley (Fawlsly), co. Northampton, 241.
 Fazakerley, —, a barrister, 391, 475.
 Fell, Dr. [John], former Dean of Christ Church, and Bishop of Oxford, 69, 76, 114, 127, 402.
 exhibitions or exhibitioners of, 65, 102, 281.
 writings of, 219, 262.
 his commemoration speech, 104.
 statue of, at Christ Church, 249.
 Fellow, Mr., J.P., 358.
 Fellows, [William], Master in Chancery, 227.
 son of, 227.
 Felton, [Henry], Principal of Edmund Hall, 346, 462, 463, 469, 470.
 Fenton [? Thomas], 115.
 Ferrars, Lady, 429.
 Fiddes, [Richard], of All Souls' College, 257, 383.
 his life of Wolsey, 374.
 Field, —, of Trinity College, 477.
 Finch [Edward], candidate for Cambridge University, 281, 285.
 —, as ambassador to Poland, 407.
 —, as Busby's Trustee, 424, 425.
 Finches, the, 459, 471.
 Fladbury, co. Worcester, incumbent of, 162.
 "Flanderkin," a picture by, 262.
 Flanders, 413, 431.
 trade with, 404, 427.
 Flat, Mr., 391.
 Fleet, the, anxiety concerning, 32.
 difficulty in manning, 427.
 Fleetwood, [William], Bishop of St. Asaph's, translated to Ely, 207.
 house of, 233.
 Fleming, General, 405.
 Fletcher, Mr., 354.
 father and widow of, 354.

Flintshire, 209.
 Floridante, a new opera [by Handel], 311.
 Floyd :
 Sir Nathaniel, 375.
 Will, 162.
 one, a relation of Lord Harley's, 214.
 —, father of, 214.
 Foley :
 Thomas, 1st Lord (Abel, Long Thomas), 28, 29, 226, 264, 279-281, 288, 336, 343, 369, 437, 443-446, 450, 463, 464, 470, 471, 476.
 —, [Mary] Lady Foley, his wife, (Lady Termagant, Abelina, mamma), 226, 264, 276, 279, 280, 281, 336, 343, 369, 401, 436, 443-446, 449, 450, 452, 460, 463.
 —, family of (Abelings), 336, 440, 443, 444, 470.
 —, younger son of, 464.
 —, daughter of, 460.
 Thomas, after 2nd Lord Foley, eldest son of the above (his young honour, Abel junior, the young master of Witley, Tommy), 264, 276, 280, 281, 288, 401, 437, 440, 444, 450, 460.
 Thomas, of Stoke Edith Court, co. Hereford, M.P. for Hereford city and Auditor of the Imprest (the little Captain of Stoke), 216, 230, 265, 276, 281, 283, 304, 319, 320, 336, 340, 443, 450, 461.
 —, speech of, mentioned, 424.
 —, wife of, 230.
 —, [Mary] daughter of, marriage of, 471, 472.
 Thomas, jun., of Stoke (little Captain's son, young Stoke), 317-319.
 —, death of his wife, 286.
 Thomas, Prothonothary of Common Pleas, 260.
 Fontainebleau, 410.
 Forman, letter by (in print), 402.
 Forrester, Mr., a tutor, 37.
 Fowke or Foulks :
 Dr. (lawyer), 52.
 Peter or Dr. Peter, 71.
 —, as Canon of Christ Church, 382, 404.
 —, as sub-dean, 412.
 Mr., 472.
 "the elder," 115.
 "young," 409.
 France, 283, 326, 328, 406, 413, 428-431, 456.
 King of :
 Henry IV., 27.
 Louis XIV., 14.
 Louis XV., (the young bear), 406, 424, 441.
 marriage of, 410.
 queen of, 406.
 preceptor of. *See* Frejus.
 ambassador to, 416.
 and Spain, 430, 454.
 court of, 442.
 —, monopolies of, 410.
 hopes of peace with, 15, 16, 24, 58, 61, 63-65, 76.

France—*cont.*
 and the Confederates, the war between, 165.
 news from, 275, 406, 410, 411, 413.
 Regent of, 326, 331, 406.
 —, protests against the Catholic Bill, 343.
 demands and poverty of, 380, 410.
 treaty with, 407.
 fear of war with, 413, 415, 416.
 Frankland, —, 9.
 Franks, —, of Merton, 300.
 Frederic, Prince, book dedicated to, 412.
 as "our new prince," (?) 470.
 Freeman, of the "King's Head," 183.
 Freemasonry, 322.
 Freind :
 Dr. John, physician, (Lord Oxford's "neighbour,") 61, 327, 339, 351, 377, 395, 422, 424, 454, 456.
 —, illness and death of, 466, 467.
 Dr. Robert (Rob, Bob), 11, 40, 51, 69, 84, 186, 249 (?), 259 (?), 262, 264, 268, 283, 300, 322, 333, 363, 382, 395, 434, 436, 445, 456, 457, 461, 464, 473.
 —, as Head-Master of Westminster School, 42, 268, 277.
 —, as rector of Witney, 77.
 —, matrimonial intentions of, 101, 119, 122, 224.
 —, cause or suit of, 290, 293, 294, 297.
 —, will have to be content with a prebend, 466, 467.
 Frejus (M. de Fleury), preceptor of the French king, 410, 411.
 French or the French, 272, 454.
 and Gibraltar. *See* Gibraltar.
 army, 429.
 commissaries, 188.
 dominions, 415.
 men, 406, 447.
 men-of-war, 425.
 minister (1724), 373.
 papers, report in, 302.
 Funds, the, interest due to foreigners from, 233. *And see* Stocks.

G

Gagnier, [John], deputy Arabic professor, 354.
 dismissal of, 354.
 his Life of Mahomet, 355.
 Gallas, Count, 67.
 Gallican Church, the, 244.
 Gamlingay (Gam Gay), co. Cambridge, cure of, 300.
 Gann or Gunn, a cousin of Lord Strathallan's, 2, 7.

Gardiner :

- Dr. [Bernard], Warden of All Souls' College, 21, 27, 59, 67, 154, 239, 271, 272, 275, 299, 306, 347, 349, 387.
 —, prospective marriage of, 83.
 —, as Vice-Chancellor, 84, 100, 126, 139, 146, 151.
 —, death of, 434.
 —, books of, 112.
 —, nephew of, 287.
 Canon, 49.
 Garnier, Mr., 251.
 Garter, Order of the, 99, 375.
 refused, 329.
 Garth, Dr., 17.
 Garwood, Mr., 211.
 Gastrell, Dr. Francis, canon of Christ Church (brother Gasse, old Francis), 3-5, 14, 34, 35, 39, 42, 51, 52, 58-63, 80, 82-85, 88, 90-92, 98, 99, 102, 113, 117, 120, 122, 124, 130, 133, 135-137, 145, 172, 178.
 as Treasurer of Christ Church, 82, 90, 127, 130, 137, 138, 148, 153.
 interview of, with the Lord Keeper, 119, 120.
 illnesses of, 152, 238.
 claims of, to preferment, 163, 179.
 to be Bishop of Chester, 180, 182, 183.
 as Bishop of Chester, 183, 186, 212, 213, 215, 227, 231, 232, 237, 244, 250, 251, 254, 266, 267, 273, 281, 283, 284, 308, 311, 313, 334, 340, 361, 371, 372, 390, 400, 418, 432, 437, 477.
 marriage of, alluded to, 230.
 movements of, 241, 242, 260, 261, 275, 276, 298, 327, 335, 360, 365.
 suit against, concerning the church of Manchester. *See* Manchester cause.
 book written against, 303.
 sayings of, 306.
 house of, 329.
 funeral of, 408.
 brother of, 284.
 brother-in-law of. *See* Mapletoft.
 kinsman of, 156.
 Gay [John], 239.
 poems of, subscribers to, 478.
 Geers, —, 319.
 "General, the [? Lord Cadogan], 365, 366.
 Genoa, velvets from, 384.
 George Lewis, Elector of Hanover, 10, 181.
 as George I., 198, 199, 203, 216, 222, 248, 267, 295, 302, 327, 349, 350, 405, 414-419, 426, 428, 432, 434, 474.
 prayed for at Oxford, 198.
 expected arrival of, 200.
 coronation of, 204, 205.
 court of, 205, 228. *And see* Court, the.
 and the Duke of Marlborough, 206.
 proclamation of, for a thanksgiving, mentioned, 210.
 petition presented to, 228.

George I.—cont.

- Coronation day of, celebrated, 245, 246.
 grants or gifts of (Royal bounty), 272, 277, 305, 445.
 quarrel of, with his son (the Royal breach) reported to be made up, 273.
 visit of, to Hanover, 321.
 is to review the troops, 332.
 dismisses Lord Carlisle, 344.
 as visitor of University College, 369.
 return of, to England, 371.
 —, expected, 395, 402, 406, 407, 410.
 letter from, to Oxford, mentioned, 378.
 letters to, 387-389.
 letters of, mentioned, 405.
 letter to, mentioned, 385.
 matters to be laid before, 393.
 and Oxford University, 387.
 and the Bishops, 411.
 sees only the opulent parts of his dominions, 419.
 and the Duchess of Ancaster, 423.
 as Elector of Hanover, 419, 422, 427.
 at a masquerade, 427.
 ministers of, abroad, 432.
 letter transcribed by, 473, 474.
 death of, 448.
 reign of, swarm of pamphlets at the beginning of, 455.
 Household:
 Chaplains, 399.
 Groom of the Stole, 345.
 Master of the Horse, 375.
 George Augustus, Electoral Prince of Hanover, Duke of Cambridge (*aft.* King George II.), 184, 200.
 as Prince of Wales, grants by, 262, 272, 305.
 as George II., 452.
 coronation of, 442, 451.
 coronation sermon, 451.
 proposed address to, 456.
 prospect of his visiting Oxford, 463.
 children of, 209.
 German :
 counsels, 418.
 doctor, 312.
 dominions of the King of England. *See* Hanover.
 Empire. *See* Empire.
 treaty, "a new," 345.
 Germans, 427, 435.
 Germany (Fatherland), 429, 430, 443.
 Gery:
 Archdeacon [John], 285, 288.
 —, death of, 364 (2).
 —, books and MSS, of, 364, 366.
 Mr., fellow of Corpus Christi College, 186.
 Gibbons:
 [Grinling], a statue ordered from, 38.
 [Thomas], suggested as Dean Carlisle, 156-158, 160.

- Gibraltar:
the question of the cession of, 271,
289, 315, 411, 424, 426, 427, 459,
466, 471.
pamphlet on, 414.
Straits of, troops for, 427.
- Gibson:
[Edmund], Bishop of Lincoln, 247,
263, 266, 267, 316, 336.
—, as visitor of Christ Church, 276.
—, as Bishop of London, 363, 393,
405, 406, 410, 411, 413, 414, 417,
435, 469, 477.
—, sermons of, 372.
Dr. John, uncle of the Bishop of
Lincoln, 247, 267, 365.
—, wife of, "Dick Cromwell's
daughter," 267.
[John], Provost of Queen's College,
Oxford, 342, 462, 463, 469.
- Giffard or Gifford, Sir William, 230, 243,
268, 270, 275, 280, 373, 384, 385.
death of, 390.
wife of, death of, 294.
brother's children of, 390.
- Gilbert:
[Jeffrey] Baron of Exchequer, 391.
Dr. [John], proposed as Bishop of
Chester, 405, 406, 410, 411, 413.
—, proposed as Dean of York, 416.
—, as Canon of Christ Church, 417,
461.
—, as Dean of Exeter, 461.
- Gilman, Captain, 220.
- Girdler, Dr. [Thomas], of Wadham Col-
lege, 272, 408.
- Glasgow, 400.
disturbances at, 400, 407, 412.
- Glenorchy, Lord and Lady, 54.
son of, 54.
- Gloucester, 366.
Bishop of. *See* Willis, Dr. Richard;
Wilcocks, Dr. Joseph.
Dean of. *See* Chetwoode, Knightley.
deanery of, 84.
prebend and prebendary of 60, 61,
170, 171; *and see* Baines, Chris-
topher.
quarrel between the Mayor and towns-
people of, and the soldiers, 215.
- Gloucestershire, 17, 159, 246.
- Godfrey's wagon, 471.
- Godolphin:
Dr. [Henry], resigns the deanery of
St. Paul's, 417.
[Sidney], Earl of (the late Treasurer),
18, 24.
—, gives up the Treasurer's staff, 11.
—, nephew of, 9.
- Gore, Mr., 64, 66, 68, 70, 79, 81, 82.
- Government, the, sermon in praise of,
322.
- Gower (Gore), [John Leveson-Gower, 2nd
Lord], 42, 45, 291.
- Grabe, Dr. [John Ernest], 34, 435.
—, death of, 64-67, 69.
—, as editor of the *Septuagint*, 64,
65, 67.
—, his *Spicilegium*, 180.
- Grafton, [Charles Fitzroy], Duke of, 454.
mistress of, 454.
- Grandorge [John], 331.
- Grantham, Lady, 386.
- Granville, George, 66.
candidate for co. Cornwall, 17.
- Great Seal (the Seals), "money to be got
by," 115.
- Greek Philosophers, moral sayings of,
published, 228.
- Greenwich Hospital, 446.
a physician in, 317.
- Greg. —, 6, 100.
- Gregory, [David], Professor of Modern
History at Oxford, 387, 447.
- Grey:
or Nevill, Harry, 10 (?), 425-427.
—, wife of, 10 (?), 425, 426.
[Thomas], 2nd Earl of Stamford, 426.
"old," 438.
- Griffith:
—, 332.
Roger, 5.
- Grimston, old, 368.
- Grosvenor, Sir Richard, 37.
- Grotius, writings of, 224.
- Grub Street writers, 303.
- Gudlet [?] Gyllett], Mr., candidate for the
wardenship of Wadham College, 251.
- Guelderland, province of, 423.
- Guernsey, [Heneage Finch], Lord, *qft.*
1st Earl of Aylesford, 157, 159.
- Guiany, account of, mentioned, 29.
- Guiney, Monsieur, 237.
- Guiscard, Marquis de, 75.
attack of, upon Harley. *See* Harley,
Robert.
letter from, mentioned, 29.
- Gumley [John], M.P., 420.
son in law of. *See* Pulteney,
William.
- Gunn. *See* Gann.
- Gurwood, Mr., 302.
- Guthrie, *Memoirs* of, 182.
- Guy, Harry, 11, 13 (?).
- Guybons, —, 96.
- Gwyn:
"Premier" [?] Edward, of Jesus Col-
lege], 234.
F., 306.
[Francis], son of the above, Fellow of
All Souls, 306.
Mr., 376.
- Gwyns, the, 440.

II

- Habeas Corpus* Act, suggested repeal of,
335, 336.
suspension of, 338.
- Hacket, Mr., 350.
- Haerlem, 406.

Hague, the, 405, 409, 410, 412.
 emissaries at, 15.
 Haldane, —, 313.
 Hale, Dr., 439.
 Hales, —, 306.
 Halifax, [Charles Montagu], Lord, and
 (in 1714) Earl of, 3, 5, 16, 157, 206,
 283 (?).
 Hall:
 [Anthony?] of Queen's College, 265,
 272, 273.
 —, marriage of, 301.
 [George], former Bishop of Chester,
 179.
 Hamilton:
 Sir David, 223.
 —, son of, at Oriel, 223.
 [James, 4th] Duke, report that he is to
 be governor of Edinburgh Castle,
 17.
 —, duel of, with Lord Mohun [and
 slaying of by Gen. Macartney], 113.
 [James, 5th] Duke, 252-253.
 —, called "Dr. Angelicus," 253.
 —, governor of, 252, 254.
 Hammond, Dr. [John], Canon of Christ
 Church, 45, 64, 66, 78, 79, 81, 85,
 86, 91, 96, 99, 104, 106, 108, 109,
 118, 129, 136, 176, 203, 212, 270,
 270, 275, 299, 342, 384.
 and Dean Atterbury, 74, 75, 124,
 131, 138.
 illness and death of, 319, 356, 360,
 361.
 benefactions of, 360.
 Hampden or Hambden [Richard], 429,
 431.
 wife and brother of, 431.
 Hampshire, 425, 428.
 Hampstead Heath, 207.
 Hampton Court 63.
 house-keeper at, 429.
 Hamstead, East, near Reading, vicar of,
 357 (2).
 Hanmer, Sir Thomas, 145, 181, 454.
 made Speaker of the House of
 Commons, 170.
 as Speaker, 183, 185, 188.
 Hannes, Dr. [Edward?] legacy from, 42,
 44.
 Hanover, or the King's German dominions,
 179, 182, 402, 405, 409, 424, 427,
 471.
 Elector of. *See* George Lewis.
 Electoral Prince of. *See* George
 Augustus.
 Sophia, Electress of (old Sophy), 87.
 —, death of, 197.
 House of, succession of (the Pro-
 testant succession), 19, 192, 197,
 198, 209, 407.
 Court of, 15, 87.
 —, minister to. *See* Harley,
 Thomas.
 or Herrenhausen, treaty of, 405-407,
 410, 425.
 —, and the Dutch. *See* Dutch, the.
 Hanoverian troops, arrears of, 185, 190.
 Harbin, —, 475.

Harcourt :

[James], prebendary of Bristol, 71.
 Robert, book by, 29.
 Sir Simon (*temp.* Charles I.), 29.
 Sir Simon [*cr.* Baron Harcourt in
 1711, and Viscount in 1721], 5, 9,
 11-13, 19.
 —, as Attorney-General, 21.
 —, as Lord Keeper, 23, 29, 31-37,
 41-43, 45-48, 50-59, 64, 74, 75, 88,
 98, 110, 112, 115, 118, 129, 139,
 153, 157, 160, 176, 177, 244.
 —, and the Lord Treasurer. *See*
 Oxford, Earl of.
 —, and the Pretender, 37, 202, 203.
 —, and Oxford University, 110,
 115, 118, 121-123, 125, 129, 137-
 139, 143-147, 151, 162.
 —, in relation to Christ Church and
 the Dean, 60, 81-90, 94, 97, 101,
 102, 106, 107, 111-113, 116-125,
 129, 130, 140, 183, 201, 345.
 —, and Dr. Delaune, 113, 172, 175,
 176.
 —, and Atterbury, 121, 140, 157,
 244, 245, 298, 333, 334, 337, 339,
 350, 355, 356, 358, 360, 362, 364,
 375-377.
 —, as Lord Chancellor ("the
 Attorney"), 152, 153, 157, 158,
 160, 165, 168, 181, 195, 396.
 —, and Dr. Stratford, 182, 202,
 204.
 —, after the Queen's death, 198-
 204.
 —, when out of office, 210, 224,
 230, 231, 233, 239, 245, 266, 270,
 271, 273, 294.
 —, accident to, 213.
 —, is making his peace, 226, 227.
 —, said to be going to France,
 268.
 —, and his son's death, 278 (3).
 —, and the South Sea Company,
 278, 280, 283, 287, 288, 299, 301,
 338.
 —, report that he is coming in
 again into his old post, 288, 289.
 —, talked of for Privy Seal, 300.
 —, "a rare job for," 301.
 —, as Viscount Harcourt (the
 Viscount, the Attorney), 302, 305,
 307-310, 322, 328, 336-340, 350,
 365, 385, 386, 402, 429, 457.
 —, rumour that he is to be in the
 Cabinet, 315.
 —, is to be of the Regency, 319,
 320.
 —, and Rowney. *See* Rowney.
 —, application of, to Walpole,
 324, 325.
 —, and Bolingbroke's return, 334,
 336, 361, 363, 395.
 —, his marriage to Lady Walters,
 379, 380, 382, 384, 386.
 —, illness of, 395.
 —, quarrel of, with the Master of
 the Rolls, 418.
 —, death of, 449, 450.

Harcourt, Sir Simon—*cont.*

- wife of, 47.
- , —, death of, 379, 380.
- , 3rd wife of, 386, 402; *and see* Walters, Lady.
- , son of. *See* Harcourt, Simon, *below*.
- , grandfather of. *See* Harcourt, Sir Simon, *above*.
- , family of, 33.
- , kinsman of. *See* Le Hunt.
- , chaplain of. *See* Blechingden, Dr.
- , servant of, 59.

Simon (Sim, Simkin, young Simon), son of the above, 34, 35, 41, 42, 45, 47-49, 51, 58, 59, 75, 87, 89, 115, 118-126, 129, 134, 169, 195-198, 210, 213, 268.

—, as a candidate for Parliament, 14, 20, 118, 121, 126, 139, 153, 158.

—, said to have drunk the Pretender's health, 37.

—, death of, 277, 278.

—, wife of, 47, 59.

—, mother-in-law of, 47.

—, child of, christening of, 178.

Hare, Dr. [Francis], 412, 463.

proposed as Dean of St. Paul's, 411, 416.

made Dean of St. Paul's, 417.

book by, 436, 437.

made Bishop of St. Asaph's, 452, 453.

Harley:

Abigail (Aunt Tabby), sister of Robert, 169, 237, 300, 303-305 324.

—, letters to, 183, 220-223.

[Abigail] daughter of Auditor Edward (little mistress), 183.

—, marries Mr. Verney, 382.

Edward, son of Robert, afterwards Lord Harley:

—, letters to, from Dr. William Stratford, *passim*.

—, becomes Lord Harley, 32.

—, prospective marriage of, 33, 39-41, 70-72, 100, 163, 164.

—, story told of, 36.

—, his love of antiquities, 36.

—, to take his M.A. degree, 46.

—, intended entrance of, into Parliament, 77, 78.

—, endorsements by, 107, 150.

—, books or library of, or books for, 112, 162, 169, 195, 201, 236, 244, 259, 312, 313, 355, 364.

—, prospective election of, 164.

—, marriage of, to Lady Henrietta Cavendish Holles, congratulations upon, 166 (3).

—, dragoons quartered on, 168.

—, movements of, 111, 113, 195, 203, 236, 260.

—, report that he is to go to the House of Lords, 178.

—, business arrangements of, 186, 190, 194, 196, 197, 207-210.

Harley, Edward—*cont.*

—, birth of his daughter, 211.

—, private bill of, 228, 230, 232, 240, 248.

—, as Lord of Welbeck, 235.

✓ —, "biblio-mania" of, 236.

—, refuses to go into Parliament, 245, 246.

—, portrait of, 250.

✓ —, new library of, 259.

—, benefactions of, 275.

—, illness of, 284.

✓ —, "in the first rank of the *Literati*," 301.

—, chaplain recommended to, 302.

—, friendship of, for Prior, 304.

—, and the elections, 314-316, 319.

—, his election for co. Cambridge, 318, 319.

—, visit of, to Oxford, 329-332.

—, wedding day of, 333.

—, as 2nd Earl of Oxford. *See* Oxford.

—, wife of. *See* Harley, Lady Henrietta.

—, daughter of. *See* Harley, Margaret.

—, great-grandfather of. *See* Harley, Sir Robert.

—, uncle of. *See* Harley, Auditor Edward.

—, uncle of, chosen as guardian by the daughter of the Duke of Newcastle, 163.

—, new aunt of. *See* Sunderland, Lady.

—, cousins of, 243, 356; *and see* under their names.

—, brother-in-law of [? Lord Carmarthen], 303.

—, tutor of. *See* Terry, Thomas.

Edward, brother of Robert, auditor of the Imprest (Mr. Auditor), 36, 38, 167-169, 221, 222, 224, 227, 229, 231, 235, 238, 246, 250, 251, 265 (?), 272, 275, 279, 284, 286, 291, 295, 298, 299, 303-305, 324, 343, 344, 382, 432, 441, 443.

—, and his wife's death, 300.

—, match proposed by, for his son. *See* Harley, Edward (Neddy), *below*.

—, and the elections, 311, 315, 318, 319.

—, defeat of, at Leominster, 319, 320.

—, book by, mentioned, 439, 440.

—, subscription by, 417.

—, family of (lords and ladies of Eywood, Eywood friends), 237, 329.

Edward (Neddy), eldest son of Auditor Edward [aft. 3rd Earl of Oxford], 214, 220-324 *passim*, 332, 344 (?), 353, 358-360, 372.

—, Welsh blood of, 288.

—, takes his Master's degree, 296.

—, undesirable match proposed for, by his father, 308, 310.

—, and the elections, 318.

—, intended marriage of, 354.

Harley, Edward—*cont.*

—, as "the master," 382, 397, 400, 402, 417, 443, 444, 448, 454, 458.

—, his wife and child, 443.

Elizabeth (Mrs. or Lady Betty), daughter of Robert, 22; *and see* Carmarthen, Lady.

—, marriage of, to the Marquis of Carmarthen, 97-100, 111, 114, 122, 124, 127.

Lady Henrietta or Harriot, wife of Edward, Lord Harley, 184, 185, 193, 195, 207-210, 259, 260, 270, 279, 286, 288, 303, 333, 336, 343, 363. *And see* Holles, Lady Henrietta.

—, birthday of, 211, 212.

—, messages to, 166 *et seq.* *passim*.

—, at Oxford, 195, 203.

—, picture of, 201.

—, the birth of her child, 211, 212.

—, at Bath, 236-238, 273, 276.

—, illness of, 237.

—, play bespoke by, 237.

—, "old Aunts of," 212.

—, as Countess of Oxford. *See* Oxford.

Margaret Cavendish (Miss Margery or Peggy, Lady Peggy, the little mistress) daughter of Lord Harley, 211, 212, 231, 237, 248, 255, 282, 283, 286, 293, 376, 395, 396, 403, 416, 452.

—, illness of, 292 (2).

—, rumoured "match" for, 302.

Nathaniel, death of, 283.

Robert (*aft.* Earl of Oxford, *q.v.*), 1-32, *passim*.

—, Remembrancer's office granted to, 3, 4.

—, is said to have composed all differences, 5.

—, visitors to, 9.

—, appointment of, as Treasury Commissioner and Chancellor of the Exchequer, alluded to, 9, 10, 13.

—, health of, 9.

—, as father-in-law of Lord Dupplin, 10.

—, visits of, to Lord Halifax, 16.

—, difficulties of, 18.

—, movements of, 20, 21.

—, character of, 21.

—, pretended intimacy with, 22.

—, attack upon by Guiscard, alluded to, 23, 184, 236.

—, as Lord Treasurer and Earl of Oxford (*q.v.*), 32, 46.

—, collections of, letters for, 25.

—, messages to, *passim*.

—, wife of, 22.

Sir Robert, grandfather of the above, story told of, 204.

Robert (Robin) younger son of Auditor Edward, 251, 284, 313, 332, 333, 343, 358, 373, 382, 400, 443.

Harley—*cont.*

Sarah, wife of Auditor Edward, 169, 183, 184, 214, 221, 223, 290.

—, illness and death of, 300, 301.

Thomas, godfather to Dr. Stratford, 11, 29, 65, 79, 176, 193, 195, 198, 227, 237, 270, 279, 291, 304, 316, 318, 363, 373, 382, 394, 396, 397, 402, 442.

—, as minister to Hanover, 87, 95, 179, 182.

—, excepted from the Act of Grace, 224.

—, castle of, 327.

Harold. [Anthony Grey], Earl of (son of the Duke of Kent), 279.

Lady [Mary], his wife, 279.

Harris, Dr. [John], Bishop of Llandaff, 471, 472.

Harrison, Dr. [Sedgwick], of All Souls, 272, 274, 275, 306, 307, 314, 315, 317.

made Professor of History, 274.

Hartington, [William Cavendish], Marquis of, 222.

tutor of, 222.

Harwar, Dr. [Jos.], President of Magdalen College, Oxford, 271.

death of, 331.

Hasely, co. Oxford, 44.

Hastings, Lady Betty, 88, 417.

brother of. *See* Huntingdon, Earl of.

Hatton [William], Lord, 7.

brother of, 7.

Haversham [Maurice Thompson], Lord, 16.

Hawkins, Counsellor, 317.

Haye or Hay:

Col. John, 407, 408, 412, 422.

—, as "pretended Earl of Inverness," 408.

—, wife of, 408, 422, 428.

"Colonel," younger son of the 7th Earl of Kinmoul, 459, 464.

Mr., 31.

Mrs., 386.

Haywood, Dr. [Thomas], of St. John's, 346, 348.

Hearne (Herne, Heron), Thomas, 72, 198, 211, 301, 353, 435.

—, as archi-typographus and beadle at Oxford, 213.

—, writings of, 233-235.

—, proceedings against, at Oxford, 233-236, 243.

—, submission of, 245.

—, "pieces of monkish antiquity," published by, 249.

—, Lord Harley's bounty to, 260.

—, attack of, upon the Chapter of Christ Church, 260, 268.

—, in the Dunciad, 465.

Heathcot, Dr., death of, 259.

Hedges, Sir Charles, death of, 188.

Henchman, Dr. [Humphrey?], 87, 188, 350, 488, 472, 474.

Henley on Thames, "a famous inn" at, 175.

school at, trustee for, 359.

- Henrietta Maria, Queen, 27.
 letters to, sent to Harley, 27.
- Henry VIII., 38.
 cathedrals of the foundation of, 151.
- Henry, Prince, son of James I., account of, mentioned, 27.
- Herbert:
 [Charles], natural son of the Duke of Buckingham, 295.
 Henry, Lord, eldest son of the Earl of Pembroke, 89.
 [James], M.P. for co. Oxford, death of, 296 (2).
 [Canon?], of Westminster, 282.
 Captain, 153.
 Dr., 359.
 Mr., son of the Earl of Pembroke, 89.
 Mr., 40, 66, 69.
- Hereford, 78, 110, 112.
 Bishop of. *See* Humphreys, Humphrey; Bisse, Philip; Hoadley, Benjamin; Egerton, Henry.
 diocese or see of, 179, 304, 359.
 Dean of. *See* Clavering.
 election at, 317, 318, 319.
 letter dated at, 84.
- Herefordshire, 2, 20, 21, 49, 71, 206, 230, 261, 302, 349, 443.
 bad roads in, 303, 304.
 elections or election affairs in, 312, 313, 316.
- Herne. *See* Hearne.
- Herrenhausen, treaty of. *See* Hanover, treaty of.
- Hertford, [Algernon Seymour], Marquis of, 329.
- Hertford, M.P. for, 342.
- Hertfordshire, Lord Lieutenant of. *See* Cowper, Lord.
- Hessian soldiers, 432.
- Hewer, William, 43.
 niece of, 43.
- Heysham, —, 63.
 father of, 63.
- Heythrop, co. Oxford, 251.
- Heywood, —, 226.
 wife of, 226.
- Hicks:
 [George, Dean of Worcester], pamphlet by, 368.
 chaplain, death of, 66.
- High Commission Court (*temp.* Elizabeth), 116.
- Higler, the (? a coach), 237.
- Hill:
 Richard (Dick), 262, 280.
 —, illness of, 385.
 Mr., 21, 188.
 Mr., of Shropshire, and his son, 77.
- Hinton, Mr., 35, 170, 181, 431.
- Hoadley, Benjamin, Bishop of Bangor, 268, 278, 338.
 as Bishop of Hereford, 338, 359, 365, 367.
 party of (Bangorians), 287.
- Hoar, Henry, 1.
 eldest brother of, 1.
- Hoare, Dick, 100.
- Hobart, Sir John, 427.
- Hoffman's *Lexicon*, 169.
- Holdsworth, Dr., 370, 459.
- Hole, Dr. [Mathew], Master of Exeter College, 346, 347, 370.
- Holland, Dr. [John], Warden of Merton College, 5, 248, 287, 330, 370, 388.
 nieces of, "Chloe and Daphne," 248.
 made prebendary of Worcester, 227.
- Holland, 53, 60, 199, 386, 404, 416, 426-428, 431, 455, 456.
 ambassadors of, 419.
 book-sale in, 48.
 great storm in, 225.
 King George in, 410.
 provinces of, 420, 423.
 States-General of, 57, 405, 418, 420, 422, 423.
 province of, States of, 420, 421.
- Holles, Lady Henrietta (Harriot) Cavendish, daughter of the Duke of Newcastle, suggested marriage of, to Edward, Lord Harley, 33, 40, 41, 59.
 chooses Lord Harley's uncle for her guardian, 163.
 as Lady Henrietta Harley. *See* Harley.
- Holloway, —, 31.
- Holme, Dr., 459, 464.
- Holstein, Duke of, 406.
- Holt, Sir Charles, 31, 55, 176, 242.
 extract of a letter from, 93.
 son of, 55, 176.
- Honywood, General, 329.
- Hooper, [Dr. George], Bishop of Bath and Wells, 92, 94, 148, 160, 200, 397, 432.
 sermon by, 159.
 death of, 451.
- Hop, Monsieur, Dutch ambassador, 419.
- Hoppy and Toppy, 72.
- Hopton, —, 319.
- Hosier, Admiral, 421.
 squadron of, 431, 432.
- Houghton, an attorney, suicide of, 113.
 his bride and her father, 113.
- Howard, Colonel, 454.
 wife of, 454.
- Howe, John, sen. and jun., 17.
- Hucks:
 [Robert], 318.
 [William], 14.
- Hudson, Dr. [John], 4, 8, 110.
 —, as Principal of St. Mary Hall, 115, 120-122.
 —, as Bodley's librarian, 206, 213.
 —, death of, 265.
 —, books of, 266, 272.
 —, widow of, marries Mr. Hall, of Queens, 301.
 —, the little daughter of, 234.
 John, 240.
- Hughs, Mrs., 352.
- Humphreys, Humphrey, Bishop of Hereford, illness of, 110, 112.
 as the late Bishop, 180.
- Hundred House, the [co. Hereford?], 303.
- Hungary, 430.

Hungerford :
 Bear Inn at, 381.
 Down, camp on, 330.
 Hunt, Dr. [Joseph], Master of Balliol College, 320, 346, 354, 370, 389.
 Huntingdon, [Theophilus Hastings], Earl of, 87, 88.
 sister of. *See* Hastings, Lady Betty, guardian of. *See* Rogers, John.
 Huntingdon, town of, 215.
 Huntridge, one, tried for concealing deer-stealers, 404.
 Hutchinson, or Hutcheson :
 Archibald, 285, 316, 338, 339.
 Mr., 417.
 Hutton :
 Dr., death of, 36.
 Mrs., and her late husband's books and manuscripts, 38, 39, 45-47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 57, 140, 186.
 —, at Somerset House, 186.
 Hyde, [Henry] Lord [son of the Earl of Rochester], 19.

I

Ifley, co. Oxford, 26.
 Ilay, [Archibald Campbell], Earl of, brother of the Duke of Argyll, 313, 331.
 Imperial Court, 402, 430.
 minister. *See* Emperor, minister of.
 Ince, Mr., student at Christ Church, 165.
 father of, 165.
 Indemnity, Act of, exceptions from, 289.
 Ingram, "two of that name" at Oriel College, Oxford, 223.
 Inquisitors, 140.
 Inverness, "pretended Earl of." *See* Haye, John.
 Ireland, 114, 404, 427, 442, 463.
 Court or Court party in, 405.
 Lord Lieutenant of. *See* Carteret, Lord.
 Lord Lieutenancy of, 191, 192, 416.
 official in, 214.
 Parliament of, committee of, 404.
 —, proceedings in, 405.
 —, Tory majority in, 172.
 Primacy of, 175.
 Primate of. *See* Lindsay, Thomas.
 the Seminary bill to be extended to, 186.
 Vice-Treasurer of. *See* Sunderland, Earl of.
 Irish, or the Irish :
 Bishoprics, 59, 172, 175.
 halfpence, 392, 393.
 judge, 88.
 lord, 413.
 mails, lost, 415.
 pamphlets, 394.
 with the Pretender, 386.
 grow more mutinous, 404.

Isham :
 Sir Child, 18.
 Sir Justinian, 18.
 Italian music, performed at Oxford, 154.
 Italy, 37, 431, 473.
 picture brought from, 262, 264.
 Ivy, Mr., of Christ Church, 181.

J

Jack, [? Lord Harley's servant], 211.
 Jacobite plot, 326, 328, 344, 345, 348, 357, 358, 368.
 Jacobites or Jacobitism, 7, 326, 419, 428.
 Jamaica, 438.
 James I., 204, 367.
 grant or patent of, mentioned, 25, 29.
 letters from and to, sent to Harley, 25-27.
 and Oxford University, 388.
 James II., reign of, alluded to, 338, 394.
 James Edward, the Pretender, or the Chevalier, 14, 16, 248, 343, 386, 408, 412, 413, 419, 422.
 "the young person abroad," health of drunk, 37, 239.
 plots or designs for, 202, 203; *and see* Jacobite plot.
 and the Duke of Marlborough, 206.
 rumoured death of, 471.
 his wife (Madame Sobieski) and their quarrels, 407, 408, 412, 416, 428.
 family of, 422.
 chaplains of. *See* Berkeley and Cooper.
 court of, 428.
 protestant chapel of, 428.
 Jane, Dr., [William], as late Regius Divinity professor, at Oxford, 24-26, 101.
 Jans, one, suicide of, 256.
 Japan dressing equipage, lately the Queen's, 384, 386.
 Jeffries, a Welsh judge, 307.
 Jeffries, Jeffreys :
 Mr., of Christ Church, 81, 127.
 Mr., 396, 401.
 Jekyll, Joseph, Attorney General, 353, 362, 369, 388, 391.
 as Sir Joseph and Master of the Rolls, 400, 406, 407, 418, 421, 422, 447.
 Jenkinson :
 [James], 263.
 [Richard] of Christ Church, brother of Sir Robert, 263.
 Sir Robert, M.P. for co. Oxford, 17, 139.
 Sir Robert Banks, M.P. for co. Oxford (in 1717), 229, 230, 293.
 —, re-elected, 320.
 Mr., 156.

- Jenkinson, the, all christened Robert, 229.
 Jenks, Mr., 191, 193 (2).
 his boy, servitor at Christ Church, 191.
 Jennens, of Warwickshire, suicide of, 468.
 Jenner, —, of Magdalen, 464.
 Jennings:
 [James], 318.
 Ned, 54.
 Mr., 42.
 Jersey, Lord, sister of. *See* Glenorchy, Lady.
 Jerusalem, stranger Jews at, 238.
 Jesson, chaplain at Christ Church, 414.
 Jesuit writer, 224.
 Jett, —, Auditor of the Imprest, 36.
 Jews, the, 238, 377, 413, 416.
 merchants or traders, 417, 418.
 John of Leyden, 281.
 "John, Sir," 418, 474.
 Johnson, *alias* Kelly. *See* Kelly.
 Johnstoun, [Archibald, of Wariston], 368.
 Jones:
 Dr., [William], Principal of Jesus, 276, 370.
 Mr., of Ramsbury, 358.
 one, of Balliol, 454.
 Judge, the, 411.
 Judges, augmentations of the salaries of, 307.
 Juggins, a college servant, 174, 256.
 Junto, the, 80.

K

- Keill:
 Dr., 18, 174.
 John, 62, 114, 151, 244, 280, 287, 288.
 —, marriage of, 229.
 —, wife of, 228, 244, 307.
 —, —, family of, 229.
 Kelly, [George], *alias* Johnson, 326, 328.
 Kendal, Duchess of, 447, 453.
 Kennett, [White], 45.
 as Bishop of Peterborough, 311, 328.
 daughter of, 311.
 book said to be written by, 303.
 Kensington, 1, 195.
 Kent:
 [Henry Gray], Duke of, 237.
 —, made Constable of the Castle and Forest of Windsor, 205.
 Duchess of, his wife, 237.
 [Clement], M.P. for Reading, 358.
 Kentish:
 men, at Oxford.
 road, the, 326.
 Keyte, Sir William, 368.

- Kildare, Bishop of, [Wellbore] Ellis, 59.
 Killigrew, Colonel, 177.
 Kimberley, Jonathan, 88, 89.
 [Sam], son of (tutor at Christ Church), 88.
 King:
 Charles, 15, 16, 23, 31, 39, 225, 227.
 —, death of, alluded to, 241, 242.
 Dr. [William], 336, 338-342, 345.
 —, contests the University, 307, 312, 314, 317, 318, 320.
 —, made to resign the secretaryship at Oxford, 312.
 —, brother-in-law of. *See* Withers.
 Sir Peter, 328, 400, 429.
 —, suggested as Lord Chancellor, 392.
 King's Bench, Court of, 239, 334, 342, 352.
 judgment in, 475, 476.
 Kingston, [Evelyn Pierrepont], Duke of, Lord Privy Seal, death of, alluded to, 429.
 Kinnoul:
 [Thomas Hay, 6th] Earl of, 71.
 —, younger son of. *See* Hay, Colonel John.
 [George Henry Hay, 7th] Earl of, 309, 343, 345, 386, 400, 436, 438, 440, 451, 459; and *see* Dupplin, George, Lord.
 —, children of, 309, 387, 400, 437, 444; and *see* Dupplin, Thomas, Lord.
 Countess of, [Abigail Harley, wife of 7th Earl], 309, 343, 344, 386, 397, 398, 400, 436, 451.
 —, picture of, 401.
 family, the, 363.
 Kinnoull, Perthshire, 309.
 Kirkham, Mr., J.P., 58, 60.
 Kirtleton, co. Oxford, 220.
 Knap, Mr., 158, 160.
 Kneller, Sir Godfrey, 168, 180.
 Knight, [Robert], cashier of the South Sea Company, 288, 290-292.
 Knowles, [Francis], M.P. for Oxford City, 337.
 Königseck (Coningseck), Count, minister of the Emperor, 405.

L

- L., Bishop of, 212.
 Lambeth, 157, 340, 436.
 "the good man at." *See* Tenison, Archbishop.
 degrees. *See* Canterbury, Archbishop of.
 librarian at, 435.
 new bridge for, 285.
 Lamborn, co. Berks, 298, 361, 379, 442.
 Lamy, [B.], harmony of the Gospels, by, 297.

- Lancashire, 400.
 sheriff of, 476.
- Lancaster, Dr., [William], Provost of
 Queen's College, Oxford, 39, 53, 68, 73.
- Lane, Dr., 243.
- Langford, Mr., 478.
- Lansdowne, [George Granville], Lord,
 174, 223, 326, 328 (?), 330, 390, 477.
 report that he is to be attainted,
 445, 446.
- Lady, his wife, 328 (?).
- Larroque, or La Roque, travels of, 375.
- Latin, Mr., 438.
- Laud, Bishop [of London, as Chancellor of
 Oxford University], 57.
- Laughton, Mr., 32.
- Launceston, Cornwall, election at, 395.
- Lavington, George, prebendary of Wor-
 cester, 367.
 wife of, 367.
- Law, [John, banker], in Paris, 275, 284.
 and his Mississippi scheme, 335.
 son of, 335.
- Lawson :
 —, M.P., 392.
 —, 352.
- Layer, 339.
 trial of, 341, 368.
- Leather Lake, 150.
- Lechmere :
 [Nicholas], 250.
 —, as Lord Lechmere, 360, 421.
 Lady, illness and rumoured death of,
 421, 422.
 —, mother of, 421.
- Lee :
 Sir Francis, a son of, 63.
 [Henry?], of Christ Church, 276.
 Dr. [Theophilus], master of Balliol
 College, Oxford, 463.
- Leers, —, 202, 203.
- Le Hunt, a kinsman of the Lord Keeper's,
 prize for verses awarded to, 111.
- Leicester, Archdeacon of, [John Rogers],
 88.
- Leicestershire Herald's visitation of, 367.
- Lemster or Leominster. [Thomas Fermor],
 Lord, 153, 191.
 book of, 233.
- Lennard, S., Blewmantle, *temp.* James I.,
 367.
- Leominster, Lord. *See* Lemster.
- Leominster (Lempster) :
 corporation of, 319.
 election matters at, 18, 311, 315, 318-
 320.
- Lesly, —, writings of, 354, 368.
- Levet, —, 434.
- Leving, Sir Richard, 20.
- Lewis :
 Erasmus (Don), 52, 53, 226, 319,
 431.
 Thomas, of Hampshire, 425, 428.
 —, daughter of, 428.
 one, student at Christ Church, 175,
 187.
- Lewisham (Lewsom), [George Legge],
 Lord, eldest son of the Earl of Dart-
 mouth, 260, 268, 277.
- Libertine Jews, origin of the name of,
 238.
- Lichfield, Bishop of. *See* Chandler,
 Edward.
- Lichfield :
 election at, 318.
 returning officer of, 318.
- Lincoln, Bishop of, 356 ; and *see* Gibson,
 Edmund ; Reynolds, Richard.
- Lincoln diocese, archdeacon of, 364.
- Lincolnshire :
 living in, 257.
 poor clergy of, 425.
- Lindsay, [Thomas], Archbishop of
 Armagh, Primate of Ireland, 252, 438.
- Lintot, printer, 210, 244.
- Liskeard, co. Cornwall, expected election
 at, 13.
- Litchfield, Mr., 270.
- Littlecote, co. Wilts, 439.
- Littleton [Fisher?] of All Souls' College,
 257.
- Llandaff, Bishop of. *See* Clavering, Dr.
 Robert.
- Lloyd, Dr., [William], Bishop of Worcester,
 44, 46, 162.
 nephew of. *See* Marshall.
 church patronage of, 162.
- Locke, [John], 441.
 essay of, mentioned, 301.
- Lockhart, [Sir William, as governor of
 Dunkirk], 27.
- London, *passim*.
 Bishop of, 206 ; and *see* :
 Compton, Henry.
 Robinson, John.
 Gibson, Edmund.
- Bishopric of, 81. 157, 160, 179, 316.
 ballot in [on Walpole's engraftment
 scheme], 309, 310.
- City or Corporation of :
 —, bill in relation to, 393-396
 —, opposition of, to a second
 bridge over the river, 285, 312.
 —, overtures to, from the
 Court, 312.
- Chamberlain's place in, 453.
 election for, 451, 453.
 empty houses in, 415.
 Lent preachers in, 180.
 living in, 44.
 Lord Mayor of, 453.
 Lord Mayor and aldermen of, 394.
 paper cried in the streets of, 207.
 robberies in, 425.
 rumours in, 403, 413.
 strange spirit in, 465.
 trainband of, 153.
 travellers to or from, *passim*.
 streets, buildings, &c. in :
 Angel Inn, 429.
 Bloomsbury, 394.
 Bridewell, 52.
 Cavendish Square, building of,
 256, 258, 279.
 — — —, house in, 384.
 Change Alley, 380.
 Child's coffee house, 413.
 Clarges Street, 335.

- London, streets, buildings, &c. in—*cont.*
 Cocoa Tree coffee house, 427.
 Covent Garden, 181.
 Dover Street, 286, 344, 425.
 Drury Lane, coach exhibited in, 203.
 Exchange Alley, 271, 279, 282.
 Fleet Prison, 340, 391.
Genoa Arms, 238.
 Hanover Square, 425.
 Hatton Garden, 267.
 Holborn, the *Chequer* in, 195.
 — Bridge, *King's Arms*, on, 440.
 Leicester House, 448.
 Lincoln's Inn, 250, 251.
 Lincoln's Inn Fields, 178, 220 *note*.
 Little Britain, 470.
 Mall, the, talk of, 422.
 Marybone, 293.
 Newcastle House, 168, 171, 177, 178, 184, 194, 195.
 Pallmall, *King's Arms* in, 427.
 Paul's (wharf), 153.
 Peterborough House, 312.
 Play House, 268.
 Poland Street, 120.
 Queen's Square, 120.
 Red Lion Square, 287.
 St. Bartholomew's Hospital, legacy to, 207.
 St. Dunstan's, lecture at, 408.
 —, living of, 52, 79.
 St. James', 210.
 St. James' Street, 425.
 St. Martin's Church, 28.
 — Lane, 28.
 St. Paul's:
 —, dean of, office of, 411.
 —, deanery of, 417.
 —, canon of. *See* Baker.
 —, scaffolds for spectators at, 211.
 Scotland Yard, 90, 197.
 Somerset House, 186.
 Spittle Fields, 16.
 Temple, the, Master of, 52.
 —, suicide in, 468.
 Warwick Lane, *Oxford Arms* in, 201.
 York Buildings (Lord Oxford's house in), 79, 160, 195, 202, 306.
- Londonderry:
 Bishop of, [Dr. Hickman], 114.
 Bishopric of, vacant, 175.
- Long:
 Tom, 452.
 Mrs., of Lynn, 284.
- Longleat, co. Wilts, 91, 94, 228.
- Lord Chamberlain. *See* Shrewsbury, Duke of.
- Lord Chancellor, 207; and *see*:
 Cowper, Lord.
 Harcourt, Sir Simon.
 Parker, Thomas, Lord.
 as visitor of Christ Church, 129.
- Lord Chief Justice, office of, 207, 327.
- Lord Keeper. *See* Harcourt, Lord. (*temp.* Charles I.). *See* Coventry, Thomas, Lord.
 as visitor of Christ Church, 126, 129.
 preferments in the gift of, 35, 60, 61.
- Lord Steward. *See* Poulett, Earl.
- Lord Treasurer. *See* Godolphin, Earl of; Harley, Earl of Oxford.
 church patronage of, 44.
- Lords, House of, 139, 143, 198, 200, 265, 286, 295, 298, 310, 389, 421.
 spirit of faction in, 78, 81, 84.
 as Court of Appeal, 135, 170, 397.
 bills rejected by, 155, 297.
 opposition in, 178, 183.
 addresses of, mentioned, 183, 191, 193.
 —, answer to, mentioned, 194.
 proceedings in, 186 (2), 222, 309, 310, 390, 424, 426.
 speeches in, 424.
- Lotteries, 302.
- Lottery, the new, 427, 430, 432.
- Lowe, —, 329.
- Lownd, —, 339.
- Lucan, the poet, 472.
- Lucretius, allusion to, 244.
- Lucullus, saying concerning, quoted, 259.
- Ludwell, a physician, 30.
- Lumley, Sir James, 207.
 sister of, 207.
- Lunn, —, 377.
- Lupton, Dr. William, 256-258.
- Lutwych, [Thomas], Councillor at law, 162.
 declines to be Attorney-General, 407.
- Lydal [Robert], 331.
- Lyddal [Dennis], of the Navy Office, 207.
- Lynn, co. Norfolk, 284.

M

- M., A., 192.
- Macartney, General, 329.
 the Duke of Hamilton slain by, 113, 114.
- Macbeth and Banquo, alluded to, 295.
- Macclesfield, Earl of. *See* Parker.
- Mackworth, Sir Humphrey, 200, 201.
- Madonna, picture of, 264.
- Madrid, 416, 417.
 Court of, 431.
- Mahomet, 355.
- Major, "the worthy," 238.
- Malt tax, the, 302, 404, 416.
- Man, Isle of:
 Bishop of. *See* Wilson, Thomas.
 Governor of. *See* Derby, Earl of.
 —, deputy, 331.
 proceedings in, 331.

- Manaton, [Robert], censor of Oxford University, 447.
as proctor, 462, 463, 469, 478.
- Manchester:
[Charles Montagu], Earl of, daughter of, 237.
- Manchester:
Collegiate Church of, 272.
—, Warden of. *See* Peploe, Samuel.
—, —, cause of, against the Bishop of Chester, 272, 390, 391, 475.
—, visitor of, bill concerning, 475-477.
procession, bonfire, &c., at, 476.
- Mangey, Dr., 275.
- Manly, Roger, 474.
- Mansel:
[Thomas], Lord, Teller of the Exchequer, dismissal of, 205.
—, death of, 371.
—, son and daughter of, 371.
Lady, 87, 225.
- Mansfield, co. Nottingham, 270.
- Manuscripts, genealogical, 367.
- Mapletoft:
Mr., of Clare Hall, Cambridge, 246.
"old," 227.
- Mapletofts, the two, 281.
- Mar, [John Erskine], Earl of, 385, 390, 408, 412, 446.
- Marlborough:
[John Churchill], Duke of, 2, 13, 15, 25, 46, 68, 87, 152, 216, 230.
—, proceedings of, after Queen Anne's death, 200, 201, 205, 206, 208.
—, reported differences of, both with the King and the Pretender, 206.
—, death of, 327, 329.
—, estate and will of, 330.
—, agents of, 229.
[Sarah], Duchess of (the great Duchess, the great lady), 5, 18, 19, 243, 361, 362, 366, 384, 386, 442, 467.
—, and the elections, 229, 305, 314.
—, and her grandson, 327, 329.
—, estate of, 330.
- Marlborough interest, the, 297.
- Marlborough's "ruffians," 441.
- Marlborough, co. Wilts, 330, 381.
election at, 319.
- Marsh, co. Bucks, living of, 251.
- Marshall, —, nephew of the Bishop of Worcester, chronological tables by, 162.
- Martin:
Oliver, 351.
"old," a brewer, 283.
- Martyn, —, pro-proctor, of New College, 346.
- Mary, Queen of Scots, papers concerning, 461.
- Masham, Abigail, Lady, 174.
intrigues of, against Lord Oxford, 184, 188, 191-193, 196.
after the Queen's death, 197, 198, 206.
- Masquerades, 417, 420, 427, 460.
the Bishops desire to stop, 393.
- Massey, Dr., [John], former Dean of Christ Church, 30.
- Mather, Dr., [John], President of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, 346-348, 370, 469.
as Vice-Chancellor, 378, 387, 388.
takes up the address to the King, 389, 390.
- Mattaire, [Michael], 437-441, 446.
wife of, 437, 438.
daughter-in-law of, 438.
- Maundrell, voyage of, new edition of, 25.
- Mayne, Jasper, translation of *Lucian*, by, 218.
- Mayo, William, 319.
- Mead, Dr., [Richard], physician, 278, 334, 439, 441.
- Meadowcourt (Medlicot), Richard, of Merton College, 252, 255, 256, 318.
- Meadows, Sir Philip, late resident at Vienna, 12.
- Mede, [? Dr. Mead], 87, 88.
- Mediterranean Sea, the, ships for, 425.
- Medusa, fable of, 472.
- Meteren, writings of, 224.
- Middlesex, poor clergy of, 425.
- Middleton, Dr., [Conyers], 285, 288, 291, 292, 297.
writings of, mentioned, 296, 435-437, 439, 441, 448.
- Milan, Naples and Sicily, King of, 473.
- Military officers, reform among, 211.
- Miller:
M.P., 377.
one, an artist, 225.
- Mills, Dr., 435, 440.
his edition of the New Testament, 30, 297.
- Ministers, 309-311, 314. *And see under their names.*
- Ministry, the, *passim*.
change of (1710), 9, 10, 11.
—, delays in, 18.
"the old or late," 11, 24.
coalition, rumour of, 16.
the new, (1714), 192, 193, 196.
—, plans of, upset by the Queen's death, 197.
—, as "the late cabal," 199, 203.
and the South Sea Company, 285.
rumours concerning, 311, 312.
- Mississippi scheme, the, 335.
- Mitchell [Scotch fanatic, *temp.* Charles II.], 368.
- Mohun, [Charles], Lord, duel of, with the Duke of Hamilton, 113.
- Molyneux, —, 441.
- Montagu, Mountagu:
[John], Duke of (cousin of Lady Harley), 239.
Duchess of, made Lady of the Bedchamber, 205.
- Montagu family, of [co.] Northampton, 15.
- Montrose, [James Graham], Duke of, 313.
- Moore, —, proctor for the diocese of Oxford, 77.
- Mordaunt, one, said to be made prebendary of Worcester, 417.

- Moreton (Morton), in Marsh, co. Gloucester, 299.
- Morgan:
 Dr. Robert (Robin), 3, 28, 44, 69, 71, 72, 78, 110, 112, 177, 231, 247, 313, 340.
 Sir Thomas, account by, of the battle of Dunkirk 27.
- Morley:
 Dr., [John], 257.
 —, as Rector of Lincoln College, Oxford, 257-259, 387.
 John, (the merchant), business agent for the Harleys, 212, 230, 237, 271, 295, 296, 313, 397, 398.
 —, death of, 414.
 —, son and daughter-in-law of, 296.
- Morpeth, [Henry Howard], Lord, son of the Earl of Carlisle, 250, 322, 438. father-in-law of. *See* Sunderland, Earl of.
 tutor of, 250.
- Morpeth, co. Durham, 397.
- Morris:
 [Peter], of Jesus College, Oxford, 246, 247.
 —, son-in-law of Atterbury, 289, 325-327, 366, 367.
- Mosely, one, 450.
- Moss:
 —, fellow of St. John's College, Oxford, 370.
 "little," 370.
- Mostyn, Sir Roger, Bart., 207, 209.
 made Teller of the Exchequer, 205.
- Motray, [Aubry de la Mottraye], traveller, 374, 375.
- Moulden, Dr., 342.
- Munro, Dr., a physician, 317.
- Munster, Duchess, or "her mock Majesty of," 226.
- Munster treaty, the, 416.
- Murray:
 James (Jemmy), "pretended Earl of Dunbar," 228, 408, 412.
 "young," 400, 459.
 —, 438.
- Muscovites, the, 323, 430.
- Musgrave, [Sir Christopher?], 350.
- Muyssart, Mr., 225.
- Netherlands, the, 415.
 histories of the troubles in, 224.
- Nevil:
 —; 198, 202.
 Grey, 14, 317.
 Harry. *See* Grey.
- Newbury, co. Berks, 240, 323.
 the Bear Inn at, 381.
 sessions at, 357.
- Newcastle:
 [William Cavendish], Duke of, book dedicated to, 218.
 [John Holles], Duke of, 4, 33.
 —, death of, 40, 41.
 —, daughter of. *See* Holles, Lady Henrietta.
 [Thomas Pelham Holles], Duke of, 268, 338, 394, 411, 416, 424.
 [Margaret]. Duchess of (the old lady), 40, 113, 163, 207, 203, 210, 212, 242.
- Newcastle-on-Tyne, 27.
- Newcome:
 —, made schoolmaster at Ewelme, 181, 182.
 —, 447.
- Newelme, parsonage of. *See* Ewelme.
- Newnham, co. Oxford, 115, 121, 450.
- New Park, the wall of, 404.
- Newport Pagnell, co. Bucks, the *Swan* at, 205, 215.
- Newsham (Newsom) [Thomas], of All Souls' College, 257, 263.
- Newspapers:
Courant, the, 80.
Craftsman, 464, 472.
Examiner, 113.
Flying Post, 328.
Freholder, the, 322.
Gazette, the, 11, 177, 249, 370, 389, 420.
London Evening Post, 463, 464.
London Journal, 287, 323, 338, 472.
Post, the, 356, 361.
Postboy, the, 52, 63, 80.
Whitehall Evening [Post], 457.
- Newton, Dr. [Richard], of Hart Hall, 104, 346, 352, 353, 370.
 as candidate for the post of Orator at Oxford, 115 (2), 117, 118.
 writings of, 436, 445.
- Niblett, Dr. [Stephen], Warden of All Souls', 462, 463.
- Nicols, of Westminster, 214.
- Nicolson, preface by, 202.
- Noel, Sir Cloberry, marries Mr. Rowney's daughter, 202.
- Nonjurors, taxing of, 359.
- Norfolk, 392.
- Norris, James, of Weston, 296.
- North and Grey [William North], Lord, 386, 446, 450.
 wife and mother-in-law of, 386.
- Northampton, 18.
- Northamptonshire, 15, 53, 60, 241.
 election matters in, 18, 19.
 history of, 307.
- Northey, Sir Edward, Attorney-General, 118, 165, 205.

N

- Nash [? John], 281.
- National debt, the, 423, 457.
- Navy:
 debt, the, 421.
 Office, officers of, 207.
 —, reform in, 207.
 treasurer of. *See* Walpole, Robert.
- Negus, Colonel, 358.
- Nelson, Mr., 42, 190, 262, 264.

Northumberland, [George Fitzroy], Duke of, 205.

title of, 375, 415.

Norton, one, co-respondent in a divorce case, 390.

Norton, co, Northampton, 18.

Norwich:

Bishop of. *See* Trimmell, Dr. Charles.

Chancellor of. *See* Tanner, Dr.

a prebend of, 170.

is next to London in extent and trade, 465.

Nottingham, [Daniel Finch], Earl of, (Lord Dismal), 80 (2), 81, 159, 207, 209, 227, 422, 424, 425.

—, son of, 81.

—, daughter of, marries the Duke of Somerset, 422.

O

Oakely, —, 44.

Oaths, the new, taking of, 363, 364, 366.

Ockingham, co. Berks, 358.

October Club, the, 82.

Offley, Mr., living given to, 162.

Ogle, Lord, hoped for, 170.

Oglethorp, —, 427.

O'Keit, Sir Harry, 216.

Only [Nicholas], curate of St. Margaret's and prebendary of Westminster, 172.

Ordnance, Master of, 329.

Orford [Edward Russell], "the sober Earl of," 230.

Orleans, Duke of, 429.

Ormond, Ormonde, [James Butler 2nd] Duke of, 192, 200, 205, 216, 222, 435.

as Chancellor of Oxford University, 3, 110, 115, 120, 121, 146, 147, 170, 175, 176.

disgrace of, 218.

as "the late Duke," 372.

Orondates, allusion to, 217.

Orrery, [Charles Boyle], Earl of, arrest and imprisonment of, 335, 343, 364. son of, 364.

tutor of. *See* Atterbury.

Ostend, 405, 427.

Company. *See* East India Company, the Ostend.

harbour of, 404.

ships, 404.

trade, the, 405, 421, 424.

Otley, Dr. Adam, Bishop of St. Davids, 265, 267.

Oughton, General, 329.

Over Issel, province of, 423.

Owen, Dr. John, Vice-Chancellor of Oxford University, [*temp.* Commonwealth], 335.

Oxenden, Sir George, 427.

Oxford:

Robert Harley, Earl of, 32-379, *passim*; and *see* Harley.

—, letters to, 166, 173, 269, 278, 284, 332.

—, and Bolingbroke. *See* Bolingbroke.

—, debts or financial difficulties of, 32, 208.

—, library, books or papers of, 36, 169, 202, 238.

—, ill-practices towards, difficulties of or intrigues against, 40, 46, 47, 55, 111, 113, 114, 143, 144, 161, 184.

—, prayed for at Oxford, 44.

—, movements of, 51, 63, 195, 206-210, 260, 277, 279, 303-308, 312, 314, 320, 324, 329, 332.

—, health of, 62, 63, 65-67, 71, 73, 75, 93, 94, 152, 156, 161, 178, 278, 287, 289, 290, 303-305, 324, 325, 327, 330, 332-334, 343, 344, 358, 365, 372, 374, 378.

—, supporters of, 50, 57, 191, 192, 200.

—, favour or patronage of, asked or acknowledged, 60, 62, 69, 84, 91, 92, 110, 114, 115, 117, 129, 140, 142, 158.

—, —, to Dr. Stratford, *passim*.

—, and Lord Keeper or Chancellor Harcourt, 92, 95, 96, 102, 119, 178, 198, 199, 201.

—, in relation to Oxford University, 97, 102, 103, 105, 111, 113, 119, 123-126.

—, receives the Garter, 99.

—, confidence in (in relation to South Sea Stock), 112.

—, does not enrich himself by his place, 115.

—, and Atterbury, 119, 120, 140, 142, 150, 362.

—, and Parliament, 147.

—, advice of, asked by the canons of Christ Church, 129, 136.

—, letters of, mentioned, 132, 200, 308.

—, compliments or respect paid to, at Oxford, 153, 154, 161, 198, 408.

—, congratulations to, on his son's marriage, 166.

—, and his family, 170.

—, Bolingbroke intrigues against, 174.

—, goes to the Harcourt christening, 178.

—, wishes the Hanover troops to have their arrears, 185.

—, and the Seminary Bill, 186.

—, fall of, 188-198, 200, 201.

called "the Colonel" by Dr. Stratford, 190, 191.

—, report that he is to be President of the Council, 191.

—, reported quarrel of the Lord Steward with, 201.

—, prints of, 201.

Oxford, Robert Harley, Earl of—*cont.*

- , stories told by or sayings of, 204, 248, 295.
- , overtures made to, 206.
- , enemies of, 208, 209, 110, 232.
- , report that he had been shot, 207.
- , is to be the Tory sacrifice, 208.
- , influence of, believed in, 219.
- , imprisonment of, in the Tower, alluded to, 401, 402.
- , release of, hoped for, 220.
- , impeachment and acquittal of, 224, 297, 447.
- , excepted from the Act of Grace, 224.
- , and the Peerage Bill, 265.
- , "kept his mistress's purse close," 272.
- , and Steele. *See* Steele, Richard.
- , chance of his returning to power, 232, 233.
- , as minister, allusion to, 280.
- , orders from, 303.
- , house taken for, 307.
- , opportunity lost by, 309, 310.
- , and Sunderland. *See* Sunderland.
- , and the elections, 319.
- , present at a debate, 336.
- , Dr. Stratford visits, 343-345.
- , death of, 379.
- , memento of, 381.
- , mention of, after his death, 409, 423, 424, 426, 427, 438, 440, 457, 473.
- , portrait of, 400, 401.
- , lodgings of, at Windsor, 176.
- , servant of, 332.
- , librarian of. *See* Wanley, Humphrey.
- [Sarah], Lady Oxford, second wife of the above (the old Lady, the Dowager), 303, 332, 358, 379, 382, 451.
- , waiting woman of, 332.
- Edward Harley, Earl of, son of Robert, formerly Lord Harley, *q.v.*:
- , letters to, 379-478, *passim*.
- , birth and death of his son, 403.
- , made a burgess of Edinburgh, 403.
- , made one of Dr. Busby's trustees, 424, 425.
- , benefactions of, 433.
- , and the living of Presteigne, 443, 444.
- , illness of, 468.
- , books of, 435.
- Lady Henrietta or Harriot Harley, Countess of, wife of Edward, 381, 389, 395, 398, 416; *and see* Harley, Lady.
- , health of, 385, 397, 432, 434, 456.
- , congratulations and condolences to, 403.
- , meat essence recommended by, 412.

Oxford :

- Bishop of. *See* Fell, Dr. John; Talbot, William; Potter, John.
- , in 1628, [Dr. Corbett], 57.
- bishopric or diocese of, 49, 50, 467.
- , proctor for, 17, 77.
- Oxford City, *passim*:
- elections for, 20, 211, 317, 327, 337.
- horse races at, 17, 53, 153, 205, 302, 401, 442, 450.
- the judges come to, 5, 6.
- justices of the peace for, 268.
- letters dated at, *passim*.
- the Lord Chamberlain, at 55.
- Mayor of, 216, 217, 218, 223.
- Mayor's feast at, 450.
- M.P.'s for. *See* Rowney, Thomas; Walter, Sir John, Bart.; Knowles, Francis; Rowney, Thomas, jun.
- ordination at, 57.
- political riots at, 222, 223.
- post bag, 4.
- quarrels in the streets of, 214-219, 277.
- Recorder of, 218, 223.
- (1721), and his son, 293.
- , death of, and election of a successor, 293.
- regiment at:
- officers of, 215, 217, 219; *and see* Duncomb, Major.
- ill-behaviour of, 215-220.
- departure of, 221.
- rumour of an intended Royal visit to, 228, 327, 352.
- sermons preached at, 246, 252.
- Sheriff of, 5, 7.
- Sir Thos. Cook's charity at, 170.
- small-pox at, 25, 247.
- tradesmen of, 442.
- travellers to or from, *passim*.
- want of rain at, 357.
- buildings, streets, &c., in:
- All Hallows Church, 226, 256.
- Angel Inn, 217, 252, 255.
- Antiquity Hall (an inn) near, 260.
- Bear Lane, 156.
- Carfax, 222.
- Castle, prisoners in, 300.
- Convocation House, 6, 274, 348.
- Divinity School, 209.
- Hamilton's Coffee House, 214.
- Jesus Lane, 216.
- King's Head Inn, 222.
- Line's Coffee house, 214.
- North Gate, 216.
- Paradise, meeting house in, demolished, 222.
- Portmead, 215.
- Public Library, money bequeathed for, by Dr. Ratcliffe, 206.
- Quakers' meeting house at, gutted, 223.
- St. Katherine's Hospital, 127.
- St. Mary's Church, panic at, 249.
- preachers or sermons at, 44, 79.
- [St. Mary] Magdalen church, 218.
- Savilian Archives, 306.

Oxford City, buildings, streets, &c., in—
cont.

- the Schools, 76.
- Star Inn, 216.
- Theatre, 54.
- , crowd in the under-graduates' gallery at, 156.
- , speeches in, 154.

Oxford University:

- Act at, 150-154.
- , to be postponed, 397.
- Act sermons, 39.
- , term, 6.
- address from, discussed, 387, 388.
- , presented, 389, 390.
- Archi-typographus at. *See* Hearne.
- Assessor of, 235.
- beadles or bedells of, 213, 378.
- benefactions to, 110, 272; *and see* under the several colleges.
- Bodley's library, 266, 306.
- Certamen Oratoricum*, prizes in, awarded, 115.
- Chancellor of. *See* Ormond, Duke of; Arran, Earl of.
- , (*temp* Eliz.) as visitor of Christ Church, 126.
- , letters of, mentioned, 3, 5, 352, 462, 463.
- , secretary to. *See* King, Dr.; Watkins, Harry.
- colleges of. *See* below.
- , Heads of (Pates), 9, 51, 53, 110, 212, 220, 234, 317, 336, 346, 381, 387, 388, 462, 463.
- , meetings of, 5, 6, 235, 250, 276, 296, 469, 470.
- , Headships of, project for annexing prebends to, 35, 47, 75, 78, 170.
- Congregation at, 146.
- Constitution Club, 222, 223, 250.
- Convocation, 5, 6, 8, 145, 146, 264, 276, 347, 378, 388, 462, 463.
- , granting of degrees in, 121, 126.
- curators of, 347, 355.
- Custos Archivorum* for, 434.
- debt due to, 175.
- degrees at, *passim*:
- , refused, 361.
- , Doctors', conferred, 253.
- , honorary, 278.
- Delegates of Accounts at, 347.
- Doctors of Divinity, 212.
- , as visitors of University College, 33, 339, 340, 342, 346, 356.
- expulsion from, 469.
- "Goodfellows" at, 211.
- Latin sermon at, 346.
- letters to, 387.
- letter from, 388.
- librarians. *See* Hudson, Dr. John; Bowles, Joseph.
- , poor salary of, 78.
- under-librarian of. *See* Bowles.
- M.P.'s for. *See* Bromley, William; Whitlocke, Sir William; Clarke, Dr. George.

Oxford University—cont.

- Orator, post of, claimants for, 115, 117, 118.
- , the new. *See* Coates, Digby.
- order of Chancery sent to, 170.
- Parliament elections for, 20, 211, 231, 317, 318.
- , matters or proceedings in relation to, 307, 312, 314-317.
- poor students at, help for, 432, 433.
- proctors of, 145, 152, 219, 222, 223, 347, 352, 354, 370; *and see* Reynell and Manaton.
- Professors and Professorships at:
- Arabic. *See* Wallis, John.
- , deputy. *See* Gagnier.
- Civil Law. *See* Bouchier, Thomas.
- Divinity, Regius, 24, 388; *and see* Jane, Dr. William; Potter, Dr. John.
- , —, grant of Ewelme parsonage in trust for, 25.
- , Lady Margaret, 211, 459; *and see* Delaune, Dr.
- Hebrew, 110.
- History. *See* Aldworth, Dr. Charles; Harrison, Dr. Sedgwick.
- History, Modern, 378; *and see* Gregory, David.
- Natural Philosophy. *See* Farrar, Dr. and Bertie, Dr.
- Poetry, 452; *and see* Wharton, Thomas.
- Reader of Rhetoric. *See* Wigan.
- terra filius*, not to be found, 152, 154.
- Vice-Chancellors. *See*:
- (1710) Braithwait, Dr. Thomas.
- (1712) Gardiner, Dr. Bernard.
- (1714) Baron, Dr. John.
- (1718) Shippen, Dr. Robert.
- (1723) Mather, Dr. John.
- , Court of, 86, 88, 90, 91.
- , —, assessor in. *See* Bouchier, James.
- , —, citation sent out from, 175.
- visitors of, 213, 347, 349, 350, 352.
- a perfect calm at, 7.
- has been for twelve years in perfect peace, 126.
- Colleges, named:
- All Souls, 45, 275, 279.
- , warden of. *See* Gardiner, Dr. Bernard; Niblett, Dr. Stephen.
- , warden and fellows of, disagreements between, 257.
- , —, cited before the Archbishop, 340.
- , building at, 375.
- , chapel, painted by Thornhill, 299.
- , the two deans of, 67.
- , fellows of, 115, 232, 263, 271, 272, 306.
- , —, election of, 67, 263, 306, 339, 340.

Oxford University, Colleges named :
 All Souls—*cont.*
 —, founders kin, 339, 340.
 —, study of physic at, 22, 257.
 —, visitation or visitors of, 21, 257, 271, 275, 339.
 Balliol, 12, 216, 263, 320, 346, 389, 454, 455.
 —, Master of. *See* Baron, Dr. John; Hunt, Dr. Joseph; Lee, Dr. Theophilus.
 —, windows of, broken by the soldiers, 218.
 Brasenose, 223, 349.
 —, Principal of. *See* Shippen, Dr. Robert.
 Christ Church. *passim.*
 —, Deans of. *See* :
 Aldrich, Henry (1689).
 Atterbury, Francis (1711).
 Smalridge, George (1713).
 Boulter, Hugh (1719).
 Bradshaw, William (1724).
 —, —, *temp.* Eliz. *See* Sampson, Thomas.
 —, —, (in 1628). *See* Duppa, Brian.
 —, —, ceremonies at the installation of a, 51, 56, 61.
 —, —, bucks sent to, 151.
 —, —, place of, vacant, 24, 29, 30, 35, 43, 45, 260, 261.
 —, —, lodgings of, 61.
 —, —, rivals for the place of, 401.
 —, Dean and Chapter of, *passim.*
 —, —, and students, disputes of, 57, 128.
 —, —, former, and the Chapter-Clerk, 128.
 —, —, (*temp.* Charles I.), allusion to, 126.
 —, —, petition of, copy of, 129.
 —, alms house at, 345.
 —, audit at, 83, 137, 371.
 —, Audit House at, 335, 365.
 —, bachelors' "Egg breakfast," 76.
 —, bailiff of, 150, 151.
 —, beadles of. *See* Rogers and Rawlins.
 —, benefactions to. *See* gifts to, *below.*
 —, books to be published at, 72.
 —, building or alterations at, 7, 12, 43, 54, 91; and *see* Peckwater quad. *below.*
 —, canonry of, attached to the Divinity professorship, 388.
 —, —, vacant, 141, 155, 416.
 —, canons of, *passim*; and *see* :
 Altham, Roger. Burton, Thomas.
 Gastrell, Fras. Hammond, John.
 Potter, John. Smalridge, Geo.
 South, Robert. Stratford, Wm.
 Bradshaw, Wm. Clavering, Rob.
 Egerton, Henry. Foulkes, Peter.
 Gilbert, John. Tanner, Thomas.
 Terry, Thomas.

Oxford University, Christ Church—*cont.*
 —, canons of, who were there in King Charles' time, 116.
 —, —, quarrel between (in 1632), 126.
 —, censor of, 139, 478; and *see* Manaton.
 —, chambers in, 400.
 —, chaplain's place at, 147.
 —, chapter of, meetings of, 69, 84, 90, 91, 96, 98, 101, 104, 105, 107, 109, 122, 129, 147, 148, 306, 371, 466.
 —, —, disputes of, with the Dean, 63-140 *passim*, 147, 148.
 —, —, books or muniments, 67-69, 129, 131, 134, 148, 149.
 —, —, rents, revenues, leases, &c., of, 70, 78, 98, 101, 115, 123, 127, 139, 143, 148-151, 260.
 —, —, debts of, 76, 78.
 —, —, proposed appeal of, to the Queen, 97, 111, 113, 114, 116-118, 121, 125, 130-136, 143.
 —, —, dinner given by, 104.
 —, —, paper signed by, sent to the Lord Treasurer, 105, 111.
 —, —, protest against the Dean's dismissal of their clerk, 124.
 —, —, clerk of. *See* Brooks, John.
 —, Chapter House, 109, 141.
 —, —, seal, 64, 69, 75, 116.
 —, charter of, 64.
 —, choristers of, 147, 263, 269.
 —, church of, 402.
 —, cook of, 471.
 —, curacies of, right of disposal of, disputed, 64, 66, 63, 75, 115-117.
 —, deanery of, 88, 104, 118, 402, 438.
 —, exhibitions at, 65, 124, 130, 276, 281.
 —, faculty place at, 157.
 —, fellows of, *passim.*
 —, gaudy day at, 306.
 —, gentlemen commoners of, 339.
 —, gifts, benefactions, or legacies to, 7, 38, 42-45, 68, 89, 195, 196, 217, 277, 360.
 —, Hall of, 197, 270.
 —, —, roof of, burnt, 263, 269.
 —, letters dated at, *passim.*
 —, library, 225-227, 255.
 —, Long walk at, 225.
 —, officers of, election of, 117, 123, 124, 134.
 —, Peckwater quad. at, 68, 155.
 —, —, rebuilding of, 15, 24, 50, 64, 89, 91, 154, 203, 217.
 —, pictures and statues for, 38, 68, 249.
 —, "poets of," nominated by Atterbury, 152.
 —, poverty of, 55.

Oxford University, Christ Church—*cont.*
 —, proposed prizes for poetry at (*Certamen poeticum*), 90, 91.
 —, —, adjudged, 110, 111.
 —, receiver of, 64.
 —, register of, 97, 111, 116.
 —, registrar of, 97.
 —, servants of, 278.
 —, servitors of, 191, 276.
 —, small-pox in, 247.
 —, steward of, 151.
 —, students of, *passim*.
 —, —, to go out to meet the new Dean, 61.
 —, —, lost in the expedition to Canada, 66.
 —, —, illegal admission of, 83, 84.
 —, —, invited by the Dean, 104.
 —, —, antagonism of, to the Dean, 110, 117, 118, 128.
 —, —, ill-behaviour of, 187.
 —, —, roll of, mentioned, 175, 176.
 —, —, theme written by, 195.
 —, —, death of, 279.
 —, sub-dean of 82, 83; and *see* Burton, Dr. Thomas; Potter, Dr. John; Terry, Thomas; Foulks, Peter.
 —, treasurer of, 42, 45, 81, 136, 252, 254; and *see* Altham, Dr. Roger; Stratford, Dr. William; Gastrell, Dr. Francis; Tanner, Dr. Thomas.
 —, —, books of, 117.
 —, —, deputy, 226.
 —, treasury of, 69, 111, 128.
 —, tutors of, 187, 261, 276; and *see* Terry, Thomas; Thomas, Mr.
 —, visitors of, and their claims, 89, 121, 126, 129, 143; and *see* Harecourt, Lord Keeper, and Lincoln, Bishop of.
 —, reported riots at, 24.
 —, proceedings of Dean Atterbury at. *See* Atterbury.
 —, has long been under an ill name, 8.
 —, attitude of, towards the new Dean, 54.
 —, "war at" expected, 64.
 —, need of reform at, 70, 71.
 —, in relation to the other colleges, 72, 73.
 —, "no custom at their shop," 73.
 —, state of confusion, cabals or divisions at, 97, 111, 115, 117, 118, 121, 124-126, 139, 142.
 —, a dream concerning, 114.
 —, cannot exist if the canonries are made sinecures, 141.
 —, "is at perfect peace," 163.
 —, installation of Dr. Smalridge at. *See* Smalridge, Dr. George.
 —, Dean Swift at, 186.
 —, and the elections, 274, 318.
 —, arrears due at, commons stopped for, 252-254.

Oxford University, Christ Church—*cont.*
 —, arrival of the New Dean at, 276-278.
 —, quarrels at, 371, 466.
 —, in a flame, 404.
 —, indignation at, 408.
 —, and their new Dean (Bradshaw), 381, 413, 414.
 —, wretched condition of, 434, 441, 447.
 —, is a hulk with no one to steer it, 460.
 Corpus Christi, 3, 5, 186, 348.
 —, President of. *See* Turner, Dr. Thomas; Mather, Dr. John.
 Exeter, 214, 256, 346.
 —, Rector of. *See* Painter, Dr. William; Hole, Dr. Mathew.
 Jesus, 5, 71, 215, 246, 274, 276.
 —, Principals of. *See* Edwards, Jonathan; Wynne, Dr. John; Jones, Dr. William; Pardo, Dr. Thomas.
 —, fellows of, 6.
 Lincoln, 5, 215, 225, 226, 257-259, 262.
 —, Rector of. *See* Adams, Fitzherbert; Morley, Dr. John.
 —, fellows of, 257-259.
 —, patron of. *See* Crewe, Lord, Bishop of Durham.
 Magdalen [St. Mary Magdalen], 5-7, 72, 239, 252, 260, 268, 277, 348, 367, 464.
 —, President of. *See* Harwar, Dr. Joseph; Butler, Dr. Edward.
 —, fellows of, 354, 370.
 —, demi of, stabbed, 456.
 Merton, 252, 300, 318.
 —, Warden of. *See* Holland, John.
 —, fellows of, 248, 287.
 —, fire at, 226.
 New College, 5, 223, 346, 367, 384, 469.
 —, Warden of. *See* Cobb, Dr. John.
 —, tutor of, 222.
 Oriel, 73, 170, 171, 223, 273, 345, 437.
 —, Provost of, 307; and *see* Carter, Dr. George.
 —, fellows and fellowships of, 356, 367, 369, 378.
 —, windows of, broken, 223.
 —, visitor of. *See* Lincoln, Bishop of.
 —, suicide of a fellow of, 307.
 Pembroke, 120, 170, 171.
 —, Master of. *See* Painting, Dr. Mathew.
 —, Master's place at, 202, 203.
 —, fellows of, 203, 342.
 Queen's, 44, 265, 272-274, 401, 469, 477.
 —, Provost of. *See* Lancaster, Dr. William; Gibson, Dr. John.
 —, [new chapel of] consecration of, 263.
 —, visitor of (the Archbishop of York), 263.

Oxford University, Queen's—*cont.*

—, suicide of the under cook at, 113.

St. John Baptist's (St. John's), 5, 33, 58, 59, 73, 242, 257, 338, 346.

—, President of. *See* Delaune, Dr. William.

—, —, lodgings of, 47.

—, —, office of, under sequestration, 31.

—, fellow of, 370.

—, Chapel of, King George prayed for in, 198.

St. Mary Magdalen. *See* Magdalen. Trinity, 139, 277, 468, 477.

—, President of. *See* Dobson, William.

—, fellow of, 370.

University, 45, 262, 280, 346, 352, 466.

—, Master of. *See* Charlett, Dr. Arthur.

—, —, income and lodgings of, 207, 346.

—, bursar of. *See* Browne.

—, fellows of, 33, 339, 340, 370.

—, library of, 266.

—, travelling fellowships at, 206.

—, treasury of, 346.

—, tutor at, 280.

—, visitors or visitation of, 33, 369, 370; *and see* Doctors of Divinity, *above*.

—, election of a new Master at, 338-340, 342, 346-352, 369, 370.

—, case of, 436, 437, 445, 462, 472.

Wadham, 218, 272, 318, 321, 385, 438.

—, Wardens of. *See* Dunster, Dr. Thomas; Baker, Dr. William; Thistlewaite, Dr. Robert.

—, —, election of, 251, 252, 256.

—, fellowships at, 257.

Worcester (formerly Gloucester Hall), 216, 305, 338.

—, Provost of. *See* Blechingden, Dr. Richard.

Halls:

Edmund Hall, 346.

—, Principal of. *See* Felton, Henry.

Gloucester Hall, 110, 175.

—, Principal of. *See* Blechingden, Dr. Richard.

—, intention to make into a College, 115. *See* Worcester College, *above*.

Hart Hall, 4, 346, 354, 370.

—, Principals of. *See* Smith, Dr. Thomas; Newton, Dr. Richard.

Magdalen Hall, 110.

—, Principal of. *See* Coates, Digby.

New Inn Hall, 223, 346.

—, Principal of. *See* Brabourn, Dr. John.

St. Alban Hall, Principal of. *See* Bouchier. Dr. James.

Oxford University, Halls—*cont.*

St. Mary Hall, 115, 120, 122, 455.

—, Principals of. *See* Wyat, William; Hudson Dr. John.

Oxfordshire, 115, 256.

Commission or Justices of the Peace in, 239, 245, 246.

elections or election business in, 17, 229-231, 320.

Knights of the shire for, 450. *And see* Jenkinson, Sir Robert; Clarke, Francis; Herbert, James; Jenkinson, Sir Robert Banks; Perrot, Henry.

poor clergy of, 425.

P

P — t, Lord, 296.

Packer:

[Robert], M.P., 318, 450.

Mr., 244, 409, 410.

—, wife of, 244.

—, eldest son of, 409, 410, 431, 473.

—, second son of, 244.

—, cousin of Lord Harley, 282.

Pageantry plot, the, 77.

Painter, [Dr. William], late rector of Exeter College, 301.

daughter of, 301.

Palatines, the, in England, 157.

Paleotti (afterwards executed), 233.

Palestine, 238.

Palmer:

[Sam.], 8-6, 8, 9, 91.

[Thomas], M.P. for Bridgewater, 300.

Mr., 287.

—, to be parson of Brampton, 284, 288.

Palmes, Count, resident of the Emperor in London, 426.

Panting, Matthew, 203.

as Master of Pembroke College, Oxford, 347.

Panton, —, solicitor for the Crown in the "Manchester Cause," 391.

Papists, 368.

Pardo, [Dr. Thomas], Principal of Jesus College, Oxford, 462, 463, 469, 470.

Paris, 277, 327, 386.

books printed at, 28, 38, 301.

Parker:

[George], Lord, son of the Chancellor, 296, 359.

[Thomas], Lord, *aft.* Earl of Macclesfield, 230.

—, as Lord Chancellor, 277, 293, 299, 317, 318, 335, 348, 358, 334, 385, 339, 393.

—, expected dismissal of, 392-394.

—, chaplain of, 318.

Parkinson, of Wadham College, 385.

Parliament:

Acts of, or Bills in:

"The Bishop of Durham's," 353.

Commerce or Trade, 141, 143, 147, 150, 155, 158, 180.

Commerce (a second), 188.

Excommunication, 159.

Grace or Indemnity (1717), 220, 224.

Grace or Indemnity [1721], 302. for taxing Nonjurors, 339, 341, 343, 359.

Occasional [Conformity], 82.

Peerage, 265, 266, 282, 316, 322.

Quarantine, 285, 312, 316.

Schism, 188.

Seminary, 186.

Septennial, 182.

Suspension, 339.

[Thames] Bridge, 312.

Tobacco, 155.

Universities, (projected), 220.

for the return of Lord Bolingbroke. *See* Bolingbroke.

of James II., mentioned, 338.

of Queen Anne, 143, 144, 155, 189, 210.

—, preparations for, or approaching meetings of, 1-18, 74-79.

—, Queen's speeches in, 158, 195.

of George I. 228, 232, 282, 320, 330, 336, 337, 339, 345, 393, 426, 432, 445.

—, preparations or elections for, 211, 245, 307-320, 339, 342, 399, 405-407.

—, prorogation or dissolution of, 267, 284, 305, 341, 344.

—, assembling of, 335, 365, 406.

—, election of Speaker for, 336, 454.

—, King's speech to, mentioned, 371, 372, 389.

—, interference of, with charters and statutes, objected to, 475-477.

Parliament Generals (in the Civil War), 338.

Parmisan [cheese], 297.

Parrot, Mr. *See* Perrot.

Paulet, Pawlet:

Lady, 385.

Lord William, 450.

Paull, Paul:

Dr., 472.

Mrs., 450.

Peace:

of Utrecht. *See* Utrecht.

of 1720, 271.

Pelham:

Mr., 422.

[Thomas], Lord, 186, 196, 197; and *see* Newcastle, Duke of.

—, horse of, wins the Town Plate at Oxford, 205.

Pelhams, the, 40.

Pelling, Dr. [John], 42, 47.

—, to be chaplain to the Speaker, 170, 172, 181, 183.

Pels, [Andrew], banker of Amsterdam, 282.

Pembroke [Thomas Herbert], Earl of, 88, 89, 417.

sons of. *See* Herbert.

Peploe, Dr. [Samuel], Warden of Manchester, 414.

as Bishop of Chester, 417-419, 424, 436, 475-477.

Pepys, Samuel, executor of, 43.

Perceval, Lord, 417.

Periam, [William], of Christ Church, 55, 139, 145, 146, 157.

as Proctor, 118.

Perrot, (Parrot):

Charles, appointed Chapter clerk at Christ Church, by Atterbury, 130, 134, 136, 148, 162.

[Henry], elected for co. Cambridge, 296, 297, 320.

Perry, Mrs., 242.

Persia, Muscovite conquests in, 430.

Peterborough:

[Charles Mordaunt] Earl of, 455.

—, grandson of, 455, 456.

Bishop of. *See* Kennett, White.

Peyto, William, M.P. for co. Warwick, 211.

Phillips:

a tutor, 37.

[Ambrose]; 209.

Pickering, Lady, 207.

Pitt, Messrs., printers, 470.

Plantin, editor of Aristænetus, 38.

Plummer [Richard], M.P., 392.

Pocock [Edward], Oriental scholar, 354.

Polish troops, 405.

"Pontacks," doings at, 57.

Pope, the:

Urban VIII., 26.

[Clement XI.], 244.

—, to be burnt in effigy, 222.

[Benedict XIII.], 405.

—, the Pretender's wife writes to, 407.

—, Cardinal Secretary of, 407.

Pope, Alexander, 239, 325, 326, 373, 414, 443, 452.

subscription (to his Iliad), 373, 374. letters of, mentioned, 461.

guessed to be the author of the Dunciad, 464.

said to have been insulted, 464, 465.

writings of. *See under* books.

Popery, convert from, 417.

Popham:

of Littlecote, 439, 440.

"Cousin," 309.

Popish plot, the, alluded to, 249, 368.

Portland, [Henry Bentinck], Duke of, 280.

Portlock [Dr. Benjamin], 3.

Port Mahon, Minorca, 289, 315, 427.

proposed surrender of, 411, 415, 416, 424, 426, 466, 471.

governor of, 424.

Portmore, [David Colyear, Earl of], 427.

Portobello [on the Isthmus of Panama], 431.

Portugal:
 is to be bullied with a squadron,
 309.
 and the treaty of Vienna, 419.
 Post Office, pension upon, 311.
 Potter, Dr. John (brother Potter) Canon
 of Christ Church, 82, 83, 137, 138,
 145, 202.
 as Regius Professor of Divinity, 24-
 26, 101, 247.
 as sub-dean, 84, 148, 149.
 as Bishop of Oxford, 139, 213, 260,
 338, 467-469, 472.
 Poulett, [John], Earl, Lord Steward, 200,
 201.
 son of, 445.
 Powel, one, a papist, 374.
 Powell, [Sir John], Judge of the Queen's
 Bench, 120.
 Power, —, formerly commoner of Christ
 Church, 357 (2).
 father of, 357 (2).
 Powis:
 [Sir Lyttelton], Judge of the King's
 Bench, 406.
 Sir T., house of, 307.
 Prat, —, 391.
 "Premier, the," and his brother of All
 Souls, 439.
 Premiership, the, 328.
 Prescot, Mr., of Christ Church, prize for
 verses awarded to, 111, 112.
 Presteign, co. Radnor, living of, 443,
 444, 447, 448.
 Preston, co. Lancaster, 239.
 business, the, 402.
 Price, [Robert], Baron of Exchequer, 195,
 198, 303.
 Princess Royal, title of, 452.
 Prior, Mathew ("my schoolfellow"), 206,
 225-227, 238, 245, 280-282, 285,
 287, 293-295, 441, 444, 447.
 verses by, or attributed to, mentioned,
 263, 264, 292.
 as candidate for the representation
 of Cambridge University, 281, 284,
 447.
 death of, 304-306.
 Privy Council, 117, 121, 126, 394.
 President of, office of, 191.
 Clerk of, *temp.* Charles I. *See*
Beecher. Sir William.
 committee of, 332, 393.
 Privy Seal, Lord. *See* Robinson, Dr.
 John, Bishop of Bristol; Kingston,
 Duke of; Trevor, Lord.
 —, —, office of, 189, 201, 300,
 324, 328.
 Prometheus, fable of, &c., a poem (con-
 cerning the Irish halfpence), 393.
 Protestant succession, the. *See* Hanover,
 House of.
 Prussia:
 King of, 30, 471.
 Queen of, 30.
 Prussian, a, 435.
 Public Accounts, Commissioners or
 Delegates of, 170, 175, 176.
 Debt. *See* National Debt.

Pultney (Poultney):
 Daniel, 310, 423.
 [William], 311, 312, 345, 352, 394,
 407, 422, 428, 430, 473.
 —, closeted with the King, 418.
 —, and Walpole, 420.
 —, speeches, &c., of, 457, 458.

Q

Quakers, 223, 325.
 meeting-house of, gutted, 223.
 Queen's Bench, Justice of. *See* Powell,
 Sir John.
 Queensberry, [Charles Douglas], Duke of,
 178, 255.
 Quesnel, travels of, 375.
 Quin [? Gwynn], young Mr, 375.

R

Radcliffe, Dr. [John], 23, 156, 467.
 —, death and funeral of, 206, 209,
 210.
 —, benefactions of, to Oxford, 206,
 293.
 —, views of, quoted, 334, 385, 403.
 —, portrait of, 225.
 Radley, co. Oxford, 115, 126.
 Radnor, 37.
 Radnorshire, electors of (Radnorians), 316.
 Ramsbury, co. Wilts, 358.
 Rance, a printer, 233, 260.
 Raphoe, Bishopric of, 113.
 Rawlins, —, beadle of Christ Church, 63.
 Rawlinson, Mr., 235.
 Raymond:
 [Sir Robert], Solicitor-General, 165.
 — elected for Bishop's Castle, 22.
 [? Sir Robert], 391.
 Reade, —, 17.
 Reading, co. Berks, 161, 323, 357, 381.
 electors of, honourable proceedings
 of, 316, 317.
 M.P. for. *See* Kent, Clement.
 St. Mary's, living of, 33, 35, 44.
 Reeves, Dr., 317.
 Reformation, Society for, 372.
 Regents, after Queen Anne's death, 198.
 or Lords Justices (during the King's
 absence abroad), 255, 256, 319, 320,
 324.
 Reinesius, writings of, 23.
 Revolution [of 1688] alluded to, 24.
 Reynell (Rennels, Reynolds), [Carew], as
 proctor at Oxford, 462, 463, 469.
 Reynolds, [Richard], Bishop of Lincoln,
 369.
 as visitor of Oriel College, 367.
 son of, 369.

- Rhine, the, 429.
 Rhode Island, North America, 467.
 Rialton, [Francis Godolphin], Lord, son of the Earl of Godolphin, 17-19.
 Rich, Sir Robert, 427.
 Richmond:
 [Charles Lennox], Duke of, 237.
 Duchess of (1718), 237.
 Richmond, Surrey, 280, 364.
 Archdeacon of. *See* Stratford, Dr. William.
 Ripperda, Duke of, Secretary of State for foreign affairs in Spain, 412, 419, 421, 424, 431.
 Rivers, [Richard Savage], Earl, sent to Hanover, 10, 15.
 illness of, 126.
 Robbers, street, 47.
 Roberts, A., book edited by, 470.
 Robinson:
 Dr., [John], Bishop of Bristol, 44.
 —, as Lord Privy Seal, 48, 51-53, 61, 73.
 —, report that he is to be Bishop of London, 157.
 —, as Bishop of London, 171, 191.
 —, marriage of, 258, 259.
 Mrs. (married to Lord Wemys), 115.
 Rochester:
 John [Wilmot], Earl of, alluded to, 287.
 —, Life of, 182.
 [Henry Hyde], Earl of, pension of, 311.
 Rochester:
 Bishop of. *See* Spratt, Thomas; Atterbury, Francis; Bradford, Samuel.
 "Abbot of," 287.
 Rogers:
 [John], Archdeacon of Leicester, guardian to Lord Huntingdon, 88.
 —, beadle of Christ Church, 63.
 Rolle [John], 317.
 Rolls, the:
 Master of, 207; *and see* Trevor, Sir John; Jekyll, Sir Joseph.
 chaplaincy of, 52.
 Roman Catholics, proposed tax upon, 339, 341, 359.
 Rome, 406, 428.
 a dog sent from. *See* Atterbury, dog sent to.
 Jewish quarter at, *trans Tiberim*, 239.
 Convent of St. Cecilia at, 407.
 Roper, Abel, 61.
 Ros. [Rossiter], 307.
 Ross, co. Hereford, 84, 163, 304.
 living of, 71, 78.
 Rosse, General, [Charles], Member of the Committee of Inquiry concerning the South Sea Company, 302.
 Rostock. book printed at, 23.
 Rotterdam. 225, 244.
 Rowney:
 Ned, son of Thomas, sen., 450.
- Rowney—*cont.*
 Thomas (old Tom, the Senator), M.P. for Oxford City, 14, 16, 19, 29, 33, 34, 47-49, 51, 55, 56, 58, 71, 72, 77, 79, 86, 88, 89, 115, 120, 124, 129, 144, 145, 153, 181, 182, 188, 193, 207, 214, 232, 233, 235, 268, 277, 280, 287, 288, 291, 321, 329, 348, 351, 366, 390, 402, 450.
 —, his friendship for Dr. Stratford, 123.
 —, promotion designed for, 164.
 —, narrow escape of, 218.
 —, windows of, broken by the soldiers, 217.
 —, mentioned in a satirical poem, 242.
 —, death of, 450.
 —, house of, 178, 181.
 —, son of. *See* Thomas, jun., *below*.
 —, daughter of, 202, 207.
 —, son-in-law of. *See* Noel, Sir Cloberry.
 —, family of, 58, 193, 235, 321.
 —, relative of, 337.
 Mrs., his wife, 122, 153, 171, 181, 207, 288, 301.
 Thomas, jun. (young Tom), 171, 233, 242.
 —, as member for the City of Oxford, 317, 329.
 "old Madam," 242.
 Roxburgh:
 [John Ker], Duke of, 313.
 Royal:
 Family, 407.
 Society, History and Transactions of, 29, 169.
 Royston, [co. Herts], 174.
 Russell, [John], fellow of Merton College, 248.
 Russia, [Catherine], Czarina of, Austrian treaty with, 407.
 Rye, co. Sussex, 414, 415.

S

- S., T., book by, 470, 472.
 Sacheverell, Dr., [Henry], 5-8, 17, 23, 39, 53, 72, 73, 76.
 said to have preached another man's sermon, 79.
 burning of in effigy, prevented by the people of Oxford, 222.
 "his House." *See* Oxford, Magdalen College at.
 ferment concerning, 465.
 St. Albans, [Charles Beauclerk], Duke of, 237.
 [Diana Beauclerk], Duchess of, 237.
 St. Albans, co. Herts, 237, 314.
 St. Andrew's feast, 405.
 St. Asaph, Bishop of. *See* Fleetwood, William; Wynne, John.

- St. David's:
 Bishop of. *See* Bisse, Dr. Philip;
 Otley, Dr. Adam.
 —, chaplain to, 139.
 (St. Taffy's) day, 375.
- St Germain (court of the Pretender at?)
 24.
- St. John:
 [Henry]. Viscount (father of Lord
 Bolingbroke), 392, 244.
 —, family of, 244.
 Henry, Secretary of State, *aft.* Vis-
 count Bolingbroke (*q.v.*), 11, 12,
 14, 16, 24, 25, 28, 29, 35, 39, 55,
 58, 60, 68, 69, 73.
 Mrs. (the "lady of Bucklebury," the
 "poor widow"). 10, 15, 16, 29, 37,
 39; *and see* Bolingbroke, Lady.
- St. Patrick's Dean of. *See* Swift, Dr.
- Salisbury, [James Cecil], Earl of, 88.
- Salisbury, 332, 333.
 Bishop of. *See* Talbot, Dr. William;
 Willis, Dr. Richard.
 Dean and Chapter of, 240.
 Cathedral, anthem sung in, 240.
 diocese of, Chancellor of, and visita-
 tion in, 365.
- Saloway (sermon by), 338.
- Sampson, [Thomas], Dean of Christ
 Church, deprivation of (in 1564),
 116.
- Sancroft, [William]. Archbishop of Can-
 terbury, MSS. of, 461.
- Sandford, co. Oxford, 88.
 discovery in the church at, 374, 375.
- Sarsden, 379, 382, 384.
- Sartre or Sartrens, [James], prebendary
 of Westminster, 42.
- Savage:
 Dr., 240.
 chaplain to the Bishop of Rochester,
 266.
- Saxon troops, 405.
- Sayer, Dr., 472.
- Scarborough:
 [Richard Lumley, 1st] Earl of, 205.
 [Richard, 2nd] Earl of, his son, 329,
 406, 424.
- Scarsdale, [Nicholas Leke], Earl of,
 448.
- Scotch or the Scotch (North Britons),
 400.
 Commissioners (*temp.* Elizabeth),
 461.
 episcopal minister, 441.
 ladies, 232.
 medal, the, 53.
 members of parliament, 79, 392.
 nobleman, at Oxford, 54.
 peers, 298, 316, 322, 335.
 with the Pretender, 386.
- Scotland, 331, 387, 398, 404.
 elections in, 19.
 and the Peerage Bill, 316, 322.
 commission of forfeited estates in,
 313.
 Constableness of, 363.
 Covenanters, True Blues and Carles,
 in, 400.
- Scotland—*cont.*
 King's Advocate and Solicitor in,
 407.
 Lords of the Session in, 313.
 and the Malt tax, 392, 404.
 plots in, alluded to, 338.
 rumoured landing of Muscovites in,
 323.
 Union of, with England, mentioned,
 400.
 suspected design on, 417.
- Scougal, Bishop, 368.
- Scoop or Scoope, Baron, 373, 407.
- Scudamore:
 [James], Lord, accident to, 14.
 Lady, 443, 461, 462.
 Miss, 444.
- Scurlock, [David], of Jesus College, Oxford,
 274.
- Secretaries of State, 126, 207, 312, 345.
And see:
 Dartmouth, Lord.
 St. John, Henry, *aft.* Lord Boling-
 broke.
 Bromley, William.
 Townshend, Lord.
 Craggs, James.
 — Under. *See* De la Fay, Charles.
- Secretaries' office, 85.
 clerks in, 13.
- Sedgeley, —, 195, 461.
- Sergison, Charles, of the Navy Office,
 207.
- Seymour, "old," 271.
- Sharp, Sharpe:
 [James], Archbishop of St. Andrews,
 and his murder, 368.
 [John], Archbishop of York, death of,
 178.
 "Shaver," the, 401.
- Sheffield, noble family of, 389.
- Shefford, Little, co. Berks, Dr. Strafford's
 cottage at, 297, *et seq. passim*.
 —, letters dated at, 298, 303, 323,
 329, 330, 334, 356, 359, 364, 365,
 378, 397, 398, 436, 448.
- Sheldon:
 [Gilbert], Archbishop of Canterbury,
 picture of, 429, 430.
 Sir Joseph, grand-daughter of, 429.
 cousin of Dr. Stratford, 112, 440.
 Mrs., 412.
- Sherlock [Dr. Thomas], 282, 411, 452.
- Sherman, —, at Oxford, 413.
- Shippen:
 Dr. Robert, Principal of Brazenose
 College, 72, 140, 182, 212, 232, 347,
 387.
 —, as Vice-Chancellor at Oxford,
 243, 246, 247, 252, 253, 255, 256,
 271, 276, 335, 346-349, 352, 354,
 360, 362, 369, 370.
 —, brother of, 140.
 William. M.P., 305, 341, 419, 420.
- Ships, men-of-war, to be got ready, 404,
 421.
 destination of, 425, 427.
- Shirley, Mr., 358, 425.
- Shotover, co. Oxford, 61.

- Shrewsbury, [Charles Talbot], Duke of, 189, 192, 195, 225.
 —, as Lord Chamberlain, 55. *See Errata.*
 —, as late Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, 192.
 —, the Staff given to, 197.
 —, last illness of, 233.
 Duchess of, 55, 233.
- Shropshire, 77.
- Skeiler, of All Souls', 45.
- Skelton, [John], 'poet laureate,' satire of, on Wolsey, mentioned, 83.
- Slaughter, Mr., 206.
- Smalbroke:
 Dr., 110.
 Mrs. 110.
 Richard, 359.
- Smallpox, epidemic of, 200.
- Smalridge, Dr. George, 12, 19, 26.
 as Dean of Carlisle, and Canon of Christ Church, 49, 50, 54, 56, 59, 62, 63, 69, 80, 85, 88, 89, 94-99, 106, 113-115, 117, 118, 125, 130, 133, 135, 136.
 as incumbent of churches in London, 52, 79.
 letter from, 103.
 and Dean Atterbury, 98-102, 104, 105, 108, 109, 111, 151, 152, 154.
 as Dean elect and as Dean of Christ Church, 142, 147, 148, 150, 152, 155-158, 160, 170, 175, 178, 195, 214, 436.
 proposed as Bishop of Bristol, 163, 164.
 as incumbent of Newchapel, Westminster, 165.
 as Lord Almoner, 183, 185, 188, 198.
 as Bishop of Bristol, 200-202, 213 (2), 220, 238, 241, 244, 252-255, 262, 275.
 death and funeral of, 260, 261.
 wife of, 99, 262.
 family of, 261, 262.
 his daughter? (Mademoiselle), 164.
- Smith, Smythe:
 Aaron, 141.
 John (Jack), 19.
 —, as Teller of the Exchequer, 205.
 Sir Sebastian, daughter of, 83.
 Seb[astian], 12, 13.
 —, father of, 12, 13.
 Dr. [Thomas], Principal of Hart Hall, death of, 4.
 Dr. Thomas (Togree) 435, 439.
 Thomas, of Lambourn, 298.
 a minister in Yorkshire, 466.
- Smithies, one, 239.
- Snowden Hill, 44.
- Soissons, 470.
- Soldiers, ill-conduct of, 214-220.
- Solicitor General. *See* Raymond, Sir Robert; Talbot, Charles.
- Somers (Summers), [John], Lord, 127, 447.
 his brother-in-law, 447.
- Somerset, [Charles Seymour], Duke of, 56, 366, 375, 389.
 as Chancellor of Cambridge University, 243, 245, 265 (?).
 marriage of, 422.
- Somersetshire, representation of, 300.
- Sommerville, [James], Lord, 335.
- South, Dr., [Robert], canon of Christ Church, 54, 55, 111, 135, 160-162, 217, 247.
- South Sea Company, 57, 276, 279, 286, 299, 335, 336, 372, 399, 448, 453, 454.
 bonds or stock, 112, 124, 199, 277-279, 287, 289, 299, 311, 331, 338, 415, 432.
 collapse of, 280-284, 288, 301.
 directors of, 63, 285, 287-290.
 —, "must be hanged," 287.
 —, (the new), 309, 311, 314, 330.
 Committee of Inquiry for, member of. *See* Ross, General.
 the matter to be hushed up, 290, 292.
 and the Bank. *See* Bank.
 new scheme for, 281, 284-286, 289, 290, 297, 298, 308, 309, 311, 328, 331; and *see* Walpole, Robert, financial schemes of.
- Spain or the Spaniards, 27, 44, 380, 406, 413, 415, 424, 429, 432, 477.
 Philip II., King of, and Don Carlos, his son, mentioned, 224.
 [Philip V.] King of, 372, 412, 417, 419, 426.
 —, illness and reported death of, 458, 466, 469.
 Queen of (termagant of), 412, 419, 421, 458, 459, 466, 477, 478.
 "the young dowager of" [the French princess], 429.
 —, death of, 442.
- Secretary of Foreign affairs in. *See* Ripperda, Duke of.
 and Gibraltar. *See* Gibraltar.
 and the Emperor. *See* Emperor.
 and France. *See* France.
 news of a victory, from, 15, 16.
 ships preparing in, 417.
 prospect of war with, 419, 421, 427, 433.
 and the Dutch, 421, 423.
 sends money to Vienna, 433.
 and the Quadruple alliance, 473.
- Spanheim, book by, 33.
- Spaniards, the old, 419, 421.
- Spanish:
 Ambassador in England, 429.
 —, to the Hague (Sir Philipppo), 405, 423.
 —, to France. *See* Cellemare, Count.
 invasion, alarm of, 249.
 Jews, 417.
 match, the, 27.
 ministry (*temp.* Philip II.), 224.
 "pilgrims," rumour of (in 1678), mentioned, 249.
- Spa Waters, 430.

- Speenham (Spinam) Land, co. Berks, 381.
 Spelman, Mrs., 338.
 Spence, Mr., 452, 454.
 Spencer :
 Lady Diana, 375.
 Lady Frances, (?) daughter of the Earl of Sunderland, 220.
 Spies, or supposed spies, 239, 240.
 Spratt, Sprat :
 Dr., [Thomas], Bishop of Rochester, 53, 63, 70.
 —, 197.
 Stafford, 319, 340.
 Staffordshire, 291.
 Stair, [John Dalrymple], Earl of, 223, 313.
 Stamford, [Thomas Grey], Earl of, 207.
 Stamford, co. Northampton, Mayor of, 57.
 Stanhope :
 Charles, Secretary to the Treasury, 293.
 [James, 1st] Earl of, death of, 289.
 [Philip, 2nd] Earl of, 399, 402, 413.
 [William, minister in Spain], 424, 426.
 —, 472.
 Stanley, —, 189.
 Stanton Harcourt, co. Oxford, 29, 239.
 Stanyan, [Abraham], 30.
 as ambassador at Constantinople, 470.
 Stapleton, Sir William, 450.
 wife of, 450.
 Stapleton, the "romantic castle of," 327.
 Staremborg, Count, Austrian ambassador, 407.
 State Paper Office, 461.
 Statham [Thomas], gentleman commoner of Magdalen College, Oxford, 277.
 Stawell :
 [Edward], 37, 44, 48, 50.
 —, brother [-in-law] of. *See* Bromley, William.
 [William], Lord, 258, 410.
 Steele, Sir Richard (Dick), 267, 268, 274.
 Stephens :
 R., 219.
 [Thomas], M.P. for co. Gloucester, 263.
 —, son of, 263.
 Stevenage, co. Herts, 237.
 Stevens, Sergeant, 468.
 his son, 468.
 —, expelled from Oxford, 469.
 Stillingfleet, Dr., [Edward], made Dean of Worcester, 417.
 as Bishop of Worcester, grandson of, 287.
 Stock-jobbers and jobbing, 306, 330, 338, 420, 449; and *see* South Sea Company.
 Stocks :
 rise and fall of, 323, 324, 407, 413, 415, 417, 421, 424, 428, 431, 441, 454.
 are the signs of the times, 334.
 Stoke, co. Hereford, 237, 304.
 little captain of. *See* Foley, Thomas.
 Stonehouse, Sir John, 34, 123, 318, 450.
 Stonor, —, house of, 245.
 Stow [-in-the-Wold], fight at, mentioned, 338.
 Strada, writings of, 224.
 Strafford, [Thomas Wentworth], Earl of, Ambassador at the Hague, 95, 96, 210, 424, 448.
 Strahan, Dr., [William], secretary to Sir Philip Meadows at Vienna, 12.
 Strangeways, —, 406.
 Stratford :
 Frank, 305; 306.
 [Dr. Nicholas], former bishop of Chester and Warden of Manchester, 179, 474.
 Dr. William, Canon of Christ Church, Oxford :
 books of, 8, 112.
 letters from, *passim*.
 report that he is to be Dean, 26.
 as Treasurer of Christ Church, 50.
 entertains the Lord Keeper, 58, 59.
 and Dean Atterbury, 66, 68, 74, 85-105, 107, 108, 137.
 resigns the Treasurership, 77, 81.
 journeys of, or visits paid by, 84-94, 160, 177, 205, 236, 238, 241, 242, 297, 303, 308, 323, 324, 329, 343-345, 356, 361, 363, 364, 383, 389, 395, 397, 400, 429, 430, 432, 434, 437, 463.
 accusations against, by Charles Aldrich, and proceedings thereon. *See* Aldrich, Charles.
 congratulations from, on Lady Betty Harley's marriage, 97, 122.
 his accounts objected to by the Dean, 101-104.
 his temper and patience praised, 103.
 speeches, &c. of, in Chapter, 107, 130.
 investment of, in South Sea Stock, 112, 124.
 protests against Atterbury being made a bishop, 116.
 advice from, to Lord Harley, on his marriage, 167.
 begs for Lord Harley's portrait, 168, 180.
 compares himself to Falstaff, 169.
 claims to be allowed to christen Lord Harley's first child, 170.
 sermon preached by, 177.
 as Deputy-treasurer at Christ Church, 226.
 his "valentine," 234.
 protest signed by, 342.
 considered "the great incendiary," 355.
 accident to, 363.
 ring given to, as a memorial of Lord Oxford, 381.
 illness of, 397, 430.
 visits Lord Bolingbroke, 411.

Stratford, Dr. William—*cont.*

living of Presteign declined by, 443, 444.

as archdeacon of Richmond, 475.

last illness and death of, 477, 478.

house of, at Oxford, 15.

—, in Westminster, 105, 107.

cottage of, on the Berkshire Downs, 276, 277, 297; *and see* Shefford, Little.

father of. *See* Stratford, Dr. Nicholas.

godfather of. *See* Harley, Thomas.

kinsman of, 181.

schoolfellow of. *See* Prior, Matthew.

Strathallan, [William Drummond], Viscount, 2, 3, 27, 45, 55.

cousin of. *See* Gann.

servant of, 27.

Strickland, Sir William, 411, 457.

Strong, a mason, 14.

Stuart, Mr., 32.

Suicides, 113.

Sunderland:

[Charles Spencer], Earl of (your uncle, your relation), 228, 267, 289, 295, 299, 313, 315, 316, 324, 325, 328, 391, 441 (?).

—, and Atterbury. *See* Atterbury.

—, and Walpole. *See* Walpole.

—, as Vice-Treasurer for Ireland, 219.

—, 2nd marriage of [to Anne, daughter of the Duke of Marlborough], 228.

—, ministry of, 272.

—, and the South Sea Bubble, 280, 282, 287, 311.

—, expected retirement or removal of, 294, 295.

—, screened by the Tories, 305.

—, death of, 321, 322, 336.

—, son-in-law of. *See* Morpeth, Lord.

—, followers or "creatures" of, 414.

—, his collection of books, &c., 321, 323, 324.

[Robert Spencer], Earl of, 327, 329.

—, grandmother of. *See* Marlborough, Duchess of.

Surrey, "Convocation man for," 43.

Sutton, "young," 326, 328.

Sweden [Charles XII.], King of, 312, 341, 375.

and the treaty of Vienna, 419.

Swift, Dr. Jonathan, Dean of St. Patrick's, 183, 185, 186, 190, 200, 381, 393, 431, 440, 441, 446-448, 463.

return of, to Ireland, 442.

writings of, 79, 183, 284, 394, 463, 464; *and see* Gulliver's Travels, *under* Books.

T

T. *See* Terry, Thomas.

Talbot:

[Charles], Solicitor-General, 462.

[William], Bishop of Oxford, 46, 49, 56, 57.

—, as Bishop of Salisbury, 240, 264.

—, as Bishop of Durham, 328, 353.

—, chaplain to. *See* Baron, John.

Tanner, Dr., [Thomas], canon and treasurer of Christ Church, Chancellor of Norwich, 378, 382, 460, 472.

made Prolocutor, 456.

MSS. of, 461.

Taylor:

—, clerk of Bridewell, 87, 88.

—, 233.

Temple, Sir William, family of, 186.

Tenison, [Thomas], Archbishop of Canterbury, 25, 77, 78, 145, 186.

as Visitor of Oxford University, 21.

illness of, 25, 26, 30, 31, 52.

Terry:

"old Isaac," 217.

Thomas (Tom), fellow and tutor of Christ Church (Lord Harley's tutor), 9, 22, 24, 54, 63, 73, 77, 84, 91-93, 110, 112, 124, 126, 139, 153, 155, 156.

—, as Canon of Christ Church and sub-dean, 155, 162, 175, 176, 187, 211, 231, 235, 263, 265, 275, 278, 284, 288, 296, 306, 307, 330, 331, 339, 342, 348, 361, 371, 381-383, 396, 401.

—, intended marriage of, 217.

—, as King's chaplain, 399.

—, dismissed from the office of Sub-Dean, 412, 413.

—, after his dismissal ("my neighbour"), 414, 416, 418, 466.

—, wife of ("his dear Betty"), 217, 339, 401.

Tetsworth, Tedsworth (Tidsworth), co. Oxford, 23, 395, 446.

Thame, co. Oxford, 260, 296.

Thames, the, low state of, 190, 194, 201. proposed new bridge over, 312.

Thanet, [Thomas Tufton], Earl of, 438.

Thistlewaite, [Dr. Robert], Warden of Wadham College, 388, 462, 463, 470.

Thomas:

Timothy, tutor of Christ Church, 263, 264.

—, recommended to Lord Harley, 302.

—, Lord Harley's chaplain, 307, 313, 316-319, 378, 389, 390, 392, 397, 402, 404, 435.

—, letters to, 387, 388.

- Thomas, Timothy—*cont.*
 —, as rector of Presteign, 444, 447, 448, 453.
 William, secretary to Lord Oxford. 4. 31, 93, 94, 111, 196, 198, 202, 208, 226, 229, 231-235, 244, 251, 260, 262, 282, 283, 308, 312, 318, 337, 373, 378, 408, 432, 433, 446, 461, 468.
- Thomas (a servant?), 41.
 Thomas Aquinas, mentioned, 253.
 Thorn, town of, 413.
 Thornhill, Sir William, paintings of, 299.
 Thwaites, Mr., 54.
 Tidsworth. *See* Tetsworth.
 Tillotson, Archbishop, sermon on, 354.
 Tilly, —, 39, 79.
 Tindall, [Dr. Matthew], of All Souls', 263.
 Tipping, one, of Ewelme, 25, 26.
 Tockay, a medicinal wine, 290.
 Todd, —, suggested as Dean of Carlisle, 156-159.
 Toinard, writings of, 219.
 Toland, [John], 441.
 Toledo, Archbishop of, allusion to, 152.
 Tollet, George, of the Navy Office, 207.
 Tonke, Dr., 35.
 Tonson, the bookseller, 233.
 Tory Party, the, 218, 300, 305, 309, 315, 340, 407, and *passim*.
 lack of good men in, 47.
 opposition of a section of, to Lord Oxford, 180, 181.
 to be "received into grace," 208.
 attitude of, toward the Peerage bill, 266, 267, 273.
 are but "poor pedlars in iniquity," 289.
 the head of, 308.
 hopes of, in the new Parliament, 335, 336.
 Bolingbroke "is resolved to have done with," 411.
 dare not divide the House, 419.
 winning of the London election by, 451, 453.
- Tower of London, the, 216, 333, 341, 344, 400.
 Major of. *See* White.
 Lord Oxford in. *See* Oxford, Robert, Earl of.
 Atterbury sent to, 332.
- Townshend, Charles, Viscount, 206, 245, 267, 273.
 —, dismissed from the Secretaryship, 219.
 —, as Secretary of State (again), 317, 344, 360, 378, 387-389, 392, 394, 407, 413, 415, 418, 424, 426, 428, 434, 473.
 —, at the Hague, 409, 410.
 —, illness of, 454.
 of Christ Church, 269, 270.
 "young," 413.
- Tracy, Robert, Judge [of Common Pleas], 406.
- Treasury, the, 115, 313, 373, 421, 431.
 expected changes at, 311-313.
 bill relating to, 429.
 Lords of, report made to, 415.
 Office, clerks in, 13.
- Treby, Sir George, 312.
- Trefusis:
 Miss, married to Sir John Cotton, 383, 384.
 "the late," 384.
- Trelawny:
 [Sir Jonathan], Bishop of Winchester, 13, 38.
 Colonel, son of the above, 4, 13, 38, 54.
- Trevor:
 [Thomas], Lord, Master of the Rolls, 87, 89, 94, 95.
 —, as Chief Justice of Common Pleas, 118, 120, 129, 294, 299, 328, 392, 429.
 —, chaplain of. *See* Atterbury.
 —, made Lord Privy Seal, 429, 431.
 —, and the Manchester bill, 476, 477.
 —, sons of, 476, 477.
 Mr., 91.
- Trieste, 421.
- Trimnell, [Dr. Charles], Bishop of Norwich, 145, 264.
 as Bishop of Winchester, death of, 365.
- Tring, co. Herts., 70.
- Triplack, a foreigner, 250.
- Tripoli, 471.
- Trotman [Samuel], 314, 318.
- Trumbull:
 Sir William, 357.
 Lady, 357.
- Tudway:
 Dr., 291, 293, 295, 296, 300, 307.
- Turkey:
 Grand Seigneur of, 354,
 Charles XII. in, 375.
 Company, the, 469.
 trade, the, 63.
- Turks, the, 394, 430.
 of Algiers, 470.
- Turner, [Dr. Thomas], President of Corpus Christi College, 3-6, 27, 46, 53, 63.
- Tuscany and Parma, succession to, 473.
- Twisden, Captain, 66.
- Tyreconnel, [John Brownlow], Lord, 422.
- Tyrrel, Bell, 402.

U

- Universities, protestant, abroad, 389.
- Upton [Anthony], Judge of Common Pleas in Ireland, 88.

- Urry, John, 36, 60, 72 (2), 76, 91, 94, 124, 211-213.
 new edition of Chaucer by, 72, 211.
 Utrecht, congress and treaty of, 77, 271, 424, 425, 427.

V

- Vaillant, prints received from, 198.
 Valentine's Day, 248.
 Van Brug, [Vanbrugh], the architect of Blenheim, 3, 19.
 Vane:
 [? Gilbert, eldest son of Lord Barnard], 220, 239.
 Lord, 450.
 Vatican, MSS. in, 65, 219.
 Vaughan, Ned, 430.
 Vauxhall (Foxhall), new bridge for, 285.
 Verdun, 430.
 Vere, Sir Horace (*temp.* Jas. I.), 27.
 letters of, sent to Harley, 27.
 Verney:
 John, 419, 445, 457, 458.
 —, marries Abigail Harley, 382.
 —, his mother, 382.
 Mrs., 452.
 Vernon, Tom, 297.
 Vice-Chamberlain, the, [Thomas Coke], 429.
 Vice-Treasurer's place, Lord Hyde and Lord Anglesey to share, 19.
 Vienna, 413, 430, 431, 433.
 ambassador recalled to, 470.
 Court of, 412, 428.
 Dutch ambassador for, 419.
 English resident at. *See* Meadows, Sir Philip.
 treaty of, 405, 419, 424.
 Villeck, Madame (the French lady), 388, 389.
 Vincent:
 Augustus, Rouge-rose poursuivant, *temp.* James I., 367.
 —, 307.

W

- W. Sir, 199.
 W . . . r. Bishop of, 157, 163.
 Wade [George], M.P., 392.
 Wager, Admiral, 427.

- Wainwright:
 at Church Church, 156.
 a barrister, 325, 350, 371, 400.
 Wake, [Dr. William], Archbishop of Canterbury, 220, 227, 239, 264, 360, 393, 434-436.
 as visitor of Oxford University, 257, 263, 340.
 house of, in Dean's Yard, 393.
 librarian and chaplain of, 435.
 Wales, Princess of, 226.
 Woman of the Bedchamber to, 386.
 Wales, judges in, 307.
 Walker, —, 297.
 Waller, —, son-in-law of Mr. Aislabie, 280, 287.
 Wallingford, co. Berks, elections at, 14, 20, 139, 153, 158.
 Wallis, [John], Arabic professor at Oxford, 354.
 Walloon general, picture of, 264.
 Walpole:
 Horace (brother of Robert), minister at Paris, 413, 416, 424, 455, 456.
 —, wife of, 413.
 Robert, 267, 273, 289.
 —, as Treasurer of the Navy, 20.
 —, rumours concerning, 281, 306, 415.
 —, financial schemes of, 281, 308-311, 328, 331, 372, 416, 421, 423, 432, 457, 458; *and see* South Sea Company, new scheme for.
 —, advent of, to power, 295.
 —, and Atterbury, 299, 324, 328.
 —, as first Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer, 305, 324, 327, 339-341, 345, 365, 370, 375, 392, 394, 399, 404-406, 411, 418-421, 425, 427, 428, 431, 432, 445, 459.
 —, and Sunderland, 308-310.
 —, illness of, 308, 311, 313.
 —, expected dismissal of, 311-313, 407.
 —, all the power thrown into his hands, 321.
 —, is to have the Garter, 375.
 —, will "lay down" if there is war, 411.
 —, opposition to, or enemies of, 430, 433, 451, 455.
 —, speeches of, mentioned, 421, 457, 458.
 —, subscription by, 417.
 —, as Premier to George II., 448.
 —, said to be tottering, 454.
 —, and Carteret, 473, 474.
 —, eldest son of. *See* Robert, Lord, *below*.
 —, second son of, 428.
 —, chaplain of, 345.
 —, party of (Walpolians), 244, 245, 375, 406, 425.
 Robert, Lord [son of Sir Robert], 375, 406, 415.
 Walsingham, Earl of, proposed title for Sir Robert Walpole, 281, 306.

Walter or Walters:

Sir John. Bart., M.P. for the city of Oxford, 51, 59. [233?], 317, 337, 384.

—, death and will of, 327, 328.

—, widow of. *See* Walters, Lady.

—, brother of. *See* Walters, Sir Robert.

Lady, 328, 379, 380, 382, 384.

Peter, 406, 414, 422.

Sir Robert (Lord Bob), 327-329, 382.

Wanley:

Humphrey (Sir Humphrey), Lord Oxford's librarian, 67, 211, 216, 238, 250, 258, 272, 302, 306, 311, 321, 353, 364, 366, 395, 396, 435.

—, intended marriage of, 439.

—, death of, 441, 442.

—, wife of, 441.

Mistress Alice (?), 395.

Wantage, a parsonage near, 186.

War, Secretary at. *See* St. John, Henry.

Warcop, Sir Edmund, 115.

Ward:

John, 181.

[Thomas], fellow of Oriel, commits suicide, 307.

one, 342.

Warsaw, 407.

Warton, [Thomas]. Professor of Poetry at Oxford, 252, 253, 255, 256.

Warwick, election at, 368.

Warwickshire, 102, 160, 226, 258, 281, 295, 468.

knights of the shire for, 211.

Watch, Timothy, epistle of, mentioned, 287.

Waterstock, co. Oxford, 6.

Watkins:

Harry, 157, 165, 439.

—, as Secretary to the Chancellor of Oxford University, 312, 353, 354, 396, 398, 400.

—, father of, 354.

Mr., in Holland, 19, 21, 22.

Wattlington (Wattleton), keeping Coronation day at, 245.

Watson, —, solicitor, 391.

Watton, —, Treasurer of the funds of Westminster Abbey, 329.

Webb, young, 427.

Wecholey, book printed by, 195.

Welbeck [Abbey], co. Notts, 230, 270, 277, 397.

Lord of. *See* Harley, Lord.

noble manors of, 276.

Welderen, 470.

Wells, co. Somerset, 92, 397.

Bishop of. *See* Bath and Wells.

Dean of, 170.

Welsh (Silurians) the, 333.

judgeship, 158, 160, 181.

living, 71.

mountains, the, 227, 229.

Wem, Shropshire, 77.

Wemys, Lord, wife of. *See* Robinson, Mrs.

Wenman, Mr., 270.

Weobly, [co. Hereford], corporation of, 165.

Wesley [John], 4.

West of England, metropolitan visitation in, 386.

Westbury, co. Wilts, election at, 21.

West Indian, a, 450.

West Indies, ships for, 421, 427, 431-433. trade with, 407.

Westminster, 142, 214, 268, 374.

Dean of, 155, 327; *and see* Atterbury, Francis; Bradford, Samuel.

chapter of, 165, 295, 330.

—, quarrel of, with the Dean. *See* Atterbury.

deanery of, 456, 457.

—, offered to Dr. South, 160.

prebend of, 406, 474.

prebendaries of, 172; *and see* Sartre, James; Only, Nicholas.

—, and Head Masters gardens, cause concerning, 277.

the Queen not only visitor but founder, 165.

"war at," 146, 157, 165; *and see* Atterbury.

election or election business at, 275, 316, 318, 339, 340, 368.

feast, 454.

liberties of, empty houses in, 415.

places at, in the gift of the Dean, 147.

buildings, streets, &c., in:

The Abbey:

—, Henry ("Harry") VII.'s chapel at, 52, 70.

—, the Little Cloisters at, 107.

—, coal tax allotted for the repair of, 327.

—, receiver of, 325-327.

—, "Ben" tower at, 394.

Cockpit, 332.

College Chamber, 308.

Deanery, 332.

Dean's Yard, 201, 343, 389, 393

Duke Street, 179.

Jerusalem Chamber, 275.

New Chapel, 52, 165, 263, 281, 282.

St. Margaret's Church:

—, curate of. *See* Only, Nicholas.

—, clerk of, 146, 165.

—, vestry and vestrymen of, 157, 159, 165.

Stable Yard, 308, 343.

the Strand, new Exchange in, 233 *note*.

Westminster Hall, 135, 244, 394, 404, 455, 456.

— trial in, 462.

Westminster School, 172, 280, 298, 454.

—, Head-master of. *See* Freind, Dr. Robert.

—, entertainment at, 455, 456.

Westphalia, treaty of, 413.

Weston, Stephen, 79.

Weston, 296.

- Weymouth, [Thomas Thynne], Viscount, 8, 9, 94, 201, 270.
 death of, 197, 200.
- Whale and herring fishery, 406.
- Wharton :
 Sir Humphrey, 395-397, 433.
 —, his son and daughter, 433.
 [Thomas], Earl, *q.v.* Marquis of, 87, 183, 201, 205, 442.
 Lady, his wife, 87.
 [Philip], Duke of, 230, 279, 296, 308-310, 419, 442.
 —, "conversion" of, 442, 450.
 —, father of. *See* Wharton, Thomas, Earl of.
 —, grandfather of [Philip, Lord Wharton], 442.
 —, report that he is to be attainted, 446.
 Thomas. *See* Warton.
- Wheate or Wheat, Sir Thomas, M.P. for Woodstock, 21, 314, 318.
- Wheatley, co. Oxon, 23.
- Wheeler, Sir William, 277.
- Whichwood Forest, 38.
- Whigs (the enemy). 56, 67, 93, 180, 210, 229, 377, and *passim*.
 impudence or insolence of, 1, 16, 19.
 meeting of. *See* Althorp.
 desperate case of, 75, 76.
 rout of their left wing, 80.
 divisions among, 206, 308.
 agonies of, 218, 219.
 Constitution club of. *See* Oxford.
- Whiston, Dr., and the Arian heresy. 65.
 prosecution against, 172.
- Whitchote, F[rancis], M.P. for co. Cambridge, 246.
- Whitchurch, co. Hants, 380.
- White :
 of Christ Church, 139, 272, 274.
 Major of the Tower, 344.
- Whitehall :
 Court at, 128, 129.
 project for preachers at, 377, 378.
- Whitfield, undergraduate, 414.
- Whitley (Witley), co. Worcester, 279.
 "the young master of." *See* Foley, Thomas.
- Whitlocke, Sir William, M.P. for Oxford University, 121, 151.
 death of, 231.
- Wigan :
 —, of Christ Church, 195.
 —, as rhetoric reader and librarian, 409, 412, 413.
 "young," 445, 446.
- Wilbraham, Thomas, 67.
- Wileocks, Wilcox, [Dr. Joseph], Bishop of Gloucester, 402, 435 (?), 451.
- Wile, —, 370.
- Wilkins, [David], the Prussian, 435, 436, 439.
- Wilks, Dr., of Trinity College, Oxford, 370.
- William III., King :
 patent of, alluded to, 82.
 and Dr. Radcliffe, 467.
 called the "founder" of the Protestant religion, 476.
- William, Prince, 454.
 book dedicated to, 412.
- Williams, an upholsterer, 61.
- Williamson, [Colonel Adam?], 344, 345, 353.
- Willis, Dr., [Richard], Bishop of Gloucester, 207, 264, 365.
 as Bishop of Winchester, 377, 378.
- Willoughby :
 [Charles], Lord, kinsman of, 211.
 [Thomas], candidate for the representation of Cambridge University, 281.
- Wills, General, 329.
- Wilson, a tavern keeper, 366.
- Wimbledon, Surrey, 138.
- Wimple or Wimpole, co. Cambridge, 279, 293, 365, 385 and *passim*.
 new chapel at, 294, 295.
- Winchenden (Winchington), co. Bucks, 201.
- Winchester, 47.
 Bishop of, 207; and *see* Trelawny, Sir Jonathan; Willis, Dr. Richard.
 Bishopric of, 304.
 Cathedral, Dean, Prebendaries, &c. of, 323.
- Windham. *See* Wyndham.
- Window tax, consequences of, 415
- Windsor, Mr., 451.
- Windsor, 42, 45, 162.
 Castle, Lord Oxford's room at, 177.
 letter dated at, 176.
 prebend at, 44, 92.
 visitors to, or persons in attendance at, 48, 51, 54, 56, 91, 111, 161, 162, 176, 177.
- Winnington, —, a cousin of Lord Harley's 274.
- Winwick, living of, 416.
- Withers, one, 341.
- Witney, co. Oxford, 42, 434.
 rector of. *See* Freind, Robert.
 blankets, 199-201.
- Woburn, co. Bedford, 316.
- Wolsey, Cardinal :
 Life of, 374.
 satire on, mentioned, 383.
 statue of, for Christ Church, 38, 249.
- Wood :
 of Christ Church, 339.
 [William], and his Irish halfpence, 393.
- Woodcock, a Presbyterian minister, 22.
- Wood Eaton, co. Oxford, living of, 300.
- Woodroffe, Dr., [Benjamin], canon of Christ Church, 43, 44, 76, 78, 81.
- Woodstock, co. Oxford, 7, 165, 209, 220, 226, 243, 258, 291, 467.
 church tower of, 201.
 election proceedings at, 20, 21, 305, 314, 318.
 M.P. for. *See* Wheate, Sir Thomas.
 races at, 215.
- Worcester, 56.
 Bishop of. *See* Lloyd, William.
 —, a former. *See* Stillingfleet, Dr. Edward.

Worcester—*cont.*

bishopric of, 49, 84.

prebend of, 162, 171, 227.

prebendary of, 417. *And see* Lavington, George.

Wren, Sir Christopher, death of, 347.

Wright, "young," 293, 317.

Wyat, [William], Principal of St. Mary Hall, death of, 115, 120.

Thomas, letter from, 478.

Wycombe election, the, 422, 431.

Wyndham (Windham), Sir William. 93, 216, 228, 229, 291, 300, 309, 375, 410.

speeches of, mentioned, 419, 420, 424, 425.

son of, 375.

Wynne:

Dr. John, 89.

—, as Bishop of St. Asaph, 207, 209, 210, 213, 327.

—, marries and resigns the Principalship of Jesus, 276.

"one," relation of the Bishop, 327.

Y

York:

Archbishops of. *See*:

Sharpe, Dr. John.

Dawes, Sir William.

Blackburne, Lancelot.

—, as metropolitan of the Bishop of Man, 331.

Archbishopric of, 178-181, 477.

Dean of, [Henry Finch], 416.

Yorke, Sir Philip, Attorney-General (the Attorney), 462.

Yorkshire, a minister in, 466.

Young:

Mr. and Mrs., their divorce case, 390. [Sir William], 450.

Z

Zinzendorf, Austrian ambassador, 470.

ERRATA.

- p. 24, *line 28* ; for *cause* read *course*.
p. 41, *line 37* ; for *Ti* read *Te*.
p. 55, *line 10* ; for [Kent] read [Shrewsbury].
p. 71, *line 28* ; for *unreasonable* read *unseasonable*.
p. 218, *line 28* ; for *Wayne* read *Mayne*.
p. 219, *line 36* ; for *Prologomena* read *Prolegomena*.
p. 219, *line 37* ; for *texta* read *textu*.
p. 299, *bottom line* ; for *gusta* read *gutta*.
p. 302, *line 36* ; for *Tom* read *Tim*.
p. 311, *line 17* ; for *Horidante* read *Floridante*.
p. 354, *line 13* ; for *which* read *such*.
p. 354, *line 19* ; for *Gaynier* read *Gagnier*.
p. 355, *lines 1 and 5* ; for *Gaynier* read *Gagnier*.
p. 362, *bottom line* ; for *invidium faciendum* read *invidiam faciendam*.
p. 376, *bottom line* ; for *Literari* read *Literariæ*.
p. 439, *line 32* ; after *Dr. Middleton's book* insert "*De Medicorum &c.*"
p. 442, *line 8* ; for *illum* read *ullum*.
p. 447, *line 8 from bottom* ; for *Manatou* read *Manaton*.
p. 463, *line 25* ; for *Manatou* read *Manaton*.

HISTORICAL MANUSCRIPTS COMMISSION.

REPORTS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSIONERS APPOINTED TO INQUIRE WHAT PAPERS AND MANUSCRIPTS BELONGING TO PRIVATE FAMILIES AND INSTITUTIONS ARE EXTANT WHICH WOULD BE OF UTILITY IN THE ILLUSTRATION OF HISTORY, CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, SCIENCE, AND GENERAL LITERATURE.

Date.	—	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1870 (Re-printed 1874.)	FIRST REPORT, WITH APPENDIX Contents :— ENGLAND. House of Lords ; Cambridge Colleges ; Abingdon and other Corporations, &c. SCOTLAND. Advocates' Library, Glasgow Corporation, &c. IRELAND. Dublin, Cork, and other Corporations, &c.	f'cap	[C. 55]	s. d. 1 6
1871	SECOND REPORT WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX TO THE FIRST AND SECOND REPORTS Contents :— ENGLAND. House of Lords ; Cambridge Colleges ; Oxford Colleges ; Monastery of Dominican Friars at Woodchester, Duke of Bedford, Earl Spencer, &c. SCOTLAND. Aberdeen and St. Andrew's Universities, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde ; Dr. Lyons, &c.	„	[C. 441]	3 10
1872 (Re-printed 1895.)	THIRD REPORT WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX Contents :— ENGLAND. House of Lords ; Cambridge Colleges ; Stonyhurst College ; Bridgwater and other Corporations ; Duke of Northumberland, Marquis of Lansdowne, Marquis of Bath, &c. SCOTLAND. University of Glasgow ; Duke of Montrose, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde ; Black Book of Limerick, &c.	„	[C. 673]	6 0
1873	FOURTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. Contents :— ENGLAND. House of Lords. Westminster Abbey ; Cambridge and Oxford Colleges ; Cinque Ports, Hythe, and other Corporations, Marquis of Bath, Earl of Denbigh, &c. SCOTLAND. Duke of Argyll, &c. IRELAND. Trinity College, Dublin ; Marquis of Ormonde.	„	[C. 857]	6 8

Date.	—	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1873	FOURTH REPORT. PART II. INDEX - -	f'cap	[C. 857 i.]	<i>s. d.</i> 2 6
1876	FIFTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. - Contents:— ENGLAND. House of Lords; Oxford and Cambridge Colleges; Dean and Chapter of Canterbury; Rye, Lydd, and other Corporations. Duke of Sutherland, Marquis of Lansdowne, Reginald Cholmondeley, Esq., &c. SCOTLAND. Earl of Aberdeen, &c.	„	[C.1432]	7 0
„	DITTO. PART II. INDEX - - -	„	[C.1432 i.]	3 6
1877	SIXTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. - Contents:— ENGLAND. House of Lords: Oxford and Cambridge Colleges; Lambeth Palace; Black Book of the Archdeacon of Canterbury; Bridport, Wallingford, and other Corporations; Lord Leconfield, Sir Reginald Graham, Sir Henry Ingilby, &c. SCOTLAND. Duke of Argyll, Earl of Moray, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde.	„	[C.1745]	8 6
(Re-printed 1893.)	DITTO. PART II. INDEX - - -	„	[C.2102]	1 10
1879 (Re-printed 1895.)	SEVENTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. - Contents:— House of Lords; County of Somerset; Earl of Egmont, Sir Frederick Graham, Sir Harry Verney, &c.	„	[C.2340]	7 6
(Re-printed 1895.)	DITTO. PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— Duke of Athole, Marquis of Ormonde, S. F. Livingstone, Esq., &c.	„	[C. 2340 i.]	3 6
1881	EIGHTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX. PART I. - Contents:— List of collections examined, 1869-1880. ENGLAND. House of Lords; Duke of Marlborough; Magdalen College, Oxford; Royal College of Physicians; Queen Anne's Bounty Office; Corporations of Chester, Leicester, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde, Lord Emly, The O'Conor Don, Trinity College, Dublin, &c.	„	[C.3040]	[Out of print.]
1881	DITTO. PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— Duke of Manchester.	„	[C. 3040 i.]	[Out of print.]
1881	DITTO. PART III. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— Earl of Ashburnham.	„	[C. 3040 ii.]	[Out of print.]

Date.	—	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1883 (Re-printed 1895.)	NINTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX. PART I. - - - - - Contents :— St. Paul's and Canterbury Cathedrals; Eton College; Carlisle, Yarmouth, Canterbury, and Barnstaple Cor- porations, &c.	f'cap	[C.3773]	s. d. 5 2
1884 (Re-printed 1895.)	DITTO. PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents :— ENGLAND. House of Lords. Earl of Leicester; C. Pole Gell, Alfred Mor- rison, Esqs., &c. SCOTLAND. Lord Elphinstone, H. C. Maxwell Stuart, Esq., &c. IRELAND. Duke of Leinster, Marquis of Drogheda, &c.	"	[C.3773 i.]	6 3
1884	DITTO. PART III. APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - Contents :— Mrs. Stopford Sackville.	"	[C. 3773 ii.]	[Out of Print.]
1883 (Re-printed 1895.)	CALENDAR OF THE MANUSCRIPTS OF THE MARQUIS OF SALISBURY, K.G. (OF CECIL MSS.). PART I. - - - - -	8vo.	[C.3777]	3 5
1888	DITTO. PART II. - - - - -	"	[C.5463]	3 5
1889	DITTO. PART III. - - - - -	"	[C.5889 v.]	2 1
1892	DITTO. PART IV. - - - - -	"	[C.6823]	2 11
1894	DITTO. PART V. - - - - -	"	[C.7574]	2 6
1896	DITTO. PART VI. - - - - -	"	[C.7884]	2 8
1899	DITTO. PART VII. - - - - -	"	[C.9246]	2 8
1899	DITTO. PART VIII. - - - - -	"	[C.9467]	2 8
1885	TENTH REPORT - - - - - This is introductory to the following :—	"	[C.4548]	[Out of Print.]
1885 (Re-printed 1895.)	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - Earl of Eglinton, Sir J. S. Maxwell, Bart., and C. S. H. D. Moray, C. F. Weston Underwood, G. W. Digby. Esqs.	"	[C.4575]	3 7
1885	(2.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - The Family of Gawdy.	"	[C.4576 iii.]	1 4
1885	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - Wells Cathedral.	"	[C.4576 ii.]	[Out of Print.]
1885	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - Earl of Westmorland; Capt. Stewart; Lord Stafford; Sir N. W. Throck- morton; Sir P. T. Mainwaring, Lord Muncaster, M.P., Capt. J. F. Bagot, Earl of Kilmorey, Earl of Powis, and others, the Corporations of Kendal, Wenlock, Bridgnorth, Eye, Plymouth, and the County of Essex; and Stonyhurst College.	"	[C.4576]	[Out of Print.]
1885 (Re-printed 1895.)	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - The Marquis of Ormonde, Earl of Fingall, Corporations of Galway, Waterford, the Sees of Dublin and Ossory, the Jesuits in Ireland.	"	[4576 i.]	2 10

Date,	—	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1887	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Marquis of Abergavenny, Lord Braye, G. F. Luttrell, P. P. Bouverie, W. Bromley Davenport, R. T. Balfour, Esquires.	8vo.	[C.5242]	s. d. 1 7
1887	ELEVENTH REPORT - - - - This is introductory to the following :—	"	[C.5060 vi.]	0 3
1887	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - H. D. Skrine, Esq., Salvetti Corre- spondence.	"	[C.5060]	1 1
1887	(2.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - House of Lords. 1678-1688.	"	[C.5060 i.]	2 0
1887	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Corporations of Southampton and Lynn.	"	[C.5060 ii.]	1 8
1887	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Marquess Townshend.	"	[C.5060 iii.]	2 6
1887	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Earl of Dartmouth.	"	[C.5060 iv.]	2 8
1887	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Duke of Hamilton.	"	[C.5060 v.]	1 6
1888	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Duke of Leeds, Marchioness of Waterford, Lord Hothfield, &c.; Bridgwater Trust Office, Reading Corporation, Inner Temple Library.	"	[C.5612]	2 0
1890	TWELFTH REPORT - - - - This is introductory to the following :—	"	[C.5889]	0 3
1888	(1.) APPENDIX - - - - Earl Cowper, K.G. (Coke MSS., at Melbourne Hall, Derby). Vol. I.	"	[C.5472]	2 7
1888	(2.) APPENDIX - - - - Ditto. Vol. II	"	[C.5613]	2 5
1889	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Ditto. Vol. III.	"	[C.5889 i.]	1 4
1888	(4.) APPENDIX - - - - The Duke of Rutland, G.C.B. Vol. I.	"	[C.5614]	3 2
1891	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - Ditto. Vol. II.	"	[C.5889 ii.]	2 0
1889	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - House of Lords, 1689-1690.	"	[C.5889 iii.]	2 1
1890	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - S. H. le Fleming, Esq., of Rydal.	"	[C.5889 iv.]	1 11
1891	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - The Duke of Athole, K.T., and the Earl of Home.	"	[C.6338]	1 0
1891	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - The Duke of Beaufort, K.G., the Earl of Donoughmore, J. H. Gurney, W. W. B. Hulton, R. W. Ketton, G. A. Aitken, P. V. Smith, Esq.; Bishop of Ely; Cathedrals of Ely, Glouce- ster, Lincoln, and Peterborough, Corporations of Gloucester, Higham Ferrers, and Newark; Southwell Minster; Lincoln District Registry.	"	[C.6338 i.]	2 6

Date.		Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1891	(10.) APPENDIX - - - - - The First Earl of Charlemont. Vol. I. 1745-1783.	Svo.	[C. 6338 ii.]	<i>s. d.</i> 1 11
1892	THIRTEENTH REPORT - - - - - This is introductory to the following:—	„	[C.6827]	0 3
1891	(1.) APPENDIX - - - - - The Duke of Portland. Vol. I.	„	[C.6474]	3 0
	(2.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Ditto, Vol. II. - - - - -	„	[C. 6827 i.]	2 0
1892	(3.) APPENDIX. J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore. Vol. I. - - - - -	„	[C.6660]	2 7
1892	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - - - - - Corporations of Rye, Hastings, and Hereford. Capt. F. C. Loder- Symonds, E. R. Wodehouse, M.P., J. Dovaston, Esqs., Sir T. B. Len- nard, Bart., Rev. W. D. Macray, and Earl of Dartmouth (Supplementary Report).	„	[C.6810]	2 4
1892	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. House of Lords, 1690-1691 - - - -	„	[C.6822]	2 4
1893	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Sir W. Fitzherbert, Bart. The Delaval Family, of Seaton Delaval; The Earl of Ancaster; and General Lyttelton-Annesley.	„	[C.7166]	1 4
1893	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Earl of Lonsdale - - - - -	„	[C.7241]	1 3
1893	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The First Earl of Charlemont. Vol. II. 1784-1799.	„	[C.7224]	1 11
1896	FOURTEENTH REPORT - - - - - This is introductory to the following:—	„	[C.7983]	0 3
1894	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Duke of Rutland, G.C.B. Vol. III.	„	[C.7476]	1 11
1894	(2.) APPENDIX. The Duke of Portland. Vol. III. -	„	[C.7569]	2 8
1894	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Duke of Roxburghe; Sir H. H. Campbell, Bart.; The Earl of Strathmore; and the Countess Dowager of Seafield.	„	[C.7570]	1 2
1894	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Lord Kenyon - - - - -	„	[C.7571]	2 10
1896	(5.) APPENDIX. J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore. Vol. II.	„	[C.7572]	2 8
1895	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. House of Lords, 1692-1693 - - - - (<i>Manuscripts of the House of Lords, 1693-1695, Vol. I. (New Series) See H.L. No. 5 of 1900. Price 2/9.</i>)	„	[C.7573]	1 11
1895	(7.) APPENDIX. The Marquis of Ormonde - - - - -	„	[C.7678]	1 10

Date.		Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1895	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Lincoln, Bury St. Edmunds, Hertford, and Great Grimsby Corporations; The Dean and Chapter of Wor- cester, and of Lichfield; The Bishop's Registry of Worcester.	8vo.	[C.7881]	s. d. 1 5
1896	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Earl of Buckinghamshire; Earl of Lindsey; Earl of Onslow; Lord Emly; T. J. Hare, Esq.; and J. Round, Esq., M.P.	„	[C.7882]	2 6
1895	(10.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Earl of Dartmouth. Vol. II. American Papers.	„	[C.7883]	2 9
1899	FIFTEENTH REPORT. This is introductory to the following :—	„	[C.9295]	0 4
1896	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Earl of Dartmouth. Vol. III.	„	[C.8156]	1 5
1897	(2.) APPENDIX. J. Elliot Hodgkin, Esq., of Richmond, Surrey.	„	[C.8327]	1 8
1897	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. Charles Haliday, Esq., of Dublin; Acts of the Privy Council in Ireland, 1556-1571; Sir William Ussher's Table to the Council Book; Table to the Red Council Book.	„	[C.8364]	1 4
1897	(4.) APPENDIX. The Duke of Portland. Vol. IV.	„	[C.8497]	2 11
1897	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Right Hon. F. J. Savile Foljambe	„	[C.8550]	0 10
1897	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Earl of Carlisle, Castle Howard	„	[C.8551]	3 6
1897	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Duke of Somerset; The Marquis of Ailesbury; and Sir F.G. Puleston, Bart.	„	[C.8552]	1 9
1897	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. The Duke of Buccleuch and Queens- berry, at Drumlanrig.	„	[C.8553]	1 4
1897	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX. J. J. Hope Johnstone, Esq., of Annandale	„	[C.8554]	1 0
1899	(10.) Shrewsbury and Coventry Corporations; Sir H. O. Corbet, Bart., Earl of Radnor, P.T. Tillard; J. R. Carr-Ellison; Andrew Kingsmill, Esqrs.	„	[C.9472]	1 0

Date.	—	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1898	MANUSCRIPTS IN THE WELSH LANGUAGE. Vol. I.—Lord Mostyn, at Mostyn Hall, co. Flint.	8vo.	[C.8829]	s. d. 1 4
1899	Vol. I. Part II.—W. R. M. Wynne, Esq., of Peniarth.	„	[C.9468]	2 11
1899	Manuscripts of the Duke of Buccleuch and Queensberry, K.G., K.T., preserved at Montagu House, Whitehall. Vol. I.	„	[C.9244]	2 7
1899	Ditto Marquis of Ormonde, K.P., preserved at the Castle, Kilkenny. Vol. II.	„	[C.9245]	2 0
1899	Ditto the Duke of Portland, K.G. Vol. V. -	„	[C.9466]	2 9
1899	Ditto J. M. Heathcote, Esq., of Conington Castle.	„	[C.9469]	1 3
1899	Ditto J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore. Vol. III.	„	[C.9470]	3 1
1899	Ditto F. W. Leyborne-Popham, Esq., of Littlecote.	„	[C.9471]	1 6
1900	Ditto Mrs. Frankland-Russell-Astley, of Chequers Court, Bucks.	„	[Cd.282]	2 0
1900	Ditto Lord Montagu of Beaulieu, Hants -	„	[Cd.283]	1 1
1900	Ditto Beverley Corporation - - -	„	[Cd.284]	1 0
1901	Ditto the Duke of Portland, K.G. Vol. VI., with Index to Vols. III.-VI.	„	[Cd.676]	1 9
1901	Ditto Ditto. Vol. VII. - - -	„	[Cd.783]	2 3
	Ditto Chichester, Canterbury and Salis- bury Cathedrals; of the Corporations of Berwick-on-Tweed, Burford and Lost- withiel; and of the Counties of Wilts and Worcester.	„	[Cd.784]	[In the Press.]
	Ditto Sir Geo. Wombwell, the Duke of Norfolk, Lord Edmund Talbot (the Shrewsbury papers), Lady Buxton and others.	„		ditto.

HISTORICAL MANUSCRIPTS COMMISSION.

REPORT

ON THE

MANUSCRIPTS

OF HIS GRACE

THE DUKE OF PORTLAND, K.G.,

PRESERVED AT

WELBECK ABBEY.

Vol. VIII.

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by Command of
His Majesty.



LONDON:
PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE
BY BEN JOHNSON & CO., YORK.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from
WYMAN AND SONS, LTD., FETTER LANE, E.C.; and
32, ABINGDON STREET, WESTMINSTER, S.W.; or
OLIVER & BOYD, EDINBURGH; or
E. PONSONBY, 116, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

1907.

[Cd. 3475.] Price 1s. 10d.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE.
INTRODUCTION	V.
REPORT—	
HARLEY LETTERS AND PAPERS...	1
PETITIONS TO QUEEN ANNE ...	318
PETITIONS TO ROBERT HARLEY...	368
INDEX	385

The work of editing this Report, on behalf of the Historical Manuscripts Commissioners, was commenced by Mr. ARTHUR MAXWELL-LYTE, B.A., and has been completed by Mrs. S. C. LOMAS. The Index has been compiled by Mr. M. C. B. DAWES, B.A.

INTRODUCTION.

The documents calendared in the present volume chiefly belong to the period from 1700 to 1708 (during which Robert Harley held successively the posts of Speaker of the House of Commons and Secretary of State) and may be broadly divided into three principal groups:—petitions and memorials; documents relating to the Navy, and papers on Scottish affairs. In addition to these, there are several papers (of an earlier date) on English ecclesiastical matters, and a certain number of miscellaneous letters and other documents.

Of the above groups, the first is by far the largest. It comprises petitions and letters containing requests sent to Harley himself, as Speaker or as Secretary, and also a considerable number addressed to the Queen or to Parliament, which were, no doubt, handed over to him for his opinion or decision; the whole series forming an important supplement to those of the same period in official keeping.

Their subject-matter is too varied for detailed description, but the two largest classes are requests for allowances, pensions, rewards or employments, and prayers for pardon, principally for petty theft, burglary and housebreaking, or horse-stealing, all which offences were, at that time, punishable by death. The majority of the culprits declare that they have been tempted from the paths of virtue by evil companions. One laments that, returning from a vain search for work, he met with a horse on the side of a common, which he "unadvisedly took to ride a mile or two homewards upon, to ease his weariness, intending to turn it loose in a very short time" (p. 328); another, that he has been seven years in Newgate, merely "for a fine of 100*l.* for a misdemeanour of words" (p. 355).

Other groups of petitions relate to ships or seamen; to patents, passes or licences; to foreigners in England, or Englishmen abroad wishing to return; to officers' widows, their husbands' services and their own necessities, with many other miscellaneous matters.

On p. 354, John Chamberlain, having for many years published "the present State of England," prays for permission to publish "the State of Great Britain." The "State of

England" was begun by Edward Chamberlain in 1669, and after his death in 1703, was continued by his son. The new title was adopted in 1708.

Some time between 1704 and 1707, James Tyrrell applied for the reversion of the office of Keeper of Her Majesty's Records at the Tower, bringing forward as his qualification for the office the fact that he had published three volumes of "a general History of England, from the best manuscripts and records." These three volumes were all that ever appeared, the History, instead of going down to William III., as was intended, stopping abruptly at the end of Richard II.'s reign. The work shows much industry and research, and includes many transcripts of documents, but the style is heavy and verbose.

Tyrrell was a barrister and a great friend of John Locke. He had been Justice of the Peace and Deputy-Lieutenant for Buckinghamshire, but was displaced by James II.

Two petitions in regard to fatal results of quarrels will be found on pp. 320, 321.

John Law, the well-known financier, had, in 1694, killed one Edward Wilson in a duel, and was sentenced to death; but escaping from prison, had ultimately found his way to Scotland, his native land. In 1704, he prayed the Queen for pardon, both for the death of Wilson and for his breach of prison, but, as is shown by Harley's endorsement, his petition was rejected, and the later years of his life were spent abroad.

About the same time, Captain Richard Hill prayed for pardon, "in compassion to his youth, in pity to his wife and five small children, and in regard to his services, for a crime committed at sixteen years of age, and eleven years since," when "he had the misfortune to quarrel with Mr. Mountford and in a rencontre to wound him, of which wound he died."

The story is well known:—how the young Irishman, infatuated with love for the beautiful actress, Mrs. Bracegirdle, made a vain attempt to carry her off by force, and this failing, rushed off with his friend Lord Mohun to the house of Will Mountford, whom he believed to be his successful rival, picked a quarrel with him, ran his sword through him and fled. Of the murder there could be no doubt, but if the "dissipated Captain," as Macaulay calls him, was only a lad of sixteen, this might well be considered an extenuating circumstance for a deed done in the heat of passion. Since that time, Hill had "endeavoured

to earn a pardon " by going as a volunteer to Newfoundland, and by serving under Marlborough. He was wounded at Blenheim, and was then permitted to come home to plead his cause, bringing letters of recommendation from the Duke and other commanding officers. From one or two later papers, it would appear that he was allowed to remain in England, but did not receive the pecuniary help which he desired.

Amongst the undated petitions addressed to Harley as Secretary is one (p. 383) from Deborah Clarke, the youngest and only surviving daughter of John Milton. Deborah Milton (she spells the name Melton) was born in 1652, and was thus, at the time of her petition, upwards of fifty years of age. She had joined with her sisters in the dispute with her stepmother on her father's death, which was settled by the widow giving each of them 100*l.*, leaving only about 600*l.* for herself. Milton is said to have declared that he had spent the greatest part of his estate in providing for his daughters, but he had also lost very considerable sums of money. Soon after his death, Deborah married Abraham Clarke, a weaver, and settled in Spitalfields. She had ten children and died in 1727, being then a widow. Whether she was a widow at the time of sending in her petition is not stated, but probably she was, as she speaks of maintaining herself, and gaining her own living. It is known that Addison visited her, gave her some money, and proposed to get her a pension, but died before accomplishing anything. It does not appear that Harley took up her case, but in her old age, Queen Caroline befriended her. Voltaire said that when her existence was known, she became rich in a quarter of an hour, but if this was true, it must have been when she was re-discovered at a later date. (*See Dict. Nat. Biog.*)

The petition of Horatio Hopkins, grandson and heir of Sir William Hopkins of the Isle of Wight, states that when King Charles I was hurried into the island, petitioner's grandfather and father found a way of corresponding with him, and were entrusted in his most important affairs; their house was chosen for his court, and to supply his needs they sold an estate to the value of 2,000*l.* per annum, "as may be evidenced by sixty odd letters of his said Majesty's own handwriting" now in petitioner's keeping (p. 360.)

This petition appears to have been copied from one presented by Horatio's father, George Hopkins, to King Charles II in

1660 (see *Cal. S. P. Ire'and*, 1660-62, p. 157). Presumably the statements were correct, for in September 1661, the King wrote to the Lords Justices ordering that George Hopkins should be paid 2,000*l.* as a first charge on the money paid in by the Adventurers, as his father had loyally sacrificed his estate in the royal cause, and he himself had been a loyal servant.

In two further orders, sent by the King to Ireland in 1664 and 1665, the amount was increased to 3,000*l.* "for his relief until we shall find some more lasting way of rewarding him for his services." It appears, however, from Horatio's petition, that like many other faithful servants of Charles II, though promised both land and money, George Hopkins never obtained anything, but died leaving his children unprovided for. Whether the application to Queen Anne had any better results, these papers do not say.

Another petition of some interest is from young Sir William Russell, grandson of Sir John Russell and Frances Cromwell, youngest daughter of the Protector. His father, Sir William Russell, senior, having served in Ireland and Flanders, had received a pension from the Queen, and on his death, a smaller sum had been continued to his widow. Her life being now despaired of, and the Queen having encouraged the Countess of Fauconberg to hope for her assistance to Sir William, he prays that the pension may be continued to himself and his brother (p. 365). The Countess of Fauconberg was Sir William's great aunt (Mary Cromwell, third daughter of the Protector). Sir William died unmarried, and the line was carried on by Francis, his only brother.

Other petitions relating to well-known families are those of Edward and Ann Rich (p. 325); Lord Power (p. 341); George Vane (p. 346); and Lady Mary Cochrane (p. 347).

Amongst the many papers relating to the Navy and Admiralty, the following are specially worthy of attention:—

An account of Torrington's Court-martial in December 1690, is written by or on behalf of Rear-Admiral de Schey, who was one of the principal witnesses. This is the more interesting as the minutes of the court-martial are wanting (see Prof. Laughton's article on Torrington in the *Dic. Nat. Biog.*)

The case of Dr. Oldys gives at length his reasons for refusing to prosecute as pirates the captains of privateers acting under a commission from King James II. after his deposition. Perhaps

his strongest point is that men to whom King James granted commissions in his army in Ireland were treated, if taken, not as thieves and robbers but as prisoners of war, "whereby his claim seems to be allowed by his very enemies" (p. 37).

A narrative by an eyewitness, of the attempt upon Camaret Bay, should be compared with that in Lord Carmarthen's Journal [B. Museum, E. 1973 (1).] This account says, what naturally Carmarthen himself does not, that his Lordship placed his ships "with a great deal of skill, and performed his duty with much bravery and hazard" (p. 41).

A defence of Sir George Rooke's conduct (when commanding in the channel in the spring of 1696) in not intercepting the Toulon squadron, affirms that the said squadron arrived at Brest four days before Sir George received his final orders to go westward to interrupt it. The paper ends with a hit at the Lords of the Admiralty, for sending foul ships to cruise, "as is sadly but truly proved by the many ships of war given away to the French since the Revolution" (p. 47).

There are many papers in relation to the business of Captain Kidd, who, sent out by Lord Bellomont to capture pirates, turned pirate himself. The first is a copy of the agreement between Bellomont and Kidd (p. 46), followed by an information concerning his first going out, when he "pretended" that "his authority was as ample as any King's commander, and therefore he should pay no respect to the King's colours where-e'er he met them" (p. 65).

In March, 1699-1700, Lord Bellomont wrote that he was continuing his search for Kidd's treasure—that mysterious hidden treasure, real or imaginary, which has remained unfound up to the present day—but without success. His Lordship thought it very hard usage that, after all the trouble he had taken, the Commons should attack him "for the misfortune of a design honestly intended though roguishly executed." He declared that the pirates had cost him more trouble and torment than any business during his whole life, and he was not a brass farthing the better for them, either directly or indirectly.

A long protest by Kidd himself gives the story of his engagement (much against his will, as he avers) by Lord Bellomont, with consent of other Lords, and ends with the assertion that he is to be sacrificed to "salve the honour" of those who had employed him (pp. 78-80).

One Henry Bolton, formerly Collector of Customs at Antigua, who had shared in some of Kidd's transactions, deposed that the latter had told him that Lord Bellomont, Lord Orford and Sir John Somers were part owners of his ship and cargo, and had "shewed him also a commission under the Great Seal, signed at the top WILLIAM REX, and another commission signed by the Lords of the Admiralty, which, Kidd said, empowered him to take pirates and the subjects of the French King."

The terrors of the press-gang are illustrated by a letter from Edmund Dummer to Harley in 1701:—

"I am this morning going to the Nore to see my men pass a ship that there lies a pressing, for otherwise they will not stir; they are in such infinite dread of that accident that they protest they had rather turn pirates and be hanged" (p. 89).

This Edmund Dummer was rather an interesting person. He was bred as a shipwright, but rose to be assistant to Sir John Tippetts, surveyor of the Navy, and succeeded him in that office. The practical knowledge gained in his youth was of great service to him. He projected and superintended the building of new stone docks at Portsmouth and Plymouth, and weighed the ship "St. David" when she overset in Portsmouth Harbour. (See his widow's petition, *Cal. Treasury Papers*, 1708-14, p. 529).

In August 1701, he proposed to Harley a scheme "for a monthly intelligence between the port of London and the island plantations in the West Indies" (p. 90), and in the following year he obtained a contract for this service. He was a candid critic of the abuses of the Navy, and in one of his letters gives an account of what he thought a very disgraceful "accident":—

"A small picaroon, coasting from the Isle of Wight easterward, met with the fleet on the coast of Sussex and stood in to the shore to weather of them under English colours . . . but when their gross had passed him, he stood off again among their small craft and cut off a brigantine and a vessel laden with bombs and other ordnance stores . . . all which was, as I am told, in sight of the fleet, which is very disagreeable because the spark is gone off with his purchase.* This is one among a thousand accidents of this kind which the last war produced in confirmation of my hypothesis . . . I must tell you the discipline must be amended, and I fear in a little time it will be found the work of a Parliament, and very difficult

* A somewhat similar incident occurred when Lord Berkley's fleet was in the Bay of Biscay in 1696. See Laughton's *Studies in Naval History*, p. 307.

even for that to correct. If it be not, I assure you from my single knowledge of things I dare pronounce the charge of so ill-husbanded a fleet will be too heavy for this kingdom to bear" (p. 100).

In July, 1702, Dummer was elected M.P. for Arundel (p. 104). During this summer, Sir John Munden had been sent with a fleet to intercept a French squadron near the Groyne, but the squadron slipped past him in the night and reached the harbour, where he did not think it possible to attack them. On his return home, he was tried by court-martial and acquitted, but in spite of this, probably in consequence of the pressure of popular opinion*, was dismissed by the Queen's prerogative.

Dummer's observations on the matter are interesting. He is relating an interview with Henry Guy:—

"We fell to talk of public things, especially of Jack Munden's affair. His opinion and mine agreed in the point that it will be a wiping off some scales from the eyes of court-martials. I had since the election the opportunity of dining with that gentleman on board his own ship at Portsmouth, and of hearing him state his own case and the method of his proceeding. I do not love to mortify any person to his face or busy myself behind one's back, but upon my word, I did not find out that his judgment and his hearing were so alike thick and unready till then; for I cannot but think a very small attempt to have penetrated that harbour would have begotten a very great, unguarded consternation in the enemy at that juncture . . . Upon this head I reckoned a great many examples to Mr. Guy, and we concluded the Queen's censure to be just" (p. 109).

In September, 1702, the Comptroller of the Storekeepers' Accounts died, and Dummer, who would have liked the post himself, was annoyed to find that the Navy board had unanimously recommended one of their own members. His practical training made him impatient with those who lacked it, and also he thought that it was a mistake to have so many "Clerk-Commissioners."

"They may understand accounts, but the prudential judgment with relation to the bulk of that business is a science they are great strangers to; a knowledge of mechanics and crafts of various natures" (p. 111).

On page 118 is an "Opinion" in the case of two English privateers, who, having purchased a ship from a subject of the Grand Duke of Tuscany, had "armed her out of the neutral

* "The displacing of Sir John Munden doth much please the City." Report on the Harley Papers, ii., p. 45.

port of Leghorn," and had taken several prizes, with no other commission than an old letter of marque given for a ship long since cast away, and in breach of a promise made to the Grand Duke that they would not "cruise upon the French." The questions at issue were:—whether the letter of marque held good for another ship: whether a neutral could prevent a belligerent from fitting out privateers from his port: and whether the said neutral had a right to demand such a promise as the above, and to inflict punishment if it was not kept. Counsel's opinion was that the letter of marque did not hold good, but that the privateers were not guilty of piracy, as they were "cruising on their King's enemies during war"; that a neutral should have power "to see that neither belligerent should hurt the other by arming out of his ports," and that the Duke was therefore justified in requiring the promise and punishing the breach of it. The opinion is however given with the reservation that it only holds good if, upon search, "the general law of nations" should be found to support it.

In July, 1704, Rear-Admiral Whetstone, flying his flag on board the *Lichfield*, reported a collision with the *Worcester*, who "runned us on board on the lee quarter, staved her own head and cutwater and knee in pieces," and did considerable damage to the flag-ship also. Shortly afterwards, while in pursuit of the Dunkirk squadron, they came up with a Swedish man-of-war escorting a convoy. Upon the usual demand to salute the British flag (enforced by a couple of shots from the *Worcester's* guns), the Swede sent a boat to say that "he would not strike his top-sails to any prince whatever," returned a further shot from the *Worcester* by a broadside, and "battled the English ships very resolutely," doing much damage to both ships and men before he was compelled to surrender.

The Captain of the *Worcester* also sent a report of the engagement, pleading, in justification of his action, his instructions "to oblige all foreign ships to strike their top-sail and take in their flag while passing him on this side of Cape Finisterre" (pp. 131–135).

Somewhere about this time must be dated a pamphlet on the state of the fleet, blaming Sir George Rooke and urging his removal. It is in Defoe's handwriting and was probably composed by him (*cf.* his letter to Harley, vol. ii. of Report on the Harley Papers, pp. 136, 7).

This is followed by an account of the action off Malaga, by the Comte de Toulouse. The French Admiral relates with some detail an incident at the beginning of the fight. Admiral Shovell had pushed forward with the van, leaving a considerable interval between himself and the main battle, and Toulouse determined to put forward some of his ships to cut off the van, which, if the wind fell, "Comme il arrive ordinairement dans les combats," would thus be left between two fires. And this, as the Count naively remarks, "seroit arrivé infalliblement si le mouvement avoit pu s'exécuter"; but unfortunately for the success of the design, it was perceived both by Shovell and by Rooke; the former drew back, and the latter hurried up and began the fight. As to the battle, the French Admiral candidly acknowledged that he could only personally relate what happened to his own ships, and that for the movements of his van and rear he had to trust to the reports of their commanders, for the smoke was so thick that at times he could hardly see the ship immediately before or behind him.

For a day or two after the engagement the fleets remained near to each other, and Toulouse held that the English, who were to windward, "avoient une belle occasion de recommencer le combat, s'ils eussent voulu; mais il ne parut pas qu'ils en eussent envie." He declared that numbers, wind and sea were all in favour of the enemy, but that in spite of all he gained some advantage, being left in possession of the field of battle. The advantage, however, really lay with the English, for the French were prevented from taking Gibraltar, or even trying to do so. In England, the friends of Rooke magnified the indecisive engagement into a great victory, and set it by the side of Blenheim, the news of which had just arrived.

There are several papers in connexion with the celebrated "Case" of the East Indiaman *Worcester* (seized by the Scots in 1705, in reprisal for a ship of their East India Company arrested in the Thames), and her unfortunate commander, Captain Thomas Green, who, with his chief mate and gunner, was hanged in Scotland on a false charge of having piratically attacked the *Happy Return* at Madagascar and murdered Captain Drummond, her commander. The most interesting is a letter from William Paterson, written in April, 1705, just after the execution (p. 178).

A good specimen of the courage and enterprise of British seamen will be found on p. 44, in a paper endorsed "Poole heroes." Their daring deeds were rivalled in Ireland by Captains Camocke and Saunders, who went out from Kinsale on June 3, 1706, and in less than a fortnight captured five prizes, all of which they brought safely in to Waterford harbour (p. 232). Camocke was dismissed the service in 1715, for disobedience to orders. Angry at this treatment, he went over to the Jacobite cause, helped in the preparations for the "Rising" and was with Ormonde when he made his abortive attempt on the coast of Devonshire. He afterwards entered the Spanish service.

The terrors of the press-gang have been already alluded to (p. x. above). The arbitrary proceedings of the "press-masters" are illustrated by several papers in relation to the seizure of certain Yorkshiremen, and their rescue, not, as so often happened, by a sympathetic mob, but by the Mayor of Hull and other reputable persons. Sir Edward Northey, the Attorney-General, was very indignant at the "insolencies" of the Mayor and his companions, and gave it as his opinion that they ought to be prosecuted, but he wisely suggested that it would be better to hear what they had to say for themselves before proceeding further in the matter. What they had to say put a very different complexion on the case. The Mayor, certified to be "a very honest man and a true lover of her Majesty," showed that he had acted by the advice of his fellow aldermen and the captain of one of her Majesty's ships, and that the four men "cleared" were all masters of ships and two of them their owners; one was also a constable, another a collector of the land tax, the two others "dealers of their own venture" in lime and coal, and one of these, moreover, a churchwarden and overseer of the poor! It was not to be wondered at that the country complained against the press-masters for "sadly stretching beyond their bounds" (pp. 219-223).

In another case where the pressed-men escaped, sympathy with their woes was quickened by a less admirable motive. One lieutenant Rawlings complained that he had impressed eight men on the ship *Drake*, and being beset by the mob, he put them into Shadwell watch-house, under charge of the parish constable and other officers. But while he was absent, seven of the eight

men made their escape, by consent of their guardians, who took money for their ransom; and the eighth man was ready to swear that they would have let him go also "if he would but give them money, but he had none about him" (p. 199).

At the beginning of 1704 an Act was passed for raising recruits for the land-forces and the marines. This Act empowered the justices of the peace (three to be a quorum) to take up such idle persons within their jurisdiction as had no calling nor means of subsistence and hand them over to the officers of the army. The bill met with great opposition from the peace party, who declared the new scheme to be a violation of the liberty of the subject, and believed that it would put a power into the hands of the justices which might be used for bad ends. But the need for more troops was pressing, five new regiments had been voted and had to be raised, and on March 2nd the Commons sent the bill up to the Lords, who passed it on the 21st.

When the Act came to be administered, many difficulties arose, and there are several interesting papers in this volume on the subject.

In some cases the recruits themselves protested against the proceedings of the justices, who had then to show cause for what they had done. At Hereford, a man declared that he was a stone-cutter and a parish constable, but the justices assured Harley that he was neither the one nor the other, but "an idle, drunken fellow" (p. 140). At Derby, three men petitioned together. The first, who said he was a journeyman hatter, was (the justices certified) nothing but a drunkard and a wanderer; the second petitioned that he had "an estate of land," but could bring nothing to prove it; while the third was not only a hedgebreaker and a wife-beater, but (what appears to have been thought quite as reprehensible) "one that would not work without he might have excessive wages" (p. 189).

Very careful consideration seems to have been given to applications on behalf of the men. Under date Feb. 4, 1705-6, there is a long letter from Henry St. John (afterwards Lord Bolingbroke) in relation to the case of one Thomas Hinton of Westwoodhay, in Berkshire. The rector of the parish had petitioned for Hinton's release on the double ground that he was "a housekeeper" and that his evidence was needed by the petitioner in a cause then depending; the rector's belief being that he was pressed in order to prevent this evidence being

given. St. John went fully into the matter, and sent up to Harley the various documents which he had obtained, viz. :— A note from the justices who had sent Hinton into the service, agreeing to his discharge ; affidavits that he was needed as a witness and that a riot which he was accused of fomenting happened a fortnight after he was “ pressed and in the town gaol ” ; a letter from an officer of his regiment, accusing him of instigating the said riot ; and a certificate from the minister, churchwardens and inhabitants of the parish in his favour, by which, as St. John wrote, it did not appear that the man was “ a vagabond or within the meaning of the Act,” although (he added) he must say he had “ no respect for certificates procured in order to get men discharged.” The last paper enclosed was one from Bishop Burnet of Salisbury, stating that he had known Mr. Bevan (the above-mentioned rector) for sixteen years, and “ never saw cause to find the least fault with him, but much to the contrary ” (pp. 211, 212).

At times, the justices had to complain of the supineness of the local authorities. Thus a Pembroke justice wrote that the deputy-mayor, instead of helping him to secure an “ idle fellow ” who, by the aid of other “ idle persons ” had escaped, would do nothing at all, which gave the rioters so much encouragement that, unless supported, all the efforts of the justices to put the Act in execution would be vain (p. 169).

On the other hand, Sir Henry Gough, when writing to Harley concerning the conduct of an army officer in wrongfully seizing a man as a deserter, says that not only this sort of thing but “ the very irregular practices ” of the justices upon the late Act would make it much more difficult in the future to obtain men (p. 124).

Nor, when the recruits were found and brought to the officers, were they always accepted. The justices of Worcester-shire were much discouraged because several able-bodied men, “ very fit to serve,” were rejected as being “ undersized—not five feet eight inches high ; whereby the country hath great trouble in bringing such persons in to no purpose ” (p. 167).

The third and perhaps the most interesting group of papers calendared in this volume consists of letters from Scottish correspondents, giving much information in regard to proceedings in Scotland, and especially in the Scottish Parliament, at the

time when the Acts of Succession and Supply and the proposed Union with England were the burning topics of the day.

From William Carstares, Principal of Edinburgh University, one of the chief advisers of the English ministers as to Scottish affairs, and a strong advocate of the Union, there are no fewer than twenty-three letters, ranging in date from 1702 (a year before he was appointed to the Principalship at Edinburgh) to 1708; which fill up many gaps in his published correspondence. There are nine letters from William Paterson, the originator of the Darien enterprize; three from the Duke of Hamilton, one of them a long and interesting epistle to his brother the Earl of Orkney; five in the form of newsletters, and three other letters from un-named writers. Also one or more from the Earl of Mar, the Earl of Leven, David Fearne and John Stirling.

In addition to the letters, two other Scottish documents may be mentioned. One is a list of the noblemen and landed gentry of Scotland, which might have been drawn up at any time between 1703 and 1707; *i.e.*, after the creations of 1703, but before Montrose and Roxburgh were made Dukes. Its interest consists in notations by another hand, stating the supposed views of each person, in regard to matters of church and state. These additions appear to be in David Fearne's handwriting, and the list was probably sent up by him to Harley towards the end of the year 1705 (p. 202).

The other noteworthy document is a memorial by William Houston, one of the Cameronian leaders, giving a short sketch of the rise and proceedings of his "fraternity," including a notice of the famous victory of the Cameronian regiment over the Highlanders at Dunkeld in August, 1693, and an account of the "present state of the nation" in regard to religious opinions in several of the shires and districts (p. 371).

At the beginning of the volume are certain papers of an earlier date, which probably belonged to the first Sir Robert Harley.

On page 3 is a petition from Dr. Henry Rogers, prebendary of Hereford, to the House of Commons, accusing Richard Bassett, another prebendary, of ignorance, extortion, and false accusations. Dr. Rogers, when the Civil war broke out, proved himself an "undaunted champion of the King" (*see Walker's Sufferings of the Clergy*), and was deprived and sequestered in consequence. There are several notices of him in vol. i. of the Report on the Harley Papers. Lady Harley was very indignant with him for

sermons preached in Cathedral in the summer of 1642, and repeatedly urged that he should be "sent for" to answer such intolerable speeches. His book against Mr. Fisher was an answer to Fisher the Jesuit.

In 1643, the Sub-dean and Prebendaries of Westminster sent in their certificate of obedience to the dictates of the "Committee for Demolishing Monuments of Superstition and idolatry" (p. 4); reporting that they had left off "an ancient custom of that church to minister the Communion in wafers," had set the communion table "east and west in or towards the body of the church," had broken and defaced crosses, crucifixes and pictures, and had taken away "all the candlesticks upon the Communion table." This certificate was probably sent by the Committee to their zealous co-adjutor Sir Robert Harley. A list of some of the acts of vandalism which he superintended is given in vol. i. of the Harley Report (p. 132).

In or about August, 1645, some ministers in the counties near London presented a petition to the Commons (p. 4), representing the deplorable condition of the Puritan incumbents of the churches from which the Church of England clergy had been "outed." They complain of the insufficiency of their maintenance, the uncertainty of their tenure, and the need of money for the repair of their churches, and desire relief either from the taxes or out of the Bishops', Deans', and Chapter lands. The money accruing from these lands was partly employed in granting augmentations to the Puritan ministers.

Another petition—sent from Marden in Herefordshire to the Committee for Plundered Ministers—sets forth that their aged vicar, "at no time fit to preach, was lately dead; that the man who sought to succeed him was also very unfit; that for want of good teaching the people were led to ale-houses, and no way seeking religion," and that the parish school was utterly neglected (p. 5). It was perhaps in answer to this appeal that, in December, 1647, John Toldervev was appointed, who as the County Committee certified, preached every Lord's Day, and was "a light in so much darkness." (*See Lords' Journals* ix., 612; *Cal. of Committee for Compounding*, i., 604).

To these ecclesiastical papers follow petitions concerning the vexed question of the claimants to the Post Office; Col. Lockhart's debts at Dunkirk; the pay for Sir Robert Harley

and his regiment ; and the rights of the Courteen family in Barbadoes.

But amongst these miscellaneous papers, the most curious and entertaining is a narrative by Sir Robert Harley (second son of the first Sir Robert and Lady Brilliana Conway) of his efforts to effect the restoration of Charles II. Ignoring (except by a vague word of apology) the fact that his first commission was in the Parliament army, and that as late as 1648, he discovered and frustrated a royalist plot to surprise Ludlow Castle, he proceeds to relate, with extreme self-satisfaction, his exertions in the King's cause. "With those who rose earliest," he devoted himself to his Majesty's service. Perceiving that the *palladium* of the Parliament party was the army, he set to work to injure its machinery (his metaphors are somewhat mixed) and with some success, but his design was discovered, and only the chance that he was committed to an officer "particularly beholding" to him enabled him to escape with his life. When the King was in Scotland, "Robin Harley" corresponded with Col. Edward Massey, who had also gone over to the royalist side. Their cypher letters were intercepted, but again fortune was kind, for Dr. Wallis (the great decypherer of the day), was chaplain to Lady Vere, Harley's aunt ; he "did hide the true disclosure of the cypher," and Harley only suffered a brief term of imprisonment. Then followed the downfall of the Royalist hopes at Worcester.

"Now imposture triumphed ; but R. H. knew all this was art, and that the imposter himself tottered in his own heart ; the drink of his success made him snort and prance, but every shadow seemed double, and grew into treasonable apparitions."

Out of zeal for the King's service, Harley even overcame his "horror and disdain" of republican plotters and became partaker in their most secret counsels, in order to use them to bring about Cromwell's ruin, and this in spite of the kindly overtures of the Protector, who invited him "to be his bedfellow and friendly companion."

It was entirely his doing (so he says) that Bradshaw opposed Oliver's promotion—on the ground that if a single person was necessary the King was fittest—and spoke so admirably in Parliament, magnifying the King and villifying the other, "that the whole land rang with the sweet savour" of his praises.

In 1657, Harley was mixed up in the business of the Duke of Buckingham, and in April 1658, was sent to the Tower. At this time he was looked upon by the royalists as "an active Presbyterian," (See letter from Church to Nicholas in *Flanders Correspondence*) but they considered him "a much honester man than his father." Within five months the Protector died. Harley spitefully declares that he died of a surfeit, "whatsoever others may say."

"And now the heavens were fallen. and every fool ran to catch larks, but went an hungry to bed; and Richard appears and calls a Parliament."

The King's friends were of opinion that their "best game" was to support Richard, but "R. H." knew better. He declared that Richard must be ruined, and having, "with much ado," brought all the rest round to his opinion, he began to move, and "had the luck to put out the right stone, which made all that building." He went to Desborough, told him that a charge of high treason was hanging over him, and persuaded him to "break that Parliament and out his cousin Richard."

The next person to be taken in hand was Lambert. He was marching against Booth, and his march must be diverted, or at least retarded. "All other imaginations failing, R. H. undertook it, and stayed it fourteen days" by telling tales in Council as to a plot of Lambert and Desborough to bring in "cousin Dick" again. He did not however keep Lambert back long enough to prevent his defeating Booth, and turning out the Parliament.

"Then indeed R.H. was not negligent in using his utmost endeavours" to have Fairfax chosen General and a free Parliament called. "When R. H. had engaged a considerable part of the army to this purpose," it was thought fit that he and Lord Townshend should go to Fairfax and persuade him to fall in with their plan. "How the Lord Fairfax appearing in arms brake Lambert's army, Monck was always just in declaring." Harley returned to town and the newly re-assembled Parliament at once sent him off to meet Monck. He was kindly received, but found the General reserved, and believed he was in great doubt whether "the present time was fit to perform what he might well intend."

"From Mansfield to Nottingham, R. H. marched with Monck." There was much discourse between them, and in the end, the

General agreed to many things which he had before refused. When the troops in the West were suspected of a design to join Lambert, Monck sent "R. H." down. "With great dexterity" he so managed them, that not above sixty went to the rendezvous at Edgehill, though more than four thousand of the old army had engaged to do so.

On his return to London, the Lord General despatched Harley to the King, to pray him to come speedily to London. But at this point, where we might expect a final note of victory, the narrative breaks off into an apology, and it would seem that the "Kingmaker" had fallen under suspicion by reason of his "correspondence" at Dunkirk, where his brother, Col. Edward Harley, had been made Governor by the King, and Sir Robert appointed Colonel of one of the regiments in garrison there. Instead of being favoured and rewarded, he was accused by Clarendon of holding meetings with Commonwealth men, and his regiment was sent off to Tangier, the usual place of exile for Oliver's old soldiers.

Harley, being ill, could not go with his men, and they were, as he complained, "the most part of them poorly and foolishly destroyed by the Moors."

In October, 1663, the regiment was reduced into that of Lord Peterborough, the Governor, but before this date Harley had been made Keeper of the Seal for Barbadoes and the Caribbee Islands, under Lord Willoughby of Parham. He did not stay there long, being, as he says, driven home by the climate, but the real reason for his return was doubtless his disagreement with the Governor, who took the Seal from him on the ground of his "ill-use of it and other miscarriages." (See letter in *Cal. S.P. America and West Indies*, 1661-8, p. 188). In another letter (*ibid.* p. 189), Willoughby declares that he did not banish Sir Robert, but that "he went off in discontent because he could not remain to do as he listed." Harley does not mention the quarrel, but it is easy to see that something had happened from his violent abuse of the "giddy head" and "addled brains" of the Governor. In the expression that at last "God threw him out of his hand against the rocks," he evidently alluded to the fact that Lord Willoughby was lost at sea.

Later, Harley was employed by Arlington to assist in the attack upon Clarendon, and in 1670, he obtained the place of receiver of fee-farm rents in some of the western counties.

Amongst the State Papers for January, 1670-71, is a letter to Lord Arlington on the subject of the sale of these rents. Probably this petition and "relation" were sent up at the same time.

Harley's narrative has a counterpart in one calendared in the Report on Mr. Leyborne-Popham's MSS. where a certain Mr. Collins proves (at any rate to his own satisfaction), that "he it was that did bring in the King." But as Harley scornfully remarks, "Every one tells stories what great things they did" for His Majesty.

On p. 14 is the draft of a petition from "several loyal subjects called non-conformists" to Charles II. It is doubtful whether this particular petition was ever presented, but it is known that there were several addresses to the King while the schemes for an accommodation were on foot. It represents the standpoint of the more moderate of the non-conformist ministers (of whom Baxter was the leader and spokesman) during the earlier part of Charles' reign, after they had been ejected from the benefices which they had held during the Interregnum, but while they had still hopes that they might be re-instated. The authors of the petition were ready to take "the late oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy and the Anti-papal Test," but demanded the repeal or dis-use of "the two declarations in the Act of Uniformity, the Oxford Oath (*i.e.* the Act passed at the Parliament of Oxford in 1665, commonly called the Five Mile Act) the oath of canonical obedience and the subscriptions to the canons." As regards forms and ceremonies, their attitude was much the same as that of the extreme Puritan party within the Church at the Hampton Court Conference in 1603-4. If by the "Anti-papal test" is meant the test Act of 1673, the date of the petition must be later than this enactment. The proviso that licence to preach should not be required for those who had already been episcopally ordained represents one of Baxter's main contentions. In 1673, Baxter was asked by the Earl of Orrery to draw up proposals on which to try to arrange terms of union. He did so, and the House of Commons framed a bill, but it broke down. In the following year, however, Tillotson and Stillingfleet desired a conference with Baxter, Nanton and other prominent non-conformist divines. Baxter's proposals of the year before formed the basis of the discussion, and the petition amongst the Harley Papers follows so closely the lines of these proposals

that it may probably be assigned to this date. It may even have been submitted to the conference, for the article concerning the oaths is erased, and this can hardly have been done by the non-conformists themselves, as it embodied one of the cardinal points of their demands.

On p. 22 is a report of Lord Castlemaine's defence before the House of Commons, in relation to his embassy to Rome. It practically co-incides with the report printed in Emlyn and Cobbett, but differs in many small details, and is evidently the work of an independent hand.

The famous case of the "Kentish Petition" in 1701 is illustrated by two documents; viz., the original warrant of Harley, as Speaker, to Samuel Powell, serjeant-at-arms, for the apprehension of David Polhill, one of those who had carried up the petition (p. 87); and a narrative by the said serjeant (p. 90), whose view naturally differs considerably from that of the prisoners themselves, as set forth in the detailed account of the whole matter in Somers' Tracts (second series, vol. iv., p. 300, "A History of the Kentish Petition"). He states that the Kentish gentlemen had "full liberty to go where they pleased" until Thomas Colepepper made his escape; that they were used with all civility, and that they were insolent and abusive in their carriage towards him. The "History," on the other hand, says that Thomas Colepepper got leave of absence on parole to visit his sick wife, surrendering himself again a few days afterwards, and that all the prisoners were very rudely and roughly treated. Their indulgence in strong language is not denied. When attempts were made to prevent them from entering the House, William Colepepper vowed that if every tile upon the Chapel of St. Stephen was a devil, he would present the petition, and after a violent scene in the messenger's house, Thomas Colepepper expressed his hope and belief that he should live to see the serjeant hanged; so that it is quite possible that others of the gentlemen may have said that "they valued not the serjeant, and had better men to clean their shoes."

Slight traces of another famous constitutional case, that of the "Aylesbury men" in 1705, appear in these pages. On p. 168 is the Speaker's warrant for the remand of certain of the prisoners to Newgate, in Feb. 1705, and on p. 324, the petition of one of them, John Oviatt, to the Queen, setting forth that he had been remanded on a wrong judgment, and praying for a writ of error.

With the petition is a memorandum by Harley that a lawyer, coming on behalf of the prisoners, had been asked why they had not petitioned the House of Commons long since, as then they might have been released; to which he answered that "they could not petition the Lords (sic) until they had petitioned the Queen." "Lords" is perhaps a mistake for "House." They had petitioned the Lords, but refused to address the Commons.

Amongst the miscellaneous papers of Queen Anne's reign, mention may be made of a letter from John Netterville on political affairs in 1707 (p. 278); an information concerning the collusion of "thief-takers" with the thieves they were supposed to discover and capture (p. 306); a proposal for diverting a certain small duty upon stone raised and shipped at Portland for the support of "the school of mathematics and navigation at Weymouth" (p. 276); and two letters from William Blencowe, decypherer to the government, upon the difficulties of his task.

In answer to some complaint from Harley, Blencowe begs to say a word in his own excuse.

"It happens unfortunately enough in our work of decyphering that nothing but a discovery is an evidence of any pains taken. We may perplex our thoughts very long, make many conjectures and pursue them very diligently, yet if we fail in the discovery, all our work vanishes . . . When these letters came first to my hands, I applied myself diligently to them, laid everything else aside, and studied them very assiduously for above a month; till it was so much beaten into my mind, that I could remember how and where almost every number occurred, and could work upon it without my papers. . . . Yet I advanced not one step. Some conjectures proved false and others arose till I was forced to quit the work imperfect, leaving many guesses neither disproved nor confirmed. . . . This must be done after a reasonable time spent; for else an insuperable cypher would hold us in an endless chase to no purpose" (p. 234).

S. C. LOMAS.

THE MANUSCRIPTS
OF
HIS GRACE THE DUKE OF PORTLAND.

VOL. VIII.

HARLEY LETTERS AND PAPERS.—VOL. VI.

SIR HENRY SPELMAN, knight.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[About 1625.]—"Edward Fisher, a Londoner, being possessed of a lease for sixty years of the manor of Grandcourt, the priories of Wrongey, Blackburgh, etc., leases them to Harpley for twenty-two years at a rack rent; John Wrenham, the elder, then living and persuading Harpley to accept it. Wrenham dies: John Wrenham, his son, brings Fisher into Chancery, suggesting that he had the grand lease but in trust for his father. Fisher denies any trust, but offers upon satisfaction of all moneys due unto him from Wrenham to design him the lease disencumbered; which is so decreed, both parties then admitting Harpley's lease for good; who by his great charge and industry much improves it.

"Fisher flies from this agreement. The Lord Chancellor thereupon awards the possession to Wrenham with a commission to deliver it. Harpley shews that his lease was made long before the bill was exhibited, etc.; and obtains an order for enjoying his possession with *supersedeas* to the commission. Wrenham then exhibits his bill against Harpley, charging him with notice of the trust, and that his lease was antedated and revocable; all which Harpley upon his oath denies, and Wrenham, as therewith satisfied, proceeds no further, but seeks to buy Harpley's lease, who refuses to sell it, and resting so in quiet for three terms dies.

"Harpley's executors offer this lease to petitioner, who, having heard of the suits, refuses to deal with it till confidently assured by Serjeant Francis Moore and others that all was cleared; and then he gave about 600*l.* for it.

"Wrenham exhibits his bill against petitioner, charging him with buying it *pendente lite* and having notice of the suits: petitioner shews in what manner, as before. Wrenham that

term proceeds to a hearing with the Fishers, and the Lord Chancellor decreeth the grand lease and possession to Wrenham against Fisher and all claiming under him, without hearing petitioner's counsel, being present and much desiring it. Nor would he upon motion restore him.

"The now Lord Chancellor reviewing the cause reduces the grand lease to Sir Edward Fisher, claiming under his father; and petitioner being outed under that title prays also to be restored with it. Sir Edward Fisher, opposing it, obtains a reference to Mr. Doctor Amye, upon whose report his lordship, without hearing or knowledge of petitioner, decreeth his lease to be brought into Chancery to be cancelled, and him to accept 100*l.* in satisfaction, having lost about 200 marks for fourteen years: The cause and report then standing in reference to the Master of the Rolls and no bill depending between Sir Edward and petitioner."

Prays to be relieved for his lease with the mean profits.

DESTRUCTION OF TIMBER.

[1628, April 25 ?]—Remonstrance presented to Parliament showing the loss and danger to the kingdom caused by the excessive destruction and waste of timber fit for shipbuilding, especially by ironworks. Giving the causes and suggesting the remedies.

The COMMISSIONERS FOR TRADE, appointed by Commissions under the Great Seal of September 21, 1638, and February 3, 1639, to enquire into the state of the clothing industry.

1640, June 9.—Report stating the causes of the decay of the trade to be :—

- (1) The growing exportation of wool, yarn, fuller's earth, "tobacco-pipe clay" and woodashes to foreign countries.
- (2) The high price of cloth when it reaches the clothier's hands, and of dyeing materials.
- (3) The high import duties on English manufactured cloth in foreign countries, enabling them to undersell us.
- (4) The frequent use of "gigmills, now called mozing mills for avoiding the penalties of the law," in the dressing of cloth. These "engines" still require to be suppressed in Gloucestershire "about Stroudwater."
- (5) Various frauds in the manufacture, especially "streyning" or stretching cloth, beyond the terms of the Toleration of 1608.
- (6) Deceitful practises by the "aulnagers and searchers, who are the searchers of cloth."

They suggest appropriate remedies for each of these evils; and in particular recommend the incorporation of the chief clothing towns, and the engraving of a new aulnager's seal, to be called the "Crown Seal."

Annexed are further recommendations :—To grant corporations to sixty towns specified after the model of the Corporation for making “bays” at Colchester, who shall appoint paid officers to supervise the clothing trade in the district; and that each corporation shall be responsible for the “losses, damages and penalties of all faulty cloths or stuffs that are suffered to pass their seal.” Also the appointment of a Court of Merchants for the speedy determination of mercantile causes.

Endorsed, “March 9, 1640,” but clearly dated as above. *Fifteen signatures. Vellum.*

The LEVANT COMPANY.—Petition and remonstrance to the House of Commons.

1640 [-1], January 19.—Setting forth their grievances, and praying the House to confirm their privileges by an Act of Parliament, and to order the persons complained of to give satisfaction.

HENRY ROGERS, Doctor of Divinity and Lecturer of Hereford.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1640-1641 ?]—Complaining of the conduct of Mr. Richard Bassett, who had two benefices in Glamorganshire with six cures, “containing more ground every way than is between London and Hounslow,” and lived many years at Hereford as prebendary and surrogate, but never preached there, being so unlearned that his reading of the lessons and ministration of the Communion caused great scandal. In January 1629 [-30], he commanded petitioner to preach at the bishop’s visitation in February, which season is contrary to the canons. He also exacted unwarrantable fees from Richard Garroll and others. Petitioner wrote to his diocesan, who was Bassett’s father-in-law, questioning the legality of these commands and exactions; and was cited to prove a will; for renouncing which, 5s. were demanded of him according to a forged table of fees, “the original table in Archbishop Whitgift’s registry having no such fee.” Petitioner also questioned a citation *ex officio*, and was admonished by Bassett to appear on Good Friday before the bishop at Whitborne. Appealing from that court, he was suspended from his benefice and obliged to go to London to prosecute his appeal. After further vexations, Bassett procured a scandalous order with Doctor Benson’s hand forged on it to be served on petitioner “on the Lord’s day in the choir,” and prosecuted him in the High Commission Court for “divers crimes of simonies, but after the examination of forty-seven witnesses could not touch petitioner in any criminal matter.” Petitioner then preferred a bill against Bassett and others in the Star Chamber, “but by the means of Bishop Neale it was cast out.” Being a diligent and learned minister, “and having then newly written a book against Mr. Fisher,” he was much hindered in his livelihood, and prays for the consideration of the House.

EDWARD LAKE.

1643, December 30.—Royal grant of a baronetcy to Edward Lake, Doctor of the Laws, Advocate-General for Ireland, and his heirs for ever, in consideration of his losses and services, “more particularly that at the battle at Edge-hill, where he received sixteen wounds to the extreme hazard of his life, and his left arm being then disabled by a shot, he held his bridle in his teeth.” Granting him also for a coat of augmentation, “in a field *gules* an armed right arm carrying upon a sword a banner *argent* charged with a cross betwixt sixteen shields of the first and a lion of England in the fesse point. And for a crest, a chevalier in a fighting posture, his scarf red, his left arm hanging down useless, and holding his bridle in his teeth, his face, sword, armour and horse cruenated.”

Given at Oxford. *Copy.*

The SUBDEAN and PREBENDARIES of the COLLEGIATE CHURCH of WESTMINSTER to the COMMITTEE FOR DEMOLISHING THE MONUMENTS OF SUPERSTITION AND IDOLATRY.

[1643.]—Certificate that in obedience to their order, “they have left off an ancient custom of that Church to minister the Communion in wafers, and do now minister it in ordinary bread.

“That they have removed the Communion table from the East end of the Church, where it stood altarwise North and South, and have set it East and West in or towards the body of the Church.

“That they have defaced the crucifixes in the frieze over the Communion table and such other parts of the Church as they could find any.

“That they have taken away and broken the crosses upon the Church, and the pictures and portraitures of God the Father, the Holy Trinity and the Virgin Mary.

“That all the candlesticks upon the Communion table are taken away; nor any bason to be used but one, and that only at the time of the Communion to receive the alms of well-disposed persons, which alms are and shall be faithfully distributed to the poor at convenient times.”

J. BROMMICH.

1644, December 2.—Answer to the charges brought against him by Major Throckmorton and others concerning his conduct at Gloucester during the absence of the governor and his advice given at a council of war at Monmouth. *Signed.*

“This writing was produced, read and delivered by Colonel Brommich at a council of war held in Gloucester 2 December, 1644.” *Signed, Jo. Dorney, Advocate.*

MINISTERS in the COUNTIES NEAR LONDON.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1645, August 25?]—While acknowledging the indefatigable labours of the House to establish purity of worship in the country,

they "cannot but with bleeding hearts represent" the deplorable condition of the ministry established in those counties owing to the insufficiency of their maintenance, and the uncertainty of their tenure arising from lack of presentation; causing many of their brethren to desert their places. They lament the printing of books in which "God is blasphemed, His Sacred Word misinterpreted, and this honourable House highly affronted"; and pray on behalf of their congregations for the necessary repair of the churches. They desire relief out of the taxes or out of the lands of bishops, deans and chapters, and the appointment of a committee in the several counties by authority from the House to enquire into the value of any such lands as are not yet disposed of.

THE GRAND INQUEST FOR HEREFORDSHIRE.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1647, January.]—Disavowing "a certificate lately promoted by Colonel Birche's agents, and pretending to be the voluntary act of the gentry and commonalty of this county, whereby to clear both himself and soldiers under his command from the grievous pressures and many insolencies" committed by them; they being sensible of the surreptitious means used to procure it: and protesting against Birche's exactions and quartering of soldiers in the county. They pray the House to consider their sufferings and the petition from the Grand Inquest at Michaelmas last, and to relieve the county from the soldiers quartered on it. *Sixteen signatures.*

Annexed is a resolution of the justices of the peace at Hereford on January 12, 1647 (22 Charles), assenting to the above petition and ordering Colonel Harley to present it to the House.

PARISHIONERS AND INHABITANTS of MARDEN, co. HEREFORD.—Petition to the Committee for Plundered Ministers.

[*1647]—Their aged vicar, who was at no time fit to preach, is lately deceased, and one Theophilus Thomas, who seeks the vicarage, is also very unfit. The vicarage is worth 50*l. per annum*, and the tithe and glebe are worth 200*l.*, of which 50*l.* goes to a Popish delinquent. There is also a small parish called Amberley near adjoining and "very necessary," which has long been destitute of a minister, whose tithe belonged to the Bishop of Gloucester; and they conceive that out of all this 100*l.* might be spared for a minister for Marden, which consists of six townships; "and now for want of good teaching the people are led to alehouses, and no ways seeking religion." There is also a school house, now altogether neglected, which ought to be maintained principally out of Sir Henry Lingen's estate, "and is a great prejudice to the breeding and discipline of youth." They pray therefore "for a speedy reformation after so long a time of heathenish courses of life," either by an ordinance of parliament or by a commission to the divines of the county to settle the matter. *Numerous signatures.*

* John Toldervey was appointed Dec. 24, 1647 (Lords' Journals ix, 612). 1

HENRY ROBINSON.—Petition to the Council of State.

[1660, March ?]—By recent orders of Parliament* the care of the Letter-Offices has been transferred to the Council of State, as also the business of hearing the pleas of all claimants of those offices, and of reporting the same. Petitioner claims a right to the profits of both offices, on account of his having purchased them from Charles Lord Stanhope, and having improved the value of the offices by his charge and contrivance. He prays that the offices may be farmed out to him, or that he may be manager thereof with a yearly salary or a proportion of the profits, until parliament shall hear and determine his title. *Signed.*

Enclosing his case, showing that the office of Postmaster-General had been in the hands of the Lords Stanhope since 1577, until Charles Lord Stanhope's title to the charge of foreign letters was disputed by Matthew Dequester in 1624, under pretence of a new patent, the reversion of which has been procured by Thomas Witherings. Judgment was given for Lord Stanhope, who conveyed the management and profits to petitioner; but the latter was fraudulently deprived of the charge of foreign letters by the late Earl of Warwick, and of inland letters by Edmund Prideaux, late Attorney General; "for they two did understand each other so well." Then petitioner resolved to carry letters three times a week, whereas the Attorney-General carried but once, and to require only 3*d.* a letter instead of 6*d.*, being well assured "that there would be sent for time to come above six threepenny letters for every sixpenny letter sent in the Attorney-General's time." He was just about to publish his offer when the parliament, on March 21, 1649 [-50], passed certain resolutions [*recited*], after which he "durst not farther proceed in carrying letters." Prays for compensation out of the profits arising to the Commonwealth since they took possession of the said offices.

Also a printed copy of the case, giving further details.

COLONEL WILLIAM LOCKHART.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1660, November 12 ?]—Was obliged to contract several debts in Dunkirk for the public service. Some of his creditors having taken out arrests against him, and no money having been sent from England for the first six months of his stay in Dunkirk, whereby the soldiers' pay is in arrears, he prays that orders may be given to satisfy his creditors.

Divers SOLDIERS late belonging to SIR ROBERT HARLEY'S REGIMENT.—Petition to the Duke of Albemarle.

[1662, March ?]—At the said regiment's going to Tangier, petitioners as supernumeraries were by Lord Rutherford's orders

* See Commons' Journals, Jan. 7 and Feb. 27, 1659[60.]

reduced into the "regiment of Guard lately come from Dunkirk," not receiving their arrears due in Sir Edward Harley's time, which his lordship has no order to pay them, though the other two regiments had theirs. Praying order for payment. 26 signatures.

SIR ROBERT HARLEY.—Petition to the King.

[1662, March ?]—On behalf of himself and the officers and soldiers at Tangier, for a grant of 2,875*l.* 9*s.* 4*d.*, being two months' pay, which is in arrears. "The officer appointed to solicit the business forgot to get any order so in form as was requisite." [*Before March 21, when a Privy Seal was granted.*]

An appended table shows the scale of pay for all ranks.

JANE BROOKE, Widow of Captain Samuel Brooke.—Petition to the Duke of Albemarle.

[1666, January ?]—Her husband, "slain in the sad day of of the late Earl of Teviot's disaster by Tangier," was deputed by the regiment of Sir Robert Harley, one of the colonels of the Dunkirk garrison, to settle that garrison's accounts, after which he was sent back by Sir Robert to Dunkirk. Sir Robert received 2,800*l.* or more for two months' arrears of pay for the garrison, but neither her husband nor herself have ever been able to obtain the proportion due to them. She prays that an "effectual letter" may be sent to Sir Robert ordering him to do her justice. [*See Albemarle's letter, Report on Harley Papers, vol. i.,* p. 295.*]

WILLIAM COURTEN.

[1669.]—Petition to King Charles II of William Courten, grandchild and heir of Sir William Courten, deceased; George Carew, administrator *de bonis* of the said Sir William; William Lloyd and Thomas Coleman, on behalf of themselves and many orphans and widows concerned in the Island of Barbadoes. Setting forth the discovery of the island by Sir William Courten; the establishment of sugar plantations there; the grant of the island to him; the counterclaim of the Earl of Carlisle that it was a Caribbee Island, and so included in his patent, and his seizure of the place and imprisonment of Sir William Courten. In 1629 the Earl of Carlisle assigned his patent to the late Lord Willoughby of Parham and his heirs for a term of forty years, "ending about Christmas next." Sir William died in 1636 deep in debt; and his son, William Courten, "to preserve his father's reputation," contracted 80,000*l.* debt to discharge his father's engagements upon hopes of reparation from the Barbadoes.

"Forasmuch as strangers have eaten the bread of your petitioners," they pray that the King will settle such a governor, in place of Lord Willoughby, that they may find relief for their grievances; and the islanders, quieted in their possessions, may be made freeholders according to law on payment to petitioners of an equitable sum of money.

* i.e. vol. iii. of Report on the Duke of Portland's MSS.

NAVY BOARD to [the LORD HIGH ADMIRAL.]

1670, October 18. [Navy Office.]—"A list of fifty ships proposed to be set forth for the next summer's service, in pursuance of his Royal Highness' order of September 25, 1670."

First rates, 6; Second rates, 6; Third rates, 16; Fourth rates, 14; Fifth rates, 8; to which are added 9 ketches and 15 fireships.

Also "An estimate of the charge of maintaining at sea 50 of his Majesty's best ships to be fitted forth for the next summer's service; as also the cost of 15 fireships, with the charge of wages and wear and tear attending the same."

Wages and victuals of 18,085 men for 50 ships and 9 ketches, for eight months at 4 <i>l.</i> a man	
<i>per mensem</i>	578,720 <i>l.</i>
Cost of hulls and furniture of 15 fireships at 800 <i>l.</i>	
<i>per ship</i>	12,000 <i>l.</i>
Wages and victuals of 600 men for the said fireships	19,200 <i>l.</i>
TOTAL ...	609,920<i>l.</i>

Copy.

OFFICE of the ORDNANCE.

1670.—"An abstract of the charge of powder, shot, match, muskets, carriages and other munition and habillaments of war for supply and furnishing his Majesty's ships and frigates for the next year's service."

The ships, ketches and fireships as in foregoing.

TOTAL. 143,388*l.* 6*s.* 0*d.* *Copy.*

SIR ROBERT HARLEY.—Petition to King Charles II.

[About 1671, January.]—Having suffered for his Majesty's service several imprisonments in the Tower of London, the Castle of Bristol and elsewhere, he was necessitated to sell his estate to pay his debts, and to purchase the receiver's place of the King's fee-farm rents in the counties of Hereford, Worcester and Stafford, valued at 200*l.* *per annum.* But within a few weeks after the said place was granted to him for life, the said rents were exposed to sale, so that he "has not received one penny profit" by it; and will lose the small remainder of his estate unless relieved. He prays that he may be granted 85*l.* 16*s.* a year, being a royal fee-farm rent payable out of Kingsland, which was formerly petitioner's estate, or that the purchase money of this rent may be assigned to him.

Enclosing SIR ROBERT HARLEY'S NARRATIVE—

"With those who rose earliest Robin Harley devoted himself to your service; and that it was not sooner, he begs that twenty-five years service may make some atonement. In that which

was called the Long Parliament R. H. joined himself to those who intended your service, and had all others' industry been like his, fate itself might have been prevented. Many things both for deceit and ostentation were used, but the *palladium* was the army—a machine made up not of natural pieces and with great artifice kept together. R. H. knew well what marchesate (marcasite), what false tempered metals went to the making this engine, and that if it were rightly touched it would break in fume and clatter about their masters ears. To this R. H. applied himself, and with some success, so as frequently one or other of their main wheels became disserviceable, though caution was not wanting; the consciousness of their weak part made their jealousy with great malignity fall on R. H., ordering his [missing], which had been executed, but that it chanced that the officer to do it was (more than they knew of) particularly beholding to R. H.; so that pausing on what he was to execute, R. H. escaped then with being imprisoned at Hereford, Gloucester, so sent up to London, and plundered of all his horses, for R. H. kept himself in an equipage fit to have served his King with.

"The next opportunity was your Majesty's going into Scotland. R. H. held correspondence then for your service with that good man Sir Edward Massie; notwithstanding all their care and using the best characters they could invent, their letters were intercepted, and had not Dr. Wallis been chaplain to the Lady Vere, aunt to R. H., and so out of respect to her did hide the true disclosure of the character, R. H. had then undergone the same that others suffered. Their distress at Dunbar now hastened with such despair to them that R. H. was again plundered and imprisoned in the Castle of Bristol, and with others left to the mercy of the soldiery, had not that victory happened which saved more lives in England than it lost in Scotland. Next was the business at Worcester, where, if great haste had not been made, you had more company.

"Now imposture triumphed; but R. H. knew all this was art, and that the impostor himself tottered in his own heart; the drink of his success made him snort and prance; but every shadow seemed double and grew into treasonable apparitions, so that he routed his own commonwealth. Now and here R. H. confesses that out of design to your service he began to moderate in himself the disdain and horror he had and constantly expressed towards these fellows, and supposed they might be used; and it being often easier to reconcile enemies than friends; and finding them truly irreconcilable, R. H. confesseth he did engage himself and was partaker in all the most secret and open counsels that were against this impostor, and that he refused no pains nor hazard that tended to his ruin . . . While other things were preparing, seeing the horse's tail could not be pulled off at once, that there was not a day . . . in which he did not pull some hair or other out of his train; so that he grew into great confidence with the routed people; besides they knew that Oliver had invited R. H. to be his bedfellow and friendly companion, which R. H. refusing gave assurance of his constancy

and of the disgust that the other had to see his greatest kindness despised. . . . These things gave him power to interpose in their counsels and to bend them so as to have your thanks.

"Now the designs of Saxby came towards an execution, the particulars of which are well known to you. R. H.'s part was to endeavour the elections of the parliament which was then called to be such as might most perplex; to get such persons to be in town as might countenance, sway, and turn to the best what was hoped to happen.

"That R. H. was not neglectful nor unsuccessful in this he might call to many witnesses: he will name none but one for all, the Lord Hollis, and a particular passage: The assault of the person was left to Saxby and those whom he chiefly managed. Where and how the pretended dignity and power should be attempted was much disputed. R. H. having devoted his heart to your service, made the strictest enquiry he could of your person, and by all enquiries receiving such characters of you as inflamed his duty into love, R. H. thought nothing more for your service than that a true account of you might be published so as most to be believed; therefore R. H. advised that Bradshaw, that Pilate, should in parliament fall upon the person of Oliver by comparing him with you, and to show how, if a *single person* (as the term then was) was necessary, you, above all persons, was fittest, not only from your right of birth but qualifications of your person and excellent temper of your disposition; of which R. H. gave him such particulars that Pilate grew in love as well as fear; would fain have washed his hands, and did in parliament most admirably speak, rightly magnifying you and vilifying the other, so that he never held up his head, but ate his own heart-strings in two; and the whole land rang of the sweet savour of your praises, like at an apostle's sermon thousands were converted. Spite made Pilate speak, but R. H. joyed at the foreseen and hoped success. During these transactions, Saxby, Massie and Wood solicited on the Duke of Buckingham's behalf, which was engaged in by R. H. as a medium to other things, and that it was so R. H. has the most noble Earl of Oxford for a witness.

"R. H. nevertheless confesses he had other subordinate reasons which moved him to endeavour some service for the Duke," on account of the relationship and long standing friendship between their families. "It was accomplished. R. H. was sent to Tower; and within the year the man Oliver passed the Stygian lake by a surfeit he took tiring and over-gorging himself on his own liver, whatsoever others may say.

"And now the heavens were fallen and every fool ran to catch larks, but went an hungry to bed; and Richard appears and calls a parliament. Those whom you entrusted for your affairs called R. H. to advise with them. They acquainted him with the assurances they had from Richard that he would do all for your service, and that therefore all your friends in that parliament should endeavour Richard's support, and all industry to be used for him and all trust him; but R. H. was of another opinion, and this way was likely for ever, at least for a great while, to put

your affairs out of all hope, for a little ease (everyone was so tired,) would make all persons acquiesce under any settlement, and things established would be hardly moved; therefore R. H. advised that Richard's ruin was wholly to be intended, and by no means to suffer him to have an establishment by parliament; for R. H. knew that all Richard's pretences to your service were not only beyond his power and management but very false and feigned, of which falseness, with much ado, R. H. at last convinced those you entrusted by the means of Harry Fitzjames. . . . All being now convinced, it was resolved to encourage all that might be the Commonwealth party against Richard. Herein R. H. was not idle and had the luck to pull out the right stone, which made all that building; for it coming to his knowledge that there was a charge of high treason engrossing against Major-General Desborough, R. H. set all his correspondents in the army to make their address to Desborough for protection against Richard, and did himself go (having before ingratiated himself with him), and show Desborough where he might find this charge, which he finding that night he resolved, and next morning with force brake that Parliament and outed his cousin Richard. In this there were some not unpleasant particulars for leisure; and for behaviour in these things I keep as my greatest treasure your letters of commendations and thanks. Now came in the Rump, and best, for of most abhorrence to the nation: so great it was that everyone hastened into arms to defend and revenge themselves. The designs that were laid for you were so apparently betrayed that all your true servants were at loss what to think, much more to do.

"But the success of Sir George Booth made all strive to make something of it; but with little hopes, seeing Lambert so well prepared was marching against him, unless that march might be diverted, or at least retarded. All other imaginations failing, R. H. undertook it, and stayed it fourteen days. The past conversation as related that he had with those people came him opportunely to know things amongst them as soon as they first appeared; and so he came to know the repentance of Desborough for outing cousin Dick and the transaction between him and Lambert for bringing him in again, and how they had sent to Richard to come out of Hampshire to Hampton Court to make up the bargain to turn out the Rump. This R. H. acquainted some of the Rump with, and did himself before their Council confirm [and] testify that Lambert and Fleetwood had sent for Richard, which had the effect to stay Lambert some days, but procured to R. H. imprisonment and many threats.

"Sir George Booth's business being over, Lambert with the army returning, the seeds formerly sowed sprang afresh to the turning out the Rump. Then indeed R. H. was not negligent in using his utmost endeavours with divers officers of the army, both horse and foot, to engage themselves to choose the Lord Fairfax General, and to join not only for the recalling the Rump but the secluded members, and to endeavour by a free parliament that which everyone desired, a settled peace, the

English of which everyone understood as best liked themselves ; but if accomplished your servants doubted not of their success. When R. H. had engaged a considerable part of the army to this purpose it was thought fit that the Lord Townshend and R. H. should then, though depth of winter, (Lambert being marched against Monk,) go to the Lord Fairfax to procure him to join in the same resolutions. Both those who were entirely your servants and those of the army knew that the Duke of Buckingham was then at York ; the Lord Fairfax at his house by York. Both one and the other were peremptory that neither the Lord Townshend nor R. H. should acquaint the Duke with our business, nor the Lord Fairfax, till he had promised not to acquaint the Duke in [the] least of what was treated. Their reasons I could not learn, but suppose them to proceed rather of envy or fear of being eclipsed by him than otherways well grounded. The issue of it was that Townshend and R. H. following their instructions, and Fairfax engaging and performing the same, the Duke of Buckingham took it so ill from R. H. that it is doubted the Duke has not forgiven that unto R. H. this day. How the Lord Fairfax appearing in arms brake Lambert's army, Monk was always just in declaring ; only let it be said now that no person was so positive to declare absolutely for the King as Fairfax. When Townshend and R. H. left Lord Fairfax, at Doncaster they parted, Townshend through the East parts, and R. H. through the West parts, to meet at London. The day as they met in London the Rump returned to their old sessions in Westminster, and the general resolution of going into [*sic*] encouraged the Lords and Commons that were secluded to consider now or never the common security. So they met and sent R. H. to Monk, and, if possible, to meet him at York with Fairfax. Though R. H. did ride night and day in bitter frost, yet Monk was come to Mansfield on this side York. There R. H. was kindly received by him with great respects to those that sent him ; else full of reserve. Some doubted Monk, but others not, who knew that Monk had permitted things so far as that he would now be forced should he (*sic*), yet it cannot be said but Monk was in great doubt whether the present time was fit to perform what he might well intend.

“ From Mansfield to Nottingham R. H. marched with Monk. There was much discourse between them. Monk at last granted these things : that he would march no longer in the head of a single regiment of horse, but join his foot and horse together ; that as he marched he would send the suspect troops and company which were named to quarters far from London ; and that he would stay some days at Nottingham to take physick, that the country might have some time to address themselves, whereby he would be encouraged in any good resolution.

“ At this time likewise R. H. received letters from your Majesty of commendation, thanks and promise. After this time everyone tells stories, what great things they did for the King. It was not long now before Monk called the secluded members into the Rump, upon which some regiments of horse and foot about Bury in Suffolk began to draw to an [head ?]. To suppress them R. H. went a lieutenant (and will always think it honour to

be a powder monkey in your service.) That being over, the several regiments of horse and foot that were quartered on both sides the river Severn were justly suspected to have some design to join with Lambert, could he be got out of the Tower. Monk gave unto R. H. the command of all these. With great dexterity did R. H. manage them, so that not above sixty of them met Lambert at Edgehill, though there were out of those parts above 4,000 of the old army engaged to him.

"As soon as I returned to London, Monk sent me to your Majesty to Hague to desire your speedy coming to London; and not without reason. About this time R. H. brother was sent to Dunkirk. R. H. had such correspondence as he did not doubt of securing that place to your service, should anything have fallen out amiss. May you never be served worse than you were at Dunkirk. . . . Nevertheless R. H. asks pardon for all; for were he to do anything again, sure he would mend it.

"Now the Earl of Clarendon brings information to your Majesty that R. H. has meetings in Bow Street with divers Commonwealths [men] to disturb your peace. This is surmised in secret, but R. H. not suffered to vindicate himself. Then R. H. being sick, his regiment is sent to Tangier, and he appointed to be youngest Colonel. Before his recovery his regiment, being 1,200 choice men, the most part of them were poorly and foolishly destroyed by the Moors. Then his regiment was reduced, and your Majesty was graciously pleased to give him the keeping of your seals for the Caribbee Islands, which place would he could enjoy. Touching that voyage, the height of the place made the Lord Willoughby's head giddy, and the heat of the country his brains addle; so that although you cherished him under your wing, . . . yet nothing was hatched. God at last openly declared him addle, when he threw [him] out of His hand against the rocks, by the stink he left in everyone's nostril. R. H. says that he was forced to leave those hot countries in a fit of the gout; that suddenly into a colder climate it struck into his bowels.

"R. H. with the opportunity got to London to know of the great Earl of Clarendon what ground he had to make such a report of me as he did to your Majesty. His answer, that then he could have done [no] less, had [it] been of the King's own brother, but that the King and he too knew it was altogether false; that they had a very good esteem of me, and knew that R. H. was very much wronged; and that I should have recompense made me; that they were some of R. H.'s old acquaintance that made the information; that indeed all R. H.'s friends said he was an undefatigable person in what he undertook; and, therefore, he said he was afraid of him.

"About this time it pleased your Majesty to speak at large to R. H. about the difficulties this great man raised in the House of Commons. My Lord Arlington was pleased to employ me in helping to remove some [of] them. He will witness that R. H. hit on the right way, which had the success desired. R. H. could not go through all these transactions without expenses, so that two years since he was forced to sell his estate to Dr.

Williams to pay his debts. What remained he laid out in buying a receiver's place of your Majesty's fee" [farm rents].

The rest is missing.

Several LOYAL SUBJECTS CALLED NONCONFORMISTS.—Petition to the King.

[1674?]"—“Because we have been apt to be misrepresented to our former kings as disaffected to the Government, we humbly declare that we are ready to give assurance of our loyalty to the late Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and the Antipapal Test, when we shall be called to it.

“And forasmuch as it is ordinarily said of us that we can never agree, and know not what we would have ourselves, we humbly profess our hearty willingness to unite with the Church of England upon the condescensions desired in this paper annexed to this petition, and shall add to the former Oaths and Test this declaration ensuing :—

“I, A. B., do heartily approve of the Reformation made by the Church of England in her doctrine and worship as containing all things necessary to salvation : and I promise faithfully in the exercise of my ministry to preach that doctrine, and use that worship, and submit to her government, as they are by law established, so far as I can with a good conscience.

“Upon the hopes that this will give content to both Houses of Parliament, we cast ourselves at your sacred Majesty's feet imploring your royal grace and favour to intercede for us with them, that we may by your means be restored to our ministry, and thereby put into a capacity of more usefulness towards God and serving your Majesty.”

Annexed—“The terms of composition.

- (1) “That no other oaths or declarations be imposed besides the within-mentioned, unless the oath against simony.
- (2) “That consequently the two declarations in the Act of Uniformity, the Oxford Oath, the Oath of Canonical obedience, the subscriptions in the Canons, do cease, or be repealed. [*This article is erased.*]
- (3) “That a licence to preach shall not be needful to any after the imposition of the hands of a bishop upon him.
- (4) “That those that have been ordained by Presbyters shall have the bishop's hands laid on him (*sic*) only by way of prayer, for the recommending him to the grace of God for fulfilling the work of a special charge to which he is called.
- (5) “That the use of the surplice, the Cross in baptism, and kneeling at the Sacrament be left indifferent to the minister and people.
- (6) “That no minister be troubled for the withholding his own act in delivery of the Sacrament from any he judges notoriously unworthy or unfit for it.
- (7) “Nor for suspending his reading the sentence of excommunication against any of his parish, until he be satisfied in the case that his crime deserves it.

- (8) “ And chiefly that the Common Prayer may be read by ourselves or our assistants, reserving a necessary and just liberty for conscience in any matter or words which a man himself esteems unlawful (and so to him it is sin) ; and also for prudence in the whole management, to act with respect to time, place, and circumstances, so as appears most conducive to edification. And so long as the main body of the service is read, and substance of the offices be performed, it shall be sufficient to conformity. But if the main body of the said service shall be ordinarily omitted for the space of three months, in such case it shall be in the power of the bishop to put in a reader, and allow him a salary out of the living, not exceeding a fifth (*or third*) part.” *Draft.*

RICHARD DERHAM, Esq.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1679, March 27.]—Complaining that at the recent election for New Radnor borough, Sir Edward Harley, who stood against him, induced the bailiff to hold the election early in the morning only three days after the said bailiff received the writ, before the Sheriff’s precept had reached the voters in the out-boroughs, and was returned as member. He prays that the case may be examined.

DEBATE IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1680, Tuesday, November 16.—“ The House being set, they continued looking on each other without saying anything for near half an hour ; then a motion was made for sending for all the members by the mace, and the House about eleven of the clock being very full :—

“ Sir John Hotham told us we ought to consider the deplorable condition we are in, and moved that we might adjourn till to-morrow, so that gentlemen might have some time to consider with themselves what to do, now the Bill of Exclusion had failed in the Lords’ House. Which motion being generally assented unto, the House forthwith adjourned till next day eight o’clock ; which day (November 17th) being appointed for consideration of his Majesty’s message relating to Tangier, the order being read :—

“ Sir Nicholas Carew moved that as long as Popery hung over us we could do nothing, and we ought to represent our condition to the King, and that when we had secured our religion and property, we should be ready to do any (*sic*) that might make the King happy and great.

“ Sir Wm. Jones. I am sorry that a supply for Tangier is moved for at so unseasonable a time. I confess that Tangier is of great moment, but we have that now on our hands which is of greater moment than ten Tangiers put together ; and the considering of that before we are secure at home is as if when an enemy is landed we should afterwards go and fortify the coast of Kent. Being told by his Majesty we should secure

ourselves against Popery by all the ways not meddling with succession, and should rest there, we are prevented of what is a prevention. Your providing for Tangier will be a weakening of our security. When Tangier was put into the hands of the English first there was an article that there should continue a Popish church. The religious belonging to it were to continue during their lives, but not to be replenished with new; and if it be inquired into I believe the number of them will be found not to be decreased this day. 'Tis not long since there was a Popish Governor there; many Popish soldiers are lately gone thither from Ireland. 'Tis not a little sum will do what is needful there; and if it should be a considerable one that should be given for it, it may be made use of to raise an army here; so that if we run into a great inconveniency by providing for it, I think we ought to consider well of it before we do it, and yet I am not for sullenly saying we will raise no money, but for clearly stating the case by an address to the King.

"Lord Hide.* I am only to acquaint you that Tangier is not to be maintained without your support.

"Mr. Hambden. All things are to be considered comparatively, and if it be made an argument against the Duke's bill that he is at the head of an army in Scotland, and that in Ireland there are ten or fifteen Papists to one Protestant, has great influence in the Fleet, and, being Admiral of Tangier, a seminary of Papists, then sure none have special arguments to take Tangier into your consideration; and money may be for that service; but on this parliament do not ask petitions of grace but right. And will you part with your money without any security? You have often done so, and what are you the better for it? I long for the time when we may give the King money to make him great; but if things go on as they do, I am for a plain bargain, and to know what we shall have for our money. For my part I only desire our security; but, if you should give money, I suppose you will take care what hands you put it into; and there ought to be a trust. Let us address his Majesty.

"Mr. Harbord. We are told Tangier is of importance; it is a nursery of Papists; and we are likewise told the Irish sent thither are part of the Irish army, and they took the oaths; that is no security. Was not my Lord Bellassis Governor of Tangier, Hull and the Pensioners Captains all at a time, and took the oaths? The soldiers, for aught I know, may be brought hither, and the asking a supply for it at this time is made unseasonable because parliament have been put off two or three years: and whilst there are people near the King that dare make a difference between him and this House, we can never be safe. Pray let us address his Majesty as boldly as may stand with good manners. 'Tis not to be endured to see the Duke thus preferred before the King, as he was, if arguments of greatness and power are arguments strong enough to hinder the bill. He has violated the laws, and we need not

* Probably a copyist's mistake. Laurence Hyde was not made a peer until 1681.

have gone this way to work if we could have had justice against him; but he is too great for that. Let us address his Majesty.

“Sir Tho. Player. I spoke the sense of the City formerly, and do so again now; and, in the name of the greatest part of the commonalty of the City of London, do declare that we are ready to give half the money we have; nay all, and be content to set up again to get new estates, if we may be but secure. Burning of London, justly laid upon the Papists, and keeping watch since the plot has cost the city about 100,000*l*. The City of London is the bulwark of our religion, and is it not said the Duke is at the head of thirty or forty thousand men? The Lieutenancy and Justices, how are they moulded for their turn? And if we do nothing now in this House, we must all, without any more ado, try to make our peace with him the best we can. I'll never do it, and will you, for the sake of one man, destroy three kingdoms?

“Col. Titus. We discoursing of Tangier at this time is like Nero fiddling when Rome was consumed with fire. If it be in a good condition we cannot help it; if it be in a bad one, we are not in a posture to help it. Pray consider the condition by what is past: when King Henry the Eighth was for supremacy, the nation was for it; when he was against it, the nation was against it: when King Edward the Sixth was a Protestant the nation was so; when Queen Mary was a Papist the nation was so; when Queen Elizabeth a Protestant the kingdom was so again *Regis ad exemplum*. The bidding us prevent Popery and let alone a Popish successor is as if a physician should come to a man in a pleurisy, and tell him he may make use of any other remedy but letting of blood; the party must perish, that being the only cure. And I believe in King Edward the Sixth's time the bishops themselves would not have been against throwing out such a bill as this is; and if King Edward had promised in favour of the Protestants, that he would have done anything for the preservation of religion, so that Mary might succeed, the Pope surely could no ways have obtained so great a favour. I am not at present for giving of money, that being to the State as food is to the stomach; if that be clean it turns to good nourishment; if it be out of order, it breeds diseases; and so it is in the State, if it be not in order too. We have been often deceived, and by the same men. Was not 200,000*l*. given for the Fleet in 1673 and 1674? And was any of it employed that way? Money given for an actual war with France employed for a dishonourable peace; never so many admirals, and so few ships to guard us; never more Commissioners of the Treasury and so little money; never so many councillors and so little safety. Let us address his Majesty.

“Lord Russell. I'll never be for the giving of money for promoting of Popery, and a successor a public enemy to the King, and a slave to the Pope; and whilst he hath eleven to seven in the Council and sixty-three to thirty-one in the House of Lords, we are not secure; and if my own father had been one of the sixty-three, I should have voted him an enemy to

the King and kingdom; and if we cannot live Protestants, I hope we shall die so.

"Sir Henry Capell. Let us redress our grievances, and then, and not till then, Tangier. Tangier never was, nor never will be, a place of trade. Tituan and Salley so near, they will never trade with us to destroy themselves, and can never be for our advantage; and I have many years wondered at the Council that have been for the keeping of it; and am of opinion that Popery may be aimed at by it, and that all councils are managed at Rome, from whence I saw a letter to a friend dated the 21st of October, with the heads of the King's speech to this effect:—*That his Majesty would command them not to meddle with succession. That he would ask for no money. That he would stand upon the confirmation of my Lord Danby's pardon; and that the keeping of Tangier was to draw on expenses; and was it not, he could command the blowing it up.*

"Sir Tho. Lee, for a representation.

"Sir Francis Winnington. I remember before the last sessions of parliament there was a Council held at Lambeth, and there hatched a bill against Popery. It was for the bringing in the children of a Popish successor (which admitted the same thing), and it was called a Bill against Popery; but we called it the Popish Bill; and it was laid aside. I am for the Church, but not for the Church of the late Bishop of St. Asaph, who on his death-bed (good man) could hardly forbear declaring himself, which his epitaph did (*ora pro anima*), which he ordered to be read upon his tomb. We were told the other day that we ought to make the Duke of York a substantive, for that there was less danger of a general without an army than an army without a general. But I have read in Pliny [*sic*. Livy] which was most to be feared, an army of lions with a hare to their general, or an army of hares with a lion to their general: and, it was concluded, an army of hares with a lion to their general was most to be feared of the two. If his Majesty is enclosed by a sort of monsters whose idea is to destroy, I hope to move against them; and, though we have lost our last bill, I hope we have not lost our courage and hearts.

"Sir Wm. Temple. His Majesty desires your advice and assistance 'tis seldom, which is very kind; and though you should think fit not to give the latter, 'tis but mannerly to give the first; and I hope you will not resent any injury (if there were any) done by the House of Lords or the King, who, though he cannot cure all in one day, he can ruin all; and I must acquaint you there is a very great weight abroad laid upon this sessions of parliament, and upon the agreeing of the King with the people, upon which depends the welfare of the Protestants abroad, and I hope you will not go about to remonstrate now.

"Mr. Deering. If you had sent the Duke's, Lord Craven's, and Lord Mulgrave's Regiments to Tangier, it would supply that place with men, and disbanded the Lord Oxford's Regiment, and the money on these employed would bear much of the charge of this.

“Then the House resolved to appoint a committee to draw up an address upon the debate of the House to represent to his Majesty the state and condition of the kingdom in answer to his Majesty’s message about Tangier.

“Mr. Montague then stood up and accused the Lord Halifax for counselling the proroguing the late Parliament, and the House seemed then very unanimous in being willing to vote an address to remove him from his Majesty and his Council for ever, which was accordingly done. Then the House adjourned.”

Sir JOHN EDWARDS, Knight.—Petition to the House of Lords.

[See H. of L. Journals for 1680, November 22.]

Also his case against Mary Howard. *Copy.*

THE SHIPS *Bonne Esperance* and *Henry Bonadventure*.

[1680?].—The cause of these two ships [which had been seized by the Dutch in the East Indies] was determined in 1649 for the sum of 85,000 guilders, which was actually paid, but—as is alleged—to the wrong man, Jacob Pergens, who had a procuration, instead of Paul Pindar, who had an older assignment. The action continued after the treaty of 1654, and in the treaty of 1662 was specially excepted from the settlement; but was included in the treaty of 1667, when it was declared that all the pretensions respecting it should be void, forgotten, and abolished; that all commissions and letters of reprisal were revoked, and that any person who, under pretence of authority of such a commission, should commit hostilities, should be punished as a disturber of the common tranquillity. This was confirmed by the treaty of 1674; but, in July 1677, George Carew, with some others interested in this cause, complained to the King of the damage they had suffered, their letters of reprisal being granted, as they pretended, irrevocably. The King appointed a day for hearing them, whereupon Carew and those associated with him gave public notice of it, by printed advertisements affixed upon the Exchange of London, summoning Heer van Beuningen, the Dutch Ambassador in London, to appear either in person, or by his advocate. Heer van Beuningen took this as grossly insolent and complained to the King; whereupon Carew was arrested, all his papers seized, and he was ordered to submit himself to Heer van Beuningen, which he accordingly did, humbly begging pardon both by word of mouth and by writing, which the King ordered to be printed, and to be affixed at Whitehall, the Exchange and other places.

After this, Carew and his associates fitted out a ship to go a-privateering against the Dutch by virtue of his old commission, and captured a ship; but, being themselves taken, they were brought up before the Admiralty; when the petty jury brought them in Not Guilty, seeing that they acted *bona fide*, by virtue of a commission. So Carew and his fellows, going

to sea again, seized a ship having on board the baggage of Heer van Beuningen, then crossing over to Holland. On this the King again declared in Council in May, 1680, that the letters of reprisal both general and particular, and especially those of May 27th 1665, were, by the treaty of Breda, as well as by that of Westminster in 1674, repealed and made void, and that any persons using the same should be proceeded against as pirates. And this was published as a proclamation, and also in the *Gazette*.

The persons interested maintained that the King has no power to make void letters of reprisal granted as these had been; but as the treaty of 1674 had been approved by parliament, to parliament they carried their complaints; and, getting no relief there, they now endeavour to get it from the Dutch East India Company, though without any grounds or foundation.

To this must be added that in 1676, Mark Fletcher, an Englishman dwelling at Middleburg, on behalf of Paul Pindar, sued Jacob Pergens before the Schepens of Amsterdam; that Jacob Pergens complained of this to the States of Holland and West Vriesland; who, thereupon, wrote to the Schepens, ordering them not to receive in court, hear or admit the said Mark Fletcher, or any one else in the cause, declaring that the moving of the action is a manifest contravention of the treaties of 1667 and 1674.

THOMAS, EARL OF DANBY.—Petition to the King.

[1682,] December 20.—Having been a prisoner above three years and a half in the Tower of London, he has during that time been three times by *Habeas Corpus* before the Court of King's Bench to move for bail by reason of the indefiniteness of his imprisonment. On two of these occasions the justices took further time to consider his case, and the third time two of them refused to bail him; a third said *he was not satisfied in his judgment that petitioner could be bailed at that time*; and the fourth said that *his commitment by the House of Lords being only until he should be delivered by course of law, it would be a failure of justice if he were not admitted to bail*. Considering therefore this difference of opinion, and that the King's prerogative is greatly concerned, and that there would not only be a defect in the laws if he can have no relief, but also in the royal authority and the constitution itself, "of which there is none in the world without power in being at all times to give relief to their subjects when it thinks fit;" he prays the King to command the judges of the said Court to advise with the rest of the judges, "as has been done in cases of less consequence than this of petitioner, and particularly in the case of Harrison and Burwall lately depending in the Court of Common Pleas, which concerned the jurisdiction of the Ecclesiastical Court," wherein the advice of all the judges was had by the King's especial command. *With a form of recommendation from certain peers.* [No names given.]

Endorsed.—"Petition . . . which Lord Lomley managed and endeavoured to get several peers to subscribe. Sent to the King by the Earl of Danby about the 20th of December [1682]; and after full deliberation upon it his Majesty thought fit to suppress it." *Copy.*

CORPORATION OF BRISTOL.

[After 1683, November.]—List of names of those who voted for the surrender of the Charter of Bristol. 26 *names, including* "Sir William Clutterbuck, Mayor, who desired it might be an inscription on his tomb that he was Mayor and delivered up the Charter;"

"Sir Richard Hart, Knt., who brought the Charter up to the King."

"George Morgan, who of late gloried in it."

"Sir John Knight, who solicited the matter from the beginning, and was knighted for it."

"John Romsey, Town Clerk, who was very active in it."

NAVY PENSIONS.

1685.—"Pensions and half-pays borne on the charge of the Navy, 1685." *Including* 100*l.* to William Vandeveld, senior, for taking and making draughts of sea-fights; and a similar sum to William Vandeveld, junior, for putting the said draughts into colours.

SCOTLAND.

1687.—"The state of the Scots Treasury in the year 1687." Showing a balance of 17,003*l.* on the credit side.

TREGONY ELECTION.

1689, April 6.—Indenture made between the Mayor and burgesses of Tregony, co. Cornwall, and Robert Harley, returning the latter to serve as burgess in Parliament. *Copy.*

POPISH PRACTICES.

[After 1689, May 11.]—Address of "many lovers of their country" to the House of Commons.

"You have the seal of these nations in your hands, and though you have provided useful laws for the security thereof, yet those unexecuted signify little, as may appear by the Act obliging all Roman Catholics not being old inhabitants to withdraw ten miles from the City of London. And though this Act was backed with a proclamation from the King, yet by the remissness of execution there are at this present three times the number which were here before the said Act and proclamation, so that at the Chapel of Somerset House every day, but especially on holy days, there may be seen, besides those who belong to the Court, many thousand strangers who are only

lodgers in this town. Nor can it be otherwise unless this honourable House shall think fit by some new act to oblige all of that religion, like the Jews at Rome, to wear a mark of distinction on the crowns of their hats visible to all men, which said mark shall be a protection for them against any insolence from the mob. And for want of such mark, viz. a cross, etc., all persons neglecting it shall be outlawed and liable to be attacked by any man knowing them, and committed to gaol without bail or mainprise other than such condition as this honourable House shall think fit.

"That it may also be inspected how many ill men came to be in public offices of trust and profit, and who they be that take bribes for procuring thereof, and for dispensing with the execution of laws.

"That it may be considered by what advice so many thousands of foreigners are daily coming into England, who charge the nation for their maintenance, when thousands of English Protestants are starving in the streets for lack of trade; who would gladly serve their country, but can receive no entertainment."

————— to HENRY POWLE, Speaker.

[1689, October 6?].—Finding that the nation means to pardon such "small offences" as running the nation *into* popery and uprooting the ancient constitution and that King James is to be "the only scape-goat run into the wilderness with the sins of the whole nation," it seems reasonable that an act of indemnity should also be passed in his favour. "Therefore as an honest man . . . I have sent you ready drawn a bill of indemnity for him as well as the rest; . . . and if you find any boggle at it, as some may do, get but a balloting-box, and I dare warrant the major part of the House will be for passing this good bill."

Minuted.—"This was left one night in the Speaker's chair, when the Act of Indemnity was to come on the next day."

Annexed is the bill referred to. *Copy*.

PROCEEDINGS in the HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1689, October 28.—Earl of Castlemaine brought to the bar.

Mr. Speaker. "My Lord Castlemaine, the House have sent for you to attend them here, and have commanded me to acquaint you that they are informed you went upon an employment which was unwelcome to them; that was, Ambassador to the Pope. And they have great reason to think it was to reconcile this kingdom with the Church of Rome, the highest crime that can be committed; and that you have sat in the Privy Council in the late King's time without taking the oaths and test prescribed by the law, which has been a violation of the laws of this kingdom: and therefore have sent for you here to know what you have to offer for your own defence."

Earl of Castlemaine. "Mr. Speaker, I suppose everybody may imagine that I am in some confusion to be here as a criminal at your bar; and this disorder must increase a little

more because in my whole life (and I believe not a few of this great House have found it) the glory and welfare of England has been my great aim and whole design of my life.

"For the questions that are imposed upon me I have not time to answer them really and strictly; I have not. But I know the goodness and candour of this House is so great that if I err you will not take exception at my words, nor any confession that I do make shall be laid to my charge. For I would willingly inform you of everything; and had I known what your commands would have been, I would have been provided; yet, knowing that your time is of concern, I will now satisfy you what I can, and in this place tell you all I know, and always all that you will please to command me.

"My going to Rome, I confess, is a thing apparent, and if it were more concealed I would not deny it. What I do may be an error in judgment, but it shall not be an artifice; and perhaps, when you hear what I have to say, you will not be so angry as otherwise, for I know the candour of your judgment.

"Everybody (at least all that belong to the Court) knows it was none of my seeking, but I did refuse it and very much desired to be excused from it. I had a great many reasons to do it; amongst the rest I had been at Rome at several times when other travellers were there. I knew the great expenses of such an employment; and I knew the King's allowances to Spain and France, and what I was like to have. And therefore, knowing the dignity of England was to be maintained;—for, though England be a Protestant kingdom (and I thought it could not be grateful to them as such), yet I thought if I had gone to Turkey, where the Mahometan religion was professed, or to China, where the pagan religion is professed, that care of the dignity of England ought to be taken; and what I did was not for the honour of the religion, but for the honour of England. And knowing these expenses would fall upon me, I had reason to waive it.

"I never knew of the King's pleasure nor of his commands till I came from Wales; and no sooner was I at my house than a man of great condition came to me and told me I must presently come to Whitehall. I had hardly so much time given me as to pull off my boots, but I must wait upon his Majesty. Before I came to the King my Lord Sunderland told me what he thought was the King's command. I told my Lord Sunderland I desired him to shew that friendship to me as not to let me go; but he told me the King was fixed upon it. I waited upon the King, but he delivered his commands to me, and told me I must provide very suddenly to go to Rome. I desired he would be pleased to think further of it; but after some discourse together, he told me I must go.

"Now, I will leave it to this assembly to consider what you would have me do. I knew I was master of the inconveniences that belonged to myself, and therefore I submitted to them. I knew what would fall upon me thereby. That which was my greatest concern was whether it was an offence against the law; and I never found, by any book or writing that I saw,

that it was ; and, therefore, having not been informed anything of that, I hope I am the more excusable in it. And, with humble submission, I have been thinking over and over again (I do not speak it to hinder anything of the legislative power), yet I never saw that there was any law, or that there possibly can be any law made against it. And the reason is this : since the Pope is a temporal prince, and a great prince, and we may necessarily have something to do with that principality. He is great upon the seas ; there may be accidents of concern ; ships thrown upon that coast ; and injury may be done to persons travelling into that country. In places where war is proclaimed with the greatest prohibition of commerce, yet the King has power to send a messenger. Therefore I will not speak as to the motives that made me go, but what were the motives that made me think this could not be a crime. Now, I must put it therefore upon this ; this was my judgment and sentiment : and I call God to witness I thought it to be so. The King commanded me to do it, and I knew nothing to the contrary but I might do it : what would you have me to do ? I knew the King could command his subjects, and that there were penalties if they did not serve the King in embassies, and in our own century too.

“ Now, I had no manner of guide, and I never thought this could be ungrateful to any religion. Besides, if anything could be ungrateful, I was but a letter carrier ; and any consul or factor that writes every day (if this be a crime, and till this moment of time that you told me I did not know it), every factor may run the same displeasure of the House, if there be such a law.

“ Mr. Speaker, I am not given to speeches. 'Tis a thing I have no faculty in, nor am used to it ; and therefore, if I do sometimes run into disorder of speech, I hope you will not be angry with the method I take for speaking as things occur, and your goodness will supply the want of artifice.

“ I say, that being commanded by the King on the one side, and not knowing any injury on the other, and if there could be any injury, it must be in matter of religion ; it must be to treat of religion. And I never went about religion. I went only as the King's servant ; as the King's minister upon a compliment, an usual ceremony that is between all Catholic princes. If the King had not been a Catholic, it might have been an artifice ; but he was declared so, and I went about a mere ceremony, which all Catholic princes do make some time or other.

“ Now, to show you that I did not meddle with religion, I must appeal to persons privy to my embassy, and to all those gentlemen that have ever travelled at Rome, and know the constitution of Rome. For nothing can be kept secret ; but, for a dish of coffee or *lemniado* you may know what any ambassador does. I am sure I have found it so by experience ; perhaps sometimes when I have thought to make a mystery of it, and I have gone but to the next shop and heard every word almost I have said. This is the custom of Rome.

“ I have one thing more to confirm what I say. I do verily believe (and I am sorry I must declare it here) that I am of a religion not agreeable to this House. I have no temporal ends for it, but I have had a pardon and an Act of Indemnity; the solemnest Act that ever passed next *Magna Charta*.

“ Now, if I confirm it to you that I had no intrigue, no trick, nor no private cabal (though I do verily believe, and am confident that his Holiness the Pope, and the cardinals and the rest of the Court of Rome, did not at all doubt of my religion that I was a Catholic), yet I must tell you this: there was not one of all the Court that did think the immunity of England, the glory of the crown, or the temporalities of England were to be touched. They know there is not one of the reformed religion could be harder upon that score than myself.

“ Now, though I do profess myself to be a Catholic, I have no prejudice to any other; but I must name one precedent to you, because it was in my own time; and that was concerning the attainder of the Regicides. It is Axtell's case. There is nobody but knows the horror I have of his action, but I speak it for the honour of the judge, and for this noble assembly. I remember Axtell, when the greatest treason was laid to his charge, gave this answer: says he, *I am (to this sense, for I think I have not read his trial since his execution, but his words were to this effect,) I am, my Lord, in a miserable condition; a most unfortunate man; my case is very hard: I was a soldier; I was under military command, under martial law. I am brought here for treason because I was at Westminster Hall, because I commanded the guards the day of the King's trial; and here I am accused for that which I should have been hanged if I had not done.* It was answered: *Mr. Axtell, you have made a strong argument, and upon this account his Majesty's grace and favour would extend to you. For 'tis not his Majesty's pleasure to execute justice upon all his subjects that have gone astray; his Majesty's mercy extends to this, and we never intended to make use of that against you; and therefore upon that account your argument is strong. But, Mr. Axtell, did you do no more? Did not you incite the rabble against that gracious and great man? Did not you call for justice, and make your soldiers cry out for the same? Did not you abuse a virtuous lady that out of a transport of zeal called you infamous names?* This was proved against him and his argument ceased. Now, did I any more than this? Yes, I did; I was a refuge to all persons. Anyone that had any business there found me ready to assist them. If mariners ran away from their ships, or merchants or captains complained of the mariners, I endeavoured to do them all right. This was not all: the whole factory made application to me upon all occasions; and if they had any business, they had not only a ready audience, but my indefatigable labour to serve them. I think I was both civil and successful, and had their thanks for my readiness to serve them, and also of the greatest Company now in England, I mean of the Turkey Company, for I did

them a very remarkable service. This, I say, was the way and method I took. I knew the dignity of an ambassador.

“Therefore, as to this point, having stated it as well as I can; that there was no malice; that I was ignorant of the thing itself if it be a crime, since there is something to be of negotiation between all princes; I do not see but any person may be liable to the same punishment that I have been; neither was there anything of religion I went about, but these general things. If any man can disprove anything I have said, then I desire no manner of favour from this House, but the utmost affliction that can befall me.

“For the next thing that you are pleased to mention and lay upon me, that I was a Privy Councillor to the King and never took the oaths according to the law of the land, I humbly acknowledge it here that I did not do so; that I have not taken these oaths; but this I have to say: the reason why I did not take them was because I was not offered them, and because these oaths were against my conscience; and indeed I should have refused them if they had been offered.

“This crime, that you are pleased to lay upon me 'tis a crime; and I was not ignorant that there was such a law made about taking the oaths; and as I knew this, so I confess it, and shall confess everything I know; for I desire to be above board, and to deal sincerely as I have done all my life, let it cost me what it will. That I have to say is: if I had been the first or desired it, it had been a crime; and a crime ought to have been punished as I brake a law; but 'tis no breaking of a law if there be a law that the King can dispense with it. Now, that there was I do not assert; but, whether there was or was not, I had several judges and great lawyers that were of that opinion. I saw several precedents that seemed to be plain for it. I saw the positive law about sheriffs dispensed with; and this was acknowledged by the judges to be law. I saw also a precedent of a law in my own time, made in this House, myself being a member: and that was about carriages and waggons that there was such a positive law; but it was found in practice inconvenient, and King Charles the Second put forth a proclamation and dispensed with it. And there was no dispute about it. I thought and knew the King had not the legislative power, yet nobody contraverted it, nor none of the judges of the long robe contradicted it, so I thought it a plain case. Now, I only tell you this, and will not trouble you with others. But I speak not only for myself; I speak for all England, for every gentleman here. What will you have me? What is it I can do, in the world to be sure? The lawyers tell me the judges have declared so for law; they tell me the judges' determination is law; what ought I to do? 'Tis the judges are the masters of the law, and ought to be punished if they do not act accordingly. I am sure the candour of this House will punish nobody for doing what they cannot avoid, and have no manner of landmarks to escape. But this is not to dispute or maintain the thing, but to shew you how my case stands.

"I have now told you; that is to say, I have made a weak apology as far as my capacity at this time can serve; and have said the sense and substance of what I know: and having said this, humbly submit myself to you. I am to tell you one particular more, which, though foreign to what questions you have put, yet is something to my business. Mr. Speaker, 'tis only this, in a few words, how I came to be a prisoner and what I suffer by it.

"I was in town when the King went away, but heard nothing of his going away till in the morning some of the Court came and told me the King was gone. I thought it then not only decency but common prudence for me to go away, for I was not conscious to myself that I should be questioned; so I went out of decency to retire into the country into Wales, where I have a concern, and am often in summer time. I went to retire, not to a concealed place, but to a country where I have a concern, where I am known to everybody. As I went thither, I was first upon the borders of Montgomery[shire] stopped by the rabble. When they knew me, they brought me to a small town called Oswestry, and there brought me to the Mayor; and he was pleased to lay a warrant upon me. I being so confined, when the tumult was appeased, I asked him why he kept me there. He said because I was a dangerous person. I asked him by what authority: Says I, *Have you any proclamation, anybody that swears anything against me? Though you are a magistrate, give me leave to tell you, you are but a mean corporation. Why do you tell me I am a dangerous person, when nobody swears anything against me or lays anything to my charge? Besides all this you know I live eight or ten miles off; but, Mr. Mayor, we will not dispute of that: pray give me leave to be bailed; that you can't deny. . . . If you will not do that, and because I am not lawyer enough to assert it, send for two or three of the ablest lawyers to satisfy you.* They satisfied him I was to be bailed; yet there he kept me for seven weeks. After I had stayed there some seven or eight weeks, I was sent for up by a party of horse; and I came up to town, and have been laid up ever since, having not one witness examined against me. At last the wisdom of this House thought fit to suspend the *Habeas Corpus* Bill, and I was committed to the Tower upon suspicion of high treason. This I only speak to show you that I never ran away, but was taken by chance, and so committed to prison."

"Then the Earl of Castlemaine withdrew, and was charged with a warrant of high treason for endeavouring to reconcile this kingdom to the See of Rome.

"Afterwards at his own prayer was called in, and desired the liberty of the Tower; but the House made no order upon it."

[SIR EDWARD HUNGERFORD?] to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1690,] February 12.—"We are now very full of elections against the next parliament; heats are rising, and I believe will be at a great height. If any one for this county will oppose for Knight of the Shire, I believe my Lord Cornbury

will lost it. I fear we shall lose two honest gentlemen at Sarum and one at Wilton, but we may chance to mend our hands as for one at Bedwin, Hindon, Ludgershall. I think myself as safe as a man can be that hath the greatest villain in the world to deal withal of a bailiff. At another place I can be chosen, but it will be to the keeping of a good man out and therefore I think to quit my pretensions. Upon the whole matter some boroughs will certainly choose the same, and I believe you will have five or six friends more for our county than others. The people where I serve are very angry with my brother for voting in the House, but I am engaged of a long time to serve him if I can, and I must do it; but if it prove ineffectual, I believe I can recommend a friend that is not obnoxious to them.

“As for Dorset I believe there will be less struggling there, and that your friends will be the fewer number, but not by many. Shaftesbury, Dorchester, Corfe and Bridport will have some contests in them; as for Weymouth, which sends four, I believe they will do pretty well.” I shall know more in a few days.

“As for Somersetshire, no alteration there will displease you, so that we are to hope for it at adventure; the same for Bristol. Upon the whole men seem to be as angry as ever I knew them, but the fear of elections soon returning will hinder much of the expense; and if the King pleases to change our parliaments often, we shall have the people better represented and honester men chosen. We shall in my opinion lose nothing by this dissolution. New faces cannot presently fall into factions; and the weight of reason will for a while prevail. If anything be done for the public good it must be in the infancy of a parliament, especially whilst we are under the direction of these ministers. When things open plainer in the country I will let you know of them from time to time.”
Seal defaced but apparently the Hungerford arms.

[THE SAME] to THE SAME.

[1690.] March 10.—“I hope by this time the election for the place where you stood is over to your advantage and satisfaction. I cannot say so of my own where I stood, but as to the person chosen it is all one. I quitted my interest in my former borough to a very good friend and honest gentleman, who hath as ill-luck in the return as I had the last Parliament, but I hope you will be in the House to do him justice. We have had a very hard struggle in this county for knights. At last it is carried for our friend Sir Walter St. John, and Lord Cornbury is returned; but the merits of the election must be tried above. As to him and our friend, my Lord Colerane thinks he shall not fail to remove him. In that case likewise I hope you will be assistant as the case deserves. A friend of ours hath spoiled us at Bedwin from an old friendship with Lord Falkland, and I fear J. Smith hath lost it at Ludgershall for to spare the penny.

“The elections of Dorset are all over in that county. We have two friends advanced to the former number, and I believe the House will rectify a third.

“Somerset elections are not all over, but I hope we shall gain a man or two. Hampshire stands as well as it did.

“Chief Justice Holt is now at Sarum Assizes, where he hath given a very good and hearty charge. I direct this as the former and you will receive [it], I hope, in London after the election. Within two or three posts I will trouble you with some of my thoughts upon the present juncture, which it may be are probabilities, though they seem to some friends a little too paradoxical. I know you have kindness enough to read them, and candour enough to excuse them.’

ROBERT LOCK’S Case.

[1690, April?—*See* Calendar of State Papers Domestic 1689-90, p. 568].

NEW RADNOR ELECTION.

1690, October 24.—Order of the Committee of Privileges and Elections to Mr. John Miles and six others to attend the Committee on November 7th, to testify their knowledge touching the election of burgesses for New Radnor. *Signed by* John Gray [the chairman]. *The names are inserted in Robert Harley’s writing.*

ADMIRAL TORRINGTON’S COURT MARTIAL.

1690, December 10-20.—“An account of what passed in the Council of War held on board the ship called the *Kent*, with the Rear Admiral Gellis Schey, Captain Taalman and Captain Swann, etc.

“On the 19th ditto, the said Rear Admiral, finding in this town only Captains Taalman and Swann, by an order from his Majesty sailed together from London; and on the 10-20th went on board the *Kent*, lying in Chatham river, near Sheerness, when being there, came Admiral Torington, being then a prisoner on board in one of the King’s yachts, and about half an hour past eleven of the clock, with his shallop aboard, was by Vice-Admiral Debanal [Delavall] of the blue squadron, being President of the Council, received with trumpets sounding. The Council being assembled, sat the President at the upper end, and at his right hand the Admiral Torington, who was called the prisoner. After the several persons were examined in the cabin of the said ship concerning what they had against Torington, they being subaltern officers, viz. masters of ships, constables and quartermasters, etc., and but one captain, who all had little to say against their Admiral.

“After which the said Rear Admiral de Schey was called in with his two captains, Taalman and Swann, and by especial order from his Majesty, had to assist him his Majesty’s

Adjutant General of the Sea, Captain Zuhm, to be his interpreter, who then presented an exact account of his diary to be delivered to the Council, or at least to have had it to refresh his memory in what passed in the last sea fight; but it was denied him as not practicable, but it oblige (*sic*) him to give an *ex tempore* account of all; who then began to relate, that on Sunday the 9th of July, the Admiral Torington, by an order from the Queen, summoned them to a council of war, where it was resolved *nemine contradicente*, by order of her Majesty, to fight the enemy; yet with this consideration, to keep as much as was possible to windward, that the succour which we expected from Sir C. Shovell and Vice-Admiral Killebrew might come in to us; so that by the time the vanguard should be engaged with the Dutch, all the English should in their line have engaged also.

"When this was related in English by Captain Zuhm, the Rear-Admiral farther declared that on the 10th of July, being Monday morning, the Admiral Torington gave a signal to range in order of battle; which being performed he gave his farther signal to begin the fight, a red flag being placed in his foremost top.

"Whereupon the Dutch, in good order loosed towards the enemy, keeping their line; but contrariwise Torington made to windward in a half-moon figure, and the blue squadron kept their station.

"Now it was asked, how it happened that the French Rear-Admiral overtook us with nine ships, and got to windward of us? To which was replied, that if the Admiral Torington had kept the line with us, according to agreement, making sail accordingly, we had followed the enemy; but by reason of his staying to windward we would not betake our squadron to prevent them.

"It was then demanded whether I, de Schey, saw any reason that our fleet, being inferior, could have any hopes to beat an enemy so much superior in number.

"I answered that this would not have been the first time, for that we had beaten the French near Cicily before, with about half their number, being seventeen against thirty-six; and by coming (*sic*) their line so well, forced them to quit us at the time.

"Now eight demanded of me together several questions, which forced me to ask the President who they were, and what authority they had to be questioning, not being of the Council; that they ought not to insult over me with their number though they had been a thousand; that it did not become you (*sic*) to confound me with their questions; that I had never been used to such disorder in our country, and that it rather resembled a nest of young wolves then a council of war.

"But turning from them to Admiral Torington I said: *You, Torington, what need more questions here: fighting was the command, and when you had resolved in council with us, by her Majesty's order, to fight the enemy nemine contradicente, what reason had you to give the signal to fight, when you were not minded to engage? And what reason had you, not only yourself, but to detain your whole squadron from the beginning to the end of the fight, from engaging?*

“ Hereupon another asked me (he being the Earl of Bath’s son) if I could assert upon oath that if Admiral Torington had followed in his line that the enemy had certainly been beaten.

“ To whom I answered with a question, whether he would swear, if another were with him, that they would make me run. That I, however, had not doubted by the judgment of all men, through God’s blessing, we might have obtained full victory. That many questions need not be asked what was done or not done, for if the ships were all visited it would soon discover who were concerned by their mark.

“ Upon which it was said, *then our ships had not fought; to which I replied, let anyone name any one ship of the twenty-two, which had anything to be objected against them: upon which they named Admiral Evertz. To which I could say little, having seen him much to the windward during the whole fight.*

“ *But, answered I, they could name me [none] other except him, who did not show themselves very resolute.* Upon which there was a sudden silence, when one in the crowd came and told me that Vice-Admiral Culemburge was not damaged, which showed that he had not fought; which warmed my blood so, that I caught hold of this man by his coat, and demanded who he was, and that I required satisfaction of him for invading a man’s honour who was so brave a soldier of fortune, and had manifested so much the prowess of an honourable man.

“ But he denied me his name; only told me he was a captain, upon which I spoke to the President, and protested to him that I would desire of the King that I might have satisfaction; and the contrary was proved when Admiral Torington sent a vessel to carry him off the next day.

“ I was then asked if I had anything more to say. Upon which I answered, that *I would rather than 500 guineas, that I could speak as good English as Dutch. I had yet an hour’s work to point out your cowardice; but I am sufficiently wearied to answer all your impertinent questions.*

“ Yet we had not quite done, for when there began to be a calm, about two of the clock in the afternoon, I had the French Rear-Admiral to windward of me and the Vice-Admiral to leeward; yet so fighting I forced the Vice-Admiral to flee, so that he was towed off from me with six shallops, which was sufficient mark of a hoped victory, if the Admiral with his squadron had done the like; but his lying off gave occasion to our danger. Also the Vice-Admiral, that had the middle squadron of the enemy coming up, sought to cut me off, but that I prevented him. And all this happened, that Admiral Torington gave him so much room, he lying above a Dutch mile to windward of us, and so did not fight the said squadron. And when we came to anchor the said Vice-Admiral was so near me that when he shot a waving piece the bullet flew and dashed the water as far beyond my ship as he was from me in distance; and then Admiral Torington was a Dutch mile and half from us.

“ What happened the days after the fight Admiral Torington was not to blame, for he then endeavoured to give us all the help he could.

"Then was Captain Taalman called in, who, according to the report of Captain Zuhm, declared that upon the signal of the attack he took his post, and had so much to regard in his own ship that he could not observe what Admiral Torington had done till about four of the clock in the afternoon, when he saw the said Admiral about a Dutch mile to windward of him, five points out of the line, upon which he withdrew.

"When Captain Swann was called in, of whose declaration Captain Zuhm gave me the following account: That Captain Swann being asked what he had to say against the Admiral Torington concerning the late fight, answered that the Admiral Torington, like a brave admiral, gave the signal to attack the enemy; but that the said Admiral with his squadron never came within shot of the enemy. Upon which Torington demanded, how it then happened, that a fireship that lay a mile beyond him had received a shot. To which the said Captain replied that a random shot might perchance reach a ship so far. But according to the custom of war ships ought to be at least within a three-pound shot, if not within a musket shot. And that if Admiral Torington had come but near with his ships he doubted not but by God's help they had obtained an absolute victory.

"Upon which Admiral Torington asked him what reason he had to give that as his judgment, and what signs he could give for the said judgment, when he could not say any remarkable damage that the enemy had received. Captain Swann answered that it was not the first nor the third time that he had observed the enemy in a fight to give way, which was always a sign of a certain victory, and if Admiral Torington had had any inclination to have tried it he believed he might have seen the proof of it himself. But contrariwise, keeping out of reach of the enemy gave occasion, not only to discourage the Dutch, but also to make them murmur against their officers that they were left to be butchered; and that Admiral Torington so shamefully stayed to windward, which the enemy observing, whom he had forced to fly, returned again upon us.

"Upon which Captain Swann withdrew, and coming to me, we left the aforesaid ship, and returned to give your Majesty an account of the said proceeding."

L. BRADDOE to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1690, December 20.—". . . . The House of Lords and Commons in their majority being more likely to discourage than encourage the discovery, it is not thought convenient now to move under this unhappy influence, but to tarry till a more favourable temper: but when that will be, God only knows; for if that common danger which threatens the destruction of both (whatever vain vaines (*sic*) the High Church may have of the contrary) will not unite us, nothing less than a miracle can.

"My father, perceiving I have not any prospect of a place, he would have me to come into Cornwall; and I do purpose very speedily to go, seeing I have not seen my father in almost ten

years, and am here under severe circumstances ; though I think very hard that my opposing the oppression of the late times should render me obnoxious to the now most prevalent interest.

"I did lately write to Sir Henry Capell, desiring some assistance in order to the providing such necessaries as I want ; but I understand my Lord's losses have been such as he could not afford me any supply. I should be glad of ten pounds, though there is much more due, not by way of reward but just satisfaction ; nay, rather than nothing, should very willingly receive five." Desires him to use his influence with his Lordship.

REPAIR of the NAVY.

[1691, after April 21.]—"Remarks on the account of Sir Anthony Deane, Knt., and William Hewer, Esq., that was presented to the honourable the Commissioners for the Public Accounts of the kingdom" on the above date.

A criticism of the accounts, with a strong recommendation that such a statement should be regularly made ; that it would be not a little grateful to their Majesties, both Houses of Parliament and the whole nation, and would exceedingly conduce to the glory of the gentlemen concerned.

LETTER OFFICE.

[1691, July ?]—"A list of salaries due to the officers of the General Letter Office, at midsummer, 1691, for one quarter ;" and "money paid to postmasters" for the same period.

Endorsed, "Examined : Daniel Bridge."

WILLIAM HEWER to the COMMISSIONERS FOR TAKING THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS.

1691, August 12. Clapham.—Explaining at great length why the repair of the *Britannia* has necessitated a greater expenditure of time and money than was originally estimated ; and giving the names of the master shipwrights and their assistants at the several dockyards in 1688 ; and setting forth the excess of expenditure in repairs over the sums estimated in the instances of sixteen ships specified.

Enclosing a chronological account "of the state of the *Britannia* from the laying of her keel, June, 1679, to her delivery back to the present officers of the Navy from the late Commission in October, 1688" ; and a further justification of the conduct of the officials responsible for her building and repair.

NAVY ESTIMATE.

1691, October 31.—"An estimate of the charge of their Majesties' Navy for the year 1692," amounting to 1,855,054*l*.

NAVY ESTIMATE.

1691, November 10. Admiralty Office.—“An account of the numbers and rates of ships to be employed in their Majesties’ service at sea in the year 1692.”

First Rates, 5; Second Rates, 10; Third Rates, 33; Fourth Rates, 37; Fifth Rates, 29; and smaller vessels, yachts, ketches, fireships, etc., 51.

LORD PRESTON.

[1691, November.]—Questions to be put to Lord Preston by the House of Commons, with regard to the plot to restore King James. There are 38 questions. “Distinct answers to most if not all these heads may justly be expected from the Lord Preston; it being naturally supposed he would never have engaged in the late King’s plot if he had not been well assured there were persons in most of the offices and places above named false to their Majesties.”

[SIR] THOMAS CLARGES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1691, December 28.—“I herewith send you the bill I promised you and the breviat to it; but I have no copy of the breviat, and therefore I submit it to your consideration whether it may not be more convenient to deliver the bill and the breviat to Lord Castleton to-morrow morning in the House than to give it him this day, lest he should mislay the breviat. It has been great labour to transcribe this bill and make and transcribe a breviat between the time when I parted from you at eight in the evening on Saturday and eight this morning, considering that yesterday was a Sabbath day, and therefore I would not willingly have either of them embezzled.” *Signed.*

ANN BAGNALL, wife of Dudley Bagnall.—Abstract of Petition.

[1692, March.]—On her marriage, in 1668, certain lands were limited to her for life as a jointure, with a reversion to her husband, and a remainder to his first, second, third and fourth-sons and their heirs male, with a power by consent of George Mathew, her father, to charge the estate for preferment of younger children. In 1688 the said Dudley, having married his eldest daughter to Sir Gervas Clifton, charged the estate with a certain sum payable in part to Sir Gervas when he comes of age in April next, and with another sum for his other children, and ratified the former reversion and remainder and her own jointure. At and before the “Boyn battle” he was in a sickly condition and went into France, since which time he has done nothing in opposition to the Government. Prays that her right and her children’s may be preserved, and that some allowance may be made them. [*See Cal. S.P. Dom. 1691–1692, p. 190.*]

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1692, October 18. York Buildings.—It is reported that the King has landed at Harwich or Southwold Bay; some of the transports, and particularly Cutts' Regiment, are said to be cast away. The winds have been very high, but not fair enough, we think, for his Majesty to come to sea. "The officers who are lately come from Flanders have scarcely yet recovered good temper enough to be conversed with, except by Commonwealth's men."

NAVY DEBT.

1692, October 26, and 1693, November 15. Navy Office.—An estimate of the general debt of their Majesties' Navy as it stood at Michaelmas.

1690—1,070,799*l.* 10*s.* 3*d.*; 1691—1,102,146*l.*; 1692—1,406,433*l.* 4*s.*; 1693—1,782,597*l.*

The LINE of BATTLE.

1692.—"The English to lead with their larboard and the Dutch with their starboard tacks on board." [*Two copies.*]

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1693, March 27. Navy Office.—Inviting him to go to Woolwich on the following day. He will take him up at the Temple at half past nine, and bring him back by six in the evening.

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1693, June 13. Plymouth.—The Oporto fleet, of 28 sail, came in here yesterday. The Canary fleet, with some transports, have sailed to the eastward. On Thursday I go to Falmouth and shall return on the following Wednesday. I shall be home about the 27th or 28th inst.

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1693, June 20. Plymouth.—I am now in a fair way of coming home, having been at Falmouth, and hope to be at Exeter tomorrow night. This day we have news by the lieutenant of the *Portsmouth* that Sir John Ashby died last Tuesday; and that the *Victory*, which was said a week ago to be leaky and to have returned to Portsmouth, was with the fleet when he left.

RICHARD, EARL OF BELLOMONT and JAMES HAMILTON, Esq.—
Petition to the Queen.

1693, June 22.—Being informed that Thomas Lord Coningsby and Sir Charles Porter, late Lords Justices of Ireland, are passing warrants in the Earl of Nottingham's office in order to obtain a pardon for their maladministration, they pray that a stop may

be put to the passing of the said pardons until petitioners and others may produce their proofs against them. *Copy.*

Also duplicate of the above.

INTELLIGENCE FROM LISBON.

1693, July 3. Lisbon.—By an express from Faro we learn that “on the 26th past, the fleet, doubling the Cape, took a French fireship who gave them an account the ships they saw were seventeen French men-of-war, convoy to a great fleet of merchantmen; and some of our frigates began to chase, but to no purpose. Next morning Tourville came down upon them with 36 men-of-war, and the fight began by the *Woolwich*, *Exchange* and *Chatham*.” We have no particulars of the success of the fight. At Faro a great Smyrna ship run ashore and the captain blew her up; and by his example four more did the like on Cape St. Mary; four more burnt at Villanova, and three at another place, whether by the French or by themselves the express from Lagos does not say. He came thence on Sunday in the evening, at which time the French fleet was standing in with great rejoicing.

[Same date.]—“Several letters from passengers aboard the [Turkey] fleet give an account how they run ashore and burnt their ships, but they do not know how many are taken. . . . To-day we shall know the certainty, or at least more particulars of it; it will be very severe loss. God comfort the sufferers.”
Copies.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1693, August 2. Portsmouth.—Since I returned from the West I have had very little quiet; for this is the second time I have been here, besides once at Harwich. “Here I believe I shall abide three weeks or more to quicken the works of these new docks. We are affrighted in these parts about the news from Flanders; I pray God it prove other than is reported.”

The transport ships were discharged, but are again refitting. Next Tuesday we shall launch a 50-gun ship named the *Weymouth*.

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1693, August 10. Portsmouth.—“I am very sorry to find a good friend of mine, Sir Charles Hara, in your list among the wounded. God send him well again, and the King recruited and to look Luxembourg in the face again.

“I shall be confined here I doubt three weeks or a month more, to drive on a knotty work, but to the kingdom the most beneficial it ere knew . . . that is that in the dry dock, which is but a little part of the design, you shall receive first and second rates on spring tides, and from the third rates downwards every day in the year, neaps as well as springs. . . . I hope, ere the winter comes in, a good stroke will be given towards the finishing of it.”

[THE EARL OF BELLOMONT AND JAMES HAMILTON].

—Memorial to the Queen.

1693, August 17.—Expressing regret that their first petition was open to misinterpretation; and setting out the heads of grievances presented to the King by both Houses of Parliament, and their charges against the Lords Justices as confirmed by the depositions of several witnesses. Having further proofs which they hope to substantiate before parliament, they pray that a stop may be put to the pardons of Lord Coningsby and Sir Charles Porter until the next session of parliament.

Endorsed—"Paper delivered to her Majesty in Council on Thursday the 18th of August, 1693." [Thursday was the 17th, on which date this paper was given in. See Luttrell's *Brief Relation of State Affairs*, iii., 164.]

E. DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1693 ?] September 11.—"We have received orders this week to refix with all expedition the boats they call the well boats, to go upon some sudden enterprise as yet secret to me. They are to be attended with several fireships, brigantines, bomb-ketches, etc. The Admirals are still here with the great ships, and very uneasy to find themselves levelled at for this summer's unhappiness. Admiral Nevile, who carries the union-flag at the mizen topmast-head, is ordered, with the *Dreadnought*, for the Sound, and will sail with the first wind."

————— to ROBERT HARLEY, at Brampton.

1693, September 30. London.—Giving foreign news; and expressing regret that Harley is apprehensive of his "old distemper." They have examined the Victualling accounts and found the Commissioners much to blame for not pursuing instructions and so giving colour to the Admirals to lay the fault at their doors; though the fleet was sufficiently victualled to be able to go to Cadiz and return. *Seal with the initials R.B.*

Case of DR. OLDYS.

[1693, September.]—In July, 1692, it was resolved by the Lords of the Council that Englishmen or Irishmen, acting as privateers under a commission from King James, were to be tried as pirates, not having a commission from any king or sovereign power. But in November, 1692, when Dr. Oldys, Advocate of the Admiralty, was ordered to prosecute some such as pirates, he refused to do so, alleging that they were not pirates. He was accordingly summoned before the Cabinet Council in September, 1693, and called on to state his reasons. He replied that these men shewed a commission signed JAMES REX, at his court at the Castle of St. Germain, with instructions couched in the same terms as those given to our privateers, giving caution and security to bring the prizes to judgment in the Court of Admiralty at Brest or elsewhere; all which is contrary to the definition of piracy

or the character of a pirate, who is a sea robber. That if it was objected that King James, having parted with his crown, had no longer power to grant such commissions, he answered that a king may be deposed and deprived of his crown, but cannot lose his right to it. He has a right to wage war, and to all the consequences, among which is the power of granting letters of marque and reprisal. On the other hand, if he abdicated, he became a private person, and had no power to grant commissions. But the question is not whether King James has power to grant such commissions, but whether a privateer, acting by virtue of such a commission, not knowing that King James has abdicated, is not excused a *poena delicti*; for in such cases, a reputed power is equivalent to a real one. Now, it is notorious that King James was once a lawful king; that when his army deserted him he fled to his ally in France, who furnished him with forces, with which he went to Ireland, to recover his kingdom. In Ireland he granted commissions; but those who held these, if captured, were not treated as thieves and robbers, but as prisoners of war, whereby his claim seems to be allowed by his very enemies. That on the return of these officers to France, they repaired to King James as their king, and thought him as well empowered to grant commissions by sea as by land. The abdication was voted and is binding in England; but these gentlemen were in a foreign country and knew nothing of it; and though King James is no king here, yet the colour of authority remains; and the common acceptance of him there as king excuses them.

Sir Thomas Pinfold declared that he was of the same opinion as Dr. Oldys.

Dr. Newton and Dr. Waller desired time and refused to give their opinion.

Dr. Littleton said that King James was now a private person. He was not in a capacity of making war. He could neither send nor recall ambassadors, and those who adhered to him were not enemies but rogues, and the persons in question not privateers but pirates.

Dr. Tindall was of the same opinion as Dr. Littleton.

Upon this Dr. Oldys was removed from his place, and Dr. Littleton put into it.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1693, October 3.—Navy Office.—“Tis confidently said the fleet of France have been very sickly; 4,000 men dead at sea and as many put ashore at Toulon; and d’Estrée’s squadron laid up to man Tourville back for Brest. But to our reproach ’tis more confidently affirmed that the *Diamond*, with five or six merchantmen from the Barbadoes, were all taken off the coast of Ireland . . . ; and on board her, two chests of gold belonging to the African Company; and, ’tis said, without firing a gun.

“Our great ships are come to the Gun-Fleet,” but can get no further, by reason of the westerly winds. Nor have our bomb

vessels been able to stir westward, "so that the action meant on that side will not, I presume, be a secret in France, whatsoever it may be here. The Admirals came to town on Saturday. Sir George Rooke and Allemonde are on their way hither, in order, possibly, to debate the summer's action before the session.

"God send us better understandings, more charity and more money; the public weal requires it." *Signed.*

ROBERT HARLEY to the EARL OF RANELAGH.

1693, October 24.—Confessing himself "very ill qualified to be a beggar," and recommending Mr. Thomas Lloyd for a small pension in consideration of more than twenty years service to the Crown. *Draft.*

NAVY ACCOUNTS.

1693, October 27. Navy Office.—An account of the monies allowed for the service of the navy yearly in the several sessions of parliament during the present reign; shewing what has been received and what remained in arrear at Michaelmas, 1693.

Also, "An abstract of the money received in part of our estimates for extra service, over and above the general heads provided for by the parliament," including 50*l.* "for providing a medal for Mr. Robert Cason, master of the *Richard and John* of Ipswich," and 230*l.* "for medals and chains to eight persons."

NAVY LIST.

1693, October 31.—List of the Navy, and "establishment of men and guns."—First Rates, 7; Second Rates, 10; Third Rates, 41; Fourth Rates, 34; Fifth Rates, 26; Sixth Rates, fireships, yachts, etc., 85.

POST OFFICE.

1693, October 2.—Balance sheet of the Receiver General of the Post Office for one year, ending Michaelmas, 1693, showing a balance in cash of 732*l.* 12*s.* 8*d.*

PLACEMEN.

[1693, end of ?]—List of members of the House of Commons holding office under the Crown.

The members are arranged by counties, and in the alphabetical order of the counties. 97 names. [*Lord Cutts, elected Dec. 21, 1693, is inserted in Harley's hand.*]

[SIR] ROBERT DAVERS to SIR PETER COLLETON.

1693 [-4], February 24.—"Extraordinary business carries me out of town for four or five days. I set out to-morrow and hope you will be well enough to see Mr. 'Harlow' and Mr. Foley to speak to them to help us about the African Company: if they can but keep it off till I return, I doubt not but to undo what they did at the Committee. If you are not well enough to be

abroad, pray write to some of your friends. . . . Mr. Harlow has been very kind to us in the sugar business and in all things."

GUSTAVUS LANGE to ———.

1693-4, March 8. *Kingfisher*, in the Downs.—With the squadron under the command of Captain James Gother, they have been cruising to the north and off Dunkirk, to intercept du Bart. He slipped past them in a fog, got inside the banks and so into Dunkirk. The Commodore and Captain Hickeys of the *Kingfisher* have been sent for to the Admiralty.

THE LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY to the NAVY BOARD.

1693-4, March 19.—An order to give effect to the order in Council of February 22. *Copy.*

Enclosing copy of the said order, for doubling the sea-pay of all officers, and regulating the number of servants. *Printed.*

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

[1694, March.]—"Some reasons against Sir Charles Holt's bill : " being the defence pleaded by Andrew Fountaine's counsel.

JOURNAL OF ROBERT HENLY, one of the Commissioners of Transportations, sent to Portsmouth by order of Mr. Secretary Trenchard.

1694, May 14.—Went to Portsmouth to take up such ships as could be procured for embarking land forces, and to follow such directions as I should receive from Lieutenant-General Talmash.

May 16.—I gave the Lieutenant-General an account in writing, that the victualling agent had no orders to discharge any ships ; but that there were six nearly empty, which he would discharge, without orders, if the masters were willing to come into the transport-service.

May 18.—Most of the masters of the ships last arrived refused to have anything to do with us again till they were paid what was due to them for freights last year. The Major and another of Colonel Winn's officers came to me to know what provisions were laid in for their men ; and finding that it was only bread, cheese and beer, they seemed much concerned that they had not the same provisions as the foot on board the men-of-war.

May 20.—I waited on the General, who ordered me to forbear shipping the horses, and told me he found the men-of-war would receive the foot soldiers, and no ships being to be taken up at Portsmouth, I might return as soon as I would to London and acquaint the Secretary of State how I left things there, and that what shipping more should be necessary must be taken up in the Thames and sent after him. Several of the officers told me they had received orders the night before to have their men ready to put on board by five that morning, but that those orders were recalled.

LIST OF SHIPS.

1694, June 5.—“ A list of the English and Dutch ships designed for the Mediterranean compared with the French ships there.”

First Rates, 3 ; Second Rates, 9 ; Third Rates, 30 ; Fourth Rates, 5. [*Enclosed in the following letter.*]

ENGAGEMENT IN CAMARETT BAY.

1694, June. *Neptune*.—“ After our departure from St. Helens, which was on the 29th past, we met with little winds, veerable to the northward and to the southward of the west, and oftentimes calm. We used all imaginable diligence to get out of the Channel, which we did not accomplish till the 6th instant, at which time the wind being northerly Admiral Russell kept on to the south westward, with 32 English and 15 Dutch ships of the line of battle, and of both nations there were eleven fireships and six frigates, a list of which I here enclose, compared with the French in and gone to the Mediterranean.

“ My Lord Berkeley was left here with 18 English and 11 Dutch ships of the line of battle, and of both nations twelve fireships, six frigates, and five bomb vessels, and we had on board the fleet about 6,000 land soldiers. We directed our course to Ushant, in order to go to Brest, and on the 7th inst. we anchored in the evening with our fleet from St. Matthew's Point towards the narrow going into Brest Sound, keeping out of shot of the shore, but were entertained with bombs from the land on Camarett side, also from both sides going into Brest Sound, and along the north shore almost as far as St. Matthew's Point, and although we were out of gun shot, yet to my wonder the bombs reached where we rid, which I am confident was two miles and a half, rather more than less : I am also convinced by reasonable argument (contrary to my former opinion) that if one of the great shells fall into one of our ships and burst, it will quite disable if not destroy her. Here we rid all night taking care with our boats and brigantines, that the enemy made no insult on our storeships and small vessels.

“ The 8th instant in the morning, the weather very foggy ; but about 7 in the forenoon it cleared up, and the signal being made, we embarked all our land forces in our boats and tenders in order to land them in Camarett Bay ; but discovering there were forts and batteries of guns and lines and trenches all near where we intended to land, we sent seven frigates (three were English and four were Dutch) to batter the aforesaid fortifications, the better to facilitate the landing of the soldiers. The ships that went on this service were commanded by my Lord Carmarthen, who placed them with a great deal of skill, and performed his duty with much bravery and hazard.

“ Between 3 and 4 this afternoon four or five hundred of our soldiers landed (most of them grenadiers), and Lieutenant-General Talmash landed with them ; but they were so warmly received by the enemy that Talmash was shot through the thigh, and with difficulty was brought off ; and the rest not being able to advance by reason of the entrenchments and

fortifications and number of horse and foot upon the shore, in so much that most of our men that landed were either killed or taken prisoners, the rest of our soldiers returned on board, we having lost five of our well boats which were grounded and left on shore, which the enemy burnt upon the retreat of the soldiers. My Lord Carmarthen came off with the ships, all but one of the Dutch frigates of 32 guns. I am pretty well satisfied the French knew of our coming, and may easily be persuaded they knew where we intended to land, they being more particularly fortified at that place, though at other places they had industriously provided to oppose our landing. I suppose about 300 English and Dutch seamen suffered in this skirmish, that is, were killed, wounded or taken prisoners, for several men suffered in our well boats, and other boats, and few men escaped from the Dutchman that was sunk.

"This evening at a council of war it was considered whether we could attempt any other place with the land forces; but General Talmash declared he had not power to carry the forces anywhere else. It was also considered if the fleet could not go into Brest Sound, and bomb the town. It was thought too great a hazard to go in with the fleet, unless we could be certain we could ride without reach of their bombs; for 'tis most of our opinions that if a shell break in any ship it will disable if not destroy her. Then 'twas considered if the frigates and bomb vessels might not go in and bomb the town: that was also thought unreasonable and impracticable, first, because our number of frigates are few, and those much disabled by this day's action; secondly, we knew not the enemy's strength, which might probably be sufficient to take both frigates and bombs; and thirdly, our number of bomb vessels, being but five, is very insufficient to perform so great an undertaking. In all this you see we make no difficulty of passing their castles, there being no other danger than the hazard of their shot and bombs, which they can ply at you all the way through the narrow land, the rock in the middle of the narrow: therefore 'twas concluded we should return to Spithead and there expect further orders.

"So that on the 9th, in the morning, we weighed and stood to sea. About noon I saw four galleys; they came down the Channel and went into Brest. This evening the *Dreadnought* sailed for England with General Talmash.

"10th.—To-day, about 11 leagues to the northward of Brest, the *Elizabeth* came to us with eight bomb vessels.

11th.—"To-day a Dutch Rear Admiral with four great ships from Holland joined us."

Endorsed.—"Mr. Dummer."

Case of EDWARD KETELBY of Ludlow, Gent.

1694, July 5.—Against Mr. Aaron Smith, upon petition before their Majesties in Council, for payment of moneys due to him for his management of the commission of enquiry into the estates

of the Marquis of Powis. Prays to have a fair and speedy hearing and relief.

[See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1557-1696, p. 375].

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1694, September 16. Plymouth.—“This evening sailed the *Hampton Court* westward to cruise, and the *Ruby* to Portsmouth. . . . The Straits convoy with the victuallers passed by this place on Thursday night. Our docks here are finished, and we purpose to take in the water on Tuesday, they being in all respects fitting for use, saving the cleaning the mouth of the basin from the dam that stands before it, which will be done in a few days.”

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1694, September 29. Bristol.—“I am this afternoon come to this place to give, if I can, some little spirit to the finishing of a ship of 60 guns which is building here by contract. She should have been launched some months since, but the matter hath met with many rubs.

“The people here do not generally accord well; and its influence sooner reaches the public than private affairs, of which this work hath tasted a good portion. I hope to be at Portsmouth on Thursday, and then suddenly home.”

THOMAS NORRIS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1694, October 11. Speake.—“The last post I received yours, and the next day went to Liverpool, where I had an opportunity to represent Mr. Molesworth as a gentleman commended by one I durst entirely rely upon. I found them all engaged either to the Mayor or Mr. Brotherton. The Mayor hath all the common people, and the other all the Aldermen, who think they can work off most of the Mayor’s friends; though at present he hath three for one. Both sides are very positive and resolved. A brother of mine . . . came down to proffer his service to the Corporation, but he was told they were engaged; and I think it in vain for any new interest to be made so late.

“I am told the gentlemen taken in this country for treason will be speedily tried at Manchester. I desire to hear what will be proved against them, and if anything worth your notice happens I will give you an account of it.”

CAPTAIN BARFOORD.

1694, July to November.—Memorandum that Captain Barfoord, commander of the Danish frigate *Guldenlew*, on coming into the Downs refused to lower his pendent to the English fleet, whereupon Sir Cloudesley Shovell ordered the Captain of the *Stirling Castle* to fire a broadside. Three Danes were killed, and the frigate was taken into the river and detained till the King of Denmark made submission and reparation.

Abstract of the text of the said submission and reparation as entered in the Council books at Copenhagen, September 4, 1694. *French.*

Also—Translation of the same as read before the King in Council at Whitehall, November 15, 1694. *Copy.*

And—Order in Council for the discharge of such Swedish and Danish ships as were lately “brought up” by an order of the Queen. *Dated* July 12, 1694. *Copy.*

NAVY LISTS.

1695, April 10.—“Remarks upon the methodizing and keeping an account of the several lists of the Royal Navy received from the Navy Office; and upon the defects of the said lists, which commence on the 5th November, 1688.”

The writer proposes as a remedy: (1) that the lists received from the Navy Office between 1688 and 1694 may be corrected by comparison with the originals; and (2) that for the future exact lists of ships added, diminished or altered may be issued every half year.

THE MAYOR AND CORPORATION OF POOLE to the LORDS COMMISSIONERS OF THE ADMIRALTY.

1695, May 31.—Certificate that on May 20, 1694, Peter Jolliff, of the said town and county, mariner, master of the *Sea Adventure*, a small hoy of about 25 tons, being with the said hoy at Weymouth, saw a French privateer sloop of 4 pattereroes stand into Weymouth Road and take out a ketch belonging to the town; on which, assisted by some of the inhabitants of Weymouth with small arms, he manned the hoy and gave chase to the privateer and her prize, retook the prize, and forced the privateer ashore near Lulworth, where she was broken in pieces; the men, 24 in number, were secured by Jolliff, who landed six of them at Weymouth, and brought the other 18 to Poole, whence they were sent under a guard to the gaol at Portsmouth. The commission of the French captain and the examinations of the prisoners were sent up to the Admiralty by Jolliff soon after the caption of the privateer.

Further, that on May 28, Peter Jolliff, in the same hoy, being with other boats a fishing, and having with him only two boys and one small gun mounted and some small arms, was chased by another French privateer sloop of two pattereroes and 18 men, belonging to Cherbourg. Jolliff defended himself and the other fishing boats till they got safe into Swanage Bay, where he took on board some volunteers with small arms, and gave chase to the privateer, and was coming up with her; but espying another privateer of greater force, which he judged to be her consort, he gave over the chase and returned to Swanage.

Further, that on May 30, the same privateer sloop chased a small hoy of this place, of which William Thompson is master, and then out fishing, having with him only one man and a boy. He had two small guns mounted and some small arms, and made

ready to defend himself, which he did with such good success that in little time he wounded the captain, lieutenant and six men, and put the privateer to flight. Whereupon Thompson pursued, came up with the privateer, and after firing on her for two hours the privateer struck and the captain called for quarter. Thompson took the captain and 15 men prisoners; two of these he left at Corfe Castle, and the captain and 13 he brought here; the other two, making up the 18 with which she sailed out of Cherbourg, had been sent in a prize belonging to Lymington which the privateer took the day before. *Copy.*

Signed by Tho. Smith, Mayor, *and 19 others.*

Endorsed—"Poole Heroes."

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1695, June 24. Portsmouth.—"Lord Berkeley, having been a little to the westward of Portland, met there with a hard gale and hurry-durry dark weather, is this moment returned to an anchor at St. Helens. I hear yet of no damages among them." *Signed.*

JOHN FREKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1695, July 19.—"I have a due sense of my obligation to you for the news you sent me; but you must know 'tis against the rules of this place to answer letters. We must not read or write when we drink the waters; and if we offer to transgress this law, we are sufficiently punished for it: the waters fly up in our heads and leave no room for thought, so that whatever we write will be more insipid than the waters themselves."

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1695, August 25. Portsmouth.—Sir George Rooke came hither on Wednesday, and is earnest on his departure. The chief hindrance will be want of men, and there is no way of supply but to turn over from the ships lately arrived from the Straits; which is a heart-breaking case to many, and will entirely destroy the vigour of our navigation if some relief be not found to the unconcionable slavery of seamen.

"The squadron designed with Admiral Rooke is:—The *Queen*, 100 guns; *Royal William*, 100; *Neptune*, 90; *Vanguard*, 90; *Shrewsbury*, *Chichester*, *Cambridge*, *Newark*, *Dorsetshire*, 80; *Yarmouth*, *Gloucester*, 70; *Litchfield*, *Coventry*, 50; *Lyme*, 32, and *Sandadoes*, 16." *Signed.*

E[DMUND] D[UMMER] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1695, September 10. Portsmouth.—"Sir George Rooke will sail this day to St. Helens. The soldiers embarked yesterday. . . . Allemonde with the Dutch were blown hither by the late storm."

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS.

1695, September 14.—The Commissioners of Customs.—Further return to the Commissioners for examining public accounts to a precept of 1695, July 19 ; giving details of the duty charged on ships imported and gauged in the out-ports during the year ending June 1st, 1695. *Signed*.

Enclosing :—Tabular list of ships, with details, from the several out-ports.

Also similar list from London and the out-ports, for the same period.

Endorsed by Harley. “Received and read September 16.”

CAPTAIN KIDD.

1695, October 10.—“Articles of Agreement between the Earl of Bellomont of the one part, and Robert Levingston, Esquire, and Captain William Kidd of the other part.”

Two copies ; one imperfect. (A printed copy exists in the British Museum, 816, m. 5, 27.)

NAVY LISTS.

[About 1695, December.]—“Abstracts of the lists of the ships of the Royal Navy from November 5th, 1688 to November 5th, 1695,” and a list of the ships lost, captured or in any way diminished during the same period.

[*This list is somewhat fuller than that given in H. of C. Journals, vol. xi. p. 351.*]

SIR GEORGE ROOKE to WILLIAM FOWLER, at Genoa.

1695-6, January 29. *Queen* at Cadiz.—Mr. Russell and I missed each other in our passage out and home ; and though he did not leave me any paper mentioning either you or your services, yet I am well satisfied of your zeal and good intention, and I shall very willingly allow you any encouragement that may enable you to procure the intelligence you mention of the enemy's proceedings. I must desire you to exhibit to me a kind of scheme of what you propose and what you think the charge of it may be ; and I should be glad if you would get a letter of recommendation to me from my Lord Galway.

WILLIAM SHARRETT, late supervisor in the Revenue of Excise.—Memorial to the House of Commons.

[About 1696, January.]—Setting forth his services from 1678 to 1694. Having detected certain frauds and abuses in the management of the Excise in Wales, he obtained letters of recommendation to several of the Commissioners of Excise, and presented a written account of his discoveries. But Mr. Parry, one of the commissioners, finding himself implicated in the said frauds, put him off with fair promises until he had procured

evidence alleging that Sharrett had drunk King James' health and had absented himself from his business, and thereupon discharged him, in November, 1694. Eight months later he obtained an audience with the Commissioners and answered the charges; but was put off for five months more, when Mr. Danvers finally told him: "you should never be employed, should the King send his own letter, whilst I sit at this Board"; whereupon Sharrett departed, "leaving them in a sort of distraction in their wilful ignorance, and the revenue in the highway to ruin."

Protests his loyalty and his willingness to serve in any branch of the revenue.

COMMITTEE OF TRADE.

[1696, March ?]—"A letter to a member of parliament concerning a committee of trade." Indicating the benefits to be obtained from the establishment of a council for the regulation of commerce and manufactures.

NAVY ESTIMATE.

1696, October 1. Navy Office.—"An abstract of an ordinary estimate for the Navy for one year according to the number of ships now belonging to it and those at this time in building, if they were all in harbour at a time of peace: as also what the charge thereof would be supposing 48 of them were employed at sea," and a scheme for the disposition of these 48 ships, being of third or lesser rating, and carrying 7,355 men at 4*l.* 5*s.* a month per man.

NAVY ESTIMATE.

1696, October 12.—"An abstract of the ordinary estimate of the Navy prepared January 1, 1685, for that ensuing year: as also of that prepared October 1, 1696, whereby is shown how much the charge of the latter exceeds the former."

The excess amounts to 236,230*l.* 12*s.* 9*d.*; or, with the abatement for ships supposed to be employed at sea, to 224,250*l.* 12*s.* *Two copies.*

DEFENCE OF SIR GEORGE ROOKE'S CONDUCT.

[1696.]—It does not appear, "in any of Sir George Rooke's papers, that the Lords of the Admiralty had any thought of intercepting the French fleet from getting into Brest, before April 25, 1696; the day Sir George Rooke's fleet arrived in the Downs."

1. In their Lordship's letter of April 25 they ordered him to proceed with the fleet to Spithead; and of April 29 to proceed into the Soundings.

2. On March 3, 6 and 24 their Lordships sent orders to Plymouth for Sir George Rooke's fleet to go to the Downs, 30 leagues further from Brest than Portsmouth, and 60 further than Plymouth.

3. There were no victuals in the Downs, so that Sir George was forced to go back to Portsmouth, and there the victuallers were unready to give him despatch.

4. At the Downs he left 1,000 men behind him in sick quarters. Had they been put ashore at Portsmouth they might have been recovered and put aboard again before Sir George sailed from Spithead.

5. None of the ships, ordered on April 25 to join him, had been previously ordered to get ready.

6. Nor had the ships appointed for the convoy to Portugal any order to join him till after their Lordships had received Sir George's letter of April 30, complaining of want of ships.

7. In his letter of May 2 Sir George wrote to the Lords of the Admiralty that had he not been ordered to the Downs he would by then have been ready to sail to the Soundings.

8. If their Lordships had had any thoughts of intercepting the Toulon squadron, they ought to have sent a reinforcement to meet Sir George in the Soundings; but the first were sent to Sir George himself after his arrival in the Downs.

9. It was May 5 before Sir George got without St. Helens, and May 9 before he had his final orders to cruise 15 leagues westward of Ushant, to intercept the Toulon squadron; whereas the Toulon squadron arrived at Brest on May 15 new style. The Lords of the Admiralty were so far from having any prospect to intercept the Toulon squadron that they had not appointed a clean frigate to keep the French privateers from insulting Sir George at pleasure; and they have generally sent foul ships to cruise, as is sadly but truly proved by the many ships of war given away to the French since the Revolution.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1697, March 26.—“Reasons against a duty on lead.” *Two copies.*

NAVY LISTS.

[About 1697, April].—“A list of the ships of the Navy Royal of England of the first, second, third and fourth ranks, of which are composed the lines of battle: what remained the 5th of November, 1688, and what have since been anyways added, diminished and altered to the 31st of March, 1697, collected out of originals from the Navy Office.” Shewing 116 ships in being on the latter date, and 12 more nearly ready to be launched; together with 181 ships of lesser rating.

EDWARD RUSSELL.

[1697, May 7].—Preamble to letters patent creating Edward Russell Baron Russell of Shingey, Viscount Barfleur, and Earl of Orford. *Latin. [Copy.]*

COINAGE OF SCOTLAND.

1697, May 14.—Account of the state of the coin in Scotland.

GENERAL DENOMINATION.—The same as in England: viz., Pound, Shilling, Penny and Mark. The Scots pound has in it “the twelfth part quantity of silver which the English has; being almost the same with the French *livre* . . . so that a pound in Scots money answers only to 20*d.* English; a shilling Scots to a penny English; and a penny Scots to the third part of a farthing English.” Similarly a Scots mark is worth the twelfth part of an English mark.

SPECIAL DENOMINATION.—In silver: forty-shilling pieces, the largest coined since the reign of Charles II; twenty-shilling pieces; ten-shilling pieces; and five-shilling pieces; all of which are current coin and bear the same relation of $\frac{1}{12}$ to the English coinage.

Before the reign of the late King James there were four-mark pieces; two-mark pieces; marks; half-marks; forty-penny pieces; and twenty-penny pieces. Also three-pound pieces, and five-and-forty shilling pieces; both of the coin of King James the Sixth. Also many old marks and half-marks stamped with the Thistle on the one side and the Arms of Scotland on the other; whereas those coined since the Restoration of Charles II bear the Arms of the three kingdoms and of France on one side and King Charles’ effigy on the other.

“There were also then remaining some pieces of copper with a small tincture of silver called *Atchisons* from the name of the coiner; having the value of eight pennies Scots.

“The particular denominations of pure copper money in Scotland are two: a *Babee* containing six pennies Scots; . . . and a *Twa-pennies*, *Bodle* or *Turner* containing two pennies Scots; . . . two of which, answering to a third part of a penny English, are called a *Plac*.

“The *Atchisons* are quite worn out; as also almost all the three-pound, and five-and-forty shilling pieces, except such as are hoarded, or reserved for curiosity: likewise the greatest part of the old and later marks with the other pieces which consist of the multiplication or division of the denomination *mark*.” Those that remain are raised in value, the four-mark piece by 4*3d* English, and the other coins in proportion.

“The chief bulk of the Scots coin at present consists of those four species relating to pounds, and the multiplication or division of decimals, which I have first named amongst the particular denominations; and which are most easily reducible to even count of English money . . . All the monies coined since the beginning of the reign of the late King James are of those four kinds.”

STANDARD FINENESS.—The same as in England; answering in the pound troy of 12 ounces to 11 ounces, 2 pennyweight fine silver, and 18 pennyweight of alloy.

QUANTITY OF SILVER.—This “in a Scots forty-shilling and twenty-shilling piece, or in any other so many pieces of any

species as answer to a crown English, is four pence English less than is in a crown, or in any other so many pieces as make a crown or five shillings in England. And so proportionably in all other quantities of money. Which difference of quantity of silver in the Scots coin from that in England answers to a deficiency of 6*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* English *per cent.*

"The coin is so ordered in Scotland to prevent its being melted down and fashioned into plate.

"There is no contract betwixt the two nations relating to coin."

Endorsed.—"Given in to the Lords of the Treasury by J.H."

PETER JENNINGS, Agent for Prizes in America.

[1697, September 9?]-Account of receipts, disbursements and cravings from June 8 to September 9, 1697; showing a balance due to the Commissioners of Prizes of 13*l.* 17*s.*

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1697, October 19. London.—"This day the peace has been proclaimed here with great solemnity. It takes place in the British and North Seas after the 22nd of September last; from thence to Cape St. Vincent after the 22nd instant; beyond the Cape to the Equinoctial (both in the Mediterranean and Ocean) after the 19th November, and after the 10th of March throughout the whole world.

"Brigadier Erle with some other officers in their coming from Flanders were taken at sea and carried into Dunkirk by a privateer of that place, and ransomed themselves at 4,000 crowns. After landing they left two captains for hostages, and went (the rest) to Reswick, where the French Minister, being made acquainted with the matter, gave order immediately for discharging the hostages and acquitting them from the ransom.

"It is said the Prince of Conti, whose party in Poland increases, intends to winter in Denmark, being invited or encouraged by that Prince. He can do nothing at present in Poland, and this will serve for an amusement; give time to the Pope (who it is said underhand favours his pretensions) and the French King, etc., to concert the Catholic interest; and probably to obtain forces from Denmark to assist his party in Poland.

"The French, finding themselves not a match for the whole confederacy, may find their interest in working severally on particulars. The Cantons may be the subject of their power, and others of their policy; but the Reformation has thrown off a darkness that will not easily be brought to o'erspread the minds of men again, and we shall surely overcome at last.

"Mons. Nesmond's unsuccessful coming home is worth consideration. God grant our trade and navigation may fall into the best hands, though you may have less leisure than you have at present."

NAVY ESTIMATES.

1697, December 10. Navy Office.—“An estimate of the charge of the wear and tear, wages, victuals, and ordnance stores of 10,000 men for thirteen months to be employed at sea; and of the ordinary and extra charge of his Majesty's Navy for the next year;” amounting respectively to 552,500*l.* 308,006*l.* 2*s.* 6*d.*; and 150,000*l.*

NAVY LISTS.

[1698, early in ?]—“A list of the ships that were in sea pay on January, 1st, 1697[-8], and an account of what was then due and in arrear of pay to those ships; and also what has been paid to them since that time, and where the said ships then were.”

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

[1698, January ?]—“Reasons for making the statutes of Edward the First and Edward the Sixth as effectual for punishment of destroying enclosures in the day time as they are in the night.”

COLONEL JOHN FORWARD'S Case.

[1698, February ?]—Being High Sheriff of the county of Donegal in the year 1688, he had a special command from the then government of Ireland to disarm all Protestants in his county, and to administer an oath to each person to discover their own arms. Being thus forewarned he secretly procured supplies of arms and powder from Holland, wherewith he furnished the city of Derry during the siege; which service so enraged the Irish that they destroyed his estate, and left him and his family destitute. He prays for relief from the House of Commons, seeing that the case and sufferings of Derry are now to be taken into consideration. Mr. Robert Price will move the case.

JOHN GOUDETT.

1698, June 24.—“Instructions for managing the impeachment against John Goudett and others.” [*See* Parl. Hist. v. p. 1175.]

KING'S TRUMPETERS.

[1698, June ?]—Receipt of several of his Majesty's trumpeters in ordinary to Mathias Shore, sergeant trumpeter, for their fees and liveries to October 19, 1693. With further receipt for their fees of honour to May 31, 1698. *Copy.*

DR. WILLIAM BRIGGS.—Petition to the King.

1698, July 12.—Has been a physician at St. Thomas' Hospital in Southwark, and is one of the King's physicians in

ordinary, and as such has tended several servants of the Royal household, as appears by the certificate annexed. Prays for a yearly salary, such as is given to other sworn servants of the King.

Endorsed with the said certificate.

Enclosed is a rough draft for the above petition in Harley's handwriting.

FEUDAL DUES.

[1698, January—June.]—Reasons against passing the bill entitled “An Act to take away the payments of Smoak silver, Peter's pence or common fine payable at the sheriff's turn.”

LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY to ———

1698, June 29, July 9 and 18.—Orders to fit out the *Dolphin* at Portsmouth, for a foreign voyage, with all possible despatch. Captain Hunter is to command her, and Captain Humphry Blower, her late commander, and all her officers and company (except Captain Hunter and the warrant officers and their allowed servants) are to be borne on board one of the ships in ordinary for wages and victuals, till they shall be paid off. [*Copy.*]

ELECTION PAMPHLET.

[1698, July ?]—“Some cautions offered to the consideration of those who are to choose members to serve in the next parliament.” 32 *pages.*

NAVY ACCOUNTS.

[After 1698, August.]—“An abstract of what orders have been given for the passing of any accounts for the Navy since the beginning of the late war.” *Last date given*, “August 31, 1698.”

NAVAL AFFAIRS.

1695-6, February 21.—1698, October 26.—Letter book containing copies of correspondence between the Lords of the Admiralty and the Commissioners of the Navy on the salaries of clerks (1696), and on the reduction of the number and salaries of clerks “now the war is over” (1697-8). Also an order to the Navy Board to pay Sir Charles Hedges, Judge of the High Court of Admiralty, 400*l.* a year under the head of pensions, in lieu of the 400*l.* hitherto paid out of contingent money “in consideration of his attendance on and reports to his Majesty.”

CAPTAIN RIGBY.

1698, November 15—December 13.—Correspondence between Josiah Burchett and the Navy Board, as to the suspension of Captain Rigby of the *Dragon*, and the stoppage of his pay pending his trial by court martial. *Copy.*

NAVY LISTS.

[About 1698.]—"A list of the thirty-five ships of the first, second, third and fourth rates that were burned, blown up by sundry accidents, cast away, taken or destroyed by the French, or anyways diminished from the Royal Navy in the nine years war from the end of the year 1688 to the beginning of the year 1698.

Rate.		Guns	How and Where Lost.	When.
4 {	<i>Sedgmore</i>	50	Cast away in Margats Bay.....	2 Jan. 1688
	<i>Portsmouth</i> ...	46	Blown up at sea by the French...	9 Aug. }
3 {	<i>Pendennis</i>	70	Cast away on the Kentish Knock ...	26 Oct. }
	<i>St. David</i>	54	Sunk in Portsmouth Harbour ...	11 Nov. }
4 {	<i>Centurion</i>	48	} Cast away at Plymouth	25 Dec. }
	<i>Henrietta</i>	62		
	<i>Ann</i>	70		
3 {	<i>Breda</i>	70	Burned by the French three miles westward of Rye	6 July }
	<i>Dreadnought</i> ...	64	Blown up in Cork Harbour	12 Oct. }
2 {	<i>Victory</i>	82	Foundered off the North Foreland ...	16 „ }
	<i>Mary Rose</i>	42	Cast on survey as irreparable ...	20 Feb. }
4 {	<i>Coronation</i>	90	Taken at sea by the French	12 July }
2 {	<i>Harwich</i>	70	Cast away off Ramhead	3 Sept. }
3 {	<i>Exeter</i>	70	Cast away at Plymouth	3 „ }
	<i>Happy Return</i> ..	54	Blown up at Plymouth	12 „ }
	<i>Jersey</i>	42	Taken by French off Cape Hogue ..	5 Nov. }
	<i>Swallow</i>	42	Taken by French, West Indies ...	18 Dec. }
	<i>Portland</i>	46	Cast away on the Irish Coast ...	8 Feb. }
4 {	<i>Phoenix</i>	42	} Burned to avoid being taken by a squadron of French men-of-war, near Malaga	} 12Ap. }
	<i>Norwich</i>	42		
	<i>Windsor Castle</i> ..	90	Blown from the Leeward Islands in a hurricane, not heard of since	6 Oct. }
2 {	<i>Anthelope</i>	48	Cast away on the Goodwin, going into the Downs	28 April }
	<i>Diamond</i>	48	Cast on survey as irreparable ...	11 July }
4 {	<i>Mordant</i>	40	Taken by French off Cape Cleer..	20 Sept. }
	<i>St. Albans</i>	50	Cast away, West Indies, at Cuba ..	21 Nov. }
	<i>Lumley Castle</i> ..	56	Cast away off Kingsale	8 Dec. }
3 {	<i>Cambridge</i>	70	} Cast away on the east side of Gibraltar.....	19 Feb. }
	<i>Sussex</i>	80		
4 {	<i>Dartmouth</i>	48	Taken by French in Soundings...	4 Feb., 1694 }
3 {	<i>Hope</i>	70	Taken by French off the Lizard..	16 April }
4 {	<i>Winchester</i>	60	Cast away at Cape Florida, West Indies.....	24 Sept. }
1 {	<i>Royal Sovereign</i> ...	100	} Burned by accident at Chatham	27 Jan. }
	<i>Carlisle</i>	60		
	<i>Tyger Prize</i> ...	46		28 „ }
4 {	<i>Hampshire</i>	40	Cast on survey; made a break-water at Sheerness	14 Feb. }
			Destroyed by the French at Hudson's Bay	26 Aug., 1697

Abstract.

RATE.

	1	2	3	4	TOTAL.
Burned, blown up by sundry accidents and cast away	1	2	8	10	21
Cast on survey, as irreparable	—	1	—	2	3
Taken or destroyed by the French”	—	—	2	9	11
	1	3	10	21	35

ELECTION PAMPHLET.

1698.—“ A prospect taken of England divided in the election of the next Parliament.

“ Courtiers, so called :

King William,	whose design is	To preserve his title, govern the nation according to law, and preserve the balance of Europe.
King William's Court,		To keep their offices in order to support the government.
The Moderate Churchmen,		To preserve the King and Protestant religion.
The Dissenters,		To preserve the legal indulgence which the King and the present government have given them: by giving such part of their estates in taxes as is necessary to preserve the whole.

“ The New Country-Party, so called :

The late King James,	whose design is	To recover the possession of their places, and bring in Popery and arbitrary power.
The Non-Jurors and Non-Associators,		To restore themselves by restoring the late King James.
The High Churchmen,		To repeal the legal indulgence, enlarge their persecuting power and hinder all reformation.
The Papists,		To bring in Popery, and in order to it confusion: under pretence of easing the people of taxes.

“ Now, Englishmen and Protestants, choose your side.”
Printed.

Case of MR. THOMAS COLBY, Senior.

[1698 ?]—"The Lords of the Admiralty (among officers belonging to the navy) retrenched the assistant of the Controller of the Victualling, under whose inspection were about five millions of money, appropriated for the victualling to the Navy during this long and expensive war, of which vast sum very little has been hitherto accounted for.

"The Navy Board in their answer to the Lords of the Admiralty, dated the 19th of July last, represented it as an act very unseasonable to dismiss an officer, who had served in the said office about thirty years, whose ability and integrity the Navy Board attested in their said answer to the Lords of the Admiralty upon their orders concerning the increase of salaries.

"This officer was retrenched July, 1698, notwithstanding he was in the establishment before the year '91, and in the ordinary estimate of the Navy.

"The retrenchment of such an officer seems to proceed from a jealousy of his too near examination of such a vast sum as five millions of the public money, some of which possibly may have been misapplied."

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

[1698-1700.]—Tabular list of the places represented in the House of Commons, and of the members sitting for each, arranged in line with the names of their seats in alphabetical columns for the session 1698-1700.

Also alphabetical lists of the seats and of the members in the same session, with corresponding numbers.

MARTIN BECKMAN.

1698-9, January 19.—Certificate that Paul Robert Lamouline served as captain of one of the wool-packs in Flanders, and at the descent in Camaret Bay, for which service there is due to him from November 1st, 1692, to March 31st, 1693, 151 days at 10s. *per diem*, amounting to 75*l.* 10s., which arrears were paid nearly five years ago to Captain Ricotier, and other foreigners then under Beckman's command.

Endorsed that Captain Lamouline is Mr. Brocas' son-in-law.

PERQUISITES IN THE NAVY.

1698-9, January 26.—Reasons for allowing the deputy of the Paymaster of the Navy to take poundage for collecting slop-clothes, dead men's clothes, minister's fourpences, surgeons' twopences, tobacco, and money for the chest at Chatham.

RESOLUTIONS CONCERNING THE STATE OF THE NAVY.

1698-9, February 16.—"That it appears to this Committee by the papers referred to them by the House delivered in by the

Commissioners of the Admiralty that there hath been introduced many new and unnecessary charges upon the Navy to the great damage of the kingdom.

“ That the Commissioners of the Admiralty have assumed to themselves a power and authority in passing accounts and making orders for extraordinary payments of money without his Majesty’s or the Privy Council’s directions therein, contrary to the ancient practice of that office.

“ That the procuring his Majesty’s sign manual for passing an account for contingencies of the fleet to the amount of 18,666*l.* without sufficient vouchers for the same tends to the overthrowing the regular methods of passing accounts in the Navy and is prejudicial to the public.

“ That the taking of poundage from the chest at Chatham, dead men’s clothes, ministers and surgeons, tobacco and slop-clothes is without warrant, and ought to be accounted for.

“ That the passing the account for victualling the fleet in the Mediterranean for the years 1694 and 1695 by virtue of a privy seal without regular vouchers for the same or oaths made for the prime cost of the provisions for that service is of ill example, and of dangerous consequence.

“ That it is inconsistent with the welfare of the Navy to have the same person Commissioner of the Admiralty and Treasurer of the Navy.” *Draft in Harley’s handwriting.*

NORTHAMPTONSHIRE PETITION.

[1699,] February 22.—The High Sheriff, freeholders and owners of pasture and meadow ground in the county of Northampton.—Petition to the House of Commons.

They are accustomed to rent parcels of meadow land to butchers, who graze lean cattle thereon and sell them alive as fat cattle in the public markets, and are enabled to pay their rent out of the profits. But recently these butchers have been prosecuted by common informers for selling fat cattle; so that petitioners cannot find fit tenants for their lands nor pay the King’s taxes owing to the fall in the value of pasture land. They pray that butchers may be permitted to sell alive the cattle that they feed in rented lands. 118 *signatures, including* Thomas Langham, Sheriff and John Hoare, mayor of Northampton.

[NOTES FOR A SPEECH IN PARLIAMENT.]

1698-9, March 9.—“ You have now the state of the Navy under your consideration, which though of great consequence, yet other business of the House hath for some time diverted your proceedings. It is now very requisite you should give as quick a dispatch to this as may be; I hope one day more will finish your Committee, that gentlemen who will begin to look towards the country may have the satisfaction of having made some progress towards redressing those many evils which have

so long reigned in that part of the Government which we depend upon so much for our security. I must confess the disease is inveterate, the malady is obstinate and refuses any less cure than that of a parliament; many have been the attempts in former parliaments without success, and this hath encouraged the ill practices that they began to be looked upon above punishment and to pass everywhere without account. Give me leave to observe the steps you have already made towards a remedy, then I will open some further part of those evils to which you are yet to give a stop.

I. You have condemned the stay of the Straits squadron first, you have condemned:

1. Misapplication of money.
2. Carelessness [?] of trade.
3. Partiality.

II. The assuming a power of disposing money to Mr. Priestman, one of your own board.

III. The victualling the fleet by the Commander, which is another instance of partiality and enriching private men at the public cost.

IV. Captain Price;” and further rough notes. *In Harley's handwriting.*

EDMUND DUMMER.

1698-9, March 8-18.—An abstract of the substance of Fitch's accusation; of Mr. Dummer's reply laid before the Lords of the Admiralty on March 8 and 15; and what passed at the hearing of both parties before the said Lords on March 18.

OBSERVATIONS ON NAVAL MATTERS.

[1699, March ?]—Among the many abuses that have crept into the Navy, a very dangerous custom is the building ships by contract “or by the great, as they call it . . . , as a necessitous man in haste would purchase a suit of clothes in Birchin Lane.” It has been introduced by artful officers, interested in the profits arising from such contracts; and over and above the exorbitant profits arising from the contracts are those arising from the bad material and bad workmanship, so that in time of action contract ships are proved to be no way able to answer the uses of the navy. They are not of sufficient strength to cruise; they are not able to carry their establishment of guns, which rack them to pieces, spring leaks and send them to port for repairs. Such ships, over and above their excessive cost and the charge for repairs, are worn out in less than half the time of those built in the King's yards. To illustrate this let the House single out two ships of the third rate, that is of a medium size, built one in one of the King's yards, the other by contract. I will name one particular ship, the *Cumberland*, built by contract at Bursledon; compare this with one built at Portsmouth, Chatham or in the King's yards on the Thames. Let the officers who have commanded or served in

these ships be called and examined as to their strength, sailing, working, cruising, riding, bearing of sail, carrying of guns and the like ; I make no question that there will be found a manifest difference between the two. Let the Commissioners of the Navy be obliged to produce their books and lay before the House a fair account of the charge of repairs done to these two ships, from their launching and first going to sea to this day. There have been ships built by contract since this war that have cost the public 2,000*l.* in fitting and repairing before they had done five pounds of service, and perhaps the ship I have named may be of that number. In a word, the building of ships by contract for the service of the Navy Royal of England is an insupportable abuse ; it ought to be censured and forbid for the future.

There is a great deal to be said in objection to the building a whole squadron at once of two-decked 80-gun ships, which every knowing man wondered at, being assured they would never prove serviceable, would be found ungovernable, would rack themselves in pieces by labour in the sea, and carry their masts by the board ; which proved too true. If not, why has a third deck been built on them since ? It is the best remedy, but they are not by far such good ships as they would have been, had they been designed as three-deckers from the first. It is remarkable that the Admiralty should have made the experiment of a whole squadron of new-fashioned ships at once, instead of building one as a sample. It would be just to enquire whether the Navy Board made any objections to this form of shipping. If they did the Admiralty will have the less excuse ; if not, they were both to blame.

ABUSES CHARGED against the LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY.

[1699, March ?]—"To call for a list of the officers of the fleet that have been turned out and have afterwards been employed again ; by which there will be found great partialities and irregularities ; and that the Lords of the Admiralty have acted directly contrary to the Act of 13 Charles II."

The Earl of Orford has had several offices inconsistent with each other ; to be the first Lord of the Admiralty, Admiral at sea, Purser General in the Straits, and Treasurer of the Navy, at one and the same time ; and Mr. Thomas Taylor, his creature, is clerk of the cheque.

Captain White, son-in-law to Sir Robert Rich, formerly Commander of the *Severn*. To enquire the reason of his being turned out, and how he came to be restored.

Captain Pigott was turned out for losing his ship. After he was restored he was made commander of the *Royal Katherine*, a second rate, and the *Suffolk*, a third rate, and he is now commander of the *Soldado* Prize to Jamaica.

Captain Weyman, who is now dead, was turned out for cowardice and not obeying orders.

Thomas Reynolds, steward to the Earl of Orford, is receiver of the 6*d.* per month of every seaman's pay, both in the King's

and merchants' service. These sixpences now amount to a vast sum, and none of the registered seamen have as yet been paid. Enquiry should be made where all this money lies.

From June, 1697, to April, 1698, 5,000*l.* were paid for incident charges of the Admiralty Office, and so proportionable before and after, which have amounted to a vast sum during the late war; and since the peace the incident charges have been very little retrenched.

The chief clerk of the Admiralty Office should give an account, on oath, of how this money has been disposed of.

It is pretended that the charge to the King was for the payment of tradesmen's bills, for the most part for necessary work done to the office and garden of Sir Robert Rich's house. *Note* that the Secretary of the Admiralty had out of this money 400*l.* at one time, which (as reported) was to pay his private debts.

“The King has been put to a vast charge in purchasing and fitting the Admiralty Office, and at a time when there was an extraordinary scarcity of money; and the frequent alterations made in the office, Sir Robert Rich's house, the Secretary's and doorkeeper's apartments, are vastly more than the 300*l.* per annum paid for the old Office in Duke Street, besides the landlord's tax and the Lords giving the man, whom they purchased the office of, the place of purveyor for the navy at Portsmouth—an employment of consequence, trust and difficulty—though he was never in the navy before, and no ways qualified for such a place; but this was given him as a consideration for keeping him out of his purchase money for a considerable time, notwithstanding they paid him interest for it, and, immediately before this session of parliament, they contracted with him for two houses more in the Admiralty Court, for some of their creatures to live in, and are in treaty with him for all the low buildings next the street, in order to pull them down because they hinder the view of the Office, and that they would have the whole Court to themselves. But the parliament's calling them to question for some things has put a stop to these proceedings for the present; tho' when the session is done, they design to proceed as formerly.

“The house Sir Robert Rich lives in cost the King 3,000*l.* or thereabouts, and was purchased on pretence of keeping the Admiralty Court there; but Rich has got it now for his own use, and let his house in Soho Square; and he puts the King to 500*l.* a year expense for alterations, furniture, firing, candles, etc; which are all placed to the account of incident charges of the Admiralty Office.”

In making these purchases the Lords of the Admiralty have given 20 per cent. more than any other purchaser would, or the thing really worth; “Sir Robert Rich . . . has the chief government in these matters, and most of the money paid by his private order.”

The master of a Swedish ship, who was instrumental in discovering a Swedish and Danish fleet seized by Sir George Rooke, received a much greater reward than he asked for or expected.

It is said that he was given 8,000*l.*, chiefly on the report of the Solicitor of the Admiralty, a man of very ill fame, a broken tradesman, put into the office by Sir Robert Rich. Though his salary is but 100*l.* per annum, he has got by his employment a large estate; for the law charges of the Admiralty come to 3,000*l.* a year, one year with another, so that it appears certain he gets clear into his own pocket yearly from 2,000*l.* to 3,000*l.*, besides other private advantage, which is very considerable. "As this man was brought in by Sir Robert Rich, so has he been by him constantly supported, notwithstanding the numerous complaints against him for no ordinary crimes; but it has been observed that for the greatest part of several summers . . . Sir Robert Rich and his whole family have been at this man's house, where they have been nobly entertained at free cost," which in another way the King and the kingdom have paid for; "for there have been many needless suits commenced at the King's charges, where the King has generally lost the cause, after an extravagant charge in prosecuting, purely to create profits to the Solicitor of the Admiralty.

"The partiality of the Admiralty in making commission and warrant officers without regard to seniority, certificates, merits, qualifications, wounds or loss of limbs in the service may appear by comparing the list of those officers out of employment with those that are in. Again, their partiality or injustice in rewards and punishments may be seen by their turning out several officers without legal trials, though they petitioned to be brought to courts-martial, and sometimes [without] any reason given them for their hard usage; and . . . letting others, that have been guilty of much worse crimes, escape unpunished." As an instance of this, Captain Richard, who lost the *Happy Return* in a cowardly manner, was tried at a court-martial, which forfeited all his pay and incapacitated him from ever serving again; but in a few months, without any pardon from the King, he was put into a better command and is now in commission.

QUESTIONS as to ABUSES in the NAVY.

[1699, March ?]—"Ten thousand men allowed last year at the charge of 520,000*l.* Query: how they have been employed, and what service they have done? When the Straits squadron was ordered to be fitted and when it sailed. The like for the West India and East India squadrons."

To call upon the Admiralty for answers to these.

If it is urged that these squadrons were detained for want of money to pay them, then why were not such ships made choice of as might have sailed without money or with less money? and the money that paid them employed to the discharge of the ships kept in pay for want of money.

Why were so many ships fitted out anew for service in the Channel since the peace, when so many of the like quality lay in harbour to be paid off, which might have been employed in their room?

Why has the money for providing the provisions abroad been put into the hands of the commanders of the squadrons? Should not the Commissioners of the Victualling have made provision abroad or sent provisions with them and done it to his Majesty's best advantage?

What checks can be had on the commanders in chief? Should not they be the checks on those entrusted to supply their squadrons?

Keeping the ships in continual pay and paying the men on board is a great discouragement; being not admitted to visit their families on shore for several years together; and no choice of their commanders.

Turning over, lending and removing of seamen so very frequently has created great confusion in the books and accounts, as well as alienated the affections of the men from the service."

Floating prisons, viz., ships laid at the Buoy of the Nore, Spithead, etc., to receive the seamen while their ships went into harbour to refit, is another discouragement, so that they take all opportunities of running away.

Paying all the prize money into the captain's hands, and no care taken to see they distributed the same to the men.

Flags as well as commanding officers and seamen kept all the year in pay, though sometimes not above two or three months at sea; sometimes not at all.

"The Lord High Admiral, (or first Commissioner of the Admiralty) Admiral of the Fleet, and Treasurer of the Navy inconsistent in one man. . . . Can any check be kept of his Majesty's treasure, or over the commanders in the expense of stores," etc., when that is the case. . . .

"By what authority has the Admiral laid out so much money on an office and houses without any estimate, orders or money provided for the same?

"By what power was 6*d.* a month added to the wages of the registered seamen?"

FURTHER ABUSES IN THE NAVY.

[1699, March?]"—"Objections to admirals and commanders in chief victualling the squadrons or ships they command."

[INHABITANTS OF LIVERPOOL] to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1699, March.]"—"Our case concerning making Litherpool a parish now stands thus. Mr. Brotherton proposed an agreement which I consented to refer it to any two gentlemen that understood the value of patronage, that our town being but a sixth part of the parish, we were willing to give him two years' value what we give the present rector for his life. But now he saith Mr. Molyneux will not consent except to be patron of the old chapel, and we to be only of the new; or else have 500*l.* for the patronage, which is near ten years' value.

"We are agreed with the present rector and vicar, and are willing that the agreement be put into the bill, and likewise

that our rectors, when made into a parish (*sic*), shall pay the sixth part of the first fruits and tenths on any new presentation for Walton for ever; and are willing to give for the patron's consent what the House thinks fit; yet he, being a Roman Catholic, in truth hath no right. Therefore [we] beg of you that you will oppose he being patron, for we would willingly give the value; but rather than he to be patron would lose the bill.

"Mr. Molyneux hath a great prejudice against our town, and always sells the next presentation beforehand. His father before him made over a sham deed to a Protestant gentleman; and that gentleman presented to Sefton, and likewise Cambridge did; on which there was a jury *patronatus*, and there they affirmed it to be a free gift, and so a good deed. The jury gave sentence against the University, and afterwards, when the Protestant gentleman died his son thought he had a good title to the perpetual 'avouzaunce;' but Lord Molyneux had got the deed, on which there was a suit to whom it belonged [for] a long time. When it came to trial they made an underhand agreement and took up the trial; which is another trick against the University."

CAPTAIN KIDD.

1699, June 27. Nevis.—"Information of Peter Smith of St. Thomas, about Kidd's arrival in those parts and about his trading with Bolton and Burke." *Copy*.

POLITICAL SATIRE.

[1699, July?]"—"The titles of several public acts agreed to in the Cabal.

1. "An Act for the preservation of the Protestant religion both at home and abroad in this fatal conjuncture by putting the administration of affairs entirely into the hands of those that love France and hate the Dutch.

To be moved by Sir Chr. Mus[grav]e.

2. "An Act of indemnity for all those that were concerned in the Invasion Plot in 1695, and to qualify them for all offices and employments both in Church and State, that their next attempts may succeed better.

To be prepared by Sir Ed. Sea[mou]r.

3. "An Act to repeal the Association, and to settle the succession in the right line.

To be brought in by Mr. Har[cour]t.

4. "An Act to make all those incapable of being elected to serve in parliament, who during the late war were zealous in the House of Commons to give the necessary supplies for the preservation of our liberties and religion.

To be moved by Mr. Har[le]y and seconded by Mr. H[o]w.

5. "An Act to establish a Cabinet Council that may be proper either for Kensington, St. Germain's or Versailles, as there shall be occasion.

To be nominated by the Lord Sund[erlan]d.

6. "An Act for uniting the Crowns of France and Spain, and for disuniting those of England and Scotland.

To be managed by the same noble Lord.

7. "An Act to empower the next Lord Lieutenant of Ireland to erect an ecclesiastical High Commission Court in that kingdom, and to render it more effectual than that of the late King James.

To be entrusted to Chancellor Meth[ue]n.

8. "An Act for supporting the credit of the nation by reducing all public security to the discount of 50*l.* per cent.

To be transacted by the Lord Good[olphi]n.

9. "An Act to restrain the liberty of the Press, that the people may be kept in profound ignorance of their danger till it is too late to help them.

To be moved by Mr. Ham[mo]nd.

10. "An Act for educating the Prince of Wales in the Protestant religion.

To be prepared by Sir Fr. Ch[il]d.

11. "An Act to authorise Sir Ch. Dun[com]b, when he is Lord Mayor of London, to proclaim the Prince of Wales.

To be brought in by Sir Theo. Ogle[thor]p.

12. "An Act to pardon all errors and ignorances in the Court of Chancery since May last.

Charitably promoted by the Lord Somers for the benefit of his successor.

13. "An Act to make the Lords Rum[ney] and Jer[se]y two able ministers of state.

To be moved by Mr. Broth[erto]n, an able member of Parliament.

14. "An Act to constitute the Treasurer of the old East India Company Paymaster of the Band of Pensioners.

To be drawn up by Sir Tho. C[oo]k.

15. "And also an Act to declare it high treason for any member to take money for his vote in parliament from any person whatsoever, body politic or corporate, the old East India Company excepted.

To be prepared by Mr. M[oo]re.

* 16. "An Act to direct the following stanza (prophetically made above twenty years since) to be set as near as possible to the tune of *Lilly Bur Lero*, and to appoint the same to be sung at Court three times a week, and in the Court of Requests during the session of parliament, viz. :

But Sund[erlan]d, God[olphi]n, Lory

These will appear such chits in story,

'Twill turn all politics to jest,

To be repeated like *John Dorey*,

Which fiddlers sing at country feast.

To be moved by the poetical Dr. D'Av[ena]nt."

DARIEN SCHEME.

1699, October 19.—Memorial of the Council General of the Company of Scotland trading to Africa and the Indies, to the King.

Shewing that their settlement at Darien was undertaken in accordance with an act of parliament, and royal letters patent. They petitioned parliament on July 19th, 1698, to address the King for his protection on behalf of the settlers; but, owing to the close of the session, obtained no benefit. Reports having reached them of the unfriendly proclamations issued in the King's name by the governors of plantations in America, they pray that with the advice and assistance of parliament he may take off the force of the said proclamation. *Draft.*

EDMUND SOAME.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1699, November 16.]—*See* House of Commons Journals, Vol. XIII., p. 3.

Endorsed with notes as to the examination of witnesses and other matter connected with the trial.

NAVY ESTIMATES.

1699, November 20.—“An estimate of the wages due to all his Majesty's ships and vessels in sea pay, to Michaelmas, 1699; as also to such others as have been ordered to be laid up and were not then paid off.”

Total—502,621*l.*

Of which, to ships at sea—382,446*l.*

To those ordered to be paid off—120,175*l.* [*Copy.*]

CAPT. WALTER WARING to ROBERT HARLEY.

1699, [November] 30. Owlbury.—Giving information against Mr. St. John with regard to the clothing of a regiment; and suggesting suitable persons to serve as commissioners at Clun and Pursloe.

Enclosing letter from JOS. BENNETT to WALTER WARING.

1699, November 4. London.—Asking him what he remembers with regard to St. John's payments, as agent, to the regiment when he served in it in 1689.

PHILIP PAPILLON.

[1699, November ?]—Concerning the account of the Earl of Orford for victualling his Majesty's fleet in the Straits, and the privy seal granted for the same.”

The privy seal has determined the discharge, and the account is to be passed without exception by the Commissioners for Victualling, and the Commissioners of the Navy, who are authorised to pass the victualling accounts; but it is conceived

that the privy seal ought to be sent to the victuallers to justify them in passing the account, and to be their vouchers to lay before the Commissioners of the Navy and the Auditors of Imprests.

The balance of the said account upon the privy seal, being 5,225*l.* 19*s.* 1½*d.*, has been paid into the Exchequer, and paid out to the Treasurer of the Navy; for which Philip Papillon, Cashier of the Victualling, has been forced to give his receipt; which is a double charge on him, he being before charged with all the money received out of the Exchequer for the services of the victualling, out of which the money to the Earl of Orford was paid.

SIR CHARLES BICKERSTAFFE'S Case.

[1699, before December 14.]—Showing that in December, 1698, he exposed his estate to be sold by the Kentish, or second land lottery before any restraint by Act of Parliament, in order to discharge all his debts at once. He has been at great charges in promoting the said lottery, but cannot draw and complete the same within the time by act limited. Prays for an extension of the period.

Endorsed. For Thursday, December 14.

INFORMATION ABOUT CAPTAIN KIDD.

[1699, winter.]—"When Kidd set forth on his intended voyage to Madagascar, his pretences, owners, and admiralty power were as public as his rodomantades thereof were vain; his authority was as ample as any King's commander, and therefore he should pay no respect to the King's colours where'er he met them.

"A captain of a yacht taking notice of his carriage, gave particulars to his people (in case he should not be on board himself) to fire shot into him if he did not strike as he passed him at Greenwich. It happened he was not aboard when Kidd passed him, and he having a front wind did as he said, showed no respect. But being shot, believing the yacht out of danger of call, Kidd's men in the tops turned up, and clap their backsides in derision. The captain on this contempt acquaints the Admiralty (by testimony of the hands of his men) the whole story. That Board trifled away time about it, taking the testimony to be insufficient without it was sworn to; that he got done presently. But by this time Kidd was gotten away from Gravesend, and going by the Nore, the ship there took notice of him upon the same account and sent him into Sheerness, and rendered an account thereof to the Admiralty; and here the thing slept, and inquiry was made into the character of this captain; and a while after he and two more captains of the yachts were suspended under pretence of countenancing the bringing over a parcel of alamodes. But that being examined, and no ground found for it, they were restored; where this proceeding interfered in this time I cannot tell, however.

“Without any further notice, or enquiry, or judgment given upon the conduct and arrogance of this fellow, he was let loose from Sheerness, and from under the guard at the Nore, by a mysterious passiveness from all sides, and we hear no more of this person till he shewed the King’s authority for robbing, which, I take it, was to Captain Warren near the Cape of Good Hope, saying he had as good a commission for his proceeding as the said Warren had for his conduct. If this be true, and that partnership hath been owned by some in high state, it is a case of the highest immorality that ever was attempted in this nation by any one, much less by such as hath had the ministry of the most important affairs thereof; and for which there is hardly any punishment or censure universal enough to reach unto the proportion and extent of so national a villainy and a mischief so universal to all corners in the world.

“This Kidd, about April or May last, returns to the West Indies, and I think at Nevis makes his first appearance; sends to the Governor for his honour of treating with him safely, offers him presents, and prays his procuring him a small vessel for himself, designing to quit that he was in as too heavy and unfit for his then circumstances, his voyage being now ended. To this the Governor would give no countenance, so he sailed hence towards New England [where] he was soon after known to ar[rive]: there he walked publicly about [for] awhile. But, murmur arising, he [at] last surrenders himself, and news thereof is sent home, and at the same time that he had made his partitions and consigned them to his owners. The *Rochester* man-of-war, upon this advice, is despatched to bring him home. But that captain, pretending to meet with great storms on the coast, returns back to Plymouth to tell only what the defects of his ship are under magnified terms, and, although there is order to lay her up, yet the Commissioner there seems to be of opinion she may be refitted in a fortnight. But the season is lost, and before another ship can go and come, all inquisition proper to this nefarious practice will be checked.

“This is the connection of matter that hath diversely and upon good authority come to my knowledge.” [*The handwriting resembles Dummer’s.*]

COLONEL JOHN MICHELBURNE, late Governor of Londonderry.

—Petition on behalf of himself and the regiment lately under his command, to the House of Commons.

[1699.]—Upon his petition to the House in 1698 for the arrears of pay due to him and his regiment, a committee reported that 15,941*l.* 18*s.* 6*d.* were due to them, and recommended him to the King’s consideration. But he has received no benefit as yet, and hearing that a bill is to be brought in for the reassuming of forfeited lands in Ireland, to apply them to the use of the public, he prays that he and his regiment may be recompensed out of such forfeitures.

Accompanied by Colonel Michelburne’s case.

There were seven regiments in Derry during the siege, but five of them were "made up amongst themselves without commission" and disbanded by Major-General Kirke when the siege ended. Colonel Michelburne's was joined to the English army under Duke Schomberg after the siege, and served for ten months, and has been recognised as part of the establishment by the Lords of the Treasury. The only other regiment taking part in the siege which is on an equal footing with his own is Colonel Baker's, that of Dr. Walker not being on the establishment, although it served after the siege. It is computed that 60,000*l.* might satisfy the whole people that acted in that eminent service.

Query, whether Col. Michelburne (to whom principally the preservation of Derry is due, because he had been a soldier from his youth and managed the garrison according to the regular methods of war), may not deserve of the House of Commons to be recommended to the King to be restored to the Governorship of Derry with a handsome salary for life, or to be recompensed out of the forfeited lands; and have his personal pay paid him for the time when he was governor, he having been put to great expense.

NAVY ACCOUNTS.

1699 [-1700], January 29. Navy Office.—"An account of the proportions of money granted in this present session of parliament for the services of the navy and to the several heads thereof, according to the votes of the House of Commons of December 6th, for paying off ships, and of December 21st, for maintaining 7,000 men at sea for 13 months, at 4*l.* per man a month; and of January 16th, for providing for the ordinary and extraordinary repairs of the navy."

Total—956,342*l.*

POPISH PRACTICES IN LONDON.

[1700, circa February 24.]—Memorial to the House of Commons.

"In reference to the bill ordered to be brought in for suppressing the Popish growth in this kingdom, the source of the complaints made against the French refugees for their exercising to the prejudice of the English people their respective callings . . . comes from the Papists' settlements in this city of London, and from the great business that accrues to them thereby.

"These Papists have the best customers, admittance into the chief of the nobility's houses; they serve the Court, and the officers belonging to it; their party being always stirring, and altogether applied to uphold themselves and get a footing. This may be justified when it shall please the House to command."

French Papists practice as lawyers, and are admitted to the College of Physicians, in contravention of the Statute Jac. I.,

cap. 5. By the same statute the exercise of callings is forbidden to all English Papists, "when from St. James' to Charing Cross there is above a hundred French Papists, shop-keepers, or of other callings within the Act." By the same statute Popish books are forbidden to be sold, "but now the booksellers' shops are full of them . . . and a bookseller, a Papist, is suffered to keep shop in Drury Lane.

"By a statute of Jac. I., every person whose wife is a Papist is disabled to have any office in the Government; but in the parish where I live Papists have been chosen to collect taxes, and are come to my house to demand them.

"The number of French Papist cooks, *valets de chambre*, butlers and servants to persons of quality exceed the number of French Protestants of the same office. The worst is that these Papists take Protestant wives, and make them afterwards change their religion: hereby the growth of Popery is remarkable.

"Our envoy at Florence is not allowed a minister; he is not the only one without such a person in his family, yet we see here two ministers of the Emperor, the Count d'Aversberg and Mr. Hollman, who have each Popish service in their house. I am sure no minister of a Protestant king would be allowed this. It has been signified to the ministers of the Popish princes not to admit in their chapel service any of his Majesty's subjects. This suffices not: they are to receive orders but from their masters. Guards must be set to the entrance of their chapels. The little commonwealth of Geneva has at this day the courage to do it.

"It is very important to hinder French Papists to keep taverns, alehouses, coffee-houses and the like. It is the harbour of all the villains that come from France.

"Most of the dancing-masters [and] players of music are Papists; all French. They have more access to persons of quality than the most honest French Protestant.

"One Lacoste, a tailor (French Protestant) had agreed with the merchant and agent of the First Troop of Guards to make the clothes; but the captain broke the bargain to give the custom to a Papist. A little while since one of the first ladies in England has left her Protestant tailor to take a Papist."

CAPTAIN GULLOCK'S PAPERS.

1699 [-1700], March 4.—1. Letter from Thomas Gullock to the Governor and Council of the Massachusetts Bay, praying for release from certain charges for the capture and maintenance in prison of Bradish, Gillam and other pirates who had seized and run away with his ship, the *Adventure*. He cannot see that his owners ought to be called on to pay 7*l.* for the constable's clothes, nor yet for curing Gillam of a pleurisy.

2. Order of the Council of the same date, for committing Captain Thomas Gullock to prison.

3. March 5.—Petition and submission of Captain Gullock. "I am heartily sorry that any mistake or imprudent action of mine hath been the cause of this treatment, so very different to what I ever found, and I heartily pray your Excellency and Honours to pardon whatever was amiss, or so judged in that paper, and that I may be enlarged and this unhappy accident pass wholly by."

4. March 25 [*sic, should be March 5*].—Order of Council for Thomas Gullock, "yesterday committed," to be discharged and freed from his imprisonment. *Copies.*

THE EARL OF BELLOMONT to SIR HENRY ASHURST.

1699 [-1700], March 5. Boston.—Acknowledges his letter of December 13th, and thanks him for defending him in the House of Commons. It is surprising that a party of men should interrupt the business of the nation and trifle away time in such an attack, more especially as they knew that Kidd and his effects had been seized and were being sent home. He has no private interest in favour of the appointment of any other agent, and would prefer the continuance of Ashurst. But the Assembly will not be influenced, and in money matters neither know nor favour anyone. Only a few months ago they refused his application for a pension of 10*l.* to a poor woman whose husband had been killed by the bursting of a gun in the fort. However, he will do his best to move them.

Congratulates him on the marriage of his daughter to Sir Richard Allen, who, he is sure, "is as happy as a man can be in a wife." Desires to be informed "how the families of the Harleys and the Foleys behaved themselves in the House of Commons when the outcry was against us lately." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELLOMONT to SIR JOHN STANLEY.

1699[-1700], March 5. Boston.—"I send home every scrip that was taken with Kidd or any of the pirates now sent home. I desire you will try whether anything will be allowed me for the pains I have taken, which, I assure you, have been extraordinary." The gold and jewels Kidd had nothing to do with; they belonged to James Gillam, who is also now sent home.

"I am told that as Vice-Admiral of these seas, I have a right to a third part of them: if the rest of the Lords come in for snacks, I shall be satisfied; but that Sir Edmund Harrison should pretend to a share of what was not taken by Kidd is very unreasonable. I desire you will vindicate my right in this matter." I see no reason why I should do any more than pay Sir Edmund the principle and interest of the money he laid out for my proportion of the *Adventure galley*; and so much I think myself obliged to in honour and conscience: but "he gave me a terrible hard Presbyterian grip in the articles between him and me, and so I write to Mr. Secretary Vernon."

I shall be undone for want of a sufficient salary. "I find my Lord Chancellor and Mr. Secretary Vernon think it reason-

able I should have salaries of 2,500*l. per annum* for my three governments, as I desired; but then, that thought, I fear, comes into their heads no oftener than I write to them about it. The truth is, I want a friend to put them in mind of me, and who you have made agent I know not. . . .

"I would say something about my sons, but that I do all I can to hasten the ship away with these pirates, to put my friends out of pain, who seem to fear Kidd's escape. If I have not the salaries I have desired, I intend to write to be recalled, and so will see you in England before next winter." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELLOMONT to SIR EDMUND HARRISON.

1699 [-1700], March 5. Boston.—Sends him a list of thirty-two pirates going home in the *Advice*. Their effects are consigned to Mr. Secretary Vernon, a person to whom neither the Lords of the Treasury nor any of those concerned in the outset of the *Adventure* galley can take exception. Mr. Secretary Vernon will let him have a copy of the inventory. He is unable to write more owing to a fit of the gout, and the very great amount of work in trying to get these pirates away. *Copy.*

Annexed.—List of the 32 pirates, including Kidd, Joseph Palmer, Edward Davis, Joseph Bradish and James Gillam, alias Kelly.

THE EARL OF BELLOMONT to the DUKE OF SHREWSBURY.

1699 [-1700], March 5. Boston.—"I am heartily vexed to understand that some angry gentlemen in the House of Commons put so hard a construction upon a well intended undertaking, however unlucky it proved; and that your Grace's name should be brought on the stage with some other of your friends; and it seems the harder to me that such a clamour should be raised about Kidd when it was known I had secured him and his effects, and sent an exact inventory home to the Ministers. This man-of-war carries every scrip that belonged to Kidd and the rest of the pirates; and nothing but the necessary charges taken out. I hope the East India goods and treasure that's sent will amount to 20,000*l.*, which will reimburse everybody, if the King will consent it shall be so; for I doubt Kidd will be proved a pirate, and then the King's grant will be necessary.

"My friends write me word from England that you were going to be married, which is most pleasing news to me; for I could wish with all my heart to see some of your Grace's race in the world.

"I send your Grace a white beaver skin, which was brought me two hundred miles by an Indian Sachem for a great present. It passes here for such a rarity that they tell me such a thing is scarce seen in an age. To add somewhat that may help to make my present acceptable, I also send a dozen bottles of spirit of China oranges and of citron; half one and half the

other, sent me by a lady in Barbadoes, who has an extraordinary hand at those things. The citron has the flowers of that tree in it, I suppose for ornament."

Postscript.—"I wish your Grace much joy of the Lord Chamberlain's staff.

"They tell me here the moths will be apt to spoil the beaver skin unless care be taken. It is sullied at present, and will be whiter when it is washed or cleaned by a furrier." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELMONT to the LORDS COMMISSIONERS FOR TRADE AND PLANTATIONS.

1699 [-1700], March 6. Boston.—I am now sending home all the pirates I have here, Kidd's men and Bradish's, to the number of 32; and to Lord Jersey, certified copies of the evidences and examinations, the same as I formerly sent to your Lordships; except that there is a further examination of Joseph Palmer, one of Kidd's men, which discovers something that is material against Kidd. A former deposition by this man was sent with the others, but this contains a further discovery about Kidd. "He has good relations in Rhode Island, and a fairer character than one would expect of one of Kidd's crew." He declares—and, if true, I believe it will entitle him to the King's mercy—that "he served on board the King's ship *Duchess*, and was impressed and compelled to go on board Kidd's ship; for Captain Stewart, who commanded the *Duchess*, had impressed all or most of Kidd's men," and when ordered by the Admiralty to return them, "did not mind to restore the same men to Kidd, but the same number."

The Governor of Rhode Island has a number of pirates there, but refuses to send them, although I sent him a copy of the King's order. He says he is advised that he ought not to send them, as they never had anything to do with Kidd or Bradish. I formerly told you of some attempts to break out of prison, made by Bradish, Weatherly and Gillam. I have since committed to gaol a man named Odall, who was endeavouring to convey a letter to Bradish; but he will not discover his accomplices.

Notwithstanding the order from Mr. Secretary Vernon to send home all the effects of the pirates, Gardiner, the deputy collector of Rhode Island, refuses to deliver up such as are in his hands, and "has writ me an evasive, tricking letter. . . . He is a very ill man. . . . Captain Gullock assures me that a silver tankard of his, that was in the ship Bradish ran away with, was seen in Gardiner's house." There is also a quantity of gold, that was left with him by Gillam. 'Tis scandalous that such a man as Gardiner should be in the King's service. The power of appointing deputy collectors, which the commissioners now have, ought to be withdrawn; it is certainly hurtful to the King's service.

For their better security, I have sent the four capital pirates—Kidd, Gillam, Bradish and Weatherly—on board the *Advice*. I am continuing my search for the treasure which Kidd brought

upon the coast, but without much success. A Captain Thomas Clarke was said to have some 10,000*l.* or 12,000*l.* in his hands, and I have him confined in the fort at New York. I was in hopes he was going to give it up, but some pettifogging lawyers have advised him to set me at defiance, and had the confidence to press the judges for a writ of *habeas corpus*. As they refused to grant it, these lawyers now threaten to sue them for damages.

An account of the goods and treasure now sent home and of the charges, is enclosed. I am by law entitled to two-thirds of the sloop; but for all that, I send her to Engand with the rest of the effects, for I will challenge nothing for myself for the merit of having taken a world of pains and care to secure those pirates and their effects. "I also send home a negro boy and girl, which Kidd, at his first arrival here, made a present of to my wife; but she would not accept of them, and they were put to board till they could be disposed of with the rest of Kidd's effects." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELMONT to SIR THOMAS LITTLETON,
Speaker.

1699 [-1700], March 7. Boston.—"You have obliged me infinitely by the account you have been pleased to write me of the attack made against some noble lords and myself in the House of Commons, where I little imagined my name would ever be brought on the stage; and 'tis wonderful to me that my Lord Chancellor and the Duke of Shrewsbury, the two greatest and most valuable men we have in our nation, should fall into the disfavour of those gentlemen that made the number of 133, and were for that bloody and dreadful question. But this shews what the zeal of a party will do, not to call it worse, for I always speak and write respectfully of all that is done within those walls. I am sensible enough I was only named by that part of the House because I was so in the grant, and that the forementioned Lords were they that stood their mark, and for whom they intended their poisoned arrows.

"I send you a list of the pirates . . . they are thirty-two in number. I expect about a dozen more from the other Governments on this continent . . . and I will send them home by Rear-Admiral Bembo, whom I expect here within a month." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELMONT to LORD SOMERS.

1699 [-1700], March 7. Boston.—"I hope everything will answer your Lordship's wishes with respect to Kidd's and the other pirates being secured by me, and now sent home under care of Captain Wynn. . . . You will see by my letter to the Council of Trade what hazard there has been of the prisoners escaping. . . . The preserving Kidd and the rest and hindering their escape out of prison is in great measure owing to the rewards I gave the sheriff and keeper of the prison here, and the caresses and good words they had every day from

me : therefore I hope you and the rest of the Lords concerned will not think me over liberal." Prays him to promote his request for an increase of salary.

"I am in great perplexity about the Indians," for everything happens in such a way as to "distract all my measures for keeping our five nations of Indians steady to us. Besides the reasons I have now given the Council of Trade about Captain Schuyler and Mr. Livingstone's discontent upon the score of victualling the companies, there is another reason not fit for them to know ; which is that Mr. Livingstone is fallen into a fit of melancholy, and has removed from Albany to a farm he has between that and New York, resolving to meddle no more with business ; and that because he, his wife, and his relations are frightened out of their wits at his bond's lying for Kidd. . . . Colonel (*sic*) Schuyler is the most popular man in that province with the five nations, having the last war fought in company with them against the French once or twice, and behaved himself well ; but he is not a man of head, nor can I depend on him, he being of Fletcher's faction. Mr. Livingstone is married to Schuyler's sister, yet they love not one another ; and Livingstone having much the advantage of the other in point of understanding, I put him into the management of the five nations to balance the other's interest and the influence he has on them. I desire you will consent to my giving him up the bond and articles. . . . Mr. Livingstone, when he was here, was heartily troubled and ashamed at Kidd's villainous behaviour, and to reflect that he had been the means of engaging you and the rest of us to be concerned with that monster ; which truly put me in charity with Mr. Livingstone, that he had no design of harm to us, but was deceived as well as we.

"Kidd, I am fully satisfied, might have taken the *Mocha* frigate with a vast deal of wealth had he been honest ; but Joseph Palmer's deposition . . . will convince you he never attempted taking her, but just the contrary. If Palmer by what he has discovered and shall discover towards the conviction of Kidd, when he comes into England, shall be thought serviceable, I entreat you to intercede for the King's pardon for him. The man seemed on his examination to be an honest young man in his own nature ; and this is to be said for him, he went not voluntarily with Kidd, but was pressed from on board the *Duchess* by Lord Orford's order, and forced on board of Kidd's ship. I am heartily sorry to understand my name was brought on the stage in the House of Commons, and that you and the Duke of Shrewsbury met with that hard fate too. The last thing in the world I should desire my friends or I underwent [*is*] the censure of an English House of Commons. I am told Jack How broke the first ground, as they call it, in opening trenches at a siege. I find all his poison is not in his breath ; there's some too, in his tongue. 'Tis a little hard that after I had taken care to secure Kidd and his effects and sent home a faithful account of the latter, that should not

atone for the misfortune of a design honestly intended though roguishly executed. The words in the question are thunder and lightning, and let off in colours as black and terrible as if it had been treason and rebellion to procure that grant of pirate's goods. By a letter I saw from Mr. Clement last summer to a person of his acquaintance I guessed there was something brewing against us upon Kidd's account . . . I had a happiness in the man's quitting me . . . for he is far from being the honest man I took him to be: he made me up the knavish account for the soldiers' clothing at New York that could be."

Hopes that thirty dozen of Madeira wine have been delivered to Lord Somers. "There is a white Madeira, which is called the Jesuits' wine, and, I think, equals any of the Spanish mountain wines so much liked of late in England . . . Those Jesuits there so engross it that it is a hard matter to get any; and I never tasted of that sort of wine but in two houses since my being in America."

I hope that you may continue "to be the ornament and support of a true English administration; and that in spite of all the King's enemies." *Copy.*

THE EARL OF BELLOMONT to JAMES VERNON.

1699 [-1700], March 7. Boston.—"My Lord Jersey has sent me the King's orders this time, and writes that I am in his province, which I knew not before . . . I have sent home by Captain Wynn all the pirates I had here, and that were in Connecticut." You will find in my letter to the Council of Trade a particular account of them and their effects. As I am directed to send a trusty person in charge of these, "I have singled out Captain Hunt, whom I take to be a right honest man. . . . I hope his just performance of this service will recommend him to your favour to have a company of foot, if any new ones be sent over. . . ."

"If the King is pleased to give us that fitted out the *Adventure galley* all the effects seized with Kidd in consideration of the charges we were at, yet I desire you will take notice that there was some pounds weight of gold and all the jewels now sent home, that belonged to James Gillam, whom I now send home a prisoner, who was not of Kidd's company, and only came home with him from Madagascar as a passenger. I am very well satisfied all the Lords concerned with me may have their share of Gillam's gold and jewels as well as I, though I am told here I am entitled to a third part of them, as I am Vice-Admiral of these seas: but then I utterly disclaim Sir Edmund Harrison's and Mr. Livingstone's having any manner of share in them, for they were to be concerned only with what treasure Kidd should lawfully take. I make a question whether I be obliged in honour to do more than pay Sir Edmund Harrison the money he laid down for me, with the full interest thereof, in case the King gives us the benefit of Kidd's effects; but for that matter I will do as the Duke of Shrewsbury shall do by

Sir Edmund ; the Duke and I being on the same foot with him, excepting that Sir Edmund laid down my full proportion of the money for the outset of the galley, and but half of the Duke's ; but I have reason to grudge Sir Edmund any further advantage than what is just, because he used me not well in our bargain ; for at first we had agreed upon much more reasonable terms for me than afterwards he would stand to, when he had made me depend on him for advancing the money, and saw that I could not easily otherwise raise it, he then gave me a Presbyterian gripe, and fettered me in the writings between us.

"I have desired Sir John Stanley to wait on you, and I depend on your friendship and his in taking care of my interest I know not how much I am to be the better for these pirates and their effects ; but I am sure they have cost me more trouble and torment than ever I met with in any business during my whole life. I have delivered myself fairly of them, and can safely say, and swear too, that I am not a brass farthing the better for them, directly nor indirectly ; but I am hitherto so much the worse, that I now find the want of that money I laid out for taking them and know not which way to turn myself. This is a very dear place to live in. I have not 50*l*. left of the 1,000*l*. given me by the Assembly, which naturally leads me to renew my former request to you of getting me an establishment of the salaries I formerly proposed, without which it is impossible for me to subsist or continue governor of these provinces. I have so nicely observed the King's instructions which restrain my acceptance of any presents from the Assemblies of New York and New Hampshire, that I have not touched the money they gave me, and will not, till I have the King's leave. If I had been so tied up in this province, I had been bankrupt long since, and must have run the country."

As the Lords of the Treasury leave me at liberty in the matter I have consigned the pirates' goods and treasure to you, "as I know your respect for my Lord Chancellor and the Duke of Shrewsbury, and that you will take their consent in the disposition of those things. . . . Sir Edmund Harrison has a mind that they should be consigned to him ; but I do not think that proper ; it would, for aught I know, draw a fresh clamour on our heads, that, after I had the King's positive orders about sending over those effects, I should give his Ministers the go-by, and direct them to a merchant In directing them to you that are a Minister, I acquit myself with decency to the King and to the Lords of the Treasury, and to the satisfaction of the noble Lords concerned.

"I am vexed at the attack made against those noble Lords and me in the House of Commons, where I little expected a design so honestly meant would have been so grossly misconstrued ; but, God be thanked that we have escaped the malice of a party of men that were so manifestly biassed. 'Twas lucky, I find, that I secured Kidd.

Joseph Palmer's last evidence, now sent, "is pretty home against Kidd." He was one of Kidd's men, and is now sent

home a prisoner. His friends are said to be substantial people, and if he confesses all he knows at Kidd's trial, he may, I hope, deserve the King's pardon. In order to his making a confession, it were not amiss perhaps if you suffered his sister, Mrs. Byer, who goes over in the sloop, to solicit the King's pardon for him, to speak with you. She could easily persuade him to tell the whole truth, and a frown from you would make her endeavour it.

"I now send home all the evidences we have against Kidd and the rest of the pirates, authenticated by a certificate under my hand, and the seal of the province affixed to them . . . They are all sent to my Lord Jersey. The Council of Trade and you had all these evidences sent you before, except this new one of Joseph Palmer's."

I have lately seen a letter from a French gentleman "living at Pesmoncadu, which is the Indian name for the river Ste Croix," which says that M. de Villebon, Governor of St. John's, has been making enquiries about the boundaries of Acadie, and that he is assured by the oldest inhabitants that that country and Hudson's Bay were first settled by Scotsmen. If Villebon reports this to his Government, it may make the French Commissioners apter to yield.

I am told that Captain Bromwell of the *Samuel* or Captain Hyde of the *Dorrel*, East India men, both of whom have lately come home, and are living at Mile End, beyond Whitechapel, can give important evidence about Kidd's piracies.

Colonel Courtland, the Collector at New York, has forwarded me a packet intended for France, which has been sent to him "by Bruyas, the Jesuit that came with Major de la Vallière on a compliment to me from the Governor of Canada . . . If you open it—which I think not so proper for me to do—I believe it may discover some of the Jesuits' intrigues to inveigle our Indians . . . Pray manage this affair of the Jesuit, so as I may not be blamed by your brother Secretary for not sending it to him."

Captain Gullock, after all the civility and good usage he has received from myself and the Council, was so brutish as to thank and bid adieu to us last week in a paper of false and scandalous reflections against us. He had spoken to me of his intention, and I cautioned him against it, showing him that he owed much to me and the Council for our care and justice in securing the pirates and restoring the money his owners had been robbed of. He said he had all possible respect for me, but he would be very plain with the Council, "for their unjust detaining the thousand pieces of eight, which have defrayed the charges of retaking Bradish and Weatherly, and the prison charges, etc," and seemed to be very reasonable, as I told him. He nevertheless sent his paper last Monday, and for its insolence and falsehood we committed him to gaol, conceiving that the King's authority was highly affronted. "I send you the whole process, his libel, his petition, containing his retractation and submission, and the orders of the Council for his commitment and release; these papers not to be made use of unless

Gullock will still play the fool on, and raise a clamour when he goes home. In that case, I desire you will do us the justice to let these papers be seen. I lived in a very fair and friendly correspondence with him till this frenzy of his. He seems to be sordidly covetous; and I believe the regret of parting with the thousand pieces of eight has transported him to this foolish excess . . . He was in prison but one night." *Copy.*

THE CLERKS in the OFFICES OF THE KING'S REMEMBRANCER AND OF PLEAS IN THE COURT OF EXCHEQUER.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1700, after March 26.]—The numbers of the sworn clerks and attorneys in these offices are fixed; and they have been accustomed to educate clerks who may be fit to succeed them, allowing them to practice in their names. But such clerks cannot be sworn until there is a vacancy among the sworn clerks and attorneys; and several of the petitioners have been practising in the offices for twenty years without having an opportunity to be sworn. Being informed that a bill has been passed in the Lords and once read in the Commons for reducing the number of attorneys and of such as practise in their names, they will lose their employment until there are vacancies to be filled, unless specifically exempted from the action of the said bill.

Note.—That petitioners do not solicit or interfere in any business except such as belongs to their respective offices.

Also a similar petition.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1700, March 30.—“An account of the names of those who were ballotted to be trustees in the Irish forfeitures, with the number of votes as appeared to the committee appointed to examine the lists.”

133 names, of which the first fourteen are given in *H. of C. Journals* for 1700, March 30. The names not given there include Narcissus Luttrell, 91 votes.

DUCHESS OF NORFOLK.

[1700, February or March.]—“An answer to a printed paper, entitled, *The Case of Mary, Duchess of Norfolk.*”

RICHARD BURDET, ISAAC BETTS, BRIDGET BUTLER, ANNE HOLDEN, and others.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1700, April 4.]—To appropriate money from what is appointed for the Navy to pay them 39,899*l.* 1*s.* 6½*d.* owing to them on account of the Navy for many years past. Payment has been approved by the Commissioners of the Navy and the Lords of the Treasury. Several of the petitioners with their families are “ready to starve,” and some are in prison for debt.

WILLIAM KIDD to the EARL OF ORFORD.

1700, April 11. *Advice*, Downs.—“The enclosed is copy of a protest drawn up at Boston in New England, the truth whereof those of my men that are prisoners with me are ready to attest upon oath; but it was not permitted to be done there. If your Lordship will be pleased to give yourself the trouble of reading it, you will find a plain and faithful narrative of my whole voyage in the *Adventure* galley, wherein there is nothing of moment omitted that was transacted in the said voyage. I know not what is generally thought of me, nor what is alleged against me, but I do assure your Lordship I have done nothing but what is punctually declared in the said protest, wherein if anything be accounted a crime, it was so far contrary to my sentiments that I should have thought myself wanting in my duty had I not done the same. I am in hopes your Lordship and the rest of the honourable gentlemen, my owners, will so far vindicate me that I may have no injustice, and I fear not at all but upon an equitable and impartial trial my innocence will justify me to your Lordship and the world.

“I doubt not but your Lordship is already informed of what effects were seized in the sloop *Antonio* in New England; but this I must needs declare in justice that there were several things of considerable value, whereof they have given no account, so far as I can learn. Besides what was contained in the sloop, there is in the *Adventure* prize mentioned in the protest (as near as I can compute) to the value of ninety thousand pounds, which is left in very secure hands, and I doubt not when I am clear of this trouble but to bring the same for England without any diminution.

“The enclosed list is the names of those men that left me at Madagascar and went on board the *Moca Frigate*.” *Copy*.

Annexed is copy of the list, containing 94 names, and stating that there was one other which he could not remember.

Enclosure—

CAPTAIN KIDD'S PROTEST.

“In 1690 and 1691 I was in the King's service at the Leeward Islands, and lost a ship of my own of 18 guns, by the villainy of my men, who ran away with her whilst I was on shore. But before that misfortune I had performed so many good services that General Codrington, then Governor of those Islands, repaid my loss in some measure by giving me a ship named the *Antegoa*.

“In 1695 I came to England with this ship, laden with merchandises from New York, and was prepared to return to New York in the same vessel. But one Robert Livingston, a merchant of Albany, within the province of New York, being then in England, and having insinuated into the Lord Bello-mont, who was then nominated Governor of New England, that several persons were gone from New York to the Red Sea, who would bring back good plunder, and might be easily taken in their return home, projected a design of fitting out a ship for that purpose, and obtaining a commission to seize those ships and any other prizes.

“ This project was received by the Lord Bellomont, and all matters were adjusted betwixt him and the rest of the owners ; and I was without my knowledge pitched upon to be commander upon Livingston giving me the character of a bold and hardy man.

“ Then Livingston carried me to wait on my Lord Bellomont at his house in Dover Street, where both my Lord and Livingston urged me with many arguments to accept the command of this ship with the King’s commission ; which I refusing, the Lord Bellomont told me he was to be Governor of New York, as well as of New England, and would protect me from any charge or accusation to be brought against me ; and that he had powerful friends in the Government, who would not let me suffer any damage or prejudice either in England, or elsewhere.

“ I, notwithstanding, pressed to be excused, and to pursue my voyage to New York ; whereupon the Lord Bellomont added threats to his wheedles, and told me I should not carry my own ship out of the river of Thames, unless I would accept the command of the ship to be fitted out for this design with the King’s commission. I, thinking myself safe with the King’s commission, and the protection of so many great men, owners, and being apprehensive that the Lord Bellomont might oppress me at New York if I disobliged him here, was prevailed on to accept the commission and command of the ship, upon the terms contained in the articles, since published, which articles, as I was informed, were drawn by one of the principal owners, and writ fair by my Lord Bellomont, so cautious were they of having the design known abroad.

Then Livingston carried me to the houses of the Duke of Shrewsbury, the Lord Chancellor, Earl of Romney and Admiral Russell, for my satisfaction that those great men were concerned in the expedition, where he discoursed them, but would not suffer me to see or speak with any of them ; and only assured me that their several proportions of money to purchase and fit out the ship were ready to be paid down.

“ Accordingly, in a short time after, Livingston collected their moneys, and paid them to me, and Mr. Harrison (now Sir Edmund Harrison) and Doctor Cox paid some money to me, which I believe was for my Lord Bellomont’s share, which I now understand they bought of his Lordship.

“ The ship being bought, rigged, manned and named the *Adventure galley*, the Lord Bellomont encouraged me to proceed, by assuring me that the noble lords above mentioned should stifle all complaints that should be made in England, and he himself would prevent all clamours in those parts where he was Governor by condemning all the goods and treasure I should bring in, and disposing of them privately, and satisfying the owners for such part as should be due to them. The Lord Bellomont delivered private instructions to Livingston, which would either justify me or charge them with any miscarriages committed ; but Livingston keeps them in his custody.

“Before I went to sea I waited twice on my Lord Romney and Admiral Russell (now Lord Orford), who both hastened me to sea, and promised to stand by me in all my undertakings.

“At the Buoy in the Nore Captain Steward, commander of the *Duchess*, took away all my ship’s crew; but Admiral Russell, upon my application to him at Sittingbourne, caused my men to be restored to me.

“In my way to New York I took a French prize, which I carried to New York, where she was condemned and sold; and with the proceeds of it I refitted the *Adventure* galley. I then sailed towards the East Indies, and there took two ships, and no more, both which had French passes from the Governors of the French factories there.

“Upon the rumour of my being declared a pirate, I went for New England with intent to surrender myself, and carried a great part of the treasure and goods I took in those prizes. As soon as I arrived, I sent an account of my proceedings to my Lord Bellomont, who by a letter approved of what I had done, encouraged and invited me to come ashore, treated me very civilly for some days, and then seized me with my effects, commissions, instructions, accounts, French passes and his own letter that he had sent to me from Boston, all of which he promised to send over to England.

“But now that I have notice of my trial, and have demanded all instructions and papers taken from me necessary for my justification, the instructions, the French passes, my Lord Bellomont’s letter, and my accounts are detained from me, without which it is impossible to make my defence, notwithstanding my commissions, and I must be sacrificed as a pirate to salve the honour of some men who employed me, and who, perhaps, if I had been one, and they could have enjoyed the benefit of it, would not have impeached me upon that account.

“But the French passes will justify my proceedings, and for me to plead to an indictment without having them to produce, will be to brand myself for a rogue and fool, and to cast away my life for other men’s faults and not my own.

“I have no money to support myself, or to manage my trial, the Lords of the Admiralty refusing to let me have any of my effects for that purpose.

“I do therefore most humbly pray that I may not be hurried to trial till Livingston be brought under examination, and the French passes and other papers taken from me be restored to me.” *Signed.*

NAVY LISTS.

1700, April 17.—“A list of the ships designed for the Baltic under command of Sir George Rooke,” sixteen in all, giving the numbers of the crews and of the guns, and the commanders’ names.

THOMAS BATEMAN.

1700, September 23.—Petition to Commissioners of Customs. Having been appointed collector of the duty on coals for St. Paul's, to commence at Michaelmas, 1700, by the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Bishop of London, and the Lord Mayor, he prays for a seat at the Custom House, for the better execution of his duties.

Minuted.—Read and referred to the Usher of the Custom House without answer. *Copy*.

MONIES for ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL.

[1700, October?].—"State of account of all monies received and paid as well for and toward the reparation of the Cathedral Church of St. Paul's, London, before the great and dreadful fire, as for and towards the rebuilding thereof to the 29th of September, 1700, at which time commenced anew a duty of 12*d.* per chaldron upon coals, to carry on the fabric," showing a debt of 16,558*l.* 12*s.* 1½*d.*

JOHN PHILLIPS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1700, December 7. Navy Office.—Mr. Miller, master shipwright at Woolwich yard, died last night. Begs him to write in his favour to the Commissioners of the Navy, without whose recommendations the Lords of the Admiralty will not dispose of the employment.

Enclosing :

Case of John Phillips.

Was bred in the service of the navy from his youth, and was an assistant to the Surveyor of the Navy till the end of the late war, when he was retrenched; but the employment of master shipwright at Kinsale becoming vacant, the Commissioners of the Navy were pleased to recommend him for it, by their letter to the Secretary of the Admiralty of August 3rd, 1698. On November 15th, 1698, the Secretary of the Admiralty replied that their Lordships did not think this employment worth Mr. Phillips' acceptance; and since that time he has been out of employment. In June last he was offered 800*l.* per annum to serve a foreign prince, but declined the offer; upon which the King recommended him to the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty by Mr. Secretary Vernon's letter of July 23rd, 1700.

Also his petition to the Commissioners of the Admiralty, for employment in one of his Majesty's yards when there may be a vacancy.

CAPTAIN KIDD.

1699-1700. Extracts of letters from the Earl of Bellomont to the Lords Commissioners for Trade and Plantations, dated July 26th, August 28th, October 24th, 1699, and April 23rd,

1700; Memorial of John Ruggles, mate of the brigantine *Primrose*, of Boston, August 25, 1699; Letters from Colonel John Yeamans, Lieutenant-Governor of Antigua, to the Earl of Bellomont, September 1st, 3rd, 1699; Letter from J. Lorents, Governor of St. Thomas to the Earl of Bellomont, September 1st, 1699; Letter from Mr. Secretary Vernon to the Commissioners of Trade, November 30th, 1699, conveying the King's commands to send an instruction to Colonel Codrington as to endeavouring to recover the plunder of Kidd, the pirate, and enclosing an extract from the Earl of Bellomont's letter to him, dated September 8th, 1699, giving the latest accounts of Kidd's reputed confederates in the West Indies; Letter from the Commissioners of Trade to the King, dated December 7th, 1699, enclosing "draft of an instruction to Colonel Codrington, Governor of the Leeward Islands, relating to Kidd's goods and his accomplices Burke and Bolton, and to Sir William Beeston"; Order in Council of December 7th, 1699, directing an instruction, according to the draft, to be prepared and sent out to the respective Governors of the Leeward Islands and Jamaica; Information of Henry Bolton, dated February 4th, 1700. He was born in Worcestershire in 1672, and in 1697 was appointed Collector of Customs at Antigua. In 1698 he quitted that employment and followed merchandizing about the Leeward Islands. In April, 1699, being in his own sloop, *St. Antonio*, becalmed to the north of the island Mona, he fell in with Kidd. He went to Curaçao on Kidd's account to get him a sloop and procure him some buyers for his calicoes and muslins; afterwards he rejoined Kidd, who took his ship into the river Higüey, in Hispaniola, and moored her across it, to stumps of trees or rocks on shore. Then he sold the *St. Antonio* to Kidd, who put part of his cargo on board her, and left Bolton in charge of the ship, whilst he went to New York, promising to return or send some other persons with necessaries within two months. Meantime Bolton was to do what he could in selling the cargo still on board for the benefit of the owners, who, Kidd told him, were, besides himself, Lord Bellomont, Lord Orford and Sir John Somers. Kidd shewed him also a commission under the great seal, signed at the top WILLIAM REX, and another commission signed by the Lords of the Admiralty, which, Kidd said, empowered him to take pirates and the subjects of the French King. After Kidd's departure, the seamen left behind stole the best part of the goods remaining on board; but of this he knew nothing for five weeks, and if he had known it, he could not have prevented it, as there were eighteen of them, and he had no one with him but one negro boy. But when the men understood that he was aware of their plundering, and as the time was drawing near when they might expect Kidd back, they broke out in open mutiny, declared they would leave the ship, and did do so in spite of all Bolton could do, except three men. He stayed on board a week longer, when, learning that the Spaniards of St. Domingo were arming out a brigantine to come and take the ship, he left her

where she was, in the river Higuey, and went to Curaçao, where the Governor and Council of the island openly protected the runaway seamen. For himself, of the produce of the goods left by Kidd, he did not receive more than 380 pieces of eight, which was much less than his charges.

CAPTAIN KIDD.

1695-1700.—Three sheets in book form, containing:—The *Adventure galley's* company's account; Mr. Livingston's bond in 10,000*l.* for performance of articles; Captain Kidd's bond in 20,000*l.* for performance of articles; Daniel Honan's receipt to Captain Kidd for the King's tenth and Governor's fifteenth of a French prize taken by Kidd between England and New York; Articles of agreement made and concluded on September 10th, 1696, between Captain William Kidd; commander of the *Adventure galley*, and John Walker, quarter-master to the said ship's company. Signed by William Kidd and the ship's company. *Copies.*

CAPTAIN THOMAS SMITH, of Oreson, near Plymouth, Mariner.—
Petition to the House of Commons.

[1699-1700 ?]—Was in 1696 preferred to the command of his Majesty's ship the *Geremoone Prize* by the Lords of the Admiralty, and was two years later turned out of his command by the said Lords, with no reason given, to make way for Mr. Gething, formerly a lieutenant under the Earl of Orford, and for nearly sixteen months since his expulsion he has applied daily to the said Lords for answer or redress: he prays for relief.

NEW YEAR'S GIFTS.

[About 1700.]—Observations concerning an article of 231*l.* 15*s.* for new year's gifts at the Treasury and Exchequer for the year 1700; with several notes and queries.

LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY to COMMISSIONERS OF THE NAVY.

1700 [-1], January 4.—We enclose a new Body of Instructions for the Commissioners for Victualling, that you may cause a copy to be made to remain in your office. When you have so done, you are to transmit the original to the Commissioners of the Victualling; and if at any time you observe that the said Commissioners do not endeavour to their utmost ability to comply with the several articles of these instructions you are to represent the same to this board.

Enclosing—"Instructions for the Commissioners for Victualling his Majesty's Navy." *Copy.*

Great numbers of POOR PRISONERS IN THE SEVERAL GAOLS in the Kingdom of England.—Petition to the Speaker.

[1701, January?].—They are reduced to extreme poverty and unable to satisfy their creditors. They therefore pray the Speaker to promote the reading of a bill for their relief.

JOHN JENNINGS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1700 [-1], February 7.—On behalf of his son John, a tidesman in fee at Bristol, who would long ago have been promoted had he not depended on Mr. Colt's many promises, and who wishes to be employed either as surveyor or landwaiter. Encloses a certificate of his sufficiency for the post.

Postscript.—The Surveyor of Beechley is likely to die, and he prays that his son may be nominated to succeed him.

Enclosed is certificate alluded to, dated November 6, 1697, Bristol, and signed by seven officers of the Customs at that port.

————— to ROBERT HARLEY, Speaker.

1701, February 21.—“This morning the person mentioned in yours came to me, and will not fail of being with you by seven this evening. . . . Though I know you are always on your guard, even with those men you have a value for their abilities and integrities (*sic*), and need not be cautioned, yet you will forgive my mentioning it; for you know I talk with all men and hear what they say: as I have with some lately, that declared how great a value they have for the Speaker, and believe him a true Englishman, and that he aims at the good of it; but that he is unhappily at the head of a party; that 'tis impossible for him to accomplish it, for they will then leave him; and gave an instance of their doing so when Tuesday last Sir Ed[ward] S[eymour] and Sir Ch[ristopher] M[usgrave] were against Mr. H[arcourt] and Mr. Bromley, etc.; and if it had then come to a question they would have divided against one another. And the very person you will see this night was forming a project to break all parties in the Houses by engaging fifty or sixty men of moderate principles to join together, and who should publicly declare they would as one man join against all parties; and he did not doubt but their number would soon be trebled.

“Your friend Mr. How has said that his enemies kept him out of the House, and there are others have taken care he shall not come into it.”

SIR THOMAS GLEANE, Baronet.—Petition to the House of Commons and the Speaker.

[1701, March].—Has been nearly three years in the Fleet prison in great distress and want, having had no other support “but the daily issues of the merciful and watchful eye of Providence, and the daily alms of the worthies of this land.” There are now in prison for debt about 60,000 persons within the realm, who

were useful and profitable subjects when at liberty, locked up and crowded so close together that they and their families are become a burden to the nation and a danger to the health of those who are at liberty; and many of them are not only able but willing to take up arms to support the interest and renown of their King and country. Prays that the Commons will cause such an act to be passed this Session as will deliver such of them as are *bona fide* insolvent out of their languishing and tedious captivity.

Annexed is a letter from the petitioner dated March 6, 1700-1, thanking Harley for the relief which he had received through his (Gleane's) daughter, and beseeching him to present the enclosed petition to the House of Commons.

SIR EDWARD DERING to ROBERT HARLEY.

1700-1, March 8.—Praying him to have the enclosed petition brought before the notice of the House, and to give leave for the introduction of the enclosed bill; naming several members who approve of it as conducive to the advantage of woollen manufactures in England.

Enclosing printed copy of the petition, for which see *H. of C. Journals*, vol. XIII, p. 570.

Also printed copy of "Reasons for a bill in parliament for the improvement and better carrying on the trade of the woollen manufactures of England by a company in a joint stock."

HUGH SPEKE to ROBERT HARLEY, Speaker.

1700 [-1], March 8. Exeter.—I have sent you a petition to be put into your hands by a friend in the lobby, as you are going into the House, to be laid before the House according to your duty.

I will be bold to say that no case was ever parallel to this of mine that ever came before the House; my affairs consisting of several different things and kinds not to be expressed and set forth in a petition, both as to the services I have done his Majesty and the present government, and the treatment I have received from it by the ill-will of some certain persons; and nothing can do me either right or justice but my appearing before the House to declare what I have to say, and to make good the allegations in my petition.

"My affairs have been private intrigues of state, carried on all along ever since the late happy Revolution, for the real service of his Majesty . . . and were communicated by me to his Majesty . . . but to very few persons besides. . . ."

"As no judge or jury can possibly be judges of these affairs of mine, and which are only proper to be laid before this honourable House, so neither can I possibly have or command by a *sub pœna* such persons and papers to be brought before any court, which I must of necessity have to vindicate myself; . . . which an order of the House can command without much trouble or charge either to me or the persons I shall summon."

BILL FOR REGULATING PRISONS.

[1701, March 22.]—Reasons for suspending the bill for regulating the King's Bench and Fleet prisons, till the debt due to the Earl of Radnor, assignee of Mr. Bolter, be fully satisfied. Quoting precedents of compensation allowed by parliament on sanctioning public improvements.

Also "Reasons against Lord Radnor's proviso."

ELIZABETH MALLORY, Widow, and ELIZABETH FOULKS, her grand-daughter.—Petition to Robert Harley, Speaker.

[About 1701, March.]—The aged petitioner has lost five sons in the service of the government, together with the father of Elizabeth Foulks, and their whole fortune seized. Her late Majesty granted a pension of 200*l.* per annum to petitioner's daughter and trustee Elizabeth Wandesford; and on the 3rd of May, 1699, his Majesty granted forfeited lands in Ireland to the clear yearly value of 200*l.* to the said Elizabeth Wandesford for ninety-nine years in lieu of the said pension. But by reason of the late Act for the sale of forfeited lands, petitioners can have no benefit of the grant, and are left destitute in a strange land with a family of orphans; and since the letter of the Duke of Leeds, whose relations the petitioners are, to Harley on their behalf in the last session, they have suffered from extreme want and from the severity of their creditors. Some of their family are in prison, "others fled from the terror of it, and none so happy of them as those that have since last sessions been hurried by their great troubles to the prison of their graves, though scarce a winding sheet to cover them." The trustees' report will show the urgency of the case. They therefore pray that they "may be restored to that small estate which they have so dearly purchased by blood and money, or else have the consideration which they gave for it returned again, or rather than fall, petitioners, who are come to the same pass with poor wretches on the rack, glad to say or do anything to come off," pray for such small supply of money as Harley shall think fit.

Enclosing trustees' report in pursuance of an order of the House of Commons:—

1700, May 24.—Elizabeth Wandesford, of the parish of St. Margaret's, Westminster, and Elizabeth Mallory, her aged mother of the same place, make oath that they are reduced to a miserable condition, and since the loss of their grant have lived chiefly upon what money their wearing apparel has yielded. That they were not born or bred to labour, are deep in debt, and have impaired their health by their violent struggles against misfortune.

Signed, Eliz. Wandesford, Eliz. Mallory, and Jo. Edisbury. *Copy*. [*Cf. petition to Queen Anne, p. 349 below.*]

NAVY ESTIMATES.

1701, April 23.—A computation of the charge for one year to maintain at sea the number of men as set forth. 30,000 for eight, seven, or six months, and 10,000 for the remaining five,

six or seven months. The charge is 1,698,353*l.* ; 1,618,353*l.* ; or 1,538,353*l.* ; in which are included the ordinary of the navy, the extraordinary repairs and 200,000*l.* for the debt to seamen.

[ALEXANDER CUTTING] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, April 24.—“ Having the misfortune of coming under the displeasure of the House, and thereupon being ordered into custody of the Serjeant at Arms, and at the same time being called into the country upon very pressing occasions of my business, which was looked upon as my absconding though never intended by me ; however, while I was in the country I received a letter which informed me that there would be a proclamation for apprehending me and others. Whereupon I immediately repaired to London, where I got March 28th, and surrendered myself the same day about twelve o'clock at noon into the custody of the Serjeant at Arms, which was before the warrant came to the press ; for the proclamation did not come out till about nine o'clock that night. So that being now in custody and not knowing how to apply myself I . . . humbly crave that you would be pleased to move the House, that I surrendered myself before the proclamation was out,” and that they will order my discharge.

Annexed is a certificate of the facts above mentioned to the House of Commons. *Signed* Robert Clay and John Mayott. *Dated* 1701, April 29.

P. CHAMBERLEN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, April 29.—Reminding him of the case of his cousin Edgworth, and hoping that the bill in his favour will be passed before the close of the session.

KENTISH PETITION.

1701, May 8.—Speaker's warrant to Samuel Powell, Serjeant at Arms, or his deputy or deputies, for the apprehension of Mr. David Polhill, as “ guilty of promoting a scandalous, insolent and seditious petition, tending to destroy the constitution of parliament, and to subvert the established government of this realm.” *Signed*.

JOHN WIMPREY.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1701, May 9.]—Having served in the Hon. Major-General Earle's Regiment during the late war in Ireland and two campaigns in Flanders, and having received a captain's commission in Colonel Robert Mackay's Regiment upon the pay and establishment of the kingdom of Scotland, which regiment is since broken, he prays to be added to the list of half-pay officers.

R. LEWIS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, May 25.—Proposing several clauses to be added to the Bill for relieving distressed prisoners for debt, which may benefit

the creditors as well as the insolvent debtors ; and drawing his attention to the Scotch Act for aliment of poor prisoners.

Enclosing two printed papers on the above subject.

CAPTAIN KEY'S Case.

1701, May.—[*See* H. of C. Journals, vol. XIII, p. 511. This case adds some details.]

MALLOCK'S ESTATE.

[1701, May.]—Sarah Davy, widow and administratrix of Robert Davy ; John Walrond, physician, executor of Dr. Edmund Davy ; Jasper Radcliffe, esquire, and William Rouse, gentleman, on behalf of themselves and other creditors of Rawlin Mallock, esquire, and Roger Mallock, deceased.—Petition to the House of Commons. Setting forth their claims against Mallock's estate, and praying that a provision may be made for their satisfaction.

EDMUND STAFFORD, Esquire.

[About 1701, May.]—Case offered to the consideration of the House of Commons concerning certain lands in the county of Meath, held in tail male by Henry Dowdell, with remainder to Edward Dowdell in tail male, and reversion to the Crown ; which reversion the late King granted to Doctor Lawrence Taff for five-hundred years. Both Henry and Edward are outlawed and have no prospect of male issue. The present King grants the lands to Lord Albemarle, who sells them to Stafford, who by advice of counsel, purchased Taff's reversion. Presuming that it is not the intention of the House to resume any estate which was not forfeited, and apprehending that his lease is affected by the Bill now under consideration, he begs a " saving " as to the said lease ; but submits his purchase from Lord Albemarle to the common fate of other Protestant purchasers, though pleading his services in the late war. *Draft ; and fair copy.*

RICHARD JONES [Sheriff of Wiltshire] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, June 3. Wilts.—" As you commanded I have given immediate summons to Alexander Popham, John Eyre, and Carey Raleigh, esquires, which are the only members I know at present within this county."

JOHN HICKES to ROBERT HARLEY, Speaker.

1701, June 28.—Alludes to his dismissal from his former employment and prays for assistance to become an officer in the salt business.

MAYOR, JURATS AND COMMONALTY OF HASTINGS.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1701, February-June.]—Setting forth the benefits of the North Sea fishery to the nation, and the need of salt for curing the fish; representing in particular the case of William Bourn, Robert Palmer and Thomas Adams, masters of fishing boats at Hastings; and praying for relief for the said fishery in general, and for these three persons in particular.

[See also the petition of Bourn, Palmer and Adams in II. of C. Journals, vol. XIII., p. 775.]

JOHN PITT.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1701, February-June.]—Since his recent petition concerning the illegal return of Benjamin Overton as one of the burgesses for St. Ives in Cornwall, which matter is still under consideration of the committee of privileges and elections, he is informed that one Ebenezer Overton presumes to sit in the House by virtue of the said return; and that there is no such person as Benjamin Overton, whereby petitioner cannot proceed upon his former petition till he has the further order of the House. Prays that the matter may be considered and justice done therein.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, July 15.—“I am this morning going to the Nore to see my men pass a ship that there lies a pressing, for otherwise they will not stir; they are in such infinite dread of that accident that they protest they had rather turn pirates and be hanged than serve—such aversion is got into the hearts of men.

“I am ready prepared with a proposal if I can find any encouragement, that I believe would be found as acceptable as useful to England; it depends on a certainty of reducing all the naval power of the world to the arbitration of this nation, and there is not one instance of the practice that is not reducible to demonstration. I shall not return before Monday.”

NATHANIEL MIDDLETON.

1701, July 16.—Certificate of magistrates for the county of Durham.—At the general quarter-sessions held at Durham, July 16, 1701. Having heard that Mr. Nathaniel Middleton, an officer in the excise at South Shields, has fallen under the displeasure of the Commissioners of Excise, they declare their belief that he has acted honestly and recommend that he may be restored. Signed by Charles Stonehewer, Clerk of the Peace; Thomas Wrangham, Mayor of Stockton; Anthony Hall, Mayor of Durham, and six other Justices.

NAVY ESTIMATES.

1701, August 8.—An estimate of the charge of building, fitting out and maintaining at sea for six months, eleven ships of the line of battle, as compared with the equal cost of 210 of 20 guns, which “if employed under a good discipline and after the method herein set forth shall infallibly interrupt and confound the whole trade of our enemies, and, if augmented in proportion, shall keep the jurisdiction of the seas, and render the naval power of this island terrible to all mankind.”

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, August 11. London.—Commending to his notice a scheme “for a monthly intelligence between the port of London and the island plantations in the West Indies;” and giving details of the same.

SAMUEL POWELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1701,] September 27.—I am very sorry I cannot wait on you myself, being indisposed; “but here enclosed comes a relation of matter of fact, and he that printed the *Jura Populi Anglicani* is an impudent fellow.”

Enclosing holograph narrative—

“1701, May 8.—The five Kentish gentlemen were committed, and had their liberty where they pleased till one made his escape.

May 10.—“Mr. W. Colepepper and his brother Thomas at the *Castle* tavern in Fleet Street, where they all were, asked the messenger for his warrants, to have copies, promising to return them presently: indeed all of them had their warrants for some hours. The messenger accordingly delivered them, but never had them again from the two Mr. Colepeppers; and Mr. Thomas made his escape that day from thence.”

May 11.—“Being Sunday: hearing privately that Mr. Tho[mas] C[olepepper] was gone aside, and the messenger that had the care of him, his brother, and Mr. Champneys not advising me of the same, I went at noon-time to his house and beat the fellow, and there frightened him, pretending to draw my sword, but never did; and this was the only time I ever offered to draw my sword, when none of the prisoners were then present, or on the same floor.”

May 12.—“Another messenger that had the keeping of Messrs. Hamilton and Polhill not merely told me, but I have it likewise under his hand, that they said *if they were attended by such fellows*, (viz., messengers) *they would provide liveries for them*; and that *they valued not the Serjeant: they had better men to clean their shoes*; and *if I did not come to them that evening and give such enlargements as they should require, fifty men should not keep them there that night*. Upon which I went to them, and in my way accidentally met my brother who . . . will be my witness that after some little talk with Mr. Hamilton comes Mr. Polhill with his hat on (my hat being off) smoking tobacco and puffing it towards my

face all the time of his speaking, and without any manner of compliment, open mouth, repeated about a dozen times words to this effect—*That he was a gentleman and would be used as such ; that he demanded a copy of his commitment : the law required it and he expected it, and the law commanded it, etc.* I replied, *if he asked civilly and like a gentleman he should have twenty copies, or anything else ;* but he still repeating those words of the law commanding me, etc., in his raving manner, and I not forgetting what the messenger so lately told me of their saying they had better men than myself to clean their shoes, etc., occasioned me to tell him *I valued not his law of a farthing* (knowing him to be a youngster in the law), and that *I was as good a gentleman as himself, in custody or out of custody.* So left them and immediately ordered the messenger to give them copies of their warrants ; but it seems he did not do it till next day.”

May 19.—“ Mr. Buckley was committed and lay to the end of the session, being June 24. For the first ten or fourteen days he was treated with all civility. He said he had no money, nor could he raise any in London, but had written for a bill to Totness, when (*sic*) would satisfy everything as he ought to do. After some days passed, asking him about his bill and for money, he plainly said [he] would not pay one farthing, though he was quartered ; upon which he was put into a garret, and he was as good as his word for he paid not one farthing.

“ As to Mr. Hassam, I never heard from any hand of the least incivility offered him more than his confinement, during which to my knowledge he had about ten days liberty to go where he pleased ; and deducting my charges to the Isle of Wight out of the money he paid, I don’t think I got three pounds by him.”

Also “ the case of Samuel Powell, Serjeant at Arms,” giving an abstract of the above narrative and adding particulars of his prosecution by David Polhill.

CHARLES CORNEWALL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, October 26. Berrington.—Returns thanks for the many and extraordinary favours shown to his family. Is apprehensive of a sudden outbreak of war, and being resolved to serve in it, he requests that the Lords of the Admiralty may be moved to appoint him to the command of the *Litchfield*, a fourth rate of 50 guns now at Plymouth ; hoping that this early application will prevent her being otherwise disposed of.

ANNE DENNIS to the HOUSES OF PARLIAMENT.

[1701,] November 10. Fisher Street, Red Lion Square.—Suggesting that the late King James should be decently interred at his brother’s side, and that his children should be brought up as Protestants ; followed by a long disquisition against the Roman Catholic religion, abounding in Scriptural quotations.

G. PIERREPONT to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1701, November 13. Tonge Castle.—Begging Harley's interest for his election as one of the knights of the shire at the next election, and that he will be present at the general meeting to be held at Shrewsbury to name two fit persons for the choice of the freeholders, as has been the practice for many years. *Signed.*

CHARLES CORNEWALL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701, November 15. Berrington.—“The account by the last post of the dissolution . . . I received not without surprise and concern, fearing lest the consequences thereof at this critical juncture might in any wise retard the glorious designed ends the late one seemed resolved to pursue. . . .

“I have already been importuned to stand for this county now, yet am resolved not to make any step therein without your advice.”

SARAH OKEOVER.—Memorial to the Speaker.

[1701.]—Captain Humphrey Okeover served as Captain in the “Coldstream Regiment,” as also in Col. Widdrington's Regiment in Flanders for twenty years, losing both his eyes. He was thereupon granted a pension of 2s. 6d. per diem, which was regularly paid out of Lord Ranelagh's office till his death, in May, 1696. The pension was then granted to his widow, Sarah, the daughter of Sir William Middleton, Bart., deceased. She has only received one year's pension, so that this present May four year's payment is due, and she prays Mr. Speaker to intercede with Lord Ranelagh for the arrears.

The case of EDWARD CHILLCOT, Gentleman.

[About 1700-1701.]—Was employed as gauger in the Excise from 1683 to 1694, and then as supervisor till December, 1698, when he was “reduced to a gauger again,” on account, as he supposes, of the zeal and loyalty displayed by him on and after October 28th, 1697. For on that day, after the transaction of public business at Shaftesbury, Mr. Lanham, the collector, went with Chillcot and other officers of the Excise to wait upon Mr. John Lush, of Motcombe, at the *Red Lion* Inn. Lush then charged John Cookman, one of the officers, “with telling Mr. Peike, the master of the *Catherine Wheel* Inn, whither Chillcot had removed the excise office, that if he had not a care Mr. Lush would prevail with Mr. Lanham to remove it back to the *Red Lion* again. Cookman replied, ‘I know, Mr. Lush, you have no kindness for me; and you lately promoted (*sic*) the drinking King James' health to me.’ Mr. Lush answered, ‘What would you have been the worse if you had? I have drunk it a hundred times and will drink it again.’ ‘The worse,’ said Chillcot, ‘why, if he had, and I had known it, I would turn him out of his Majesty's service.’ Hereupon Mr. Lush broke out into undecent expressions

about the present government, and said the crown was not in the right line. That after the death of Queen Mary it ought to come to the Princess Anne." It was answered that the crown was settled on King William as heir male by Act of Parliament; to which Lush replied, "Damn the parliament for settling the crown on him. Let's send him away to whence he came. What shall we do with him here?"

Next day Chillcot and the two officers gave information against Lush before Mr. Bennett, a Justice, at Shaftesbury; but he did not bind Lush over to the assizes. Therefore Chillcot preferred an indictment against Lush at the next assizes at Dorchester, where a true bill was found against him for a high misdemeanour; although Lush and Lanham had endeavoured to bribe him not to bring the indictment.

In December, 1698, Chillcot was reduced to a gauger and sent to Ormskirk, with Lanham as his collector, to prevent his prosecution of the indictment. Since that date Chillcot has been ill-treated on several occasions, and prays the Commissioners of Excise to redress his grievances, and to refund him several disbursements in respect of the service.

CAPTAIN UNTON DEERING to the LORDS OF THE ADMIRALTY.

[1698-1701 ?]—Case and Memorial.—Setting forth his services in the Navy and the Marines. Was appointed Captain of the store-ship *Fortune*, which was lost on her passage from New York to England; and being tried by court martial for her loss was honourably acquitted. Being thus employed again in the navy he has lost his promotion in the army, and prays for the command of a man-of-war.

EDWARD DORRELL.—Proposal to the House of Commons.

[1701 ?]—To raise a revenue for the King "little inferior to the Post Office," by means of a lamp which he has invented, which is much brighter than those in use; his price being the same, viz., six shillings from each house. Of the money thus collected, one quarter will suffice for all the charges, and three quarters will be paid to the King. These lamps will be very useful in cities, corporations and market towns for the hindering and apprehending of robbers and other mischievous persons, as well as for the convenience of people walking the streets upon their lawful occasions. He is ready to answer all objections, and to supply the lamps whenever required by the House.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1701-2, January 16.—Payment to the Speaker of 303*l.* 15*s.*, for votes between Friday, January 2 and Thursday, March 12, [1702], reckoned at 5*l.* 1*s.* 3*d.* per day.

JAMES VERNON to MR. SPEAKER [HARLEY].

[1702, January 30.]—"As soon as I received the favour of your letter last night, I despatched two messengers with warrants to secure my Lord Mordaunt and Mr. Morley, which they executed with success. Both the persons were brought to my office at noon and have engaged to prosecute this quarrel no further, which they shook hands upon.

"They are obliged to you that you have taken a double care of them, and I hope they are both sensible of it. I suppose my Lord Peterborough's letter ought to remain in your hands; I hope he will not be offended that his son was secured by a messenger rather than by guards, as he proposes.

"You will pardon me that I made you no answer last night; to say the truth your letter found me in bed. I wish you had been allowed your rest at the same hour. I was sorry to hear what a fatigue you had that day, and heartily wish it may not affect your health."

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

[1702, January ?]—Reasons against the bill for making the rivers of Stower and Salwarpe navigable, as set forth in Mr. Baldwynn's petition, on behalf of himself and the Earl of Plymouth, his father and others. With answers to the same, stating that Lord Plymouth is willing the Bill should pass.

Case of the CLERKS UNDER THE FOUR TELLERS OF THE RECEIPT OF THE EXCHEQUER.

1701-2, January.—The first clerks in each of the four offices give 12,000*l.* security to their Tellers, and make a clear profit of not more than 150*l.* a year. "In case their part of the fees upon the 700,000*l.* for the pay of the 40,000 men now voted by parliament, shall be taken off . . . their case will be much more hard and deplorable."

————— to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701 [-2], February 7.—Giving a copy of the Oath of Abjuration and some considerations upon it. "We think that what is here offered will be well taken by [the House of Commons] because it shows our good intentions, and will be of the nature of herb-john in pottage; if it do no good it will do no harm; or like the matter contained in a parenthesis, which never vitiates the sentence, whether it be put in or left out." *Seal with crest, a lion rampant regardant.*

SIR RICHARD GETHIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701-2, February 9.—Desiring him to give leave for a motion to be brought into the House of Commons to give encouragement to half-pay officers and subalterns who are willing to raise regiments,

companies or troops at their own expense, with a caution, “that as the design is purely to save the nation money, so an enquiry may be made into the half-pay officers’ list; that none may be imposed upon the King and this honourable House but such as are really qualified . . . it being believed that there are many dead and provided for.”

J. GIBSON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701-2, February 23. Welburne in Yorkshire.—“It is humbly offered to your consideration whether, upon the continuance of the Act, that the solemn affirmation and declaration of the people called Quakers shall be accepted instead of an oath in the usual form; it may not be convenient to alter the words—*to convene before them*—in paragraph 725, to such like words as are in the Act for the more easy recovery of small tithes, p. 285—to *summon them in writing by reasonable warning, etc.*; because of the great trouble and charge of convening all such Quakers complained of, and the stop of proceeding upon the complaint when they are not convened.”

Suggesting also “that post-letters should be conveyed by the public post at the present rate and charge to all or most of the market towns in England; trade and business going heavily on in many places for want of that public convenience.”

Also “whether it may not be well to add some words in the Land-tax Act, where the allowance of three halfpence in the pound is set for the commissioners’ clerks for fair writing the duplicates, etc., to prevent their demanding and taking money besides of assessors and collectors, when the acts touching the duties on houses, marriages, births, burials, etc., as well as the Land-tax Act are put in execution; some commissioners (as his Majesty’s inspectors or surveyors of the duties on houses, marriages, etc., can best give account of) allowing their clerks to take money for their warrants, besides their poundage money.”

COLONEL CODRINGTON.

[1702, February.]—“Articles exhibited by Mr. Freeman in the House of Commons against Colonel Codrington; and some observations and remarks in answer to the same.”

Relating to his alleged illegal practices as Governor of the Caribbean Islands. *Copy.*

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1702, February.]—Enclosing the Earl of Athlone’s petition. “Mr. van Homrigh, Baron Donelan, Dr. Molineux, Captain Burgh and divers other worthy gentlemen, who could never be prevailed on to sign the address, are deeply concerned under the Earl. I hope you will be pleased to name some worthy member that they may apply to for delivering their papers to the House.

"Mr. Parry prays it may be remembered that the high duty on sweets be taken off . . . I would fain kiss Sir George Rook's hands."

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701 [-2], March 4.—My friends at the Navy Board request me to ask what is meant by the clause—"for the more effectual payment of the seamen's wages and to prevent the discount upon their tickets"—introduced into the present land tax. If it means that tickets of men discharged from their ships are to be paid at once, it will open the way to innumerable irregularities and falsifications, which it will be impossible to check or prevent.

THE QUEEN'S ANSWER TO THE ADDRESS OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1701-2, March 9.—"I return you my hearty thanks for the kind assurances you give me in this address; they cannot be any way more agreeably confirmed to me then by your giving dispatch to all your preparations for the publick service and the support of our allies."

Endorsed by Harley. "Wrote all with her own hand."

————— to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]

1701-2, March 10. London.—"We find yourself and Sir C. Musgrave, our two worthy patriots and men of integrity, have mortgaged all the landed gentlemen of England till 1710, by continuing the grand mortgage till that time; though you both vehemently opposed that mortgage at first, and afterwards the continuation of it for a longer term. People will be apt to think you have either bought up malt tickets at a great discount, or you have a mind to make yourselves popular though you ruin all the landed gentlemen of England, who are already for the most part undone; for 'tis impossible they can subsist long to pay four shillings in the pound malt, that cursed duty of salt, births, windows and others. Enquire if the silver be not brought daily from the country hither and melted down and carried abroad; and when money fails in the country you will be at a full stop." Further criticisms, especially of the land tax.

"As to the common cant of ruining your credit, you will have no occasion of any, for do but raise 500,000*l.* or a million more than what the current service of the year requires, and that will supply the public upon any emergent occasion, and you can have no occasion to borrow. Pray consider, upon the foot you now are (*sic*), you certainly ruin those that have only land to depend on, to enrich Dutch, Jews, French and other foreigners, scoundrel stock-jobbers and tally-jobbers, who have been sucking our vitals for many years. Your friends hope you do not consult my Lord Sly, who was against the lowering interest; but, true patriots, I have said enough to you, that understand so well in what a condition we are. Pray God direct you."

THE QUEEN'S ANSWER TO THE THANKS OF THE HOUSE OF
COMMONS.

[1701-2, March 11 ?]—"It is a great satisfaction to mee to find you are soe well pleased with what I have said.

"I shall be glad upon all occassions to let you see I desire nothing soe much as the ease and happyness of my people." *Autograph*:

WILLIAM HAY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1701-2, March 21. Westminster.—I have been three weeks in custody of a messenger by order of Mr. Secretary Vernon, on account of a letter I wrote to Sir Arthur Owen. When I pressed the Secretary to set me at liberty, he told me that I had been taken in custody at your desire. You have doubtless seen the letter, and I hope you will think three weeks' confinement punishment enough, and allow the Secretary to discharge me. If not, I am willing to undergo the censure of the House of Commons; and I shall here give some account of the usage I had from Sir Arthur. I went in July last to Pembrokeshire about business of great consequence. When I had been there seven weeks without doing the least injury to any person, I was seized in the inn where I lodged at Pembroke, and brought before Sir Arthur Owen, Sir John Phillips and two or three more justices of the peace, who inquired my name, my father's name, my country, all which I told them; also that I had been thirteen years a commissioned officer in King William's service, that I came to England with him in eighty-eight, that I had taken the oaths at Haverfordwest, and that I would take them again in their presence. When they would learn my particular affairs in that country I desired them to excuse me, as the law could not oblige me to it, and that I could not without prejudice to myself. In the end they sent me to prison as a common vagabond, and Sir Arthur gave me very ill words to the bargain without any provocation from me; "the design of all this was to render me contemptible to a lady who already despised me," for they knew my business there very well.

"I was born of a gentleman's family; I have lived an honest man all my life; I have served in King William's army thirteen years, and have had five several commissions from him, to wit ensign, captain, major, lieutenant-colonel and adjutant-general of all the forces in Scotland, and I have had my brothers killed in the English service. Now, when I am about my honest and lawful affairs, that I should be taken up, and without any cause thrown into a gaol no better than a dungeon as a common vagabond, seems hard measure, and would be thought barbarous in a country less civilized than England, and, I believe, is without example in this country.

"Now, Sir, what I humbly desire of you is that you would please speak to Mr. Secretary Vernon to set me at liberty, or that you would lay it before the House, and if they find me in the wrong I shall willingly undergo their censure."

DINGLEY ASKHAM, Undersheriff of the counties of Cambridge and Huntingdon, to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, March 28. Cambridge.—“ In the absence of Mr. High-sheriff I received yours of the 21st instant, and have made diligent enquiries in both our counties and find the several members thereof to be in their attendance in parliament, as I am credibly informed.”

W. FOWNES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, April 1.—Reminding him of his promise to insert the clauses in favour of Major-General Stewart's and other regiments in some bill, since it appears by the votes of the previous day that no new business is to come into the House for that session after eleven o'clock this day. Sees no hope of encouragement for the linen manufactory in Ireland.

JOHN KEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, April 11. London.—Has heard from New York of the insolent and oppressive conduct of Mr. Weaver, the collector, who was put into his posts by Lord Bellomont and Lord Somers, and has, with the assistance of the chief justice, Atwood, imprisoned Colonel Bayard and Mr. Hutchins for endeavouring to get signatures to a petition. Thereby they have put the whole province into a flame; and the writer has been advised to try to get that collector-ship for himself, and prays for the Speaker's favour.

Enclosing his Case “ as it stands at present.”

[1702, April.]—Was lately a captain in Lord Strathnaver's Regiment. Sets forth his services and the injustice done to him by his colonel on a question of accounts, for which he was “ broke ” by the sentence of a court martial, in 1696, and has since been almost six years without commission or pay.

PAUL COLLINS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, April 13.—It was my misfortune to be concerned in the ship *Francis and Thomas* taken up for transport service in the reducing of Ireland. Ready money was laid out by the owners in paying seamen and fitting out the ship; but although standing for so many years, the hire has not been paid. It is a burden greater than I can bear, and I humbly hope your Honour's justice and charity will have some regard to the payment of this just debt. “ I live in the Strand near the end of St. Martin's Lane and keep an ironmonger's and brazier's shop. My father had the honour to be acquainted with your father, and dwelt near Ross, and always voted for him for knight of the shire.”

SALT BILL.

1702, April 13.—“ Observations upon the Salt-bill now before the House of Commons.”

Fair copy, with draft notes in another hand.

W. FORESTER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, April 22.—“ I shall in observance to your commands take care that no interruption be given to the passage of the members by those servants under the direction of the Green-cloth. But the power of the Hall on the coronation day being solely in my Lord Great Chamberlain, who demands the keys of the same, you may think it not improper to signify your pleasure to his Lordship.”

LORD LIMERICK to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, April 30.—Hopes that if his friends have been “ undecently importunate ” on his behalf, he may not suffer for it. He is mortified to find his bill unreported, while so many others have been relieved. The purchasers under the Earl of Athlone, in hopes to frighten him into accepting the 8,000*l.* offered to him, have insinuated that the settlement on which he insists is forged ; but as he would rather starve than redress himself by so base a method, so he would sooner starve than waive his claim. He prays, for the relief of his honour rather than of his necessity, that his bill may be reported at the appointed time ; and pleads his services as a reason why parliament should be compassionate and enable him to claim his family estates. *Signed.*

THOMAS HOSKINS to the HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1702, [about April.]—Memorial concerning the Bill to discover estates given to Popish superstitious uses, for Greenwich Hospital, suggesting “ an infallible way to discover ” such estates.

THE QUEEN'S ANSWER TO THE ADDRESS OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1702, May 5.—“ I am extreemly pleased with the assurances of your resolution to assist and support mee in this warr. I make no doubt but your unanimity upon this occasion will have a very good effect for the encouragement of our allys.” *Autograph.*

[SIR] J. COTTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, May 5.—Having been present at the coronation, but forced to leave the service of the House immediately after, he desires that his medal may be delivered to Mr. Kenten, the bearer.

Petition from certain DEBTORS IN THE FLEET PRISON to ROBERT HARLEY, Speaker.

[1702, May ?]—Acknowledging his Honour's goodness to them in twice reading a bill for their relief. “ They are very sensible that, if the unreasonable desires of their creditors and the most wicked contrivances of keepers of prisons can prevail with

the honourable Senate, petitioners can neither in this nor any other succeeding session of parliament expect relief, but inevitably perish by inhuman usage of their creditors." They pray him to look upon their sad state, and by promoting the third reading of the bill gain the prayers of thousands of poor distressed persons.

Signed by T. Gleane, Baronet ; Will. James ; Walter Stanhope ; *and five others.*

1702, May 9.—A similar petition from debtors in Ludgate prison, signed by upwards of sixty persons, including "Hen. Ogle, cler."

PAUL JODRELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, May 13.—"Enclosed is a list of the persons in the last bill of naturalization from the Lords, which I have examined as well as I can . . . If you cast your eye over the names you will see how the persons endeavour to impose upon everybody in respect of what ought to be paid by them to both Houses ; what is set down being only what they would so pay."

Enclosing lists of persons naturalized and their fees. "In the first bill begun at the Lords" seventy names ; twelve added by the Commons. "In the second Bill begun at the Lords" 132 names ; eighteen added by the Commons. "In the third bill begun at the Commons" names of two persons who paid whole fees, and of twenty others added by the Commons who paid half-fees.

[SIR] CHARLES HOTHAM to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, May 17. Beverley.—Being acquainted by Lord William Pawlett that coronation medals for members would be given to none but themselves, unless the member named a recipient, he desires that his medal may be given to Lord William.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, May 31. Portsmouth.—"Sir George Rooke came to St. Helens Saturday afternoon, and is now at the head of a powerful, pompous and chargeable fleet ; there is no line of battle fixed or I had sent you one. An accident fell out a little before he arrived that I am told troubles him.

"A small picaroon coasting from the Isle of Wight easterward, met with the fleet on the coast of Sussex, and stood in to the shore to weather of them under English colours. The fleet came on with a frank wind, but when their gross had passed him he stood off again among their small craft and cut off a brigantine and a vessel laden with bombs and other ordnance stores, and had like to have carried a bomb vessel also ; all which was, as I am told, in sight of the fleet, which is very disagreeable because the spark is gone off with his purchase. This is one among a thousand accidents of this kind, which the last war produced in confirmation of my hypothesis."

"I must beg leave to remind your caution about the expression in the scheme I have already mentioned, for it will be fruitless for me to set a mark upon myself for speaking truths which cannot be borne, and that I perceive are to be carried on with an higher spirit than ever.

"I must tell you the discipline must be amended, and I fear in a little time it will be found the work of a parliament, and very difficult even for that to correct. If it be not, I assure you from my single knowledge of things, I dare pronounce the charge of so ill husbanded a fleet will be too heavy for this kingdom to bear. I cannot forbear repeating these apprehensions; there are very few people make any reflection on that they daily see and do, but the treasure is inexhaustible and therefore no need for the regard of expences."

ARTHUR BUSHE.—Petition to the Speaker.

[1702, May.]—Sir Anthony Mulledy's first wife was a daughter of Sir Thomas Worsopp, a Protestant, by whom he had four children; but by the endeavours of his Popish relations the marriage settlement was not executed. After her death he married a lady of his own religion, by whom he had several children. He was within the Articles of Galway, but died ten days before his case came to be heard by the government of Ireland; and his papers being lost his claim was not prosecuted. The second wife and her children having petitioned the House of Commons for relief, petitioner, who with two others has charge of the children of the first marriage, decided to await the issue of her petition. But this having failed, he prays for leave to make out the sad case of the Protestant children, or that it may be referred to the trustees (for forfeited estates), and that on their report some relief may be granted. *Signed.*

[SOLDIERS CONFINED FOR DEBT] to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1702, May.]—Served his late Majesty during the late war; and were protected by parliament from all their debts till May 1st, 1702. The time being now ended they pray that a clause in their favour may be added to the bill for the relief of poor debtors, both for themselves and for some hundreds of other poor soldiers in several gaols of the kingdom; in order that they may serve against the nation's enemies and die like men. *Seventeen signatures.*

CORONATION MEDALS.

1702, May 11-25.—Acknowledgment of the receipt of one gold medal from the hands of Robert Harley, Speaker, signed by more than 400 members of the House of Commons for themselves and for other members not present, accounting for 493 medals in all; also signed by the Clerk, Assistant-clerk and Chaplain of the House, the Clerk of the Crown, and the Serjeant at Arms. *One or two members do not sign until June or July.*

Also a fair copy of the same.

Also separate receipts from Philip Papillon and Robert Eden for Wm. Lampton, not included in the above; and from Robert Fagge and John Mounsher, who received their medals by proxy.

CAPTAIN ROBERT CORNEWALL to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, June 17.—His affairs have not succeeded according to his expectation; he hopes the Prince will not forget him, for his inclination and desires are fixed upon employment.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, July 6. London.—“ I am extremely afraid the slowness I proceed with (the parliament being now dissolved) will injure my pretensions at Arundel.

“ The Navy Board replies to my Lord High Admiral’s order about the correspondence to the West Indies that the method of doing that matter well is by the great, but then it is inconsistent with their divisions of expense upon particular services; and presuming the service not directly naval are unwilling to intermeddle in it. If they were commanded to bargain with me for the charge only and directed to solicit money from the Treasury for that purpose, leaving the other conditions to be compromised by the Lord High Admiral, properly in his cognizance, I should think it the best way.

“ If I am informed aright some harvest is to be reaped in the course of the correspondence by consent of the planters and by occasional commerce, which in a little time will take from the charge of the crown. I am sure I shall make it as little burdensome to it, or to any other private person, as possible; therefore shall endeavour the expense be as little as it can possibly.

“ I know not what accidents I may meet with in this untrodden path;” however, I shall overcome them, if properly countenanced.

GEORGE CHURCHILL to —————

1702, July 21.—Encloses a copy of the correspondence with Captain Cornwall, “ by which you will see that he has not such reason to rail as he pretends.”

Enclosures :—

(1) CAPTAIN CHARLES CORNEWALL to [THE COUNCIL OF THE LORD HIGH ADMIRAL.]

1702, June 19.—Expressing surprise that he has been deprived of the command of the *Exeter*, and desiring that the Prince may be informed of an order by the late King for his continuance in that command. He sets forth the improvements effected by him in the ship, and prays for a few days to complete his accounts before giving over the ship to Captain Leake. *Copy.*

(2) DR. GEORGE CLARKE to CAPTAIN CORNWALL.

1702, June 20. Admiralty Office.—Assuring him that the Prince has no intention of depriving him of the *Exeter*, although Captain Leake, who is to command the squadron in chief, may elect to go on board any ship he chooses. *Copy.*

(3) CAPTAIN CHARLES CORNEWALL to [GEORGE CLARKE].

1702, June 22.—My apprehension is excusable, “Sir George Rooke having told me in these express words, viz. : *that Captain Leake was to come into the Exeter and that there was not any provision made for me*; and truly, that that is now intended me is what I must pray to be excused from; for since my ship is to command to Newfoundland without a flag, [I] cannot but think their sending a private captain to command both that and me in my own ship a modest way of terming me a blockhead, and consequently not fit to command on that service,” though I have done my duty as well as anybody. *Copy.*

(4) J. BURCHETT to CAPTAIN CORNWALL.

1702, June 24. Admiralty Office—The Prince has sent a commission to Portsmouth transferring him from the *Exeter* to the *Assistance*, in order to remove all ground of complaint. *Copy.*

(5) CAPTAIN CHARLES CORNEWALL to [J. BURCHETT].

1702, June 27.—Requesting that some other officer may be appointed to the *Assistance*; and expressing his intention to serve the government in a private capacity, since he is convinced that there is a design to force him out of the public service. *Copy.*

(6) DR. GEORGE CLARKE to SIR GEORGE ROOKE.

1702, June 30.—The Prince, on hearing Captain Cornwall's letters, “has agreed, or rather ordered, that he shall be pleased and have liberty to quit the service; for which-purpose a commission will be sent to Captain Arris.” *Copy of an extract.*

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1702, July, beginning of?—To save your time “I presume thus to acquaint you with the account of the procedure of our parliament which came this day by a flying packet. A subsidy is granted for maintaining the forces for a year and a half, which, with what yet remains of the supply granted the last session, will keep them up till about June, 1704. Some, indeed, of the members were for giving subsidy but for one year; some were for two years; but at last they were all unanimous for a year and a half and the commissioner thought it was more for her Majesty's service to accept of a lesser sum given unanimously than to carry a greater with a division.

"There is also in the act for subsidy some provision made for defraying the expense of such as shall be appointed to treat about the Union; at least I understand that it is so, for I have not yet seen the act.

"The act about the Union is read the first time, and it is, in substance, the same with that of England. There are some that would have it so expressed as that of the Convention of the Estates of that kingdom upon the Revolution was, which contained a clause for the security of Presbytery; but I do not see how this upon either hand should be any obstruction in that matter; for though I do own that I am heartily concerned for the preservation of Presbytery in Scotland—and I am apt to think I shall hardly ever change my opinion as to this matter—yet I would not be for tenacious urging the above-mentioned clause to be inserted into the act that is now to be made; for I am of opinion that Presbytery, managed with temper, will be as safe, if not more, when Scotland is united with England, than when it continues a separate kingdom.

"There is also a letter come to the Queen from the parliament, which has in it some expressions as to those that have withdrawn themselves, which several members in private did desire might be smoothed, not thinking them as they were expressed proper for a letter; but the matter was carried by a vote.

"There is only one thing that I must acknowledge I am sorry for and it is this—in the committee of parliament appointed for taking the public accounts there are two in it who are managers of the customs, and who have yet accounts lying before the Treasury. This was not thought fit by some, and no doubt the withdrawers from parliament will improve it; and really I am so much convinced that Duke Hamilton and the bulk of his party have pernicious designs at bottom, that I cannot but lament that they should have the least handle given to them for giving a colour to their opposition.

"I beg your opinion as to a little concern of my own, and it is about taking the late Oath of Abjuration. I am not a settled minister or preacher in any congregation in England, and any post I have is in Scotland; but I preach occasionally for my friends in several congregations of this city. Now, Sir, as I am not fond of taking any oaths officiously, so I would not willingly expose myself to the law when I have no scruple to do what it requires.

"If you think it fit I should wait upon you and give you any further satisfaction as to our affairs, I shall observe anything you shall be pleased to appoint; for I am not inclined to intrude myself upon business where my way is not plain, and, therefore, I trouble no person concerned in the management of affairs but yourself." [*The act for the Union was read on June 25. The Scottish Parliament adjourned on July 4.*]

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, July 21. Arundel.—"I have committed no mistake at this place. I wish there had been none at Shoreham and the

Doctor had been safe . . . My friend S. did not appear easy under the imposition ; it was not with his will or judgment that the scheme in relation to the Doctor was laid. Nevertheless he omitted nothing when he came to the place to distinguish himself fully inclined to his party. But it was too late.

“ My friend [John] Cooke and I are parted very good friends, but mighty uneasy under his disappointment, chargeable only on the ill conduct of his friends.”

WILLIAM CARSTARES to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, July 30. London.—“ I am afraid you will think you have brought a load upon yourself by the honour you have done me in allowing me any share in your kindness, for I cannot be such an enemy to myself as not to improve it, so long as you will, Sir, be so good as to allow me to do so.

“ I shall not trouble you with the stories we have of affairs here, but shall take the liberty to give you a short account of our Scots affairs. Our Lord Treasurer Depute came to town from Scotland upon Saturday last. I should have been heartily glad that he had had the honour of your acquaintance, for he is a person of integrity and interest. The Earl of Seafield and the Marquis of Annandale are also arrived here ; they are all as yet in terms of friendship, and I hope shall continue so, but I find the business of the abjuration is like to be a seed of jealousy, which will not, I am afraid, be lessened by what hath lately occurred in the Privy Council of Scotland, which is this.—The parliament in its last session having recognised her Majesty’s title did order that the oath of allegiance should be taken, and the Assurance subscribed by all that were formerly obliged to do so by law as to his late Majesty. I doubt not but you know what is the nature of this Assurance, and that all that subscribe it are obliged to maintain her Majesty’s just title against the pretended Prince of Wales and all his adherents. Now, the Privy Council being to emit a proclamation ordering all persons concerned to take these oaths to her Majesty, her Majesty’s Advocate brought in the draft of a proclamation making the above-mentioned clause in the Assurance run thus—*to maintain her Majesty’s title against the pretended Prince of Wales, who now takes to himself the title of King of this realm.* He gave this reason for the adding the last clause, that it was a necessary designation of the person intended by the parliament in the Assurance, and no addition to the law itself ; and that without this additional clause the greatest Jacobites might subscribe the Assurance, and yet elude the law, because according to their opinion he was no more Prince of Wales but King James the Eighth. This was opposed by all those members of Council that were in the parliament against the abjuration, for they alleged that the additional clause not being in the Act of Parliament, it ought not to be inserted by the Council. Her Majesty’s Advocate asserted that it might be safely done according to law. After much debate the matter came to a vote, and the adding of the clause carried by the

Chancellor's casting vote, and afterwards all the members of Council of both sides subscribed the Assurance with the additional clause. I shall be glad if this confused account of our affairs shall be any way acceptable to you. I only design it for your diversion in the country.

"The Duke of Queensberry hath been for several days very ill upon the road, so that he hath not been able to endure travelling. We do not yet know when he will be here; we should have a very great loss if he were taken from us in this juncture, but I hope by the next post we shall hear of his better health."

FURNITURE FOR THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1702, August 4.—Warrant from the Earl of Jersey to the Earl of Montague, Master of her Majesty's Great Wardrobe, and to his deputy there, signifying her Majesty's pleasure that he "provide and deliver to Peter Hume, Esquire, Yeoman of her Majesty's removing wardrobe, the following particulars for the service of the House of Commons (viz.):—

"One green velvet cushion with gold tassels for the Speaker's chair, and to be new covered with green velvet, with the seat and foot place with gold fringe; a green cloth carpet for the table, with silk fringe. One green cloth chair and stool with six cushions. The seats of the House to be new stuffed and covered with green cloth and silk fringe. All the seats in the galleries to be new stuffed and covered, with silk fringe. All the seats and tables in the clerk's office to be new covered, and a green cloth carpet in the closet. One dozen of cane chairs with green damask cushions in the Speaker's withdrawing room, and two dozen of Turkey work chairs for his chambers, or committee rooms. Two green serge curtains for the windows, with silk fringe. One dozen of pewter chamber pots and one large pot. Three dozen of pewter candlesticks, with nozzles. Two dozen of snuff pans with snuffers and extinguishers to each. One dozen of brass candlesticks; and two close stools with close stool pans. Six stands, two long brushes, two broom brushes and four hand brushes for the seats of the House." *Copy.*

Also copy of an order from Lord Godolphin for the execution of the above.

Also copies of warrants for similar articles in October, 1689, and 1690, from the Earl of Dorset, and the receipts of Serjeant John Topham and his messengers.

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, August 6. London.—"The desire I have that you should know anything of moment that passeth in our affairs, and to ease my mind as to what is perplexing to me in them, is all the excuse I shall make for the trouble of these lines.

"Our parliament is to be dissolved by proclamation, and it is in deed better it should be so than that it should expire of course as it must in a few weeks do, for it cannot be continued. But I

am informed that some of the ministry here, particularly the Earl of Nottingham, are for the immediate appointing a time for the meeting of a new parliament, and have desired that the Duke of Queensberry should send his opinion about it, if his health does not allow him to travel ; though by a letter written by his order he seems plainly enough not to be for the calling a new parliament presently ; but this was before he could have the letter that was sent to him from hence, and indeed, Sir, in my humble opinion, it does not seem expedient to call a new parliament at present.

“ For, first, it will seem strange to many that after such pains have been taken to procure a subsidy to her Majesty which will maintain her forces till June, 1704, and this upon design that she might be in no necessity to call a parliament suddenly, till she saw how her affairs did go at home and abroad, yet a new parliament should be called.

“ Secondly, the calling of this new parliament will be imputed to the prevailing influence of the Duke of Hamilton and his friends at court, which must give a great bias upon their side to the elections.

“ Thirdly, it hath been usual in Scotland to dissolve a parliament and not to appoint the meeting of another, it may be for one or more years.

“ Fourthly, though the counties choose their members in course at Michaelmas, whether a parliament be called or not, yet the cities and boroughs do not choose their representatives till a parliament be called ; and though the counties do elect at Michaelmas next, yet if a parliament shall not be called before the Michaelmas next following they must then choose new members ; and may it not then upon this ground be fit to delay the appointing of the meeting of parliament till her Majesty shall see how the elections of the counties go, and then she may better determine whether to call a parliament speedily or not.

“ Fifthly, I am afraid the present appointing of a new parliament will much alarm the Presbyterians in Scotland and make them apprehensive that something is designed against them, especially when they hear that this sudden appointing of a new parliament doth flow from the English ministry entirely, our own having had no thoughts of such a thing when they left Scotland.

“ I hope, Sir, you will pardon this bold freedom and impute it to the confidence I have in your favour, which is such as maketh me persuade myself that I shall be under no mistake with you for what I have done in thus writing to you.”

GEORGE MARTIENS, Captain of the *Venetian Galley*.—
Memorandum.

1702, August 10.—On the previous day, off Cape St. Vincent, the said ship sailing in company with her Majesty's ship *Kent*, Captain John Jennings, sighted a ship which they supposed to be an enemy and gave chase. The *Venetian Galley* sailed better than the *Kent* and had already come up with the strange ship,

when Jennings fired three shotted guns, one after the other, at the *Venetian Galley*, "designing to shoot our masts by the board, or damnify our ship or rigging." I took my letter of marque and went aboard to Captain Jennings to know the reason he fired at my ship; when, without any manner of provocation, he "gave me very ill language, striking me in the face with his prospect-glass and beat my hat and wig off my head; so my wig he beat overboard and was lost; likewise gave me several kicks and abused me very grossly, and after commanded me into irons aboard the *Kent*, where he kept me confined in irons about an hour, and sent his boat and lieutenant aboard of my ship to command my men to shorten sail and give over chase." In consequence the enemy got away.

Attested by seven of the crew. Copy.

PAUL JODRELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, August 20. "I attended the Lords' House to-day upon the prorogation. There was but two Lords besides my Lord Keeper. And there was not above four members; so, though I had a commission from my Lord Steward, I did not produce it, so none was sworn. The writ was read and nothing more done.

"My Lord Keeper is gone this afternoon for Warwickshire; and the Queen and Prince going next Tuesday for the Bath, we shall have an empty town. I am in a day or two for Leicestershire and Derbyshire. I wish you, Sir, all health and a good meeting to us in October.

"My affair I have heard nothing of, though it was mentioned to the Queen by my Lord Nottingham. It must rest now till the[y] return.

"The Earl of Kent is dead, as said."

EDMUND DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, August 20. London.—"I have this day concluded with the Lords for the West India business my own way, and, I fancy, with some mortification to Mr. Blathwaite, who has puzzled them and me very much. . . . If you think it proper to mention to my Lord Treasurer any remembrance of me, in case Sir Cloudesley Shovell quits, as is said, it will be seasonable."

"A letter from the postmaster of the Brill says Prince Eugene has routed the King of Spain; but we expect its confirmation."

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1702, August 21. London.—Giving an account of the examinations of himself and Mr. Blathwaite before the Council in connection with the postal service to the West Indies.

"On Tuesday last, attending there, Sir Stephen Evans coming to the Treasury with two jewels made up for the Dutch Ambassadors, and the Lords not met, I walked over the park with him to St. James'. His intent was to speak with Mr. Guy at his country house above Chelsea. He and I with him, for company,

took coach and went to him. After he had been with him he called me in to him, who received me very kindly on your account.

We fell to talk of public things, especially of Jack Munden's affair. His opinion and mine agreed in the point that it will be a wiping off some scales from the eyes of court martials.

"I had since the election the opportunity of dining with that gentleman on board his own ship at Portsmouth, and of hearing him state his own case and the method of his proceeding. I do not love to mortify any person to his face or busy myself behind one's back; but, upon my word, I did not find out that his judgment and his hearing were so alike thick and unready, till then; for I cannot but think a very small attempt to have penetrated that harbour would [have] begotten a very great unguarded consternation in the enemy at that juncture, which assists the bold and seldom or never fails them on well-resolved enterprises. Upon this head I reckoned a great many examples to Mr. Guy; and we concluded the Queen's censure to be just."

Describes a subsequent attendance before the Council and their approval of his scheme; and thanks Harley for his assistance.

Enclosing the minutes of the Council, 1702, August 20, relating to Mr. Dummer. Signed, "Nottingham."

UNION WITH SCOTLAND.

1702, August 25.—Letters under the Great Seal appointing the Scottish Commissioners for the Union.

Given at Windsor Castle. *Copy.*

WILLIAM PATERSON.

1702, September 7.—"Things proposed to be done in the ensuing Session of Parliament," chiefly financial; and "observations on the several heads of the foregoing scheme."

Endorsed by Harley, "Mr. Wm. Paterson."

————— to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, September 9. St. Mary Port.—The many difficulties that appear makes me believe that the design on Cadiz is at an end, and that we shall shortly re-embark. There is a detachment going to-day to see if anything can be done at the Puntalls; but the men sickening pretty fast will not permit much to be done.

Sir H[enry] B[ellasis] is your humble servant. *Seal, with crest a stag's head.*

SIR THOMAS FRANKLAND to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, September 15. General Post Office.—"I shall observe your commands relating to Mr. Franco, though it would not be amiss for him to write to us to desire longer leave. Mr. Tutchin never would let us know how to send to him directly If he is the author of the *Observer* (as is generally said) he has been freer with Lady Tyrconnel than can easily be forgiven."

“ Letters came in this morning from the fleet, but being no fresher than what we had by the way of Lisbon they tell nothing new. I do not find any assistance is to be expected from the Spaniards themselves, perhaps not thinking themselves much concerned who is their master. Lord Cutts hath so signalized himself at Venlo by taking the fort *l'épée à la main*, that the letters from Holland are full of his praises.

“ Old Sir John Cotton is dead.”

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1702, September 15. London.—“ The Duke of Queensberry is in health and at the Bath with the Queen ; the Earl of Seafeld is gone for Scotland to manage the elections for the parliament, and that he may be able to give his judgment of them, and so far as I can perceive the Duke and he delay to frame any particular schemes till by the choice of members they can judge of the temper of the parliament.

“ They were a little vexed that any encouragement should have been given by the court to Duke Hamilton and his friends to wait upon her Majesty, without their being advised with as to the expediency of it, yet I hear that the Earl of Nottingham, who, as I am informed, was the only person that knew of this encouragement, hath given them some satisfaction as to the reasons of it. But I am told by some that Duke Hamilton and his party did look upon what was done by the court in this matter to have been only intended to draw them away from influencing elections ; and, therefore, they seem to be resolved not to leave Scotland till these be over. . . . ”

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, September 24. Navy Office.—Captain Thomas Wilshaw, one of the Commissioners of the Navy, died this morning. The salary is 500*l.* per annum, with a house or 80*l.* a year instead, and the taxes of salary allowed. I need not say more but that I am to my power, very truly your most faithful, humble servant.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY, at Brampton.

1702, September 24. London.—The matter of the employment of my vessels for the West Indies “ has now taken air in the town to the satisfaction of all people as one of the best thoughts that have been hit on for a public good. . . . ”

“ Captain Wilshaw, the Comptroller of the Storekeeper's accounts, died last night. Providence has opened the way wherein, if (for what I suggested to you on the nature of that office but lately) I might succeed, I should be able to do much better service than ever I have done for the navy ; and that is not a little if it were accounted to me in justice. I have made bold to move Mr. Guy to give a hint to my Lord Treasurer not to be too precipitate in the disposal of this office. There is none at that board who understands it ; nor hath it ever

been understood rightly in my opinion. Nevertheless the Navy Board in a body this day recommended one of their members, Mr. Tymewell, for the same to the Council of the Lord High Admiral. Some time or other there will be a severe reflection upon that board for having too great a balance of clerk-commissioners in that body. They may understand accounts, but the prudential judgment with relation to the bulk of that business is a science they are great strangers to, a knowledge of mechanics and crafts of various natures. . . .

"If this occasion be not made use on to shew me some countenance for my abuses I shall never think anything else in the navy worth asking for." A word from you would have great weight.

SIR THOMAS FRANKLAND to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1702, September 29. General Post Office.—Enquiring after his health.

"When you read the enclosed, you will, I believe, easily guess the author. Sir Herbert Crofts could scarce have had more 'Sirs' in a speech than his 'Lordship' is repeated in this account.

"Mr. Tutchin is to be heard of at Allan's coffee-house, near Charing Cross."

W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, October 6. London.—"Our great men from Scotland are arrived sooner than was expected; the Duke of Hamilton stayed behind till the election for the county where his chief interest is was over, and I hear there is a double return for that county.

"Mr. Fletcher is chosen for one of the representatives for East Lothian, but against the will of the Marquis of Tweeddale.

"I hear that several Commissioners for the Union are on the road from Scotland with the Earl of Seafield. D[uke] Hamilton is expected this evening. His friends that came before him are very confident, as I hear, of success in their designs, but what these are I know not. The miscarriage of the design upon Cadiz makes a great noise here, as doth also the arrival of the plate-fleet in Spain, and men talk of these matters as they are affected.

"I hope I shall ere long have the honour and satisfaction of seeing you here."

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702, October 8. Navy Office.—Mr. Parry will be mindful of Mr. Lewis. He has laboured to appease the clamour on malt officers. There was lately an order (since countermanded) to send twelve months' provisions to our fleet at Cadiz, and it was thought an officer would be sent with them, whereby a place might be opened for Mr. Jones. But that service being over Mr. Jones will do well to make the commissioners perceive, by diligent application in his present post, that he may deserve their recommendation. Mr. Sergison and Mr. Lyddell make due acknowledgments of your remembering them.

JA. JONES to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1702, November 9. Heusden, in Holland.—“Here is no discourse but of a war. If so, I desire your assistance in promoting me to a lieutenant-colonel’s post.”

GEORGE KENDALL.

1702, December 31.—Renewal of Commission to George Kendall, merchant, of Leith, to be clerk and keeper of the Royal Cocquett Seal at and within the town and port of Leith, which office he has held for several years by virtue of a commission from the late King. *Copy.*

WILLIAM DELAROSE’s Case.

[About 1702.—*See* Calendar of Treasury papers, 1702–1707, p. 49.] *Duplicate of the same.*

WILLIAM DELAROSE. Petition to the House of Commons.

[About 1700–1702.]—Praying them to address the King for his restoration to his employment, and for an allowance of threepence in the pound for all moneys collected by him.

Accompanied by copies of his case; of his petition to the King of 1696, January 20th, with an affidavit, and the order from Sir William Trumbull to the Lords of the Treasury to report on the matter; and of three certificates of good character dated 1696, December 21st; 1699, April 25th; and 1699, December 29th, with twenty-one, five and eighteen signatures respectively.

Also a duplicate. [*See* Calendar of Treasury papers, 1697–1701, pp. 57, 205; 1702–1707, pp. 28, 49, 70.]

FRANCIS DRAKE.

[1702?]*—Certificates that he served on various ships between October, 1693, and August 1701, signed respectively by J. Jackson, Chris. Billop, S. Fairborne, Rd. Haddock, John Huntington and J. Price. Copy.*

CAPTAIN WILLIAM GARVIN’s Memorial.

[1702?]*—Raised a troop of dragoons at his own charge in Ireland in 1688, and went into Londonderry, and there was captain all the siege, and served so in Colonel Mitchellbourne’s Regiment, captain of Grenadiers all the war in Ireland and afterwards till the breaking of the said regiment. Lost in fortune and estate by the Irish army to the value of 8,000*l.* sterling, and now desires to be provided for in the troops now raising.*

ROBERT CROSFIELD to ROBERT HARLEY.

1702[-3], January 13.—Presenting the enclosed paper; having been, as he conceives “occasionally” the cause of the bill, in which, however, he will not further interest himself.

Enclosed—“Reasons against passing the bill entitled *A bill for the more free and impartial proceedings in parliament, etc.*”

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1703, March 30. Edinburgh.—“I take the liberty to send the enclosed, which is a copy of the address of the General Assembly to her Majesty, and of their grievances, which by their orders were to be laid before the Privy Council here. I could have wished that the assembly had been brought to a more calm issue, and I may say that I used my utmost endeavours that it might be so, and there was a general disposition in the members to bring their affairs to an end without giving any offence; but the Earl of Seafield, fearing that something might be brought in that was contrary to his Instructions, because he had heard some surmises of it, did surprise the assembly with a dissolution that was not expected without their having some notice of it; but I do not think that he had any bad design in it.

“We have this day a general indemnity proclaimed. I heartily wish that the great kindness that Jacobites meet with may be attended with suitable returns of gratitude and loyalty to her Majesty.

“I have endeavoured to procure an exact list of vacant churches, and of those that are filled with Presbyterian ministers, and of such as have been all along possessed by the Episcopal clergy; and it is as follows:—

Presbyterian ministers in churches.....	649
Episcopal ministers, continued in churches	
under the protection of law	154
Vacant churches.....	124
Churches upon which Episcopal ministers	
have intruded themselves.....	10

“There are, as I hear, about 150 preachers that are Presbyterians, that will do more than supply the vacant churches if parishes will call them.

“I presume to send the enclosed for your own particular use, and I have sent no copies to any else, though it is probable they may be made more public by others.”

SIR CHARLES HEDGES to the LORD HIGH ADMIRAL'S COUNCIL.

1703, June 29. Whitehall.—It is the Queen's pleasure that the Marquis of Carmarthen's post and rank in the fleet shall be preserved to him, and that while out of employment he shall have the full pay of Vice-Admiral of the red; that the stop put on his Lordship's pension by the Prince shall be taken off,

and the arrears, to May 6th last, be paid to him. You are to lay this before his Royal Highness, so that he may give the necessary orders. *Copy.*

JOHN HUNTINGTON. Certificate for Mr. Thomas Cornewall.

1703, September 28. West Indies.—“Thomas Cornewall, volunteer per order, served on board the *Dunkirk*, under my command, from October 11th, 1701, to September 28th, 1703, during which time he behaved himself soberly and obedient to command, also applying himself to the study of navigation; acted as midshipman the latter part of the voyage, and [I] think him qualified for preferment.” *Signed.*

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1703, October 23. London.—Your last letter came to my hands when I was upon leaving Scotland; “and though I know that you are to be here in a few days, yet I cannot forbear to pay my most humble respects to you by these lines . . . and to hope I shall have quickly after your arrival a favourable opportunity of discoursing fully about Scots affairs, and I do, Sir, the more impatiently long for this satisfaction, because I am sensible you might very much contribute to the preventing of any error that may come to be in the first concoction of our public business. Our Ministers of State that are come from Scotland are not in good terms amongst themselves, and therefore it cannot be expected but they will make different representations of affairs; and in my humble opinion it was never more the interest of the court than it is now to be cautious in adjusting measures as to Scotland; for I am apt to think that after what hath occurred there, many here and elsewhere will be apt to frame their sentiments of the inclinations of the court by what shall be the present management as to that kingdom; and I have, Sir, the vanity to think that when you come to have a clear notion of our business you will be of my mind. I have the honour to be in good terms with the Duke of Queensberry, and you shall know how it comes to be so” when I see you.

CALLS OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1693, December 25 to 1703, November 3.—Twelve letters from members of the Commons to Robert Harley, giving excuses for non-attendance at calls of the House.

Case of JAMES HANNAN, Merchant, of London.

[1703, October ?]—Bought a brigantine and in 1702 sent it to Limerick to bring tallow thence to London. But the vessel was captured by a privateer and taken to St. Malo, and condemned as a prize. The master and crew were exchanged, and on coming to England made affidavits which are annexed.

But William Bankes, part owner of the ship, refused to account for certain moneys paid at his request by memorialist, alleging him to have procured a French passport, and to have designed the ship and cargo for sale in France to his own use. The said Bankes has proceeded against him in several courts specified, and has obtained a warrant against him from Mr. Secretary Harley, which memorialist hopes may be stopped, though he is willing to surrender if necessary.

Enclosed are the affidavits referred to, one of August, 1703, signed by Robert Strong, master of the *James*, and Robert Geffery; the other of October 9th, 1703, by the same persons and Giles Blick.

ROBERT CROSFIELD.—Petition to the Queen.

[1703, December 17.]—In 1693, he published a book entitled *England's Glory Reviv'd*, dedicated to the late King and both Houses of Parliament, and therein proposed an act of tonnage for laying sixpence a ton upon all coasters, etc.; which was passed into an act in that session. In the said book he proposed that all sailors on board merchantmen should pay somewhat out of their wages towards the support of sailors wounded or maimed in the public service, which was soon after passed into an act, the revenue of Greenwich Hospital chiefly depending thereon. Knowing her Majesty's resolution to encourage such as contribute to the public service, and especially those who are members of the Church of England, he prays that royal favour may be extended to him.

Enclosed in a letter to Harley, dated as above, acknowledging former favours, and praying him to present the petition and use his influence on his behalf.

Memorial of the QUEEN'S PRINTERS.

[About 1703.—See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702–1707, p. 139.]

A similar memorial giving further particulars.

Case of EUSTACHIUS PLACICUS, servant to the Prince of Walachia.

[1703 ?]—Dr. Woodroffe of Gloucester Hall, Oxford, having by his letter acquainted the patriarchs and others of the Greek Church with a considerable provision made for Greek students at that hall, the said Eustachius was recommended by the Prince of Walachia to Lord Paget on his return from his embassy at Constantinople. Lord Paget after a month's costly entertainment by the said Prince, brought over the youth and sent him to Gloucester Hall, where he continued about a year, till all his money was spent and his clothes worn out. Whereupon he came to London and applied to Lord Paget for assistance to return to his own country, not doubting but that the said Lord would be mindful of the honourable entertainment received

from the Prince. But, contrary to his hopes, his Lordship would not be persuaded to do more than allow him ten shillings a week till the sitting of Parliament, intending to speak to some of the bishops for him. And indeed he has paid for twelve weeks, and will pay no more, so that the said Eustachius is in debt; and therefore he is necessitated to make his case known, "and he doubts not but to find some who will be more concerned for the honour of their country in this case than his Lordship seems to be, either for that or his own."

CAPT. CHARLES CORNEWALL TO ROBERT HARLEY.

1703 [-4], January 16. Berrington.—Reminding him of a promise to request the Lord Treasurer to use his influence with the Prince's Council for the writer's appointment to command one of the ships which are now being commissioned for the service of the current year.

SIR H. SHERE.

1703 [-4], January 26.—"Observations and reflections on the present state of the navy on the occasion of the debates now in parliament about manning the fleet."

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1701-1704.—List of bills presented to the House of Commons between February 13th and June 6th, 1701, shewing in tabular form the dates when they were presented, read, reported and passed.

Similar lists for the sessions January to May, 1702; October 1702, to February, 1703; and November, 1703, to March, 1704.

[C. LEYONCRONA to SIR CHARLES HEDGES?]*

1704, April 1. London.—The ship *Hope*, of the burden of 36 lasts, John Hansen Voss, master, having a Swedish pass dated September 26th, 1703, sailed from Stockholm to Bordeaux with a cargo of pitch and tar; and returning thence bound for Stade, with a cargo of wine and brandy, was taken by an English privateer and brought into Dover. In the Admiralty Court, the ship was restored, but the goods condemned. He is informed the cause is to be tried next Monday (April 3) before the Lords of Appeal. The ship is Swedish built; the master, Voss, is a Swede, and a burgher of Stockholm; it has been deposed on oath that the sole owner of the ship and goods is Werner Groen, a subject of the King of Sweden and a burgher of Stockholm; and Stade, to which the ship was bound, is a town belonging to the King of Sweden.

Desires that ship and goods may be restored and satisfaction given for all costs, charges and damages.

* See letter on p. 147, below.

CAPT. CHARLES CORNEWALL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, April 21. Berrington.—Praying him to enquire whether the Admiral's Council intend to employ him again in the navy, since he has reason to hope that he may be appointed to one of the new ships, the *Elizabeth*, if the Prince consents.

SALE OF CAPTURED GOODS.

1704, April 21.—Account of the sale of cargo of salt in the *Welfare*, of Leifland, prize brought into Falmouth by Captain John Brome, of the *Southwell galley*.

[SIR] STAFFORD FAIRBORNE to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, May 1. *Shrewsbury* at St. Helen's.—“ I beg leave to offer my service to you, and to desire your commands, being got abroad again and about to put to sea. I was really much out of order at my coming from the Bath, and with great pain performed my journey; but, I thank God, I am better, and the more so for being in a way, I hope, to do her Majesty and my country service. Let me beg you not to be unmindful of me, as you may find occasion.

“ Our ships here, for the number, are in so good a condition that unless all the French fleet were joined, we need not be under any apprehensions about any part of them.”

HANNAH GOOBET, widow.—Petition to Robert Harley, Speaker.

[1701, February-1704, May.]—Her husband Captain Goobet was killed at the first siege of Namur, and at that time a considerable sum was due to her for his pay and arrears. Only 20*l.* has been paid to her for his loss, and she has for many years solicited the Lords of the Treasury, Lord Ranelagh and others, without avail; she prays that a speedy order may be made for moneys due to her late husband.

WILLIAM SHARPE, one of the present Clerks of the Cheque to her Majesty's Messengers.—Petition to Robert Harley, Speaker.

[1701, February-1704, May.]—Obtained his place on the recommendation of the Earl of Marlborough, and by his diligence has reduced the annual bill of the messengers from 10,000*l.* to not above 3,000*l.* His continuance in the post was promised to Lieutenant-General Churchill by the Lord Chamberlain, but he is likely to lose the benefit of the said promise by a recommendation of a pension from the Speaker; he prays that the latter's influence may be no longer used against him.

NAVY LIST.

1704, May 11.—List of the squadron which sailed west from Portsmouth on May 10th, under the command of Sir Cloudesley Shovell. Twenty-nine ships are named.

WILLIAM COOPER.—Opinion on the case of William Plowman.

1704, May 8.—In September, 1689, J. Brome took out a letter of marque for the ship *Lusitania*, which was soon after cast away, and Brome returning to England had command first of the *America*, and then of the *Mary Rose*, in which he carried a cargo of merchants' goods to Leghorn; and thence, without taking out a new letter of marque, went a cruising and made several prizes, one of which he sold to a subject of the Great Duke's; from whom he and one Plowman afterwards re-bought her, armed her out of the neutral port of Leghorn under pretence of going in merchandize, and took several prizes without other commission than the letter of marque for the *Lusitania*.

Q.—If this proceeding is regular according to the laws of England, and whether or no Brome is not guilty of piracy?

Q.—If it is unwarrantable, are not Brome and those with him liable to prosecution for the prizes and goods they took?

Q.—Can a neutral permit one belligerent to fit out from his ports privateers to cruise against the other belligerent, without a breach of neutrality?

A.—The letter of marque, if confined to the *Lusitania*, could not extend to the *Philip and Mary*, which was not taken by the *Lusitania* or by a prize of the *Lusitania's*. Still, I think Brome, cruising on the King of England's enemies during the war, is not guilty of piracy. The extent of the commission can only be judged by the wording of it; but in any case, this can only concern questions as between Brome and the Admiralty, and does not affect the difference between the Crown of England and the Duke of Tuscany. It seems to me that the matter in question wholly turns on this:—Whether, by the law of nations, the Duke of Tuscany, as a neutral prince, could require any promise or caution from Plowman not to cruise on the French, and punish the breach of such promise in the manner he has done. As to which, it appears to me reasonable that a neutral should have power, without breach of his neutrality, to see that neither belligerent should hurt the other, by arming out of his ports, especially if he knows that such a thing is designed, and that preparations are making for it. And although I should not think there was a breach of neutrality if a belligerent, without being observed, armed in a neutral port and sailed thence to cruise on the enemy; yet in this case the Duke did foresee and take notice of Plowman's design, and could, I think, do no less than interpose and require that Plowman should give him satisfaction that Brome would not annoy his enemy by means of the neutral port. And if the Duke was justified in requiring such promise from Plowman, then was he also justified in punishing the breach of that promise; and if

he had not done so, the French would have had just cause of complaint against him. But if the Duke's prohibition to the belligerents to arm in his ports is at variance with the law of nations, it is void and of no effect ; for the local law of a particular country must give place to the general law of nations. So that I think, on the whole, authorities should be carefully looked into to support this opinion :—That the Duke is justified in requiring such a promise from Plowman and punishing the breach thereof by the law of nations. *Copy.*

Also a further opinion in the same handwriting.

1704, May 22. Doctors Commons.—Q.—Whether by law of nations, the Great Duke, being a neutral, might hinder a belligerent arming from his port ; and, if permitting it, might exact such a promise and punish the breach of that promise without giving just offence to that belligerent's prince ?

A.—To the first part of the question I have already given my thoughts in the affirmative. The Great Duke, being obliged to keep the neutrality, being particularly reminded of it, in the present case, by the French minister, and being liable to make good to the French any damage they might suffer from Plowman's arming, had a right to have hindered it absolutely. A permission given which might have been refused was a valuable consideration, upon which the Great Duke might exact such a promise, which, when given, became obligatory. The promise must be interpreted according to the circumstances of the case. It was not that an Englishman, in war with France, shall not insult the enemy as he ought to do, or that Brome shall not execute his commission ; but, "that a ship bought of a Leghornese shall not be armed out originally in that neutral port to insult the Great Duke's friends. . . . 'Tis one thing to exact a promise from an Englishman, arrived at Leghorn, not to pursue his cruise upon the open seas, and not to annoy the French, when his duty and commission so signally declare his designs ; 'tis another thing to suffer a merchant ship, bought of a Leghornese, to be made originally in that neutral port a ship of offence, thence to set out to attack the French." If the Great Duke had a right to exact such a promise, he might also expect and demand the performance ; "and since he did condescend to accept the bare word of a private foreigner, such word must bind ; for if a promise by word of mouth only upon a valuable consideration shall not be obligatory upon a stranger, who may have no possibility of giving any other security, all faith abroad will be eluded, useless and ineffectual." If the foreigner contravenes his word, and the prince who has trusted to it suffers loss in consequence, it is reasonable that he should do himself right ; that he should demand reparation, and as a security for it, should put a restraint on the person or goods of the contravener. Nor can I think a summary way of judgment, as in this case, to be null if the substantial of judgment, as calling and hearing of all parties, be observed ; and where a sentence mentions full cognizance to have been had of the cause, the presumption is for the sentence, that all things were so had and done as are therein certified.

The seizure and imprisonment of W. P[lowman] is somewhat extraordinary, if it be so as he gives out.

If by giving authority 'tis meant that of decisions in such like cases, I must own I am at a loss for them; if the authority of writers is intended, I have advanced these thoughts upon what I have met with in Grotius *De promissis*, lib. II, c. xi., and Gentilis *De jure belli*, lib. I, c. xxi.

JOHN PETERS, Gentleman.—Petition to the House of Commons.

1704, May 24.—Setting forth his services as Clerk to the Tellers of the Exchequer from 1679-1697; his losses consequent on the re-coining of money in 1695 and 1696; his applications to the Lords Commissioners of the Treasury, their insufficient grant, and his petition to the same Lords that they would lay his case before the King; their reference of his papers to Mr. Twitty, First clerk of the Auditors of the Receipt, and his report thereon, and the postponement of the matter by the King. A proposal from petitioner, in August 1701, to be recompensed out of certain concealed estates, which he had discovered, was referred to the Surveyor General, and by him referred to the Attorney General, who gave as his opinion that the grant of King Charles II to Sir George Carteret, of the manors of Epworth, Wyverton and Langton juxta Horncastle in Lincolnshire, and of Stapleford Abbots and Stapleford Regis in Essex, on the 7th of February, 1649, was void. He therefore proceeded against Lady Carteret at his own charges in the Michaelmas term, 1703, to make her set forth her title to the said manors. Since which he finds that a bill is to be read for the second time in the Commons for selling the said estates discovered by him, and for confirming the aforesaid void grant. He therefore prays that he may have the benefit of his discovery and suitable recompense out of the same.

[*Added in another hand.*] In regard the Queen has consented to the bringing of the bill in Lady Carteret's favour into the Commons, to the passing of which bill Peters made no opposition.

Particulars desired for recompense:—Moor Hall and certain lands in Upwell, co. Norfolk; out of lease: the manors of Nether Hall and Over Hall, co. Essex, and Crisping Hall, co. Suffolk, after the death of Robert Wingfield, esquire, without heirs male, the reversion in the Crown: encroachments on Royal waste land at Cookham and Bray, co. Berks; and the whole estate of Thomas White, *alias* Whitebread, convicted of high treason in Charles II's reign. "These particulars have been referred by the Treasury to the Surveyor General and by him reported."

COUNT CHARLES POLLIN.

1704, May 25.—Memorandum of an agreement between Count Charles Pollin and Lady Irwin for his being Colonel of Horse in the room of Lord Windsor, his present Colonel, with liberty to dispose of his Lieutenant-Colonel's place to the person proper, in consideration of 2600 guineas, the Count depositing fifty

guineas with Mr. William King, to be paid to Lady Irwin, provided the bargain be completed in fifteen days; and if it be not completed, she is to receive five guineas of that sum in consideration of her diligence. *Copy.*

CHARLES COXE and WILLIAM BANESTRE.

1704, May 29.—Certificate that at the last great sessions for Glamorganshire, Mary Thomas was condemned for murdering her bastard child; but having since been informed that she is of good and honest parentage, very young and of weak understanding, and was delivered when no person was present to prove if the child was born dead, they consider that she is a fit object of the Royal mercy, and ought to be transported.

Endorsed by Harley.—"Received July 14th, 1704."

DR. GEORGE CLARKE to [the NAVY BOARD.]

1704, May 30. Admiralty Office.—By order of the Queen he sends a copy of the paper which her Majesty gave him to read to them when they waited on her at St. James' this evening.

From the accounts laid before her in connection with Commissioner St. Lo's complaint, her Majesty observes that there has been much unjustifiable expenditure and want of good husbandry in the yards. She highly commends the zeal shewn by the Commissioner in discovering these abuses, and has no doubt he will display the same zeal in detecting others. In this, she expects that he will use all becoming temper and moderation. Any failure of duty which he finds, he is to report immediately to the Navy Board, who are the proper judges of matters of this nature; and she expects that the members of that Board, as they value her displeasure, will lay aside all animosity and misunderstandings and go on cheerfully in the performance of their duty.

THE SPEAKER OF THE IRISH PARLIAMENT.

1704, May 30. Dublin.—Observations upon the behaviour of the Speaker in the Irish Parliament:—

He obtained the chair by protestations of loyalty. He delayed supply by urging the poverty of the nation and its oppressions by the English Parliament. He "pressed the vote that the nation was misrepresented to be indebted 103,000*l.*," which statement he knew to be untrue, as the accounts were perfectly right, and nothing ever objected against them.

He works on the disposition of discontented people. He prevented a proper supply being voted for the deficiencies of the revenue, argued against several necessary grants, and diverted the quit rents from their proper use.

He "laboured against the funds proposed of beer, ale and tobacco," tried to lessen the revenue from the hearth money

tax, and in all committees worked against the Queen's interest, giving rude language to those "that did not run in with him." He endeavoured to create aversion to the English Parliament, and insinuated that the Queen and Privy Council had no right to meddle with money bills.

In the debate on the bill against Popery, he declared that the clause for the sacramental test was poison, and that whatever came from England had poison in it.

All his relations and intimate friends have followed his example in stirring up the House against the English Parliament, Clayton maintaining that he owed obedience to the crown, but none to the people, of England.

On the whole his designs had no great success, and owed the little they had to his professions of loyalty and of regret that his love to his country forced him to go against the Queen.

Endorsed by Harley.—"Copy: Received from Lieutenant-General Steuart." [*This name in Greek characters.*]

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 7. Coleman Street.—Requesting him to remind the Lord Treasurer to audit his accounts, which he delivered up to the examiners of accounts more than eight months previously. The delay has induced him to credit the government with above 2650*l.* more than he has received from it.

Another letter of June 12th is even more urgent, and *encloses* a copy of a letter of June 6th to the Postmaster General, setting out the details of the sum due, and praying for speedy relief, as bills drawn against him are being daily presented, which he cannot pay.

DR. GEORGE CLARKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 8. Admiralty Office.—Is acquainted by the Prince that there is no ship free to take the Marquiss of Tweeddale's equipage to Scotland; but it can be convoyed to Newcastle by the ships that guard the colliers, whither a Scottish frigate may be sent to take it on to Edinburgh.

"His Royal Highness has no objection to the ships that are laden with arms in Holland for the King of Portugal going with the convoy for the recruits from Ireland . . . but the time of their arrival is uncertain, being to return from the squadron that went out with Sir Cloudesley Shovell."

Memorial concerning CAPTAIN FRASER'S PLOT.

1704, June 8. (*Received.*)—If the Parliament of Scotland make any enquiry into the plot they will require all the papers, affidavits, informations, narratives, and all the intercepted commissions and memorials, and the four cyphered and gibberish letters, with their keys, and all Fraser's private letters seized in London, also the presence of the following persons will be necessary at Edinburgh:—

Mr. William Keith of Ludquharn, younger.

Colin Campbell of Glenderowell.

Sir John McLean and his Lady.

William Stewart, servant to the Duke of Queensberry.

Captain John Mair.

Mr. Robert Ferguson.

Endorsed by Harley "Lord Cromertie."

B. JENKS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 10. Harley.—On behalf of his brother, who is capable of employment as a messenger. "I continue my hopes that he is not quite forgotten, and that the opportunity of this change, which her Majesty has been pleased to make with you,* will not slip over without some door opened for his admittance into that service."

MILES MAGRATH.—Memorial to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]

1704, June 10.—Was formerly recommended by her Majesty to the late King James, whose fortunes he followed into France, and served there many years as Captain of foot; returned to England in 1702, where he still continues and begs for a Royal licence to live here, giving security for his good behaviour.

SIR ALEXANDER RIGBY, WILLIAM SHEPHARD and WILLIAM PLOWMAN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 13. London.—The goods of Rigby and Shephard have been confounded with Plowman's, whereas they ought to be considered distinct. They were not offered to the Great Duke voluntarily, but by a species of necessity as a bail for Plowman, who was nevertheless not liberated. They have suffered much loss and injustice; and the report of Mr. Pollixfen shows that he does not understand the case and is surprisingly ignorant of the law of nations. *Signed by Rigby and Plowman.*

SIR H[ENRY] GOUGH to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, June 17. Pury Hall.—"One Lesly, an officer in the Lord Dunnagall's Regiment, going towards Ireland with recruits, in Merriden, a village near Coleshill, in Warwickshire, took up a young man who was a tenant's son to Sir Clement Fisher, pretending he was a deserter. After they had forced him away near a mile, some of his neighbours, together with the constable of Merriden and his son, overtook the soldiers, with whom they had hot disputes and some blows. The constable told the officer that the man was not a deserter but a tenant of Sir C. Fisher's, and therefore desired his discharge, which was then refused with an oath from the officer, that, let him be who he would, he should

* i.e. his appointment as Secretary of State.

go. The constable was struck down and his son run into the belly and several others much wounded.

"Whilst these were carrying off, and other countrymen were coming in to their assistance (upon this cessation), Sir C. Fisher had notice, and coming to the officer (who at first laid his hand upon his sword), advised him to take care of what he did; that he would raise the country and pursue him from place to place till he was secured, if he would not desist and render himself. Upon this the officer submitted and was taken by the constable before a justice of the peace, who committed him to Warwick gaol. At their sessions last Tuesday he was brought into court and offered to be bailed if he could procure security to appear at the next assizes, which he could not do; and upon the surgeon's making oath that the countrymen's wounds were dangerous and that they might yet die of them, the justices have continued him in prison at Warwick.

"This part of the country has yearly supplied the army with very great numbers of men whose friends are dissatisfied at the death of some, and abuses that many others of them have met with abroad in former times." This fresh disturbance, together with the very irregular practices of some justices in this neighbourhood upon the late Act, will no doubt make it much more uneasy to those officers who shall come hither hereafter to raise men; and may prove of worse consequence if some public example be not made of this officer. *Signed.*

[*Postscript.*].—Since writing this I am informed that Mr. Bracebridge (justice of the peace) after the sessions were over discharged the officer, which, it is hoped, will not prevent a further enquiry for the satisfaction of the country, though it should please God that all the wounded people recover.

SIR GEORGE ROOKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 19. *Royal Catherine*, off Lagos.—Congratulates him on having the seals.

GEORGE TOWNSEND to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 19. Lincoln's Inn.—Enclosed is a copy of Mr. [Thomas] Probyn's discharge. By the orders of the office "no gauger is to take more than forty shillings for the instruction of any young man in the art of gauging" for employment in the Excise. Nevertheless Mr. Probyn "took 12*l.* to instruct a maltster's son in his own division. This extraordinary price gives us just cause to suspect that the maltster gave this sum for other favours . . . and there is so much bribery and corruption between the maltsters and our officers" that the Board cannot pass by so remarkable an instance.

Enclosed is the copy of the discharge referred to, dated March 10, 1703[-4]. Excise Office, London.

SIR THOMAS FRANKLAND to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, June 21.—“According to your commands I have enquired about the letter to Mr. Woolsley, but am informed he lives out of town. I have spoke with a person who read the letter. It is dated the 15th and says Portalegre was not taken. I believe the letter is from some officer, the person to whom it is directed being Newdigate Woolsley, late agent to my Lord Rivers.”

WILLIAM GOWER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 22.—I enclose a draft of a petition as you ordered, “fearing you might have occasion to speak in that troublesome affair to Lord Treasurer this day. . . . I hope I shall not be trampled upon by Mr. Jones, who never deserved favour from the government. If he is commanded to bring the inventory into the Treasury of the goods and furniture which he received from Captain Haughton, to be compared with the inventory he hath left in the Treasury of the nasty stuff he delivered to me, then the embezzlements will appear that were made in that gentleman’s time.”

Enclosing draft referred to of a petition to the Queen, that, inasmuch as the buildings of Ludlow Castle have suffered greatly from neglect, so that their repair would cost many thousands of pounds, and that it is a remote place of no service to the Queen or Royal family, she would grant a lease of the Castle, with all the buildings, courts, gardens and bowling-green, with the ditches and other grounds outside the walls for a term of years; and that the Castle may be pulled down and the ground built into a handsome square, to the great advantage of the loyal subjects of the ancient Corporation, employing thereon not only the artificers and workmen, but most of the numerous poor of the said Corporation, who have been greatly reduced since the abolition of the Court of the Marches of Wales.

INTELLIGENCE FROM CALAIS.

1704, June 24.—Mr. Masters came from Calais on the 20th. Twelve privateers belong to that place, eight of which were come in, carrying from thirty to forty men each. “Guns fired round Calais three times on Sunday night; supposed to be for the birth of the Duke of Bourgogne’s son, Duke of Brittany; others said it was for taking of Susa.

“All the privateers within the districts of Calais and Dunkirk called home. The seamen who had been prisoners met several French seamen near Gravelines going to Dunkirk. The merchant seamen hide, because they are taken out of turns and not in their classis. The report was to destroy the Dutch fishery; but others said for the East country trade, and to countenance the P[rin]ce Conti in Poland.

“No land forces can be heard of; not above 100 forces in Calais, and they militia or superannuated. . . .

"At first there was a report that they had burnt twenty-two of Sir G. Rooke's squadron, and the report was three or four days after that Sir G. Rooke had landed 5000 men at Barcelona, and forced to take them on board again. The prisoners at Calais are well used, but very ill used at Dunkirk.

"Mr. St. Paul was to have eight men of war at Dunkirk, the *Salisbury*, taken from us, a ship of 50 taken from the Dutch, the *Ludlow* of 36, and five more. . . . They report that there is but three privateers left at Dunkirk; all the rest destroyed. *Revenge*, a privateer of 28 guns run ashore between Newport [Nieupoort] and Dunkirk; the men mutinied because they had overstayed their time. This ship took the packet *Captain Simpson*. Mr. St. Paul was to have four from Havre de Grace . . . to make his squadron twelve."

SIR J. COOKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, June 26. Doctors' Commons.—As the proofs, if any were made in the court below, have not been sent to me, I am not able fully to examine the allegations of Knees' petition. But by such evidence as is before me, the affidavits of the petitioner, the pilot and several of the mariners, it appears that Knees was ill of an ague at the time the disaster happened; that the ship was under the care of a Trinity House pilot, and that she received considerable damage by the collision. I conceive it therefore desirable that further proceedings against the petitioner should be stopped, and he and his ship be permitted to proceed on their voyage.

LEWIS GORDON of Oakenhead or Elgin College in Morayshire.

1704, June 30. (*Received.*)—Narrative setting forth his kindred, education, and adventures since 1682, and giving a long account of his debts, his endeavours to obtain relief in Ireland, his arrest at Newcastle and imprisonment in London. 11 pages.

NAVY LISTS.

1704, July 1.—"A list of ships that have been taken as prize since this war, which have been claimed by Danish subjects." Sixty-seven in all.

Also—"A list of such ships as have been taken as prize since this war, which have been claimed by Swedish subjects." Sixty-nine in all.

N[ATHANIEL] BOOTHE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, July 1.—Concerning part of a revenue which is not charged to the Lord Treasurer, arising from the balance from covenants and entries at the Alienation Office, over the yearly charges on that office. Prays for an additional salary out of this balance for his services in connection with the levying of the

estreats of the greenwax for London and Middlesex. Also alleges that "the sheriffs of Middlesex of late years have made a great advantage of recognizances in his county, because he never takes any bond, or obliges the party to compound or discharge them, but he and his bailiffs have so much a year to connive at them." Gives an example and suggests a remedy.

MARY EDWARDS to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1704, July 5?]—I have examined my cousin Counter, who declares he can say no more than what he has written already. "When he was so unfortunately taken up, he was carried before Captain Potter, De la Rue and Pendergrass and the rest of the witnesses," who all declared that they did not know him. "He was bred a soldier under King Charles II and King James, but never entrusted with any private concern, and is a man of more honour and true valour than to be concerned in such an horrid conspiracy. Ill-principled men, when their hands are in, will swear to anything. That's no new thing. . . . Consider, nine years to be kept close prisoner is a very great while, and were you but made sensible of his hardships . . . I think t'would have been more favour to have hanged him out of the way than to lie lingering and at last to be starved to death. . . .

"As for Mr. Blackburne his case is plainly deplorable . . . He tells me he had no hand in the conspiracy, and did not know one body that died for it." I pray you stand their advocate to the Queen, as they offer security to live peaceable and quiet in the government."

Another letter to the same effect.

J. COUNTER to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, July 5.—Describes his miserable condition, and protests his innocence and his readiness to serve the Queen if released. He is maintained only by the charity of his cousin Edwards, having long ago spent his little subsistence.

ROBERT BLACKBURNE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, July 5.—It surprised me very much to hear that you desire to know what I can inform you of against the Queen and government, seeing that I have been "out of the world for above eight years, whereof two years I was kept so close and in irons that I was not suffered to hear from, see or speak to any friend." Unless you will be pleased "to join in charity with my good friend Mrs. Edwards I must certainly perish. I am a country gentleman and had a small fortune which I have consumed in this noxious prison; . . . had I been bred a soldier I would have served her Majesty sooner than any prince in Europe, but . . . I am fitter for a hospital than a camp." I hope you will be pleased to admit me to bail.

DR. WILLIAM DAVIS.

1704, July 5.—Certificate attesting his “great probity, learning and honour,” and that he has been fellow of St. John’s College, Oxford. *Signed*, William Gibbons, M.D.

Endorsed by Warre.—“one of those who came from the Canaries, and was brought up from Rye.”

W. CARSTARES to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, July 8. Edinburgh.—“I am in a post that hath obliged me to much retirement from all affairs except what have a regard to the concerns of it. But yet I have still the unhappiness to be under the displeasure of some of our great men, for my Lord Commissioner [Tweedale] hath been pleased to declare to some that he will not so much as allow me to pay my duty to him either as one of her Majesty’s chaplains or as head of this College, which hath kept me from exposing myself to an affront in desiring a thing which his Grace had testified he was resolved not to grant; but it is my comfort that her Majesty hath been so good as not to give any marks of her displeasure against me, and that I have the honour of the kindness of at least some of all qualities, who are surprised at this treatment, which is not ordinary here or anywhere else; but I have overcome many things by patience, and therefore I intend to exercise it still. Nor shall any bad usage make me change my principles as to the succession, whoever be the managers of public affairs, and as I am heartily concerned for the quiet of her Majesty’s government, so my endeavours for it in my station shall not be wanting.

“There was one favour that I presumed to beg of her Majesty when I had first the honour to kiss her hand, which was her protection from malice and calumnies. She hath been hitherto pleased in her goodness to grant it, and I hope, Sir, that through your kindness it shall be continued, for your advancement to the post in which now you have the honour to serve her Majesty is my great satisfaction, and I heartily congratulate it, and do pray that you may be under Divine conduct and an instrument of much good, not only to your own nation but this poor divided kingdom also.

“How affairs will go in parliament, is, as I hear, very uncertain, nor do I think that almost any man can give a positive judgment, but I heartily wish they may end to her Majesty’s satisfaction.”

ROBERT POULSON and CÆSAR DEAVEN.

1704, July 18.—Affidavit sworn before R. Warre.—On their way to New York they were taken by two French ships homeward bound from Martinique and carried into Rochelle. From there they got to Bordeaux, and on board a pink lading for London. After a tedious passage, and being frequently chased by French privateers, they put, for safety, into Kinsale, where the ship was seized by Captain Wakelyn of the *Ipswich*, who sent for them

on board his ship, and used them more like prisoners than subjects. They pray for consideration for their miserable condition, being in great want of clothes and other necessaries. *Copy.*

Endorsed by Warre :—"Sent the original to Mr. Glasier, the Prince's Proctor, July 27, 1704."

The EARL OF SEAFIELD to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, July 20. Edinburgh.—I have received yours with the information from Bergen, which I have communicated to my Lord Commissioner and some others of her Majesty's servants. We have ordered the persons named to be examined. I believe that it was Captain John Murray that escaped, for he was in the North some time before. I shall be ready to continue this correspondence, and shall acquaint you of what occurs here that is for her Majesty's service.

WILLIAM GOWER.—Petition to Lord Treasurer Godolphin.

1704, July 22. [*Received.*]—Being removed from the custody of Ludlow Castle, he petitioned his lordship on the 10th instant for convenient time to remove his family and effects, and for repayment of above £600. expended in the support of the Castle, and for a salary for his great care of the buildings since August 26 last, when he took them over from Mr. Jones. The former part of the petition was granted, but no money was ordered to be paid him. He prays therefore, to prevent disputes with Mr. Jones, that he may have time till February 3 next for removing, and the said sum of money and a competent salary.

Also copy of warrant to Gower's successor in the custody of the Castle, allowing him a salary of 20*l.* a year and a further 10*l.* a year for fuel to air the goods and rooms, to be paid out of the revenue of North and South Wales.

[THOMAS BATEMAN ?] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, July 25.—"About 150 sail of coal ships are in the River, but the price is not abated as might have been expected, because on their arrival a report was spread at Billingsgate that some of the convoys that were appointed for the colliers are now ordered to other service. If they are to return speedily to the colliers and to continue in that service, an advertisement of it in the *Gazette* or otherwise might be of use."

H. PUGHE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, July 26. Hereford Gaol.—"Petitioner is a most proper object etc., and tendered 1000*l.* bail to answer whatever can be objected against him." Prays for Harley's assistance, promising to return any expenses on demand. His answer should be directed to Mr. James Prichard, at the above gaol.

Repetition of the above promise by Prichard, witnessed by H. Pughe, William Collings and Thomas Griffiths.

REAR ADMIRAL WHETSTONE to —————

1704, July 27. At Sea.—A Swedish man-of-war, with nine merchantmen under his convoy, refused to strike, which occasioned a very sharp dispute between him and several of our ships, and considerable damages on both sides. As the *Worcester* is disabled, I send him in, together with the *Sorlings*, in charge of the merchant ships. Captain Butler will give you the best account he can. I have not been able to examine the lading of the merchant men; hearing several guns fired to the northward I am now with the squadron plying to windward, fearing there may be an attempt by the enemy upon our trading ships. *Copy.*

Enclosing memorandum giving particulars of the Swedish ship above mentioned and the destinations of the merchantmen. *Copy.*

[EARL OF LEVEN] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, July 27.—“I acquainted you formerly with what passed with relation to the succession. Since that the parliament has had the supply under consideration, which once seemed to be in a fair way to carry; but yesterday there was a proposal to tack the Act of Security to that of the supply, and that being like to carry, the Earl of Roxburgh made a proposal to delay the consideration of both the Act of Supply and Security until my Lord Commissioner should acquaint her Majesty, and after some debate, delay carried by a vote.

“No doubt the Ministers will write on this occasion, but what advice they will give to her Majesty I know not. In my humble opinion they have endeavoured to put the load off themselves and laid it on her Majesty by this proposal of a delay.

“Why should they not rather have yielded to the vote proposed by the other party; tack the act to the supply, yea or not? rather than put her Majesty to the necessity of declaring her mind on that matter. For the one way, it was a deed of the parliament, but the other a deed of the Queen, which is always to be shunned as much as possible, especially before a vote.

“The question seems now whether those who have the management will advise the passing the Act of Security or not. If they do, I suppose the great argument will be that unless it pass there is little hope of the Act of Supply being granted, and perhaps it may be so. But, on the other hand, if the Act of Security be granted, and that without it the supply cannot be got, what way will they get supply eight or nine months hence, when there is no such act to give for it. But besides, will it not be thought strange if the Act of Security pass, in which are most of the limitations, when the succession has not passed? What has the Queen to give to sweeten it if her Majesty thinks it her interest to press the passing thereof hereafter? For 'tis plain there is nothing of that sort to be expected without some equivalent.

“But if it be said that the army must disband if no supply be granted, I answer that I hope her Majesty will mind her own interest, though we neither do mind hers nor our own. And

certainly to disband the army at this time must be of very bad consequence to this nation, to England, and to her affairs abroad, and so I hope will not be thought of.

“The preservation of her Majesty’s sacred person is the greatest security that these nations have, and nothing is more necessary for preventing the villainous designs of a Popish party than to have these kingdoms in such a condition of defence that upon the event of her Majesty’s death, which God long avert, they may be able to act for themselves in opposition to Popery, a Popish successor, and a French power; and this can never be done but by a sufficient standing force.

“I cannot but acquaint you of a part of Mr. Johnstoun’s speech last day in the House, which was the first time he spoke since the parliament met. He being accused as under the power of English influence, and as having undertaken to settle the succession, his answer was to this purpose—That he had never undertaken any such thing; that when the Duke of Marlborough spoke to him of it he told his Grace he would rather make the campaign with him than undertake it. That he could not be accused of English influence on this head because her Majesty had declared her measure four or five months before he had any meddling in our affairs. And that the Queen was obliged to do so because of some aspersions that were spread, as if her Majesty was not in earnest in that matter. This speech seemed to me very extraordinary, as not being very dutiful to the Queen. For to be obliged to do so, has an *innuendo*, as if it had been a force upon her Majesty; and this to be said by the person entrusted with the whole management made many people take the more notice of it. But I must say the present Ministry are unintelligible, and their procedure and management unaccountable. They have not imparted their measures or advised with the greater part of the Revolution party, as if they designed that what is proposed should not take effect. They have indeed procured a dozen of votes or thereby; but we have lost more than twice the number. The danger we are in is obvious. How to find the remedy is very difficult. But this I dare say, that this Ministry will never be able to do it; but I have said too much.

“I have received yours of the 20th, which I cannot but acknowledge was a very great honour.”

[*Postscript.*]—“You will still be pleased to excuse my using a borrowed hand, which I do for fear of my letters miscarrying.”

[Cf. Report on Harley Papers, ii, 99, 103.]

REAR-ADMIRAL WHETSTONE to ———

1704, July 29. Yarmouth Roads.—“My last was by express of the 21st instant from Yarmouth, which gave account of our seeing the Dunkirk squadron, twelve in number, which we saw go out of the Channel, between that place and Ostend. The Dutch and we were then together; we all chased them, but were outsailed by them, except the *Winchester*, who a little before night was within two miles of them and discovered

their force; the wind was then at S.W., but that night shifted to N.E. The Dutch having left us, we stood close upon a wind over the coast of England, according to my order to call at Yarmouth. Sailing close upon a wind the next day, we saw from our topmast head seven sail, which we judge to be part of that squadron; we kept our wind, but they were soon out of sight of us. We stopped that lee tide before Lowestoft (Loestoff), where I called a consultation of the captains, and it was unanimously concluded that I should take what of her Majesty's ships of war could be spared to join us in pursuit of the enemy.

"The next morning, with the windward tide, we weighed, got off of Yarmouth, sent in our boat with orders to the *Worcester*, who was then in the roads, the Russian merchant-ships being gone without convoy four days before. We immediately plied away to the northward, the winds at N.N.E. and N.E., and so have continued ever since, blowing strong. We met with several Danes, who told us of some small privateers they had seen, and of a French man-of-war of forty-four guns, belonging to Port Lewis, cruising off the Texell: we believing we had once sight of him, but he outsailed us; but none we have yet met with can give us an account of that squadron, nor can we learn the place of their rendezvous.

"About five o'clock on Thursday morning, just after we had tacked, before our head sails was trimmed, the *Worcester* runned us on board on the lee quarter, staved her own head and cutwater and knee in pieces, that they had much ado to secure their masts, and disabled the ship from farther service till docked; broke several of our quarter timbers and gunwale, carried away our gallery and ensign staff, split our mizen, broke our mizen yard; so that I fear this ship must be sent in to fit what amiss [*sic*], and may then clean, being very foul, if it be his Royal Highness' pleasure. The master being the only officer upon deck, I have ordered Capt. Butler to confine him till the Prince's pleasure be known. These misfortunes brought us now into this place. The *Chester* and *Assistance* are gone to their respective convoys, who have had no wind to sail with since our going from thence.

"About four hours after the *Worcester* runned on board us we saw from the mast-head twelve sail of ships, ten of them a little upon our weather bow, which by their number we judged to be the Dunkirk squadron, and therefore made all the sail we could to get up with them. The *Worcester*, *Winchester* and *Dover* sailing best, came up with them some time before the rest; it proved a Swede's man-of-war and nine convoys, some bound to France and some to England, as he said. The *Worcester* fired two shots at the man-of-war to make him strike. The Swede sent his boat to Capt. Butler to tell him he would not strike his topsails to any prince whatever. Captain Butler fired a third shot, which the Swede returned with a whole broadside, he having fifty guns. He battled those ships very resolutely, and some others fired a

broadside or two at him till he was much disabled, and then he struck. He has done much damage to our ships and men; the carpenter of the *Winchester* is killed and the boatswain of this ship. The damages and defects of them are enclosed. I have appointed such officers as the captains have recommended for good men till his Royal Highness's pleasure is farther known. I humbly recommend William Till for boatswain of the *Litchfield*, a person that is now mate of the said ship, well known to Captain Billingsly, and a very good officer to my own knowledge. Yesterday morning we saw a fleet of ships, which, coming up with, found to be the *Hamburgh* fleet, to whom I joined those Swedes, and sent only the *Worcester* to go in with them, because of her disability, sending an order to the *Sorlings* to join me again in this place, where last night I came to an anchor with the ships in the margin, and find the *Rochester* is gone from hence to look for us.

"I received here his Royal Highness's commands of the 22nd. By my express from this place I gave account of Rear-Admiral de Bours' answer to me, and of his leaving me upon the coast of Flanders. By the *Worcester* I have sent the Swede's captain's commission and instructions directed to you, to be laid before his Royal Highness. He showed abundance of contempt to her Majesty's sovereignty in these seas, and without expostulating, fired his broadside into the *Worcester* before they expected anything like it. The *Dover* has received several unlucky shot, which made the captain request a survey on his ship; the report of which is enclosed. I pray his Royal Highness's commands as to that ship. The *Litchfield* has received much damage by the *Worcester* running us aboard.

"We have among our ships about sixty or seventy men killed and wounded in fight with the Swede's man-of-war."
Copy.

CAPTAIN T. BUTLER TO COMMISSIONER HAMMOND.

1704, July 30. *Worcester*, at the Nore.—Sends enclosed a copy of his letter to the Prince and Council, which he desires may be shewn to the Speaker; also a copy of that part of his Instructions which enjoined him to act as he did; hoping that they may prove a sufficient justification for his action, his sole intention having been the preservation of the honour due to the Queen's flag.

Enclosing copy of his Instruction, to oblige all foreign ships to strike their topsail and take in their flag while passing him on this side of Cape Finisterre.

Also copy of the letter above mentioned.

"On Thursday the 27th inst., about E. by S. eighteen leagues from Yarmouth, I made a signal to the Rear-Admiral of twelve sail of ships in sight, believing they were the enemy; upon which he with his whole squadron gave chase, but the *Worcester*, getting a start of about two or three miles ahead, we made the chase to be a Swede's man-of-war of 48 guns, and the rest were Swedish merchant-ships under his convoy.

I came within shot with colours flying, expecting he would strike his topsail and pay the usual respect to her Majesty's ensigns, which he omitting to do, I ordered a shot to be fired athwart his forefoot, of which he took no notice, his topsails being up a trip. I then ordered another shot in the same manner; he did not yet strike, but sent me his boat with a lieutenant, who asked in his captain's name why I fired those two shots. I asked him if his captain did not see the ensigns of her Majesty flying; he answered, *Yes*. I asked him why he did not own her Majesty's sovereignty by striking to her colours as all other nations did in these her seas; he replied, they was to strike to no ship whatever. I answered that if he would not fairly do it without bringing it to a further issue, it was my duty to force him to it, and away he went; upon which I ran up with the ship and called to the captain myself, telling him if he would not strike I would fire a broadside into him. This not doing, I fired one shot through him; he fired another into me; we were loaded double and round below, and double round and patridge aloft, and so near that our yard-arms were within one another. I then ordered our whole broadside to be fired into him, which made a lamentable cry on board, and, as we are told by her own people since, there was twenty-five men killed and wounded. He fired at us, and so we were in for it broadside and broadside; our braces, bowlings and topsail sheets were so shot that, being afraid we should lose the working of the ship, I stretched ahead, knotted them again, came upon him on the other tack, and laid him athwart the hawse, and raked him with that broadside fore and aft. I then called to him to strike his topsails, but he would not. I loaded and fired as fast as I could. In this time some of our ships coming up fired at him, so I then stretched out ahead to avoid their shot and to knot our rigging again, and then came upon him the other way, yard-arm and yard-arm, and gave him that broadside; and thus it continued upwards of four hours, until both his topsail yards came down, and then we desisted.

"Whether his yards came down by shot or by his own striking I do not know, but the captain says himself he did not strike.

"I am now according to the aforesaid orders got hither with her Majesty's ship the *Sorlings*, the Swede's man-of-war, and ten merchantmen, all whom I keep with me until I receive his Royal Highness's or Council's order to relieve them.

"It is said by the master of the Swede's man-of-war, who speaks good English, that they had 134 men killed and wounded; but this is by no means allowed by the captain nor his lieutenant, for they will not own how great their damage is; but I went aboard myself and saw a very lamentable sight."

"By the judgment myself, my officers and other people make, the Swede is mightily in the French interest, and by chance the lieutenant was heard to say that he wished they

had met Monsieur St. Paul; and I am very much mistaken if there are not more Swede's men-of-war coming out to join the Dunkirk squadron, or to go for Brest. This I only take from chance of words I have heard spoken, but cannot produce any certainty for it.

"Lieutenant Tompson of the *Litchfield* is on board the Swede by the Rear-Admiral's order, whom I have advised by all means to find out what he possibly can of these matters, and to give it me in writing, which he promised to do."

"I humbly hope his Royal Highness and Council will be of opinion that what is done as to my part of it was but my duty to do in compliance with my instructions and the support of her Majesty's honour.

"The Rear-Admiral, seeing how warmly I was engaged, sent me thanks by my lieutenant for my proceedings therein."

DUQUAY HOVIN to MR. ROBERTS, Intendant at Brest.

1704, July 30. On board *La Jason*.—"On Sunday last the ship *L'Auguste* took an English ship of one hundred and twenty ton, laden with wines, came from Port o Port (*sic*). I gave orders to the master of the prize to go directly to Brest, but did not think it considerable enough to send a convoy with her.

"Monsieur Monteton will give you an account of our meeting with an English man-of-war of 70 guns, which I chased close into Scilly, then thought it requisite to leave off. But had she not run away, I had given a more satisfactory account of her. I hope some good fleet of merchantmen will fall into my hands rather than the others, and I will do the utmost of my endeavours to find out the same. I just now took an English prize of seventy tons laden with sugar, and I think it proper to send *La Valeur* to conduct her as far as the Blackstones, and to return immediately to join us."

Appended, list of ships under writer's command.

The *Friendship*.

1704, June 24 to July 31.—Memorandum of what has passed in relation to the *Friendship* pink of London, lying in the Thames. Warrant to seize her for coming from Bordeaux, where she had been trading with her Majesty's enemies. Warrant to apprehend Charles Craven, the master of the pink; and [Michael] Stretch, who came out of France in the pink without leave. *Copy*.

PAMPHLET entitled "OF THE FLEET AND SIR GEORGE ROOK."

[1704, July?]"—It will easily be allowed, the fleet may be made more useful than it is. If the enemy did not know by happy experience that our naval force does them no harm, they would always be obliged to keep more forces on

their coasts, and consequently want them elsewhere. 'Tis plain they have a most despicable opinion of our naval expeditions, and have too much reason for it.

"Our fleet with about 5000 men on board might keep them in continual alarm. They must have twenty battalions at least to guard the coasts of Provence, and as many those of Languedoc.

"We talk of relieving the Camisards. Nothing can do it so effectually as to have our fleet hovering on that coast, sometimes landing a few men here, sometimes there. The Maréchal de Villars must draw down to Montpellier and the sea coast, and by consequence give the Camisards room to spread themselves and act at large.

"But our fleet does nothing of all this. 'Tis commanded by a person the people hates; and all miscarriages will lie at his door, whether the fault be his or no.

"No reflection can lie against the person employed but tacitly affects the government employing, and nothing reflects on the government but it touches the ministry.

"Portugal is an instance of this. All our complaints of conduct are stopped at once by her Majesty's displacing the general. People say her Majesty has found herself ill served, has altered her measures and changed the general.

"But when the people ask one another of the fleet, the common answer is: *How should we expect better with such an admiral? A man that never once fought since he was an admiral; that always embraced the party that opposed the government, and has constantly favoured, preferred and kept company with the high furious Jacobite party and has filled the fleet with them.* How should we have good captains while such a man promotes them? And this is the reason why we have no officers in the Navy fit to prefer.

"The French presume upon this misconduct of ours. 'Tis not to be supposed the French admiral durst have ventured into the Mediterranean with twenty-nine sail, when our fleet was there before him with a force very superior. It must be a scandal on our admiral, or on the nation. Either on the admiral that they knew he durst not fight them, which affects his courage, or that they understood one another, which is worse and affects his fidelity.

"Or it is a scandal on the whole English nation, as if twenty-nine French men-of-war could be a match for forty-five English.

"The manner of the conduct afterwards is perfectly scandalous. *Our fleet saw the enemy at the distance of three leagues, say the relation. Why did we not fight them? say our old tarpaulins; and all the Exchange after them, Why did we not chase them? Why, we did chase them, but found they gained upon us.*

"*What, do they outsail us always?* There never was a fleet but that some ships sail better than others.

"*What! did the worst of their sailors outgo the best of ours?* Then our navigation, or building, or sails are defective and

should be enquired into; and the charge of a fleet saved till they are fit to match the enemy at sailing as well as fighting.

“*But they towed away with all their boats!* So might we have done too, and ought to have continued the chase till we had seen them in port; have waited on them to their own doors, and have insulted them there.

“But at last, what can be said how they got by us? How they got beyond our fleet? Why did not Sir G. R., when 'tis plain he knew the French were in the Mediterranean; why did he not post himself at the Isle of Hyères (Heires), and keep his guard upon the very Road of Thoulon, where they must have come, of course, and where it had been impossible to have entered without fighting him?

“To say, *They might have fallen upon him there, and the ships from Thoulon have joined in the fray*, is imposing upon us, for we all know they durst not stir till the very action, ours lying before their port; and there must be so many concurring accidents of wind and tide, all which must hit the very minute of action, that the hazard of it is not worth naming.

“These are some of the Town discourses, and there are scandalous letters in Town from the fleet itself on this head. The action at Barcelona is counted as monstrous as all the rest. To land a force inferior to the enemy, and indeed, disproportioned to the attempt, had some most scandalous circumstances in it.

“'Twas hardly rational to expect the people of Barcelona, had they ever so much inclination to the thing, should appear when they saw the force landed was not sufficient to protect them. Had there been landed three to four thousand men, and the fleet in good earnest applied themselves to their assistance, there is no room to doubt the town had been taken.

“The country, thinking their friends were come, and not knowing this force was so small, began to show themselves; and as several hundreds did come in, many more were on the road, and the whole province was at the very point of revolting, when the fleet, being bound on another expedition, resolves to be gone; and all the well-meaning inhabitants are left to the fury of the enraged enemy to be dragged to execution and destruction by the hand of the hangman, just as the French did at Messina.

“The complaints of all sorts of people on this head are very severe, and the more so because not to be answered. If it be answered, *the King of Portugal deceived them*; 'tis replied, then the attempts should not have been made, and the poor people not exposed.

“If Sir G. R. alleges his orders for the relief of Niza, or Vill[a] Franc[a], then he should have sailed thither first, and have made this attempt at his return when he had leisure to have carried it on. There seems no question but, if he had stayed, the Catalans were so disposed to join, they would soon have been strong enough to have forced the town.

“These are the present grounds of complaint as to the fleet, which as to the matter of them are very considerable as to fact.

"As to the defence the admiral can make, etc. This, with submission, I do not see material. It remains to consider whether in such cases it has not been generally thought needful by all politic princes and states in the world, to recall any general or admiral who comes under the unhappiness of these circumstances:—

"(1) Either to have committed any capital mistake, though not by design, want of courage, or negligence.

"(2) That has the misfortune to fall under the general censure and hatred of the people, whether deserved or no.

"(3) Or that, generally speaking, is always unfortunate.

"In all these cases the general may be really clear; but yet all ages are full of instances of such being laid by as, at least, improper persons, if not otherwise culpable. The Grecians, the Romans, and the Carthaginians always laid by unfortunate generals as persons the gods were angry with and would not prosper. The Turks do the same to this day; of whom 'tis well observed that they are never betrayed.

"Our easy way of acquitting men in councils of war and by examination of parties perhaps concerned in a mistake gives room for men to abuse their trust in confidence of coming off upon the artifice of future management. Though to miscarry ought not in justice to be criminal, yet in policy it should always entitle a man to be useless; otherwise a general shall be indifferent as to success, his own fortunes being not concerned.

"Besides, who knows whether Sir G. R. has miscarried for want of discretion, or for want of honesty, or for want of judgment, courage, or anything else? And if this be doubtful, how can such a man be trusted with the English Navy before the case is decided. If a miscarriage has happened, there ought at least be a suspension of command till the man charged is justified. For 'tis an unaccountable risk, and such an error in politics as no minister of state would be seen in, to commit the charge of the English fleet, the safety and honour of the nation, to a traitor. If such a thing should chance to be made out, what can be said to excuse so much credulity? For to be suspected is certainly reason enough not to be employed.

"The Queen cannot do an action more agreeable or obliging to the generality of the nation than to remove this gentleman, and commit the navy to another. If he be afterwards acquitted, her Majesty may restore him with honour to himself and satisfaction to the world; but to employ him while all the world suspects him is taking all the blame of a miscarriage on the Queen and ministry if he be proved guilty. To remove a man from his power clears away the proof of fact, which his station screens him from, and which discourages complainants from attempting.

"'Tis enough to a government that the people in general decry the man; for though common fame is often a liar, yet universal clamour always demands a suitable regard, or is apt to assert the government as wanting in a due care of things, and careless of the public dissatisfaction.

“ ‘Tis true, to have enemies is no crime, but to have wise men one's enemy, and men of moderation and temper be uneasy, is a sufficient ground to suspend a man from a charge of such consequence till it appears whether there is a crime. He ought to be tried indeed before he is condemned, but shall such a man command till he is tried? If he appears guilty, then the navy, the honour, the safety of England is put in the hands of a criminal. If he be dismissed, yet innocent, the injury is but to one man; but if he be continued and be guilty, the injury is to the whole nation, and the hazard is too great to be ventured.

“ The nation's safety, the public reputation, and the credit of the ministry, calls for a suspension at least of this obnoxious suspected man.”

[*The handwriting is that of Daniel Defoe.*]

CASE OF the *Neptune*.

1704, July, N.S.—Extract from the register of the resolutions of the States General of the United Netherlands concerning the brigantine *Neptune*. [*Copy in French.*]

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]

1704, August 1. Doctors' Commons.—“ I hope I have in the account enclosed answered your commands, and that what I have laid before you will serve for a full reply to those complaints in the extract mentioned . . . There has not been yet any complaint made to the Court of Admiralty of any excessive expenses or embezzlements made in any Swedish ship during this war.”

Enclosing Report.

I do not believe that Mr. Jackson has perused any of those papers or passports of which he writes; for had he done so, he would not have found one ship which—having regular passports and papers such as are required by the treaties with Sweden—has been stopped and brought up during this war; nor has there been one vessel under examination during the whole of this war which has been furnished with such papers and passes as could be adjudged conformable to the treaty.

As to the ship particularly named, the *Hope*, Voss master, the state is thus:—She took in her lading of wine, etc., at Bordeaux, bound for Stade, on account of Warner Groen, of Stockholm. On her passage she was taken by two Guernsey privateers, and upon examination in the Admiralty, the ship was restored but the lading condemned as being bound for a place within the avocatoria, which Stade is. This sentence was confirmed by the Lords of Appeal. This is no new doctrine, for both in this and during the whole of the last war all goods being carried from France or Spain to ports within the imperial avocatoria were ever condemned as good and lawful prize. *Signed.*

SIR J. COOKE to RICHARD WARRE.

1704, August 5. Doctors' Commons.—The warrant "must come directly from the Queen directed to me as Advocate General, and to Thomas Smith, Esq., her Majesty's Procurator General."

Endorsed.—"Sir John Cooke, [on] H. Knees."

LADY E[LIZABETH] INCHQUIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, August 6.—Praying for the speedy release from prison of John Vaughan, who was pressed into the land service. His parents are tenants of hers in Montgomeryshire, and old servants in the family of Lord Herbert of Chirbury; and he has a farm of his own in that country.

RICHARD CRAWLEY to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, August 8.—"An account of the merchants' ships lately taken in fight under a Swedish convoy by Admiral Whetstone, and brought into the river of Thames."

Giving names of ships and their masters, whence and whither bound (as is pretended), and lading.

JO. BLENCOW and R. TRACY, Justices, to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, August 9. Hereford.—Stating that they have examined the churchwardens and overseers of Backton, in the same county, concerning the complaint made by James Prichard in his petition that he had been unjustly pressed into the Queen's service. They find that he maintains himself as a stonecutter or mason, but is neither a householder, master of a family, nor parish constable, and is an idle drunken fellow. Believing therefore that the suggestions made in his petition are false, they have left him to her Majesty's disposal. *Signed*.

RICHARD CRAWLEY to RICHARD WARRE.

1704, August 11. Doctors' Commons.—I received your letter of the 5th instant, enclosing one to the Queen from the city of Dantzic, praying for the restoration of some goods seized in the *St. John* by the *Weymouth*. No ship of this name has been taken by the *Weymouth*, but on a strict examination I suppose the letter refers to a *St. John* which was taken by a privateer and brought into Weymouth, where the ship, as a Stralsunder, was condemned to the privateer on June 19: but the goods were restored to the Dantzigers on August 2.

LOSSES AT SEA.

1704, August 13.—"List of officers and men slain and wounded in the battle" [of Malaga.]

Captains only are named. In all, English, slain, 695; wounded, 1,663. Dutch, killed and wounded, 400.

JAMES STANCLIFFE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, August 23.—“ I have long been desired by a friend of mine, whose concern it is, to lay the enclosed paper before you. . . . I beg that at some spare minutes, if any such belong to you, you would cast your eye over it. . . . My friend is sensible there will be some considerable charge in passing a matter of this nature, but is willing to move upon the best grounds, and therefore betakes himself to the springhead.

“ I saw Mr. F. yesterday, and he seems to be much dejected by the deferring of hope, which, the wise man says, makes the heart sick; but at the same time he and all men must know that your hurries of late have been such as are not ordinarily met with. However, for the occasion of some of them we heartily rejoice, and wish you many more such happy interpositions during your ministry.”

[Mr. F. is probably Daniel Defoe, who was a correspondent of Stancliffe's.]

Enclosed is the petition of Joanna and Rachel Soumans to the Queen. Their father, Arent Soumans, being a native of Holland, made his last will in 1681, bequeathing his temporal estate to his children in equal shares according to the law of Holland. Removing to Scotland, he purchased lands in East and West Jersey, believing himself to be a British subject, and intended to settle there with his family, but was murdered on the highway on a journey from England into Scotland, leaving a son, Peter, and the two petitioners. After this they enjoyed their estates according to the said will; but now Peter pretends that the lands in America having been purchased after the will was made, when his father was a British subject, ought to descend to him as heir-at-law, and not to be divided according to the custom of Holland. But since the said lands are held of the manor of East Greenwich, and the said father was an alien, the Queen is entitled to all the lands. Petitioners having little else to possess, and considering their father's ignorance of the law, pray that the Queen will grant her title to the lands to certain persons to be named to hold in trust for their father's family according to his will.

Also the case of Arent Soumans, setting forth the like facts.

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, August 25. Edinburgh.—“ It is unnecessary to burthen you with an account of what hath passed in our parliament, while I doubt not but you have it fuller and more exact than I can pretend to give it. Both your houses of parliament have been canvassed, and as the one was blamed for their carriage towards us, so the other was not judged to deserve our thanks, for we were not willing to give too much reason to the one to triumph over the other; but it was thought fit to give this proof of our independence, as we have given another in seizing an East India ship belonging to your nation, by way of reprisal for one seized in England that was under

the protection of our African Company. We hope that these methods will make you more gentle to us, and bring you to think of giving us good terms to encourage us to come into your succession.

"But this nation, as it is divided in many things, so it is not of a piece as to these methods of assisting our sovereignty, for men's thoughts of them are various. It was expected that we should have had commissioners named ere now for treating with England, but it is said that the Duke of Queensberry hath so many friends in parliament that he would have been named as one, which some say that the managers of affairs here will not consent to, but do rather choose that there should be no nomination at all.

"Your old friend Mr. Fletcher is an Ishmael in our parliament; our new Register [James Johnston] hath no governing weight here as some inform me, he will no doubt give a great many reasons why things have not succeeded better, for I always looked upon him to be a man of good invention, but I am apt to think that affairs could not have gone worse had he stayed at Twickenham. The Earl of Leven carries well, he and his father have many in this parliament that have appeared for them in some particular affairs that came before the house.

"The enclosed paper is a monitory letter sent by the commission of this church to all the Presbyterians through the nation. I presume to send it to you only for your own particular use, because I conceive it will discover to you the sense that our ministry hath of our present condition."

Enclosure.

Monitory letter from the COMMISSION OF THE
GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

1704, August 8. Edinburgh.—Setting forth the dangers to the reformed churches from the profaneness and indifference of the people, and the activity of Romish emissaries. Exhorting their brethren to excite the people "to stand in the gap and wrestle . . . for the church and nation . . . that we may be preserved from a Popish successor to the crown," and to call the presbytery together to keep a day of fast and humiliation. Recommending that this letter may be recorded in the presbytery books. *Signed*, Thomas Wilkie, Moderator.

J[OSIAH] BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, August 31. Admiralty Office.—I enclose a copy of an extract from a letter received this morning from Rear-Admiral Whetstone, who has returned to the Downs with the trade from the North, concerning the Swedish merchantmen brought in by the *Worcester*.

Enclosure.—"A merchant at Crokery, in Norway, that trades to Sweden, gives me an account that those Swedes which

we sent up to London were laden with naval stores bound for France, who, waiting some time for M. St. Paul's arrival, but he not coming, they manned out a Swede's man-of-war with the choicest of their men to be a convoy thither." *Copy.*

ACCOUNT OF THE BATTLE OF MALAGA [BY THE COMTE
DE TOULOUSE.]

[1704, August.]—"Estant mouillé le 22e à Veles Malques, ou j'estois venu pour faire de l'eau, les fregates que j'avois en garde me firent le signal qu'elles voyoient l'armée ennemie (pour lors il estoit trois heures apres midi), et il y avoit si peu de vent que tout le monde jugea que les ennemis ne pouvoient pas arriver jusqu'à nous ce jour la ; si nous avions appareillé pour lors les courants, qui sont tres vifs en cet endroit, nous auroient mis en confusion, ainsi je me contentai de faire revenir promptement tous les gens que nous avions à terre, et d'envoyer les vingt-quatre galleres, tant de France que d'Espagne, se mettre aupres de vingt-quatre vaisseaux qu'elles devoient remarquer un peu devant le jour, pour les conduire à une lieue au large, et de là revenir prendre le reste et les mener joindre les autres, afin que les ennemis nous trouvassent en bataille. La nuit il vint un peu de vent du costé de la terre, et nous appareillames à la pointe du jour du 23. Les courants, qui portent fort à l'Est icy, avoient déplacé la nuit les ennemis, de maniere que le matin nous ne les voyons plus. Je courus au large afin d'avoir de leurs nouvelles. A dix heures du matin, les vaisseaux de l'avant-garde me firent le signal qu'ils voyoient l'armée ennemie, et en effet nous les vismes peu de tems après, quoi que de loin, ils avoient le vent sur nous. Nous employames le reste de ce jour à nous preparer au combat, et nous mettre en bataille le mieux que le peu de vent qu'il faisoit nous le pût permettre.

"Le 24 à la petite point du jour nous les vismes à environ trois lieues au vent de nous, et nous connumes en même tems qu'ils se mettoient en bataille. En arrivant sur l'armée nous estions pour lors Nord et Sud et Sud de Malgue environ à dix ou onze lieues. Leur armée estoit composé de trois escadres, celle qui portoit pavillon blanc à croix rouge estoit commandé par Shovel, et faisoit l'avantgarde ; la seconde portoit le Jack au grand mats à l'ordinaire commandé par l'admiral Rooke, et avoit le corps de bataille ; la troisième composé de tous vaisseaux Hollandois faisoit l'arrieregarde ; on m'a assuré que leur commandant se nommoit Calenbourg. A l'esgard du nombre je ne puis pas le dire à quelques vaisseaux près ; on leur a compté soixante quatorze voiles, parmi lesquels il y avoit cinquante six vaisseaux qui arrivoient en ligne, et l'on en voyoit encore quelques uns esloignés qui paroissoient gros, lesquels ont rejoint pendant le combat ; en sorte qu'au rapport de beaucoup de gens la ligne des ennemis a esté de soixante vaisseaux, parmi lesquels il y en avoit fort peu de petits. Notre armée estoit composée de trois escadres ; l'une blanche et bleue

qui faisoit l'avantgarde commandé par le Marquis de Villette; l'autre blanche qui est la mienne, et faisoit le corps de bataille; et la troisième bleue commandé par M. le Marquis de Langeron et faisoit l'arrièregarde : à l'esgard des gallères M. le Marquis de Roye estoit au corps de bataille avec quatre; M. le Duc de Tursis à l'avantgarde avec les sept qu'il commande et les cinq d'Espagne, et M. de Ferville avec huit de France pour l'arrièregarde.

“ Dans cette disposition faisant gouverneur perpendiculaire du vent les deux huinieres (*sic*) sur l'etoy, afin de se maintenir plus facilement en ligne et d'estre en estat de faire les mouvements convenables par rapport à ceux des ennemis, nous apercevumes que leur avantgarde arrivoit sur la nôtre et qu'elle estoit fort escarté de son corps de bataille. M. le Marquis de Vilette, qui crût le faire envelopper par les vaisseaux de la teste, fit signal aux premiers vaisseaux de forcer de voile: ce qui n'ayant point empeché l'admiral Shovel d'arriver, comme il faisoit d'abord, il estoit si avancé qu'il se trouva insensiblement dans nos eaux de l'avant avec quelque intervalle entre le corps de bataille et lui. Cette scituation qui nous paroissoit favorable nous fit prendre le parti de retenir le vent en forçant de voiles avec le corps de bataille pour couper cette avantgarde, esperant que si le calme venoit, comme il arrive ordinairement dans les combats, nous nous ferions remarquer par les galleres pour doubler cette avantgarde et la mettre entre deux feux, ce qui seroit arrivé infalliblement si ce mouvement avoit pu s'executer. Shovel, qui s'en appercent, retint aussitôt le vent; et l'admiral Rook, qui en prévint les consequences, fit le signal de commencer le combat et arriver sur nous l'avantgarde de son corps de bataille, par où commença le combat. Il estoit pour lors dix heures à ma montre: le feu commença donc generalement par toute la ligne. Je ne puis rendre compte que de ce qui se passa au corps de bataille, et pour ce qui regarde l'avantgarde et l'arrièregarde j'ay esté obligé de m'en rapporter aux commandans de ces escadres: la fumée faisoit une nuage si espais, que dans des moments à peine voyois je les vaisseaux qui estoient à l'avant et à l'arrière de moi: la canonade fut très vive partout. M. le Marquis de Vilette auroit sans doute remporté un très grand avantage sur l'avantgarde des ennemis, puisque suivant son rapport il y avoit desja cinq de leurs vaisseaux qui avoient quitté la ligne lors qu'une bombe tomba sur sa dunette, qui la fit sauter, et l'aurait mis en risque de sauter quelque tems apres luy même par le feu que cette bombe avoit mis à son vaisseaux, s'il n'estoit un peu arrivé et sorti de la ligne pour se reparer et éviter cet accident. Le même accident arriva à M. de Bellisle; une bombe ayant mis le feu à son vaisseaux ce qui l'obligea d'arriver; quand cela arriva M. de Bellisle avoit desja esté tué.

“ Pour le corps de bataille il arriva une chose que je crois n'estre jamais arrivé en un combat de ligne quand on est sur le vent; qui est que le troisième vaisseau de mon avant, commandé par M. de Chamellin, fut trois

fois de suite à l'abordage d'un vaisseau qui se trouva auprès de luy et le quitta, et la troisième, parce qu'il mit le feu en plusieurs endroits dans le vaisseau ennemi. A cause de la fumée je ne scay ce que ce navire est devenu depuis : il fut dans la vivacité de ce combat si desamparé, et perdit tant de monde, qu'il fut aussi obligé de sortir de la ligne pour se reparer, aussi bien que M. le Chevalier de Grancey, qui estoit auprès de lui et se trouva si criblé de coups et si desamparé qu'il fut obligé d'en faire autant.

“ A l'arrière garde le combat fut aussi très vif, et Monsieur de Langeron m'a dit que de son vaisseau et de plusieurs autres on croyoit avoir vu couler à fonds un des vaisseaux avec qui il avoit eu affaire. M. de Rouvroy, qui estoit de ses matelots, après deux heures de combat receut plusieurs coups de canon, qui lui mirent tant d'eau dans son vaisseau, qu'il fut obligé de sortir de la ligne pour le reparer. M. de Rochelard l'aisné, qui eust affaire avec son navire de soixante canons à Shovel, qui en avoit un de quatre-vingt-dix, fut aussi obligé de sortir de la ligne, son vaisseau estant tout criblé et desamparé. M. le Chevalier Desmont et M. de Pontach, qui commandoient de petits navires, eurent affaire avec de beaucoup plus gros [et] se trouvèrent aussi obligés d'en faire autant. Du costé des ennemis nous en vismes aussi plusieurs qui se retirèrent du combat. En general nous sommes toujours demeurés dans notre même terrain, et partout ce sont les ennemis qui ont voulu finir le combat, et s'esloigner de nous, en tenant le vent autant qu'il leur estoit possible. M. le Bailly de Lorraine, qui estoit mon matelot de l'avant, a toujours tenu son poste près de moi, et a fait tout ce qu'on pouvoit attendre d'un brave homme, jusques à ce qu'il ait esté tué. Je dois la justice à M. de Grandpré, qui se trouva commandant de son vaisseau après sa mort, que nous ne nous apperceumes pas de la perte de Monsieur le Bailly ; et que ce vaisseau fit toujours tout ce qu'on pouvoit desirer. M. de Relinque, qui estoit mon matelot de l'arrière, fit aussi tout ce qu'on peut attendre d'un homme comme pour un aussi bon officier qu'il est. Il eut la jambe emporté au bout de deux heures de combat ; et M. de Rochelard le cadet, qui par cet accident se trouva commandant son vaisseau, le maintint si bien à son porte, fit un si grande feu et si à propos, que l'on ne s'aperceut non plus de la blessure de M. de Relinque. Le combat finit à l'avant garde sur les quatre à cinq heures ; au corps de bataille elle finit après sept heures ; et à l'arrière garde les ennemis tirèrent encore à la nuit, mais de si loin, qu'à peine leurs boulets arrivoient ils à nos vaisseaux.

“ Je ne scaurois dire trop de bien des officiers de mon vaisseau, non plus que des gardes marines qui ont temoigné les uns et les autres toute la valeur et le sang froid que l'on peut desirer. Si je disois tout ce qu'il y a dire sur tous les officiers de l'armée, je ne finirois point ; ayant tous les lieux du monde d'estre contant, et me reservant à leur faire connoître

ma satisfaction par des choses plus essentielles. Tout ce qui me fait de la peine c'est la quantité d'honestes gens que nous avons perdu dans cette occasion ; mais une bataille comme celle-là ne se peut pas passer sans y perdre de bons sujets.

“ Nous demeurâmes la nuit qui suivit le combat à portée du canon des uns et des autres. Je fis porter des feux à tous les vaisseaux de l'armée et il n'y eut que les vaisseaux des ennemis portant pavillon qui en avoient. Le 25^{me} au matin les vents estants changés et estant revenus à l'Ouest, les deux armées reformèrent leurs lignes, qui par les courants, le calme, et la nuit avoient esté fort derangés. Nous estions alors à une lieue les uns des autres. On courut dans cette scituation aux côtes d'Espagne, et chacun de son costé ayant besoin de se remettre en estat, ce fut la l'occupation de la journée. Il nous parut que les ennemis estoient bien aises de s'eloigner de nous insensiblement ; en effet ils firent si bien qu'à l'entrée de la nuit ils estoient esloignés à trois lieues de nous. Ils mirent pour lors le cap à la costé de Barbarie. Pour nous, nous continuâmes la bordée à la costé d'Espagne jusques à minuit, que l'on jugea à propos de revirer de bord à celle de Barbarie pour joindre nos vaisseaux deseparés, qui n'auroient pu nous suivre et se maintenir au vent de l'armée enemie. Cette bordée fit que nous nous trouvâmes le lendemain matin assez pres les uns des autres, mais le vent estoit revenu à l'Est, et pour lors les ennemis, qui estoient à environ quatre lieues à vent à nous, avoient une belle occasion de recommencer le combat, s'ils eussent voulu ; mais il ne parut pas qu'ils en eussent envie. Ils nous cotoyèrent tout le jour sans nous approcher. La nuit, les vents estant toujours à l'Est, j'ay continué ma bordée tirant à la côte d'Espagne, où je voulois mener les galeres, qui estoient dans un partage tres dangereux pour elles, et me lever de la costé de Barbarie, dont je m'estois trouvé en peu trop près par les courans dangereux, qui portent à terre. Il y a apparence que les ennemis profiteront de ce tems pour regagner le destroit, car nous n'en avons eu aucune connoissance depuis, malgré les fregates que j'avois envoyé pour les observer à l'air de vent, où ils me restèrent. Je ne scay point encore où ils ont tourné ; mais si c'est au destroit, ils me laissent maître du champ de bataille, et avouent bien qu'ils ne veulent plus avoir affaire à nous ; à moins que leur superiorité ne soit encore plus grande. Quant à moy, je suis revenu à Veles Malques, où ils estoient venus me trouver, où j'attends de leurs nouvelles, et où je vais faire de l'eau.

“ J'oubliois de dire que vers les trois heures il s'approcha deux fregates que nous primes même pour deux brulots, qui vouloient tenir sur nous à la faveur du grand feu que Rook et son matelot faisoient pour lors. Ce fut des batiments à bombes qui nous en tirèrent beaucoup, suivant le rapport des fregates que j'avois sur les ailes ; car pour nous, nous ne nous aperçûmes pas si bien à cause du grand feu.

“ Les ennemis avoient pour eux toutes les avantages qu'ils pouvoient souhaiter ; la superiorité du nombre de vaisseaux, le vent sur l'armée du roy, qui a esté assez frais pendant tout le

combat, avec assez de mer pour que nous ne puissions pas tirer des galeres tout le service qu'on devoit attendre de leur bonne volonté. Elles n'ont pas laissé malgré cela de remorquer à la arrieregarde deux vaisseaux qui estoient fort sous le vent, et les remettre en ligne. Je leur dois beaucoup de louange de s'estre trouvés aussi hardiment et aussi long tems qu'elles ont fait dans un parage aussi dangereux, surtout depuis la perte de Gibraltar.

"On m'a assuré aussi qu'il estoit coulé a fonds un vaisseau ennemi à l'avantgarde; ainsi ce seroit deux." [*? Copy.*]

COMMUNICATION WITH NORTH AMERICA.

1704, September 1. (*Received.*)—"Account of the conveniences, profits and advantages, as also of the charge of establishing packet-boats for North America." Computing that 100,000 letters go yearly to the colonies and as many are returned, which at 9*d.* per letter (which is the charge to the Barbadoes) will produce 7,500*l.* *per annum.* The annual cost of a service of three boats is estimated at 4,500*l.*

J. BURCHETT to RICHARD WARRE.

1704, September 4. Admiralty Office.—Her Majesty's sloop *Wolf* has been retaken by one of the Maes cruisers. The Prince desires that Mr. Secretary Hedges will write to the Queen's envoy in Holland to procure the delivery of the sloop to such person as shall be appointed, upon paying what is just and reasonable for salvage.

Enclosing copy of letter of advice from Rotterdam, dated August 29th. The *Wolf* was taken by an Ostend privateer, and retaken by one of our cruisers which belongs to the Maes.

[C. LEYONCRONA to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, September 5. London.—When the cause concerning the ship *Hope* and her lading was depending before the Lords of Appeal, I delivered to Mr. Secretary Hedges a deduction of the proofs, whereby it appeared that the ship and goods belonged to Werner Groen, a Swedish subject, burgher and inhabitant of Stockholm. A copy of this deduction is annexed under letter A, and of the attestation of the magistrate of Stockholm under letter B (*not here*).

As to the objection made by the counsel for the captor, that the ship came from France and was bound to Stade in the Duchy of Bremen, to a place that is not neutral, it belongs only to his [Swedish] Majesty to prescribe laws and rules to his subjects in their trade and to declare his provinces in Germany neutral or engaged in war. It is true that his Majesty, as far as concerns these provinces, concurred in the resolution of the Diet at Ratisbon as to the declaration of war against France and Spain, and, with the other estates of the Empire, advised the publication of the

Avocatories; but by this his Majesty did not give up the trade between his other dominions and these provinces. There is a great difference between the Avocatories and a prohibition of trade; and no resolution prohibiting trade has been taken by the States of the Empire, or at least none such has been intimated by the Emperor to the circles; though if it had been it would not affect the Swedish provinces without the King's consent. As to Werner Groen, his pass was granted fully six months before the publication of the Avocatories in the lower circles, and he has acted without fraud or colouring, having declared at the time the pass was granted that the ship should go from France to Stade, which was not then prohibited; and having since offered to give security that she would not go to Stade, but to some neutral place deemed sufficient. "It is not doubted but that her Majesty being acquainted with the solid grounds and reasons for the clearing of the said goods, will be graciously pleased to order the restitution of the said goods, with satisfaction for all costs, charges and damages, and that is what I am expressly ordered to desire."

THE PRINCE'S COUNCIL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, September 6. Admiralty Office.—Giving a disposition of the ships at home to be laid before the Queen. "The Russia merchants being very instant for some cruisers to look out for their trade coming from Archangel, if her Majesty will give leave that two fourth rates be taken from the Newcastle convoy, which we conceive is reasonable, now the city has more than the usual proportion of coals for this year, and the season being so far advanced that the great colliers must be laid up, that service may also be complied with." *Signed*, D. Mitchell, Geo. Churchill, J. Brydges, H. Pagett.

Endorsed "Prince's Council;" and *by Harley*.—"September 11, 1704.—Her Majesty approves this disposition; but as to the convoy for the coal ships, Lord President is to [be] spoke to for explaining the order made Wednesday last in the Privy Council, that after Michaelmas some of the men of war may be spared."

ENLISTING OFFICERS.

1704, September 9. (*Received*).—"The names of several officers that list insolvent debtors or men for them in and about London."

RICHARD CRAWLEY to RICHARD WARRE.

1704, September 12. Northaw in Hertfordshire.—It was decreed by the Court of Admiralty that the ship *Hope*. John Hansen Voss, master, was to be restored, as belonging to Warner Groen, a subject of Sweden, but the lading was condemned. This condemnation was confirmed by the Lords of Appeal, and the cause was remitted to the Court of Admiralty, which declared

that freight on the condemned goods ought not to be paid by the privateer. An appeal from this decree is now pending and may be heard at their Lordships' next sitting.

As to your question whether the cause cannot be reviewed, her Majesty may, *prærogativa regia et plenitudine potestatis*, grant a review if she thinks fit, on a petition from Groen, by an order to the Lord Keeper. But before such a commission be granted it will be proper to consider very well of it; for there was but one all the last war, and the difficulties which attended it were so great that no other was ever attempted.

RICHARD CRAWLEY to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1704, September 13.—Gives some account of the cause now pending in the Court of Admiralty about the effects taken by Kidd. A certain Cogi Baba and others, Armenians, pretend that the goods seized with Kidd belonged to them, and were piratically taken from them by Kidd out of the *Quedah* merchant. To prove this, commissions were appointed to examine witnesses in Bengal, Surat and Ispahan; several witnesses have been so examined, and others here in England, and the cause stands to be heard on the 28th instant.

One Corso, who also pretends that he was robbed by Kidd, appeared in court about six weeks or two months ago and presented his petition, with some affidavits, but shortly after desired to have them again. Apparently he now does not pretend to anything in point of law but only to entitle himself to the Queen's bounty.

Endorsed by Harley.—"Write to Mr. Crawley about hastening Thompson's ship's trial."

J. BURCHETT to the SECRETARIES of ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, September 18. Admiralty Office.—The Commissioner of the Navy at Chatham has sent here Francois Armand, a French Roman Catholic, found on board the *Fubbs* yacht, together with his trunk. I send him to you to be examined by Mr. Secretary, and enclose copies of the letters concerning him.

Enclosing letter from Richard Biron, captain of the *Fubbs*, to Commissioner St. Lo, and copy of extract from a letter from St. Lo to the effect that Armand had come from France since the war began and was endeavouring to return, and that he confessed to having been a prisoner at Dover for a considerable time. *Dated* September 16th, 1704.

CASE of the *Worcester*.

1704, September 20.—Proceedings between Roderick Mackenzie, Secretary to the Company of Scotland trading to Africa and the Indies, and Captain Thomas Green, master of the ship *Worcester*; setting forth the "libel" of the Company; the precept of the Court of Directors citing Green to appear before the High Court

of Admiralty ; the first and second petitions of Green and the "interlocutors" thereupon ; defence given in for Green and the answers thereto ; "the Judge Admiral's interlocutor" ; Green's third petition, drawn up by Sir David Cunninghame ; and additional defences for him. *Copy.*

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, September 20. Glanderston.—"Our great men are now with you, and what scheme our late managers will propose I know not, nor do I find by any that I have conversed with, and those have been of all qualities, that they have laid down any measures at all for giving a settlement to this nation ; or if they have done it, they have concealed them from the far greater part of those members of parliament that are looked upon to be firm in the interest of the Revolution. And I may confidently say that if they shall not take other methods for carrying business than they have done this last session of parliament their interest will be found to be very insignificant.

"The Act of Security that is now passed is what we must judge to be for the good of our nation, but those that are looked upon here to be men of sense do not think that it is of any advantage as to the settling of the Succession, and many heartily wish that it may not prove to be an obstruction to it.

"In this country, which is about Glasgow, people are thinking of providing themselves with arms and ammunition, that they may not be surprised by any that may have designs to bring in a Popish successor.

"I must acknowledge that her Majesty's willingness to make up the losses that this poor country did sustain by the affair of Darien was an extraordinary favour ; though our statesmen did not think fit to take notice of it, and I must be so just to them as to say that in my humble opinion they did well not to mention it, for I am afraid it would not have had any desired effect. But I humbly conceive that if her Majesty would before the meeting of our Parliament give orders that an account might be laid before her of what money hath been expended upon the business of Darien, she, out of a compassion and concern for the good of her subjects, being resolved to take effectual methods for making up their losses, this would more successfully calm the spirits of many here and answer all ends than any other way does occur to me ; for otherwise it will be looked upon as a bargaining for the succession ; and what matter that will furnish for the reflections of Jacobites is too evident. But this is an affair too high for me to meddle in, and I hope you will pardon my impudence in offering any opinion about it."

I wish to recommend Mr. Pringle for employment as an envoy or otherwise.

RICHARD CRAWLEY to RICHARD WARRE.

1704, September 20. Doctors' Commons.—In order to answer the memorial from the Danish envoy which you sent me, I must

desire you to lay before Mr. Secretary the following state of the cases.

1. The *Meurmeester* or *Mason*, Rasmus Berenson, master, from St. Martin's, pretending to go to Friedrichshall, with a lading of salt, brandy and stomon, was taken by Captain Thorpe, commander of the privateer *Hogback*, and carried into Dover. The ship and lading are claimed by one Juell of Friedrichshall. The ship sailed from that place with a lading of deals, under orders to go direct to Bordeaux, there to deliver the deals and receive a homeward lading. Instead of this, the master delivered the deals at Dunkirk, went thence in ballast to St. Martin's and received on board the present lading, which he paid for by bills on a Dutchman in Holland and a merchant in Copenhagen. The matter here appears to be solicited by a French merchant, who received an invoice and bill of lading about the time the ship was brought up. As to the allegation of the master's imprisonment and being refused a seal upon the warehouse, there has been no complaint of anything of the kind before the court, and I believe the envoy has been misinformed, unless he pleases to call imprisonment the keeping the master and his men as under till they have been examined, which is the constant practice.

2. The *Trevuldigheid* or *Trinity*, Niels Hansen Regelson, master, from Bordeaux with a lading of wine, brandy, molasses and prunes, pretending to go to Flensburg, was taken by Captain Turner of the privateer *Diligence* and brought into Plymouth. The ship and lading are but very lately claimed by Danish subjects, and the papers, of which there are sixty-six in Danish, Dutch and other foreign languages, are being translated; so that I cannot yet give a particular account of the case; but I must observe that after the master had sworn that he knew of no papers on board except those produced, and that none had been destroyed in any way, others were found hidden away in his cabin. These also are in Danish and are being translated. No complaints of embezzlement have been made to the court, and on their examination the master and two of his men declared that they neither knew nor had heard of any. Mr. Secretary will hereby see what reason there has been to trouble her Majesty or himself with the complaints in the memorial. *Signed*.

Endorsed by Warre:—"Sent a copy to Monsieur Rosencrantz, September 22, 1704."

HUGH SPEKE'S CASE.

1704, September 22. (*Received*.)—Setting forth the public sufferings and hardships of Hugh Speke, George, his father, and Charles, his younger brother.

That Hugh Speke "was tried before the Lord Chief Justice Jefferies on a letter seized that was written to Sir Robert Atkins, late Lord Chief Baron, touching the death of the late Earl of Essex, and was fined in 1000*l*. and kept a prisoner above four years."

That Charles Speke was "Philizar for the counties of Devon, Dorset, Somerset, and Bristol and Poole, which is an office in the law for term of life"; and immediately after he was seized on pretence of assisting the Duke of Monmouth. The said Jefferies begged the office of James II, and "to clear Charles out of the way, under colour of the business of the West, there tried, condemned and had him executed." Thus the office, which cost near 3000*l.*, became forfeit. At the beginning of King William's reign the attainder was reversed but no restitution ever made.

That George Speke, the father of Hugh, was tried at Wells in 1686, before Baron Jenner, on pretence of rescuing his son-in-law from a messenger that came at midnight to arrest him on a Secretary of State's warrant (proved afterwards to be based on false information); and was fined 2000 marks.

That these two fines amounted in all to 2327*l.* 6*s.* 8*d.*; yet Hugh Speke was compelled to deposit in the Exchequer the sum of 5000*l.*; which sum was appropriated by James II to be laid out on the fortifications of Portsmouth, and no part of it ever returned to him. This loss and that of his practice as a barrister from his long imprisonment has caused him much suffering.

Annexed is a copy of Lord Treasurer Godolphin's report on the case, which he had referred to William Borrett, Solicitor to the Treasury, who was of opinion that the matters of fact stated by the petitioner were true, the sum of 2672*l.* 13*s.* 4*d.* more than the fines amounted to having been paid by him; that he had been a great sufferer; and that he was become a real object of compassion. *Dated* 26th May, 1703.

A note is added that this report was laid before the Queen at Windsor, on 6th June, 1703, "on which her Majesty was most graciously pleased to say she would speak to my Lord Treasurer."

RICHARD WARRE to [JAMES] STANHOPE.

1704, September 29. Whitehall.—"Mr. Secretary Hedges, in the absence of Mr. Harley, . . . commanded me to acquaint you that the Queen, understanding there is a treaty on foot, if not concluded, between the Pope and the French King for a neutrality in Italy, into which the State of Venice as well as other princes of Italy are to be invited, has sent to Mr. Hill credentials to the State of Venice with directions to repair to that city, if the posture of affairs in Savoy will allow it, and endeavour to prevent their entering into that treaty; and further, if possible to engage them to come into the Grand Alliance. . . . Her Majesty would have you communicate this to the Pensioner and desire the concurrence of the States General for this purpose, either by instructions to their Minister at Venice, or if they have none there by empowering some other person to join with Mr. Hill in such measures as may be most proper to hinder that State from entering into that treaty and best dispose them to engage in the Grand Alliance. Mr. Hill has likewise full powers to treat with Venice." *Copy.*

The Case of SIR WILLIAM HODGES, Bart.

[1704, about September.]—He resided at Cadiz for thirty years and upwards till November, 1701, and during that time traded there as a merchant of great credit and repute, and was always ready to serve and assist in anything that might tend to the service of his prince or country. Whilst in Spain he imported great quantities of goods, the growth and manufacture of England, and exported great quantities of gold, silver, cochineal, indigo, oils and other commodities of value, to the great advantage of this kingdom. He traded to a large amount, in English goods, to the Spanish West Indies, in Spanish bottoms and under colour of Spanish names, none but Spaniards being permitted to trade publicly or openly.

“In the year 1699, on account of himself and company (all subjects of the Crown of England or her allies) he sent out in the *Flota* or new Spanish fleet designed for the Spanish West Indies, goods and merchandize to the value of 24750*l.*, to be bartered there for other goods, the growth or product of those parts, which were to come to Spain in four ships, *Jesus Maria Joseph*, *Santisima Trinidad*, *Santo Cristo de Maracaibo* and *Santa Cruz*. This was done: one fourth part of the goods was put on board each of these ships, which arrived safe at Vigo in Galicia. At Vigo, in October, 1702, these ships were met by the English and Dutch fleet, which sunk and burned the *Jesus Maria Joseph* and *Santisima Trinidad*, and seized the other two, of which the *Santo Cristo de Maracaibo* was sunk in her passage for England, but the *Santa Cruz* was brought safe into the Thames, was there unladen, condemned and sold as prize to her Majesty. Sir William Hodges appeals from this condemnation of the goods laden for his account on board the *Santa Cruz* and the case is now pending.

By reason of the war it has been impossible to have a commission executed in Spain to make particular proof of the claim; besides the danger of discovery of the Spaniards under whose name the trade was carried on, which, by the laws of Spain, involves perpetual imprisonment and confiscation of their estates. It is therefore not possible to make so clear a proof of English property in this way of trade as in any other; but Sir William Hodges and company have made such proof as the nature of the case admits, and sufficient, as he conceives, to obtain the restitution of that one-fourth of their goods which was laden on board the *Santa Cruz*.

Shortly after his arrival in England, and before the declaration of war, Sir William Hodges produced an account of the goods which were by his order on board the *Flota*, and under what particular Spaniards' names they were coloured, and exhibited the same in the High Court of Admiralty, on or about May 7th, 1702, making oath of the truth thereof. He also exhibited his original books, journal, ledger, warehouse and consignment books, which were proved to be authentic by credible witnesses. The accounts, in detail, show the value of the goods laden on board the *Santa Cruz* to be 6356*l.* 4*s.* 2*d.* In addition to which, by

the firing and destroying the other three ships, Sir William Hodges and Co. have suffered loss and damage to the amount of 24000*l.* or thereabouts.

There are several Dutch merchants who had traded in like manner to the West Indies, whose effects were also taken at Vigo ; and these, on their humble petition to the States General, were ordered to be restored to them without any judicial proceedings or formality of law.

When Sir William Hodges left Cadiz (for fear of his son's being taken from him and kept there as a Spanish subject), his House was concerned in the *Flota* and also in the Buenos Ayres ships and other ways with the Spaniards, to the value of 75000*l.* sterling or thereabouts, most of which is lost, and the rest much exposed by reason of the present war.

"There are three sorts or ways of trade used by our merchants to the Spanish West Indies. One is to send goods coloured in Spaniards' names and to receive the returns of such goods from the Spaniards who are entrusted. Another way is to sell goods to Spaniards and to be paid the price agreed for upon the return of the galleons, the hazard and risk of the said goods being run by the merchants who sell the same. This also is coloured in Spaniards' names. The other way is to lend money to the Spaniards upon bond, to be paid with a *præmio* upon return of the galleons ; the merchant who lends the same is to lose his money if the galleon (on which the same is agreed to be returned) is lost. This also is coloured in Spaniards' names, it being against the law and statutes of Spain for any person that is not a subject of the Crown of Castile to run any hazard on any Spanish ship to or from the Spanish West Indies."

JOHN BELL to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, October 3. Newcastle.—"I was favoured with yours of the 9th, and have ever since used all manner of diligence in attending and private enquiry for any strangers or suspect persons that have come to this place. . . . We had secured the ports of Shields and Sunderland, and can find no account from any of these places from my trusty friends that any such persons have offered to go beyond seas, there being a continual watch upon all foreign ships. . . . There has been a very great fleet in here, which are all, or most, sailed last week, and having no account of any such persons I am apt to believe they do not design to go from any of these ports for over sea."

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, October 5. Navy Office.—I enclose a copy of a letter to myself and an extract from one to the Board.

Sir Cloudesley Shovell is just come to his house in the Navy Office.

Enclosing extract of a letter from Captain Clempson Cave, of her Majesty's brigantine *Dispatch*, dated at Dover, October 3rd, 1704.

I had yesterday a report from the master of the ship *Christians-Haven*, who came from St. Martin on the 17th ultimo. He was there shewn, by his merchant, Percival Chibbourn, a printed list of 180 officers and 4,500 seamen and soldiers slain in the late engagement, and that they had four ships and two galleys sunk. He wished to bring away the said list but could not prevail with his merchant to spare it. "I questioned him whether this number did not include both killed and wounded, but he positively affirmed the contrary. He declares the people to be in a very miserable condition, groaning under their heavy burdens laid upon them by taxes and want of trade."

Enclosing also—

ANTHONY TOLAT to —————

1704, October 1. *Kingfisher*.—I have arrived with a convoy of seven East Indiamen. Yesterday morning, off the Lizard, I met Sir Stafford Fairborne, who desired his service to you. He hoped, in a small time, to have the honour of seeing you in London. Being extremely hazy weather we mistook Scilly for Guernsey and so went up St. George's Channel, where, making the Island of Lundy, we found the mistake, and with a fair wind came out. Sir Stafford "acquainted me of two French squadrons of men-of-war that were cruising off Scilly, which has proved very fortunate to us and very much for the nation's interest."

H. PUGHE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, October 7. Hereford Gaol.—"My wife informed me that her daughter, Sarah Prosser, a servant in London, told her of some malevolent persons that designed mischief against her Majesty, and I spoke and wrote very much about it, though I suffered to all extremity in her Majesty's behalf"; my letters being intercepted in the post. Thomas Prosser, my wife's son, now incumbent of Dorston, abused her very much for acquainting me, "which provoked me to say I would venture my life upon him or have him out of his vicarage for so doing; and I can prove him guilty of simony, perjury, adultery and fornication, counterfeiting halfpence and farthings, attempting to murder ten persons (some whereof lay dead five hours) and other gross crimes. . . . However, he swore the peace against me and I was committed by my implacable enemy, Mr. Marshall Bridges, the 3rd day of April last, by mere surprise, and am hereto detained upon pretence of the forfeiture of a recognizance for mere appearance." I wrote to you by Elizabeth Prees and enclosed Mr. Dobyn's letters, but have no answer. I suppose she knows somewhat, but her father is sent away lest he should make a discovery. I sent for my wife twice or thrice but she does not come. "I did accidentally overhear in this gaol of an inten-

tion to present the Court with cyder and Welsh ale so strongly poisoned that no physick should carry it off, and of a clergyman to be attended with some villains that should carry a small sharp weapon in his gown-sleeve poisoned at the point to stab the Queen with. If I name the party I shall be murdered." There is an adjournment of quarter-sessions on the 17th inst., and you would do well to order matters for the poor debtors. Mr. Dobyns does his uttermost but is overpowered by Sir John Hoskins and the under sheriff. I fear that some are discontented with her Majesty's good will toward the Church of England, and have a dangerous correspondence; some are overwhelmed with oppression from lawyers, excisemen and taxes; but some most desperate and furious. "As I am loath to raise a hurricane, so am I loather to conceal what I heard." I pray you have me removed to London and then judge of the cause of my confinement.

Enclosure from R. Dobyns asking for particulars of the treason, which he will notify to one of the Secretaries of State.

WILLIAM DELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, October 18.—"I have accidentally had the opportunity to see that those who write the votes of parliament are a sort of sorry, idle, and dissolute wretches, the greatest part of them Papists and enemies to the Government, and those they employ most commonly Irishmen, a people supported and encouraged to this business" to misrepresent the debates and resolves of the Houses on purpose to keep up and foment the malevolence of their party. Not one of these writers desisted the last session of Parliament for the order the Commons made that none should presume to write any of their votes or proceedings; and I am well assured that now again, as has been usual, they have engaged the coffee-men and their other customers for this ensuing session, notwithstanding the said order.

"It is certain there is an universal desire both in city and country to know the Commons' debates as soon as 'tis possible; and that therefore there always has been and always will be those that by some means or other (whatever restraints and danger there may be in it) will be handing them or something in their stead about to those that will pay them. As, therefore, on one hand it seems reasonable for the satisfaction of the public that the votes should be writ, so on the other one would think it should be for the honour of the Government that they should be genuine and true, and that the parliament should prevent their proceedings being misrepresented, which the printed votes cannot come out soon enough to do. The impatience of this town will not let them stay till next morning; and in the country no letter is acceptable without the soonest account. Besides, to be without the votes would ruin the whole news trade, which at this time is no small thing. And indeed what is done in the House on Saturday cannot reach some part of the Kingdom in the print till the Saturday sennight, whereas what is writ by the post will be with them by that time the other is put into the office.

"That these written votes may be no way inconvenient, it is humbly presumed to be only proper for you to appoint the writing of them as well as the printing . . . which if you shall please to do and to accept me for the writer, I will, every morning the House shall sit, present you with half as much as the printer does (the two first days excepted, which can be but preparatorily employed). If you should object, it may hinder the prints, it is answered, these are to be but short abstracts or prints only of what relates to the public; besides which enough will be reserved to give preference to the prints." But if the printer should very much insist upon it, I will then take upon me the printing too, on the same terms as it is now at, besides half so much more for the privilege of writing."

HENRY SPEAKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, October 20.—Praying him to deliver the enclosed petition to any of the Commissioners of Excise who are of his acquaintance.

Enclosed is petition referred to, shewing that he has been discharged from his office under the Excise in the "outride of Llanvilling," in Montgomeryshire, false informations against him having been laid before their Honours by the supervisor of that district. Prays to be restored to similar employment "in some English division."

Also a similar but shorter petition to the same Commissioners.

JOHN EDWINS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, October 23. Leominster.—Praying for his assistance to procure employment for the bearer, his brother, on a man-of-war.

SIR EDMUND ANDROS to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704, October 26. Guernsey.—As desired, he has assisted the owner of the Schleswic ship *Lucia*. Sends intelligence of the sailing of privateers and cartels. *Signed*.

ENLISTMENT OF INSOLVENT DEBTORS.

[1704, March-October.]—Information as to the methods taken by officers to prevent the levy of recruits designed by the Act of Parliament for releasing insolvent debtors.

"The officer gets acquainted with the gaoler, and by that means knows who are the persons that are to take the benefit of the Act. And then the officer agrees with the prisoner that if he will list himself under his command, for so much money afterwards he will discharge and give him a certificate that will be as good as if the prisoner had found a man.

"If the prisoner be cautious and will not list himself, then the officer agrees for 5*l*. or thereabouts to find him a man (which is one of his own soldiers) who by false names and change of apparel (the court being in a hurry) lists himself in one day for four men.

Whereby of above 1200 men that have been discharged within the bills of mortality by virtue of the Act, the Queen has not above 200 mustered." Informant offers to procure a list of the discharged prisoners, which can be compared with the muster rolls. [*Note, in another hand, "Captain Mugg in Colonel Roke's regiment," which places the date between March and October, 1704.*]

HUGH SPEKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, November 2.—Finds by Mr. Lowndes, whom he saw at Brown's coffee house, that Harley has not yet spoken to him as promised on Sunday last. Begs Harley to be mindful of him in speaking to the Lord Treasurer and Mr. Lowndes. Mr. Secretary Hedges has faithfully promised to second the efforts on his behalf.

LADY VAN DER LAEN to QUEEN ANNE.

1704, November 15. [*? N.S.*].—A noble lady of Zeeland—married to a nobleman of Holland named Van der Laen, who in his life-time served as a captain—now a widow, humbly falls at her Majesty's feet, praying her to take to heart the complaint of an upright god-fearing widow, and help her against the godless Jacob Van der Es, who is worse than the heathen king (*sic*) that, once upon a time, sitting upon his judgment seat, said "I fear neither God nor the whole world, yet will I do right to this poor woman, that she may cease to trouble me with her just demands."

But though this good lady, suffering the pangs of hunger and putting aside with shame her honour and noble reputation, has oftentimes complained to the god-forgetting Van der Es of her needs, it has helped nothing, for, unlike the heathen king, it has not moved this hard dog, Van der Es.

When in the field, many soldiers were hung up to a tree because they sought a little food when suffering from hunger, against the word of command of the troops; but far more reason was there to hang Van der Es to a tree, who has so little respected her Majesty's commands and the Acts of her Majesty and her Parliament (hereunto annexed), citing him to bring his accounts and quittances honestly and truly before the Parliament, and to show what he has done with the money drawn out of England. But as it appears that he dares not show himself in England to settle his accounts pursuant to these Acts of Parliament, this poor widow prays her Majesty, for God's sake, no more to refer her to this godless Van der Es, who says only, like the thieves "I have no money" until they are tortured. And he sees all the misery and poverty of the poor officers' widows and children, and lets our goods be sold by execution because of his non-payment, as has happened to this wretched lady, widow of Captain Van der Laen, whose property of 22000 Carolus gulden, consisting of landed estate in Holland, lying in the town of Leyden, has been sold for 3000 gulden, and bought by a Catholic burgher, driving away a noble reformed lady, who now, without

money or her good lands, may sink to ruin. She has hazarded her estate and a beloved husband thus in the war, and lent over 3000 gulden to her sister's husband, Captain Daniel Sniemulder, who had served his Majesty in England, in the Brandenburg regiment. The accounts (hereunto annexed) still due to him at his death, were made over in payment to his late wife's sister, this widow Van der Laen, who now prays her Majesty for payment thereof, viz., 2938 gulden, 7 stuyver, 8 placken; and if her Majesty once more refers this poor widow to the hard dog Van der Es, yet will he never come, for he remains like a dog in his den and does not appear before the parliament.

This poor widow and wife of Captain Van der Laen herewith prays that her Majesty and the parliament will be pleased graciously to give orders to her ambassador at the Hague that the annexed accounts of Captain D. Sniemulder may be paid to her, who, having disbursed the money for the company going to or being in England, has been rewarded in such manner that she must perish of hunger if her Majesty do not shortly deliver her from its pangs by the payment of the said money. *Dutch.*

Underwritten [? by the lady herself.]

Fraensoese Van Waessenhoeve, widow of the late Captain Van der Laen. His Excellency the Pensionary "Hensyces" knows me and my necessities well.

May her Majesty by the grace and holy spirit of God out of high Heaven be strengthened to obtain, by God's blessing, a pearl in her crown and long life, by means of this good faithful widow's prayers, in hopes of the favourable support of her Majesty's gracious kindness to this oppressed widow, who may die of hunger if this address does not reach the Pensionary of Holland, "Hensyces," at the Hague, by means of her Majesty's ambassador. *Dutch.*

Annexed:

1. Extract from an Act of the Parliament which sat from 30th December, 1701, to 8th March, "in the first year of Queen Anne's reign" [*i.e. until her accession*], by which it was decreed that the Public Accounts of the kingdom should be examined and wound up. Including the oath taken by the Commissioners.

2. Extract from the list of various Acts passed in the session of parliament held in the 1st year of the reign of Queen Anne, concerning the passing of his accounts by Jacob Van der Es, paymaster of the Dutch militia on the English Establishment. See *Commons' Journals*, under date January 16, 1701-2.

3. *Copy of promissary note:*

I, the undersigned, hereby promise the Lady Francoisa Van Wassenhove, widow of Van der Laen, to pay her each month sixteen gulden of money of Holland, towards the discharging of a bond under my hand, held by her ladyship; the payment to begin in the month of May of this present year, 1695, and to continue until the whole bond, together with interest, is satisfied. The Hague, April 7th, 1695. D. Snemüller.

Below is written—

The money to be paid at Antwerp to Mynheer Gasper Van Opperoelt, merchant, "in Zyde Lakenen."

With note in another hand, that owing to the war the money has not come.

4. *Capt. Snemüller's accounts.*

Captain Daniel Snemüller served in his lifetime, with his company, in the Brandenburg regiment, for the King and Parliament of England, in the troubled times against the King of France; whereby he hazarded his life and health and wasted his estate, and there is yet due to him from the parliament:—

For the year 1695, 120 days, and for his equipage and pack-horse, at 56 stuyvers per day fl.	336 : 0 : 0
For the year 1696, 220 days „ „ ... „	616 : 0 : 0
For the year 1697, 220 days „ „ ... „	616 : 0 : 0
Remaining of the charge for the pack-horse... „	66 : 10 : 0
Interest for the disbursements made for my company in the service of the King of England „	700 : 0 : 0
According to the accounts of the quarter- master of the regiment for forage money „	50 : 0 : 0
For the Irish recruit „	340 : 10 : 0
Remainder for the Prussian recruit „	72 : 16 : 0
The charges „	39 : 18 : 8
The interest on money borrowed „	100 : 10 : 0
Total florins	<hr/> 2,938 : 4 : 8 <hr/>

By order; Captain Daniel Snemolder.

5. *Extract—translated.*

The humble address of the House of Commons to the Queen, with her Majesty's gracious answer.

Gracious Sovereign,—

Jacob Van der Essche, esquire, paymaster of your Majesty's Dutch troops, has received 3,025,753*l.* 9*s.* 6*d.*—which in our money is 52,907*l.* 6*s.* 8½*d.*—more than the pay of the said troops would amount to in case they had always been complete, according to the English Establishment, which they were not. Nevertheless, the aforesaid Van der Essche has passed no accounts, and although many times summoned, has never appeared before the Commissioners for Public Accounts, and there yet remains due to the said troops 112,229*l.* 9*s.* 8*d.*, which is now demanded as a lawful payment due to them from her Majesty.

With note that underwritten is an extract from an order of the House of Commons that this address be printed by Edward Jones and Timothy Goodwin, and that none others shall dare to print it. *Signed*, R. Harley, Speaker.

London.—Printed for Edward Jones in the Savoy, and Timothy Goodwin in Queen's Court, opposite St. Dunstan's Church in Fleet Street, 1702.

Translated at Rotterdam, June 4th, 1703. *Signed*, Gommer Van Bortel Klaas, public notary, and certified to be an authentic copy, collated with the translation, June 5th, 1703.

6. *On the covering sheet—*

If the enclosed request and petition should come before her Majesty the aforesaid widow prays her Majesty to ask the Earl of Portland whether he did not know (during his lifetime) Captain Jan Daniel Sniemulder, of the Regiment of the Margrave of Brandenburg; and that the said captain did his late blessed Majesty great service before the town of Namen [Namur?] in which he repeatedly hazarded his life, and has therefore well deserved these payments, wherefore the said widow fully trusts to the favour and grace of her Majesty. Praying that she may have a long and happy reign, etc., she remains her Majesty's affectionate servant, the Lady Van der Laen (born Fransosa Van Wassenhoven.)

Addressed to her Majesty the Queen Anna, of England, Scotland, Ireland, France, etc., at the Court at Whitehall, in England, or wherever her Majesty may be—in all good hopes. All the above papers are in Dutch.

WILLIAM JONES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, November 9. Navy Office.—Desiring his assistance to procure employment as clerk of the survey at Lisbon. Is well recommended by the Commissioners of the Navy, whom he has served for twelve years.

CHARLES BERTIE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, November 10. Huntingdon.—“This is the first time I am like to prove a defaulter, and much against my will; but a very severe fit of the gout has lately seized me, which is, I think, as hereditary to our family as the Staff.” However, I am got as far as this place, and hope on Tuesday next to pay my duty and attendance in the House, and in the meantime beg you would make my excuses in case it be called sooner.

————— to [ROBERT HARLEY?]

1704, November 13.—“Mr. Barker, the Queen's Remembrancer . . . is in great perplexity what report to make, finding that no process has issued against the late Commissioners of Prizes. . . . On the 27th of March, 1704, the House vote that an address be presented to her Majesty for a prosecution against Mr. Parkhurst and Paschal, etc.; since which time they have exhibited an account of the arrears of prizes, being money received by them, which is now ready to be declared; so that it may be fairly alleged that till they had accounted for what they had actually received, it would be to little effect to issue process to oblige them to make up their general account. If you think it fit, be pleased to speak to the Chancellor of the Exchequer and Mr. Lowndes, that there may be no slur upon the Treasury, and that Barker may make a proper report . . . If those of the Court that spoke against bringing in the bill last time should decline it now it would much animate the promoters of it.”

MARTIN LAYCOCK to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1704, November 16.—Proposes that a pardon should be given to the pirates and buccaneers, and that they should be established at Darien. They are said to be worth ten millions of pounds, and are willing to give half of this for the pardon and settlement. He himself served with the late King in Ireland, and received many great wounds; and in curing these and in raising men for the King's service spent eighteen hundred pounds of his own money. "Can shew a method of discipline which has not yet been in use that would be more destructive to the enemy than can be imagined till seen."

C. LEYONCRONA to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, November 22.—He complains that Swedish subjects have sustained great losses by their ships and goods being brought up, detained and sometimes confiscated; and in this number are now the owners of the ship *Justitia*, whose cargo has been condemned in the Admiralty Court, notwithstanding the owners' declaration on oath that the goods belonged entirely and solely to them—Swedish subjects. He desires that the goods may be restored and the claimers saved harmless from all expenses, costs, charges and damages. *Signed. Endorsed as received "same date."*

Also other letters to the same effect, dated November 27th and December 12th, making the same request "pursuant to express and reiterated orders."

SIR THOMAS WILLIS.

1704, November 22.—Approbation by the Queen of Sir Thomas Willis, bart., to be deputy lieutenant for the county of Cambridge, and order to the Duke of Bedford, Lord Lieutenant of the county, to issue out his deputation. Given at St. James'.

HENRY FAIRFAX, Brother of Thomas, Lord Fairfax of Denton.—
Memorial to the Duke of Marlborough.

[1704, November ?]—Setting forth his services to the Government; the offices he has held in the city and county of York; and his connexion with the best families of that and the adjacent counties; all of which would qualify him to raise a regiment for the Queen's service at very short notice, if a commission were granted to him to raise and command such a regiment. *Signed.*

SIR DAVID MITCHELL.

1704, December 1.—"The answer of the States General to the project given in by Sir David Mitchell about the number of ships of war which are to be joined by both sides, to act the next campaign," with the Queen's remarks and comments. *Copy.*

HENRY FAIRFAX to [ROBERT HARLEY?]

1704, December 4.—Requesting him, when he makes his report, to signify to the Queen that he will readily submit to her choice of field-officers and others to serve under him; and that the gentlemen who have offered to raise companies “are as willing to serve, wherever commanded, as under the Marquis de Miremont.”

RICE WILLIAMS.—Petition to the Lord High Treasurer.

1704, December 5.—His petition to the Queen of last July was transmitted to his Lordship that he might be provided for in the stamp office, and the first vacancy was promised to him by letter to the Commissioners of stamp duty. After diligent attendance since that time, he was this day utterly repulsed; his Lordship having (as they informed him) verbally recommended another. He prays therefore that being first recommended and capable of no other employment by the loss of a limb in the service, an order may be exhibited for the present vacancy on his behalf.

————— to RICHARD CRAWLEY.

1704, December 13.—The bearer, William Fowler, can produce some credible persons (he says) who can make out a very wicked practice of suborning witnesses in a cause depending in the Court of Admiralty. One of the witnesses is going out of the kingdom and I do not know what is proper to be done, so I send Mr. Fowler to you, that you may put the matter into the proper way of discovery. *Copy.*

SIR JOHN COOKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, December 16. Doctors' Commons.—Reports on the petition of the owners of the ship *Christina*. She brought a cargo of iron from Stockholm to London; went on to France in ballast; and coming back from Rochelle with a cargo, put into Portland to refit and was there seized by Captain Lawrence of H. M. ship *Penzance*. The proofs that the ship and cargo are Swedish property and were on their way to Gothenburg are consistent and satisfactory, and the petition should be granted if her Majesty pleases. The rule of law is *Semper præsimitur pro sententia*.

HENRY CASPERS.

1704, December 19. Dartmouth.—Examination of Henry Caspers, master of the ship *Satisfaction*, seized at Dartmouth on December 18. He is a native of Harling[en] in Friesland, but has been an inhabitant of Copenhagen for the last three and a half years. The ship was built at Harling about 16 years ago, and whilst in Holland was called the *Buttonmaker*. She is now called the *Satisfaction*. He does not know when the name was changed. This is the fourth voyage he has made with her to France during

the present war. Her owner is William Eding, a merchant in Copenhagen, a Danish subject; the cargo also, to the best of his knowledge and belief, belongs to Eding. He put into Dartmouth by reason of contrary winds. He has no knowledge of any embezzlements made at the time of her seizure.

Also examinations of John Witt and Lawrence Johnson, seamen of the *Satisfaction*, to the same effect.

H. BURNABY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704, December 29.—Prize Office.—Mr. Newman, the agent for prizes at Dartmouth, has been ordered to send an account of the particulars relating to the Danish ship *Genugsamkeit* [*i.e.* *Satisfaction*], about which the Danish envoy has preferred a complaint.

FERDINANDO BURLEIGH.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1701-1704 ?]—His steady adherence to the Protestant religion has caused him to be greatly persecuted by the enemies of that religion. He has been bred a clerk in the King's Bench office, and prays to be made clerk to the Court of Conscience for the city and liberty of Westminster. *Signed*.

FRENCH PRISONERS OF WAR.

[1704.*]—"A list of prisoners at war taken in the *Seine* prize, and now under the care of the agent at Rochester"; including M. de Tilly, first lieutenant, and three other officers; Le père Apollinaire, the chaplain; Jean de la Croix de St. Valier, bishop of Quebec; two "priests of quality"; thirteen missionaries and a Dominican; Mr. [Paul] Breton [or Berthon], merchant, and nine other male passengers and six ladies; and four servants to the bishop and four other servants.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

[1704.]—"An account of the naturalizations, *anno* 1703-4." Giving the names of 138 persons and the fees paid by them; with calculations in another hand.

Endorsed, "Mr. Speaker's accounts."

SIR MATTHEW DUDLEY'S Case.

[1704 ?]—In 1688 he, together with many considerable merchants of London, being satisfied that naval stores of all sorts might be provided with great advantage to the kingdom from our northern plantations in America, subscribed 100,000*l.* for that purpose, and petitioned King James to be incorporated, the better to carry on their undertaking. The petition was

* The *Seine* was captured in July, 1704.

favourably received, and when all objections had been answered, the Attorney General was ordered to draw up a charter of incorporation. This was done, but it was prevented passing by the late happy Revolution.

1691, March.—Sir Matthew Dudley and his partners renewed their petition, and after the usual references and reports a charter of incorporation was ordered.

1692.—Sir Joseph Hern and others petitioned against this; but after the report of the Chief Justice and Attorney General a charter of incorporation was again ordered.

1693, May.—The charter was opposed by some persons who pretended that it interfered with the charters of the plantations. The Attorney General reported on this that it was not prejudicial to any of those charters, or to any private person.

1693-4, January.—Sir Henry Ashurst and Sir Stephen Evans, in opposition to this undertaking, made a proposal to supply the same stores from these countries, without a charter, which put a stop to Dudley's undertaking. But in eighteen months Ashurst and Evans brought to London only one small ship half laden with naval stores, and have attempted nothing since.

On Dudley's moving again in this matter it was objected that the country was not capable of producing naval stores, or at any rate in sufficient quantities to supply the navy. On which Mr. Bridger and another gentleman were sent out to see and report. On their return they brought specimens of pitch, tar, hemp, etc.

1702, June.—On trial at Woolwich, these were found to be very good and fit for service; and Mr. Bridger reported (the other gentleman being dead) that the country was capable of producing excellent naval stores of all sorts, sufficient for all Europe.

1702, August.—Hereupon Dudley renewed his petition, which was referred to the Lord High Admiral and the Commissioners of Trade and Plantation. His Royal Highness reported that the undertaking might be of great advantage to the nation. The Commissioners reported that it could be best carried on by a joint stock, and ordered a draft of incorporation; but clogged it with divers unusual clauses, absolutely obstructive to all manner of trade. And though they withdrew all these except one, requiring that no person should dispose of his interest therein within five years, Dudley and his partners, knowing that no one would so tie himself up, have desisted.

1704.—The Queen and Parliament did, by an Act, give very good encouragement to all persons to bring naval stores from the American Plantations, but no one has undertaken it, nor indeed can it be effected by private persons.

Accompanied by—"Some of the advantages that may accrue to England by fetching our naval stores from our American Plantations rather than from those counties from whence we now have them."

CAPT. GEORGE DAVIE'S Case.

[1704 ?]—Gave notice to Sir Charles Hedges of illegal trade between La Rochelle and Youghal, but received no encourage-

ment for the services specified. Must inevitably perish if not relieved.

[JEAN] FICHER to —————

1705, January 5.—Thanks his correspondent for two letters delivered by Mr. Sk———. Is awaiting the reply of a friend as to the matter in hand. Will see that what is due to his correspondent shall be paid in London. Prays to be informed every week how his cause is proceeding. Has sent 26*l.* to Mr. A———, and alludes to a cypher message, “to inform about the Navy.” *French. Copy.* [Cf. Report on Harley Papers ii., 152.]

THE COMMISSIONERS FOR PRIZES TO ROBERT HARLEY.

1704-5, January 8. Prize Office.—Mr. Newman acquaints us that the *Genugsamkeit* was seized by virtue of a writ out of the Admiralty Court, sent him by the Lord High Admiral's Proctor. The seizure is purely on behalf of the Lord High Admiral and this Office is no way concerned therein. *Signed*, R. Yard, Ant. Duncombe, Geo. Morley.

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]

1704-5, January 13. Doctors' Commons.—In accordance with your letter of July 6 last, I have considered the papers and proofs relating to the ship *Neptune*, which I find belongs to subjects of the Queen, inhabitants of Jamaica, and that these were the sole and true freighters as well as owners at the time of her seizure. I find that while sailing to the English factory of Anamabo, neither going nor intending to go to any Dutch factory, she was seized by Captain Frederick of the *Postilion*, a ship belonging to the Dutch West Indian Company, carried to the “Castle Delmine” and there condemned. It is true that she took in her cargo at Amsterdam and was going with it to the coast of Guinea; but this does not make her liable to confiscation; and I am of opinion that the seizure and confiscation of this ship and lading, when on her way to an English factory, is contrary to the law of nations, and that the petitioners ought to have satisfaction for their ship and lading and all damages which have occurred to them. *Signed*.

WILLIAM BORRETT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1704[-5], January 16. Inner Temple.—Asking what proof he has against Frances Richardson, who was bound over to appear at the next sessions; without which the Attorney General cannot proceed in the prosecution.

PETER HUME to RICHARD WARRE.

1704-5, January 17. (*Received.*)—Desiring him to pray Mr. Harley to move the Queen for an allowance of 150*l.* a year, for three years, for the maintenance of Mr. Akerhielm, a Swede of

good family, at Oxford University, as also for an allowance to Mr. Jackson, agent at Stockholm since Dr Robinson's departure. "There is an article in Dr. Robinson's bills of extraordinaries for 20*l.*, usually allowed every half-year for a chaplain to serve the English and French congregations at Stockholm. This duty has been and still is performed by Mr. de Bourdieu, a *refugié*, who speaks very good English and preaches in both languages." It was allowed all the last reign, and for some time in her present Majesty's, till excepted to by Mr. Secretary Hedges. The first bill so charged is dated February 3rd, 1698 [-9], to August 3rd, 1699.

MEMORIAL OF LIEUTENANT STEPHEN GILLMAN, of Brigadier Hamilton's Regiment.

1704-5, January 18. (*Received.*)—Was this day found guilty of manslaughter and prays an order to respite his being burnt in the hand, until her Majesty's further pleasure.

JUSTICES OF WORCESTERSHIRE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704-5, January 22. Broad Waters, near Kidderminster.—Being met to put in execution the Act of Parliament for raising recruits, they complain that they have no officer, appointed by the Duke of Marlborough, attending on them to "entertain" such pressed men as the constables bring in; and the officers present refuse to take several able bodied persons "alleging them to be undersized—not five foot and eight inches high; whereby the country hath great trouble in bringing such persons in to no purpose: though they are in our opinions very fit to serve as marines or other soldiers." Requesting his directions.

Signed, Thomas Cookes Winford, Henry Townshend, John Soley, William Vernon.

JOHN JONES AND COMPANY.—Petition to the House of Commons.

1704-5, January 27. London.—The great usefulness and benefit of potashes to be made in the Queen's dominions in North America and imported into this country has lately been laid before the Commissioners for Trade and Plantations. Divers quantities of this commodity have been made by the petitioners, and approved by soapmakers and dyers in London, who wish that it may be encouraged, to promote our own manufactures rather than those of strangers. The only discouragement is the duty on potashes, whether imported from the Queen's plantations or from foreign countries, which, together with high freights, render the trade of no account. Whereas, moreover, the House is now considering a bill for the importation of naval stores from the North American plantations, they pray for the insertion of a clause to encourage the import of potashes, either by advancing the duty on foreign potashes according to their value in the price currents as determined by the House, and admitting those from

the plantations to pay only *ad valorem*; or else by admitting the latter free of duty for the next seven years.

Enclosed in letter to Robert Harley—

1704[-5]. February 1. London.—Praying him to present the petition, since Mr. Cox and Governour Penn, who had promised to deliver it, are unable to do so.

SAMUEL NEWTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704[-5], January 30.—“I perceive there is a bill going on in the House of Commons for altering the burning in the cheek for some more effectual punishment. It would be well if pillorying, hanging and whipping were the same; and with your countenance I could almost engage so to alter it that in seven years’ time there shall hardly be such a thing as a common thief in England; and that those who are in the meantime convicted shall afterwards be rather a benefit than a prejudice to the Commonwealth.” If you think this will be of any service to the nation I will reduce my method into writing and present it to you.

CHARLES DAVENANT.—Memorial to Lord Treasurer Godolphin.

1704[-5], January 31. Proposing (1) the establishment of better means for transmitting true information to the Queen from Scotland; and (2) the publication of a book “to show the benefits that will arise to both nations by an Union, and to remove the objections against it” existing in both countries. He also offers his services as a correspondent in Scotland, suggesting that he might receive Royal commands to enquire into details of Scotch trade and finance, and collect materials for a book on those subjects. *Copy*.

SPEAKER’S WARRANT.

1704[-5], February 2.—Remanding John Oviat of Aylesbury to the custody of the keeper of Newgate gaol during the pleasure of the House of Commons. “The like for John Paton, junior, Henry Basse and Daniel Horn.” *Copy*.

MR. ROGERS.

1704-5, February 6.—Memorandum [in Harley’s writing] of Rogers’ proposal to seize Newfoundland. He says he is very well acquainted with the place, and has brought home three men who have a perfect knowledge of it and its fortifications. He proposes to attempt it with two hired ships and 400 men; is willing to communicate his plan to the Duke of Marlborough and to any seaman the Duke may appoint—Sir Cloudesly Shovell, Admiral Whetstone or any other. He will himself accompany the expedition, and is willing to venture 1,000*l.* on it. But it is essential that the attempt be made at once, and at latest before April is expired. Other attempts have failed

because they were made in the summer ; “ whereas in the spring the French are not upon their guard, the soldiers are lent out to planters and are all scattered about.” The particulars will better appear from a draft he will finish to-morrow.

ROGER ADAMS to [ROBERT HARLEY] Secretary of State.

1704-5, February 9. Pembroke.—Being a Justice of the Peace for Pembrokeshire and in pursuance of the orders of the Privy Council, I issued forth a warrant for the apprehending of recruits for the services ; amongst the rest one John Leach, of this town, whom I knew personally to be an idle fellow and to have no visible way of living. Upon which warrant Leach was apprehended in the county, and being in the custody of the constable he found some means of making his escape, and fled into the liberty of this town ; all which matter being made known by the constable, and that he had desired several persons to assist him in retaking the fellow, who had all refused, I thought it my duty to go in person to his assistance. And being come to the house where I was informed Leach was, I found the doors shut ; and knocking at the door and demanding admittance, he not only refused but swore that he would be the death of me or the first man that should enter the house (although a public alehouse).

This occasioned much noise in the town, and thereupon several other idle persons got together with an intent to rescue him, and in a threatening manner declared they would go one and all. Finding myself unable to rule them I was obliged to repair to Mr. John Rogers, deputy mayor, and made my complaint to him of the hindrance to her Majesty's service and the affront put upon me. I requested him to join with me and some other Justices in a warrant for retaking Leach and punishing the rioters ; but the mayor absolutely refused to comply, and seemed very angry with me for making the complaint. His demeanour gave so great an encouragement to the rioters that Leach, having armed himself with a sword, walked publicly in the street ; and, the constable going to retake him, he drew his sword and declared he would be the death of him.

I should be glad of your further direction how to behave myself under this or like occasions, being very apprehensive that this example will be followed by this unruly mob ; and unless some speedy stop be put to their proceedings and a check to the deputy mayor, with an order to restore the fellow, I am certain both the endeavours of myself and other Justices in this part of the county to put the Act in due execution will be in vain : notwithstanding there are in this part of the world a great many persons fit to be sent into her Majesty's service.

WILLIAM LOWNDES to RICHARD WARRE.

1704 [-5], February 9. Treasury Chambers.—Acquainting him that the Lord Treasurer notices “ by the bill of stationery wares

delivered to Mr. Secretary Harley's office for the half-year ended at Christmas last, that the charge thereof is not so much reduced as his Lordship expected."

E[DMUND] DUMMER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1704-5, February 10. London.—Giving news of the mail from the West Indies, and enclosing an advertisement announcing the extension of the postal service to the Colonies on the Continent of America. *Signed*.

The printed advertisement is of the same date.

RECRUITS IN HEREFORDSHIRE.

1704-5, February 10.—List of recruits raised in Herefordshire in 1704-5 from January 18th to February 10th, in pursuance of the commands of the Privy Council directed to the Justices at the last quarter-sessions of the Peace; and of the officers to whom they were severally delivered.—twenty-seven recruits. *Signed*, Paul Williams, Clerk of the Peace. *Received*, Feb. 14.

WILLIAM BORRETT to RICHARD WARRE.

1704 [-5], February 13. Inner Temple.—"I have prevented hitherto the bringing a *habeas corpus* for John Verdun that you might perfect your examination; and if Mr. Secretary thinks his case bailable I think it better for you to take his bail than a Judge—the penalty there being so very small. I desire a line after you have spoke with Mr. Secretary."

[EDWARD] BRERETON to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1704-5, February 16.—Memoranda of the case of the *Anna Catherina* and the *Golden Heart*, belonging to subjects of the Duke of Holstein Sleswick, which, being laden with French wines and brandy, were seized by her Majesty's ship *Chester*, in March last, and sent into the Thames. The ships and cargo were restored in September; but as there was just cause of seizure they were ordered to pay expenses. These were paid, but in November the owners complained to the Admiralty Court that they had been wrongly charged, and that the Queen ought to have paid them. The Judge of the Admiralty Court ordered that the Principal Commissioners for prizes should repay 300*l.* to the claimers. From this order the Commissioners appeal to the Lords.

THOMAS HUNT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1704 [-5], February 16. New Court Inn, Swithin's Lane.—Making suggestions as to the bill for importing naval stores from New England: especially that the ships employed should be of great burden, for their better defence against small privateers; and that the building of such ships should be entrusted to an

English shipwright of his acquaintance in Holland, who employs sawmills, in order that he may introduce this sort of mill into New England and enjoy an exclusive privilege there. Prays that he may be empowered to carry out this design by the addition of a clause to the bill.

RECRUITS IN YORKSHIRE.

1704-5, February 17. (*Received.*)—List of recruits raised in the city of York and county of the same city between April 28th, 1704, and January 10th, 1704[-5], by virtue of the Act of Parliament (2 and 3 Anne, cap. 13); giving the names of the constables who took them up and of the officers to whom they were severally delivered.—Fifteen recruits. *Signed*, Charles Redman, Mayor.

Also the return of the Justices of the Peace for the West Riding of Yorkshire (Claro Wapentake) giving the names of four recruits enlisted in pursuance of the same Act. *Signed*, W. Vavasour, Robert Hitch, William Norton, Thomas Kirke, Andrew Wilkinson.

COUNT DE LECHERAINE.

1704-5, February 21–March 4.—Abstract of a memorial from the Count de Lecheraine, envoy extraordinary of the Elector Palatine, to the Queen, as to the payment of the said Elector's expenses on his journey to Vienna, and the satisfying of certain demands made by him to the Emperor before sending troops to Italy.

JAMES CRAGGS to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1704-5, March 3.] Saturday.—Is commanded by the Duke of Marlborough to wait upon him [Harley] at once with the enclosed papers, and to desire him to refer the same to Mr. Justice Powis, that he may report his opinion to her Majesty in Council how far he conceives Mr. Sterry entitled to her Majesty's mercy. [See Book of Petitions, *vol. 7, under above date.*]

PRINCE GEORGE of DENMARK.—Report on the petition of Martha Wavell, widow of Captain David Wavell, and of Alice Scott, widow of Lieutenant Scott, on behalf of themselves and other widows whose husbands belonged to her Majesty's ship *Colchester*.

1704-5, March 5.—While returning from the West Indies the *Colchester* was disabled in the late great storm and driven to Ireland, where she was repaired; but coming thence to England, she was—in bad weather—driven on Brissom Rocks, at the Land's End, and staved to pieces, and the officers and company drowned. The petitioners pray that her Majesty's bounty, as given to others who perished in the storm, may be extended to them. The Lord High Admiral is of opinion that though her Majesty was pleased to allow bounty to the widows of those actually drowned in her service in the storm, in the same manner

as if they had been slain in fight, the case of petitioners does not come within that establishment, in regard the *Colchester* was lost almost three months after the storm. Neither do the rules of the Navy entitle them to any other bounty. *Signed.*

Endorsed by Harley :—"Read, the P[rin]ce's] Council being present, March 18, 1704-5. Not within the rules of the Navy nor vote of Parliament."

MARIUS D' ASSIGNY, Chaplain of the *Exeter*, to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704-5, March 9. Kinsale.—"Having the honour to be known to you I judge that the following account of a sharp engagement between the *Exeter* and the *Thetis* of Rochefort may not be unwelcome to you and to the noble persons to whom you may communicate the particulars.

"We sailed out of Plymouth Sound, Sir George Byng's Vice-Admiral of the Blue being on board, Wednesday, 31 of January, in the evening, with the *Medway*, *Rochester*, and *Deptford*, to convoy forty-one merchantmen going to divers ports out of the way of privateers; sixteen were rich East India merchants. The next day, in the morning, we spied three sail near the Lizard Point. The *Medway* and *Rochester* chased them; the *Medway* took two, a ship of Liverpool laden with salt, forsaken by the company, and taken by a privateer of ten guns, which she also took. The ship was sent into Falmouth, but the privateer was brought away and joined us the next morning. We conveyed our merchants about 160 leagues south west of England, about the 46th degree of latitude; and February 12, in the evening we parted from them. The wind since has been as favourable to them as it has been contrary to our return.

"Wednesday following, 14 February, by break of day, we discovered five sail before us about three leagues off, directing their course the same way as we did—a strong easterly wind blowing and the sea very rough. We soon made them to be French. Being, therefore, at the head of our small squadron, we sailed by the first, second and third, and made up to a French man-of-war that was their convoy. We came up to him within pistol shot about noon. We plied him with all the guns that the wind and sea would permit; for he, being to wind of us, and both running upon a side, we could not make use of our lower tier. The French kept us in play about six or seven hours very briskly, with great and small shot; killed us fourteen men, wounded thirty-one, cut all our tackling and sails, and hurt our foremast. He would not strike till we had killed and hurt thirty-three, shot through all his masts, cut all his ropes and sails, and made four holes between wind and water. It was a desperate fight for the time, and though we were an unequal match for him he was not discouraged. When the captain and officers came on board I was sent for to be an interpreter; they gave this account:—

"That their ship was the King's ship named the *Thetis* of Rochefort, that sailed out of France 4 August last to the Caribbee Islands and St. Domingo, to convoy twenty merchantmen, and

came from the French Cape in forty-four days in the company of nine sail, four being separated by bad weather ; five remained yet in their company, one escaped us. Besides our prize we have taken three others ; the *Medway* took the *Elephant*, of 400 ton ; the *Deptford* took *La Gloutone*, of 300 ton ; and the *Rochester* has taken the *John James*, of 100. They are laden with sugars, white and brown, indigo, cacao, etc. The *Thetis* had on board 300 hogsheads of sugar, 44 guns, 244 men, 25 hogsheads of indigo, 25 barrels of cacao besides several bags, 250 hides, above 2,000*l.* worth in coin and plate. It was commanded by a worthy gentleman, Le Chevalier de Saujon, a knight of the order of St. Lewis ; his first lieutenant is Monsieur de la Tour Landry, lately a prisoner in England taken at Vigo ; his second, Monsieur de Sougé ; he had two ensigns—one named La Vilette is alive ; the other, a young gentleman of twenty-four years of age, related to Madame de Maintenon, married to a young and rich lady of seventeen but a month before his departure, was killed with a cannon shot ; his sorrowful widow, on board of us, truly deserves the Queen's and your honour's compassion. She has on board the *Elephant* ten thousand crowns worth of sugar. The other prisoners are the Count de Geres, late Governor of St. Christophers, Monsieur de Vasmeinier, King's Lieutenant in St. Martin's Island, wounded in the back with two bullets, Monsieur Feret, Messieurs de Morienne, de Bois, de Luche, de Silly, guard marines. Monsieur de Nemonid is dead of his wounds. They humbly entreat your honour's favour and good word to the Queen, that their losses and sorrows may not be increased by a long imprisonment ; and as they are designed for Plymouth they humbly beg from your honour and the Government that they may find there such comfortable orders as may render their confinement the more easy, and give them a prospect of a speedy exchange.

“ Since our taking of the *Thetis* we have met with contrary winds and tempestuous weather, which caused our Admiral and Captain to take out of her most of her valuable commodities and to burn her. She lost twice her main mast and broke her mizzen, and was so leaky that it was a difficult task to save her ; the men were all saved and are here in the prisons.”

Postscript :—“ I have something to acquaint you with upon which the success of our fleet now going to sea will depend, but without your express order I shall not venture to write to you.”

MR. ARCHER'S Memorial.

1704-5, March 9.—In the latter end of King William's reign the Lords of the Treasury made an agreement with the groom-porter to furnish all the King's palaces and the chambers of the two Houses of Parliament for a certain sum ; thereby cutting off all bills, which petitioner formerly had the liberty of making for all the goods he furnished. Before this bargain the officers of the House of Commons had the benefit granted to them by the late King, whose goods they were ; but now all the goods, old and

new alike, belong to the groom-porter. He desires that he may not be deprived of his goods, but may have liberty to take them to refit and clean them against the next sessions.

SIR GILBERT HEATHCOTE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1704 [-5], March 15.—St. Swithin's Lane.—“I am sorry I happened not to be in the House on Tuesday when you received the letter from Mr. Miles. I understand he complains against the Committee for reporting their opinion of his being privy and assisting to Pitkin's cheat, and grounds this complaint upon his not being heard.”

At the first meeting of the Committee Miles was ordered to attend and bring with him all his books and papers relating to his dealings with Pitkin. He did not attend, nor were his books or papers brought. We understood afterwards that he was a prisoner in London for a great debt at the custom-house. But no person appearing for him the Committee took the evidence for proving the allegations in the creditors' petition; of which so far as relates to Mr. Miles I give a short account.

Mr. Waters, a linen-draper in Cornhill, informed that on February 11 Miles told him that he knew all the secret management of the affair and could make a discovery to benefit the creditors by twenty-five or thirty thousand pounds, but expected to have 20 *per cent.* allowed him; and repeated the offer next day at Mr. Stracey's (the City Comptroller). They said they would allow him 10 *per cent.*, but Miles would abate nothing of his first offer.

John Pinfold, servant to Pitkin, deposed that above 2,000*l.* worth of white cloths bought up by Pitkin at Christmas were removed to Miles' house; that Miles' name was used at the custom-house in entering a great quantity of Pitkin's goods; and that Miles, Pitkin and Brerewood had acted in collusion. It appeared by other circumstances that Miles was “at the bottom of this design”; wherefore the Committee reported against him.

PRINCE GEORGE of DENMARK.

1704-5, March 22.—Report on the petition of Lieutenant Joseph Mason, of Colonel Pownal's regiment of marines, setting forth his services at Gibraltar and the wounds he received there; that by the rules of the Navy no allowance is made to marine officers losing their limbs in the service; since there were no marines in the fleet when those rules were settled. But sea officers who suffer that misfortune are allowed a year's full pay. The case is therefore referred to the Queen. *Signed.*

Endorsed by Harley “April 1. 1705, read. An extraordinary warrant ordered in this case to his Royal Highness.”

SAMUEL OGLE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1704[-5], March 22. [London].—Recommending to his favour the affair of the postmaster of Berwick; and hoping that the

reasons given in behalf of Mr. Nealson, the present mayor, will have some weight in respect to her Majesty's service. Prays a few minutes audience before leaving town for Ireland.

Memorial of the MARQUIS DE LA MESSELIERE, Brigadier, prisoner of war at Lichfield.

1705, March 25. (*Received.*)—Begs leave to go into France for six months upon parole, for some business of importance caused by the death of his mother-in-law.

SIR STAFFORD FAIRBORNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, March 29. Blackstakes.—I hope that my absence, which has been for her Majesty's service, has not put me out of your thoughts. You were pleased to tell me that, at the rising of the House, I might expect something to be done for me towards my support in the character her Majesty has been pleased to honour me with. I ask leave to remind you of this, and earnestly request you to move my Lord Treasurer in my behalf. I have been a great sufferer by loss of pay and other advantages when I was so unfortunately put out two years ago. The being driven by stress in the great storm into a foreign country was very chargeable; so also has been the despatching out here her Majesty's ship, "which, together with the sufferings of our family, the debts still due from the Crown on account of arrears of a pension to my mother after the loss of my father, and my own zealous services for the crown, these will, I hope, move our good Queen, my Lord Treasurer and you yourself to think of me."

JEFF. GLASIER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, March 30. Doctors' Commons.—"I know of no use this Stretch can be in Mr. Thompson's cause, which has been finally heard and determined both by the Court of Admiralty and the Lords of Appeals; and Thompson's ship and goods restored to him. As to the cause of his detaining I am wholly ignorant, or for what he was taken into custody."

Endorsed as discharged the same day.

ISRAEL PHIPPANY and PETER FREELAND.

1705, March 31.—Affidavit sworn before John Vineing, Esq., Mayor of Portsmouth.

Both appearers formerly belonged to a ship called the *Speedy Return*, belonging to the Scotch Company, trading to Africa and the East Indies, of which Captain Robert Drummond was commander. On or about the 26th of May, 1701, the *Speedy Return* sailed from Newport, Glasgow, in company with the *Content* brigantine, Captain Stewart commander, and after touching at Bangole, in Guinea, and at the Cape of Good Hope, went to the Island of St. Mary's, in Madagascar, and took on board

negroes, which they carried to Don Mascarinos ; thence they sailed to the port of Maritan, in Madagascar, where Captain Drummond went on shore. Nine or ten hours after, five several persons, who afterwards appeared to be pirates, armed with pistols, etc., came on board, on the pretence to buy something, and in the absence on shore of Captain Drummond with Andrew Wilkie, the surgeon, and several of the ship's company, whilst others were working in the hold, these five persons by force and arms took possession of the ship and made a signal, on which about forty or fifty other pirates came on board and took the brigantine, which was afterwards burnt ; and the pirates forced these appearers and the other persons on board the *Speedy Return* to sail in her to Rogopore, where they burnt her ; and then these appearers and their companions went on board a "Mocu ship" called the *Defiance*, which took them to Mauritius, where the appearers made their escape and got on board the *Reaper* galley.

Further, "at or after the time of taking the *Speedy Return*, neither Captain Drummond nor any other persons belonging to her were killed or wounded ; neither was she ever attacked by a ship called the *Worcester*, Captain Greene, commander, or any other ship, sloop or vessel whatsoever." *Copy.*

Memorial to THE QUEEN [from A SPANIARD].

[1705, March?].—Has stayed in London thirty-nine months, during the whole of which time he has been subjected to insults, persecutions and calumnies by certain miscreants in the city. They have kept him within doors in the day time, stolen half his money, and defiled his food and drink in such a way (even poisoning the public conduits in their desire to harm him) that he suffers from fever and is obliged to seek out distant taverns by night or drink from the river and carry water thence for his use. He has presented "*ce mois dernier de Mars*" two large volumes in Latin to the Houses of Parliament, but has found none there to befriend him. If accorded an audience, he would even surrender his sword, though that is no small indignity to one of his profession. He prays that his enemies may be punished and himself relieved by the charity of the Queen and Prince Consort. [At the end of the memorial he states that it is now four months since he drew it up, and that this next August, 1705, his martyrdom will have lasted four years. It would therefore appear to have been begun in November, 1704, and finished in March, 1705]. *French.* 19 pp.

Also an abbreviated form of the preceding memorial. *French.* 4 pp.

----- to -----

1705, April 4. *Mary*, in Plymouth Sound.—Left the Irish coast on March 22nd. On the 28th the *Triton* captured a St. Malo privateer of 36 guns and 240 men ; we also took two Dutch doggers laden with wine and brandy from Nantes.

On the 2nd the *Worcester* took the *Valeur*, one of Du Guay's squadron, of 28 guns and 220 men, commanded by the Sieur de Saint Auban.

Yesterday, off the Lizard, the *Medway* took a St. Malo privateer of 14 guns and 92 men.

"On the 30th past, lat. 49° 30', eighty leagues west of Scilly, had a violent storm, wind at W., which carried away the *Mary's* head and she sprung three leaks. The *Medway's* head is also loose; both the *Triton's* topmasts were blown by the board, and the *Anglesea's* fore top-mast." *Copy of extract.*

RICHARD MUSGRAVE to —————

1705, April 6. Heydon Castle. "Just now some of our neighbours are come out of Scotland who assure me that nation is very uppish, and that the town of Dumprooz [? Dumfries], about thirty miles from this place, are all in arms and exercise their men once every month, and that there are considerable numbers of gunsmiths and sword cuttlers come lately from France, so they are equipping themselves might and main to force a trade with England if the Union does not succeed. This town I have already mentioned has seven hundred fencible men in it.

"Pray communicate this from me to Mr. Secretary." *Abstract.*

CHARLES DUNSTER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, April 7.—Prays to be released in order to attend to his business, the neglect of which will lead to his ruin.

THE SAME to THE SAME.

1705, April 14.—Having been a prisoner near a month he prays to be set at liberty or bailed out, or to receive a *habeas corpus* or to have his prayer entered on the first day of the sessions.

Endorsed by Harley—"April 15. You may send to him for the names of his bail, and take them for his appearance at next term at the Queen's bench."

WILLIAM BORRETT to RICHARD WARRE.

1705, April 14. Inner Temple.—"The sessions begin at the Old Bailey upon Wednesday next; therefore I desire you will speak to Mr. Secretary, that he will be pleased to transmit to us what evidence you have, and the examinations you have taken relating to James Hannan, committed to Newgate for high treason, that we may prepare an indictment against him.

"I cannot continue Gordon a prisoner in Newgate any longer than these next sessions if I have not matter to prosecute him upon."

CHARLES DUNSTER to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1705, April 16.]—Has waited on him several times to beg for his discharge the first day of the term, being this day, in regard

of his innocence. The allegations against him “of having been in France this sixteen year, or farther than Hamburg,” and of corresponding thence against the present Government with France and Denmark, he utterly denies. The West India fleet have fallen down the river, and if he be not discharged that same day he will be obliged to stay another twelve months.

JOHN TAYLOR to [J. BURCHETT ?]

1705, April 20.—“I being under contract with the Navy Board to serve a certain quantity of masts into her Majesty’s stores, to be imported from Riga, which place is likely to be besieged by the Muscovites; and my factors there thinking it necessary to have letters from hence to the Swede and Muscovites, to signify that the masts are for her Majesty’s service, whereby to secure them, I did about a week since desire the Board to recommend the same to the Prince, which they were pleased to do.

“I have since heard from Riga that [they] are like very soon to be attacked, and my factors do think the masts will be made use of in the siege, unless such letters are sent and ships come early to fetch them away; wherefore I desire to know what will be done therein.” *Extract.*

[WILLIAM PATERSON to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1705, April 23.—“I have seen the two men who went from Scotland to Madagascar with the two Drummonds in a ship and brigantine, which were there surprised by the pirates, and afterwards by them burnt and destroyed as they found other ships fitter for their purpose. It does not appear that these Scots ships designed to consort or join with the pirates; but it is plain they both did and designed what trade and commerce with them they could, which I must confess I like as little as the other, but they had their reward.

“From the first seizure of the ship *Worcester*, in Scotland, I was sensible it was a malicious, violent and unjustifiable proceeding, though, as I have always industriously avoided meddling with their business, unless as it might some way relate to England, ever since my return hither, so I did not think fit to concern myself in this, not so much as in discourse. But now they have brought it to blood, and that in so more than inhuman and barbarous a manner, I think everyone who comes to hear or understand anything material towards the searching out this matter ought to exert himself, not only in order to get satisfaction for the blood, but because this is capable of being the means and handle for giving a turn to the affairs and dispositions in that nation, which, perhaps, could not so easily have been done without some such violent accident, by which they should put themselves so very much in the wrong.

“I am as much against giddy and violent proceedings in this matter as I am for such as are solid and vigorous. I likewise think it the greatest imprudence and injustice to charge faults

of this nature on mankind in heaps, upon whole nations, tribes, or families ; though I am sorry to find this hardly to be paralleled madness to have so much infected the minds of Scotsmen, not only in their own country but likewise elsewhere.

“This accident has now discovered two things plainly to the world, which I have had too much reason to believe for some years past ; the first, that notwithstanding their noise about it they have no Government there ; and in the second place that by a course of accidents of not a few years’ continuance, the multitude there are now at last become capable of any ill impression.

“Since I began to have any tolerable idea of these matters I have always thought there ought to be no different and interfering jurisdictions in the same Prince’s dominions, and that the different circumstances of Scotland and Ireland with regard to England were ridiculous contradictions both in theory and practice, and this matter has so determined me that I shall now never think this nation can be happy and safe till the tumultuous routs of these countries are new modelled and annexed to this centre kingdom ; but am of opinion the terms ought to be alike, equal and reasonable, whether done or procured by way of treaty or other means.

“As I told you on the last occasion, I cannot but think that the best or least bad part of the people there will, upon this great accident, first begin to be at a stand, and afterwards grow very uneasy, and if they are put in the right way of finding and perceiving the truth, may possibly become as violent against those who have misled them for several years, and at last landed them in this fatal mistake, as ever they were in anything, and am of opinion there is sufficient means and matter to work upon if but rightly applied, the dispositions tempered and directed ; but of this I shall say more as there shall be occasion.

“Their having used a weapon which I was unfortunately concerned in the forming—I mean their African and India Company—for some years to quite contrary purposes than I ever intended, and now concluded it with this horrid scene, besides that those two men were at Darien, the one with me and the other with the ships that came after ; and other things that have occurred and may still occur to me, more than another, makes it indispensably necessary for me to exert myself towards removing the guilt and odium of this blood and getting it laid where it ought to lie, and that pernicious club disbanded, and such other things done as may prevent like things for the future ; but as I shall do it without the least design of applause, so I hope to do it with such temper and candour as not justly to deserve the blame of any.

“It now concerns all Scotsmen to divide themselves into two sorts, that is to say, those who are unwilling to bear the guilt or odium of the blood from those that are or ought ; those who are unwilling to be prosecuted and hanged in this manner from those that are ; and if the question be rightly stated and prosecuted, the first number will naturally increase and the last diminish and melt away.

“ Those two men are well known in Scotland, which will very much help matters. I have got them each a note from Lord Peterborough to save them from pressing for some days till they can get the Queen’s special protection, which I desire you to procure them, and that they may be in the public care as I have given them hopes, as well as to Mr. Green and Mr. Madder and the owners of the ship *Worcester*. . . .

“ Their trial is not yet made public, though they threatened us with it ever since the condemnation of the men, and, as I told some zealots the other day, I supposed they were then doing it and perhaps writing a vindication, which it very much wants, for my part, before it comes. I expect it will be one of the bitterest lampoons ever was against that or any other country.”

CASE of the *ST. PETER*.

[1705, April 24.]—The Swedish ship *St. Peter*, from Gothenburg, with tobacco for France, was brought in by a privateer; but in the High Court of Admiralty ship and goods were restored.

The privateer has appealed, alleging that the master of the *St. Peter* declared to him that the goods belonged to a Frenchman. The master was not on oath, and made a false statement, believing that the privateer was French. “ The favour of Mr. Secretary Harley’s presence at the hearing to-morrow is humbly desired, and the confirmation of the sentence of the Admiralty Court not doubted of.”

Endorsed by Lewis “ Concerning the ship *St. Peter* of Sweden; to be tried April 25, 1705.”

OWNERS of the *BETTY* GALLEY.

1705, April 27.—The said ship, John Thomas, master, while sailing from Leghorn was captured on the 19th instant by two French privateers near Shoreham. Praying leave to ransom the said ship and cargo, being but of small value to the French and able to pay a considerable custom.

Appended are details of the cargo.

SIR DAVID MITCHELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, April 28. Albemarle Street.—Proposes that the States General should be written to, to add six of their ships of the line of battle to the squadron off Dunkirk, under the command of the Marquis of Carmarthen, in order that they may together observe the motion of the French at Dunkirk and Ostend. *Signed*.

MONS. LE CLERC VIRLY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, May 2. London.—“ I beseech your Honour to remember the case of Paul Breton, who is sick, and that he may have his liberty.” I have been twice to your office for her Majesty’s pass, but I am told that I cannot have it till Friday next.

Enclosing letter from Paul Breton to Mons. de Virly, complaining of his feeble health, in consequence of having been shut up in one room for ten months; praying him to obtain leave from Mr. Secretary for him to walk abroad in the day time, and offering a security of 500*l.* to 600*l.* that he will sleep each night in the prison. If this cannot be done, he prays to be put upon his trial. *French. Unsigned.*

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, May 5. Doctors' Commons.—The ship and lading about which you wrote to me last month have been restored, although there were suspicions of an unlawful trade. An affidavit of the ill-treatment of the master has been left in Court, and I have decreed a warrant against the captain of the privateer, in case the Dutch master shall think fit to prosecute.

Endorsed :—"Dr. Bramston upon a complaint made by Monsieur Vryberge of the seizure of a Dutch ship."

ISRAEL FEILDING to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1705, May 10. St. James' House.—Requesting him to pray Mr. Secretary Harley to learn from the Queen whether a commission may be drawn for Colonel Anthony Rodney to succeed Major Nott as Deputy-Governor of Berwick, the Prince having promised to be Rodney's advocate in the matter.

MATTHEW COUDELL.

1705, May 10.—Information as to the Irish troops in the French service :—

"Lieut.-General Dorington's regiment	1 batt.	} In Germany.
Lieut.-General Lee's regiment	... 1 batt.	
Major-General Lord Clare's regiment	1 batt.	
Lieut.-General Shelden's regiment of Horse	...	In Flanders.
Duke of Berwick's 1st battalion.		
Major-General Galmoy's regiment...	1 batt.	} In Italy.
Major-General Dillon's regiment	... 1 batt.	
Brigadier Bourke's regiment	... 1 batt.	
Brigadier Fitz Gerald's regiment	... 1 batt.	
Berwick's New 2nd batt. of Deserters	...	} In Spain.
Mahoney's regiment of Dragoons	

"Each battalion, if complete, consists of 650 private men besides officers *en pie* and reformed officers, whose number three years ago were at least 120 in each regiment. Since that time 100 reformed officers were sent to Spain to head the new levies there, and 100 more were sent to Languedoc to head the Militia against the Camisards.

"By my serving a long time in those troops I am acquainted with all or most of the officers; and at my coming away they

gave me commission to acquaint the Government here that they would quit that service if her Majesty would be pleased to give them encouragement, which would be a greater loss to the King of France than four times their number."

In Flanders in the Spanish troops 3,500 [*sic*] officers; and in the Duke of Lorraine's troops most of his guards, both horse and foot, are Irish; and likewise in the Duke of Bavaria's troops several; and a regiment in the Duke of Savoy's service.

"After the last peace the Irish in France were twenty-five battalions of foot, each containing 700 men, besides officers, two regiments of horse, two of dragoons, and two troops of guards. All the horse were reduced to one regiment, now commanded by Lieut.-General Sheldon; and the rest reformed into the French troops; and of the twenty-five battalions and two of dragoons all incorporate into the French troops, excepting the eight battalions. The rest reformed in the French troops, where they still continue for want of having leave to return to her Majesty's service. . . .

"If they were encouraged, both Germans, Swiss, Walloons, Italians and French themselves, more than their number, would follow their example.

"As to the number of Protestants amongst them I believe they may amount to fifty or sixty officers of my acquaintance and a vast number of soldiers."

Endorsed "Mr. Cowdall."

MR. HILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, May 13.—Prays that the enclosed letter may be sent to the Duke of Marlborough. He understands that the Queen's bounty money extends to all who suffered in the last campaign, and he is entitled to assistance. With "the constant prayers of a great though small family."

Enclosing letter to Marlborough of the same date; stating that he is so reduced in circumstances that he blushes to own that he was forced to dispose of something off his back to carry him down to the country to try if he could raise as much money as would equip him for his Grace's generosity. But, being forced to go threescore miles afoot, it pleased God to throw him into a violent ague and fever, and till now has not been able to put pen to paper, but will make hard shift to be soon after his Grace.

JOHN BRAYNE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, May 16. *Royal Ann*, Spithead.—"My late captain being succeeded by one in whom I believed I had not as much interest as with my former, I thought it to my advantage to have my discharge; which accordingly I had, and applied myself to Sir Cloudesley Shovell, who gave me a letter of great recommendation to Sir John Jennings, (as from your Honour)," with whom I am, waiting your pleasure.

LE COMTE DE LIONNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, [May 25-] June 5, N.S. Lichfield.—Prays for leave to go to France on his parole. The recitation of his reasons would be long and tedious. *French.*

THOMAS DRIVER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, May 26. Hereford.—Has served the Crown in the Excise for thirty years, and was one of the four General Riders until four years ago. "But new Pharaohs are risen, and many of my friends gone off the stage. All former services are forgotten: Jonah's lot being fallen upon me, being ordered to remove . . . into Somersetshire." This has been done by Mr. Onslowe, "who, I believe, has a pique against me." Prays him to burn this letter and plead for him verbally, "for a minute is entered at the Board that whoever makes any intercession to obstruct an order of removal shall fall under their utmost displeasure."

SIR STAFFORD FAIRBOURNE to —————

1705, May 27. *Royal Sovereign*, at Sea.—Lord Peterborough and Sir Cloudesly are now with the fleet, about fifteen leagues from the land, with a fresh northerly wind. As yet our advices from Brest have not reached us, but to-morrow we may expect Sir George Byng, who is gone to look in there. Everything seems here so cheerful and hearty that it promises success, let our undertaking be what it will; and I pray that her Majesty's arms may have a glorious campaign everywhere. "And whilst we are busy thus in our affairs abroad, I hope we shall not be forgot at home; and as I have had myself the honour of several gracious promises, so I desire not only your own remembrance of me, but that a noble Lord may also be put in mind in time of me, that if there be any alterations by remove or otherwise in the Prince's Council I may have the honour to be admitted into it, believing I can best serve her Majesty that way when the business of the war is over, or in the intervals in winter; besides, this will be some means the better to support the character of the flag I wear. . . . There are governments at home and several other good things in her Majesty's disposal . . . ; and whatever she is pleased to do for me cannot be done for anyone more entirely devoted to her service.

"In a little time we hope to send you home some good news."

MR. MACKENZIE'S Case.

[1705, May.]—In 1699 he went into France to study the civil laws; and when war was declared endeavoured to return thence, but was refused a passport, and "certiorated under hand," that spies "haunted his lodging," to give notice if he escaped. He knows no reason to justify his treatment by the French Court, but to serve the ends of "that hideous misanthrope Beauford" [*i.e.* Simon Fraser, styled Lord Lovat],

who misrepresented him to the Ministry, to prevent him from giving information about his own "turbulent contrivances and conspiracy." Remonstrant passed fourteen months "in a fulsome and nauseous dungeon in the Bastille at Paris;" his papers were seized, and his health impaired.

On June 18th last [1704] the French King was convinced of Fraser's treachery and had him imprisoned at Angers, and released remonstrant, but placed him under *surveillance*, in which "precarious and limited liberty" he has remained eleven months, to the loss of his health and fortune; "the Court having declared it misdemeanour in any [that] should be so charitable as to speak of his case before the peace was made."

He refers to the "favourable patrociny" of Lord North and Grey, prays for a pass to return into England, and hopes that the Queen will not leave his sufferings unremunerated; and that he may not be "the only person could ever say,

"Et mihi Pompeius, Cesar et hostis erat."

JOHN RICHARDSON, Gentleman.—Petition to Lord Treasurer Godolphin.

1705, June 1.—Setting forth his services in the Excise for above thirty-eight years and his detection of fraud in the salt revenue. But since he discovered this fraud to some gentlemen from whom he had hopes of advancement—not to the Commissioners of Excise—he was dismissed from his employment, and only offered a post as accountant, for which he did not conceive himself to be fitted. Prays for suitable employment in some part of her Majesty's revenue.

Enclosed are copies of depositions made by petitioner and Leonard Mills, of the Isle of Grayne, in Kent, giving details of an attempted fraud in the salt revenue by Thomas Slyford, of London, merchant.

Also a report on the same from the Commissioners of Excise to the Lord Treasurer, *dated* November 27, 1702. *Copy.*

Also a presentment by the said Commissioners, *dated* November 24, 1702; with a report of two searchers, *dated* November 16, 1702. *Copy.*

Also a further discovery of bribes offered by Slyford to Lord Garrard and Sir Willoughby Aston to defraud the Queen of the salt-duties. "Copied from a paper of Mr. Francis Cholmondly, of Valeroyal, in Cheshire." *Dated* September 3, 1702, and *signed* J. Richardson. *Copy.*

SOL. TYLER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, June 1. Shobdon.—"Captain Tayler has lately listed a parishioner of mine, one Walter Rewell, a servant of John Nicolls. The fellow happened to be in his cups at Pembridge fair, and thought himself then very well qualified for a soldier's employment; but his mind was soon altered, and he wished himself at his o'd occupation again . . . , being more dexterous at

handling the spade, the plough and flail, than wielding a sword, a spear, or musket." His father and his master pray me to desire you to have him discharged, giving him a good character, "and the man himself declaring that it was the first time he was overtaken with drink, and that it should be the last."

JOHN COOKE to PRINCE GEORGE.

1705, June 4. Great Yarmouth.—The captain of one of the packets carried to Holland a popish priest without a pass. The writer is now at Yarmouth if wanted.

JEFF. JEFFREYS to SECRETARY HEDGES.

1705, June 13. London.—Forwarding a letter from Sir Edward Williams, knight of the shire, divers justices of the peace and other gentlemen of the county of Brecon, about two notorious villains convicted of burglary at the last assizes. The judges that condemned them, Mr. Cox and Mr. Serjeant Bannister, will certify that they deserve no mercy, for, should they be pardoned, they will quickly increase their number in that or other counties.

Annexed letter signed by Sir E. Williams and twenty-three others, and representing that the burglars in question, Thomas and Peter Morgan, are fitter objects for the Queen's justice than her clemency, and that they will probably do her little service in the army, if listed, for their brother Daniel, tried with them but acquitted for want of evidence, listed himself in Colonel Lilingston's regiment and has since deserted.

JOHN HICKES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, June 26.—Having formerly obtained employment by Harley's favour he prays again for a post in the Excise.

Another letter of July 20, 1705, prays for further assistance.

M. Y. to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, June 30. Bristol.—On the 28th arrived the *Severn* and the *Fort* galley, both from Leghorn; also the *Cork* galley, of eight guns, from Antigua. On the 8th instant, "being five leagues S.W. of Londonderry," the *Fort* had a sharp encounter with two French privateers, having been deserted by her consorts. The enemy, after three hours, left her much shattered in sails and rigging; she had one man killed and five wounded.

LE COMTE DE LIONNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, [June 30-] July 11, N.S. Lichfield.—Would not give him this trouble were he not absolutely obliged by the state of his affairs. Has a lawsuit pending at the end of August, and it is of the utmost consequence to him to be on the spot. Has come of age during his imprisonment, and cannot enter upon his

considerable estates without taking actual possession. A number of other reasons oblige him to ask leave to go to France for five or six months on parole. *French.*

CAPTAIN HENRY PARTINGTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 2. *Anglesey*, at the Nore.—Stating that he had been unable to comply with his orders to go on board the ships under his convoy to Holland owing to the violence of the wind.

SMITHSBY HARTLEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 3.—Is informed that Mr. Nutting, paymaster of the transports, will shortly be called to account by the Lord Treasurer and discharged; “his coach and horses being already seized and being so much in debt that he cannot stand it.” Prays to succeed him.

RICHARD DUKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 8. Burrington, near Plymouth. “My son transmitting your kind letter from my house to my grandson Rolles, at Stephenstone, where I was to carry home my granddaughter to Sir John Rolles (much pleased with her—better with 10,000*l.* from us than with 30,000*l.* offered him with another), your letter came this day to my hands, which I am carrying to Francis Drake, five miles hence, to consult him, who is Recorder of Plymouth, and to have, at least, his letter and interest to some in Plymouth to promote, at least, the manning out one of those ships there for our cruiser—my nephew, Porcher, of 6,000*l. per annum*, complaining they want men and a cruiser to secure their sand boats to bring dressing for their lands, etc.

“My son, whose wife hath been a-dying these two months, sent also a copy of your letter to Sir John Elwill and the merchants of Exeter to prepare some seamen, which are exceeding scarce, for one ship of about forty guns first, which Captain John Manston may command, seeing he succeeded in a former and took six ships worth above 40,000*l.* and can engage those few men that are left as soon as any man, if you please that a sound ship may forthwith be provided for him with as many men as may be (for some must be ordered); a lieutenant also he can engage with about a dozen seamen, of which you shall hear more as soon as I return to Otterton next week, for you know there must be despatch or it will be too late to do us any real service.”

DAVID FEARNE.

1705, July 13.—“Epitome of several transactions wherein David Fearn was concerned, before, in and since the Revolution, 1688; and his present case.” Including an account of how he saved the Duke of York from an accident on the hillside above

Dudinston Lake in the winter of 1681-1682, when his Highness, slipping on the ice, would have fallen into the lake if Fearn had not caught him.

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 14. Admiralty Office.—“I opened your letter which came this evening directed to the Council to His Royal Highness, and have just now despatched away letters to the Downs, Portsmouth and Plymouth, the which will, I hope, prove effectual with respect to the ship mentioned therein.

“The letters are in the Prince’s name to require the captains of her Majesty’s ships to stop and secure her at either of the aforementioned places.”

HUMPHREY WALCOT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, July 14.—Hearing that Captain Cartwright, secretary to the Comptrollers of the Accounts of the Army, is removed to the office of paymaster to the forces abroad, he prays Harley to use his influence with the Lord Treasurer to obtain the secretary’s place for him.

EDMUND ELYS to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, July 15. Totnes.—“I desire your acceptance of what is here enclosed as a token of my affection to your person, and to the memory of your good father, who (as my friend Mr. Beverley told me) did fully agree with me in my dissenting from Mr. Lock, etc.”

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 16. Admiralty Office.—Since I wrote on Saturday I learn that the *Hawke* frigate is at anchor off Tilbury fort. I have sent to Captain Cleasby of the *Northumberland*, in Longreach, to send a lieutenant and a sufficient number of men on board her to prevent her sailing or her men going on shore. If you will likewise send to the governor of the fort to assist in this matter it will make more sure.

I enclose the copy of a letter from a Guernsey privateer touching several French ships laden with arms and ammunition for Scotland. (*Enclosure missing*).

J. DE FONVIVE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, July 18.—“Mr. Secretary Hedges, if I understood him right, proposed that I should be a clerk in his office to compile the *Gazette* and write now and then copies of letters, but this I cannot accept; firstly, because my hand is scarce legible; secondly, because such a place, though honourable to young gentlemen, would be a sort of disgrace to a person of my age; and thirdly, because it is no way of preferment; and I never heard of any clerk but one who found that place a stirrup.

"The places of first clerks, called under-secretaries, ought to be naturally the preferment of other clerks after several years' experience; but Mr. Hopkins, Mr. Lewis and Mr. Addison are instances, besides many others, that those places do not require that such who have them should be brought up in the office.

"The writing of the *Gazette*, though judged trifling by such who never tried the difficulties thereof, requires more learning than some imagine, and a great deal of care to avoid blunders and contradictions; and as it must take up a man's whole time ought to have a suitable encouragement, and I dare say that the committing the writing of it to a young clerk, and the revising to the four under-secretaries, which was done upon pretence of saving copy money, has been one of the chief causes of the decay of the *Gazette*; and the Secretaries of State would have got more money . . . had they given 500*l.* a year to a gentleman of parts who had made it his sole business to secure that place to himself for his life; which he could not have pretended to but by being careful and diligent in his employment.

"I hope it will not be looked exorbitant in me to ask 400*l.* a year, considering that as no man can promise himself to be always well, I must keep a clerk to assist me in case of need; and as it is requisite he should understand some foreign languages, I can give him no less than 50*l.* a year. I have given a great deal more in my own business. I desire your Honours to consider likewise that the *Postman* brings me above 600*l.* a year, and it would be the highest piece of imprudence in me to part with it but upon a valuable consideration, upon account of uncertain fears or advantages to come. If I am capable to write the *Gazette*, as your Honours seem to think, it is not unreasonable in me to ask the same terms as Mr. Yard had." Thanks him for his intended favour.

JAMES COMBES.—Petition to Sidney Lord Godolphin, Lord High Treasurer.

[1705, July 18.]—By the favour of Robert Henley, late Commissioner of her Majesty's Customs, he was ordered to be instructed by the landwaiters in the port of London. In qualifying to serve and giving his attendance during a glut of business he has spent all his subsistence, and prays to be minuted for the next vacancy of a landwaiter or tide-surveyor.

Enclosed in a letter to Robert Harley, dated July 18, 1705, praying him to use his influence with the Lord Treasurer.

Another letter to the same from the father of the above prays that James Combes may be employed in Harley's office at Whitehall, or in any other employment whatsoever.

MR. BANKS' QUERIES.

1705, July 19.—Hannam buys a ship in his own name for which Shales pays. They name her the *James* brigantine of London, and appoint Robert Strong, master, and James Grady, mate.

They paid 37*l.* 10*s.* for a French pass, and the ship has been carried to St. Malo under the name of the *Betty* of Limerick, James Brown (which is James Grady) master. "Hannam used to make his brags that if the war with France continued but three or four years he should get such an estate by trading with France as that he might keep his coach and six."

W. TURNER, Mayor of Derby, to MR. ST. JOHN.

1705, July 23. Derby.—Stating that there is no occasion for the petition of Henry Davies, Thomas Sherrat and Edward Mellor, who were enlisted in pursuance of the Act for raising recruits; and describing the circumstances under which they were examined by the Justices of the Peace and handed over to Lieutenant Marmaduke Alsop, as being idle persons. Davis pretended to be a journeyman hatter, but was a frequenter of bad houses, a drunkard, a wanderer and the father of a bastard child. Sherrat "pretended to have an estate in land, but could not make it out, though he had three months given him to do it; and, being conscious to himself that he was within the intent of the Act did skulk about from place to place to avoid his being taken up." Mellor was "a hedge-breaker, and one that would not work without he might have excessive wages, and very unkind to his wife, often beating and abusing her." *Copy.*

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 27. Admiralty Office.—I send you the copy of a letter from Dr. Bramston, surrogate to the judge of the High Court of Admiralty, relating to the *Hawke* frigate, and am to desire that you will take her Majesty's pleasure whether the ship shall be permitted to proceed on her voyage and the letter of marque be restored.

Endorsed by Warre :—"Mr. Secretary had writ to the Prince's Council on this subject before this letter was received."

Enclosure—

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [J. BURCHETT].

1705, July 27. Doctors' Commons.—Yesterday Mr. de Grave and Mr. Nathaniel Johnston, part owners of the ship *Hawke*, entered into security in the sum of 1,500*l.*, for the civil and honest behaviour of the commander, officers and men belonging to her; and Captain Patrick Galloway, her commander, took the oaths of obedience and supremacy. *Copy.*

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 27. Admiralty Office.—I enclose you copies of letters I have received this morning from Sir George Byng, relating to a correspondence held from hence with Captain Rigby, in France. "That it may not take air till such time as the proper

measures are taken upon it, I have transcribed them with my own hand."

Enclosure—

SIR GEORGE BYNG to THE PRINCE'S SECRETARY.

1705, July 22. *Triumph*, off Ushant.—I this day spoke a runner from Leghorn. Her master was lately a prisoner at Toulon, where he often saw Captain Rigby, and at his lodgings had an opportunity to copy a letter sent to him from England. I send it to you as it may be of use. *Copy.*

C. LEYONCRONA.

1705, July 27. (*Received.*)—Observations on the "Instructions for the privateers bearing date the 29 day of January, 1704-5."

Also a printed copy of the said instructions, with certain passages underlined or bracketed.

JOHN ROWETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 27.—Hearing that Mr. Bridges, paymaster of the forces, has not his full number of clerks, he prays that Harley will speak a word in his favour, or remember him in some other way.

THOMAS SHORTER to MR. PATTERSON.

1705, July 28. Whitehall. Praying him to speak to Mr. Secretary about his business.

Enclosing his petition to the Earl of Kent, Lord Chamberlain.

He has served as chamber-keeper in the Secretaries of State's offices for twenty years, and prays that one of the Queen's messengers, who is ten years younger than himself, may be permitted to resign his place to him.

Duplicate of the same.

J. RUSSELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, July 28.—This is the humble petition of a disconsolate widow on behalf of her son to prefer him to some useful post, he being capable of anything belonging to the Customs.

MATTHEW COUDELL to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, July 28. (*Received.*)—When I saw you I disclosed my condition with becoming candour, and I have set forth my case in my petition, a copy of which is enclosed. The little fortune I lay claim to lies in Ireland, and I should not meet any opposition from my relations, they knowing my innocency, if I could with safety appear there. I am informed that it would be necessary for me to have her Majesty's order to the Lieutenant of Ireland to allow me the protection of the Government there.

Therefore I humbly request you to obtain me such an order, since I am unalterably fixed to devote the rest of my life to her Majesty's service.

MATTHEW COUDELL, Gent.—Petition to the House of Commons.

[1705, July ?]—Went into foreign parts in his infancy and took to the service there, and came at last to be Captain of Foot ; but quitted the employment on her Majesty's accession to return to England. Has hopes of employment in the army, as Mr. Secretary Harley knows. Has a small estate in Ireland devised to him by his deceased brother Thomas, if capable of enjoying it ; if not, it is limited over to another relation now possessed of the same. To hinder petitioner from having the estate he was wrongfully outlawed in Ireland for high treason, by the contrivance of such as would have the estate go to the persons named in the will. The public gets nothing by his outlawry, which he prays may be reversed by a bill, or by a clause in some Act relating to Ireland now under consideration, that he may be able to devote the rest of his days to the Queen's service, and so atone for the follies of his youth.

MATTHEW COUDELL to [ROBERT HARLEY].

[1705, July ?]—Having been given his choice of going to Flanders or Ireland with his Honour's recommendation, he would rather serve abroad, were he in a condition to do so ; but as his circumstances will not allow time to go this campaign, he begs for leave to go to Ireland in order that may bring his friends to some composition.

TIMOTHY GULLY's Memorial.

1705, July 29.—Upon the recommendation of several members of Parliament he was, by commission, dated April 1st, 1704, made second Lieutenant to the company of foot at Newfoundland. During his passage on board the *Coventry* he was taken prisoner by the French and lay in hard durance in France till his exchange within this fortnight ; and now that he is ready to repair to his post he is informed that a commission has been obtained by surprise for another, and prays for relief.

Case against JAMES HANNAN.

1705, July or August.]—" Mr. Hannan, a merchant, having about two years since procured a pass, signed by the Count of Toulouse, to trade to France with salt beef and butter (the words in the pass) fitted out the *James* brigantine, Robert Strong, master, freighted with tallow, at Limerick, and in Falmouth Road was taken by a French privateer and carried to St. Malo, by the name of the *Betty* of Limerick, John Brown, master, and there

suffered to be condemned as prize ; after which a caveat was entered against the said judgment and the cause was removed to Paris, where the said pass was pleaded.

“ *Note.*—Hannan had some partners in the vessel, and it is supposed it was voluntarily taken to defraud the partners. The crew may reasonably be supposed to be prisoners of war ; yet they are all come over without ransom and in no English transport ; neither were they ever exchanged. It is positively affirmed that the said Hannan has long and does now correspond with France ; and particularly that one Monsieur Goweing, a merchant of Bordeaux, is his correspondent, whose son is, or lately was, with Hannan in England.”

H. HOWORTH to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, August 1.—Being informed that Captain Gledhill, in quarters at Bedford, is at the point of death, he prays that he may succeed him in his command in Colonel Livesay’s regiment, now returning home from Jamaica.

WILLIAM DE JONGH and GEORGE WALKER, Masters of the Ships
JAFFROUW SUSANNA and *HOPE*, both of Rotterdam.

1705, August 7.—Affidavit. Coming from Nantes, laden with free and unprohibited goods, bound for Rotterdam, on July 22 last, they were met off Hyssand (Ushant) by Vice-Admiral Byng, who ordered them on board, examined them and their papers, and, finding them correct, let them pass free. But on July 26, in the Channel, off Dover, they were seized by Captain Lyngoe and Captain Thorpe, two English privateers, who brought them into the Downs, kept the deponents prisoners in a house on shore for seven days, demanded 18*l.* or 20*l.* as a ransom, and, having examined them, allowed them to come up to London to see what they could do. They are here to ask advice and assistance to clear their ships and get compensation. *Translated from the Dutch.*

Report of PRINCE GEORGE of DENMARK to THE QUEEN.

1705, August 8. Admiralty Office.—Her Majesty having referred the Marquis of Carmarthen’s memorial to him, and directed him to examine and report on his Lordship’s conduct, he reports that he has had no complaint from merchants or others of Lord Carmarthen’s conduct during his late commanding a squadron of her Majesty’s ships, which are now gone convoy to the trade to Hamburg and the Baltic ; so that there is nothing to enable him to make the required examination.
Signed. Countersigned by Burchett.

RICHARD CRAWLEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, August 9.—In answer to your letter relating to the repeated complaints from Holland about Dutch ships taken by

our privateers, it appears that our privateers have lately taken several ships coming from France, laden with French goods going to Holland, furnished with passes from the States General; so that if the goods on board these ships belonged to subjects of the States, they ought not to have been brought up or molested. But I believe it will not be denied that all or the greatest part of these goods belong to the French laders, as appears by the bills of lading and other papers found on board; and by the instructions to privateers they are lawful prize. The Court of Admiralty is ready to give all possible despatch to the cases; but the Dutch claimers are not willing to bring on anything to a hearing, expecting, I suppose, some more ample declaration from her Majesty. I am told that the Dutch positively insist "that a free ship shall make free goods," which the Court of Admiralty—as the law now stands—cannot allow, but must proceed to condemn to the privateer the goods that shall appear to belong to the enemy. If her Majesty sees fit to accede to this Dutch claim it will be necessary to have her declaration included in the instructions to the privateers; but the effect of it will be to make the Dutch the sole carriers for the French. *Signed.*

SIR JOHN COOKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, August 11. Doctors' Commons.—The matters complained of by the two masters [De Jongh and Walker] in their affidavit—

(1) That their ships were seized without just cause; (2) that they were detained prisoners seven days without examination; (3) that money was demanded of them for their release—cannot be decided without further evidence. (1) is the business of the Judge of the Admiralty; (2) will depend on whether it was possible to examine them sooner; for (3), if established, the captors and their bail will be liable; but any prosecution on any of these heads must be in the name and at the suit of the Lord High Admiral.

MAJOR LLOYD to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, August 11. Portsmouth.—List "of ships drove ashore and lost on Friday night, August 10th." Thirty-three merchantmen.

Report of CAPT. WILLIAM HOLMES, Exempt of the Third troop of Horse Guards.

1705, August 16.—Mr. Philip Allen was one of the party of the said troop appointed to attend her Majesty at Newmarket.

"Captain Holmes commanding the guard one day her Majesty was in the field to see the races, Mr. Allen not marching in rank . . . Captain Holmes commanded him to keep his rank. But Mr. Allen gave him very rude and disrespectful language, and at the same time ran away from the party. Upon which the Earl of Albemarle, then commanding in chief, ordered

he should be taken and sent up to the Marshal with a guard of two Horse Grenadiers, and after it was known he was delirious, he hath been kept in pay out of charity during three months.

"The money paid by the gentlemen to be entered in the Guards is applied to pay for the accoutrements, which cost about 45*l.*, and to pay for a horse, which sometimes costs above 35*l.*; and the remainder is applied to a bank for remounting.

"According to the discipline and custom he could expect no other treatment than to be immediately struck from the roll and be tried for his life for having deserted her Majesty; he being actually attending her Royal person.

He has utterly spoiled the clothes and the horse, one of the finest of the three troops, and lost some of the accoutrements. Notwithstanding, his wife has received 50*l.* 18*s.*, besides his pay, and given a discharge in full satisfaction. We are likewise informed that while Mr. Allen served he often declared that he and his wife were in no want, and he could very well live without his pay, though were his circumstances as represented in the petition, it is hoped it will be found by the above state of the case that there was no ground of complaint, but that he hath been used with great tenderness and charity."

M. VAN VRYBERGE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, August 20. London.—Asks him to procure a hearing before the Lords of Appeal of the case of the *Catharine* of Rotterdam, condemned here by default. *Signed.*

SCOTLAND.

[About August, 1705.]—"Some queries concerning the present posture of affairs in Scotland with relation to England."

LADY ELIZABETH HAMILTON* to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, September 20.—Her yearly allowance of 44*l.* is in no way suitable to pay debts or live upon, she having lost her husband, father and an estate of 4,000*l.* a year, and having the charge of seven children. She prays him to shew this to the Queen, and bring to her notice what Lord Seafield, Lord Cromarty and the Duke of Athol said in her case. It is a miserable plight for an earl's daughter (*sic*). Mr. Warre can give a particular account of her troubles.

WILLIAM JACKSON to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, September 21. Edinburgh.—"I have presumed upon the christian account I have heard of you, as old Mr. Mather has signified to you, . . . an old acquaintance of your family, of which I hope you will take notice, relating to the expeding of

* Daughter of Sir John Hamilton, Baron Belhaven, and widow of Sir Robert Hamilton, bart. See *Calendar of Treasury Papers*, 1702-1707, p. 59.

that commission concerted and consented by her Majesty at Windsor, of which we persuade ourselves you will not delay being booked and designed in Mr. Houston's fraternity; which though multiplicity of business may obliterate her Majesty's memory, yet not yours. . . . It is done by her royal promise conform to their late and present Majesties' institution, which is more for public than private interest."

THOMAS EDWIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, October 9.—Has been misrepresented to the Council as to his intentions in Mr. Smith's business. He served Smith for 30*l.* a year and his board, and "as such" desired to know the persons names that he said employed him. He said it was a secret, and had dealings with tradesmen whom (it afterwards appeared) he designed to cheat. After alluding to sundry services and incidents Edwin prays for redress and further employment.

Endorsed by Harley—"Concerning James Smith who broke army."

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, October 11. London.—Complaining of Sir Thomas Frankland's unjust treatment in regard to the packet-boats, which he ascribes to want of judgment or to jealousy. Has proposed to Mr. Hedges an advertisement suggesting that the Spaniards should be brought to the same confidence at Jamaica in "passing their effects" as the English have with them at Cadiz.

W. GILPIN to CHRISTOPHER MUSGRAVE.

1705, October 19. Scaleby.—Understanding that Mr. Richard Aglionby has been busy in getting subscriptions to a certificate wherein your name is mentioned, I send you a copy. It was intended to have got the Mayor of Carlisle to have signed it, so that the hands of their rabble would have made it look like a city Act, but I think the Mayor has refused it. "I believe they design to make use of it at the Council, though I cannot imagine that such stuff will take place there, for if the actions of magistrates must be subjected to the opinions of the people there will always be party men enough found to fly-blow them."

They have prevailed with the collector and some of the officers of the Customs to certify how ready Mr. Stanwix has always been to assist them, and particularly that he committed Irwin and Vaux for affronting some of their officers. These two people are notorious runners of uncustomed and prohibited goods, and have beaten the officers. I have had several informations and have granted several warrants against them, upon which several attempts have been made to take them. But a little after the matter which fell out betwixt me and Mr. Stanwix, it was thought

fit to apply to him for a warrant against the same people, though they had mine in their pockets. At the Assizes they were at last taken by my particular care, but instead of bringing them before me the collector (a kinsman of Mr. Stanwix) caused them to be carried to gaol on his warrant and absolutely stifled mine. They pretend that the collector's warrant was not for the same offence as mine; but if this be true, why did not they go upon both? "The certificate is downright a libel, and therefore it is to be hoped that the Council will show their resentment against those who shall offer it."

[DAVID] FEARNE'S Memorial.

1705, October 31.—You know what mere accident (or rather providence) brought me first to be acquainted with Mr. Secretary last winter, and though the matter did not succeed as expected, yet my design was to serve the Government, and though I had frequent access to him and might have communicated what I knew of other matters, I would not until I found by my own observations that (according to the just character I had of him formerly by some good people) he is a man of integrity as well as of great parts.

Afterwards I have been free with him, and have offered many things in relation to the present government of these kingdoms, which, if put in practice, will greatly tend to her Majesty's service, the settlement of our constitution in church and state upon a more solid foundation, and support to the Protestant interest generally, if he have but time to read all and have been more particular as to the intended treaty, which I communicated to none else; but which if not in time looked into all the honest party's laudable designs will prove abortive.

It may be asked why it was said that none could accomplish some of these methods and matters save the proposer and one other. *Causa patet*, because none other can pretend to know the nation so particularly, the people's constitution, tempers, estates, powers and weaknesses, nor the individual places, their strength and weaknesses; for there is not one county but the proposer had relations and clients in it, when he was in practice at the Revolution, which obliged him every vacation to be riding over all the kingdom, and there are not many men who know the coasts of England and Ireland better than he.

In the last memorial I prayed that the resolve might be sudden (that is before Commissioners be named that are not sound at the bottom or are obnoxious to the people) "that no progress might be made till such and such men were brought over, neither of which being agreed to, nor that the Government thought fit to employ the proposer in that or any other service," I pray to be informed. My former services have not been rewarded, and having left a good employment I am reduced to embrace the first honest employment that God sends me. Many of my countrymen will obstruct the bringing in of me or any other honest man.

I also pray you "let me have the several memorials I gave in for eight or ten days, because they are a little dark and incorrect in several places," and I would digest and compress them and then send a true copy of them all to Mr. Secretary whenever he thinks fit.

SIR M[ICHAEL] WARTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, November 4.—"Two of the three named for sheriffs for Lincolnshire, as I am informed, are my nephew [Charles] Pelham, and Sir John Newton, who married my sister. The first, I hope, as your kinsman you will assist. The other is sickly and is now confined to his chamber; has no settled family in the country, and really it will be the heaviest punishment can be inflicted on him. If these may be excused the favour will be very great."

JOHN NETTERVILLE'S Case.

[Before November 8, 1705.]—Setting forth the sums due to him and praying to be put on the Establishment of Ireland.
Draft.

[See *Report on his case*, Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702–1707, p. 384.]

Case of LADY TRANT, Widow.

1705, November 9. (*Received.*)—Her husband, Sir Patrick Trant, was outlawed of high treason, and in 1689, she followed him into France. His estate in Ireland was forfeited to be sold for the use of the public; and this estate being charged long before his treason with a jointure to his wife and provision for his children, a sum of 7,000*l.* was allowed to her and her seven children by Parliament out of the money raised by the sale thereof. This money is in the hands of the Sword-blade Company, who refuse to give it up unless she appears personally to give them sufficient discharges. Being restrained by Act of Parliament from returning into her Majesty's dominions under penalty of high treason, she prays for a licence under the Privy Seal to return.

Memorandum of [FRANCIS] CLARKE, the messenger who had the custody of Lady Power.

1705, November 13.—The latter surrendering herself at Mr. Secretary Harley's office while he was in the country, Mr. Lewis applied to Mr. Secretary Hedges, who ordered her into custody but signed no warrant for it. Lady Power has since been discharged by direction from the Lords. Clarke therefore desires a warrant to justify his detention of her and to entitle him to his pay.

ELDER BRETHREN of TRINITY HOUSE to J. BURCHETT.

1705, November 17. Trinity House.—In obedience to the Prince's commands they sent two of their number to join with

Commissioner Greenhill in the survey of Whitsand Bay and Por-de-nac Point. They now enclose their report and an estimate of the charge of building a mole or harbour there and light-houses as proposed.

They ask also for leave to apply to the Commissioners of the Navy for their brethren's disbursement. *Copy.*

COL. THOMAS COLLIER, [Lieut.-Governor of Jersey], to
[ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, November 23. Jersey.—I delivered your enclosed letter to Monsieur de Sassey, a French prisoner, and enclose his receipt. He begs that it may not be sent to France, for if his enemies once know that he is in the enemy's country they will confiscate his estate. He has received a great many such letters, but has not answered any for the same reason. He expected her Majesty's permission to leave this place, and did not imagine when he came for protection that he should have been kept so long, and he thinks it is my fault, though I have represented his case to Sir Charles Hedges. He only begs leave to hide in England or Holland till his affairs are made up in France. *Signed.*

Enclosed is receipt alluded to, of a packet of letters from Harley sealed with a stag's head. *Signed* Vauguelin de Sassey. *French.*

EDW. BRERETON to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, November 29.—“I was informed this evening that Mr. Ambrose Thelwall is one of the three gentlemen returned by Sir Joseph Jekyll, Chief Justice of Chester, for her Majesty's choice of a Sheriff for the county of Denbigh. His mother has a large jointure out of his estate; but his greatest unhappiness is that he is a Non-juror; but is of a very quiet peaceable temper, and for that reason may deserve your favourable consideration.”

C. LEYONCRONA to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, November 30. London.—The Sieur Charles Gyllenborg, a Swedish subject and the King's secretary, obtained leave last summer to go to France to see the country and perfect himself in the French tongue. His leave being expired, he wishes to return and serve under me here as before, and considering the dangers of travelling through the Low Countries in this time of war, he begs the Queen's leave to come over with one of the transports for exchanging prisoners, and for a pass in order thereunto.

ADMIRAL BEAUMONT'S SISTERS.

1705, November 30.—Memorandum. The date of the warrant for a pension to the Ladies Beaumont is May 15, 1704. By it the Prince is authorised to place a pension of 50*l.* per annum, for life, on each of the sisters of the late Rear-Admiral (to commence from Christmas last), viz.: Elizabeth, Anne Henrietta, Catherine Arabella and Christiana Beaumont.

CALEB POWELL.—Petition to Sidney Lord Godolphin,
Treasurer.

[1705, about November.]—Has a report, dated October 27th, 1705, of the Queen's agents for taxes, for a sum of 321*l.* 6*s.* 9*d.* for extraordinary charges borne by him in returning the taxes of the counties of Brecon, Radnor and Montgomery to London. Prays that this sum may be paid to him.

LE M[ARQUIS] D' HAUTEFEUILLE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, December [1-]12. —Paris.—Prays that his leave may be prolonged for another six months on account of the unforeseen perplexity of his affairs ; seeing that many of his comrades have obtained such prolongation. *French.*

J. BURCHETT to the SECRETARIES of ROBERT HARLEY.

1705, December 5. Admiralty Office.—He encloses a letter from Lieutenant Rawlings of the *Drake* sloop, and is commanded by the Prince to desire them to lay the matter before Mr. Secretary Harley, to the end the officers may be punished.

Enclosure.

LIEUTENANT RAWLINGS to J. BURCHETT.

1705, December 5.—Last Monday night I imprest eight men for the *Drake* ; and being beset by the mob was obliged to put my imprest men into Shadwell watch-house, where the parish constable, whose name is Frank Thompson, with the head-borough and other officers, were charged to take care of them whilst I went to look for more. While I was gone seven of the eight men made their escape, by consent of the constable and other officers, who took money for their ransom. “ The one man that remained in the watch-house will take his oath that they would have let him go if he would but give them money, but he had none about him.” *Extract.*

[COLONEL DE] BALINCOURT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, December [14-]25, N.S. Nottingham.—Believing that the Duke of Marlborough is now in London, he begs to remind Harley of his permission to go there on his Grace's arrival. Nearly a year has passed since his exchange, and if his release is deferred much longer it will do infinite harm to his own particular affairs without any benefit to affairs in general. *French.*

LIEUT.-COLONEL H. MAURICE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705, December 19.—Lichfield.—Having seen the permit which you procured for M. de Balincourt, colonel, to go to London on the arrival of the Duke of Marlborough, I beg the same favour. Since we have both been exchanged pursuant to the certificate of

which I send you a copy, I ask your assistance, with the assurance that I will not stay longer than you think proper. It would be a great grief to me to leave this country without seeing London, the fairest city in the world. *French.*

JOHN CHAMBERLAYNE, SECRETARY to the GOVERNORS OF THE BOUNTY OF QUEEN ANNE.—Memorial to the Lord High Treasurer.

[1705.]—In January last the said Governors laid before her Majesty divers rules and orders for the managing and distributing the said bounty among the poor clergy; and have ever since awaited her Majesty's pleasure. All business is at a stand till the Queen's pleasure be known concerning the said rules and a new charter. There is a considerable sum ready for distribution; and several charitable persons are ready to join with the Governors in augmenting poor livings as soon as the rules are settled. There can be no dividend nor orders taken about augmenting poor livings this year unless her Majesty approve of the said rules before the conclusion of the present Parliament. *Signed.*

Enclosing two drafts of the said "Rules and orders of the Governors lately laid before the Queen."

CASE OF PETER IPSEN AND COMPANY, of Housam in the Duchy of "Sleswick," owners of the ships *ANNA CATHERINA* and *GOLDEN HART*.

[1705?]—Concerning the seizure of the said ships by her Majesty's ship *Chester*, and the damage done to their cargoes during proceedings in the Admiralty Court. Narrates the course of the proceedings to the same effect as Brereton's memorandum, p. 170 above. In June last [1705] they proved that the goods were sound when disembarked.

THOMAS LLOYD, CAPTAIN OF THE COMPANY OF INVALIDS AT TINMOUTH.—Petition to Lord Treasurer Godolphin.

[1705.]—Petitioner and his two subalterns, having only half-pay and doing as much duty as any regular troops, were allowed by the late King as many servants as other officers in the army, viz.: two to the captain and one to each subaltern. But Mr. Robert Allgood, a deputy commissioner of the musters, without reason assigned, respited the officers' servants from 25th June, 1703, to 24th June, 1704, from which date they were restored by Royal order, as appears in the annexed certificate. Prays that they may be allowed the pay of their servants during the period of their being respited. *Signed.*

Enclosing Certificate *signed* by C. Deane, Clerk of the Commissary General's office at Whitehall; *dated* April 28, 1705.

WILLIAM PITTIS to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1705?]—You told me when I was under examination before you "that, rather than my necessities should make me comply with booksellers' requests in writing what they should put me

upon, you would be assistant to me yourself. I have forborne as long as possible giving your honour the trouble; but being without friends, without money, and under a very chargeable prosecution, I must beg leave to remind you that I have kept up to the tenour of your commands in writing but one little pamphlet since, which I made bold to present you bound up in blue Turkey leather, by the penny post, and is entitled '*Two Campaigns in One Panegyric Essay*' in honour of his Grace of Marlborough."

I pray this implicit obedience may prevail for some consideration per bearer.

HENRY MACTIRE and W. HOUSTONNE to the PRINCIPAL SECRETARIES OF STATE FOR ENGLAND.

[1705?]-Praying for arrears of a pension from the privy purse in respect of services to the Crown since 1688. Avowing their fidelity to "your Lordships as the bulwark of the Protestant interest, though upon this head we have smarted by our countrymen." [*See letter of April 14, 1705. Harley Papers ii., 174.*]

WILLIAM ATWOOD.—Petition to Sidney, Lord Godolphin.

[1705?]-Complains that he was allowed "but the quarter before he arrived in England to justify himself against a suspension, without any hearing and which was never prosecuted. As appears by Lord Halifax's report, 370*l.* are due to him in England and at New York. Prays for the Royal bounty. [*Was suspended as Governor of New York in October, 1705.*]

"Case of ANGELO CORTICELLI and JOSEPH COMO of London, Merchants, and her Majesty's subjects."

[1705-1706?]-Concerning the refusal of Luke Giustiniano, a Genoese nobleman, to pay the money due on bills of exchange drawn upon them. A report made by Doctor Bramston and Sir John Cooke now lies before the Queen. [*His petition was referred to Bramston and Ccoke, Dec. 1, 1705.*]

Case of THE SHIP *DOROTHEA*, Casper Jurgens, Master.

[1705?]-She sailed from Bilbao in January, 1704-5, and in February was captured by Captain William Thorpe, the papers being sent up to the Admiralty Court. The cause came to a hearing on June 21, 1705, when depositions on oath, the bills of lading, the ship's papers and pass agreed that she was bound to Gottenburg, and that ship and cargo belonged to Herman Gieseke and John Valck, of that place. But a policy of insurance was produced which showed that Hutchings and Rowse, Englishmen, had insured 250*l.*, and that the ship might go to Amsterdam; besides which Hutchings offered to prove that part of the goods belonged to him and other English subjects. The ship was restored, but the goods condemned, except what was

claimed by Hutchings and others, to whom time was given to prove their property. At the instance of the Swedish claimers an appeal has been entered, and a day being appointed for hearing the cause, several new claims in the names of English, Spanish, Hamburger and Dutch merchants and subjects were offered, and the Lords resolved that they should be admitted.

The claim made by the English is strange; for it is openly owning that they have acted against her Majesty's proclamation of war of May 4, 1702, whereby all her Majesty's subjects are strictly forbid to hold any correspondence or communication with France or Spain; and though some months were allowed for bringing away effects which the claimers had in these countries, this is not a question of months but years.

As to the Dutch claims, it is true that her Majesty has ordered that Dutch ships, duly provided with passes, should be allowed to trade with France; but this order was not published till March 19, 1704-5, whereas the *Dorothea* was seized in February, 1704-5, neither had she the States General's pass. On the contrary, it is evident that the whole is a clandestine, unlawful and coloured trade.

"That any claim should be entered in the name of Spaniards seems also very strange; for if the privateers, who are at very great charges, shall not enjoy what is openly owned and avowed to belong to the enemy, it will be very hard for them to find out what are good captures." The Lords, by their address to the Queen, of May 23, 1702, declared that it was highly requisite for the public service that she should give all possible encouragement to her subjects to set out private men of war; and the royal proclamation of May 17, 1703, encourages privateers to seize at sea or in the enemy's ports all goods belonging to France and Spain. If, notwithstanding this, her Majesty's enemies shall be permitted openly to claim and sue for the recovery of their goods so seized by her Majesty's subjects, it can certainly not be looked on as an encouragement to her subjects to make any seizures henceforth.

Enclosed is the statement of the claims alluded to, shewing that the *Dorothea* was captured by the *Flying Horse*.

STATE OF PARTIES IN SCOTLAND.*

[1705 ?]—"The following list is to give account of the chief men in each county, but because most of them have their lands and commands intermixed in several counties, have therefore,

* This list must have been drawn up between 1703 and 1707, *i.e.* after the creations of 1703 but before Montrose and Roxburgh were made Dukes. The annotations, here printed in italics, are in another hand, resembling David Fearn's, and the list was probably sent up by him towards the end of 1705. (See his statement concerning his knowledge of the people on p. 196 above). The probable meaning of the abbreviations is:—*prot. succ.*, protestant succession; *neut. cr n.*, neuter *i.e.* of the country party; *malig. cr m.*, malignant, *i.e.* adherent of the House of Stuart; *ch. g. f.*, chief of clan, with great following; *pap. m. big.*, papist, malignant, bigotted; *disc.*, discontented; *prob.*, probably for protestant succession. What *suffr.* stands for, it is difficult to surmise.

for brevity's sake, joined such and such counties together in the list, by which there is a short view given of the chiefs of clans, great or small, and many of the noblemen and gentlemen of great estates who are not chiefs of clans, and how all are generally.

The Shires of North Berwick or Merse, Roxburgh, Selkirk, Peebles and Stewartry of Annandale :—

- Prot. Neut.* : Scott, Duchesse of Balclewgh.
- Prot. Succ.* : Johnson, Marquise of Annundale.
- Prot. N.* : Hay, Marquiss of Tweedale.
- Prot. Prob.* : Ker, Marquise of Louthian.
- Prot. Prob.* : Ker, Earl of Roxborough, *ch. g. f.*
- Prot. M.* : Hume, Earl of Hume, *ch. g. f.*
- Prot. N.* : Hamilton, Earl of Selkirk.
- Prot. Prob.* : Hume, Earl of Marchmont, *suffr.*
Douglas, Earl of March, *infant.*
- Prot. Succ.* : Dalrymple, Lord President of Session.
o o o Douglas, Lord Mordington.
- Prot. Prob.* : Rutherford, Lord Rutherford.
- Prot. Prob.* : Swinton, of Swinton.
- Prot. M.* : Elliot, of Stobbs, *ch. f.*
- Prot. Prob.* : Ridell, of Ridell, *suffr.*
- Prot. Prob.* : Scot, of Harden.
- Prot. Neut.* : Scot, of Chatto.
- Prot. M.* : Langlands, of Langlands.
- Prot. Prob.* : Bennet, of Grubett.
- Prot. Neut.* : Scott, of Anehrum.
- Prot. Prob.* : Ramsey, of Edington.
- Prot. Neut.* : Glandstaines, of Glandstaines.
- Prot. Neut.* : Scott, of Thirlston.
- Prot. M.* : Cockburn, of Langton.

Many others in these districts will follow upon occasion as they assert.

The Shires of Dumfries, Nithsdale, Wigton, Stewartry of Kirkcudbright, Shire of Ayr, and Bailerry of Carrick and Kile :—

- Prot. Prob.* : Douglas, Duke of Queensberry, *succ.*
- Prot. N.* : Cunningham, Earl of Glencairn, *ch. f.*
- Prot.* : Kennedy, Earl of Cassilis, *infant.*
- Pap. M. Big.* : Maxwell, Earl of Nithsdale, *ch. fol.*
- Prot.* : Boyd, Earl of Kilmarnock, *ch. fol.*
- Prot.* : Chrichton, Earl of Dumfries, *infant.*
- Prot. Neut.* : Steward, Earl of Galloway.
- Pap. Malig.* : Steward, Earl of Traquire.
- Prot.* : Dalrymple, Earl of Stair, *succ.*
- Prot.* : Campbell, Earl of Loudon, *succ.*
- Prot. Neut.* : Gordon, Viscount of Cranmore.
o o o Cathcart, Lord Cathcart.
- Prot. Neut.* : Hamilton, Lord Bargeny.
- Prot. N.* : Moore, of Rawallen.
- Prot.* : Blair, of Blair, *ch. f.*
- Prot. M.* : Wallis [Wallace], of Cragy.
- Prot. N.* : Kennedy, of Culen.

- Prot. Prob.* Campbell, of Cesnock.
Pap. M. Big. : Brown, of Bishopton, *f.*
Prot. Neut. : Armstrange, of Applegirth, *ch. f.*
Prot. M. : Grierson, of Lagg.
Prot. Prob. : Murray, of Broughton.
Prot. Prob. : Murdock, of Murdock, *suffr. succ.*
Prot. : Hamilton, of Baldun, *infant.*
Prot. Prob. : Maxwell, of Morith, *succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Gordon, of Earlston, *fol. succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Dunbarr, of Mochrum, *succ.*
Prot. N. : Agnew, of Lochnew.
Prot. Prob. : McDouall, of Garthland, *fol. succ.*
Prot. Neut. : Crawford, of Kerse.

There are many gentlemen more not named will join as they assert upon occasion.

The Shires of Lanerick, Renfrew and Bailerry of Cunningham :—

- Prot. Neut.* : Hamilton, Duke of Hamilton, *ch.*
Prot. : Douglas, Duke of Douglas, *ch. f. infant.*
 *Duke of Queensborough.
 *Earl of Glencairn.
Prot. N. : Fleming, Earl of Wigton.
Prot. Prob. : Mountgomery, Earl of Eglington, *ch. foll.*
Prot. o o o Douglas, Earl of Forfar.
Prot. Pr. : Cochran, Earl of Dundonald, *as D. Hamilton.*
 Earl of Selkirk, *ditto.*
 Hamilton, Earl of Ruglen, *ditto.*
Prot. : Boyle, Earl of Glesgow, *succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Carmitchel, Earl of Hindford, *f. succ.*
Prot. N. : Houghton, Earl of Houghton.
Prot. Prob. : Ross, Lord Ross, *ch. foll.*
Prot. : Steward, Lord Blantire, *young.*
Prot. Prob. : Houston, of Houston, *ch. f. succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Crawford, of Kilbirny, *neut.*
Prot. Prob. : Shaw, of Greenock, *ch. succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Lockhart, of Lee, *neut.*
Prot. : Cleland, of Cleland, *as Hamilton.*
Prot. Prob. : Bayly, of Laington, *ch. foll. neut.*
Prot. Neut. : Lockhart, of Carnwath.
Prot. M. : Stewart, of Blackhall.

Many more gentlemen in these Shires, and is with them as above said.

The Shires of Dumbarton, Lenox, Argyll and Boot [Bute] :—

- Prot. Prob.* : Campbell, Duke Argyll, *ch. g. f. succ.*
Prot Prob. : Grayham, Marquiss of Montrosse, *ch. g. f. n.*
 *Earl of Dundonald.
Prot. Mal. : Stewart, Earl of Boot, *f.*
 *Earl of Glasgow.
Prot. : *Lord of Blantire.
Prot. N. : Colwhon, of Luss, *ch. fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Cochran, of Kilmaronock, *neut.*
 Kems, of Kems, *as E. of Boot.*
Prot. Prob. : Campbell, of Achinbreck, *succ.*

The Shires of East, Middle and West Lothians and Constabulary of Haddington :—

- *Hamilton, Duke of Hamilton.
 - *Duchess of Balcleugh.
 - *Marquiss of Tweeddale.
 - *Marquise of Lothian.
 - Prot. N. :* Setton, Earl of Winton, *ch. f.*
 - Prot. N. :* Livingston, Earl of Linlithgow, *ch. f.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Ramsey, Earl of Dalhoussey, *ch. f. succ.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Hamilton, Earl of Haddington, *prot. succ. head.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Maitland, Earl of Lauderdale, *ch. succ.*
 - *Earl of Stair.
 - *Earl of Hopton.
 - o o o Setton, Viscount of Kingston.
 - Pro. M. :* McGill, Viscount of Oxenford, *ch.*
 - Prot. P. :* Primrose, *succ.*
 - Prot. M. :* Cranstoun, Lord Cranstoun.
 - Prot. Prob. :* Hamilton, Lord Balhaven, *succ.*
 - Prot. N. :* Ballantine, Lord Ballantin.
 - o o o Sandilands, Lord Torphechen.
 - Prot. N. :* Murray, Lord Elibanek.
 - Prot. Prob. :* Cockburn, of Ormiston, *ch. f. succ.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Morrison, of Prestongrange, *succ.*
 - Prot. M. :* Fowles, of Collanton.
 - Prot. N. :* Nisbet, of Dirlton.
 - Prot. Prob. :* Dundas, of Dundas, *n.*
 - Prot. M. :* Dalmahoy, of Dalmahoy, *ch. fol.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Craige, of Riccarton, *ch. fol. succ.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Senclaire, of Stevenston, *succ.*
 - Prot. Prob. :* Murray, of Pomais, *n.*
 - [Houston] of Houston.
 - Prot. Prob. :* Ramsey, of Abbotshall, *n.*
- Many gentlemen in these counties will join also as they assert.*

The Shires of Sterling, Clackmanan, Perth and Stewartry of Menteith and Strathern :—

- Pop. :* Gordon, Duke of Gordon, *ch. gt. foll. reclaimable.*
- Prot. Disc. :* Murray, Duke of Atholl, *ch. g. foll.*
- *Marquiss of Montrose.
- Prot. Prob. :* Erskin, Earl of Marr, *ch. f. disc. neut.*
- Prot. N. :* Lyon, Earl of Strathmore, *ch. fol.*
- Prot. Prob. :* Erskin, Earl of Buchan, *suffr. succ.*
- Drummond, Earl of Perth, *in France.*
- Prot. M. :* Bruce, Earl of Kincardin.
- o o o Campbell, Earl of Broadalbine.
- Prot. Prob. :* Hay, Viscount of Duplyn, *succ.*
- Prot. Prob. :* Ruthven, Lord Ruthven, *was for prot. succ., but dead.*
- o o o Drummond, Lord.
- o o o Lord Maderty.
- Prot. N. :* Rollock, Lord Rollock.

- Prot. M. :* Gray, Lord Gray.
Prot. Prob. : Elpheinston, Lord Elpheinston, *succ. ch. fol.*
Prot. : Bruce, of Clackmanan, *but neuter.*
 *Murray, of Pomais.
 Stewart, of Gerntilly.
 Inverallachy.
Prot. M. : Stewart, of Ballachen, *fol.*
Pr. M. : Robertson, of Strowan, *ch. fol.*
Prot. N. : Drumond, of Glengys.
Prot. N. : Skeen, of Hallyard.
Prot. Prob. : Carr, of Carsland, *fol. succ.*
Prot. N. : Kier, of Kier.
Pro. N. : Grant, of Ballindaloch.
 Many gentlemen will join as they assert upon occasion.

The County of Fife comprehending Kinross :—

- Prot. Prob. :* Linsey, Earl of Crawford, *ch. fol. succ. suffr.*
Prot. Prob. : Lesly, Earl of Rothes, *n. ch. fol.*
 o o o Douglas, Earl of Morton.
Prot. Prob. : Weymes, Earl of Weymes, *ch. f. succ.*
Pro. M. : Carnegy, Earl of Southesk, *ch. fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Lesly, Earl of Leven, *succ.*
Prot. M. : Lindsey, Earl of Balcarras.
 *Earl of Kincarden.
 *Carnegy, Earl of Northesk.
Prot. Prob. : Melvill, Earl of Melvill, *suffr. succ.*
Prot. N. : Earl of Hopton.
Prot. M. : Lesly, Lord Lindors.
Prot. Prob. : [Balfour] Lord Burleith, *succ.*
Prot. M. : Elphinston, Lord Balmirnock.
Prot. M. : Lesly, Lord Newark.
Prot. M. : Kinard, Lord Killsture [? of Inchtur].
Prot. Prob. : Enstruther, of Enstruther, *succ.*
Prot. M. : Bettune, of Balfour.
Prot. M. : Bruce, of Kinross.
Prot. : Erskin, of Cambo, *Lyon K. at arms.*
Prot. Prob. : Lundy, of Lundy, *succ. ch. f.*
 As in the other counties.

The Shires of Angus and Mernse :—

- *Duke of Douglas.
Prot. M. : Keith, Earl of Marshall, *ch. f.*
 *Earl of Strathmore.
 *Carnegy, Earl of Southest (*sic*).
Prot. M. : Ogilby, Earl of Airly, *ch. f.*
Prot. M. : Mauld, Earl of Panmure.
 *Earl of Northest (*sic*)
Prot. N. : Arbuthnot, Viscount of Arbuthnot, *ch. f.*
Prot. M. : Streton, of Lauriston.
Prot. M. : Foth[er]ingham, of Poury.
Prot. M. : Young, of Barr.
Prot. M. : Linsey, of Eagle.

Prot. M. : Falconer, of Bomano.
Prot. Prob. : Douglas, of Glenbervy, *succ.*

The Shires of Aberdeen, Buchan and Banff :—

*Duke of Gordon.
Prot. N. : Hay, Earl of Erroll, *ch. foll.*
 *Earl of Marr.
 *Earl of Rothes.
 *Earl of Airly.
 *Earl of Marshall.
M. : Lesly, of Buechoin [Balquhain], Count of the
 Empire.
 *Earl of Buchan.
Prot. N. : Earl of Finlater, Ogilby.
Pro. N. : Gordon, Earl of Aboyne.
Prot. N. : Gordon of Aberdeen.
Pro. N. : Keith, Earl of Kintore.
x x x Ogilby, Earl of Seafield.
Prot. Prob. : Forbes, Lord Forbes, *ch. fol. succ.*
Prot. M. : Fraser, Lord Salton.
 Fraser, Lord Fraser, *as the E. of Mar.*
 o o o Olefant, Lord Olefant.
Pap. : Ogilby, Lord Bamff.
Prot. M. : Irving, of Drum.
Prot. N. : Biard [Baird], of Achmedan.
Prot. : Setton, of Pitmedden.
Prot. Prob. : Buchan, of Achmacoy, *succ.*
Prot. M. : Stewart, of Kincardin.
Prot. Prob. : Burnet, of Leyes, *succ. ch. f.*
Prot. N. : Skeen, of Skeen, *ch. fol.*

The Country of Murray, comprehending Elgin, Forres and Nairn. :

*Duke of Gordon.
 *Earl of Rothes.
Prot. M. : Stewart, Earl of Murray, *bui reclaimable.*
 Fraser, Lord Lovat, *infant.*
Prot. M. : Southerland, Lord Duffus, *ch. n. f.*
Prot. M. : Gordon, of Gordonston.
Prot. Prob. : Grant, of Grant, *ch. fol., discontented.*
Prot. Mal. : Innes, of Innes, *ch. fol.*
Pap. N. : McIntosh, of McIntosh, *ch. foll.*
Pap. N. : McDonald, of Glengery, *ch. gt. fol., reclaim-*
able.
Pap. N. : McDonald, of Slait, *fol.*
Pap. M. : Captain of Clanronald, McDonald.
Prot. M. : McDonald, of Sky, *fol.*
Prot. N. : Campbell, of Calder, *fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Ross, of Killravock, *ch. f.*
Prot. N. : Dunbarr, of Burgy, *fol.*
Prot. N. : Dunbarr, of Grang, *fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Brody, of Brody, *ch. foll. succes.*
Prob. N. : Cuthbert, of Castlehill, *ch.*
Prot. N. : Calder, of Murton.
 *Stewart, of Kincarden.

- Prot. N. :* Cumming, of Alter.
Pro. N. : Innes, of Coxton.
Prot. Prob. : Forbes, of Culloden, *succ.*
Pap. N. : Fraser, of Kinaries.

The Shires of Inverness, Ross and Cromarty :—

- *Duke of Gordon.
 *Earl of Murray.
Pap. N. : McKenzie, Earl of Seaforth, *ch. gt. foll.*
Prot. : McKenzie, Earl of Cromartie.
Prot. N. : Lord Lovat.
Prot. N. : McKenzie, of Assin, *g. foll., reclaimable.*
Prot. Prob. : Baron Ross, of Balnagoun, *ch. g. fol. succes.*
 *Grant, of Grant.
 *[McDonald of] Glengary.
 *[McDonald of] Slait.
 *Captain of Clanronald.
Prot. M. : McCloard, of McCloard, *ch. fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Munro, of Foules, *ch. fol. succes.*
Pr. : Cameron, of Lochyell, *ch. fol.*
Prot. N. : McIntosh, *ch. fol.*
Prot. N. : McPherson, of McPherson and Cluny, *ch. fol.*
Prot. Prob. : Munro, of Culrayn, *fol. succ.*
Prot. N. : McKenzie, of Couly, Gerloch and Scatwell.
Pr. N. : Fraser, of Strouy.

Several other gentlemen of other names will join with the honest party in that county not named here.

The Shires of Southerland, Caitness, with the District of Strathnaver :—

- Prot. Prob. :* Southerland, Earl of Southerland, *ch. fol. succ.*
Prot. M. : Sinclair, Earl of Caitness, *ch. gt. fol. reclaimable.*
Prot. Prob. : McKay, Lord Rea, *ch. gt. fol. succ.*
Prot. Prob. : Murray, of Polrossy, *as E. Southerland.*
Prot. Prob. : McKay, of Bighouse, *as Lord Rea.*

The Stewartry of Orkney and Zetland :—

- Prot. Prob. :* Elphinston, of Lapness, *suffr., forced to leave the country.*
Prot. M. : Grehom, of Grehomshall.
Prot. M. : Buchanan, of Sound and Sanside.
Prot. M. : Cragy, of Gernsey [Gairsey].
Prot. M. : Douglas, of Eagleshaw.

[NEWCASTLE MERCHANTS] to WILLIAM CARR.

1705-6, January 4. Newcastle.—The ship *Ann and Mary*, Samuel Liddle, master, with a freight from Coningsborg, was captured in her homeward voyage last October by an Ostend privateer; and after about ten days detention was retaken by a Hamburg man-of-war and brought into Hamburg, where she is claimed as good prize, because she was so long in the enemy's hands.

They applied to Mr. Wygh (Wyche), her Majesty's resident at Hamburg, asking him to reclaim the ship and cargo, they paying the usual salvage; but Mr. Wygh answers that he cannot do anything without an order from the principal Secretary of State. Requesting him to move Mr. Secretary Harley on their behalf.

Signed :—Ro. Fenwick, Nic. Ridley, William Procter and George Whinfield.

J. BURCHETT to the SECRETARIES of ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, January 7. Admiralty Office.—Encloses an anonymous letter, signed S. W. The writer pretends to make discovery of some abuses in the navy, in which he himself has been concerned. Mr. Secretary Harley will please to receive her Majesty's pleasure in relation to pardoning him for the offence, in order to his making the discovery he promises.

Enclosure :—

S. W. to J. BURCHETT.

1705-6, January 2.—In the latter end of 1703, “several persons came to my house and desired me to receive some money for them from the paymasters of the Royal Navy, upon wills, administrations and such like powers, being the wages of seamen deceased in her Majesty's service; which I innocently did, and likewise bought several powers of them, so that I paid amongst them near 200*l*. before I knew they were cheats. And when they had me thus linked in amongst them . . . they made no difficulty to discover their secrets to me, which I seemingly yielded to comply with” in order to disclose them. I request you to procure me a pardon for the short time I was innocently concerned with them, a protection against all manner of arrest and a general warrant, which, if granted, would enable me to “spoil their trade,” and bring about their punishment and the restitution of the sums they have fraudently acquired.

BARON D' ELTZ to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, January [3-] 14. Lichfield.—Since everybody here has made all haste to pay his respects to Marshal de Tallard, it would seem ungracious if I did not do likewise. Most of those who went having returned, I beg you to get me permission for such time as you see fit to limit me to. *French*.

Memorandum of Madame CATHERINE D' ESPOY DE CANTIRAN.

[1705-6, after January 13.]—Acknowledges the Queen's generous charity in allowing her a pension; but by reason of her advanced age she prays that it may be divided into equal shares and settled upon her son Marc Antony and her daughter Susan, “which is all the comfort the said Catherine de Cantiran expects on this side Heaven.”

Annexed, a memorial stating that she has been recommended by the Queen of Denmark and Mr. Secretary Harley to the Queen. *Endorsed with above date. French.*

Also a note to Mr. [Erasmus] Lewis praying that her name may be inserted in the list of pensions presently to be presented to the Queen, *endorsed* 1705-6, January 12th.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1705[-6], January 18.—Resolution and order for an Address of thanks to the Queen, *signed* Paul Jodrell, Clerk Dom. Com.

[*See H. of C. Journals*, vol. xv., p. 98.]

Endorsed by Harley—"that her Majesty is glad to find you express so much resentment against the libel mentioned in her message; and takes very kindly the confidence you repose in her care of your privileges, which she will make the best use of for the advantage of the public."

THE PRIVY COUNCIL TO ROBERT HARLEY.

1705[-6], January 18.—Authorizing him as *custos rotulorum* for the county of Radnor to call upon the Justices of the Peace for that county to search for "all straggling seamen, watermen, lightermen, bargemen and fishermen," and to impress able-bodied men for the service of the Navy; giving directions as to their pay and their treatment if they desert before being delivered on board ship.

Signed by eleven Councillors and the Clerk.

Endorsed by Harley—"Immediately copies sent to the Clerk of the Peace and the Justices."

JUSTICES OF THE PEACE FOR WILTSHIRE TO [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705-6, January 23. Chippenham.—Giving the names of twelve men listed by them in Brigadier Webb's and Lord Mordaunt's regiments in pursuance of the directions of the Privy Council. Several others who were brought before them were refused by the officer as undersized; though they consider that such men would be serviceable for the fleet, if a recruiting officer for the Navy were sent into their district. *Signed*, J. Montagu, Thomas Jacob, George Speke Petty.

MEMORANDUM BY ERASMUS LEWIS.

1705-6, January 24.—"Count Briançon desires that Mr. Stepney may have instructions to allow the [same] advantages to the troops of Saxe-Gotha that are to march to Italy as he does to those of Prussia and the Elector Palatine."

GEORGE STRAHAN TO ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, January 25.—Prays for release on bail from his long confinement, to enable him to carry on business of great urgency.

Is willing to serve abroad in the matter of the discovery, of which he is at present perfectly ignorant and innocent.

SIR THOMAS FRANKLAND to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1705-6, February 3.—“ We have a letter from Captain Gibson from St. Malo giving an account of his being taken. He says he defended himself five hours against the privateer, which had twenty guns and 330 men. Gibson’s gunner hath lost his right hand, another man his leg, and five more wounded. He threw all the letters overboard. He had on board Captain Benson, of Lord Raby’s regiment, Captain Hamilton, of Portmore’s, Lieutenant Lloyd, of Elliot’s, the Prince of Hess his Secretary, and the Prince of Hess his heart, to have been sent to Germany.”

HENRY ST. JOHN [Junior] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, February 4.—In pursuance of her Majesty’s order of reference upon the petition of Daniel Bevan, rector of Westwoodhay, in Berkshire, setting forth that one Thomas Hinton, an inhabitant and housekeeper in that parish, is pressed into the service, and praying that he may be discharged, he being an evidence in behalf of the petitioner in a cause now depending, and pressed in order to deprive the said petitioner of his evidence:—I have examined thereinto and find that Hinton is delivered over by a warrant under the hands of three Justices to Captain Jeffreys to serve in Colonel Tatton’s regiment. I have received a letter from the officer in whose custody he now is, containing a further information of that matter, of which I send you a copy. Also a certificate of the said minister, churchwardens, overseers and inhabitants of the aforesaid parish, shewing that Hinton is a housekeeper and rents between seven and eight pounds a year, and other circumstances, by which it does not seem that he is a vagabond or within the meaning of the Act. Enclosed are two affidavits; one made by the said Bevan to prove that Hinton is a material witness, and the other by Elias Barnes, gentleman of that county, asserting the same thing; and that they had offered to procure two or more men to serve in his room for his discharge; and that Hinton was pressed and in the town gaol at Newbury a fortnight before the riot was committed there, and there continued till brought for London, and that he was in no wise concerned therein. So that whether he shall be discharged the service is submitted to her Majesty’s consideration. *Signed.*

Postscript in St. John’s own hand.—“ I must add that I have no respect for certificates produced in order to get men discharged from the service.”

Enclosed is a letter of the same date from Edward Lisle to Daniel Bevan stating that he, together with Mr. Kingsmill and Colonel Fawconer, had sent Hinton into the service, but, hearing that he was a material witness to discover the person who had procured Bevan’s arrest in the late false action, he will in no way obstruct the discharge of Hinton, and will permit Bevan to use his name for that purpose.

Also a copy of a letter from Ensign Charles Leman, dated February 1st, stating that Hinton had been delivered to Captain Jeffreys by the Justices at Kingsclere in Hampshire; that no sooner was he secured in the gaol at Newbury than he incited the mob to enter into a combination, which they signed, to break the gaol and rescue the soldiers; and that he had offered the writer 30*l.* on the road between Reading and London to discharge him. "Finding that would not do" he got a *habeas corpus* brought to detain him as a witness to a suit, which the writer believes to be no more than a scheme to deprive him of his man.

Also a copy of the affidavits of Barnes and Bevan in the Court of Queen's bench alluded to above; also of the certificate of the rector, etc., of Westwoodhay as to the good position and reputation enjoyed by Hinton in the parish.

Also a certificate from the Bishop of Salisbury (Gilbert Burnet) that he had known Mr. Bevan for sixteen years, had always heard him well spoken of, and "never saw cause to find the least fault with him, but much to the contrary." Dated January 12. 1705[-6]. *Holograph.*

1705-6, February 8.—Extracts from an intercepted letter from London of this date.

On board the *Prince George* in Gibraltar Bay. December 31, O.S.—The Dutch squadron being worse sailors than ours, and our provisions beginning to fail, our Admiral thought himself obliged to leave them on the 9th, and we have not seen them since; but wherever they are, they cannot but be in a deplorable condition, unless Providence has sent them some help. I have been on shore to see the ruins of this place, the very thought of which will be melancholy in history.

People who have horses to keep complain of the dearth of hay, the seller of which excuses himself on the ground that none comes in from the country; for the farmers no longer venture to send it to town, their servants being impressed, and themselves in danger; and as they dare not make up other loads, or even go to work in the fields, the farmers take the opportunity of declaring themselves unable to pay their landlords. As the two Houses of Parliament are chiefly interested herein, there is no doubt that they are taking proper steps in the bill before them for the prevention of forcible impressment, to remove the subject of the farmers' complaints and excuses.

It is not known whether the Princess, the wife of the late King James II., will claim Somerset House as parcel of her dowry ratified by Act of Parliament, for we cannot say we are at war with this Princess.

There has been much talk, and even overtures made to stir up the Camisards, which the Marquis de Miremont was to have done about a year ago: but it cannot be believed that he will venture to give any news, until his enterprise is nearly complete.

"We are not alone in remarking that certain recipients of public moneys and private debtors to the Queen are turning bankrupt, and that bills are being brought in to authorise the

Lord Treasurer to compound with these defaulters; since somebody has told his Lordship that this sort of procedure is an authorization of dishonesty.

"Letters from Spain and France are so jubilant about the numbers of the troops for Catalonia, that some here fear that the re-inforcements we are sending will arrive too late, and talk as if the expedition were already a failure. Others are inclined to blame the undertaking, and to suggest that it was the Council of France who let the Court of Portugal get wind of it, and that the latter had adopted it as an honourable discharge from their extraordinary expenses.

"It is said that as Lord Mohun's regiment has refused to go to Catalonia, his command has been given to Colonel Mackartney, but that he will receive another in Flanders, where his Lordship is very eager to fight under the Duke of Marlborough. *French.*

ARTHUR DABBS and THOMAS SADLER, of London, Goldsmiths.

1705[-6], February 9.—Affidavit that the judicial seal of the three counties of North Wales was by them received and melted; and that after its delivery to them by William Peisley, Justice of the said counties, no impression was made from it.

Sworn before Sir Lacon William Childe, Master in Chancery.

MATTHEW HENRY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, February 13. Chester.—Is importuned to lay at his Honour's feet the case of a poor fellow, one William Newton, a journeyman watchmaker, who lies under sentence of death for selling underhand some old watches of his master's. Newton's brother, a tradesman of good repute in London, who brings this letter, and many other friends desire that his life may be spared, and that he may be transported or sent into her Majesty's service.

Could not have been prevailed with to presume thus far upon the knowledge his Honour had formerly had of a name so mean and obscure as his were it not *in favorem vite*, and that it gives him an opportunity of subscribing himself his Honour's great admirer.

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [ROBERT HARLEY?]

1705-6, February 14. Doctors' Commons.—The ship *Hope*, pretended to be of Stade, has been at Dunkirk since January, 1704, and belonged then to other owners. It is pretended that in October last she was sold to one Pragman and the now master, both of Stade. So that the seizure is every way justifiable, Stade being within the Avocatoria and included in the present war.

ANTHONY ISAACSON.—Petition to Lord Treasurer Godolphin.

[1706, February 14.]—For the place of Collector at Liverpool. Recommended by George Granville, Jeff. Jefferys, Samuel

Sheppard and John Trevanion, members of the House of Commons. (*See Calendar of Treasury Papers*, vol. xcvi., No. 68.)

Annexed is a certificate of his diligence, dated February 25, 1705-6, and signed by five officers of the Customs at London. *Copy.*

Also a note that petitioner was recommended also by Sir Gilbert Heathcote and Mr. Boscowen.

THOMAS BLISS to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1705-6, February 16. Maidstone.—A relation of his designs a younger son for the sea, on one of her Majesty's ships of war, and has prayed him to procure the Queen's letters. The lad's name is Thomas Manley. Asks pardon for writing, his late sickness having made him unable to give his attendance at the House of Parliament or pay his respects to Harley.

Intelligence sent to MONSIEUR THELLUSON.

1705-6, February 12-19.—Extracts of letters to M. Thelluson, banker at Amsterdam.

1705-6, February 12. London.—“That the convoy for Portugal, consisting of only twelve men-of-war, was not yet sailed.

“That the recruit horses for Holland were not yet embarked, the convoy being not arrived.

“That there is nothing in the report mentioned in the Dutch *Gazette* that 500 French *refugiés* have offered to serve under the Marquis de Miremont; whereas perhaps there are not so many in the three kingdoms who would voluntarily take service in the Foot. A regiment of Dragoons or Horse might perhaps be sooner raised. It is the opinion of the best men that nothing can be done for de Miremont. That the Marquis de Guiscard may obtain a regiment, the Duke of Ormond employing his interest for him, in regard he has not forgot the favours he received from the Comte his brother.

“We are surprised that the post of Holland of the 19th makes no mention of the Dutch squadron with the troops, so long said to be ready to sail in order to join our fleet at St. Helen's for the Mediterranean. This makes it said there is a treaty between the Queen and the States; that her Majesty is to add so many troops to her own as the Dutch quota amounts to, and that the Dutch are to supply this by adding the same number to their forces in the Low Countries: only the Dutch are to send recruits for their forces in Portugal. Others say, if England must send 8,000 men into Spain, where will they have them at present, it being as many perhaps as are to be had in the two kingdoms. At least the commissions are not yet given out for the new levies.”

1705-6, February 15. London.—“Dr. Drake was tried for being author of the memorial and acquitted.

“The House of Lords have twice had under consideration the “*Oxford Almanack*” as a scandalous paper, but were come to no resolution about it.

"The *Burlington*, the *Crown*, the *Dartmouth* and the *Oxford* were ordered from the Buoy of the Nore to sail to the Cape of Good Hope to convoy home the East India fleet.

"Upon discourse about the "*Oxford Almanack*," some said the University was not well affected to the house of Hanover."

1705-6, February 19. London.—"The subscriptions for the loan to the Emperor go on very slowly, because, as it is said, the subscribers defer it till the Government become security and even the States General." [The financial position is further described.]

"There is talk of raising some regiments of French *refugiés*, one of Dragoons and another of Foot only, for Catalonia. . . . There are great fears that the French will make an expedition thither before the arrival of our reinforcements. However, there is some hope in the powerful diversion which the Comte de Galway is expected to make on the side of Portugal.

"Upon occasion of the law bill he compares the Court of Chancery to the Inquisition; says it is worse, since one may see an end in this but none in the other." (*Extracts in Warre's writing. The last letter is partly in French.*)

ANNE SACKVILLE to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1705-6, February 24.—Prays him to speak to Mr. Harley and procure her release. She has been in the surgeon's hands for two years; and was in Sussex and Kent for five years after her departure from France, and has been in prison a month.

EDWARD PULESTON, Mayor of Chester, and ROGER COMBERBATCH, Recorder, to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705[-6], February 25.—Report on the case of William Newton, clockmaker, recommending him as a fit object of the Queen's clemency.

C. LEYONCRONA to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, March 4. London.—Besides the *Hope*, of Stade, Thomas Swenson, master, another ship has been lately brought in—the *Gyldenstern*, also of Stade, going in ballast from Stade to Bordeaux. He desires that they may be forthwith discharged, they having a perfect right, as neutrals, to trade with France; and in any case the King of Sweden, as an independent Sovereign, has sole power over the Swedish trade.

ADMIRAL KILLIGREW.*

1705-6, March 7. Admiralty Office.—By the Prince's Council. Report on the petition of Henry Killigrew, esquire, setting forth that he has received half-pay as a flag officer from October 1st, 1697 only, although his pay as an Admiral at sea ceased on November 6th, 1693; and praying that he may be ordered the half-pay for the intermediate time.

On January 15th, 1699-1700, a pension was settled on Admiral Killigrew equal to half the double pay of an Admiral of the blue

squadron, to commence October 1st, 1697. On application made by the petitioner he was granted, on May 14th, 1702, a pension of 700*l.* a year, in lieu of the other.

The first of these orders "had a retrospection of about two years," which could not have been granted without the late King's express order; and we cannot now advise her Majesty to extend this allowance of half-pay nearly four years further backwards, because the establishment made in 1693 settles half-pay on flag officers "during such time only as they shall be out of employment in time of peace."

Signed, D. Mitchell, Geo. Churchill, H. Pagett, Cloud. Shovell, R. Walpole and J. Burchett.

Endorsed by Harley—"Kensington, read, March 24th. Nothing done."

MICHAEL STRETCH to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, March 8.—Praying him to accept good bail for his appearance, since his confinement is of very great prejudice to his small private affairs; and to give orders to his secretary to receive the bail.

JAMES SMITH to ROBERT HARLEY.

1705-6, March 14. Queen's Bench.—Protests his innocence of treasonable practices. It is true that he has been a general dealer in most sorts of commodities, buying them at a dead time of the year, with a prospect of making an advantage, and for this reason only. His books being in Harley's custody, he cannot recover his debts, and can only recommend himself and his family to Harley's charity.

PROPOSALS with regard to THE GAZETTE.

1705-6, March 18.—That Mr. Delafaye be employed to collect materials for the *Gazette*.

The care of foreign affairs being distributed between the Secretaries of State for the Northern and Southern provinces, that the two Under-Secretaries on each side have the supervision of the news to be inserted in the *Gazette* which come from any place within their respective provinces.

That the Secretaries of State will be pleased to communicate such parts of their letters as they think fit to be published.

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, March 26.—Renders hearty thanks for Harley's favour to him. Still lies under the incommodity of a confinement, "daily contracting distempers and debts." Prays to be discharged or admitted to bail. He will give either Protestant or Catholic as surety.

WILLIAM CARR to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706, March 28.—On behalf of his brother, who is the youngest captain in Sir Charles Hotham's regiment and sees little prospect of promotion, not being a neighbour or relation of Sir Charles, as are most of the other captains. Prays that he may be transferred to one of the new regiments on the English Establishment.

Enclosing letter from Robert Carr to William Carr, of March 26th, reminding him that he (the writer) was deprived of his company in the Grenadiers, and setting forth his present case. He knows of a gentleman who is secure of a commission in one of the new regiments, whom Sir Charles Hotham would desire to have in his.

Memorandum by ERASMUS LEWIS.

1706, March 31.—Mr. Guiguer, an inhabitant of Lyons, but born in Geneva, and in the same circumstances as Locher, Solicofre and others, desires to come here for a fortnight to settle his business. Mr. Tourton and Mr. Guiguer of London will be his sureties.

Mr. Cardonnel came here by Lord Marlborough's order to desire that the Queen may be moved for leave for the French officers to carry two horses each to France.

Sir John Shelley desires a pass next week that he may go over with Lieutenant-General Lumley.

CHARLES CROSSLEY.—Information to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]

[1706, March or April ?]—Being surgeon of the *Bonaventure*, hired to transport soldiers from Ireland to Jamaica, he was captured by the French and brought to Dinan. Here he first met "one Conilla [Connelly] an Irishman," who had liberty to wear his sword and ride from city to city at pleasure, being, as he said, a Roman Catholic. But informant knew several gentlemen of that religion who had no more liberty than Protestants; and often saw Conilla "in the chamber of one Purssell, always writing. This Purssell acknowledged himself to be a spy in England for the French a year or two before."

In June, 1703, Conilla came over with informant to Dartmouth, never conversing with any of the English, for "all in general believed him to be a rogue; and as soon as they came ashore there was a talk they would inform against him to the magistrate at Dartmouth," but he escaped. Three weeks later informant met him in London, and told him that he was reported to be a spy, to which he replied that he had interest at Court and could become clerk in some office about Court. In November, 1704, "the day the Queen went to St. Paul's," he met informant and told him that he was in great haste, having obtained a warrant as purser for the ship that was then on the stocks at Deptford. Some time after he met him again at an eating-house in Ckeapside; informant asked him to drink with him, but he hurriedly excused

himself. Conilla had told the servant of the eating-house that he was just from sea, purser of one of the Queen's ships, and was going as purser on board the *Bridgwater*, then lying at Bristol; but informant, meeting the captain of the *Bridgwater* afterwards, discovered that Conilla had gone to him with a pretended warrant, and that he had no clerk's place at Court.

He has lived three years with no fixed dwelling and has no estate or trade, and frequents a Romish house, where Perkinites (as they term them) frequent, where a great person that is a [*manuscript torn*] has been seen in company of this Conilla." He was also seen frequently in the society of an Irishman who was taken in a French privateer, by name "Sisell or Cicill," whom informant knew in France. "He was tried for his life at Portsmouth. Last year I met him in the city, and he told me he was a prisoner at Tower Hill but had liberty to go where he pleased. It is more than the French give the English."

MICHAEL STRETCH to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, April 1.—Protesting his innocence and praying to be freed from the power of the villains who have accused him of designs against the Queen. Begging also for a small bundle of papers at the Secretary's office, which are of no use to anyone but himself.

W[ILLIAM] BLENOWE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, April 2. Marston.—"The papers which I received from you I have here returned, having taken copies which must serve my uses instead of originals. . . . They contain two cyphers, both very intricate, in different kinds. It is a misfortune common in cyphers of this difficulty that the discovery comes too late, when the opportunity of making advantage from it is past. But delays, which are necessary in the nature of the thing, must be excused. . . .

"I am in this case under all the circumstances of difficulty that can attend this work. The cypher is perplexed and intricate as any of this kind or as any that is fit for common use can be. It is contrived with a great deal of skill and written with the utmost caution. I see no sentence or word in it in plain characters, which, by the carelessness of some writers, often makes a weak part in a hard cypher. Nor have I heard in what circumstances it was taken, from what hand it came, or whither it was going; which, though it was proper for very good reasons to be concealed from me, might have been a light to have guided me in making some steps. The language, I am well satisfied, is French; but the rest of the discovery (where a long cypher is to be wrought into light from my own apprehension and observation on the dark characters before me, without the least foreign help or guide) will proceed slowly and require a great deal of attention and patience and time."

Memorial of JOHN HODGKINS, *alias* HOSKINS, and THOMAS TAYLOR.

1706, April 4.—Were journeymen carpenters at St. Paul's, good workmen and quiet in their business, but being in drink one Saturday night met with Top Heath and some seamen who tried to impress them. A quarrel ensuing, Hoskins struck Heath on the head with an edged deal stick and cut it, and a remedy not being timely applied he fell into a fever and died of it. They were condemned for wilful murder; but the fact being so accidental they pray that they may serve the Queen by sea or land.

BARON D' ELTZ to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, April [4-]15. Lichfield.—The return of my valet acquainted me with the treatment I must expect, and your letter confirms my knowledge. I am deeply mortified to hear that the Court could not find in my letter such enlightenment as I could have wished; for that is the only quarter in which I could enable you to do me the service you so graciously offered in your first letter. Many thanks for my servant's permit, and I shall be much obliged if you will inform me at your leisure of the further requirements of the Queen and Court in this regard. The story which I told can be verified without difficulty, as the facts are known all over Nottingham, Derby and Lichfield. Moreover, Mr. Degge, a gentleman living at Derby, can certify the same, and the coachman who drove him will be confronted with him to-day by the lieutenant of the troop here. You will perceive my anxiety to be found innocent by you; and I hope to persuade the Court to regard me as such, though I could bring forward other proofs. If I were a vain man I should easily be consoled by the honour which has been done to me, but what troubles me is my master's bad opinion of me, which proves how very little progress I have made in the twenty-three years that I have served him. *French.*

Endorsed :—"Received April 7."

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706, April 6. Doctors' Commons.—As private persons are concerned in bringing up the ships you mention, the discharge must be judicial. For this there will be a Court on Monday next [8th inst.], after which you shall have a full account.

[SIR] EDWARD NORTHEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 6.—"I have perused the two enclosed affidavits wherein mention is made of great insolencies used by John Hardy, an attorney of the city of York, the Mayor of Kingston-on-Hull, Mr. Winchester, an attorney there, and Tho. Hawkworth of that place, in obstructing the pressmasters duly authorised in impressing seamen, and in rescuing and discharging some that were duly pressed for her Majesty's sea service; the preventing the like insolencies for the future I take to be of the utmost consequence

to her Majesty, and, therefore, I am humbly of opinion it is fit that the said offenders be prosecuted by information for their offences; but it being an act of clemency in her Majesty to hear what the persons accused have to say in answer to the matter objected against them, I submit to your consideration whether it may not be proper to let them have notice of the affidavits, and to order them to attend her Majesty in Council to be heard touching the same and to show cause why her Majesty should not direct them to be prosecuted for their offences. I am apprehensive, from former experience, that this method may be likelier to produce a reformation than a prosecution without first hearing them in the manner proposed."

Enclosing—

The affidavit of WILLIAM REINOLD, of Pontefract, in the county of York, pressmaster. Having been duly authorised and appointed pressmaster for raising supplies for the service of her Majesty's fleet, by William Ingram, esquire, deputy vice-admiral of the county of York, he, together with Samuel Medley and John Thompson, pressmasters, did impress thirteen men and carried them towards Hull. By the refusal of the constable of Sandam, near Hull, to assist in conducting these men to Hull, they lost three of them, and at Hull the mayor released four more of them, under pretence that they were masters of boats; and, further, caused this deponent to be taken into custody for impressing the men, and put him in prison by a corporation writ, and for three days kept him in a loathsome dungeon, forcing him to pay dearly for his meat and drink; endeavouring in that way to force him to give a note under his hand that he would not prosecute the men released. As he refused to do this he was set at liberty; but meantime the other pressmasters could not stir to impress any more men for the service of the fleet, to the great hindrance of the work and to the great loss and charge of the pressmasters.

When they first had impressed the men that the mayor of Hull set at liberty—all stout, able-bodied men, fit for the service of her Majesty's fleet—they took them, with some others, before Lord Downes, and desired them to say before him if they had anything to offer why they were not to be taken for the service of her Majesty's fleet. They offered not a word, so that his lordship signed the certificates and wished the pressmasters a good journey.

Further, Thomas Hawksworth, a sergeant of Hull, in whose house the impressed men were confined till they could be taken on board, after he had arrested the deponent, told the impressed men he would set the doors open and they might shift for themselves. On deponent's declaring that he would lose his life before any of them should escape, Hawksworth did not open the doors but said "that none but villains would be employed in the press-gang, and several other villifying words to that purpose."

And, further, one Winchester, an attorney in Hull, who was employed by two of the men that the mayor had let go, came to the place where deponent was confined, and in the presence of

the sheriff, who granted the action, told deponent that "before he went out of the town he would have his head from his body, and several other discouraging words," so that little has been done since towards raising supplies for her Majesty's service.

Dated March 23, 1705-6. Certified by John Bright.

Also—

Affidavit of ABRAHAM CROWDER of the City of York, yeoman. As marshal or officer for the vice-admiralty of York and Yorkshire, he received an order or warrant from William Ingram, esquire, commissary and deputy vice-admiral of the said vice-admiralty, with instructions (pursuant to an order of Council) to impress men within the said vice-admiralty for her Majesty's service at sea. In obedience to this order he did, on 26th February last, impress one Thomas Nowell, a fit man to serve her Majesty. Whereupon John Hardy, an attorney of York, and Nowell's wife with some other persons hindered and opposed the complainant, and told him that he was a rogue and a pitiful fellow, and bade Nowell knock him on the head, for he was a very villain; and, further, Hardy and Nowell's wife and others that assisted, knocked deponent down and beat and bruised him after a most barbarous manner and rescued and carried Nowell away. *Dated March 14, 1705[-6]. Certified by Francis Wyvill.*

T. COKE to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1706, April 6.—There was a petition from Mr. Statham, a gentleman of Derbyshire, which Lord Gower some time ago sent to Mr. Secretary Harley to be delivered to the Queen, but hearing nothing of it I fear it is lost. The gentleman being a particular friend of mine, I desire you will put Mr. Harley in mind of it, and favour me with a line to know what is done. [*See p. 339, below.*]

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 9. Doctors' Commons.—Yesterday was a Court of Admiralty at which the two Dutch ships came under examination, being brought in by private men-of-war. They were bound to France, having on board several quantities of naval stores, which neutrals are not allowed to carry. So that the ships and their lading were discharged on giving security that these naval stores should be taken out and sold in England.

The case of the Swedish ship would have been examined before Easter, but was deferred till yesterday at the desire of the Swedish envoy, and was then further deferred till next court on the petition of the claimer's proctor.

It is not possible to make out a list of ships in these seas having letters of marque; every ship having a letter of marque cruising wherever her owners think fit.

The examination of the pretended Dane was adjourned till next Court.

If it be her Majesty's pleasure to give a free trade to the subjects of Sweden and Denmark (though within the Avocatories of the Empire), the instructions to privateers should be altered accordingly; for as they stand at present, the privateers are justified in bringing these ships up.

SIR WILLIAM ST. QUINTIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 11. London.—I enclose the answer of the Mayor of Hull to the charges laid against him by Samuel Medley, an agent of the deputy vice-admiral. "I find that he did nothing without the advice of his brethren and the captain of the *Rochester* prize, who were all of opinion that the two men he cleared, being masters of ships, were not liable to be imprest. . . . The Mayor is a very honest man and a true lover of her Majesty and her government. . . . To my knowledge he has been very serviceable to the sea officers in getting men for the fleet, and is a man of great years, being nigh eighty."

Enclosures :—

(1) — ROBERT TRIPPETT, Mayor, to SIR WM. ST. QUINTIN, Bart.

1705 [-6], March 22. Hull.—Samuel Medley is a malicious and scandalous liar, and if he will swear to what he has written we will indict him for perjury. He was not in the town; I never saw Reynolds, though I advised those that arrested him to set him at liberty and pay all charges. Thompson I assisted to the utmost of my power. As to the men I cleared, I had certificates, which I enclose, proving that they ought not to have been impressed. I enclose also the affidavit of Hawksworth the goal-keeper. Aldermen Mowld and Hoare and the captain of the *Rochester* prize were with me at the clearing of the men, and were well satisfied that they ought not to have been imprest. If I am sent for, they are equally concerned. The enclosed letter from Mr. Ingram, which Medley sent to Alderman Mowld, will show you that the press-masters quarrel betwixt themselves. The country complains of them sadly stretching beyond their bounds.

(2) — WM. INGRAM to ALDERMAN MOWLD.

1705-6, March 12. Methley.—If Reinold and Thompson bring any more imprest men you will please to pay the money due for them to Reinold; for Thompson has kept what he received for the last when Reinold was a prisoner, and has not yet paid the charges for those men on the road.

With covering letter from Samuel Medley to Alderman Mowld of Hull. *Dated* Pontefract, March 13, 1705-6.

(3)—1705-6, March 23.—Deposition of THOMAS HAWKSWORTH, one of the sergeants at mace to the sheriff of the town and county of Kingston-upon-Hull.

On 28th February last nine persons were brought to his house in Hull, "several of them being pinioned or having their arms tied

with ropes"; and with them were William Reynolds and John Thompson, who told him that these were imprest men and desired him to receive them, which he did. Thompson and Reynolds then went away, leaving these nine persons in his house, and the next day two of them, Joseph Mountain and John Whitehead, applied to him, saying they were masters of vessels and also that one of them was a constable and the other collector of the land tax; that they had been very ill used by Reynolds and Thompson and been beaten and bruised while their arms were tied; and asked him if they might not have a legal remedy. He told them he thought they might; and thereupon they employed him to enter actions against Reynolds in the Court of Record at Hull, desiring him to execute the same, which he did; but Reynolds was not committed to gaol, but was kept in deponent's house. Whilst with him Whitehead was very ill, and told deponent it was occasioned by the ill usage; he was blooded, and, in the apprehension of the physician, was in danger of death. About three days after he was arrested Reynolds was set at liberty and paid only the usual fees, and as far as he remembers, 17s. for the sustenance of the nine persons. Further, Reynolds gave Mountain and Whitehead a note under his hand that he would not give them any trouble for the money he had laid out for their subsistence on the road, and thereupon they agreed to discharge their actions against him.

Further, one of the imprest men, named Standidge, complained of ill usage, and, by the advice of an attorney, brought an action against Thompson; but deponent, having acquainted the Mayor of this, was instructed by him not to execute it and did not; and as soon as the Mayor knew of the first two actions he ordered them to be discharged and Reynolds to be set at liberty; which was done, notwithstanding the objections of Mountain and Whitehead.

(4)—Certificate that John Sefton of Knottingley, who was called on by the constable to assist the press-master in conducting the impressed men along the road, and was himself impressed, is master of a vessel which is all his own; he has a good freehold estate, deals of his own venture in lime and coals, is churchwarden and overseer of the poor, and a careful and laborious man. *Dated* Knottingley, February 26, 1705[-6]. *Signed by seven townsmen.*

(5)—Certificate that George Standage of Knottingley is owner of the most part of a certain catch or vessel and master of the same. He deals in coals of his own venture and is a very laborious careful man. *Dated* Knottingley, February 25, 1705[-6]. *Signed by eleven townsmen.*

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 12. London.—Giving an account of the voyage of the *King William* packet-boat, on board of which arrived the captain of the *Barbadoes* packet, which was lost "upon the coral-

shoals of Hineago Isle [Inagua], in the windward passage, on December 28th last—no man lost. They got all things ashore and built of the wreck a small sloop in six weeks and odd days time, and what bullion they had with the mail they embarked for Port Royal, and the next day met off Cuba a privateer or pirate, who took from them the bullion and the lieutenant and chief mate . . . and let the rest pass to Jamaica. . . .

“These are losses and disappointments owing entirely to the carelessness, want of courage or conduct in seamen, for the vessels are, of all others, without exception, and will do what a man pleases.” *Signed.*

JOHN FAWLER to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1706, April 13. Admiralty Office.—Please to inform Mr. Secretary Harley that Mr. Conelly is purser of the *Sorlings* and is now in town. I write this in the absence of the secretary.

MONS. BLANZAC to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, April [13-]24 [N.S.] Paris.—Prays him to accept his thanks for having obtained a prolongation of leave from the Queen. *French.*

ABRAHAM MEURE to LORD ———.

1706, April 14.—It is a great pity that Colesse, who is so clever, gives such fine concerts to the folk of Plymouth. I wish that he could give one to your Honour and his benefactors. He is starving to death where he is, and will be no more dangerous in London than in Plymouth. There is to be no exchange of prisoners this year. If Mr. Godolphin would crave of Mr. Harley his return to London until they send some prisoners to France he will be ready to go at their orders. . . . He has been arrested nearly a year and reduced to five *sous* a day. *French.*

Endorsed by Warre :—“Writ April 17th to Commissioners of Sick and Wounded for an account of his stay at Plymouth.”

MICHAEL STRETCH to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, April 19.—Thanking him for the preservation of his life ; and hoping that his honour will make things as easy as possible, “for the best of friends are scared at the very name of high treason.” Has sent Mr. Lewis the names of three persons to be his bail, but if he has his liberty, could easily procure “ten for one.”

J. WARBURTON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 23.—“My case is that travelling into some parts of Kent, for good air and gentle exercise, I was apprehended as a suspicious person and thereupon committed, etc. If I might have the favour to meet the parties mentioned, when with you, I doubt [not] but you would permit me my liberty.”

MEMORANDA.

1706, April 28.—Mrs. Macdonald desires a pass for her son, that she may take measures accordingly, and go to Ireland if it be not

granted. Mrs. Conington [?] desires Mr. Secretary to discourse with my Lord President about her son's case. *In E. Lewis's hand.* [See p. 340, below.]

ROBERT CASSILLS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, April 30. Newgate.—Has been a prisoner there ten years without trial or conviction, but upon bare suspicion of being concerned in a conspiracy against the late King, although he ever abhorred “everything of that nature.” Some of those who were convicted of the crime have been pardoned or enlarged, and as no evidence whatever appeared against him he prays for the Queen's compassion.

SIR H[ENRY] D[UTTON] COLT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May 2.—Acknowledging his obligation to him for having procured a commission for his nephew, Harry Colt, in the new levies; and praying to be informed of the name of the regiment for which he is designed, as he has a good interest in the city and could enlist several men if he knew his colonel's name.

MASTER JOINER'S PLACE IN OFFICE OF WORKS.

1706, May 3. Office of the Works.—Report of Sir Christopher Wren, Benj. Jackson and John Churchill, on the qualifications of two competitors for the Master Joiner's place, vacant by the death of Alexander Fort; one of them, Thomas Fort, son of the deceased, the other, Charles Hopson. Both are stated to be well qualified as to their skill in work, but another ability is also requisite, which is a good stock to carry on the works of the Crown, where often the business required is sudden, and seldom ready money. They therefore certify that Hopson is best qualified, but urge that out of compassion to Fort's widow and family the debt (358*l.*) due from the Crown to him be paid, there not being money enough to inter him. *Copy.*

With note in another hand that Hopson's being Purveyor to the Office of Works makes no difficulty, as the joiner's and purveyor's work “do not in the least interfere with one another.” Also that there is due to him 11,000*l.* from King William's time.

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 4. Newgate.—Reminding him of his poor circumstances and of the order of Court at the Old Bailey last sessions, and of his petition, upon which his honour promised that he should be enlarged.

MONS. SILLY to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May [4-]15, N.S. Nottingham.—Represents his deplorable condition and craves leave to go to France to recover his health, or at least to London, where he can find a suitable doctor. *French.*

A second letter, of July 29, N.S., thanks Harley for his good offices, and prays that the crossing may be from Dover to Calais, as he is not in a condition to endure a longer passage. *French.*

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS.

1706, May 6.—“State of the debts of the kingdom of England.”
Endorsed by Harley :—“Received from Mr. Doddington, May 16, 1706.”

Also “State of the revenue and public income of the kingdom of Scotland as it now is and what it may amount to.”
With a similar endorsement.

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 7. Newgate.—To comply with your orders I will repeat what I have written before. “The chaplain of a man-of-war told me for certain that a good store of powder, ball and arms were sent into Scotland, and with so much secrecy that not above ten persons in that town knew of it. . . . Several persons of quality out of Scotland go now and then to the Court of France so disguised that the King alone or the Court of St. Germain knew who they were.” Had I known any of them or the seaport where the said arms were received I would freely declare it.

One Barry told it above board how the Irish Scots sent many horses over into Scotland, and upon that very reason believed there was a design. A Scots captain of a ship, by name George or Gregory said : *I hope, gentlemen* (meaning the English and Irish who were about him) *when the occasion offers we will not want your assistance* : to which they all answered he need not in the least doubt of it. The French captain who arrived from Scotland about the same time gave such assurance of the Scots being ready to take arms, I was persuaded it would be an open war before I came half-way.” If any further news comes to me I will acquaint you with it.

MONS. JOLY to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May 11. Nottingham.—Having received his Honour’s kind promise to move the Queen on his behalf, he prays that he may be allowed to stay three months at Nottingham, near the surgeon who is treating him for his various ailments ; and thanks his correspondent for past favours. *French.*

JAMES BOUCHER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May 15.—As her Majesty has been pleased to consent to set him free, and he and his wife and children are very sickly from confinement, he prays that he may be speedily liberated.

[*Cf. Eliz. Boucher’s petition, pp. 333, 378. See also pp. 230, 236.*]

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

[1706, before May 16.]—"State of the weights and measures of England and Scotland with their difference in solid inches of running water."

Endorsed by Harley :—"Received May 16th, 1706, from Mr. Paterson."

JOHN STUCLEY to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May 17. Plymouth Post Office.—Sir Stafford Fairborne, with his squadron of eight men-of-war, has just arrived from the coast of France. He brings in six small merchant ships, taken near Rochelle, and destroyed ten more. From a Dutch privateer this moment come into the Sound, thirteen days from Gibraltar, we learn that just as he left that place an express came there with advice that on the arrival of Sir John Leake before Barcelona, the Miquellets and other Spaniards rose and cut all to pieces the French army besieging the place. Regrets that the account should be so imperfect, but hopes the substance is true.

The EARL OF MAR to [ROBERT HARLEY].

[1706, May 17.] Whitehall.—"After I saw you at Kensington the Queen ordered me to give the Council of Scotland an account of the victory [at Ramillies] that there may be public rejoicings at Edinburgh; therefore I beg that you will be pleased to send me an account of the news as they are, that I may send it by the express this afternoon." [*See Harley's answer to this letter, Report on the MSS. of the Earl of Mar and Kellie, p. 263.*]

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 17. Newgate.—Of what I could learn among the cruel and merciless French I have given you a faithful account. As for Father Daly or Hurley, to the best of my remembrance I never saw either of them. I am innocent of anything that could prejudice the Government, and am afflicted with old age, indisposition and increasing poverty. I therefore humbly beg for liberty.

LADY J[ANE] GLASCOCKE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 19.—Reminds him of her wants and her long detention, and prays him to move the Queen for leave for her to go beyond seas; if she does not soon obtain her liberty she will be unable to see Lady Throckmorton, her near relation and dear friend, with whom she has some concerns to settle, and who is shortly going down into the country.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 21. London.—Giving the course of the *Prince George* packet-boat, lately arrived from the West Indies, and enclosing a paper from St. Christopher's. *Signed.*

Enclosure :—

1705-6, February 5. St. Christopher's.—The French fleet came round Nevis point about three in the afternoon, and the same night came to anchor off of Nevis, about two leagues ; being—

The Glorieux of 66 guns, commanded by Monsr. de Chanagnac.

The Apollon of 66 „ „ „

Le Brittain of 66 „ „ „ Choisseul.

Le Fidelle of 50 „ „ „ Monsr. de Gabarett.

Le Duc de Los of 32 „ „ „ Monsr. de Clerc.

with twenty-three or twenty-four brigantines and sloops.

“ The 11th.—They landed at Frigate Bay on this Island about 2500 men, commanded by Monsieur de Chanagnac ; and the same morning by break of day, near French Sandy Point, 250 men under Monsieur Poultaine, from four sloops. A lieutenant-colonel marched with a party to oppose them, but was beat back, the enemy being much stronger.

The Governor viewed several passes, and ordered Colonel Garnett to maintain a pass called Godwin, but three captains and most of their men, who had their wives and families in the woods, deserted him. The enemy being so very strong, the Governor, by the advice of a council of war, thought it fit to preserve the Queen's forts.

“ The 12th.—We, not having 300 men in a body, Sollon with his men marched to the windward side and did a great deal of damage, burning several houses and plantations, sugar-works and canes. Monsieur Chanagnac advanced to Godwin Gutt, posted his colours at Colonel Codrington's house, and then parted his forces.

“ The 13th.—The Governor, with the horse, rode out to view the enemy, and about a mile from the fort exchanged several volleys of shot with them.

“ The 16th.—The enemy burned the town of Palmeto Point and Old Road, with Colonel Codrington's house at Godwin, with the parish church. They broke the great guns as they marched. The enemy marched about 1,000 men above the fort upon Brimston Hill into Sandy Point town, and burned the houses and canes as they marched, as also the town.

“ The 17th.—The enemy embarked at the Old Road and stood to the southward. They burned most of the canes and houses on the leeward side of this island, destroyed several sugar-works and took off several coppers, stills, etc., with about 500 negroes, most of whom went voluntarily in to them, destroying abundance of horses and cattle.”

P. N. to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 22. London.—According to your desire I have been to look for a ship and found out where there are several to be sold. In this river there are two, but somewhat too big ; at Bristol there are two, sure to be good sailers ; at Plymouth there are six, prizes from the French, cheaper than the others, but not a quarter as strong as our English merchant ships. If I am to go

to see them, I must have a protection for nine men and boys or else they will be pressed. According to what encouragement your Honour will give me I must the next post advise my last owner to provide a master for his ship and take away my men. I hope to do more services to the Government than any that is gone on this account since the war began. *Signed, P.N., but endorsed by Harley* :—" Mr. Conlife, May 22nd, 1706."

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS.

1706, May 23.—" A state of the debts of England."

DAVID LINDSAY to the EARL OF MAR.

1706, May 23. Newgate.—Prays that he will speak to the Queen again on his behalf, and that he may receive a pardon, with the condition of banishment or transportation. If so, he begs to be allowed a few months' stay in England to see his family, recover his health and put his affairs in order. *Copy.*

Enclosed in a letter from Lord Mar to Robert Harley.

1706, June 21. London.—"I send you enclosed a copy of Mr. Lindsey's (*sic*) to me, upon which I spoke to the Queen, which is all I know or can say of his affair." [*Cf. pp. 300, 379, below.*]

JOHN BRAYNE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, May 28.—Having been left behind by Sir John Jennings I beg for a letter to Sir Cloudesley Shovell, having a desire to be in his ship. "I am afraid a peace will be concluded in a short time, and that I should never [have] attempted the Queen's order if I thought myself not capable of passing my examination."

PRESSING FOR THE NAVY.

1706, May 30. (*Received.*)—"Names of persons who complained to the States General that they were impressed by English officers"; shewing briefly the circumstances of their impressment and the grounds of their complaint. Seven names.

[DR.] JOS. BROWNE to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1706,] May 30.—Your honour promised to send what was done last night to the Attorney General, so I beg he may hear from you before the court sits this morning, when I am obliged to move in arrest of judgment, which I hope you will respite for a time.

THE SAME to the SAME.

[1706,] May 30, at 1 o'clock.—I have received the sentence of the court to stand in the pillory on Saturday next and pay a fine of 40 marks. I hope that notwithstanding my provocations to you, your honour's generosity will not let a gentleman suffer so much shame "for a thing he neither wrote nor designed to publish."

JAMES BOUCHER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, May 31.—'Thanking him for his promise to forward his enlargement, and reminding him of his miserable circumstances.

WILLIAM BUTLER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 2. Newgate.—Prays to be admitted to Harley's presence, where, if he cannot answer satisfactorily, he leaves himself and his service to Harley's mercy "at this desperate juncture when the enemies of England's tranquillity are put to their trump cards. And if the Almighty does not avert the accomplices of a cursed crew, such mischief may ensue as will be past all remedy." He always had more to tell than he dared to scribble. "And though our angel guardians have hitherto hindered Hell's horrid intents, exasperation mixed with almost the uttermost desperation directs them now or never to strike the stroke."

JEREMIAH CONNELLY to [ROBERT HARLEY?]

1706, June 5.—"In November last was three years, coming from the West Indies in company with Mr. Leigh Atwood, son to the Chief Justice of New York, we were taken prisoners off of the Land's End of England by a French privateer called the *Marquee Darboes*, and carried to St. Maloes, where Mr. Atwood and I were stripped of all we had; and Mr. Atwood being in a dying condition with the stone, not able to help himself, one Mr. Magett, a banker, who, finding him to have good relations, gave him credit, obtained leave for me to be with Mr. Atwood in the hospital, where I continued for about seven months. But by the means of one Mr. Geraldine, a French gentleman, who was married to an English gentlewoman, and had great compassion towards Mr. Atwood, I had liberty to lie abroad, where I, as several others did, paid a penny a night for my bed, which with other expenses I had from Mr. Atwood, who was very much importuned by a friar to change his religion; in which, as he continued very firm, my usage of the friar for his being so troublesome occasioned his complaining of me to the Bishop of St. Maloes in order I might be removed from the conversation of him; upon which Mr. Atwood, thinking it not likely that he should soon get to England, supplied me with monies and advised me to make the best of my way thither.

"One Captain Johnson and I had agreed to get away together, but he, not being supplied with monies, was forced to stay behind; but twice making his escape was caught and confined.

"I had the fortune to get safe to Rennes, meeting in my way with several English and Irish, who took me for one designed for the Army. While money lasted I was well entertained, and, hearing the exchange boat was come to St. Maloes, I re-

turned to Mr. Atwood, who, being in a languishing condition with his distemper, obtained leave for me to come over with him, declaring he should perish without my assistance.

“While I was absent from him I learnt that seven sail of French men-of-war were hired (at Brest) by the ladies of Court and the merchants of Fort Lewis and St. Maloes to lay wait for our East India fleet; which, having communicated to Mr. Atwood, [he] at his arrival, gave notice of it in a letter to Mr. Secretary Hedges, which, as I conceived, occasioned the sending Admiral Dilks to the North-West of Ireland, whither the East India fleet had been chased by that French squadron. I have been informed since by one Captain Tayler, who was taken into St. Maloes some time after I came away, that I was threatened by some of the French taken at that time by reason of the discovery.

Having served several years in the Navy in such stations as qualified me for a purser's employ, through the recommendation of the Duke of Ormond and of the relations of young Mr. Atwood, who was much pleased with my care of him in his extremity, I was preferred to the place of purser of the *Sorelings*.

“After I came to London I lay at Mr. Atwood's uncle, Mr. Wood, a Turkey merchant in Bartolemey Close, for about a year, from thence to Swifiting's Lane near London Stone, at one Mrs. Thornly, where I continued about a year, where Mr. Pender, Deputy Governor of the Royal African House, lodged for many years; from thence I came to Mr. Dunny's in Veer Street, near Clare Market, for the conveniency of being near the Admiralty Office and Mr. Atwood's, with whom I spend the most part of my time when I am in town.”

WILLIAM BUTLER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 9. Newgate.—I acquainted you a few days since with my addiction to do the Government good service, if you would permit it; “and to-morrow, the birthday of the enemy's idol [the Chevalier St. George], being the day when I hoped to have performed it,” I pray to know if I may have the opportunity of convincing you that I am not the villain some of that sort have represented, and that I can do such services as would turn the scale of the severe judgment you have hitherto passed on me unheard and untried.

ISAAC BOBIN's Memorial.

1706, June 13. (*Received.*)—The importance of justifying himself with regard to the information laid against him of corresponding with enemies of the Queen and State in France, has obliged him to represent certain facts to Mr. Harley, to show that his letter in cypher cannot be suspected of criminal motives and was written to friends of the Government. On the 23rd of May last he wrote to Harley praying to be allowed five weeks to obtain from his correspondents advices as to the six or seven

lines in cypher which refer to them, and if they should be satisfactory, he will supply the key to the whole, which will prove to be a letter of advice to his brother-in-law, Pellerin. He wrote a similar letter on the 26th or 27th to Mr. Warre, saying that he would give the key to Harley, but begged to be allowed five weeks for the said purpose. But since this request has not been accorded, he prays that he may be allowed to read the six or seven lines in cypher to Harley, and at once erase them, to discharge himself of his oath in that regard. *French.*

Endorsed by Warre, "Received from Mons. de Bourdieu."

Enclosed Representation.

While in the service of Lord Melfort Bobin was made to swear secrecy, but being entrusted with designs subversive of the constitution and the Established Church, he felt obliged to reveal them to the Archbishop of Canterbury, and entered the service of England, and by corresponding with his agents in Paris through cypher letters to Pellerin at Poitiers he was able to give news of Lord Preston's plot against King William in 1690. The King sent him to Lord Rumney, who ordered him to continue his services at a good recompense, whereupon he explained his system of correspondence with Paris through Pellerin. The letter on which suspicion has lately fallen was concerned with Pellerin's private affairs owing to the failure of the banker Huguetant. He complains of ill-treatment at the hands of "*le sieur Robert*" [?Yard] of Secretary Hedge's office in the transmission of his letters, and prays for a remedy. *French. 5 pp.*

CHARLES GILDON, junior, to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1706, June 18. The Press Yard.—"I would willingly have my conduct unquestionable to Mr. Secretary; and lest my ignorance in these affairs should betray me to any method disagreeable to him, I desire to know whether you will be pleased to take my bail . . . as Mr. Stevens has satisfied Mr. Barrett, having two very substantial men, one worth five or six thousand pounds, the other besides his trade in the bookselling, has a place of 50*l.* a year for his life. I desire your answer by my wife, that I may have my liberty to-day."

CAPTAIN GEO. CAMOCKE to SECRETARY DAWSON.

1706, June 21. Waterford.—"On the 3rd inst. I sailed from Kinsale, but could not hear of the two ships at Cork, as they promised should be ready, although we stayed eight days; and the wind blowing fresh easterly we bore away for Castlehaven, where a ship of great consequence lay belonging to Bristol, and a privateer was off that harbour.

"On the 4th (the day we arrived) he stood off to sea, and we hovered under the land, as if afraid, and then I sent my boat in, which occasioned him to be bold to his cost. I ordered Capt.

Saunders to chase, and off Bantry he took him : so I brought the merchant-man with me and joined him : and after sending the prisoners ashore, we stood into the sea S.W. 100 leagues according to orders, and on the 8th parted with the merchantman, the wind at N.E. and N.N.E. We stood to the eastward these 24 hours, then the wind shifted to S.W., and we made the best of our way for Limerick ; but on the 13th, one o'clock, we saw a sail (hazy weather) : soon after discovered 8 large ships more. I was cautious how we engaged them, till we were certain. We threw out Danish colours and they answered with English. So I believed them an English squadron bound for Lisbon or the Mediterranean ; and in an hour's time I cut off the sternmost, which proved a Frenchman from Nantes. He told me the rest were French also bound for Martinico, laden with provisions for that Island and Dominico, and that there was great scarcity of provisions there. He added that the ships were of great force, but I and Capt. Saunders were resolved to try what we could do. So we chased, and about 4 in the afternoon Capt. Saunders took another of 8 guns, 6 patereros, and 30 men (Letter of Marque). We outsailed them much upon a wind, and Capt. Saunders being two leagues ahead, I came up with one of 36 guns, one of 20, and another of 24. The great ship hauled up his mainsail ; the other two did the same ; and then I hauled up mine and fired two shots at the great ship, he being in point-blank shot. He bore down right upon me, and I judged he would be for boarding, or at least, very near ; I braced to for him, and just as he came in my luff, he set all the sail he could and went right before the wind. I followed him, but he outsailed me ; so I kept my wind and followed the other two ships. One of them tacks, and I stood on, and about 5 in the evening engaged him within less than pistol shot. At 7 he shot my fore-top-mast by the board and main-top-mast. Capt. Saunders seeing this, and being to windward, bore down, and about 8 came within shot, the Frenchman never being above musket shot off me ; but the greatness of the sea caused his holding out so long. At 8 he struck, being a ship of 20 guns, 6 patereros, 45 men, and 180 ton.

"We lay by all that night to fit my rigging and get up another top-mast, and at 9 next morning saw another sail : ordered Captain Saunders to take my first prize in tow, and I gave chase : at one, I came up with her, and she soon struck, being one of the fleet, called the *Triumphant*. She had 12 guns, 6 patereros, and 25 men. On the 18th I saw a privateer of 36 guns, in the latitude of 48, 46' north : gave chase, but she got away. We then endeavoured for Limerick, but the wind coming about northerly, we were forced into Waterford Harbour, where we, with our 5 Prizes, only wait for their Excellencies' orders." *Signed also by Capt. George Saunders.*

Annexed.—"The French line of battle of the ships bound to Martinico, and sailed from Nantes on the 11th of June, 1706, which the *Speedwell* and *Shoreham* met with the 13th Decem-

ber in the latitude 45, 28', Cape Coraine bearing south, 49 [sic ? 9] easterly, distance 158 miles."

Ten ships, with full description. *Copy.*

W[ILLIAM] BLENOWE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 22.—The cypher you sent me "I have interpreted in the method the key directs. The letters were so mixed with nulls and so irregularly transposed that it has required some time and pains to disentangle and reduce them into order even with the help of a key. I cannot say that without a key this cypher had been insuperable, but it is, as you see, contrived with a pretty deal of art against a decypherer, though not with any convenience to be written or read. When this paper was before in my hands, it was one of my guesses, which indeed was obvious enough, that the letters were only transposed and that in that the cypher consisted, as I find it does, and I tried to make out the meaning by reading downwards and backwards and other ways: but when I had tried these and many more conjectures upon a cypher that had not the appearance of being very large or very perplexed, and which I found to be wrote in a manner quite different from all the cyphers that I had ever known used, I was inclined to believe (what I confess I ought not in any case to suspect) that I was imposed upon by a cypher that had no intelligible meaning; which discouraged me from attempting much more about it.

As to the other cypher, in which your honour is pleased to think that I spare my pains and fear difficulties that are not, I beg leave to say one word in my excuse. It happens unfortunately enough in our work of decyphering that nothing but a discovery is an evidence of any pains taken. We may perplex our thoughts very long, make many conjectures, and pursue them very diligently; yet, if we fail in the discovery all our work vanishes, and there is no more sign of it than if nothing had been done. When these letters came first to my hands, I applied myself diligently to them, laid aside everything else, and studied them very assiduously for above a month; till it was so much beaten into my mind, that I could remember how and where almost every number occurred and could work upon it without my papers. . . . Yet I advanced not one step. Some conjectures proved false and others arose till I was forced to quit the work imperfect, leaving many guesses neither disproved nor confirmed. . . . This must be done after a reasonable time spent; for else an insuperable cypher would hold us in an endless chase to no purpose."

Enclosing a copy of a letter to M. Pelerin in French in Blencowe's writing, one portion of which is underlined.

Also, a copy of the same letter, with the same portion in cypher.

Also a key to the cypher in Isaac Bobin's hand.

Also a letter from Isaac Bobin to [Dr. Chamberlain?], requesting him to propose to Mr. Secretary Harley that pending

his release, he may send the cyphers used by the King of France, (1) the *éclair* or *Fortunatus*, called *éclair* because like the lightning in the Gospel, it is seen from east to west, which is very unusual in cyphers; (2) the *anagram*; and (3) the *chassis*. As for the *passee-volant*, it is only used on special occasions, and it suffices to know the key. If the Secretary does not know it, he will find it very useful, because it is the method probably used by Jesuits and Papists in the heart of this kingdom and perhaps in Ireland too. If the writer may have some paper given him, which he is not able to buy, he can send some acceptable news to the Secretary. Gives further instructions as to the use of the key.

Another key, apparently to the same cypher, is *endorsed by Harley*, "Bobin. Received from Dr. Chamberlain, 1706, June 25."

(There are eleven small folios of cypher in numbers, which are perhaps the letters referred to in the latter part of Blencowe's letter).

LE M[ARQUIS] D'HAUTEFEUILLE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, [June 23-] July 4. [N.S.] Paris.—The importance and perplexity of his affairs are such that he craves a further prolongation of his leave till the end of October. He would rather be at Nottingham than in his present sad condition at Paris, if it were possible to abandon his business. *French*.

SAM SHEPHEARD to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, June 24. London.—He has hired a Genoese ship to take 80 tons of New England fish from Lisbon to Bilbao. Asks for her Majesty's pass for her.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 24. London.—My letters from Barbadoes and the Leeward Islands intimate collectively the following account of the French designs and descent.

"A *rendezvous* was to have been at Tobago by an united force from France with what they could get from their plantations: but impediments by storms or otherwise seem to have broken those measures entirely; or they suppose at Barbadoes the storm had fallen on that island first.

"The Confederacy is said (from Nevis) to have been made by hiring men-of-war and regular troops of the French King, by a company, in old France: and the purchase upon the adventure was to have been divided, three parts to the said company, and one part to the Governor of Martinico, and to the sloops and brigantines he could engage. But it is conceived that the force expected from France not coming duly to the *rendezvous*, the Confederate brigantines and sloops grew impatient; and themselves with the Marquis de Chavagnac commanding six ships of

force, and those embarcations being about twenty-four in number, made the first descent on St. Christopher's, where they stayed but six days and retired with precipitation, it is believed, upon the advice that Monsieur d'Iberville was arrived at Martinico.

"The second descent was made on Nevis by Monsieur d'Iberville, joined with Chavagnac, making sixteen sail of men-of-war; and the number of sloops and brigantines made them up to about 50 sail. They believe at Barbadoes that Monsieur d'Iberville touched also at Tobago, as they say Du Cass with fourteen or fifteen sail of ships has done two months after him; their spy-boat at Barbadoes coming in sight of him the 6th of April standing to Martinico.

"The prisoners at Antegoa do say this last squadron is Du Cass, and therefore they are afraid both at Antegoa and Montserrat of a third descent. But from St. Christopher's they seem to think that, the purchase of Nevis being great, they are separated and some gone leeward and others to windward, and that most of the negroes are sent to the Spaniards. But there are others of opinion that Du Cass, with what force he finds at Martinico, is to go and join six men-of-war at Petit Guavas and thence to make a descent on Jamaica; for, as they calculate all this force, if none be returned to Europe, the enemy will have in those parts thirty good ships, some of them 68 or 70 guns, and may have ten or twelve thousand landmen to carry on that enterprise." *Signed.*

ROBERT BLACKBURNE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June. Three short letters dated the 6th, the 14th, and the 29th, praying him to take notice of his petitions, and to intercede for him with the Queen, when a review is taken of his case and those of the other prisoners with him.

REDMOND JOYE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 29.—Is very sorry if he has done anything to displease the Government. His confinement is, no doubt, welcome news to all the Popish party in England and Ireland, and all the disaffected party, who would tear him from limb to limb if ever they got him abroad. If he is now to be destroyed it will be a *caveat* to any other hereafter not to serve the Government. He is in a miserable condition, and prays to be admitted to go into the army and to earn his bread by his sword.

JAMES BOUCHER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, June 30.—Not being in a condition to bear the expense of a pardon, he prays Harley to use his interest on that behalf, that he may be included within the compass of her Majesty's charity as well as mercy. His intent in coming home was only to serve the Queen and the Government.

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1706, before July ?]—Hopes that Harley's promises to release him are not forgotten and protests his innocence, and his readiness to comply with anything enjoined upon him.

¶ WILLIAM, *alias* ALPHONSO CARRILL to THE SAME.

A longer letter to the same effect. Written in Newgate.

MONS. BLANZAC to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, July [4-]15. [N.S.] Paris.—He has received Harley's letter, telling him that the Queen will no longer give leave to French officers, nor prolong their absence, since the Duke of Marlborough's request for leave for the Marquis de Vaubonnes had been refused. But a few days ago he informed the Duke that the King had granted leave to the Marquis, whom his Majesty regarded not as a prisoner of war but as a person under his displeasure. He prays, therefore, that his correspondent will use his influence with the Queen to obtain a prolongation of his leave. *French.*

BENJAMIN ARNALL.—Petition to Sir Charles Hedges.

1706, July 7. (*Received.*)—Was convicted of felony and burglary and sentenced to death. Prays to be transported into her Majesty's service beyond the seas.

DR. GEORGE BRAMSTON to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, July 10. Doctors' Commons.—The Swedish ship *St. Peter*, Martin Lytens master, on her way to Rouen, with a pass "not agreeable to the treaty and her Majesty's last instructions," was taken by Captain Lapsford, of her Majesty's ship *Postilion*, and sent into Yarmouth. If anyone now applies for her release, it will be ordered.

WILLIAM HARVEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, July 11. Chigwell.—Reminding him to insert his (the writer's) address for the Corporation of Appleby amongst the rest in the Thursday's *Gazette*.

WILLIAM CARRILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, July 11. Newgate.—Having received notice from Mr. Lewis that Harley intends to send him out of the Kingdom within a week, he prays that in consideration of his old age and weak constitution he may be allowed a little time at liberty to recover his health. *Signed. Endorsed by Harley, "Received July 12. Redmond Joy, Ire[land]."*

M. DE SAULVEBŒUF to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, July 13-24. Lichfield.—Hearing that his regiment has taken prisoner Colonel "Roucelle" at the siege of Barcelona, and that endeavours will be made in France to exchange him for himself, if the English Court consents, he prays that his correspondent will do this agreeable service both to "Roucelle" and to himself. *French.*

WILLIAM BORRETT to RICHARD WARRE.

1706, July 15. Inner Temple.—"The allowance made to such as remain in Town to give evidence on her Majesty's behalf must be considered upon the person's affidavit of expenses or loss of time. My Lord Treasurer will order payment upon Mr. Secretary's speaking to him, what he thinks fit to be allowed; but I do not think it convenient or warrantable to allow anything to any evidence until after trial."

UNION WITH SCOTLAND.

1706, July 22.—"The Articles of the treaty for an Union between England and Scotland, agreed on by the Commissioners of both kingdoms on the Twenty-second of July, 1706.

"The Act of Parliament passed in the Parliament of Scotland for ratifying the said Articles with amendments.

"The Act of Parliament also passed there for securing the Protestant religion and Presbyterian Church government in that kingdom."

Printed Copy with marginal abstracts of the Articles in Harley's handwriting.

CAPTAIN RICHARD LONG to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, July 27.—He has seen Lord Pembroke, who received him with "as much courtesy as could be expected from a man of his quality," and promised to join his good word with Harley's in forwarding Long's petition to her Majesty.

ALGERNON, EARL OF ESSEX.

1706, July 28. Windsor.—Royal Warrant to Algernon, Earl of Essex, appointing him to the command of the present expedition "in case of the death or other accident that may happen" to Richard, Earl Rivers, General of the expedition, and to Thomas Erle, Lieutenant-General of the same. *Sign Manual, but not countersigned by a Minister.*

CAPTAIN GEORGE WALKER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, July 31. Nottingham.—Brigadier Jolly told me this morning he would write to you by this post to beg you to speak

to her Majesty for prolongation of leave to stay in Nottingham, because this air agrees with him better than that of Lichfield: besides, he has the convenience of Marshal Tallard's surgeon, of which I assure you he has occasion.

You told me I should have notice if Monsieur Silly had longer leave than for a month. I hear he has obtained the Queen's leave to go to France. I hope I stand excused for not acquainting you precisely when the month was expired.

Information and Proposals of CAPTAIN DANCART.

1706, August 2. (*Received.*)—In the last war there were several gentlemen of Ostend—Mr. Rechter Bowens, Judge of the Admiralty, Mr. Maes, Advocate and one of the Council of the Admiralty, Mr. Vanden Heyden, merchant, and Mr. Potter, merchant,—who kept a strict correspondence with the following persons of Dunkirk, Messrs. Pless, Allardt and Robyn,—and sent several commissions and letters of marque for several ships of the aforesaid gentlemen; which ships sailed with both Spanish and French orders that they might escape capture by the English and Dutch. Wherefore it may be of advantage to the English to procure those gentlemen to be removed from their posts, that the like disorders may not be committed for the future, nor any further correspondence between them and the French be of detriment to the English nation.

It is now about nine months since I was clapped up a prisoner at Dunkirk, where I saw Mons. Bara, who was in for debt and taken just as he arrived from England with letters from Mons. Tallard, and was confined for two days; after which, having sent to Count Lemon, Governor of Dunkirk, he came to him in the prison, where Bara showed him some letters from Mons. Tallard, directed to the French King, upon which he discharged him out of prison, and showed him all the marks of extraordinary friendship. About three weeks afterward Bara bought an English sloop at Dunkirk, and hired eight or nine men to go over with him to England, and sailed three or four days afterward and returned bringing over letters from Mr. Tallard, as I was informed by some of the seamen that were then on the sloop, and have since sailed with me.

My lieutenant and master, Jean Houderin and Dominic du Pont, have several times been over with the English wherry belonging to the Commissary of Calais, Mr. Châteauneuf, which they themselves have often confirmed to me. Wherefore I desire that you would send for them up, who would then discover to whom they delivered those letters.

Dunkirk may be bombarded or taken with no more than six fire-ships and some bomb-ketches, if I may be permitted to command one of the fire-ships and guide the fleet; the only obstacle being two wooden castles. When these are burnt the town may easily be taken.

With ten or twelve ships of thirty or forty guns each, the Dunkirk "capers," which are fitted out against the Dutch,

Muscovite and Eastland fleets, could be destroyed without difficulty.

Informant is well acquainted with the coast between Dunkirk and Dieppe, and offers his services for a descent. Being recommended by Sir Cloudesley Shovell and Sir David Mitchell, he would be glad of an opportunity for his wife and children to come over to England. He dares not return home, as some of his fellow-prisoners have betrayed his designs there, and prays for a speedy resolution thereupon that he may not be reduced to extremities. *Signed.*

JOHN HENRY HUGUETAN.

1706, August 3. (*Received.*)—Memorial concerning the demands made upon him by Peter Fabrot for payment of bills of exchange and the agreement to which they came; in spite of which agreement Fabrot has petitioned the Lord Keeper for a commission of bankrupt against him, and he himself has petitioned the same to be heard before commission be awarded against him. Stating the reasons why no commission should be awarded.

SIEUR DE ST. ELOY, of Sunbury, Middlesex.—Memorial.

1706, August 8. (*Received.*)—Is so displeased at the ill success of the levying of French regiments, that though he had designed to serve no longer, yet if her Majesty will grant him a commission to raise a French regiment he will muster more men than there are in three of the regiments lately embarked. Should he fail he submits himself to her Majesty's displeasure. *French.*

SIR C[LOUDESLEY] SHOVELL to SIR CHARLES HEDGES.

1706, August 14. *Britannia*, in Torbay.—Yesterday, off the Deadman, we were joined by the Dutch transports. This morning the wind came to S.S.W., blowing hard, with thick hazy weather, which obliged us to put in here; "for keeping the sea in such bad weather would not only have been very injurious to the horses, but would have occasioned the disabling or losing company of some of the transports. I cannot forbear being concerned that the Dutch did not send one frigate to keep their transports under some regulation; for their commanders, being all of equal power, not one of them having any superiority over another, it will be a very great difficulty to make them understand our signals and sailing instructions." Our coming in here will enable us to complete the watering of the Dutch transports.

It continues to blow fresh at S.S.W., thick, misty weather; but we shall take the first opportunity to proceed. *Copy.*

THE UNION WITH SCOTLAND.

1706, August 15. Edinburgh.—Pamphlet criticizing the terms of the Treaty of Union, as agreed upon by the Commissioners, expressing surprise that the dissenters in England regard them

so favourably, and exhorting them to unite for the purpose of securing the established religion in Scotland in the event of an Union.

Endorsed by Harley—"August 29, 1706—Goldsmith" [*in Greek characters.*]

(This is clearly the manuscript referred to in the Fifteenth Report, Appendix, part IV, of the Hist. MSS. Commission [*i.e.* Harley Papers, vol. ii,] p. 323, Goldsmith being an alias of De Foe. It extends to 36 pages, and remarkable passages in it are underlined.)

SIR EDWARD NORTHEY (Attorney-General) to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, August 15.—You having signified to me by your letter of the 14th instant that Mr. Butler, now a prisoner in Newgate, in execution for a fine of 100*l.*, has been represented as a proper object of the Queen's grace, his relations having offered security that upon his discharge he should leave her Majesty's dominions and not return without licence, "I am of opinion a voluntary recognizance entered into by himself and his relations, with such condition, will be good in law, but to prevent reflection I propose that the condition of the recognizance be introduced with a recital of the state of his case and of the application and proposal made by him and his relations" as above.

Endorsed by Harley—"Cockpit. Read January 11th, 1706-7. Agreed."

CAPT. RICHARD LONG to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, August 16.—When before the Duke of Shrewsbury, he told him plainly he came a prisoner from France, and money was scarce with him. Is to be paid off as master of a fifth rate, whilst the carpenter of the ship is to get a third rate's pay. He hopes now "to join with some friends in building a little sloop that is a runner, and go into the Gulf of Darien," where his friends, the Indians, will give him intelligence how the gold mines are fortified. He believes there is much gold near the coast, which, if known by, is not worked upon by the Spaniards. He is satisfied that if he had had the same power that Admiral Whetstone had, he would have done the nation far greater service. He was with Whetstone in May, 1704, "and urged him to go down upon the shoals of Tertogos upon Florida, to wait for five ships expected from Lever de Crux [*Vera Cruz*], which undoubtedly were rich." Captain Allen of the *Montagu* was then present. "Many admirals are so greedy about their honour, possessing themselves they have some singular parts above other men or else they could not come to that greatness, and let kings and queens, who employ them, and their fellow subjects suffer rather than it should be known they take advice from a person so inferior as a master of a hulk, or a man of my capacity, fearing it might rob them of part of their honour." He does not doubt that Captain Allen will do him justice, as he promised to let the Prince know that it was owing to Long's good conduct and pilotage in

bringing the *Montagu* out over a difficult place in a dark rainy night, that the French man-of-war was taken. Whetstone owned as much at Jamaica, but refused to let Long share in the prize money, saying "the Queen in her proclamation made no provision for pilots, and was so ungrateful [that he] never made me any present."

[CHRISTOPHER, LORD] SLANE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, August 20. Sunning-hill.—Protesting his zeal for the Queen and the Protestant religion, and praying him to request the Lord Treasurer for the arrears of his pay for service in Portugal. He has received only 100*l.*, and asks for 150*l.* more to pay his debts and keep him out of gaol, until her Majesty is pleased to provide for him otherwise.

G[EOURGE] GRANVILLE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, August 24. Northend.—"I am desired by Lady Hyde to recommend the enclosed case to her cousin Robin, whose good nature she says she has heard me answer for so often that she hopes I will procure her a proof of it. It seems the mother of the poor women accused was formerly some sort of dependent upon her family, which is the occasion of her concern. What is desired is the Queen's pardon, to put an end to all further trouble. I take it for granted you can deny nothing to so fair a petitioner, and therefore have ventured to encourage her to expect whatever may be in your power upon this occasion. . . ."

Enclosure—

A statement of the case of Sarah and Elizabeth Poole, charged with robbery and imprisoned under a warrant for some months, though no one had appeared to prosecute them; the alleged reason for this treatment being that Sarah Poole had refused to make a certain charge, at the instigation of Mrs. Soley, niece to Mr. Chancellor Walmisley, of Lichfield, against Parson Baker, with whom Walmisley had a difference; and Baker, having notice of this, had cited her to give evidence of the intended subornation at a Commission from the High Court of Delegates, held in the Cathedral Church of Lichfield, in which town the Pooles "remained in public, and nothing charged against them of two years' time." But in 1703, one Jane Manser, with whom, about five years ago, they lodged in Whitechapel, by the instigation of some persons who were alarmed at Sarah Poole's evidence, brought an indictment at Hickshall against both the Pooles for theft; they knowing nothing of the prosecution until a warrant was obtained in October from Lord Chief Justice Holt. And one Buckenridge, a proctor under Walmisley, came with the constable to see the warrant executed. So they were in prison eleven months, till discharged at the assize of gaol delivery. But, meanwhile, they were outlawed at Hickshall, and in May, 1706, again apprehended by the said warrant. (Note, that Manser

has been dead a year and a half, and was always known to be crazed in her understanding). The said Buckeridge brought a *habeas corpus* to the Sheriff of Lichfield to have them removed to London, notwithstanding a general gaol delivery will soon be held at Lichfield. Whether W[almisley] is not the chief author of the above, let any unbiassed person judge. Moreover the best persons and most in Lichfield are willing to certify that the prosecution was malicious.

Also a similar case, dated 1706, September 18th, in which it is acknowledged that they had taken "some trifling goods" of Manser's, and pawned them, to relieve their necessities.

[WILLIAM PATERSON to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706, August 25. Windsor.—"I transmitted my Lord Treasurer yesterday a paper, whereof the enclosed is a copy. If he knew the consequence of these matters he could not have delayed it so long, and it was not my business so much as that of the Commissioners of both kingdoms to press that I or somebody who understands those things better should be sent down and have them in charge. The worst is, I am afraid that for want of time to turn ourselves we should be surprised into something or other of no good, or perhaps of ill consequence. If I do go, I had rather die as not come through it with reputation; and . . . did I not know both the men and the things in the manner I do, I should not concern myself nor hazard; and not for want of courage neither, but because I see those great things before they are done are either not at all understood or reckoned impossible; and when they are done, are reckoned too great for little men to have a share in; which makes me desirous for the rest of my days to concern myself only with men and things I can deal with; especially, unless I can have another kind of countenance and support from those in power than I have had for fifteen years past, being the time when I began to promote the Bank.

"Lord Somers and the rest of my friends desire me to speak to you to move in this matter, not only with regard to my being enabled to do the public services in Scotland, but everywhere, according to my ability, and that it is impossible but my Lord Treasurer and you, who are looked upon to be my particular friends, must, from the sense of what I have done formerly as well as on this great occasion of the Union, have determined so to represent me to the Queen as that I should be effectually encouraged and supported in my public designs; and that if this is not done it will be understood to be for want of affection to the Union, to me or both, and the doing of it speedily will be more than twice doing afterward.

"I find you cannot be neutral in this case, since the least coldness will by some people of consideration be understood to be want of affection; and I must say I am sorry to have occasion to represent anything relating to myself to my Lord or you. . . . If you had taken care of me four years ago I could have

contributed to have made both some men and things easy, some whereof have been, and others are like to be otherwise. However, I shall . . . endeavour to forget past things and look forward especially on so great an occasion as this, when if things are done handsomely and cheerfully, I shall think them worth paying for."

MONS. LE MOYNE [DE BRIE] to MADAME ———.

1706, August 27.—Praying her to deliver the enclosed letter to Mr. Secretary Harley, in which he asks for his discharge "*au tribunal* att the old bailly," at the session beginning the next day; also to write or speak on his behalf. *French*.

BARTHOLOMEW VIRGILLE to JEZREEL JONES, in
Titchfield Street.

1706, September 6. London.—"The 29th of May, 1706, N.S., the two governors of Santa Crux, having received advice that the city of Terudant was taken by the King of Morocco's forces, and all put to the sword, they immediately send for the officers of the garrison and the chief men of the place and acquainted them therewith, telling them how they were to expect the same treatment if taken; that as for themselves they were resolved to escape their heads in running away, and that all the people would do well to do the same; upon which, in about an hour's time the town was deserted in the greatest confusion that ever was seen, one of the above governors, Elkayde Abdella Sairon, being killed by some of the garrison in his way to the seaside where he was going with a design to embark upon a small vessel he had ready fitted for that purpose.

"As to the Christian merchants then residing there, all the English and I, we got, through great hazard, well on board the *John* galley (Captain Thomas Bradley), whom we had by entreatment and prayers detained there about four or five days for that purpose." After that, at about five or six o'clock in the evening, came two boats with twenty or twenty-two renegadoes, amongst whom five or six Englishmen, and about half an hour after "came two other boats, with their wives and children, as also seven or eight seamen of the governor's vessel, which they abandoned, seeing the governor dead. All was then received on board. The said seamen was not sooner on board than they returned with their own boat to their vessel, out of which they brought some clothes; some of the said seamen, being gone on board of two French ships then in the bay, when they returned, without the captain's knowledge, with the rest of the seamen got into their boat with all their clothes and some of the renegadoes, and went on board the French ships, which made us suspect they had been invited by the Frenchmen.

"Notwithstanding, Captain Bradley said he would not carry any women or children, and accordingly next morning he declared to them that he was going for Canaries and could not carry them; that if they was afraid to be murdered at the seaside he would

put them any place upon the coast of Barbary; in answer to which, after a little consideration, they all cried out that they would not go on shore to have their heads cut off; choosing rather, (if the captain would not carry them off), to be thrown overboard and be drowned. At the same time the two French ships, having sent their boat on board of Captain Bradley with the captain of the governor's vessel to persuade the renegadoes to leave our ship and go on board of the French, Captain Bradley, seeing the danger he was to be taken by the said two French ships (who had commissions and certainly a design upon him) resolved to carry the renegadoes according to their desire. Anyone would have done as much to save his ship and cargo; for notwithstanding, with the said renegadoes, Captain Bradley was so strong in men as the two French ships, a quarter of an hour after we got under sail (at 9 o'clock in the evening), the two French ships pursued us till 2 o'clock in the morning. Having got clear of them we made the best of our way for Canaries, where we arrived at the Island of Teneriffe the 3rd of July, N.S. There, at the port of Orotava, most of the renegadoes with all the women and children was landed by their own desire. They are maintained there by the charity of the people of the island; for to my best knowledge all that they brought, either in clothes or money, was not worth a hundred pieces of eight, being most of them come on board naked."

Postscript.—I desire you to acquaint the Secretary of State with this, and to procure me a pass to go over to Holland.

SAMUEL BUCKLEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, September 6.—“In obedience to your commands I have left at your office the States' letter to the Emperor in Latin, Mr. Stepney's speech to the Emperor, and Prince Ragotski's letter to the States in French, as they were sent me from Holland. I am very sorry any offence has been taken at my inserting translations of them in the [*Daily*] *Courant*, because I humbly beg leave to assure you I am wholly innocent of any ill intention in doing it. This being true, I hope a gentleman in your station, and of your candour, will take it for the best excuse that could be offered.”

CHRISTOPHER, LORD SLANE to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706, September 7.—Praying for an opportunity of displaying his fidelity, having been out of employment for eight months.

Enclosing memorial, setting forth his services in Ireland before the Revolution, and in Portugal as Lieutenant-general of Horse, for which he left the French service, and had brought over upwards of 250 of the Queen's subjects from the enemy; and desiring to be sent to Ireland to raise a corps for service in Spain, “which will enable him to break all the Irish troops that are now in the enemy's service.” Refers himself to Lord Galway's and Ambassador Methuen's certificates.

LIEUT. GEN. THOMAS ERLE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, September 10. Torbay.—Acquainting him that Colonel Soames is dead and that Lord Rivers has given his post to Brevet-Colonel Watkins, upon the writer's recommendation. His Lordship designs Major Mundy for the lieutenant-coloneley, and will fill up the other vacancies as shall seem fit.

WILLIAM BUTLER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, September 13. Newgate.—I pray to know if you have received my letter proposing such security as I can get. I have “long lain in a dungeon to make a conveniency for Mr. Joy, an Irishman, and one who is committed by Justice Smith for putting tricks upon your honour ; as he daily makes his boast how many guineas he has got by it and has some of them hid to do good withal yet, if he gets his liberty. Indeed, he daily speaks such dangerous words as are not fit for honest men to hear ; and such as are of treasonable signification and consequence, as your honour may be better satisfied from better hands . . . ; for the truth is, there is nobody in this place so much respected as *high Jacks*. . . . He is, contrary to law, laid on the debtors' side in the room [that] has always been the place for fines, but because your honour has had some intimation of illegal practices here, they have suffered extremely for it, and such worthy persons as Mr. Joy and others of his sort are accommodated with their lodging, and they laid in a dungeon.”

JOSHUA NIBLETT to BENJAMIN WATERHOUSE, at the General Post Office.

1706, September 14. Rye.—On Friday, August 30th, about 5 p.m., and after a chase of eight or nine hours, the Ostend packet boat was captured off the Maze of Holland by a French privateer, the *Dolphin* of Dunkirk, of six guns, four pattareroes, and fifty-nine men. She was recaptured and the privateer also taken on Sunday the 1st inst., by three Zeeland privateers. The Dutch took Captain Haselwood and myself, with eight more of us, sailors and passengers, from on board the French ship, and, after consultation, sent Captain Haselwood on board the packet, but kept myself and the others, and yesterday put us on shore at Dungeness. On Thursday, the 5th, they ordered the packet to make the best of her way for Flushing, but would not consent to put us on board her—perhaps for fear that we should overpower them and take her to some other place.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, September 16. London.—Giving an account of the course of the last two packet-boats to the West Indies, the *King William* and the *Frankland*. The letter ends, “all things seem quiet in the West Indies.” *Signed*.

SAMUEL ROGERS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, September 18.—Is informed that the case of one Wildish, sentenced to die the next day at Maidstone, has been referred by the Queen to the Lord Chief Justice, who is now at Chelmsford. Should he go there it is uncertain whether his Lordship will not have gone to Hertford, where the assizes are to be held the next day, and the execution is to be at 11 o'clock. He prays therefore for advice.

CHRISTOPHER, LORD SLANE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, September 21.—“Now that God has blessed us with our late great success in Italy, and that in all probability most of the troops there, and particularly the Irish, will be drawn towards Flanders, where the Duke of Vandosme commands, who is always very fond of having the troops of that nation serve with him,” I pray that I may have a post in that country, for I am “weary of being idle at this time of action abroad.”

Enclosing memorial.

BRIG. GEN. J[OHN] SHRIMPTON to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, September 22. Torbay.—Thanking him for his past assistance, and requesting that he may be confirmed in the rank of major-general, which he received while Governor of Gibraltar from the King of Spain, in recognition of his successful sally from that place. But this rank was objected to in England because Farrington, Mordant and Lord Mountjoy are older brigadiers than himself, “and may very likely be to the end of my life, if they stay always at home and I go abroad.” Meantime Lord Raby and Mr. Harvey have been promoted over his head. If he may not have the rank of major-general he desires that he may at least act as such in Spain, where he will “be out of countenance to appear less.”

SCOTLAND.

1706, September. (*Received.*)—Form of engagement by the minister, elders, deacons and other professors of religion in a Scotch parish, to resist any articles in the proposed Union which may tend to “popery, heresy, error, prelacy, atheism, schismatism or profanity.”

NATHAN WILLCOCKS to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1706, September ?]—Complaining of the abusive and violent behaviour of Hayes, a prisoner in his custody, towards his wife and maid, and of threats of vengeance against himself when he remonstrated. “I cannot tell what makes him so, except it is for my being too civil to him; and he has been very uneasy above this week, and wonders your honour keeps him so long in custody.”

MATTHEW COUDELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1706, September ?]—I formerly proposed a sure way to bring most of the Irish troops in France to the service of the Queen or her allies. Now, after the defeat of the French army in Italy and the consternation they are in, this is the best time: especially when the States of Flanders are forming 30 battalions, they will be very pleased to have a body of Irish amongst them, as formerly they had. Not only those in the Irish corps in France will desert to us, but likewise those that are dispersed amongst the French troops, and other natives and foreigners in that service will now follow their example. I pray that you will provide for my subsistence till you find a convenient time to employ me in the service.

REDMOND JOYE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, October 3.—Is worn out with sickness and starvation and prays for a physician, reminding him that “vengeance belongs to God.”

Enclosed is a certificate of his sickness, of the same date, signed by twenty-seven prisoners in the common side of Newgate.

[The DUKE OF HAMILTON to GAWEN MASON ?]*

1706, October 15. Holyrood House.—“We are proceeding on the great affair of the Union; the Commission and the first Article was read in the House this day for information. Nothing is yet done in it, but the debate ran whether we should come to the present consideration of it, or, for the weighty importance of the matter, we who had not the advantage of knowing so much of it as the treaters did, should have a week’s time to consider and compare the minutes of the proceedings with the Articles, which was carried in the negative, so things are to be carried on with a jerk indeed. I am persuaded it is not for the interest of the Union to have it in this manner, but new converts are always the fullest of zeal, and the Squadron hope that way to claim pre-eminent merit. I shall say no more now, but wish that we may not make more haste than good speed, for what ought to be lasting should be well digested for the good of both nations. Adieu. *Copy, by E. Lewis.*”

[THE SAME to the SAME.]

1706, October 17. Holyrood House.—“We have been reading the rest of the Articles this day in the House, and the hurry of precipitating that matter, as I told you in my last, made what was said this day upon the subject very unprepared matter. We have read the Articles and the minutes thereto. The length of the nine Articles we are to sit upon on Saturday again.

* Perhaps to Robert Harley. Cf. letter in vol. II. of Report on the Harley Papers, where the expression “honest Mason” is used.

"The more this matter is looked into the less it is understood. Time only can tell what will be the fate of it, though the vote of *proceed* makes them very confident; but I must still think the unreasonable pressing of the weighty affair is not the best way to advance it. . . . I am weary and can add no more now, so, honest Mason, adieu.

"I have blamed Symer for not writing more at length than I can in the hurry I am in." *Copy, by E. Lewis.*

[NEWS LETTER ?]

[1706,] October 19.—"A letter from Edinburgh about business gives the following account of the proceedings of the Parliament there.

"As to our Union, before the Commissioners came hither there appeared an universal prejudice against an incorporate one, but after their arrival and better information it gained a better impression on the minds of many; but still the Abjuration Oath frights one party and the Sacramental Test another, and the many and great reservations to the Parliament of Great Britain, particularly of altering private rights for the utility of Scotland, as well as the regulation and alteration of the judicatories, make some think that thereby all may be brought under its cognizance.

"The first day the Treaty was read, and it with the minutes and commissions ordained to be printed.

"The second *sederunt* was employed upon the debate if Bruce of Broomhall should be admitted as Earl of Kincardine or delayed until the competition betwixt him and Lady Mary Bruce of Ochiltree, in whose favour the late Earl, her brother, had resigned the honours, should be discussed; and it was carried by a majority of fifteen votes that he should be admitted.

"The third day, being Saturday, there was a long debate if the treaty should be read again or delayed until the absent members were come; and it was agreed it should only be read that day, and spoken to if any inclined, but no part of it voted, which accordingly was done. And it being moved that a fast should be appointed . . . a long debate ensued if there should be a fast or thanksgiving or both, or if it should be delayed until the sentiments of the commission of the Church, who had it under their consideration, were known; and the last was carried without a vote. There were some heats between Duke Hamilton and Salton, on one side, and the Lord Chancellor and Lord Stair on the other, and then Parliament adjourned till Tuesday.

"Another letter from Scotland . . . says that the burghesses for boroughs would be generally for it (the treaty), and that many of late were proselyted to it, and that there was hopes of gaining some great ones who were formerly against it, and that Duke Hamilton had not so great interest and credit as formerly, having disoblighed the Presbyterians by being for an indulgence (1703) of the Episcopal Clergy, and last sessions for the Queen's nomination of the Commissioners.

"Sir Patrick Johnston, one of the Commissioners, writes to me that he has daily more grounds of hope that the treaty will be ratified by this session.

"The D[uke] of Hamilton waited on the Commissioner that morning the Parliament first met, and told him he designed to sup with him at night; but in returning from the House he fell flat on his face in coming out of his coach, which occasioned his not doing it.

"The Marquis of Tweedale and Earl of Rothes went in the Commissioner's coach with him to the House the first day. The Marquis of Annandale neither waited in private on the Commissioner nor made his bow to him on the throne, when he took his place, which all do.

"The *Flying Post* this day, in the paragraph from Alicante, has the abstract of Dr. Friend's, Mr. Farley's, and Peterborough's letters; and particularly that of Peterborough's being against fighting when all the council of war, being forty-five, were for it, and of his having told King Charles that King William came in a hackney coach into London with a few dragoons at the Revolution. The original letters had a post calesh, which he calls a hackney coach."

W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, October 24. Edinburgh.—I return "my humble acknowledgments of the great favour you have done me in honouring me with your thoughts of the important affair of the Union of the two kingdoms; and, indeed, you have, in few words but with great strength of argument, made it plain that it is of the highest consequence as to the interest of this nation in particular as well as the peace of the island in general that this opportunity of adjusting this great affair should not be lost, and I cannot but say that those of this nation that signed the treaty are much concerned to have it brought to the desired issue, and I heartily wish it may be so; for though I cannot say that what you write hath changed my mind as to this affair, yet it hath much confirmed me in the sentiments that I have had of it, and I tremble at the thoughts of what may be the consequences of its miscarrying. And though many good men are under fears as to our Church if there should be an Union, yet the desire I have to see our Church secured makes me in love with the Union as the most probable mean to preserve it; and I persuade myself that the Parliament of England will agree to any security that can be reasonably demanded by us for our ecclesiastical constitution; but seeing you allow me to let you know the objections that are made against this great affair, I shall take the liberty to mention one or two as to religious concerns, for as to those that are of another nature I pretend not to make a judgment of them.

"One difficulty, therefore, is that by the Abjuration oath we shall be obliged to maintain the Successor according to the limitations; one of which is that he shall be of the Church of England, which seems to bear hard upon Scotland, where Presby-

tery is the established government of the Church and which is to remain entire after the Union. Another difficulty is the Sacramental Test, which excludes Scotsmen from all offices of trust and profit except they conform to the Church of England, which seems to be inconsistent with the nature of the Union as it is projected, with a reserve of our Church government. These are the objections that many make against the treaty now laid before our Parliament, but I persuade myself that all colour for such scruples will be removed. But besides these scruples it is not to be expressed with what industry and pains some men do labour to possess the minds of well-meaning people with such prejudices against the Union, as if it were destructive to Church and State, as are like to create great difficulties to my Lord Commissioner and the other managers of this great affair, though his Grace hath at present a great majority in the Parliament that falleth in with his measures, and he employs the utmost of his interest for having this great business brought to the desired issue."

Postscript.—"I forgot to let you know that the gentleman to whom you addressed your letter for me is now Sir Samuel McClellan, Lord Provost of Edinburgh."

[WILLIAM PATERSON to ERASMUS LEWIS.]

1706, [October 24.] Edinburgh.—"The Parliament yesterday named a committee to inspect the matter of the calculation on accompts of the Equivalent, the rise of which hath been from the misprinting of the papers of accompts in the minutes or proceedings of the Lords Commissioners, which hath made a great noise here, though there be nothing material in it save that of neglect, for the original is right.

"The committee are—

For the Lords.—Duke of Argyll, Marquis of Montrose, Marquis of Tweeddale.

Barons or Commissioners for Counties.—John Halden [*or* Haldane] of Gleneagle, Sir Alex. Campbell of Cesnock, George Bailey of Gerviswood.

For the Burghs.—Col. John Erskine, Hugh Montgomery, Glasgow, Robert English, Edinburgh.

"They are all of the Union interest; and as all of the actions of the opposite or (to speak more modestly) of the doubtful party have hitherto turned to the advantage of the Union, so by this they shew they have no party, nor among the Lords, gentlemen, nor boroughs. And though this committee have no great matter to do in the Equivalent, yet they may do considerable service in other things to be referred to them.

"Last night the angry men made an effort by a mob; but that hath succeeded as little as any of their former attempts, since they are totally defeated by only about 150 regular troops, about twelve o'clock at night admitted into this city by order of the Government and consent or concurrence of the magistrates. They, . . . with the ordinary guards and Militia of the town, suppressed the mob before six in the morning.

"To-day there are so many troops admitted into and drawn about the town as to secure it against future attempts. Blessed be God, there hath not been any blood shed, though some, they say, are hurt and one of the town guards in danger of his life.

"This day the Privy Council met and issued a severe proclamation, . . . and appointed a committee to examine those who are or shall be taken for this riot and to order their prosecution. This will be laid before the Parliament to-morrow, who doubtless will be warm and nice in suppressing this or the like disorders.

"All these things will but serve to cement the Union interest, and to leave no body of consequence against it, but a poor troop of naked Jacobites and a few discontented statesmen at the head of them. After all the tedious and ill-found scruples they make, I hope the Union will be carried by near, if not quite, two to one; after which the perverted rabble will have time and means to be convinced of their error.

"Many scruples have been raised here about the taxes, duties and impositions, and the course trade may take after the Union; but so ill-founded as that they have and doubtless will fall to the shame of their promoters after the matters of fact shall come to be rightly stated."

Pray communicate the contents to Mr. Secretary.

ERASMUS LEWIS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, October 26. Whitehall.—"Mr. Secretary Hedges sent orders last night to my Lord Raby to tell the Polish minister that it was her Majesty's desire King Augustus should not suffer any of his troops to march through the territories of the King of Prussia; for if they did her Majesty was obliged not only to send back the Prussian troops but to give his Prussian Majesty further assistance.

"Last post brought no letter from Holyrood House. You see by the enclosed how well Special has acquitted himself of his commission."

[NEWS LETTER ?]

[1706,] October 26.—"On the 19th the Scots Parliament considered the 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th and 15th Articles without much opposition; only Duke Hamilton and Mr. Fletcher insisted long to have some calculators without doors to examine the calculation of the Equivalent, and it was said by others that that was unprecedented, but that a Committee of the members of the House might be appointed for it. This was put off till the next *sederunt*, and the House adjourned to the 22nd.

"Many new members are come to Edinburgh, and it is believed that about the end of next week they may come to the question, *approve or not*, either of each, or of the Articles in general. It is thought that the treaty will be ratified as it is adjusted, without any amendments except they be very necessary.

“ The Lord Duffus, to whom the Queen gave a man-of-war when at Newmarket, has taken his place in Parliament. He has two brothers members ; one for Caithness, newly chosen, and another for Elgin ; their surname is Sutherland.

“ Mr. Spanheim’s credentials are for his having the character of Ambassador in this Court as long as the Lord Raby has the same at Berlin.

“ Floyd, late paymaster to the Queen’s works, is succeeded in that place by Dartquinnave, having long been in the Lord Treasurer’s view. Lowen, who killed Floyd, was executed yesterday at Turnham Green, to be hanged in chains. He said he was born of an English father and German mother at Hanover ; that his father was huntsman to the Duke of Zell, and himself educated at the Duke’s expenses in his exercises in France ; and since an equerry to the Duke. He died penitently reconciled to his own and Mr. Floyd’s wife, declaring his wife’s innocence, though he had killed Floyd from jealousy because Mr. Crusins, chaplain to Prince George, had made him believe that his wife was married to Mr. Floyd, her cousin’s husband.

“ The Whigs are confident of their friends’ elections in Cornwall, Lancashire and Yorkshire ; and they are as confident that a certain gentleman worth 12,000*l.* a year shall neither be chosen in the town nor county where his interest is.

“ There is a severe critic published by way of letter to Dr. Francis Atterbury on his doctrine in his funeral sermon on Mr. Bennet, charging it as false, pernicious and foreign to the intention of the Apostle Paul in his text. It is done so well that it is worth reading.

“ There is a pamphlet printed here called ‘ *A Marriage betwixt Brutus and Fergus.*’

“ Some say that Prior has made a convert of Hanmore and that Mr. Bromley and some others are well disposed and may be had on easy and reasonable terms if they could know what to trust to and that they would not be exposed.

“ Dr. Hutton is in Holland.

“ Some say that Marlborough is for having Prince Eugene’s being Governor of the Spanish Netherlands.

“ Lord Bradford is very weak and can eat nothing but broth.

“ The Lord Treasurer was the other day at Windsor. The Duchess of Marlborough is come to the lodge there.

“ The Lord Justice Clerk, by last post, assures the success of the treaty in that Parliament. There is more gravity and calmness in the Parliament House there than was expected, and more than has been for some years past.

“ Mr. Roswell, a young Presbyterian minister, is returned from Scotland, whither he went some months since with another Presbyterian minister, Mr. Taylor, who continues there with Mr. Shut, a councillor of Lincoln’s Inn. They were in no deputation, but Mr. Shut being a creature of the Lord Wharton, it is not doubted but his and their charges are defrayed by others. The Presbyterian ministers here would not write as a body, but several of them wrote to those of their acquaintance in Scotland in favour of the Union.

"The Duke of Montague has come to town. The Bishop of Norwich and several other bishops are come hither. Sarum is expected daily."

NAVY LIST.

1706, October 29.—List of the men-of-war at Lisbon and in the Straits to come to Lisbon.

[WILLIAM PATERSON to ERASMUS LEWIS ?*]

1706, October 29.—Edinburgh. "Yesterday and this day the Parliament were taken up in discoursing upon the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st and 22nd Articles of the treaty, upon which there were several warm things passed, and Mr. Fletcher yesterday and especially this day ran a great risk of undergoing the censure of the House; but upon his submission, it was waved. There was likewise warm things said by my Lord Annandale, which I doubt will do him as little good as it will the treaty or anythings else harm.

The Anti-Union party have joined in a protest about the late riot. "This party, though it be but small and much upon the decline, is as little united in itself as it would have this island to be. In short, as they have the foolishhest cause ever was known, they manage accordingly; and serve the interest they are against more effectually by their opposition than they could possibly by their concurrence.

"To-morrow the Parliament meets again, and I hope they will then go through the Articles in this cursory way, so as to be able next meeting to proceed upon them in form; and that in fourteen days the treaty may be confirmed.

"On Thursday we are to have a fast-day by order of the Commission of the Kirk, for the Parliament would not interpose their authority in that matter; and by this we shall see the temper of some people more than we could hitherto.

"The last efforts of the opposite party is to promote addresses from the several counties and boroughs against the Union. . . . No pains is spared to mislead and incense the multitude, which by a great many accidents were in no very good temper before. But doubt not but they will be undeceived in a little time."

[NEWS LETTER ?]

[1706,] October 29. On the 22nd the Parliament of Scotland read and considered the 16th, 17th, 18th and 19th Articles. They finished the first three and referred the further consideration of the 19th till the 23rd. Besides reasoning on the Articles they agreed to appoint a committee of three of each State for examining the calculation of the Equivalent, with liberty to call any without doors to their assistance, and the members of the committee were to be chosen on the 23rd.

* Not in Paterson's hand, but two or three words apparently inserted by him. Endorsed by Lewis.

“ On occasion of the Equivalent the Duke of Athol said that it was not reasonable to pay the proprietors of the African Company out of the Customs and Excise of Scotland, as was proposed by the treaty, and he moved that it should be done by the English out of their own money ; but he could not get above three to join with him in having his motion marked.

“ The M[arquis] of Annandale, who in private conversation says he will be for the Union, does still in public engage himself farther in opposition to it. [He] said that he did think that the African Company could not be dissolved by the Parliament without first citing every member of the Company and hearing them ; and that . . . though the treaty were ratified by both Parliaments, yet the African Company ought not to be dissolved till the Equivalent was paid by England.

“ On the reasonings about payment of public debts Duke Hamilton said his family could claim much for their sufferings at the Reformation and before the Restoration, whereupon the Justice Clerk said that his family had suffered many years forfeiture for the Reformation, but that he expected no relief for it out of the Custom and Excise of Scotland.

“ There is a great majority in the House for the Union, but many without doors are possessed against it, especially the common people, and there is daily a great addition to the mob who follow D[uke] Hamilton’s coach from the House through most part of the city crying *Huzzas*, casting up their hats and blessing him as the protector of the nation, and the opposers, failing of all other attempts, cajole the mob.

“ The Commission of the General Assembly having refused to address the Parliament for a national fast, which the opposers of the Union solicited, have ordered the several Presbyterians to keep a fast and day of prayer with their people in their several parishes ; and this besides what by a former Act they had done only as to the ministers themselves in their respective Presbyteries.

“ Councillor Shut has left Scotland and is to be in Yorkshire and Lancashire in his return to promote the elections there in favour of his patrons.

“ Captain Cole, one of the oldest captains of the fleet, is knighted.

“ Mrs. Collier’s mother is dead.

“ There is a design on foot to get an address from Ireland against the Union, as injurious to the interest of that kingdom, especially the linen manufacture ; but they could not at first determine whether to lay their petition before the Queen and Council, or Commissioners of Trade, or to wait for the session of Parliament.

“ The Duchess of Marlborough had visits from Dashwood, Jenkinson and most of her Oxfordshire neighbours except Abingdon, Rochester and Walters.

“ Marlborough writ to Tweeddale on the death of his son, Lord John Hay.

“ Marlborough writ to Mr. Johnston — came to him about the time that Brigadier Cholmley had got the reversion of

his brother's grant of Strickland's estate. I will not say all I could on this head. Some think that Cholmley's name is made use of in favour of Sir William Strickland.

"Lord Wharton is come to town. . . .

"The letter of credit for Spanheim narrates that the Queen having desired his stay in this juncture, and business having hitherto been managed by Raby and him, it is desired that Raby may be continued there, or something to this purpose."

[NEWS LETTER ?]

[1706,] October 31.—On Wednesday, the 23rd, Duke Hamilton in Parliament urged the printing the English book of rates and the English Acts relating to the regulation of trade and some other Acts, which occasioned a long debate of many hours. They that day nominated the committee for examining the calculation of the Equivalent, and allowed Dr. Gregory of Oxon his brother and Mr. Bower, tutor to Dupplin's son, two mathematicians, to assist them, and referred it to the committee to call for or order the printing of any necessary English Acts. The committee consists of Argyll, Montrose, Tweeddale, Bailey of Jarviswood, Haddo [Haldane] of Glenagles, Hume or Campbell of Cesnock, Montgomery, Provost of Glasgow, Areskin [Erskine], Governor of Stirling Castle, and one English, a goldsmith in Edinburgh.

"The rabble followed Hamilton to Athol's lodging, and from it to the Queen's house of Holyrood House and made there a great noise, threatening to sacrifice all the dogs who had sold their country. A committee of the Council that night ordered some companies of the foot guards to enter the town, and on Thursday the whole Council of fifty, or thereby, met and ordered the proclamation, and that the Lord Chancellor should next *sederunt* lay the case of the tumult and prisoners before the Parliament, and that the magistrates of Edinburgh should provide the conveniency of guard-houses for the foot guards.

"On Friday the Parliament met, and the Lord Chancellor acquainted the House, and some moved that thanks should be returned to the Privy Council for the care they had taken for the safety of the Parliament and the quiet and peace of the town, and to desire them to continue the same. It was said by some that as containing two heads it could not come under one vote; so it was first voted whether it should be jointly or separately voted, and, it being carried first for jointly and not separately, was carried after by another vote for both. . . .

"The Earl of Errol, constable of the kingdom, entered a protestation that, whereas it belonged to him to secure all without doors, as to the Earl Marshal to do it within doors, and to the magistrates of Edinburgh to secure the town, what was then to be voted might not be drawn into consequence hereafter to his and the others' prejudice, and Earl Marshal joined with him and took instruments thereupon."

[1706, October ?]—"Here is nothing but alarms of mobs—five, six, ten and twenty thousand in arms to fight for they know not what. Only 'twas always their unhappiness to oppose their own and the nation's welfare. There are many pretences against the Union, but the chief are despair of the Prince of Wales, after it, who will be excellently qualified between Popery, Episcopacy and Presbytery; the hair-brained madness of the godly party fear the downfall of Presbytery, the danger of the great ones losing the power to oppress the less, and some few merchants who can see they may lose twopence, but cannot discern the pounds they shall get, nor the good of their nation; or, if they did, that weighs with most not so much as twopence in their pocket to spend on ale and snuff, the height of their ambition. The women are as zealous as their men, because they lie fallow, while their countrymen marry so many English women with more money, beauty and sense, and, indeed, 'tis a wonder it is so, since few are well used after they come hither. The pretended reasons against the Union are poverty and slavery, which one would think could hardly be dreaded if true, being improbable to be greater than the miserable poverty and cruel tyranny they already suffer.

"As to the Union, the greatest part of the Parliament seem to be for it, but the spirit of the whole nation is against it. What will be the success cannot easily be foreseen, though it may be shortly determined, but if there were none wiser than I, England would drop it rather than stand the hazard of being refused, and unite with Ireland, which will be much more beneficial, and they court it; and I am certain Scotland will in a few years repent it. But they know not what is their advantage, and, therefore, are never long in a mind. They condemned the late Duke Hamilton because he did not procure an Union at the Revolution, and now they daily threaten the Duke of Queensborough with the loss of his head for proceeding in it. They are always in extremes, and always lose what is for their advantage. They are a people unaccountable, differ from all the world, and if they thought they had not some abroad to be angry at, they would perpetually quarrel at home. They are proud, lazy and envious, and consequently generally ignorant; and because morality (they say) will not carry them to Heaven, they resolve to reject morality and trust to a strong faith, which depends wholly on their will, for they can believe what they have a mind to and never believe what they dislike. The Kirk and the mob are their chief rulers. I shall add no more, but if the Dissenters in England were well acquainted with the Presbyterians of Scotland they would sooner close with Scotch Episcopacy, if not the English, rather than the Kirk."

[THE SAME to THE SAME.]

[1706, October ?]—"I have sent you my opinion of the generality of the people's entertainment of the Union, with some observations. They have for some time past been, by designing

men, kindled into a great hatred and malice against England, and they took the occasion from the opposition they met from England in the business of Darien, which . . . yet may be easily justified. The parties most active are some discontented noblemen who fear their reign will be short in oppressing the commonalty, as hitherto they have most shamefully done, their poverty and slavery here being little inferior to that of the negroes in Barbadoes. The next are the Jacobites, who, though not very considerable, trouble the waters that they may the better fish. The third are the Presbyterian ministers, who fear their insolence and infallibility will be in hazard and their stipends in danger, and, therefore, being (*sic*) the worst side of Popery, by humouring the mob they lead them implicitly to their ruin, and tell them from the pulpit, *prayers are not sufficient ; they must act*. Others make lectures upon the Articles, and a third gives notice from the pulpit that all the heritors must be in arms to support their friends who will join them within the week, and are not to be disappointed. Thus they instruct their auditory to murder for God's sake, and to defend their religion upon presumption, which has equal influence on them all, with the best proofs.

" They preach much like the Jesuits and friars, only with much less eloquence and sense, and their hearers answer their tears with groans and belches ; and herein, like Herod and Pilate, the Jacobites and Presbyterians, though mortal enemies, are for the present reconciled. Truly popery, prelacy and presbytery, with the Prince of Wales in their head, will make an admirable harmony, but how durable any may guess as well as a conjuror ; though their mighty malice hinders them from seeing it. They have generally a tender affection for France, being persuaded all their happiness must spring from thence, and, therefore, there is in the north almost a weekly correspondence with France. . . . The last movers of sedition are the monied men, who make all the rest of their Issachars to bear their burdens, and they have a mighty dread that the Union will bring money into the kingdom, and then their extortion must cease, which is very excessive and unjust. The mob seem for the present suppressed, but they must be watched. If the Parliament accept the Union, as is very probable, presbytery must be governed as papists in a Protestant country—with a rod of iron—for they reckon themselves God's ambassadors and their people God's chosen, and, like the Pope, above all civil government ; great penalties and joint bonds may keep them more in awe than oaths and secure the peace better ; for they all love money wonderfully, though ignorant how to get it honestly. Usury, fraud and oppression are the common methods of the sharp men, as are here called their rich knaves.

" I have printed and acted here for the Union, and, had I had directions from the ministers above, might have been more useful in it, having access to all the great ones and familiarity with most of the inferior. *Adieu.*"

Postscript. " Some ministers ought at least to be silenced, if not banished, for the whole Assembly, by their intermeddling with secular affairs, have forfeited their loyal establishment and deserve little favour."

ELIZABETH POOL, Widow, and the inhabitants of Acton and Turnham Green.—Petition to Sir John Holt, Lord Chief Justice.

[After 1706, October.]—For a warrant to take down the body of Roger Lowen, executed for the murder of Richard Lloyd, “now hanging in irons upon Turnham Green in view of the house where the said Lowen lived, and rented from petitioner, which she can never expect to sell or let while the said body continues, it being her whole subsistence.” It is also a great “annuence” to all the inhabitants, so that some have left the place.

Twenty-three signatures, including Ann Coryton, widow of Sir John Coryton, baronet; Sir Thos. Frankland; Sir Francis Childe and Anthony Saunders, D.D., rector of Acton.

[NEWS LETTER ?]

[1706,] November 5.—“The Duke of Atholl moved that in compliance with the Commission of the General Assembly’s order for a fast, Mr. Meldrum and Mr. Hamilton might preach before the House on Thursday in the Parliament House. It was answered that the order was for each presbitery to appoint the fast at what time they thought proper; that it depended on the Commissioner to adjourn the Parliament; and in case of a sermon to nominate the ministers and place. On the naming of the Commission of the Assembly, Mr. Fletcher [of Saltoun] said some things had been done in that Assembly that those who were members of the Parliament and of it had reason to be ashamed of, and would be so if he should name them, which occasioned a great heat in the House; but after all the whole was dropped, and the members left to fast and pray where they pleased.

“Mr. Fletcher, on the occasion of considering the Articles the same day, said that the Commissioners had betrayed their trust; Sutherland took him up and others after. Hamilton, Atholl and Belhaven endeavoured to extenuate or justify him, and Belhaven making his case worse, he desired to be heard for himself, and confessed that his passion had made him say what he did, but that he designed not to reflect on the persons who treated. Argyll, having said much to the advantage of the treaters and much against Mr. Fletcher’s expressions, owned he had a relation to him and an old friendship for him, and wished the House would accept of his submission, and that he would not trouble the House as he had too often done before, and the House agreed to it.

“The *Flying Post* gives a false relation of this affair.

“Annandale said he was against an incorporate Union because it was against the claim of right.

“Stairs—the same Parliament which settled the claim made an address to King William for an incorporate Union, and that he in the former treaty was for it, and since, but now against it because not one of the Commissioners and for other such reasons.

“The Swedish envoy desirous to expect some other posts for the certainty of Augustus’ victory

"Mr. Stanhope and Dr. Hutton came hither on Saturday in a yacht from Holland. The Doctor says you were the last he waited on and the first on his return. He says that you are a great favourite in the Court of H[anover].

"Bradford has bad nights and is very weak; Sir Wm. Forrester told me this day he was going to him from the Queen with a compliment. I wish my Lord Poulett's friends, whereof you are the chief, thought of him in case of his death. The strong box gentlemen talk of Wharton."

Endorsed, not by Harley :—"Mr. Robert Cunningham."

[WILLIAM PATERSON TO ERASMUS LEWIS?]

1706, November 5. Edinburgh.—"On the 30th of October, which was the day after the despatch of my last, our Parliament read a Bill for adjourning the session, and after that read the 24th and 25th Articles of the Treaty and so adjourned. Thursday being appointed by the Commission of the Kirk as a day of prayer for light and direction to the members of Parliament, was accordingly observed by preaching, etc., wherein some of the most zealous clergy did not fail to express their fears for their Kirk and cause after the Union.

"On Friday, the 1st of November, the Parliament voted the adjournment of the session to the 1st of December, and then began to resume the first Article to reason and debate upon it in order to conclude. But the Anti-Unitarians brought in some addresses from Perthshire and the Lothians against it, which they desired to be read first. This was acquiesced to by the Court. But after they were read the party urged that they should consult their constituents before they proceeded to it; but this the Court would not grant, and the D[uke] of Argyll made a handsome speech on that occasion. He told [them]: *Our Parliament had always despatched the weightiest affairs of the nation without using that formality; that they had declared King James to have forfeit his crown and turned out the bishops, who were one of the Estates of Parliament, without consulting constituents: and in fine, by the Oath of Parliament members are bound to act according to their consciences and judgments, although contrary to the orders of their constituents.* At last the first Article was read and the debate upon it adjourned till Saturday.

"When the Parliament sat down the Court proposed to go to the first Article, but the other party proposed that the Act for the Security of the Kirk should be first considered. It was voted, *Kirk or first Article*: it carried for the latter by thirty-eight voices. Then it was agreed to a resolve that the first Article, though voted and approved, should be of no force unless all the others were approved also; and next, that the Security of the Kirk should be considered after the first Article. The Parliament sat from ten in the morning till eight at night; and this Article being thought the main point and the merits of the cause, it was closely reasoned. Many fine speeches, as also several long ones, were made *hinc inde*. Your friend, Pitmedden, had a

pretty large one for it ; the D[uke] of Ham[ilton] spoke loudly against it, and Saltoun spoke also against it with all the rhetoric, art and force of argument the subject could bear, and (which is not his ordinary) with great calmness too. He alleged from history that the condition of the Governments of Flanders, Milan and the kingdoms of Spain enjoyed more wealth, freedom and power than since they were united with Castile, and that the fate of all weaker States after an Union with greater kingdoms was to be at length swallowed up and enslaved by them. He received answers to all these topics by shewing the difference in the parallel, and that the reasons in this present treaty were quite different from the others.

“ The Lord Belhaven made a long and formal speech against it, which I expect he will print ; but here is a little of it literally, as it was delivered. He began : *My Lord Chancellor : Since you are now come upon this subject I will unfold myself and must say the thoughts of this Union hath occasioned me many melancholy reflections, which I cannot so properly discover anywhere as in this place.* And then, after some grimace and some enthusiastic like airs, he continued in this strain : *Methinks, after this Union, I see our brave countrymen enthralled and enslaved. Methinks I see our noble peers degraded and divested of their honours. Methinks I see them reduced to the same state with their vassals and dependents. Methinks I see our great families broke and dissipated and forced tamely to part with these honours their predecessors purchased with their blood and swords. Methinks I see that honourable body of barons, the bulwark of our State, and who have always by their wisdom and valour supported our privileges, reduced to a state of slavery and treated with all ignominy and contempt by foreigners. Methinks I see our best people walking in the Courts of Requests, drooping their heads and afraid to wear their swords for fear of giving occasion of offence. Methinks I see our burghers, who sometime have flourished in wealth and trade, walking their desolate and deserted streets. Methinks I see the wives lamenting the loss of their slaughtered husbands, and the virgins deploring their own condition, and forced to seek husbands in foreign parts ; and a great deal more to this purpose.* He said also : *Methinks I see Caledonia, like Caesar, slaughtered in the senate house, saying, Vos mei filii Squadrone Volante.* My Lord Marchmont answered : *I'm of opinion that member by his expressions, Methinks I see, I see, hath had a vision, and what then ? He talketh of things 1700 years past, and I'm assured, were that member once awake, he'll find all is a dream, and all he hath spoken containeth more of imagination and fantasy than anything real or solid.* My Lord Mar said that the true answer should be given to that dreaming peer was to read this dream backward, as is our Scots way of speaking. But my Lord Roxburgh, who by his handsome and fine appearances hath so far gained upon the minds of both parties as to be justly esteemed by all one of the finest speakers in our Parliament, made a noble and elegant speech for an incorporating Union, wherein . . . he held forth the advantages of it, as also the present causes of our miseries and calamities and how by an Union

these would be removed. . . . He afterwards answered Lord Belhaven's speech very roundly, and told : *What Belhaven said would not only happen, but for the most part was already come to pass : but the only reason was the want of an Union, seeing by it all these grievances would be redressed, and all these distempers remedied ; all our differences and animosities cemented ; our trade set upon a right foot ; our liberties and privileges, which are now so precarious, secured, and our wealth and strength increased. Belhaven had entertained the House with his visions and dreams, but without proving the divinity of his mission, and his eloquence would have great influence upon shoemakers, tailors, cobblers, etc., for whose meridian it was calculated, and to whom it seemed to be addressed. But if he would ingenuously tell his real reasons why he was against an Union (as he had given some specious pretences for it) he would by that make more proselytes to the cause than his speech could create enemies.*

" They adjourned till Monday without coming to a vote, the Court allowing them to speak all they could say ; but Argyll was extremely displeased that it came not to a vote, and said that the regulations of the House were not observed.

" On Monday, they sat, and came upon the first Article. The D[uke] Han[ilton] spoke a great deal that *the Parliament could not approve the article ; that though John Baliol, with his Parliament, did pay homage to England, yet that was judged illegal ; and though in the 1681 the Parliament declared that the heir to the Crown might exercise the government without any oaths or forms, and that King James entered upon it without these ceremonies, yet in the 1689 it was declared null and against law. He inferred that this Parliament could not lawfully enter into the Union against the humours of the people.*

It was answered that *these heats and differences were occasioned by the industrious and artificial methods by which they had poisoned the populace, and that these stories would vanish when they felt the effects of the Union.* At length it was voted—*Approve the first Article or not ;* and it carried *Approve* by thirty-two voices. But our Kirk are like to declare against it, by reason their consciences cannot allow them to be subject to twenty-six English bishops.

" The Royal boroughs, by a foolish and irregular step, have this day drawn an address against an incorporating Union. They are mad upon the point, without allowing reason to be heard, as are all our populace and many others who are daily addressing from all quarters against it."

————— to —————

1706, November 5. Ed[inburgh.]—" The Articles and frame of the Treaty of Union, having been here under consideration for several weeks in order to informing the members of some things that are in general and ambiguous terms expressed in them, the matter came at length to this state, viz., that the Articles only should be considered in order to rejecting or accepting them ;

in this debate there arose a question at what Article to begin. Some did urge, very rationally, that the first three Articles should be predetermined (*sic*) until the other subsequent Articles should be determined, alleging for their reason that though they were first in the order of the paper, yet they were last in order of nature, and were the result, conclusion and consequence of the Articles. While this was under debate it was moved by a member that the securing of the Protestant Presbyterian Government should be *ante omnia* taken into consideration and provided for. These several points being wrapped up together occasioned this state of a question, viz., that the House should proceed to the consideration of the first Article of the treaty, with this provision, that, though it should be approved and agreed to, yet it should not be conclusive and binding, nor of any effect, until all the other Articles should be adjusted; and that that affair relating to the Church should be taken into consideration next and immediately after the first Article. After two whole days very warm debate, in which there were very fine speeches, there was proposed by the Marquess of Annandale two motions drawn up by way of resolve, and proposed to the House to consider and take their choice and resolve upon either as they thought fit. These two motions, or either of them, were thought as expedients and as sufficient both to satisfy the Queen and as effectual to obtain that good understanding, correspondence and conjunction betwixt the nations as the scheme of Union now proposed is, that all good and peaceable men will wish for. This, I say, was thought so by some, and therefore it was seconded by many, and particularly by the Duke of Hamilton, Earl of Kincardine, Lord Belhaven, Mr. Fletcher. The state of the nation without doors makes it indispensably necessary that this or some such measure be taken, for you must know that the whole nation are aloft about our ears, and virulent addresses daily brought up upon this head from all corners of the country, subscribed by multitudes of hands, and not only from country places and single corporations, but one is also from the Royal boroughs, which is one of the three Estates of Parliament, and even all pulpits for the most part ring with thunderings against it; nay, further, we are both threatened and do dread worse things than paper addresses, for the managers of our affairs have thought fit to bring in all the forces into and about the town that are not attached to garrisons, so that we have triple double guards posted all over the town, especially in the Parliament close, which you call yard; as also an unusual number of the horse guards now attend the Commissioner's procession to and from the House, at least twice as many as used to be when nothing but state and pomp was meant; and not only do they ride in a body after the coach, but last night a certain number, separate from the rest, rode on either side of the Commissioner's coach to keep people from coming near it. We walk in a long lane of guards into the House and out from it, which, by the only view that I had from it last night, could not be compute to less than upwards of two hundred men, and all these charged sharp. I have told you of the ringing of the pulpits which thunders out the obligations of

the covenant and curses and imprecations against those that join in the Union as guilty of perjury and breach of covenant. There is also an address ready from that we call the Commission of the Kirk, which consists of a certain number delegate from the General Assembly; it contains, as I am told, representations of the same sort which I have told you are thundered from our pulpits; it contains also further, as I hear, that all Scotsmen who are to be admitted to public trust, either in England or Scotland, shall be excused from taking the Sacramental Test. It proceeds yet further, viz: That it shall be stipulate to us as a fundamental unalterable condition in the treaty that the bishops of England be debarred for ever from voting in anything which may have any relation to or contingency with affairs of the Church of Scotland, which, in plain consequence, reaches to everything that may concern the Church of England too.

“But I will return to the thread of the history of our procedure which, in short, is this, viz.: That the promoters of the Union were so full of their own scheme that no arguments was able to divert them, but everything was answered with a hideous noise, ‘To the vote! To the vote!’; but when it was just to be put to the question the Duke of Athole gave in a protest against it, to which near the one half of the House adhered. This also gave occasion to an ordinance of the House that every man’s name should be marked down and recorded as he voted for or against; to this height of animosity are things gone. At length it was brought to the question, and it was carried that the first Article of the Union, with the provisions and reservations I have before expressed, should be approved. It was carried by a plurality of thirty-one or thirty-two votes, of which there [were] twenty-six or twenty-seven of them who had been upon the Commission of the Treaty, and by being so were predetermined in the affirmative, so that setting aside these it was carried only by a plurality of five or six; and of those that did vote in the affirmative there was not a few, and these none of the least quality, who expressed in their voting that it was with and under the conditions and reservation mentioned. What shall be the event of this affair I will not pretend to divine, but sure I am at present in a very ill frame of mind in this nation.”

THE UNION WITH SCOTLAND.

[1706, November 7.]—“Observations on a paper sent from Scotland in the letters of November 7 [1706], relating to several Articles in the treaty of Union.” Dealing with the commercial and financial Articles.

PROPRIETORS of the SHIP *Worcester* and her Cargo.

1706, November 12. (*Received.*)—On November 18th, 1705, they petitioned the Queen for letters of reprisal for 35,006*l.* 1*s.* 3*d.*, at which they computed their loss. Her Majesty referred the petition to the Attorney General, who reported the loss they had

sustained as 23,975*l.* 0*s.* 10*d.*, not counting sundry charges which they have to pay or the two years' interest of the money. The proprietors on this petitioned her Majesty that they might be paid 5,000 a year for seven years; which petition, on August 11th last, her Majesty referred to the Committee of the Lords. They now pray their Lordships that if they may not have the allowance so proposed they may be granted an equivalent, such as a report that they have sustained the damage petitioned for and that it was occasioned by the East India Company's first seizing the *Annamdale*. This will enable them to apply to Parliament for liberty to trade to any part of the East Indies for 20,000*l.* per annum, without paying to the East India Company 5 per cent. on the return of such trade, and for liberty of settling a colony or colonies on the Island of Madagascar or other place beyond the Cape of Good Hope, to the equinoctial line and eastward to the meridian of the Island Diego Ruys, with liberty to erect forts or castles for their defence, and authority to take or destroy the pirates; and considering the great expense which they would thus be put to, to have this licence in perpetuity, free from the East India Company, but paying to the Crown 5,000*l.* per annum. The proprietors are in hopes of being granted this report and so being enabled to be granted this act, because it will not injure the East India Company; it will bring in to the Crown an additional 5,000*l.* a year, besides the increased customs; and it will prevent the East India trade from being made a monopoly, which was never intended by the present Act.

LIEUTENANT-COLONEL JAMES JONES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, November 15. Dublin.—Expressing his surprise that his regiment should be going to the West Indies, “and especially being under Colonel Lillingston's command, who has been before laid aside for his miscarriage to several gentlemen under his command.” The regiment is suffering great hardships because the said Colonel has not presented their accounts. Hopes that the command may be given to him, without depriving Lillingston of his full pay during the six months leave which has been promised to him. *Signed*.

[JAMES, DUKE OF HAMILTON] to his Brother, the
EARL OF ORKNEY.

[1706,] November 16.—“* . . . My fondness of it, among many thousand reasons, makes me against this incorporating Union; for I am sure, if that go on, it will make a confusion and augment the difference betwixt the nations instead of healing the breaches. For this nation will never swallow it, and force can only keep it upon that foot, which I hope in God never to see; and that the wise men of England, who only projected this to bring us into their succession, will be pleased that it have that effect, which it will have now if they please, and will do anything in reason to procure it.

* The beginning of the letter is wanting.

" I cannot express the ferment that there is at present here, and, if you will believe me, I dread the consequence of the unreasonable pushing of this matter, that if it proceed it will pass all people's power to prevent mischief ; for all sorts of people are disgusted at it except the *Squadron* and the first projectors of it. It were too tedious a matter to enter into the reasonings on this head, but if you were here and saw and heard what is to be said upon the subject, forasmuch as you think you are for it, I am sure you would soon alter your opinion, and, in a word, though there are a few men within doors, the one out of revenge and the other to cover past crimes, [who] carry on this matter, you would soon be convinced it is absolutely impracticable without a conquest and a constant standing army to maintain it, and whatever [erased] does, that may some time or another endanger the liberties of England as well as master us. You may believe this makes me tremble ; and as I always told that a good understanding between the two nations was absolutely necessary, and what, above all things, I wished, yet I could never be allowed to contribute my mite towards it, though I had more than any man living to lose in both nations by the quarrel. But where one does not jump into the measures that are laid down by a Court, whatever they are, they are (*sic*) judged enemies and discountenanced. I made all the advances I could by giving the Queen the nomination. I had a good intent by it. I thought it would have been made such as the wise men of both nations might have had a share in it ; and by its being a nomination large in itself and not confined to parties, it might have had the desired effect to unite them in interest, and wherein they are unitable, and to have provided such other regulations as might be judged safe and agreeable to the interests of both. But where party only rules they calculate what suits that, and only let them give it what denomination they please. . . . I think the management has been quite contrary to the end ; for at first it was made a mighty secret ; nobody knew what it was, and then it had many favourers. But it was no sooner exposed but those who were fondest of the talk of it came to be most inveterate against it. I am sure I cannot be blamed, for I kept close in Lancashire and did not come to London to give any sort of opposition to it, nor was I here to occasion any dislike of it, though I ever, from my experience and knowledge of the interests and temper of this nation, knew that an incorporating Union would not go down in this country but by force of arms ; and, therefore, I never thought of it but with fear and trembling, and I am sure if you saw what condition we are in here now you would be sufficiently convinced of this.

" As soon as the Articles were printed, I tell you, all sets of men recoiled against it ; and, if I might have been believed, if they had had a mind to pursue it, the best way had been to have delayed the pressing it without entering upon it, but to have let the nation cool and to have given them time to examine the thing, and see whether their jealousies were reasonably founded ; but, instead of that, it must be pressed, and in such a hasty

manner that no time must be allowed, but it must be done off score, and every step of this kind made it more and more unfavourable with the people, when one week's time was but craved at first to prepare men's views to examine the thing. Because they had a prepared majority in Parliament it was not to be allowed. Then when we came for information to read the minutes of the proceedings with the Articles, and desired only to calculate the computations of the Equivalent, that was opposed with so much earnestness that it justly created a greater jealousy; and so from one step to another. And whenever any objections were made no answers of any kind were returned, but shifting one question with shuffling in another, and so on during the whole course of our reading the Articles with the minutes for information; so that, before that was ended, most people had got a sufficient distaste at the present scheme. The Kirk, they took the alarm; the boroughs and trading people, they took the alarm; and, in short, the whole nation in general; and so much frightened with it that if a foreign enemy were at the door there could not be a greater consternation.

"The projectors and abettors of this are so far engaged that they, and they only, are for pushing this through at any rate. But I believe no history can parallel that when a nation in general is so averse to a thing, that the representatives will have no regard for their constituents, but in spite of their teeth and contrary to their repeated instructions they will proceed in a matter where so much aversion is shown, as is every day manifest over the whole nation in general, as well as in this place in particular. There's none of your frontier garrisons in Flanders where there are stricter guards than about our Parliament-house; occasioned under the pretence of the foolish boys at first exclaiming at their provost, their huzzaing and breaking his windows, because they thought he was zealous for this incorporating Union: though it is certain the magistrates of the place had dispersed this mob before the troops went into the town to seize the posts, and that they would have engaged for the security of the peace; but they, finding they had a majority of Parliament, would press matters and carry things with a high hand, and took that occasion to surround our house with the guards for securing the freedom of our deliberations. Since that time no disposition of an attack of any counterescarp has been more regular than the lining of the streets, and at every twenty paces *une pite corpe* (? *un petit corps*) from the Parliament-house to the Abbey has been the way of our procession. You will have seen the proclamation about the rabble, and therefore I will not trouble you with any reflection upon what it contains in relation to the firing upon those who shall be found in the streets without distinction, upon any such occasion. In short, I am sorry to tell you, things have a most dismal aspect here.

"I took the liberty to write to my Lord Treasurer, and gave him an account of my thoughts of things; but you know when people offer advice without being called they are seldom regarded. But I have so much duty for her Majesty, and I wish so much

the preservation of the peace, that I thought myself obliged to represent the present ferment both to the Commissioner and Chancellor as well as to his Lordship . . . Though I know my enemies are always malicious to me, yet I am sure I can get nothing but mischief by any disorder that should happen ; therefore you may be sure that I have done what I could to hinder it. But . . . since our voting the first Article, though it be provisionally, yet the people are so alarmed at it that they are quite mad. Addresses come in from all corners daily against this, as you will perceive by the minutes.

“Yesterday we passed the second Article, as we did the first, though with much more irregularity ; and we spent two days about the preference, whether we should enter upon the consideration of the second or fourth Article first ; and, after a long debate, it was carried to take the second into consideration first, which was forced to the vote without ever debating upon it at all ; for our reasonings had only been upon the preference, and not the subject matter of the Article.

“It is reported here that there are troops coming to the Borders to make good the votes we pass. God knows if that looks like an agreeable Union ! The people are so mad at all this that though the Commissioner is guarded in an extraordinary manner, yet they throw stones at his coach as it passes. I was told they did so last night, and one of the gentlemen of the guard was hurt in the face. I make no doubt he follows his instructions ; but there are others much more violent than he. You will think strange [*sic*] when I tell you that when we proposed to address her Majesty to inform her of the present ferment . . . and that I offered to go immediately into the nomination of the Princess Sophia upon limitations such as might suit with the security and interest of this nation, and that we prayed there might be a recess till the Commissioner might have time to know her Majesty’s mind upon this subject before we proceeded to the second Article, it was denied ; by which you may judge of the impetuosity of our proceedings. I laboured all I could to bring this to bear. I am sure after this England cannot say now we desire to keep things loose, though we are not willing to enter into an unequal Union, which may create disturbances and increase animosities rather than cure them. Now . . . I promoted the Cess Act and dispatched it in two readings, for I thought it most just and reasonable, in all events, her Majesty’s government should be supported, so that there was no difficulty there. . . . I am sure if her Majesty had been in person to hear what has been said she would have granted our desire, since all the ends of the several Acts against us had always that quality in them—that their force and effect were to cease upon our declaring the successor of England to be ours ; and since this was offered without a convulsion, I leave you to judge what can be said for this, if it is not, that nothing less than a total surrender will please some at the hazard of all the confusion that a distracted people are in.

“I may justly now make excuses for the length of this, but by its being so I have lost the post . . . My wife and I

return thanks to my Lady Orkney and you for minding us upon the occasion of our daughter, so dear brother, adieu. If you have any good snuff, pray send me a pound, for I can get none here, and what my brother Archibald sent me last is done."

Postscript in the Duke's own hand.—"Take no notice of this letter, but I send it you to save me the trouble of writing the same things over again to you. Perhaps my brother Orkney may shew it you." *Copy, probably sent to Harley.*

[*"Ashton" is written at the top, and also the date, in the Duke's hand, but the original letter to Orkney was evidently written at Edinburgh.*]

JOHN NELMES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, November 16. Leominster.—Requesting him to appear in his behalf, together with Lord Coningsby, to obtain a discharge for his son, Caleb Nelmes, who was listed a soldier at Worcester and is likely to be sent soon to Ireland; and reminding him of his readiness to serve Harley's family.

CAPTAIN E. HARDWICK to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, November 25. Lamb Inn, near Temple Bar.—The forest of Dean was intended to be a nursery of ship-timber for the Royal Navy, but it is overgrown with beech and other weeds not useful in building ships of war, which shade, hinder and destroy the oak that would grow under it and in its place, and answer the intent of the Statute (20 Car. II). Their cutting would be of great service to the nation and to the commoners in their herbage; and I am ready to answer any objection to the contrary.

Note by Harley :—"Captain Hardwick told me of himself that he signed the petition against it when he was drunk."

JOHN OZELL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, November 28. Arundel Street, Strand.—Concerning discoveries made by him of irregularities in the payment of certain crown-rents, and offering his further services in case Harley intends to be a purchaser of crown-rents.

[JAMES, DUKE OF HAMILTON] to GAWEN MASON [? HARLEY].

1706, November 28. Holyrood House.—"If you have received what I wrote last night, your L. will see what we have been doing. I told you in my last we were to choose additional members to the Committee of Calculators to consider and report the branches of our trade relative to the fifth Article, and after we proceeded this day to read the seventh Article. . . . But I must explain one thing which is not expressed as was agreed before we came to any vote, for the Article is not voted nor passed; only that clause relating to excise upon beer and ale.

"It seems by your letter you have not known I have been lame since the first day of this Parliament; that I had a mighty sprain which has confined me to my house ever since, for I am carried from one room to another ever since; my foot is so weak that I cannot lean upon it, and I am afraid it will cost me a journey to the Bath. . . ."

"I am very weary, having been all day in the House, and we are to sit again to-morrow; but for all that it will take up yet a considerable time before this treaty be got through."

"I make no doubt my brother R[uglen?] will shew you what I have sent to him, as I desire you may what I have sent to you." [*Copy by Lewis.*]

THOMAS PRITCHARD to the QUEEN.

1706, November 28. Worcester Castle.—Has already sent an account of his imprisonment for nearly five months "in the Marshall's in the Savoy" by the hand of Mr. John Duncombe. From thence he was brought to Worcester by "a company of rude soldiers who egregiously abused" him on the road. Since his coming thither he has been beaten, put into the dungeon and ironed, contrary to the law. He prays for damages and for an Act of Parliament to reinvest him in his estate.

HARRY COLT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, November 30. Ludlow.—"I am surprised to find people should have the impudence to inform you of such a notorious story; I desire you will do me the justice not to credit such a scandalous report, for he was as fairly listed as any man I have. He listed himself a-coming from Kingsland fair, and, by the persuasion of his friend, he denied it at Lempster, upon which my ensign and several of my men went before Mr. Powell and Mr. Winton the bailly, who took our men's affidavits and examined the matter, and they deemed him a soldier. But since, Vernol and his wife have trumped up all the scandalous stories of my selling of men and of my usage to them, which, upon doing me justice, I defy all mankind to say anything against me. Besides, he gave me since his receipt and took the remaining forty shillings of the sergeant. Mr. Edward Sherriffe of Eventon, my friend, saw it, but upon his promise to me to find me another man in his room I would discharge him. . . ."

"I hope you will not take this long preamble any ways to excuse sending back the man upon your asking. I should be unfit to live was it so; for as my post and my welfare is entirely owing to your generous self . . . if it be your pleasure to command this man let me know and I will send for him back; otherwise I will keep him in spite of all their interest and their threatenings."

THE SHIP Worcester.

[1706, November?].—The proprietors of the Worcester reply to the most weighty objection made for satisfying them for their

loss and damage, viz : That the East India Company may be disobliged therewith:—The Company is under such obligations to the Government and will be such gainers by the treaty of Union that it is not to be supposed they will offer any hindrance to the settlement, which their objecting to this proposal would do, for the proprietors would be obliged to apply to Parliament for relief and so revive the ill usages they have met with in Scotland, occasioned by the fault of the East India Company in seizing the *Annandale* and the barbarity of the reprisal.

WILLIAM BORRETT to ERASMUS LEWIS.

1706, December 1. Inner Temple.—I have considered your letter setting forth that Robert Strong has attended several months to be a witness against Hannan, to the great prejudice of his private affairs, for which you would know how some consideration may be made him without being interpreted by ill-intentioned people as a bribe to influence his testimony; and have discoursed with Mr. Attorney-General, who says you may safely give him a weekly allowance, or a sum in gross for his expenses, without prejudice to his evidence hereafter. I have sent herewith a certificate that Strong brought last night.

Enclosing certificate referred to, dated November 30, 1706, and signed by a churchwarden and three inhabitants of the parish of St. John, Wapping, testifying to Strong's good reputation and to the loss of wages and credit incurred by his detention.

MARQUIS DE SILLY to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, December [4.] 15. Paris.—The state of his health is such that he cannot possibly undertake a journey to England, so he craves a prolongation of his leave and a continuance of Harley's favours. *French.*

A similar letter bears the date December 29, 1706. *French.*

W. CARSTARES to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706, December 5. Edinburgh.—I did write to you some weeks ago, having cheerfully embraced the opportunity which you were pleased to afford me. "I did then give you some account of our affairs, particularly as to the concerns of our church, and I am heartily sorry that I cannot give you a more satisfactory information of what has passed since. I doubt not but you have seen the second address of the Commission of our Church to the Parliament, in which you find that the scruples of some as to the Union go further than I confess mine do, for though I be as much for Presbytery as others that make a greater noise, and do hope never to see another Church government in this nation, yet I am far from thinking that it is unlawful that Scotland for the common safety of religion and liberty should unite with England, though by the constitution of its civil government twenty-six bishops shall be members of the British Parliament, seeing that is a thing

which it is not in our power to redress. I write nothing of this to you but what I have published and asserted in our debates about that matter, and for this reason I could not but dissent from the address mentioned above.

“ It is like too that you hear of sermons preached against the Union by some ministers. I shall not pretend to justify these ; a prudent consideration of affairs would show how unsuitable such discourses are, but this is not every man’s talent, yet I know you are too wise and just to impute the indiscretion of particular persons to the whole body, or not to receive reports at a distance with some grains of allowance. The plain truth is that in some places the ferment of the people upon false notions of the Union is so great that ministers for their safety are obliged to go further in complying with them than they are of themselves inclined to, and there is a disguised management of many that are enemies to our present constitution, civil as well as sacred, that cherisheth this ferment with a success which I lament ; but many begin to see their error, particularly ministers, but I cannot but acknowledge that in several places this country is in a distracted state, which increaseth my earnest desires to see the Union brought to a happy conclusion, as that which alone is like to be our safety and to bring us to a good settlement, though at first it may be attended with a convulsion, which no doubt you are aware of.

“ The Parliament goes on as vigorously as I conceive is possible, and I think those entrusted with the management of this affair act their part steadily and honestly.

“ If I may have the satisfaction to know that my letters come safe to your hands I shall presume to write more fully.

“ Allow me once again to recommend to your favour Mr. Pringle, who was Secretary-depute for Scotland, and whose good character I have presumed often to give you. There is now a vacancy in our session through the death of one of the judges, who was Mr. Pringle’s uncle, and who many months before his death was willing to resign his place in his nephew’s favour, and, as I hear, her Majesty would have consented to it had it had a precedent. A good word in his behalf from you to any that have influence with the Duke of Queensberry may be of advantage to him.”

Pos. script.—Dec. 7. The Commission of our Church has wrote the enclosed, which I hope will allay the feeling against them.

Enclosing :—

THE COMMISSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY to THE SEVERAL PRESBYTERIES.

1706, December 6. Edinburgh.—Monitory letter recommending them to discountenance all tumults and disorders, “ which the enemies of our present happy establishment may be ready to improve, though without ground, to the disadvantage and reproach of this Church.” *Signed*, R. W. (*sic*) Moderator.

Copy.

[*William Wishart was Moderator at this time.*]

AMBROSE CROWLEY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, December 7.—“ The countries about London having been so much abused by housebreakers, I thought it my duty to exert myself in taking and convicting as many as I could ; but finding so many have been pardoned and sent for soldiers obligeth me humbly to pray you would move her Majesty that the five under-mentioned persons, being old offenders, may not be reprieved till I am first heard.

“ Thomas Arnold, Richard Morris, Arthur Chambers, James Gardner, William Bentley.” *Signed.*

WILLIAM BORRETT to [ERASMUS LEWIS].

1706, December 8.—“ Please to acquaint Mr. Secretary that the judges leave the court at the Old Bailey so soon as the trials of the criminals are over ; and that the Recorder or his deputy pass the sentences and discharges the gaol ; and that Mr. Recorder not being well we substituted Mr. Common serjeant, who discharged Le Moyne de Brie, and I believe, upon no other reason but because he was not proceeded against. If Mr. Secretary pleases, he may order the keeper of Newgate to bring him up forthwith to be examined, and thereupon commit him to the Marshalsea, or lay a fresh charge upon him in Newgate ; but if something is not done he will be quite discharged to-morrow.”

[WILLIAM PATTERSON to ROBERT HARLEY ?]

1706, December 10. Edinburgh.—“ Since my last, of the 5th of November, I have had no small trouble about the Articles that relate to trade and taxes ; first . . . to prevent any breaking into them at all, and when that could not be avoided, to get the alterations as reasonable and native to the Union as possible ; and excepting what may relate to the salt and malt duties, think none of them have been nor hope will be of moment, and even as to these, that expedients may be found. I expected much trouble in those and the like things before I parted from London, but nothing near so much as I have found.

“ The common people, not only about this town, but through all the countries, have been strangely blown up against the Union by several accidents, but particularly by not a few ministers and magistrates ; yet of this I am not very careful, since I doubt not but if we can get the treaty passed they will have leisure to cool and come to better temper possibly than if they had never been in this ferment.

In the meantime it has made some great men more uneasy than I think the case requires. All this stir has proceeded principally from a greater and more stated party for King James VIII (as they style him here), than could well have been expected. Besides, when it shall be considered how extremely the parties are embittered against one another, that not a few Presbyterian ministers openly declared against the Union, whilst the rest of them,

by their doubtful and diffident carriage about it, enraged the mob more than if they had openly appeared against it; and adding to these that there is hardly the face of a Government either within or without doors, such disorders ought not to be thought strange; . . . and had it not been for the season of the year and the few forces on foot it must have been much worse.

“Of all who are for the Union the *Squadron Volante* have been the most firm and deserve the greatest reputation. . . .

“I am glad to find the Commons have expressed themselves so frankly and unanimously in every good thing, particularly with regard to the Union.”

RICHARD BUTLER, WILLIAM ASHTON and others to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706, December 11. (*Received.*)—Memorial with regard to their proposals in relation to the wool trade; praying for Harley’s “favourable construction” on their behalf. They specify some of the details of their project; begging that the English manufactures may at first be set on foot by a sum out of the English funds, and that, to recompense “the inhabitants of St. Christopher’s and Nevis, who were plundered by the French, they may receive a clothing of lincey-woolsey manufacture *gratis* for their white servants, negroes and Indian slaves for three or four years, or as long as it shall be thought convenient.”

[WILLIAM PATTERSON to ROBERT HARLEY?]

1706, December 21. Edinburgh.—“Since my last the Parliament have been taken up in drawbacks, allowances, and I know not what of this nature, in which the temper of getting all by and giving nothing for the Union hath still more discovered itself. I am ashamed of the silly mean things I daily hear and see, and am confident others will in a little time.

“The sixth Article is passed, patched up in the manner you will see by the printed minutes transmitted to Mr. Lewis, and the eighth is like to be loaded in the same manner; but what is apprehended most in that is the endeavours for a further exemption after the seven years, which it is supposed will come on upon Monday, and how it will go I cannot tell, considering how loose and fickle some of our people are, and what monstrous conceptions they have in this matter.

“I have for now about three months been so pestered with these kind of things as not really to be able to think or write, and till these things are over it is like to continue so. . . .

“Upon the whole I am not so much concerned for these little things, which will naturally cure themselves in two or three years after the Union, and in the meantime do no great hurt (and the prejudice will be only to the Equivalent) provided the foundations of the Union be but saved, and still continue to think the malt

and salt exemptions are the most material of the trade or money matters, and if this and the fifteenth Articles were once over I should have room to think of expedients.

“ I wish you a happy new year and many of them. For me I begin to think it very uneasy to be here so long, especially in winter and in the midst of such a hurricane of nonsense ; yet should I be comforted could I but once see the way of bringing any sense out of it, which I shall not until the matter of the treaty be over here, and it will be well if I can then.”

BARON D' ELTZ to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1706, December 25-]1707, January 5. Lichfield.—In the hope that my penance made to the court last year has wiped out my fault, I pray you earnestly to attend to a letter from my mother, received last August, of which I enclose a copy. I am sure that if I had the honour of your acquaintance you would not think that I deserve so severe a lot. I know of no honourable man who could wish that I had partaken of it, but in return I have too long had a hard morsel to swallow. All I ask is not to be condemned unheard.

I have written to the Duke of Marlborough and sent him a similar copy, and his reply to my brother was long before the adventure of the cook, so I did right in refusing to believe last year that it was the cause of my disgrace. Without crediting myself with very sharp wit, I think I recognize General Hompesch, my cousin, as the author of it all, by reason of a quarrel between his elder brother and myself. If they would only do me the favour of telling me so I could bring the play to an end in a very few words. I beg you therefore for your protection a second time for three months only. *French.*

Enclosing copy of letter from Madame de Keyelstadt d' Eltz, dated July 8, 1706. Thionville. French.

[D. FEARNE to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706, December 31.—“ All I have to add to my last is that yesterday the Parliament passed the fifteenth Article, with amendments, explanations and enlargements. The company finding it in vain to address any more made no struggle, being they expect the Equivalent according as mentioned in the said Article.

“ All people that subscribed to the said company (except some happy persons, masters,) exclaim now against Tweedale (deceased) Houston and Paterson that put them upon that project (and most would willingly take 400*l.* for 500*l.* and proportionally ready money), and do exclaim also against the seizure of Green's sh p as well as taking of his life.

“ This day the 16th, 17th and 18th Articles passed with amendments, etc., referring to the Committee to consider of the Equivalents by calculation, etc.

"There is talk of issuing forth a proclamation to discharge the bringing in of more foreign coin to clog the Equivalent; *verbum sapientis*, I had a hand in that matter. This day also the Act for adjourning the session again till the first of February read for the first time.

"The last proclamation I transmit hath sent most of the country people home, and at present we are in more quiet and free of mobs, and think the only Article can bide a struggle now is the 22nd about the representatives.

"Largs longs to hear from your honour."

Enclosing the proclamation referred to, from the General Assembly of the Church, recommending the discouragement of all irregularities and tumults tending to disturb the government. Dated December 6, 1706. Signed W. Carstares, Moderator *pro tempore*.

RICHARD CRAWLEY.

1706.—"A list of all private men-of-war and other ships to whom letters of mart have been granted from the beginning of the present war against France and Spain, declared May 4th, 1702, to April 11th, 1706, made in humble obedience to her Majesty's commands, signified by the Right Hon. Mr. Secretary Harley . . . made and extracted out of the records of the High Court of Admiralty by Rich. Crawley, Register."

Names of ships and commanders, 716 in number, (*but several of the commanders are named two, three or more times in command of different ships.* Peter Tupper, for instance, is named as commanding the Nottingham galley, Lark sloop, Marlborough galley and Martin galley.

Some of the names tell of close relations with the navy at the time or in later generations. Such are Thomas Pipon, Peter Lecocq, John Cumby, Andrew Elton, Christopher Billop, Samuel Kempthorne, Edward Whitaker, William Blankett, Daniel Jenifer, and others. William Dampier, who commanded the St. George, is the celebrated author of the "Voyages."

MATHEMATICAL SCHOOL AT WEYMOUTH.

[1706 ?]—Proposal to Sidney, Lord Godolphin, Lord High Treasurer.

"The quarries of Portland belonging to the Crown, there is paid for all stone raised and shipped off from thence a customary acknowledgment or duty of 12*d.* per ton, except for stone for the service of the Crown." Sixpence of this is claimed by the inhabitants of Portland for their poor, though their right is questionable; and threepence more was granted by King Charles II by sign manual, and has since been continued by courtesy. But the churchwardens spend it not among the poor, but in contesting the Crown rights. It is therefore proposed that an enquiry be made as to the inhabitants' claim to the sixpence, and if they have none, that it may be given to the school of mathematics and navigation at Weymouth, and that in any case the

threepence which belongs to the Crown, and the remaining threepence, which is sunk in the accounts of the receiver for Dorsetshire, should be granted to the school. While the building of St. Paul's continues the grant should amount to 90*l.* *per annum*, and afterwards to 40*l.*, which would be sufficient to provide a master for twenty boys from Weymouth, Melcombe Regis and Portland. The corporation of Weymouth have offered to provide a school-house if a stipend can be found for the master.

S[IMON] C[LEMENT]'s Memorial.

[1706].—It would be very advantageous for English trade with Portugal if even so little as two bales, casks or chests of merchandize could be carried by the Lisbon packet boats, just as the West India packet boats are allowed to carry five tons. It may be thought too late to send a ship to import tar from the place he previously suggested, but if the Government will advance him 500*l.* he will go himself and be able to furnish the navy at a much lower price than is now given.

WILLIAM DOBYNS to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706[-7], January 1. Berwick.—Requesting that in consideration of his long service, mostly abroad, he may be given the command of one of the new regiments that are to be raised, and acknowledging his obligations to his correspondent.

Enclosing letters of recommendation for Colonel Dobyns, from the Earls of Galway and Peterborough and John Methuen to Lord Godolphin, certifying his merits and ill-fortune, stating that he is retiring from the service because so many younger officers have been preferred over his head, and hoping that some favour may still be shown to him. *Dated* at Lisbon in July, 1705. *Lord Galway's letter in French. Copies.*

PROCEEDINGS in the SCOTCH PARLIAMENT.

1707, January 4.—Minute regarding an Act for an imposition on beer, and a petition against it given in by the brewers of Dundee, and a clause added to the Act in favour of Mr. James Anderson, writer to the signet, for the payment to him of 1,200*l.* Scots yearly, for six years, out of the revenue of this imposition. *Copy.*

J. RICHARDSON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706[-7], January 6.—The 25*l.* he received from him at mid-summer he fully hoped would have been the last favour in that kind he should ever have presumed to request. Has not failed to endeavour all the means he possibly could not to become troublesome again. At several times has received from his honour 75*l.*, which sum he humbly begs may be made up to 100*l.*, that he may have one half year farther to try if he possibly can find any means to prevent the utter ruin of his languishing family.

THOMAS BOWREY, THOMAS HAMMOND and SAMPSON MEARES
to LORD —————

1706-7, January 10. London.—Praying him to lay their letter before the Queen in Council and to assure her that they will do everything in their power to prevent the matter of the Union being embarrassed by bringing the case of the *Worcester* before Parliament. Nevertheless they desire with all speed some relief for the wives and widows of the seamen and for the proprietors, whose impatience is hard to restrain. *Copy.*

WILLIAM MELLICHAMP to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706-7, January 13.—Requests his interest with Prince George's Council or the Navy Board to procure him a warrant to be purser of the new fourth-rate, building at Deptford, or any ship of war. He encloses Captain St. Lo's certificate, since the date of which he has been to sea with Capt. Fairfax as a clerk.

Enclosure—

1706, April 24. Chatham.—Certificate that Mellichamp served as a clerk in Chatham yard and as captain's clerk on the *Barfleur*, and deserves encouragement. *Signed, G. St. Lo.*

[JOHN NETTERVILLE to ROBERT HARLEY ?]

[1707,] January 14.—“ I find there will be endeavours to procure an address from the Convocation against the Scotch Union, and likewise from both universities and other places, as I hear. More than that, I find that when the Union comes before both Houses they will immediately order it to be printed and dispersed, and not enter upon a consideration of it till three weeks are expired; for it is a point of the last consequence and ought to be seriously weighed. . . . The Court party are resolved to pass it; yet there will be great debates (if not heats) about it as in Scotland; many divisions, many protestations entered, and the names of such for or against it to be printed and recorded and all that. There will be opposition against prolonging the time for the Bank, and I am told there will be petitions against it, if not addresses. . . . Some private Scotch letters note that notwithstanding the proclamation there against meetings in order to addresses against the Union, yet there have been addresses since. They say likewise, that the laird of Saltoun (Fletcher his name) with some others have thoughts of coming to town when the Union is before the Parliament. If they do, they come to inflame and embarrass.

“ Lord Nottingham's speech and Lord Treasurer's answer are much commented on. It seems Lord Somers and his party got the better of my friend Mr. Southwell at the committee of elections. I am sure that party are not friends to Secretary Harley. . . . Mr. Newman and his friends are very busy and diligent amongst the Parliament men. They would be glad the House were upon ways and means, that their proposal might come before the House.

"It is said the Duke of Marlborough's grant of 5000*l.* out of the Post Office was a managed matter by some of his friends and favourites; his Grace privy to the design all along. It would be a scandal in foreign countries as well as at home if the Mews should be sold upon any pretence whatsoever; and people will think Whitehall will be sold and some great favourite to have an advantage by it.

"Generally it is said that the Union bill of Scotland is against the sense and inclination of the people, and that those for it in Parliament are perjured, and their perjury will be made evident to all England. If Southwell is thrown out of the House for Lord Somers' cousin, it will be looked on as a push at the Duke of Ormond.

"Mr. Primolie [envoy of the Emperor] will not be persuaded but that the King of Sweden will embarrass the whole empire, and has some design against the Emperor, if not to be Emperor, whatever we believe at Court. He believes the King of Sweden's councils are so close and secret that our Court knows nothing of them, nor has he any great esteem of us, nor does he fear us. He believes that our Court does not love him nor his interest; that in Portugal things do not stand so well for the common cause as they did in the late king's time; but he says he keeps his thoughts and opinion to himself. He seems to be of opinion that the late King James' son has, since the contest there about the Union, a greater interest than before. There is a whispering about town among the Roman Catholics as if King James' Queen and Court would take a tour to Italy in the summer to see her brother, the Duke of Mantua, which occasions some speculations not pleasing to that party. Upon the whole, in reference to foreign affairs, the Roman Catholic ministers as well as the Roman Catholics here are in an apprehension the Protestant interest in Europe threatens their own and gains ground every day, . . . and if not stopped they will command the sea and trade, perhaps the very West Indies, and so have command of all the gold and silver of the world; and once having that power, will give law as well by land as by sea and set up and pull down what king or prince or state of the Roman Catholics they please—nay, even the Pope himself.

"Mr. Bret, a nonjurant minister (perhaps none of the best liver, yet a man of intelligence and has some party) met me two days ago, seems much my friend, and asked me what I did in my business, and I told him somewhat would be done, but short of what I expected. Upon which he seemed troubled, and asked me if I had an interest in serving Toland. I said very little. Said he, "*Mr. Penn, your friend, has a great interest;*" advised me to get Mr. Penn to speak to Mr. Toland, that he might speak to Mr. Harley, with whom he is mighty great, that Mr. Harley might press Lord Treasurer to do me justice; for, added he, "*Toland is Secretary Harley's champion or penman to write as he desires as to the subject matter.*" He tells me Mr. Collier is well and so is Dean Lesley, and he is sure they and everybody else would be glad that knows me that I had the benefit of my grant,

and a great deal more to this effect. As to my particular concern, he says Secretary Harley has more brains than half the Council and has more interest in the Parliament, and is the great manager of affairs there.

"I am told Lord Derby has little interest in Cheshire or Lancashire, though a great estate in those parts and Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster, for he could not get a Parliament man lately made in a borough there, though he laboured it all he could, and for his brother, he says he is a fool, and for Lord Derby he is not popular—a very close, covetous man—much more than even the Duke of Newcastle.

"I find a sort of grumbling here and there about the corruptions of Parliament, and that there ought to be a law made to make it high treason to corrupt any member of Parliament, and treason in the member to be corrupted. People are not for the prolonging the Bank, and if funds may be easily had any other way it would be better, and that may serve for another time if no other safe funds may be had. I find many of those called Jacobites and high churchmen think it better that the Court carry all before them without any opposition than to make any opposition, which, if done, will be to no manner of purpose; therefore, say they, let the Court have their swing; give them scope and rope enough and they will ruin themselves. They will be doing some extravagant thing or other; insulting by votes or addresses or laws those they call the high church; Lord Wharton and that party will not be quiet; it is not in their natures, and so forth; they must have all or none.

"I met with an ingenious gentleman and he told me that he heard (but did not believe it) that Doctor Aldridge would be made Bishop of Exeter, and Doctor Atterbury Dean of Christchurch; if so it would please many of those called the high church, for they are esteemed good men; adding, it would be policy in the Duke of Marlborough to oblige the University; he might gain, but could never gain (*sic*) ground by it. . . .

"There is a sort of whispering as if the Lord Treasurer had a mind to lay down his staff. If he does the last strokes he gives (which I call his finishing strokes) will be much remarked and will make a lasting impression . . . I met with another story as if Lord Rochester would come to Court as Lord President or in some other great post. This some say (if true) is to break and divide that party. He seems to be off now with Lord Nottingham and others. Upon all reports, true or false, there will be comments, discants and consequences deduced; wits and prejudices will be at work.

"It is said of all slaveries men like that of being made slaves by a Parliament; made slaves by those we send and choose, and send to save us and preserve our constitution; a bribed corrupted Parliament; a monster, no quarter to be given to it, and the subject has no remedy but "*To your tents, O Israel.*" All our successes abroad will not atone and are nothing if we must be slaves at home by our own representatives. Slaves are slaves, whether made so by the King of France or by a corrupted Parliament."

WILLIAM BUTLER to HENRY LOWMAN.

1706-7, January 14.—Having received your letter with Mr. Warr's, on Sunday, I beg you will let me know if Mr. Secretary will enable me to be ready to go by sending a messenger with the Queen's orders to see me safe on ship board. I will then give him the security I mentioned to obey the order of the Council; but if he sends me a bare discharge directed to the Sheriff or Mr. Fells I may be stopped for debt. "I have not had one farthing since Christmas eve; if the woman had anything I never saw her since, which made me send the old man, and at last have prevailed with this little woman to beg your charitable assistance till I have the luck to be released."

*Enclosed in a letter from Henry Lowman to [Richard Warre].—*Sends a certificate of Butler's conviction in 1699 for words spoken against the King. Would be glad to help him on his wife's account, who is nearly related to him. His other relations have taken little notice of him or he would have been out before now. Has mislaid the sureties' names, but gave them to the Secretary last summer.—Kensington, January 15, 1706-7.

SIR J. GIBSONE to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706-7, January 15. Portsmouth.—The master of a Swedish ship from Havre de Grace that came here on the way to St. Martin's has been to London to complain to the Swedish minister of my having detained him. He has now returned and tells me that he knows I have received orders not to stop him. As yet I have received none. It is true Sir Thomas Dilkes, with his fleet, has gone, but the West India fleet is here ready to sail, and I leave it to you to judge if it is reasonable to allow this master to carry intelligence of it to France. I beg for instructions how to behave in this case and what may happen after this.

[WILLIAM PATERSON to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1706-7, January 18. Edinburgh.—"After all the stir we have had about it, the ratification of the treaty was on Thursday quietly passed by the Parliament, and will doubtless be at Whitehall ere this comes to hand.

"As to the additions and explanations, tho' I always thought them better omitted, yet now they are inserted I think them not of moment to those who are clear in the Union; for it is really want of a clearness in the Union here that hath contributed more to that air of diffidence, and consequently of fetching and catching, which appears but too much both in the Articles and additions to them. For, say what one can, some of our people who have voted for the Union are still apt every moment to run back to a conceit that the nations shall still be two after by the Union they shall become one. . . .

"I dined with Mr. Carstares to-day, who very kindly remembered you. He has been of great use in keeping his brethren still from

greater follies, and may after the Union be still of more if his hands be strengthened.

“ I conclude this letter with my hopes that by a frank and ready concurrence in the Union, South Britain may, on this great occasion, show itself as much superior to North Britain in understanding and largeness of heart as it is in other things.

“ It will be yet about fourteen days ere I can part from hence ; in the meantime I am now rather more troubled with people’s caresses than with their frowns two or three months ago.”

HENRY LOWMAN to RICHARD WARRE.

1706-7, January 20. Kensington.—Suggesting that if Butler can find no security, Mr. Harley should send a messenger (as requested) to guard him against his creditors till he is on ship board.

J. BURCHETT to the SECRETARIES of ROBERT HARLEY.

1706-7, January 23. Admiralty Office.—The mayors of Cork and Kinsale having, by their pretences to Admiralty jurisdiction, repeatedly interrupted the authority of the Lord High Admiral, the matter was referred to Sir Charles Hedges, Judge of the High Court of Admiralty of England. I enclose a copy of his opinion, and am to say that his Royal Highness desires Mr. Harley to move the Queen to order the Attorney and Solicitor General of Ireland to examine into the pretensions or rights of these corporations, and report the same.

Enclosing—

Report of SIR CHARLES HEDGES to the PRINCE’S COUNCIL.

1706[-7], January 11.—I have considered Dr. King’s letter relating to the pretensions of the mayors of Cork and Kinsale, to the prejudice of the Lord High Admiral’s authority, and am of opinion that the proper method for settling this matter will be by bringing a *quo warranto* against each of them, after the pretensions of the respective corporations have been examined by the Attorney and Solicitor General of Ireland. *Signed.*

THOMAS STILES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1706 7, January 25.—MR. Whitworth, her Majesty’s envoy, has recommended four young gentlemen from Russia to serve in the fleet as volunteers. When I have your orders therein I will take care they apply themselves in due manner ; requesting that not more than two may be put on board one ship, and that you will mention the ships on which they are to serve. I subscribe the names : William Shapkin, Daniel Bykoff, Timothy Maltsoff, Daniel Caseynkoff.

SCOTLAND.—TREASURY ACCOUNTS.

[1707, after February 1.]—List of remittances to the Earl of Glasgow of the money received at the Treasury between 1706, October 6th, and 1707, February 1st, amounting to 20,000*l*.

Also “An account of money laid out for her Majesty’s service, by order of his grace the Duke of Queensberry, her Majesty’s High Commissioner, by the right honourable the Earl of Glasgow. to the several persons after mentioned, in part of bygone arrears of pensions, etc., owing to them by the Government conformably to precepts from the Treasury of Scotland,” amounting to 20,540*l*. 17*s*. 7*d*. [*This is the list printed by Lockhart (i. 267). The names and amounts agree except that here Lord Elphinstone is substituted for the Earl of Eglington.*]

SIR DAVID NAIRNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706-7, February 12. Whitehall.—“All I had to trouble you with was to leave with you, by her Majesty’s commands, the Act of Parliament lately passed in Scotland for settling the method of choosing the representatives of that kingdom to a Parliament of Great Britain, . . . to be laid before the House of Commons if called for.”

HENRY LOWMAN to RICHARD WARRE.

1706-7, February 12. Kensington.—Hearing that it will be some expense to get Butler’s business despatched, he is willing to bear the cost of it rather than to be further persecuted by this poor fellow.

WILLIAM BUTLER to HENRY LOWMAN, at her Majesty’s Palace at Kensington.

1706-7, February 15. Common Side, Newgate.—This may be the last letter he will ever write unless steps be taken for his immediate relief, for he is sick and perishing, with nothing to eat but black bread and water. Implores Lowman, his only friend, not to let him die in this place.

Enclosed in a letter from—

WILLIAM GATES to [HENRY LOWMAN ?]

1706-7, February 15.—Went to see Mr. Butler recently and found him suffering from a fever and ague, lying in a poor dirty hammock and never a shirt to cover his nakedness, and praying to be released from so dismal a place. He wrote the enclosed letter last week, but could get none to carry it, and is now not able to hold a pen.

MARTHA MITCHELL, Widow of Capt. Hercules Mitchell, late commander of her Majesty's ship the *Plymouth*.—Memorial.

[1707, February ?]—Her husband, being of the squadron commanded by Sir George Byng in the Soundings, perished in the violent storm that happened in August last* [1705], leaving her with three young children. On petitioning the Queen for a pension, the case was referred to the Prince of Denmark, Lord High Admiral, who recommended that she should be relieved in the same way as the widows of those drowned in the storm of November, 1703. Having thus lost her husband, and together with him many papers of concern and equipment which cost him 400*l.*, and seeing that pensions are settled on many whose husbands died natural deaths, as lately on the widows of Captain Urry and Captain Searle, who died in the West Indies, she prays for the same favour and for a speedy relief, since she has been at great expense in attendance on this business for a year and a half. *Two copies.*

PRINCE GEORGE to THE QUEEN.

1706-7, March 7. Admiralty Office.—Report on the petition of Eleanor, widow of Captain George Smyth, late commander of the *Warwick*, who died at Barbadoes of a pestilential distemper in November, 1704. She now prays that in consideration of the loss of her husband some provision may be made for her subsistence.

“By the rules of the navy no allowance of bounty is made to the widow of any person but such only as are actually slain in fight with the enemy, and I am of opinion that it is not fitting to introduce into the navy the allowance of bounty in any other case.” *Signed. Countersigned by J. Burchett.*

E[DMUND] DUMMER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706-7, March 13. London.—“Giving the course of the *King William* packet boat to and from the West Indies. Nothing extraordinary comes from thence saving a general opinion that the Spanish galleons will not stir from Cartagena till better advices are sent them from Old Spain, or orders from King Philip, whom they declare to own.

“That there has been no silver brought from Lima to Panama nor no goods sent from Cartagena to Portobello, and that the galleons are unrigged, laid up, and would not be ready in eight months.

“Sir John Jennings and Commodore Kerr were upon the coast of Cartagena when the packet-boat came away.”

SIR DAVID NAIRNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1706-7, March 13. Whitehall.—“The Queen commanded me to tell you that she thought it proper that you wrote to the Duke

* But see end of memorial. Probably an old petition, used again.

of Queensberry with the messenger who carries the Act, only to tell his Grace that her Majesty had sent it to be read there in Parliament and recorded."

ARTICLES OF COMPLAINT against Mr. [JOHN] BILLERS.

1706-7, March 15. (*Received*).—(1) That after notorious offenders have been accused upon oath he would not suffer them to be apprehended, but protected them.

(2) That such persons have afterwards committed felonies and burglaries, but have been used by him as evidence against other offenders and so escaped.

(3) That such escaped offenders have departed from their own discoveries and excused the persons they impeached.

(4) That such rescued offenders screen their accomplices and negotiate compositions for capital crimes.

(5) That he has advised criminals to plead guilty, promising to save them; who were then attainted and executed without trial.

(6) That he has taken a great share of rewards for discovery of burglaries.

(7) That by indirect means he has procured prisoners to be brought out of Newgate to remote places in the city, who stood committed for capital crimes.

(8) That he has intimidated ministers of justice by threatening to complain of them to Parliament.

(9) That in coffee houses and elsewhere he has abused the judges and ministers of justice, when they would not comply with his vain desires.

(10) That he has spread false reports that the sheriffs and their officers let prisoners out of Newgate by night to rob and steal and then take them in again for their protection; and that prisoners have been unduly hastened to execution to prevent discoveries which they might have made.

(11) That he gives out that he has spent 1,500*l.* in prosecutions for the public good, which cannot be true if properly spent.

(12) That he calumniates judges and ministers of justice for his own advancement and in hopes of reward, "though Smith, by him reprieved at the gallows, has not convicted one felon since." *Endorsed* "S. Lovell." [*? Sir Salathiel.*]

[D. FEARNE] to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, March 25. Edinburgh.—"This day the Parliament ratified and confirmed all; recommended several people to her Majesty's favour; and how some of them have deserved the same you may know more of it hereafter, if you and other great men give the ear.

"Many people are gone and going up, but few goes or comes, I am afraid, but for interest at the bottom.

"This day, after what is above, adjourned to the 22nd of April, after which the Lord High Commissioner made a cavalcade to

the palace with the horse and foot guards, but stones were cast. There are other measures to be taken if we settle peace. I shall not enlarge nor write much . . . only this, that we grasp at the gear every one of us.

“Poor E[arl] of Sutherland is gone towards Court to-day because he cannot stay here after the Act of Parliamentary Protection, after the 1st of May next by the Union of British peers.”

SIR DAVID NAIRNE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, March 30.—“I herewith send you a copy of a commission constituting a Privy Council of Scotland.”

OFFICE OF WORKS.

1706-7, January to March.—Abstracts of charges in the Office of Works, ordinary and extraordinary, for work done at the Tower, Whitehall, and other royal palaces and gardens, amounting to 5,890*l.* 17*s.* 6½*d.*

B. JENKS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, April 1.—Harley.—Prays him on behalf of his kinsman, Jenks, of Salop, to present his petition to the Queen, and to give him some encouragement.

Enclosing certificate for David Jenks, of Shrewsbury.

Testifying to his loss of timber and planks (while waiting upon “Hungry Road” for her Majesty’s ships to carry them off) by reason of the great storm of November 27th, 1703. *Signed by twenty persons. Copy.*

ANNE STRONG to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, April 2.—Praying that her husband, Robert Strong, who has been detained in town for twelve months, “as an evidence for the Queen” may be paid the allowance intended for him, in order to satisfy the impatience of his creditors. Since “it is little or no kindness to him to be paid by small proportions,” he desires it may be paid all at once, “which only can preserve him from being torn to pieces.”

B. BANKES to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, April 8. Green Mews.—“I beg you would send somebody to peruse the books, for I have now an opportunity of disposing of them all together, but am not willing lest there may be some of value which your honour would have.

“I have been with Mr. Shales, and he hopes in two or three days to find out either the master or his wife, and he begs he may have notice some time before the trial to prepare himself.”

M. DE PONTCHARTRAIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, April [9-]20. Versailles.—He complains that prisoners, taken in French ships, have been put on shore at Lisbon without any means of subsistence or of departing either to Spain or France, and begs that orders may be given to provide for the necessary wants of such men, and to have them sent either to Vigo or Cadiz. Further, he begs that renewed orders may be given against pressing French prisoners to serve in the English army or on board English ships. A few days ago a packet-boat from Falmouth to Lisbon was brought into Brest. She had on board sixty soldiers, most of whom were French, taken at the battle of Ramillies, and who declared that they had been forced to serve. In conclusion, he urges that the refusal to exchange the Bishop of Quebec and the dozen ecclesiastics with him, who were captured about two years ago on their outward passage, is contrary to the convention, and requests that they may be discharged, like other prisoners, on giving a suitable exchange. *French. Signed.*

THE EARL OF PEMBROKE'S answer to Mr. Povey.

1707, April 23.—Concerning Secretary Harley's inquiry about the minute on Mrs. Pugh's petition. His opinion was that it would be better that she should be recommended to the Lord Treasurer by the Queen than by the Council; he had brought her case to the Queen's notice, and if the petition was presented to her at a meeting of the Council he would remind her of what he had said.

THOMAS HAMMOND to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1707, April ?]*—"I hope you will forgive our impatience and receive our thanks for the care we are sensible you have taken for our relief. From this time forward there is not one of us who shall not think a Court may be depended upon when it is composed of men of integrity. We are confident you will continue your favour." *Signed* "for self and the rest of the owners and freighters of the ship *Worcester*."

CHARLES COXE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, May 2. Lincoln's Inn.—"I cannot forbear taking the first opportunity to clear myself from an aspersion laid upon me as an argument to remove me from the post I have in the Queen's service. If anything were laid to my charge relating to my behaviour in my office I could be content to be silent myself and trust my fate to the report of those counties where I have had the honour to serve.

* The Queen assented, on April 7, to a recommendation from the House of Commons, that satisfaction should be given to the owners of the *Worcester* out of the prize money.

"But this that I am accused of is most proper to be cleared by myself; that is that I should vote in the House of Commons for the tack. Though you were then in the chair, I cannot suppose you should remember everyone that voted in that question. But I am sure you will remember what I told you (before it came on) was my purpose to do upon that point, and that I should not only vote myself, but do the little I could to bring others to vote against it; and I think I had success therein. . . .

"This report is like stabbing a man in the back. The authors might as well accuse me of murder; for as I voted against it, so I find I had like to have been a double sufferer by it. Some misled friends of mine have been my enemies ever since for voting against it; and somebody or other would have made me suffer, under pretence I had been for it.

"I can produce gentlemen who sat near me upon that question when I voted against the tack. . . . and if there were need of anything further I am ready to give my own oath upon it."

[SIR] SIM[ON] HARCOURT and [SIR] JA[MES] MONTAGUE to the
EARL OF GODOLPHIN.

1707, May 5.—Giving their opinion on several questions relating to Scotch imports and exports.

Annexed is Lord Godolphin's warrant to the Commissioners of Customs to act according to the opinion of Queen's counsel.
Dated May 6th, 1707. *Copy*.

PEATLY STURGIS, Mayor of Dover, to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, May 14.—"According to your commands I went to Walker and the youths that are with him to demand security for their appearance, . . . who return me answer that they can give no security, for they are wholly strangers here," so I request you will send for them as speedily as you think convenient. I enclose a letter which I took from Walker, which he was to deliver with the boys at Ostend.

Enclosing letter from — Willis to Peter Woolaerd, merchant at Ostend.

1707, May 1.—Praying him to take care of the five youths who are going to be apprentices, and to recommend them to Mr. Nicholas Lem, and hoping that the money they have will be sufficient to carry them through.

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, May 25. Navy Office.—It is understood that Parsons, a clerk in the Comptroller's office, is to go to Scotland. If this is so there must be a readjustment of junior clerks, and a vacancy to which the writer hopes Mr. Powell will succeed.

HARRY COLT to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, May 27. Hereford.—“Last Saturday, coming from Ireland, where I was with some men, my colonel gave me leave to remain here in England to make an end of a law-suit against my unkind relations . . . They are all bent to give me what trouble they can in it; yet my counsel tells me they will be all forced to bring it to a determination the term after next, if not before, if they are deceived in their hopes of the necessity of my going to Spain before it is ended; there being an advertisement in last *Gazette* from Mr. St. John, by the Queen’s command, to order all officers on pain of being cashiered to go to their posts by the first opportunity after fourteen days from the 20th instant, but what had leave directly from the Queen, and I only had my colonel’s word of honour that I might remain with safety; but I dare not venture, we being all mortal; and after having the honour of receiving my commission from the best of friends, I would not lose it ignominiously for the world. So I humbly beg the favour of you to get me leave till the term after next be over, that I might be able to leave some small certainty behind for my spouse, whom I believe they would be glad to see starve, or anything that belongs to me.

“I wrote to Sir Harry to do it for me, but not hearing from him nor where he is, and the time limited being so near out, obliges me to give you all this trouble.”

MICHAEL STRETCH to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, May 28.—“I have been this morning along with my landlady, who came before Justice Chamberlain to speak in behalf of her servant about some disorders that the informers of the parish pretended to be committed in the house; and, although I spoke nothing, being a stranger to the matter, he began to examine me.” I told him you could satisfy him and that I had been examined by you and in the messenger’s hands for some time. He expects bail for me if you will not favour me with a small note to him that he may discharge me without giving me the trouble of giving bail.”

PATRICK GRAHAME.—Memorial.

1707, May 29. (*Received.*)—Was taken for highway robbery on the 10th of December, 1706, near Hyde Park corner, and being carried before a justice of the peace, he immediately impeached four highway men—James Murray, Charles Cary, Alexander Johnson and William Howard; but they all flying from justice, the Queen ordered in Council, upon his evidence, 50*l.* extraordinary for apprehending each or either of them. Since then James Murray was taken in the North of England, while endeavouring to flee into Scotland, and was brought back to Newgate, where he has used all possible means among his friends to have Graham tried and condemned, to prevent his being evidence against him,

as appears by Murray's wife and friends going with the subpoenas for the witnesses against him.

Endorsed by Harley :—"Read to the Queen. Order to write to Lord Chief Justice Holt or the judges who sit at the sessions."

Annexed is letter from Lord Chief Justice Holt to Harley of the same date, stating that he has communicated his letter to one of the judges sitting at the Old Bailey, where it will receive due consideration.

CHARLES VERNON to the LORD HIGH TREASURER [GODOLPHIN].

1707, May 29. (*Received*.)—Lived more than four years with Mr. Vernon, the Queen's envoy at Copenhagen, and after his departure continued there as the Queen's secretary. Is now without any subsistence, and prays him to intercede with her Majesty for the bestowal of an ecclesiastical benefice upon him.

E[DMUND] DUMMER to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, May 31.—Giving details of a scheme to prevent the depredations of privateers from St. Malo, in the Channel.

[The MOROCCO AMBASSADOR to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[About 1707, May.]—"As it is my duty to acknowledge the great favours I have received from her Majesty . . . I think it no less meet to return you thanks with a sincere heart for all the good offices you have been an instrument of during my abode in the best Court. I cannot leave England without acknowledging how much I am beholden to the Ministry, and do humbly request that the bearer, Mr. [Jezreel] Jones, may be continued in your favour and of the rest of the noble lords, so far as the trust reposed in him by them and what I do now, I hope may deserve."
Signature in Arabic characters.

J[OSEPH] ADDISON to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1707,] June 2.—"My Lord Sunderland desires you will be pleased to lay the enclosed before her Majesty, and excuse the trouble he has given you. He intends to return to his office about Thursday next."

GEORGE TOLLET to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, June 7. Navy Office.—Information concerning certain irregularities of which the Commissioners of Customs have complained.

"You were pleased to desire me to speak to a person that could teach your son Mr. Edward to draw. I have sent for Mr. Lens, a very able and the best master we have in London—a sober, diligent man, and very careful. His rate for teaching is a guinea for entrance and half a crown a time for an hour's staying, and his coming may be twice or thrice a week as you please."

ISAAC BOBIN to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, June 9.—Last Saturday Dr. Chamberlain rejoiced my wife and me by bringing confirmation of the news that you would continually employ me, but that you are afraid I am engaged to some other person. No, sir, I have had too much respect for your promises, and your charity towards my family has been too great for me to transfer my offer of service to anyone else. I have for ten years sought an opportunity to pay my respects to you and to make some communication to you, but heard that you do not speak French, while my English is too bad. I shall consider myself recompensed by your employment, and enclose a memorial which will convince you of my fidelity. I have served your predecessors, the Secretaries of State, and no complaint has been made against me by any of them. *French.*

Enclosing memorial.

Bobin is willing to procure foreign news for Harley. He can be employed for six months on probation, merely asking twenty guineas for expenses.

His correspondents are favourably inclined to the Queen and her Government, and can furnish intelligence of the greatest possible advantage to them. All the Secretaries of State before James Vernon used to invite Bobin's opinion on current events, and he hopes that Harley will continue to employ him. *French.*

MONSIEUR DE GUISCARD to [ERASMUS LEWIS].

1707, June 19. London.—The enclosed letter is, I think, from Mr. Dairol to recommend to you the two French officers whom I am sending. They are also recommended to me by several of my friends, the Marquis de Bougi among others. Kindly present them to Mr. Harley and try to obtain from him such protection for them as he shall think fit. They would be very useful to Admiral Shovel, as they have served twenty years in the French galleys at Toulon and Marseilles. I have tried for the last six days to speak to Lord Sunderland on their behalf; but have not been able to see him and time is pressing. *French.*

SIR JOHN NORRIS to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, June 25-July 6. Breslo [? Breglio].—I received yours of date June 3-14 on June 23-July 4, at Limon, where I was attending his Royal Highness, and acknowledge your expression of her Majesty's approval of my proceedings. "The difficulties of powder and ball are pretty well over, and I hope in the expense of them it may succeed to answer the agreement of the project. . . . His Royal Highness marches to-morrow to Sospello, where the enemy have a fortress and about 160 men. It has been summoned from his Royal Highness, but the officer has answered that he hopes, by his defence, to merit his esteem; but that will not hinder the march, and in two days more we shall be at Nice, where his R. Highness will consider how to execute the project. We have

advice the fleet is seen off Ventimiglia, so may believe that the Admiral is got to his Royal Highness' orders of rendezvous in the Bay of Santo Spetia."

Memorial of the [QUEEN'S] MESSENGERS.

1707, June 28. (*Received*).—Praying Harley to sign their bills, having an opportunity of getting them paid, and being a year and a quarter in arrear.

ORDER IN COUNCIL.

1707, July 14.—For entry of the opinion of the judges as to the questions (1) whether it be expedient that writs of summons be issued as usual to the Lords of Parliament against the next session, and (2) whether the Queen meets the Parliament in the next session as a new Parliament in every other respect than that she, according to the power given by the Act of Union, "has by proclamation continued the members of the House of Commons to be the members of the House of Commons for and on the behalf of England." The opinions are (1) that the Queen's Declaration and Proclamation are in lieu of writs of summons; (2) that her Majesty meets the next Parliament as a new one in every respect. *Copy*.

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1707,] July 17. [London].—"Having been this day to wait upon the Earl of Mar, I find the effects of your favour in what he hath told me of my Lord Treasurer's being more inclined than he was to grant what I have humbly desired. I know, Sir, to whom I am indebted for this favourable turn in my affair; and, indeed, you have managed your kindness to me upon this occasion in such a way as I cannot but have a deep sense of my obligations to you. . . .

"The Earl of Mar told me that my Lord Treasurer had desired him to give in a memorial about my business, which he resolves to do when his Lordship returns to town."

BARON D' ELTZ to [ROBERT HARLEY.]

1707, July [20-]31. Lichfield.—Has received a letter from Monsieur de Greder, a prisoner at Nottingham, brother of the lieutenant-general of his regiment, complaining that he has not seen him during the six months he has been in England. Prays that with the Queen's permission his annual journey may be taken some time in advance. *French*.

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1707,] August 9. [London].—"It seems there is an express come from Scotland by which I have a letter from Sir Patrick Johnston, who was one of the treaters, in which are these words :—

"This day (which was the 5th) the equivalent arrived here, and I was witness, as one of our commission, to the unloading of it and lodging it in the Castle; and I perceive as many people here [as] were formerly angry for its not coming are now more angry that it is come, of which we have several instances this day."

P. BAUWENS to the POSTMASTERS GENERAL.

1707, August [10-]21. Ostend.—"Last night I understand that at Dunkirk are fitting with all speed four of the French King's ships that are to join Forbin, with about 800 men more than they have; and about forty privateers are now a-fitting in expectation of the return of the Greenland, East Country and Moscovian fleets, which makes me send this by express. . . . I hope to send some great news likewise of my Lord Duke of Marlborough, who, as it seems by his decamping, will not let the Duke of Vendome escape a battle."

Endorsed by Lewis—"Received from Sir Thomas Frankland, August 12th."

ROBERT CULVERDEN to the GOVERNORS of the GENERAL POST OFFICE.

1707, August 16. Lisbon.—On the 6th instant he met a fleet of sixty-six French merchant ships with three or four men-of-war, about fifteen leagues to the west of Cape Ortegal, and on the morning of the 7th saw them again about twenty-three leagues north from Cape Finistere. They were steering W.S.W. with the wind at N.N.W. In crowding to get to windward of them, he sprung his fore topmast and fore topsail yard and is forced to get new ones made. He judges that those ships had been into "the Groin" and are bound for the West Indies.

THE CZAR PETER to QUEEN ANNE.

1707, August 30. Warsaw.—Thanking her for her kindly reception of his ambassador and praying her to give a speedy and favourable answer to the affairs which he may propose.
Translation.

PEREGRINE, MARQUIS OF CARMARTHEN.

1707, August 31. (*Received.*)—Memorial for suppressing the pirates of Madagascar and preventing their further piracies and depredations and for other considerable services. Setting forth the great danger that may arise from the continuance of the pirates at Madagascar. Many of them have accumulated great riches and are earnestly desirous to return to their duty and allegiance, lay out their money and settle in Great Britain, if encouraged by the Queen's pardon and protection, "which—with submission—is the only way left to suppress them; it being demonstrable that all attempts to reduce them by force at that

distance are impracticable, without an expense too great to be mentioned or thought on." Meditating on this, the subscriber caused a printed paper entitled "*Reasons for reducing the Pirates at Madagascar*" to be delivered to the members of the House of Commons, who appointed a committee to examine it, and on their report voted an address to the Queen praying her "to take into her Royal consideration how the pirates at Madagascar may be suppressed and their further piracies, robberies and depredations may be effectually prevented."

Since it is by the zeal and industry of the subscriber that the matter comes to her Majesty thus recommended, he hopes that her Majesty will cause the printed paper—hereto annexed—to be considered, and also that he may be examined and permitted to bring forward further inducements, which were omitted from the printed paper, lest the publication of them might give the French an opportunity of thwarting the design. One such suggestion is to seize and garrison the Island of Don Mascarenhas, which lies very near Madagascar and is now held by the French, who have a small fort on it. There are about 150 families in the island, many of them old pirates, and still frequently committing piracies under English colours, pretending that they belong to the pirates of Madagascar. Another suggestion is to suppress the Arabs of Muscat, who have a fleet of twenty ships, mostly small, though one is of seventy and another of fifty guns. They are all men-of-war as well as merchant men and plunder all nations except the Dutch, who are too strong in those seas to be insulted. They have taken three or four English ships, have about thirty Englishmen in slavery, are the scourge of the seaport towns of Persia, and possess Mombassa and other places on the East Coast of Africa, which, as well as Muscat, are very rich but of no great strength.

To do this effectually, to seize and hold these places and protect the great riches which would be found there, the subscriber thinks that the force employed should consist, at the least, of one ship of the third rate, two of the fourth, two of the fifth and one of the sixth. It is highly necessary that the force should be sufficient to protect the very great treasure which will be seized as well as that which the repentant pirates bring with them. He further prays that should such a force be employed he may be appointed to the command of it. He believes that a person of his quality in England and rank in the sea service will be the most suitable.

Signed.
Annexed :—"Reasons for reducing the pirates at Madagascar, and proposals humbly offered to the honourable House of Commons for effecting the same." *Printed.*

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1707,] September 2. [London.]—"I did myself the honour to call at your lodging so soon as I returned from Windsor, where sir, I would have waited upon you, but that one of your servants told me you were gone to the Council; and being to go to Tatnam

to-morrow morning to see some of my wife's relations I could not satisfy myself without acquainting you that I have had all the satisfaction both as to her Majesty's goodness and the Lord Treasurer's obliging civility that I could reasonably desire or expect.

I know, sir, to whom I am indebted for all the favour that I have been honoured with at Court, and it shall be my endeavour to witness in my management the great sense I have of the obligations I am under to you.

"My Lord Treasurer is to be in town upon Friday and hath allowed me then to wait upon him, but I hope before that time to have the honour to speak with you."

SIR CHARLES HEDGES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, September 5. Richmond.—I cannot advise that the Gottenburg ship, brought in by the *Sun* prize, should be proceeded against as prize; but if the masts are such as her Majesty has occasion for, or the enemy wants, it might be well to detain them, paying a reasonable price for them; or the Commissioners of the Navy might be ordered to treat for them, as has been sometimes done in like cases.

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1707,] September 5. [London.]—I am much troubled "that I had not the honour to see my Lord Treasurer this day ere he returned to Windsor, seeing he had allowed me to wait upon him here, and to give in that paper drawn up in form of either a memorial or petition concerning that school at Fort William, which I had taken the liberty to speak of to the Queen and his Lordship;" but multiplicity of business no doubt did make Sir David Nairne, to whom I had given the paper to put into form, forget it. "Sir David hath been all along so kind a friend to me that I persuade myself it was far from him to have any thought of preventing my meeting with my Lord. . . .

"I am hopeful that his Lordship will, when he shall see it fit, obtain what is humbly desired as to the school at Fort William; for as it is an act of charity to many poor ignorant creatures, so I am persuaded that the granting it will be much more serviceable to her Majesty than the value of the money doth amount to."

"Since I wrote what is above I find that Sir David Nairne would have been heartily willing to have brought me to my Lord Treasurer, but that a load of business made him forget it."

ROBERT MILLWARD and WILLIAM SMITH, to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, September 9. London.—They are attending, to acquaint him that they were for some years at Leghorn as coopers in her Majesty's service, for the use of the men-of-war there; that they have discovered corrupt practices to the great abuse of the revenue and also a correspondence with the Queen's enemies in

France by certain London merchants, who have imported great quantities of French wine and brandy to the prejudice of the public interest; and that they are ready to appear as witnesses. *Signed.*

Minute by Harley :—"They were told that the fraudulent part was properly before the Commissioner of the Customs, and there does not appear anything of state matters in it."

LEIGH BACKWELL to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, September 15. Nottingham.—I received two letters from you, the last containing her Majesty's pleasure to give leave to Messieurs de Greder, Joby and Sautboeuf to go to Richmond fair. I am desired by them to return their humble thanks for your trouble; "but the weather has proved so discouraging and the journey is so far that they have concluded only to make use of her Majesty's goodness by going to Harborough fair the beginning of next month." I believe I might comply upon their former leave but beg for your opinion.

GEORGE CHURCHILL to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, September 24.—In answer to yours of yesterday enclosing Mr. Clement's memorial, the Prince cannot order the 500*l.* without your signifying the Queen's pleasure to him to do so. He has ordered the release of the Swede, and if she is now stopped it is by the Prize Office, which is under the Lord Treasurer.

WILLIAM CHURCHILL to PRINCE GEORGE of DENMARK.

1707, September 29.—Memorial setting forth the expense he has incurred in the performance of his duties and in payment to his clerks, for which he prays to be indemnified by an additional allowance such as his predecessor had; and suggesting a suitable allowance for his successor, Mr. Daniel Bridges. *Copy.*

Accompanied by copy of the warrant appointing William Churchill one of the Commissioners for sick and wounded seamen. *Dated* July 29th, 1705.

WILLIAM PATERSON.

1707, October 3.—"Representation given in to the Commissioners for the Equivalent by William Paterson, esquire," setting forth his losses in the service of the African and Indian Company of Scotland, and his claim for compensation; "with the deliverance of the said Commission thereupon," remitting to such of their number as are to go to London to recommend Paterson for reparation. *Copy.*

THE SHIP *Worcester*.

1707, October 30.—"The present state of the case of the owners and freighters of the ship *Worcester*, the late Captain Thomas Green, commander, seized and condemned in Scotland." *Printed.*

E. CALAMY to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, November 4. Westminster.—“The bearer is sub-brigadier in the first troop of Guards. Brigadier General Davenport, his superior officer, who is set against him for his being a Dissenter, has privately obtained an order for a commission for one to supplant him. He has an ample testimonial from his former colonel, and cannot, he conceives, be charged with any negligence or misbehaviour in his post.

“To have the commission pass without a hearing is hard; and for him to be turned out merely for being a Dissenter is not likely to be for her Majesty’s service and might have ill consequences. If such a commission be in your Honour’s office, if you will please to suspend the signing it till a court-martial can be obtained, it will be esteemed a signal favour.”

SCOTCH TRADING COMPANY.

1707, November 6.—Preamble to the subscriptions to the African and Indian Company of Scotland taken at London. *Copy.*

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, November 10. Admiralty Office.—The enclosed papers, signed Le Moyne, who is a prisoner in Newgate, have been brought to me. I forward them for your consideration.

Enclosing—

LE MOYNE [DE BRIE] to THE QUEEN.

“May it please your most excellent Majesty to order I should be brought into your Majesty’s royal presence to be heard on proceedings done against and in contempt of your most excellent Majesty; being kept on the common side of Newgate for fear of discovery and being in danger of my life.”

And—

LE MOYNE to PRINCE GEORGE.

To the same purport. The papers that were to be presented to her Majesty have been seized; which proceedings he is to declare at his peril.

JOHN ASGILL to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, November 10.—“I understand you are first named on the committee occasioned by my confinement, which hinders me for searching precedents in such case. The first mentioned in my print I found in *Baker’s Chronicle*, folio 289; that of Mr. Arnold’s I had by information. I know none can give better account of this or any other precedent than yourself.

“I am sorry I should come in for another example, but assure myself of the justice of the House.”

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

1707, November 18. Edinburgh.—“What I have read in our public news as to this Parliament’s being declared a new Parlia-

ment, and as to what the House of Commons hath done in consequence of its being so, makes me call to mind a report that was spread here a fortnight ago that there was a design to debate your being capable to be a member of the House, you having received a new commission since the Union to be Secretary of State ; but, sir, I hope this affair shall be so adjusted as that the Parliament of Britain may not be deprived of the service of so useful a member as yourself, and I am confident that this part of the island would suffer not a little if it should not have your assistance upon such an important occasion as this is ; but I hope there is no reason for any fear of this kind.

“ But as I have taken notice of one report we have had here, which the event seems to show was not altogether without grounds, so I shall presume to divert you with another thing which I hear is discoursed of amongst some disaffected persons here, which is that there is a design to raise some debate about such members of the House of Commons that represent North Britain as are ruling Elders in our Church ; it being surmised that they are not capable to sit in that House, being persons in holy orders, as they talk ; but this appears to be so ridiculous that I could not have confidence to write it to any, sir, but yourself, who I know will not expose me.

“ I find a great inclination in our Church judicatories to abstain from everything that may create any uneasiness to her Majesty, whose speech to the Parliament gives great satisfaction here. I hope the Parliament will have a compassionate regard both to the scruples and infirmities of some amongst us that are at bottom honest men and well affected to her Majesty’s person and government.

“ Mr. De Foe is now returning to England, and really I cannot but say that he seems to have a pretty good knowledge of our affairs and just enough notions of them, and he expresses himself, sir, with great honour of you.

“ Mr. Pringle, whose just character I have sometimes taken the liberty to give you, is now at London. . . . If he were employed in any station at any Court abroad, I can confidently say, sir, that you will find no man more faithful and true to you than he would be.

“ There is a poor honest fellow that hath a wife and family at London that served me several years when I attended the late King abroad. He would be satisfied with one of the meanest posts that are at the disposal of the Commissioners of the Customs that might but give him and his family bread. If you would be pleased to stoop so far below yourself as to speak but one word in his favour to any of the Commissioners it would be a charity to him and a great favour to me.”

JO[HN] STIRLING to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, November 22. [London.]—The Dukes of Queensberry and Montrose, the Earls of Mar, Loudoun, Seafield and Glasgow have spoken to the Lord Treasurer about my affair, and “ his Lord-

ship was pleased to say he doubted not the gift desired might afterwards be granted, but did not see how it could at present.

"I am persuaded a gift at this time would be of much more use to the Government by obliging at once persons of the greatest interest in that country and the Church, which has always reckoned herself much concerned in the Universities; and it is certain I shall not be able to improve a promise to such advantage with people who have had and still have prejudices and jealousies upon what has lately passed as I could do a present grant, especially after it is known that the College of Edinburgh has obtained their gift, though their case be not so favourable as that of Glasgow on divers accounts. Allow me therefore, sir, humbly to entreat you would be pleased to do me the honour to interpose on my behalf with my Lord Treasurer. . . . After four months stay here I know not how to go down to my own country with that which will be so little regarded there. . . . I know Mr. Carstares was once in danger of going off in the same manner, but as I conceive was happily prevented by the kind interposition of him I now make bold to address."

Jo[HN] STIRLING to ROBERT CUNNINGHAM, in Wyan Court in Great Russell Street, near St. Giles' Pound.

1707, November 26. London.—"I am at present very uneasy upon my stay here, having been near five months from home. The Profession (*sic*) of Divinity through the Professor's death since I came from Glasgow is now wholly vacant and cannot be supplied till I return . . . ; so I find myself under a necessity of leaving this. I am concerned not only upon the University's interest in the matter I have been negotiating, but for the satisfaction of many others, that I have had so little success; yea I know divers members of the House of Commons are somewhat surprised at it, that all circumstances considered so little regard has been had to so reasonable and necessary a request—as particularly Mr. Montgomery from Glasgow, Sir Robert Pollock and others—especially when all the Queen's servants for Scotland have jointly applied to the Treasurer for procuring the desired gift. . . . Therefore I must entreat you would do me the favour to wait on Mr. S[ecretary] H[arley] and represent these things to him. I am very sensible of the honour he did me when I waited on him and the kind concern he was pleased to express for this affair. . . . If he would interpose effectually for obtaining this gift it would not only lay a lasting obligation on the University of Glasgow but many others."

SIR JOHN STANLEY to SIR CHRISTOPHER WREN.

1707, November 27. Northend.—The Lord Chamberlain has been pleased to give him the remainder of his lodgings at the Cockpit, and Mr. Secretary Harley has let him have one room more to make them useful, therefore desires Sir Christopher to make him a backstairs and other improvements to his new lodgings. *Copy.*

THE EARL OF MAR to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, December 2. Whitehall.—“I have spoke to the Queen several times a late for some present supply to poor Davie Lindsay, and her Majesty seems inclined to do it; but my waiting being now out, I beg you may put her Majesty in mind of it the first convenient opportunity you have. . . . He is now reduced to the last extremity, and if the Queen will be pleased to give him but fifty guineas it will be an act of great charity and will keep him from starving. . . . I could easily get it sent him without anybody’s knowing from whence it came. I know not the man, but the sad account I heard of him lately forced me out of charity to give you this trouble.” *Holograph.*

SIR WILLIAM WITHERS, Lord Mayor of London, to the
SHERIFFS OF LONDON AND MIDDLESEX.

1707, December 17.—Order for reprieving John Read.
Endorsed by Lewis, “Brought by John Kemp, Sergeant-at-mace, at Wood Street Compter. 17 December, 1707.”

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

1707, December 18.—Orders of the House of Commons on several matters relating to the war in Spain, and the answers thereunto. *Copy.*

Endorsed by Harley, “January 4, 1707-8. Copy to be sent to Lord Sunderland.”

MARY ATKINS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707, December 18.—Having been minuted for her pension by his favour, she prays him to speak to the Lord Treasurer about her miserable condition. Her husband, Capt. Jonathan Atkins, was cut in pieces by a party in Spain.

CAPT. RICHARD LONG to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707, December 24, London.—As Sir William Whetstone took him out of a command and ordered him on board the flagship as pilot, he prays that he may have a master’s share of prize money, which is 60*l*. Pilots have often had a mate’s share, which in this case is 30*l*. If he receives his share of the prize together with other money due to him, he is resolved to buy a small vessel and go to the Gulf of Darien, where he knows there is gold. He has a scheme for capturing “Sante Pee [? Santa Fè], which lies in the country about 500 miles, the riches that come from thence is the life of Cartagena and several other places,” and he is willing to lay it before the Privy Council or a Committee of the Commons; but is doubtful whether it will be accepted “for fear of offending the Spaniards, because her Majesty is striving to get in Charles the Third.” *Signed.*

REPENTANT PIRATES.

1707, December 24, Martinique.—Power of attorney appointing Captain James Diggins to solicit for their pardons with the Queen and Government of England, that they may enjoy their ships and effects peaceably and quietly under the said Government: and engaging themselves to stand by whatever conditions he shall make. *Nine signatures. Copy.*

RICHARD VAUGHAN, of Beguildy, in Radnorshire.

1707, December 26.—Certificates testifying to his knowledge of the four rules of arithmetic and his fitness for employment in the Excise. Signed respectively by John Evance, clerk, and W. Richmond.

Also, a declaration on oath by Richard Vaughan that he has obtained his order of instruction to the revenue of Excise without any gratuity, fee, or reward.

COAL DUTIES.

1687—1707.—Accounts of the revenue raised by the duty on imported coal for various periods during this time; the proceeds of which were devoted to St. Paul's Cathedral.

Also notes of the amount of coal brought into the Port of London annually, from 1671 to 1701; and in 1703, 1704 and 1706. For the latter year the total is about 360,000 chaldrons, which is stated to be the necessary amount "for keeping coals at a moderate price."

Petition of SEVERAL MERCHANTS OF LONDON to the House of Commons.

[1707.]—Having contrived the building of a new sort of running galley, whereby trade has been carried on to foreign parts without the assistance of convoys, they presumed that they might safely order their homeward-bound ships to steer directly for the "chopps of the Channel," where they would be protected by Royal cruisers against the French privateers, which as it were "lay a boom across the British seas." But they have found that often during the war the cruisers have been sent on service elsewhere, to petitioners' vast loss and the prejudice of the Customs. They pray therefore that a succession of men-of-war may be always "cruising from the Downs as far as the Soundings, with a restriction not to be called off from that service, as has too often happened."

[124 signatures. *That of Joseph Hall has the date 1707 enclosed in a loop underneath the name.*]

SIR THOMAS TRAVELL.

[1707.]—The case of Sir Thomas Travell and his lady in relation to his privilege in Parliament and the proceedings in Chancery between them and Mrs. Hodgson, relict of Edward Hodgson, Esquire.

THE CUSTOMS OF SCOTLAND.

[1707.]—Memorial setting forth the exceptions and provisions expressly stipulated “by the tack of the Customs set to Sir George Home and others by the Lords of the Treasury on the 17th of January, 1705”; and the irregular conduct of the “tacksmen.”

Another memorial setting forth the same facts and proposing that an enquiry should be made before the barons of the Court of Exchequer in Scotland.

R. BUDGE’S Case.

[About 1707.]—Concerning the seizure of the ship *Hope*, of which he was commander and part-owner, and sole owner of the cargo; and his difficulties in enforcing a decree of restitution from the Admiralty Court. Prays that the Queen will order him a just reparation.

OPINIONS ABOUT THE ENGLISH ADMIRALS.

[1704—1707.]—Sir G[eorge] R[ooke] not conversable nor complacent: they believe him a good seaman, and a man of courage enough, but timorous and unwilling to venture the least beyond orders, though an apparent prospect of success.

Sir C[loudesley] S[hovel] two years ago had always out the best light frigates of the fleet upon purchase, and frequently all the good sailors; so that if at any time the French had surprised them, they would have been at a great loss. His covetousness goes beyond reason, and his courage beyond the bounds of good conduct; he will venture the fleet on any occasion, and it is feared farther than Allemonde will sometimes be willing. If we be much superior his forwardness may be of good consequence. He eats and drinks pretty much with the Dutch, and is generally beloved amongst the sailors, for his familiar conversation with them, which Sir G. R. never affected, but was very reserved.

Sir Stafford [Fairborne] generally esteemed a bold and brave man: he was forward at Cadiz to have the fleet sail in straight to the Bay within the pointalls. This was also the opinion of the best of the Dutch; but Sir G. R. differed from them, and the D[uke] of Ormond seemed indifferent.

They generally talk well of Lake [Leake] and Dilks, and loved Wishart because he had been long Commander of a Dutch man-of-war, before the Revolution, in the States’ Service.

MR. GRIFFIN'S Case.

[1707?].—In consequence of his father's [Lord Griffin's] "misfortunes," and the foreclosure by the mortgagees, he had an Act of Parliament passed in the fourth year of the present reign to raise money for payment of debts and provision for younger children. But he is hindered from doing this, as his title to his grandfather's settlement upon him is objected to by reason of the attaind of outlawry against his father; and it appears by the Attorney-General's report that nothing can relieve him but her Majesty's royal permission to bring a writ of error to reverse his father's attainder, or an Act of Parliament.

LE MARQUIS DE LA MESSELIERE to ROBERT HARLEY.

[1705-7.*].—Prays for permission to go to France for his health, and to arrange his private affairs. He has suffered three months from his illness, which, they say, can only be cured by the climate of his native land, and the return of the Duke of Marlborough seems to offer an occasion of obtaining passports. Prays for Harley's good offices with his Grace. *French.*

Memorandum concerning Mr. [THOMAS] ROBINSON.

[1707?].—Mr. Robinson, envoy in Sweden, has paid to Mr. Samuel Akerhielm £450, which the Queen promised for his maintenance at Oxford. Akerhielm had a pass to go to Holland September 18, 1706. "Mr. Robinson having really disbursed the whole sum, and been a long time out of pocket, prays it may be ordered him," and that he may receive it without charge.

WILLIAM PATERSON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, January 5.—"You have herewith enclosed a note of my hand for the moneys I, by your favour, had of Mr. Jones. Among other disappointments I had about 200*l.* wrung out of my hands in Scotland, which now makes me in want till I have received some part of my own, which I hope to do in three months at furthest.

"My Lord Treasurer has taken no notice of me above twelve months, nor I of him further than now and then to come in his way, and this morning I sent him one of the enclosed papers with a desire of leave to wait upon him in order to giving him some hints which I believe him much concerned to know.

"You see the enclosed paper is only to form what ever remains of the Equivalent after the 398,085*l.* 10*s.* into a regular fund, and if there is not enough to pay all the poor people who must lose their principal, may at least have interest of 5 per cent. for a year, two or three.

* Cf. his memorial on p. 175 *above*. This, however, cannot be of the same time, as Marlborough was not then in England. Perhaps it belongs to the winter of 1705.

"If this or somewhat like it be not done, there will be the greatest clamour raised about it ever was in that part of the island about anything, and in all probability this will affect other matters.

"I suppose they will readily concur in this or like measures, but if not, I shall only petition for my own business and let them work with one another as well as they can. As to the way of bringing these things into the House, and management of them when there, I hope to have your countenance and direction.

"The usage I have had has such a fulsome measure of ignorance and knavery in it that I forbear to complain, supposing that the matter is so gross that those concerned in postponing of me will be among the first to help me out, otherwise they may possibly be led a dance they do not think on. However, I dare not move in it to any purpose without your thoughts, and therefore hope you will give me an opportunity to apprise you of the whole matter, by which you will be the better able to judge."

Enclosing a proposal for the better ordering and disposal of the sums accruing to Scotland by way of Equivalent to the uses to which they are appropriated by the treaty of Union. Same date.

MAYOR AND JURATS OF HASTINGS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, January 6, Hastings.—The father of John Row, of Bexhill, who is now a prisoner at Boulogne, has brought us the two enclosed letters, to be used by us for the service of the Queen and for the delivery of his son. If it should be thought fit to secure Thomas Cramp "he does not live within the liberty of the ports, but in the parish of Fairlight, which is in the foreign." He is very well known to Sir Willaim Ashburnham and Sir Nicholas Pelham, and if an order was sent to them to have Cramp secured, "they are more able to prevail with the fellow to make a free and full confession than any others in the neighbourhood."

Our coast is very much troubled with French privateers, and our town, with others, is in very great danger. Yesterday, about ten in the forenoon, five large French privateers, of above 20 guns each, came within gunshot, and lay with their broadsides to us; we feared that either they were going to batter the town or to land and burn our houses. All the inhabitants were in great consternation, for there were four other large privateers, that seemed ready to second the others, about half a league without. God be thanked, after they had shown us what they could do, they went off again, and did no hurt beyond hindering our fishing-boats to go out. If care is not taken to send ships of war to protect the coast from the insults of the enemy, we fear that this town, and others, may be reduced to ashes. *Scal of the Mayoralty.*

The DUKE OF ORMONDE to the MARQUIS D'ALLEGRE.

1707-8, January 6, London.—Wrote some time since to procure a prolongation of leave for two colonels, but has had no reply. Monsieur de Santiny has showed him a copy of a letter touching the exchange of Colonel Edgeworth for the Bishop of Quebec, to which the Court will not agree. Edgeworth ought to return to France, but if he [Ormonde] can procure him a prolongation for three months by means of the Marquis' help he will be infinitely obliged. *Copy. French.*

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, January 6, Admiralty Office.—Enclosing a letter just received relating to Peter Drake, now in the Marshalsea.

Enclosure :

THOMAS AUSTIN to PRINCE GEORGE.

1707-8, January 6, Marshalsea.—“There is one Peter Drake in the Marshalsea. He is guilty of treason” to my knowledge.

Mem. by Burchett.—“This letter was directed to the Prince under cover to me, and franked, N. Castleton.” *Copy.*

WILLIAM MARTIN to NATHANIEL CASTLETON, COMPTROLLER of the PENNY POST.

1707[-8], January 7.—The letter to the Prince, Monday night last, was put in at Mr. Brightland's, a vintner, adjoining the Marshalsea in Southwark, where I went and found the writer, Mr. Thomas Austin. He owned his writing to his Royal Highness, and “said it was upon treasonable words spoke by one that was in his company, which he could not bear to hear.” He says he can bring good evidence for this.

PRIZE ACCOUNT.

1707-8, January 12.—An abstract of the Prize Account given in to Parliament. “The whole benefit to the nation by prizes comes to 803,624*l.* 5*s.* 0*½d.*”

J. BURCHETT to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, January 15. Admiralty Office.—I enclose a second letter I have received, through Mr. Castleton of the Post Office, from Thomas Austin, dated from the Marshalsea prison, relating to Peter Drake, also a prisoner there. Mr. Austin is said to be the son of Sir Robert Austin, who was formerly in the Admiralty Board.

Enclosure :

THOMAS AUSTIN to PRINCE GEORGE.

1707-8, July 13. The Marshalsea Prison.—Some days since I made bold to trouble your Highness with a letter relating to

one Peter Drake in this prison. Since then I have been repulsed, reflected on and insulted, and a gentleman with whom I have been some time acquainted has been beaten for keeping company with an informer. This obliges me to give you the trouble of this. *Copy.*

- JOHN READ.

1707-8, January 17. (Received.)—"Information of John Read, now a prisoner in Newgate under sentence of death, of robberies of sundry sorts committed by himself and others in his company, together with the harbours and houses of resort, and the buyers of stolen goods, with a list of reputed pickpockets, thieves, etc."

Stating, among other items, that "there is a sort of thieves about Town, whose practice it is to steal portmantuas from before coaches, and cut bags from behind persons on horseback; these, with other pickpockets, use the City every night in Cornhill and Cheapside: also another gang of thieves, men and women, the men under pretence of picking a quarrel hold the persons, while the women pick their pockets." Concerning thief-takers "such as he has known for ten years past, their practice has been to eat and drink with thieves, to lodge them, and are partakers with them. . . . It is usual for persons losing their pocket-books, writings, or other things, to apply to the thief-takers, as the thieves themselves do when they have got a booty of such nature (not knowing what else to do with them), and if the persons robbed will give as much for the things as they will sell for, they may have them again, if not, the thief-takers consent to have them sold, and they have part of the money, so that the thieves and they are all alike. . . . The Marshall's men are not much better than the thief-takers. This informant saith he has had many a pound of them for pocket books stole; they are alike encouragers of thieves, for if they did not give money for such things, they would not steal them, as not knowing what to do with them. If the thief-takers, etc., would do as their warrant runs, they would quickly rid the Town of thieves, by taking up all suspicious persons and bringing them before some Justice . . . (but then what must become of the thief-takers, they must hang themselves or come to be hanged in a short time); therefore . . . they drink with them, get what money they can from them, and then let them go." For sham bail Mr. Lawn is the principal man; he uses the *Bell* in the Old Bailey, and the *Three Kings* on Ludgate Hill. He is not only common bail himself, but a procurer of such, for which he has often ten shillings given him, five whereof he gives to the person procured. . . . These common bail, though they be housekeepers, make over their goods to other persons when an execution comes against them, and plead poverty, and come off for twelve pence in the pound or less. As to the practice of the Guards:—Whereas there are about 50 men in a company,

the duty lies upon less than half the number, the rest having liberty granted to be absent, under pretence of following their trades. The officers receive their pay in the meantime. These men are generally pickpockets and bullies about Town, attenders on ill-women that pick pockets, and some of them keep ill houses. Some go about with cups and balls to cheat, others cheat by playing at cards. The sergeants know them to be cheats and they are encouraged by them. The officers care not what sort of persons they are, so they will but pass muster. These do no duty except a guard or two now and then, and would be sent away were they not protected in the Guards. There are not now so many thieves in the Guards as of late, but the more bullies and cheats. . . . The housebreakers in general have no certain place of abode, and since the late Act, if they happen to meet one another, will not let their fellows know where they live, not daring to trust each other. . . . If any person makes himself an evidence and puts in twenty or thirty persons in his informations, the thief-takers only prosecute two or three, the others giving money not to be prosecuted." 10 pp.

Also 2 pages of further information.

MARSHAL TALLARD to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1708, January 28. N.S. Nottingham.—Prays that one or more persons may be appointed in that town to examine the letters which he is obliged by his long absence and the urgency of his affairs to write to France, so that his liability for them may be at an end when he has posted them. Such persons could, if thought fit, send them to the Secretary's office in London.

RANDOLPH BATH to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707-8, January 24. Lichfield.—I have received an order for permitting M. Legondez and M. Greder to go to London on parole. The latter was never a prisoner here, so finding by the same post a letter directed to Mons. Greder, I have forwarded it together with a copy of your order, to the Captain at Nottingham by the messenger that was dispatched by Baron d'Eltz.

B. GREDER to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1708, January 25. Nottingham.—Your letter of the 20th of this month was yesterday forwarded to me from Lichfield, informing me of her Majesty's permission to spend three weeks in London, for which I am under an obligation to the Duke of Marlborough. I enclose a letter of thanks for the Duke. *French.*

CHRISTOPHER, LORD SLANE to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707[-8], January 30. Dublin.—Acquainting him with the proposal made to him by Count Briançon to go to Savoy with a regiment, to which he gave no credit as there was no letter

from the Duke of Savoy accompanying it. His own inclination leads him to serve at home; but if no regiments are raised this year, he desires to be recommended to the Count and to the Duke of Marlborough.

Enclosing note of a proclamation of April 3, 1704, pardoning all Irish and other subjects of the Queen who are willing to leave the service of the French King for that of her Majesty or her allies.

A similar letter from the same to the same is dated 1707[-8], February 16.

ABUSES IN THE ARMY.

1707-8, February 3. (*Received.*)—Memorial suggesting remedies for certain abuses in the Army. The mustering of the forces "is wholly insignificant, and the money given to that end utterly lost; that employment being in the hands of some broken tradesmen and other persons as unskilful and unfit for that trust, who always reckon upon making the bribes they receive amount to double their salary." The salaries of commissaries ought to be augmented; and the Justices present at the muster ought to have a copy of the roll last past; and in regiments serving abroad, the Major of each brigade; and the rolls ought to be more accurately filled up.

"It is often seen that some regiments abroad are in one year lessened by one half without fighting, which is partly occasioned by the want of due care in mustering, partly by officers not taking care that the men eat wholesome meats, and do not spend all their pay in ill liquors (which kills abundance of them); partly by their discharging men, sometimes without money, only to save some months pay by them, and partly by men's getting counterfeit discharges, by which they pass to seaport towns and get into trading ships, who, for want of hands, are glad to bring them home." All discharges ought to be printed and sealed officially; and non-commissioned officers ought to see that the men buy of sufficiency of wholesome food on pay-day.

Soldiers ought to be better informed as to the exact amount of their pay, and lawful deductions from it. The details of their equipment ought to be set out in the printed contract of enlistment, and extra allowance made for them direct, without the intervention of agents.

"By a due execution of the military laws, it were to be wished that the inequality as to rank and commission were not an obstacle too great to be removed, so far as that in all court-martials a certain number of Justices of the Peace might make a part of the Court, and sit as judges there.

"And if some expedient could be used instead of death for the punishment of deserters, it is very probable people would be as diligent, especially for 5*l.* reward, to take them as they now are, notwithstanding the penalty, careful to conceal them; for they have a wrong notion of the nature of the crime. . . .

We have no galleys for them nor constant use for them as pioneers; nor is transportation any terror to felons as it is now ordered, for either they never go, or oft soon find means to return. But if well-tempered steel fetters were fastened about their necks, and steel plates upon their arms, . . . perhaps it might deter men both from robbery and desertion more than the fears of death, if in that dress they were confined to hard labour."

Proclamations are seldom taken notice of by the vulgar, except where large rewards are offered; and I find even in London the late proclamation about recruits is so little known that many men have listed for a quarter or for half the *premium* offered in it. "Reading proclamations in churches and meeting-houses makes them effectually known everywhere. . . . And as the Army is employed in the cause of religion, it may not, I presume, be thought indecent or irregular to read a short abstract of some things relating to the Army, that are necessary to be known, in all churches and meeting-houses."

Endorsed by Harley as received "in a letter without a name."

MAYOR AND JURATES OF HASTINGS to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, February 7. Hastings.—French men-of-war appear daily in the Channel of this place and keep us in continual alarms. Last Tuesday they came into this road and hoisted a flag on their main top-mast head, to let us know how much they ride admirals of the sea. "We are not in a condition to put ourselves in posture of defence against them or to regulate our Militia, not having commissions sent us. . . . This day one of the enemy's ships was on board our fishing boats and took one of the masters out, and keep them on board for a ransom; which, if they persist in, will in time be the entire ruin of this place and other fishing towns. . . . Once more we beg that care may be taken for the guard of the channel in relation to convoys and cruisers, . . . they daily acquainting some of our fishing boats that they shall disturb us this approaching Spring." *Seal of the Mayoralty.*

Endorsed by Harley, "to be sent to the Prince's Council."

NAVY AND TRADE.

1707, November 20. 1707-8, February 7.—Minutes of the proceedings of the parliamentary committee appointed to consider the state of the Navy and trade.

W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, February 17. Edinburgh.—"How great soever my surprise was at the report of late changes at Court, yet it was so far from making me forget the many obligations I am

under to you that I cannot but take the first opportunity of paying my most hearty and humble duty to you, with all that sense of gratitude that an honest man ought to have. I am not capable to serve you, but I beg leave to assure you, and it is all the trouble that I shall at present presume to give you," that you and your family have my best wishes.

Postscript.—"The General Assembly of our Church is to meet the fifteenth of April, and if your son, Mr. Harley, would divert himself with a journey to see the methods of that judicature, and to take a view of our country, I would be proud to have the opportunity of showing any respect I am capable of to one that you are so nearly concerned in."

DANIEL DE FOE to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707-8, February 20.—The case of the paying the Equivalent in Exchequer bills. (*This is the enclosure mentioned in Report on Harley Papers, vol. iii, p. 477.*)

CHARLES SERGISON to [ROBERT HARLEY].

1707-8, February 21.—"According to your commands [I] do send you herewith the charter to Trinity House.

"I believe, upon perusal of the articles of war left with you yesterday, you will find pretty good provision made against the enormities of the sea, so that little more will be wanting than the due execution of them, which seems to me to be the point to be considered. What fruits you have from the court martials by sea and land are obvious, and yet I do not see how they can be laid aside: and if the act for leaving it to the Crown to try them in Westminster Hall were revived, I fancy there would not be much use made of it. May not an appeal lie from those courts to Westminster Hall, as there does from the common law to the Chancery, and from thence to the House of Lords? In either case of trials at Westminster Hall it should, in my humble opinion, be by juries of ancient seamen, either chosen out of the elder brethren of Trinity House, or by them made choice of. But then, in either of those cases, it will be necessary first to regulate that corporation, as was mentioned yesterday, else you will be left just where you were before. And truly, that regulation seems to me to be needful, not only on this account, but for those other reasons you mentioned, of examining masters, pilots, instructors, etc. Nay, and the examination of lieutenants should be referred to them also, as was then hinted, and if a rule were made for lieutenants to be afterwards examined for captains before they had their commissions it might be of great use to the service, but these and many more regulations ought to be made by the Crown as well for saving of money as mending the discipline, which I have not time to mention at present, though that one I mentioned yesterday of paying the flags as formerly only whilst their flags are flying, and the commander only whilst on board, would go

a great way towards both: these new officers would be saved, and the ships put to sea, and kept so, if it were made their interest as well as their duty to have it so; but these are dangerous points for me to touch upon."

CHARLES SERGISON to ROBERT HARLEY.

1707[-8], February 23.—"If that dead weight upon the Navy, the Marines, were to be continued, it would be necessary to add an article to the articles of war, subjecting them to the trials of court-martials holden by the sea officers; having heard that the officers of the Marines do object against it; for if they are under the command of the sea officers, doubtless they ought to be subject thereto: which I have thought fit to trouble you with the knowledge of according to your commands, at my parting with you the other night.

"I am little acquainted with the military part of the Navy; in the civil I may pretend to a little knowledge, and could show many things fit to be redressed, if saving of money be of any use or esteem amongst us, and when the eyes of the nation begins to open, shall be very ready to do my endeavours if I shall live to see that day."

EXCISE IN SCOTLAND.

[1708, February?].—Abstract of the vouchers of her Majesty's revenue of Excise for Scotland from August 1, 1707, to February 1 following.

SIR ROBERT ADAIR, knight; LIEUT.-GENERAL CHARLES ROSS; LIEUT.-GENERAL ROBERT ECHLIN; COLONEL HENRY MONROE; CAPTAIN ROBERT BEARD; CAPTAIN RICHARD NEWSTEAD, and WILLIAM HAMILL, gent.

[About 1708, February.].—Petition to the House of Commons on behalf of the late Garrisons of Londonderry and Enniskilling, setting forth their services and their several applications for arrears of pay out of the sums raised by disposal of forfeited estates; which sums had been promised by King William for distribution among those who had aided in the reduction of Ireland, but had been diverted by Parliament to other users. They pray for consideration and relief. *Signed by all except Ross.*

BEDWIN ELECTION.

[About 1708, March.].—Chief heads of Mr. [Tracy] P[aucefort]'s report on the Bedwin election.

(See House of Commons' Journals, Vol. xv. *passim*.)

ABSTRACTS OF LETTERS.

1707-8, March.—Notes of letters from May 1, 1707 to March 16, 1707-8.

“1707, May 1.—A letter to Welsh takes notice of the aversion which was generally in Scotland against the Union; proposes means to encourage it, and hopes the flame so kindled will go through the kingdom. Copy.

“1707, May 8.—A letter to Mr. Kerr promises all security imaginable to the Presbyterians, desires to know what officers will be needful, mentions the fittest time for their friends' coming over to be when the English fleet sails for Spain. Copy.

“1707, May 15.—Sir D. Dalrymple to Mr. Kerr. Acknowledges the receipt of three letters from him and the copy of the foregoing letter to Welsh; all which he has put into the Duke of Queensberry's hands. The Duke thanks him for his care . . . and has mentioned his good services to the Queen.

Original.

“1707, May 13.—Duke of Roxburgh to Mr. Kerr. He had received two letters from him of the 29 of April and 3 of May. Had ordered him 100*l.* of his own money, the affair being not yet ripe to be discovered to the Queen. Original.

“Another from the same . . . says the Jacobites do not deal plainly with him. Persuades him not to press the Queen or Lord Treasurer for money because it would lessen himself. The heads of the manifesto to be published by the Pretender, with Mr. Kerr's amendments. . . .

“1707, June 17.—The Duke of Roxburgh to Mr. Kerr. Hopes the Cameronians will not take the field in arms against the Queen; but if they should, advises him not to appear with them. Refers him to Mr. Bailly. Original.

“Mr. Kerr's account of the number of troops and stands of arms designed to be sent with the Pretender, which, he says, he sent to the Duke of Roxburgh in July.

“1707, July 2.—The Duke of Roxburgh to Mr. Kerr. . . . Is sensible of his diligence, and desires him still to take care to keep the Cameronians in their right wits. Original.

“1707, July 17.—Another from the same. . . . Had got the Queen's allowance for him to act, and had sent the double of it to Mr. Bailly. Original.

“1707, July 22.—Mr. Bailly to Mr. Kerr. Appoints a meeting with him, though he had not received the Duke's letter mentioned by Mr. Kerr, which might be of use to determine them as to the occurrences. Original.

“1707, August.—Mr. Kerr to the Duke of Roxburgh. Was now required to give his oath *de fidei* to the Pretender. That through all Scotland, as well as in the North of England, there was a very bad disposition. That two great men had renewed a good understanding and friendship. That the Castle of Edinburgh was to be seized. Copy.

“1707, August 5.—The Duke of Roxburgh to Mr. Kerr. In his last but one Mr. Kerr had mentioned a ship to come with

arms and ammunition, which he desires him to communicate to the Justice Clerk for the seizing of it. . . . Before he received this, it was likely his instructions would be come to hand. Original.

"1707, August 30.—From the same. . . . Things seemd to be coming to a crisis. It was necessary discoveries should be made and persons seized. Desires him to go to the Cameronians and endeavour to prevail with them to enter into an association and publish a manifesto against the Pretender. Original.

"The particulars of what passed in discourse between the Lord Treasurer and Mr. Kerr at Windsor in September, 1707.

"1707, September 5.—Mr. Baily to Mr. Kerr. Had received a letter from the Duke of Roxburgh which recommended two things to him (Mr. Kerr), first that Murray should be seized, and in the next place to procure a manifesto from the Cameronians against the Pretender. Some heads are proposed as proper for the said manifesto, and they are mentioned to have been consulted between Lord Treasurer and the Duke of Roxburgh. Original.

"1707, September 30.—The Duke of Roxburgh to Mr. Kerr. That Mr. Kerr's having been at London is no secret to the Jacobites: therefore advises him to break off with them and go to the Cameronians. Original.

"1707, October 21.—From the same. Had showed his letters and account of disbursements to Lord Treasurer, whose answer was that he wished the manifesto were out before he moved the Queen to give him the money. Presses Mr. K. to hasten the manifesto as a testimony to Lord Treasurer that he had quitted the French. Original.

"1707, November 8.—From the same. Had received his with Macmillan's letter enclosed, and the paper which he had so long wished for. Had read it to the Queen, who was well pleased, and would order him the payment of money. Desires him to preserve his interest with the Cameronians and to keep them from extravagancies. Original.

"1707-8, February 3.—From the same. . . . Dissuades him from coming up to London. Original.

"1707-8, March 16.—From the same. Sir G. Byng was in pursuit of the French fleet . . . and hoped to give a good account of them. . . . Imagines there is some reason to think that the Treasurer is now in earnest, as he is sure Somers and the Whig lords now are. The Justice Clerk had orders by that post to give Mr. Kerr money, and he would have him (the Justice Clerk) understand how my Lord Somers had forced the Treasurer to it. Original."

SCHEME OF VOTES.

1708.—*Table endorsed by Harley* "Scheme of votes of the election of the sixteen peers to the Parliament of Great Britain, 1708, shewing how every peer gave his vote."

CHARLES GORING, Esq.—Petition to Lord Treasurer.
Godolphin.

[1707-8.]—While sub-commissioner of the prizes, was at very great charges in the execution of his duty, particularly by bringing up large sums of money to London, and by attending twelve weeks together “at the Vigo time” when several thousand pounds worth of goods were at great hazard secured at Portsmouth, though sold in London. Last year he paid 55*l.* to Mr. Battalee, the storekeeper; but being shortly afterwards removed from the office, and therefore unable to see the money paid as it ought to have been, and the storekeeper dying and having his goods seized by his creditors, petitioner is liable to pay the said sum again. Prays for relief. *Signed.*

MARGARET HARLEY to ROBERT HARLEY, Secretary of State.

[1704—1708.]—Is the widow of Mr. John Harley, late minister of the gospel and pastor of a congregation at St. Helen's, in the parish of Ecclestone and county of Lancaster, and is reduced to great straits by an imprisonment for a debt of 5*l.*, whence she was bailed out, and seeks to raise the money. She prays him to pity her bleeding needs and to extricate her from the most miserable of thraldoms.

WILLIAM INNES to [ROBERT HARLEY?]

[1704—1708?]

—Giving an account of his services as surveyor of the Common Brewery, and of the gains which he might have made, but for his integrity.

ADDENDA.

Five letters from William Carstares to Robert Harley, undated, but which from internal evidence must have been written in November and December, 1703.

[W. CARSTARES] to MR. SPEAKER [HARLEY].

[1703.]—“I spoke of that affair as fully as you desired me to do, and I see no inconvenience that can attend a speaking to the Duke upon that head and letting him know of how much advantage it might be as to all that he desireth to be done, if Mr. Fraser could be brought to speak with the Treasurer and the Duke together; for I am told that little encouragement was given to produce him, though some at Court knew he was here. I have some other things to speak to you about, and would have begged an opportunity to wait upon you this night but that
 . . . I was detained this day at the Duke of Queensberry's.”

[W. CARSTARES] to MR. SPEAKER [HARLEY].

[1703.]—"I cannot but let you know that you have done great service to her Majesty's interest in Scotland by hindering that gift that was designed for the bishops and the non-juring clergy, for it was signed by the Queen, but her Majesty did afterwards forbid Earl Cromartie to send it to Scotland; but it is a gift so conceived that I wonder how a secretary could adventure to present it to her Majesty in such a juncture. I am sure had he had any consideration of what was for her Majesty's interest he would never have done it. There are also some other things that are as unaccountable almost as this, which I shall not now trouble you with. The Earl of Leven hath laid them before the Duke of Marlborough, with whom he was this afternoon."

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1703.]—"It seems to be plain that the opposition that hath been made of late in Scotland to the settling of the Protestant succession there, the plain inclination that did appear in many to make a separation of that kingdom from England, the bold discourses in favour of the pretended Prince of Wales that were to be heard both in the streets and coffee-houses, and the great presumptions there are of formed designs against the quiet of her Majesty's government and for setting up a popish pretender as King of that nation—it seems, I say, to be plain that all these things do show that there is a necessity of her Majesty altering the measures of her government as to that kingdom, by chiefly employing such as are known to be against a popish successor, and well affected to the interest of the Revolution and to her Majesty's authority. But that these may be firmly united among themselves, it would be of great advantage if it could be made appear that some of those that have deluded some honest men by a pretended zeal for the liberty and independence of their country were discovered to have correspondence with St. Germain's. The seizing of one or two of these, especially if they are members of Parliament, would show that the Court is in earnest against the pretending heir of the Crown, and it would also make it evident that her Majesty hath just reasons to alter her measures in the managing of that kingdom.

"I do also humbly judge that it would be much for her Majesty's service if she did not only declare that she is for the succession in the Protestant line, but if she would be pleased to put the weight of her government in the hands of those that are known to be against the pretended Prince of Wales; for to declare the one and to do nothing in the other would, I am afraid, signify little to the curing of prevailing jealousies. If any such as I have mentioned can be seized here it will be fit that it be done without letting it be known to any Scotchman whatsoever that there is so much as such a design, and when the thing is done then the person being in close custody ought to be first strictly

examined only by those that are English, and none of our great men ought to be allowed to examine him but when some one English minister or other is present.

“After any person is taken into custody I do conceive that it might not be amiss to nominate a new Privy Council in Scotland, for as it is constituted at present nothing I fear can be done to purpose to discourage those that are disaffected, and, besides, this change would give great life both to honest men and things; and for the discovering at once both the necessity of this alteration and how it may be made there needs no more but to consider what kind of persons were put out of the Council last year and what they are that were brought into their place. I do with all submission judge that the Treasurer and the Duke of Marlborough their meeting with the Duke of Queensberry, Earl of Seafield and Earl of Cromartie *alias* Tarbet will signify little to any adjusting of affairs, for they trust not one another, nor will they freely give their sentiments one to another. But I conceive it might be to better purpose that the Treasurer and Duke of Marlborough should speak with the Duke of Queensberry, the Marquis of Annandale and the Earl of Stair together, and plainly lay before them the Queen’s resolution of declaring herself for the succession in Scotland, and then those Lords cannot but make known their plain sentiments as to this affair and the methods of managing it, and this will enable the Treasurer and the Duke of Marlborough to give her Majesty their own opinion upon clear grounds.

“There is only one thing more that I shall take the liberty to suggest, and it is this, that seeing her Majesty is resolved to alter her measures as to Scotland, I know not one person that would be of greater use in her Government than the late Treasurer-depute, Mr. Cockburn of Ormiston, for he is bold, firm and honest, and hath a great influence upon many of the country party as they are called—such I mean of them as are not Jacobites—and I heartily wish he were here. But anything relating to this gentleman must be so managed as the Duke of Queensberry may be the doer of it, and whatever differences may be between this gentleman and the Duke, yet I doubt not but if he were here they might be agreed; but I must not at all be known to be concerned either in this affair or in anything else that I have taken the liberty to mention in this paper. But I know I am safe in your hands, and I persuade myself that I shall be under no mistake with you for the freedom I have presumed to use; and whatever impertinences I may have fallen into in what I have said, yet I can say my designs and desires for the quiet and prosperity of her Majesty’s government and of these nations are disinterested.”

[W. CARSTARES to ROBERT HARLEY.]

[1703.]—“I have been this day with the Duke of Queensberry and do find that that meeting with Earl Seafield and Earl Cromartie, which I told you was proposed to him, is not insisted

upon. His Grace told me that the Treasurer and the Duke of Marlborough had discoursed with him about the inclination that the Queen had to declare openly her mind about the succession, but that he could not understand by their discourse by what persons they did incline to have that affair managed, and therefore he could not well give any positive opinion about it, the success of it depending very much upon the known good affection to the interest of the Revolution of those that were to be trusted with the management of it.

The Marquis of Annandale told me that he had a meeting this afternoon with the Duke of Marlborough, who, he said, gave him very great satisfaction as to his sentiments about our affairs. The Duke of Queensberry also told me that the Earl of Nottingham had sent to him in the forenoon to tell him that there was a Committee of Council to be, and that he would gladly see him at his office after the Committee was up; but the Duke not coming so soon as the Earl expected, they did not meet."

[] [W. CARSTARES] to MR. SPEAKER [HARLEY].

[1703].—"The Earl of Leven having been with the Queen hath told with great freedom what were his thoughts of her Majesty's affairs in Scotland, which she seemed to be well satisfied with. He was to wait upon my Lord Treasurer, but did not find him at home. He is desirous to wait upon you, but I put it off till I should know your mind.* I humbly judge that it may not be amiss he meet with you, if it were no more but that he may know how great a well-wisher you are to our country, and really our circumstances are like to be such that as we may stand in need of your endeavours for our quiet, so you may be an instrument of much good to Britain, by preventing confusions in our poor nation or by enabling honest men to stand out against them if they should be.

"I presume once more to suggest to you that I humbly judge that there is nothing that will enable the Court so much to settle our affairs upon some reasonable bottom than that the Duke of Marlborough and the Treasurer meet with the Duke of Queensberry, the Marquis of Annandale, Earl of Stair and Earl of Leven together, and that our affairs and what may be proper remedies for our distempers be freely discoursed of, for it seems to be necessary that men and things should be plain and above-board; and it is much better, in my poor opinion, that those that are at present friends should plainly understand one another than to go to Scotland with different views as to the methods of management.

"Pardon this freedom which flows from sincere concern for the public interest of our religion and our peace."

* See Harley's letter of December 10 (printed by McCormick in Carstares' *Letters and Papers*, p. 724), which seems to be an answer to this.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN,
1704-1708.

HENRY LACE.—Has seized several ships and vessels trading contrary to law, which with their cargo amounted to 50,000*l.*, part of which belongs to the Queen, but has not been applied to her use and service, nor accounted for. He prays for a day to be appointed for hearing what he and his witnesses can depose as to the said seizures. (About May, 1704).

SCOTCH PLOT.—Memorial for laying the examinations taken in the plot before the Parliament of Scotland and sending down the evidence to that kingdom; and concerning a convoy to a ship with the Marquis of Tweeddale's equipage as is usual.

Proposing means whereby the examination of persons concerned in the plot may begin on the 10th or 15th of July next. *No names.*

Endorsed by Harley—"June 4, 1704. That relating to a convoy sent to the Prince's Council."

THOMAS HUNTER.—Lies under sentence of death for several burglaries of which he is innocent, the charges having been brought by a perjured person who had already been in custody for the same offences. Prays for a commutation of the sentence to that of transportation.

Endorsed by Harley—"June 11th, 1704. Respited till Thursday at the Council at Hampton Court."

HENRY KNEES, a Swede and master of the Swedish merchant ship *St. Jacob* of Stockholm.

On her way from Ramsgate to the Downs, in charge of a Trinity House pilot, the ship fouled and sank an English hoy laden with government stores; the men only were saved. The *St. Jacob* also received great damage. Several actions have been brought against him; he has been imprisoned and is almost ruined by law charges, the loss of the voyage and damage to the ship. As at the time she was in charge of an English pilot and he himself was sick in bed, he prays that further proceedings at the suit of her Majesty may be stopped.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from Mr. Leoncrona, June 13, 1704. June 16, referred to Sir John Cooke to report the fact and what proper to be done." [*Cf. Cooke's letter*, p. 126.]

THOMAS, LORD FAIRFAX, BARON OF CAMERON in the kingdom of Scotland.—For a pardon for Peter Dearlove. (The petition and the report are transcribed in Petition Entry book, 1704-1709, p. 7).

Another report by the Council of the Lord High Admiral, that Dearlove may be pardoned, since at the last Admiralty Sessions no one appeared to accuse him. Signed, D. Mitchell and George Churchill. Dated June 28, 1704.

Warrant to prepare a bill to pass the great seal for the said pardon.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

ROBERT MCNEALL, ESQ.—Having frequently addressed her Majesty, setting forth his services and sufferings for the Protestant interest, she ordered Mr. Secretary Vernon to acquaint the Duke of Marlborough that he should have a post in the army. Not doubting of his success, he was surprisingly prevented about eight months since by being made prisoner upon suspicion of treason, though he protests his innocence and loyalty. Then, being under bail, he went to Windsor, thinking to meet some of the Secretaries of Scotland to whom he was recommended by the Earls of Bute and Glasgow, his near relations, to move the Queen for him. But his going there was considered so great a fault that he was sent to Newgate, and it cost him in fees and otherwise between £15 and £16. He prays for employment or for relief, and for a pardon *gratis*, that he may have a legal action against those who call him a Newgate traitor or the like.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 29, 1704."

SIR EDWARD SOUTHCOATE, BART.—His daughter Penelope went to Dunkirk in 1699 in the time of peace, being then about ten years old. But suffering of late from the King's evil, she is advised by her physicians to return to her native country as the only means of re-establishing her health. Prays for a licence for her to return into her Majesty's dominions with her maid-servant.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received July 15, 1704."

And by Lewis, "Read July 16, 1704. To be further considered."

JOHN OGILBY.—Returned about eight months ago from France in order to take the benefit of the Act of Indemnity in Scotland, where he was born. His intention was to serve her Majesty in all due obedience, but to his surprise he was apprehended and committed to the Gate House, where he remained a close prisoner for almost six months to the utter ruin of himself, his poor wife and children, having expended all his small substance and run into debt to the sum of 130*l.* and upwards, for which he is in daily danger of being troubled. Prays her Majesty to relieve him from his present miseries and employ him in her service.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received July 22, 1704."

EDMUND DUMMER, ESQ.—Pursuant to the orders of the Committee of the Privy Council resolved in the minutes August 20th, 1702, petitioner having passed his accounts with the Postmaster-General and shown the navigation to the West Indian Islands to be practicable, and what he propounded having been performed in a succession of ten voyages to those Islands, by vessels employed at his own expense, in obedience to the said minutes, he prays that he may be paid speedily such reward as shall be thought fit.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Endorsed by Harley—"Received August 4, 1704. Read August 8, 1704."

In a letter of August 10, 1704, petitioner hopes that his petition will be offered, "being very much distressed in fortune and reputation for want of a determination."

OWNERS and FREIGHTERS of the ship *Worcester*, trading on the separate stock to East India.—On the arrival of the said ship at Leith from a voyage in the East Indies, she waited for a convoy for England. In which place while the commander was ashore, "between 30 and 40 Scots persons" went on board and drew their swords on the sailors, saying, "If anyone resisted he was a dead man;" and so took possession of the ship and cargo, turned all the crew but five ashore, and refused to let the commander come aboard; their only pretence being a reprisal for one of their ships which was lately seized in the Downs by the English East India Company, with whose ventures the petitioners are in no way connected, since they manage their trade separately by virtue of an Act of Parliament. They pray that the ship and cargo may be restored to them in order to come to England and pay custom for the goods, "which will be considerable." *Signed by* Thomas Starke, Thomas Hammond, Thomas Bowrey and William Keech.

Enclosed is the affidavit of the same persons to several facts stated above. Made before John Parsons, Mayor, August 26, 1704.

Also an affidavit of John Ockley, of London, mariner, who was on board the *Worcester* when seized on August 12, testifying to the facts stated above and adding that a Scotch man-of-war lying near fired one shot, immediately after which the Scots drew their swords. Made before the same person on the same day.

Also a similar petition signed by Starke, Bowrey, Hammond and Elias Dupuy.

JOHN LAW, of the kingdom of Scotland, gentleman. "About ten years since he had the misfortune to kill Mr. John (*sic*) Willson in a duel, for which being tried here in England he was found guilty of murder, but by the intercession of several noblemen of Scotland he was reprieved by the late King William, and removed by virtue of a *habeas corpus* from Newgate to the prison of the King's Bench, where an appeal was lodged against him by Robert Willson, the next of blood to the deceased, which being prosecuted with great violence, your petitioner made his escape from the said prison.

That he is now residing in Scotland, and by the intercession of friends hath prevailed with the said appellant to annul his appeal; yet your petitioner is debarred from serving your Majesty (as he is most desirous) in the just war wherein your Majesty is now engaged." Prays therefore for a pardon "not

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

only for the death of the said John Wilson, but also for his breach o^f the said prison" that he may be able to serve the Queen for the rest of his life.

Endorsed by Harley—"September 5, 1704. Rejected."

[This is John Law the well-known financier. The name of the person he killed was Edward Wilson. See *Cal. S.P. Dom.* 1694, 1695, p. 108.]

TAMAR, wife of RICHARD GOUGE, mariner.—Her husband was cast away in the great storm of November 27th last on board the transport *Asia*, leaving her destitute. Prays the Royal bounty. *Enclosed* is a certificate to the same effect, adding that the children are only kept from "coming to the parish" by the charity of well disposed persons. Dated August 26, 1704, and signed by Daniel Smith, Mayor of Harwich, William Thompson, Vicar of Dovercourt-cum-Harwich, and five others.

ROBERT BLACKBURNE.—Was committed to Newgate in April, 1696, whence he was bailed out at the sessions held in August following and discharged. Having contracted debts in prison, he intended to go to Flanders in hopes of relief from his relations there. Going on board an Ostend man-of-war, he was stopped for want of a pass and committed to the custody of a messenger. A bill then depending in Parliament for the imprisonment of one Counter and others, his name was given in by the officiousness of the messenger and thereupon added by the Lords in their amendment (without his ever being examined) and he re-committed to Newgate, where he has been above eight years. Prays to be bailed out.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received September 6, 1704."

RICHARD COLINGE.—Is yeoman usher of the House of Peers, and farmer of Crown fines in the Court of Queen's Bench, by Letters Patent under the Great Seal; and was also an under-clerk of the Council but "unprecedentedly" dismissed. He was never guilty of any act to forfeit the said grants, nor "received any benefit" from them, which were of 800*l.* a year. Prays for a reversion, pension or employment.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received September 23, 1704."

Also a similar petition.

CAPTAIN RICHARD HILL.—In obedience to the Duke of Marlborough's commands, he served this campaign in Germany as a volunteer, and being wounded in the last glorious victory [Blenheim], had leave to return to throw himself at her Majesty's feet to pray for pardon—in compassion to his youth, in pity to his wife and five small children, and in regard to his services—for a crime committed at sixteen years of age and eleven years since.

Endorsed by Harley—"29 October, 1704. Enquire of Mr. Secretary Hedges for Duke of Marlborough's letters, &c."

Annexed is the case of Captain Richard Hill, setting forth that he was the son of the Dean of Kilkenny, and had colours given him at the age of thirteen in Lord Lisborne's regiment through the interest of his father-in-law, Captain Edward Carey, father of the present Lord Falkland. In a short time he was promoted to the command of a foot company in that regiment. At the end of the Irish war he left the company he then had in Brigadier Earle's regiment, and served two campaigns as a volunteer in Flanders, and returning to England had the misfortune at the age of sixteen to quarrel with Mr. Montford, and in a rencontre to wound him, of which wound he died. This happened in 1692, since which time Hill had endeavoured to merit a pardon by going volunteer to Newfoundland with Colonel Gibson.

Also a letter of the Duke of Marlborough to Harley from the camp at Eppingen, 3 September, 1704, forwarding a memorial of his General Officers on behalf of Captain Hill, "representing" his good behaviour in the two late actions and recommending him as a fit object of her Majesty's mercy. *Signed*.

The *memorial* is signed by Lords Cutts and Orkney, R. Ingoldsby, H. Withers, Ch. Rosse, and Wm. Cadogan.

Also copies of memorials from Lieutenant-General Earle and Colonel Gibson testifying to Captain Hill's good conduct while under their several commands, and recommending him to mercy for a crime committed when he was only sixteen years old.

Annexed is a much later petition, referring to his services but not to his crime, and praying that in compassion to himself and his "ten children" he may be put on the half-pay list as a captain of dragoons.

CHRISTOPHER PRICE of Llanfoyst in the county of Monmouth, gentleman.—King Henry VIII. granted to the town of Abergavenny two thirds of the great tithes of Llanvihangel Crucorney, and half the tithes of Llanthewy Rytheock and Llanellen in the county of Monmouth, being 49*l.* per annum; Whereof 15*l.* was to be paid to the usher of the free school in the said town, which has been and shall be regularly paid. The remaining 34*l.* was received by the town and applied by them as they thought fit till the year 1689, when their charter become void by their neglect of choosing a bailiff, while such a number of electors remained as the charter required. Notwithstanding this forfeiture the 34*l.* was paid by the tenants to the town till 1698, since when, perceiving that the town had no right to the rents, the tenants have converted them to their own use. He prays therefore, in consideration of his services as Justice of the Peace and acting Commissioner for executing Acts of Parliament, at a great expense to himself, to be granted the said tithes, with power to call the tenants to account from the year 1698.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Enclosed in a letter to Harley, dated November 3, 1704, Llanfoyst. Thanking him for his promise to deliver the petition and requesting directions as to the further prosecution of the matter.

CAPTAIN PETER D'AUSSY.—(See Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, p. 40, November 22, 1704.)

SIMON TRETZEL and NIELS NIELSEN of Stockholm, and DAVID AMYA of Gottenburg in Sweden.—(See transcript in Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, p. 41, December 3, 1704).

SARAH BUTLER.—Her husband, Captain James Butler, was killed at the battle of Aughrim, and her three sons were killed in the late wars, as appears by the true copies annexed of certificates from the Earl of Meath and Major-General Stewart. The late King granted her an allowance of 30*l.* per annum, which ceased on his death. Since then she has contracted some few small debts for which she is now imprisoned in the Marshalsea with two starving grandchildren under her care. She prays therefore for a continuance of the said allowance. Dated December 14, 1704.

Enclosed are the copies of the certificates referred to; and a similar copy signed Sam. Lynn, dated 19 July, 1703, at Whitehall.

JOHN DACKIN.—Was formerly in the Horse Guards, but resigned to become a gentleman pensioner extraordinary, "wherein he has served" ten years, but is now discharged from the said attendance without any consideration. Prays that his pension of half-pay may be paid out of Mr. Nicholas' office, there being a vacancy by the death of Mr. Peter Lamb, or to become a poor Knight of Windsor, and, until a vacancy falls, to be paid the pension due to him.

(? 1704. See also Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702-1707, p. 260).

Memorial of WILLIAM THOROLD, clerk, and RICHARD DAVIS.—Thanking her Majesty on behalf of the English inhabitants of Rotterdam who are in communion with the Church of England for having procured for them the free exercise of their religion, and for her late bounty towards its support; and praying her to grant letters recommendatory authorizing her subjects to collect the charitable contributions of the well disposed throughout her dominions for the furtherance of the work.
(? 1704.)

ANTHONY LEEDES.—(Petition, case and inquisition are transcribed in Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, pp. 61-64, under date January 26, 1704-5.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Also a deposition of Thomas Manners, labourer of North Milford, made at Selby, February 5, 1704-5.

Also the report of Sir Simon Harcourt that the Queen may properly grant a pardon for manslaughter. Dated February 9, 1704-5.

CAPTAIN WOOLFRAN CORNWALL to the Lords of the Privy Council.—Having in July last petitioned her Majesty to grant him a pension for his long services at sea, his petition was referred to their Lordships, who after consulting the Lord High Admiral's Council reported that he deserved a pension of 200*l.* per annum. Whereupon he was referred to the Lord High Treasurer, but he being of opinion that a seaman ought to be relieved out of the Navy, petitioner has had no benefit. Prays for intercession with the Queen to grant him either a pension out of the Navy, such as Sir Ralph Delaval, Admiral Killigrew, Lord Carmarthen, Sir John Munden and others received, or a superannuation such as Captain Mason, Captain Clements, and Sir Robert Robinson have.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received January 26, 1704-5. He will present his petition himself on Sunday to her Majesty."

A similar petition to the Queen is *endorsed by Harley*—"Received from her Majesty, January 31, 1704-5. Read in Cabinet Council St. James, February 4, 1704-5. Referred to his Royal Highness' Council."

Accompanied by the report of Prince George of Denmark, Lord High Admiral, that petitioner does not come within the rules of the Navy either for pension or superannuation, and submitting his case to her Majesty. Signed and dated 8 February, 1704-5.

Also a petition giving further particulars of his 29 years' service and his wounds, and alluding to an earlier petition of June 15 last. (This is the petition referred to above, as presented in July 1704).

MATTHEW PAGE.—(Transcribed in Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, domestic, p. 45, under date December 26, 1704.)

Underwritten, Order of Sir N. Wright [Lord Keeper] for the attendance of all parties concerned or their proctors at Powys House. Signed and dated, 1704-5, January 3. Subscribed with a report by the same, recommending the appointment of a commission to review the last sentence. Signed, and dated 17 February, 1704-5.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received December 20, 1704. Referred to my Lord Keeper, December 26, 1704. Review allowed and ordered, February 17, 1704-5."

JOHN OVIATT.—Being tried by the Court of Queen's Bench in accordance with a writ of *habeas corpus* directed to the gaoler

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

of Newgate, he was by the Court remanded to gaol on a wrong judgment. He therefore prays for a writ of error returnable in Parliament this present session.

Endorsed by Harley—"John Oviatt's petition of Aylesbury. Received from Mr. Lee, a solicitor, Monday, February 19, 1704-5, at eleven o'clock, as I was going to the House. Note.—Mr. Secretary Hedges had received a letter and petition from one John Paty, which by the Queen's order was last night referred to the Attorney General."

Enclosed, memorandum by Harley.

Mr. Lee, of Clement's Inn, says he came concerning a petition left at this office last night, from one of the Aylesbury prisoners (John Oviat). When asked who sent it, he said one Mr. Caesar, of Simond's Inn; he was told there was no entry made last night; also the petition was not signed; "and when there came a petition signed by the party, I would do my duty and take her Majesty's directions in it. . . . He was asked why they had not petitioned the House of Commons long since, and then the Aylesbury men might have been released. He said they could not petition the Lords until they had petitioned the Queen." Dated Saturday, February 17, 1704-5.

Enclosed in note from Harley (? to the Attorney General).

Enclosed petition being laid before her Majesty, I am commanded to transmit it to you with directions to you to advise with Queen's Counsel, and to return me an opinion whether a writ of error ought by law to be granted. February 19, 1704-5.

A similar petition is enclosed in an information of Thomas Wilson, a porter of Lincoln's Inn, who received it from Mr. Cesar, with orders to come to Secretary Harley's office. Dated February 16, 1704-5.

EDWARD and ANN RICH, infants, the children of Cope Rich.—Are the great grandchildren of the Earl of Holland, "who for his loyalty to your Majesty's grandfather, King Charles the First of blessed memory, was beheaded with Duke Hamilton and Lord Capel." Their father has been for many years past "under the unhappy circumstances of discomposure of mind, who is next heir to the present Earl of Warwick, an infant, and to the title of Warwick and Holland, Viscount Kensington, and Baron of Lees." Their parents are too poor to educate and support them. They pray for compassion. Signed by Wat. St. John, Henry St. John, and H. St. John.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received February 23, 1704-5."

Annexed is a note in Godolphin's writing, stating that he thinks it may be proper for the Queen to give these children an allowance for their education.

JAMES TOMSON, gentleman, on behalf of Wyndham Tomson, an infant, his grandson.—Clifton Tomson, father of the said

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

infant, served as a Cornet of Horse under Brigadier Palmes "in that great and glorious battle at Hockstet [*i.e.* Blenheim]," and after showing great courage was there killed, leaving a widow and two children in a mean condition. Prays that his grandson's name may be entered for his admission as a poor scholar of Sutton's Hospital, commonly called the Charterhouse. *Certified* by Lord Thanet.

Annexed, Warrant for the entry of his name in the house-book of Sutton's Hospital for the first Royal nomination. St. James', February 26, 1704-5.

FRANCIS HAGGAS, corporal in Colonel Wheeler's company in the first regiment of foot guards.—In December last he was ordered to Portsmouth and Farnham with his company, and did not know till this week that one Mr. Richard Ball had petitioned the House of Commons and procured a bill to pass both Houses, enabling him to sell his estate of Great Appleby and Little Appleby, in the counties of Leicester and Derby, and to lay out the money arising thence in the purchase of another estate, thereby defeating the title of petitioner as heir-at-law. Prays that the Queen will not assent to the bill.

Endorsed by Harley—"March 6, 1704-5. To be examined."

Accompanied by the case of the same, showing that his mother's father, Francis Appleby, was, in 1637, possessed of the manors of Great and Little Appleby, lands in Overseale and Netherseale, and Meeston Mills in the above counties, and died in Ireland, whereby his daughter Margaret became heiress. In 1668 or '69 her husband, Matthew Haggas, brought a bill in Chancery to get possession, but the papers were burnt at Grey's Inn. They died about 1691 or 1692, and their eldest son, Appleby Haggas, brought in a new bill, but died very poor in 1701, leaving petitioner his heir. The lands claimed by Mr. Ball are believed to be none of the lands claimed by Haggas, but the insertion of a saving clause in the Act would be very satisfactory. *Signed* John Gardiner, solicitor for the petitioner.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received March 8, 1704-5."

Report made by Harley on this case on the same day, taking into consideration the information of the solicitor and stating his belief that the proposed Act cannot prejudice petitioner; to be submitted to the Queen. *Signed*.

Draft of the same.

PATRICK LACY, esquire, Clerk of the Council of her Majesty Catherine, Queen Dowager.—(Transcribed in Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, p. 74, under date March 4, 1704-5.)

Accompanied by a certificate of the petitioner's loyalty, dated March 8, 1704-5, signed by the Duke of Richmond, the Earl of Denbigh and five other persons.

Also the report of the Attorney General that Lacy is bound to good behaviour and to appear at the next assizes for Suffo'k for having twice refused to take the oaths appointed in the first year of William and Mary, and that if he refuses a third time to take them he is liable to the penalties named in the Act, but that as he is a Roman Catholic in the service of the Queen Dowager, and has always behaved loyally, her Majesty may lawfully give order for the discharge of his recognizances. Signed Edward Northey; dated March 10, 1704-5.

Endorsed by Harley—"Read in Council, March 13, 1704-5. Warrant to Mr. Attorney ordered upon it."

JERONIMO BESTOSO.—Sets forth his services and grievances and prays for speedy consideration of his request that a prize ship allotted to him for his services at Port St. Mary may be fitted out to go to sea.

Endorsed by Harley—"March 18, 1704-5. Prince's Council present. Read. The Queen hath done much for him without any proof of his merit."

(See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702-1707, p. 377).

JOHN HOLINSHED, ISAAC ADAMS, JOHN MAYOTT, and JOHN HUGHS, messengers of the House of Commons, and two assistants. On an address of the House of the 24 of June, 1701, the salaries of the clerk, assistant and under-clerks, door-keepers and house-keeper were augmented, without any relief to petitioners, who have but 5*l.* each per annum, though they have attended "several long late sessions wherein hath happened little or no perquisites." They pray therefore that their allowances may be increased.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 7, 1705."

A duplicate copy with a printed bill of the votes of the House of Commons, June 24, 1701.

Another petition to the same effect.

ROBERT LUMLEY LLOYD, clerk, senior Fellow of Pembroke Hall, Cambridge, and chaplain to Richard, Earl of Scarborough.—

The said Pembroke Hall is under the Queen's visitation. On November 5 last the rectory of Framlingham, Suffolk, became void by the death of Mr. Draper, which living is in the gift of the said college by the donation of Sir Robert Hitcham deceased, to whom petitioner is nearly related. The constant usage and custom in such a case being to present the master or next senior fellow, the master, Dr. Brown, was presented to it last December, but he not thinking fit to make use of the same, the living remains void, and ought by known usage to be offered to petitioner as senior Fellow. But the Master and some of the Fellows, to his prejudice, endeavour to confer it upon a junior

Fellow whom he does not conceive to be duly qualified, "to the great discouragement of all learning and seniority in the said college . . . and to the disturbance of the peace and quiet" thereof. Their only pretence is that petitioner has a considerable estate of his own, "which was never an objection before this time." Prays for relief.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 26, 1705. Nothing can be done by law in this, which is a private affair, but entering a *caveat* with the bishop of the diocese against the presentation of an unfit person."

JOHN BARRETT.—Going lately to Finchley to seek for work, and being disappointed thereof, upon his return "he met with a horse on the side of a common, which he unadvisedly took to ride a mile or two homewards upon it," to ease his weariness, and intended to have turned the horse loose in a very short time, but was taken upon it, and has since been convicted and sentenced to death. He has a wife big with child, and six other children. He has "an universal good character" and this is his first offence; the said horse being only valued at three pounds. Prays therefore for a reprieve.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from her Majesty April 26, 1705."

Enclosed is a certificate of good character signed by John Goodwin, vicar, and the churchwardens, overseers, and seven other inhabitants of East Bedfont parish in Middlesex. Dated April 24, 1705.

MICHAEL WADDING.—(See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702-1707, p. 57.) Her Majesty formerly gave him an allowance of 10*l.* and promised that he should be provided for, but having lost the said allowance and the Duchess of Ormond having sent him word that his relations are so inveterate against him (for turning protestant) that it is not safe for him to go to Ireland, he prays for relief until he can be provided for according to her Majesty's promise.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 26, 1705."

CHARLES DUNSTER.—Has been in prison for some weeks, but nothing appearing against him he was let out on bail. He is obliged to go to the West Indies or he will lose at once the litt'e that he has purchased with the expense and toil of years. The fleet being just ready to sail, he prays that his bail may be discharged.

Endorsed by Warre—"Mr. Charles Dunster, bail discharged, May 5, 1705. Writ to Mr. Barret the same day," and by *Harley*—"Read May 5, 1705, granted."

Enclosed in a letter of the previous day from Dunster to Robert Harley.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

CHARLES GIBSON.—Having commanded the packet-boat between Dover and Calais from the Treaty of Ryswick till the present war was declared, and being then out of employ, the Archbishop of York and the Earl of Nottingham recommended him to the Queen to transport prisoners of war between the same places, which service he performed to the satisfaction of the Commissioners for exchanging prisoners. But after Lord Nottingham resigned his Secretaryship of State, they dismissed petitioner without reason assigned. Prays for restoration to the said employment or some other place in the Royal service.

Enclosed in a letter (to Harley) dated May 5, 1705.

MATTHEW COUDELL.—On the death of his father (a French protestant) in 1690, petitioner, then only fourteen, was enticed into France by his mother's relatives, and after being at school there, entered the French army. Having now quitted that service he offers himself to her Majesty for employment; and for the truth of his allegations refers to the certificates annexed. Prays for a licence under the privy seal that he may be qualified to spend the remainder of his life in atoning for the misfortunes of his youth.

(About May 8, 1705).

Enclosed is a certificate of that date from [Sir John] Maclean that he believes Captain Coudell to have returned to England with the intention of serving the Queen as a faithful subject.

A similarly worded petition refers to the above petition and certificate.

CAPTAIN ISSAC DELESTRILLE'S MEMORIAL.—For eighteen years he served the Crown of England as captain of foot in Colonel La Melloniere's regiment, and is now retained a pensioner to her Majesty's bounty in Ireland. Being a native of France and well acquainted with that kingdom by land and sea, he proposes to lay down such method for a descent upon a certain place as will be highly prejudicial to the French King, without much danger to the English, and is ready to make his method known to anyone whom the Queen shall appoint.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received May 11, 1705."

The MAYOR and ALDERMEN of Coventry.—Complaining of the riots and illegal practices which have attended recent elections of burgesses for Parliament, and in particular on the 8 of March last "on the project of a new Parliament." Being apprehensive of further and worse disturbances at the approaching days of election, they pray the Queen to issue a proclamation forbidding the interference of all persons who have no right to vote, and the appearance of voters and others with arms. *Signed*, Samuel Billing, Mayor. *Also by eight Aldermen. Copy.*

(The Coventry election was on May 22, in 1705.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

NICHOLAS MORICE, esquire, one of the clerks of her Majesty's signet.—Having served in the said office above twenty-eight years he craves to resign his post, praying that it may be conferred upon his nephew, Joseph Moyle, esquire, a member of the present Parliament, and grandson to Sir William Morice, sometime one of the Principal Secretaries of State, whom the Lord Clarendon in his elaborate history doth candidly represent as very instrumental in the happy restoration of the Royal family to their throne." *Signed.*

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 27, 1705."

EDWARD HENRY, EARL OF LITCHFIELD.—By an inquisition upon a writ of *ad quod damnum*, taken at Ditchley in the parish of Spelsbury, Oxon, returned to the court of Chancery, it was found that no damage would ensue if he received a Royal licence to enclose the common ways leading from Charlbury to Kiddington, and certain ways leading from Northleigh and Stonesfield to Enstone, making instead new ways "on the south and east sides of a certain close called New Close, in Ditchley." Prays for leave for him and his heirs to change the said ways.

Enclosed in a report from (Sir) Edward Northey (Attorney General) that the Queen may lawfully gratify the petitioner on condition of his making the new ways proposed. *Signed*, and dated June 30, 1705.

CAPTAIN JOHN BREHOLT AND OTHERS.—Several of her Majesty's subjects, who have committed piracies in the south seas, are willing to "reclaim" if assured of her pardon. Petitioners, having engaged several merchants to advance sufficient stock to set out ships for a voyage to those parts to treat with those pirates and to bring back such as will be pardoned, pray that they may receive the Queen's commission, and will be ready to start within three months of receiving the same. *Signed*, John Breholt, Daniel Hall, Jez. Jones.

(About June, 1705. See *Harley Papers* ii, 195, 196.)

JANE HUSSEY, widow, principal creditor of John Everard, deceased.—By several considerable losses at sea, and two imprisonments in France, (having seven fatherless children to maintain), she is now reduced to a low ebb of fortune; her losses in all, including her last in the Barbadoes fleet at Christmas, being 8,000*l.* The said John Everard, for his good services at Worcester and elsewhere, received from King Charles II. a pension of 26*l.* per annum, which was continued by Kings James and William. But on his death, above fourteen months ago, there was due to him four years' pension still unpaid. He left a daughter in great want with her family. Petitioner being an inhabitant of New York, destitute of friends or support, prays for a Royal order that

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

the arrears of Everard's pension may be paid out of the Earl of Ranelagh's office for her relief, he owing her ninety pounds. Dated June 28, 1705.

Endorsed by Harley—"July 8, 1705. This is referred to my Lord Treasurer for her Majesty's charity, the poor petitioner being a very great object of compassion." *In another hand*—"18 July, 1705. "There is nothing done, these pensions being all determined by the French war, and there has been no fund since given for any of them."

A duplicate copy of the petition.

Enclosed in a letter from Jane Hussey to Harley.—Acknowledging his kindness. The particulars of her misfortune have been "manifested" under the hands of Sir Thomas Lane and other eminent merchants and aldermen of the city of London. If her Majesty is not pleased to grant the prayer of the petition, she hopes that something may be afforded out of the Royal bounty to enable her to return to New York.

W. HOUSTOUNE.—Whereas the late King and Queen "instituted 40*l.* annually for the acceptable service commenced and continued since the Bishops' incarceration, which service is in Lord Treasury's hands and Secretary's confirmed and continued by your Majesty's reiterate mandates, witness the receipts in Mr. Taylor's hands; notwithstanding we never ask a farthing more, but laboured faithfully and successfully to complete this Union against the rebellious design of those addressers, so that by great fatigue your petitioner lies under inflammation terminating in death, yet I cannot have one 40 *lib.* or two to succour me in sickness till your Majesty be acquainted." (*Also a duplicate of the same.*)

Enclosed in a letter to David Fearne, advocate.—

1705, July 21. Near Edinburgh.—Our fraternity, of which you have formerly been Provost, hopes you may continue steadfast to God's interest. "I have enclosed a letter to Mr. Lewis in which I mentioned your being nominated collector of Orkney and Caithness . . . as also a letter within this with a memorial to her Majesty. . . . After writing these, the fraternity and I thought fit to send the enclosed memorial, which you may give to honest Mr. Lewis; for as David and Jonathan, so our hearts unite for the Protestant interest. . . . To prove your diligence, wait upon the Secretary when he goes unto the Queen, though ye should go over England; though there may be reasons of state to delay signing Colonel Bruce's commission."

THOMAS GREEN, Master of Arts.—Robert Jauny, the present incumbent of the rectory of Woodchurch in Wyrhall, Cheshire, to procure his presentation thereto, entered into a "simoniacal contract" with the person who had the right to present, to pay

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

certain sums of money yearly contrary to the laws, by which act, the rectory is become void and in the Queen's gift. He prays to be presented thereto "if it shall become void."

With reference to the Bishop of Chester to examine and report. *Signed* Robert Harley. "At the court of Windsor, July 29, 1705."

Annexed, Informations of William Robinson, schoolmaster at Woodchurch, and William Bennett of Thingwall in the same parish, yeoman, taken before the Bishop of Chester, September 1, 1705, shewing that after the death of the late rector, Hugh Burches, Jauny had entered into a contract with George Burches, (late deceased) then patron of the living, that he would make over the profits of the rectory to Burches, reserving to himself only 50*l.* a year, that is, the glebe, the tithe of the township of Woodchurch and the surplice fees. Further, Burches, having had a presentation drawn out for Mr. Dod, rector of Malpas, afterwards caused his name to be razed out and Jauny's substituted to save the expense: also that Burches had said that when Jauny died he had bargained with another at a cheaper rate.

Endorsed by Nicholas Strafford, Bishop of Chester—"I am of opinion that these informations are sufficient ground for her Majesty to grant her Royal presentation to the petitioner. September 3, 1705."

JOHN TILLY, esquire.—Prays that the Queen will take into consideration certain reports specified concerning his suit with Mr. Baldwin Leighton for the wardenship of the Fleet prison, grant him the moneys due to him, and establish him in the execution of the said office.

Endorsed by Harley—"Cockpit, August 8, 1705. Read. Refused."

JOHN HENRY HUGUETAN.—Paul Breton, formerly his book-keeper, was in September, 1703, commanded to depart the kingdom, being under the displeasure of the Government. The said Breton went to Holland and returned with a passport of Mr. Stanhope; and on his arrival was taken into custody, and remained prisoner above a year, but recovered his liberty on condition of departing the kingdom for ever. Has occasion for Breton's service, and his departure would give petitioner's enemies cause to say that the Queen had withdrawn her protection from him, which would be very prejudicial to his reputation and credit. He prays therefore that Breton may remain in England as a Protestant refugee, he himself giving security for his good conduct in 1,000*l.*

Endorsed, August 17, 1705.

CHARLES SALUSBURY, esquire, late captain in the foot-guards.—On a former petition, in consideration of having lost his command

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

and his wife's pension, the said pension was restored, but he expressly excluded from any part of it. Has been nearly a year out of employment and cannot pay the debts he contracted during the last war. Prays for employment or for some recompense in money, "having been guilty of no other offence than an unseasonable but very severe indisposition when commanded to Portugal."

Endorsed by Harley—"Received August 18, 1705. Read August 26, 1705. Nothing ordered upon it."

ELIZABETH BOUCHER.—Came from France after her husband with two small children, intending to secure for them an education in the Protestant religion, for which she has been a great sufferer in her own country. Her health is impaired by discontent and confinement. Prays for pardon and liberty for her husband and herself.

Endorsed—"September 7, 1705."

THOMASINE WRAYFORD, widow.—Her husband, Robert Wrayford, late of Wimble [Wimpole], in Cambridgeshire, in July last, laid violent hands upon himself, thereby forfeiting to the Crown the sum of 90*l.* laid out on a mortgage, which she had earned by her industry during his life. Prays for a grant of this forfeiture.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received October 23, 1705. Mr. R. Roberts. This to pass without fees."

THOMAS STEELE, late commander of the ship *Amity* of Boston in New England.—On a voyage from Boston to London, in October, 1703, the said ship was taken by a French privateer, and within three days re-taken by five Dutch ships and carried to Lisbon by Adrian Poy, where she now lies in the hands of Messrs. Paulus Loots and Anthony Cremer, commissaries for Dutch prizes.

One third of the ship's value and one third of the loading in specie was adjudged to the recaptors for their salvage; whereupon petitioner, getting free from his imprisonment in France, went to Portugal, and from April to September last applied to the commissaries, tendering the salvage regulated by the convention between England and Holland, and laying before them the damage caused by delay to the ship and her cargo. But they refusing to comply with the convention, (in consequence whereof the Royal customs have lost nearly 500*l.*), he craves her Majesty's protection, praying that on payment of the due salvage he may have his ship and cargo, as well as damages for their unjust detention.

Endorsed by Warre—"A letter sent to Mr. Stanhope by Mr. Secretary Hedges in the absence of Mr. Secretary Harley in the country, October, 1705."

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Enclosed is certificate of the truth of the above statements from John Earle, Consul General for “her Majesty of Great Britain” in Portugal.

STEPHEN DE MEUVES, junior.—Was born of Protestant parents in France, and bred a Protestant, and has always lived with his father in Paris, assisting him in his trade as a merchant, and never was in any service or bore arms. Finding means to withdraw himself from the persecution in France, he has come to put himself under her Majesty’s protection. Has ever continued in the Protestant religion without changing or signing any act of renunciation, and has lived soberly, as by the enclosed certificate will appear. He prays for leave to remain quietly in England, being very willing to conform to the laws of the kingdom, and to give security for his behaviour.

Endorsed by Harley—“Received November 3, 1705. Read November 4, 1705, and he to be examined particularly if his father be not banquier in Paris to the French King, and employed in his remisses.”

Annexed, Certificate in French, dated at London, November 1, 1705, confirming the statements in the above petition, and signed by J. Mesnard, Pastor of the Reformed Church of Paris at the time when Stephen de Meuves was born there and baptized in the Temple of Charenton; Ph. Mesnard, Minister of the French Chapel of St. James; and C. Lamothe, Minister of the Savoy.

WILLIAM VICKERMAN, of Kingston-super-Hull, mariner.—Being at Stockholm on or about the 8 of May, 1704, he obtained a pass from the King of Sweden to travel to Warsaw, in order to purchase and lade a vessel there. He loaded her with pitch and tar for the tar company at Stockholm, and delivered the cargo to them after paying all the duties thereon. But on his requesting payment they put him off sundry times, and at last told him that the moneys were arrested in their hands by an officer called a fiscal, on the pretence that petitioner, being a stranger, “ought not to buy any commodities in that kingdom not of burghers.” Although he showed the Swedish King’s authority they seized his ship and cargo, laying a fine of two six-dollars on each barrel, and stripped him almost naked and threw him into prison, where he lay eight months, having no redress against the fiscal and the Company by reason of the King’s absence. He therefore prays for letters of reprisal or such other redress as the Queen shall think fit, there being sundry of the said tar company’s ships in her ports.

Endorsed—“Received November 24, 1705.”

Also Vickerman’s Case, which adds a few details. Dated Whitehall, December 26, 1705.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

THOMAS BYFELD and COMPANY, of London, merchants trading to Carolina.—By their diligence and expedition, their ship, the *Dove*, has arrived from Carolina with a cargo of pitch and tar, which, upon trial, has been found good and very fit for her Majesty's service. But as the bounty money is not payable upon any pitch and tar imported before January next, they will be great losers by the voyage unless relieved.

[1705, November.]

Accompanied by their case. In March 1704, the Commissioners of Trade and Plantations promised them encouragement if they would import pitch and tar for the Navy. Fitted out their ship in May 1704. She arrived back in Lisbon last February, but waited there four months for a convoy, at great charges.

Also by copies of receipts for the pitch and tar imported by them to Woolwich, with a note of their fitness for the service of the navy. Dated October and November, 1705.

JOHN REEVES.—Was, at the last sessions held at the Old Bailey, convicted of the murder of Benjamin Williams, a bailiff's follower. There was no positive evidence against him, but several material circumstances in his favour, as is set forth in the case annexed. Prays for a pardon.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received December 8, 1705. Read December 9, 1705. Reprieved till further order."

Enclosed, Case of Benjamin Snow and John Reeves, relative to the death of Benjamin Williams. On Friday, November 30, about 8 o'clock at night, Snow and Reeves, with two gentlewomen, were just got into a coach and driving away when one Mrs. Kemblin stopped them and took Snow apart to speak to him. As he did not return Reeves alighted, and saw at some distance a tumult and several swords drawn. Snow, with his sword in his hand, was on the ground struggling with deceased, who had just arrested him at Mrs. Kemblin's suit. Reeves endeavoured to part them, and with the help of others present accomplished it and returned with Snow to the coach. The following Monday Williams died, and the next Thursday Snow was acquitted, but Reeves found guilty. The only evidence for the Crown was the bailiff's deposition that Snow's resistance had been overcome before the coming of Reeves, whom he believed to have given the wound. There was a difference of evidence as to what had been said by Williams before his death, some saying that he charged Reeves; others that he was ignorant which of the two it was. The gentlewomen attested that Snow said in the coach that "he wished he had done no mischief to the bailiff's follower, for he had run his sword against his belly with so much force, that if he had not [an] iron bodice, he was sure he was in him." Further that on alighting he found his sword bent, and seemed concerned and in dread for what he had done; while Reeves was very easy and appeared satisfied that he had only beaten down

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

the drawn swords. He never fled, but appeared publicly and with his master (Mr. Spencer Compton) that night. Though he could have found witnesses to prove that Snow gave the blow, yet he never sought for any, not suspecting Snow of such injustice as to turn the crime upon him. (3 pp.)

Duplicate of the same.

Another petition to the same effect (*undated*).

ANTHONY, COUNT BRANDE.—Of the Reformed Church of Bosnia, a subject of the Emperor, of the village of Kute [*? Kotor*], three miles distant from Bagnaluke, in Bosnia, a city under the jurisdiction of the Turks. On the 8 of March, 1704, the rebels from Hungary seized all his goods, burnt his house, and killed his parents. He alone survived with his sister Mary, aged twenty years. Being ordained a preacher of the reformed faith he cannot obtain employment in Italy, for Italians may not harbour heretics under pain of excommunication of the Pope and the Inquisition; nor yet in Holland because he does not know the language of the country, so he knows not whither to go. Since he is in his host's debt for board and lodging he prays her Majesty to number him among her refugees, and grant him a pension for his livelihood.

(Holograph petition in Latin).

Endorsed by Harley—"Received December 18, 1705. Disquisitionis opus est."

CAPTAIN MATTHEW COUDELL.—Had command of a company of foot for many years in France, which he quitted upon her Majesty's declaration issued by the Duke of Schomberg, to offer his service to her Majesty. Has been here three years without post or subsistence except what Mr. Secretary Harley has given him out of his own pocket. Prays for an allowance for the time past according to the said declaration, and a continuance until he be employed in the service.

(1705 or 1706.)

COLONEL (BALDWIN) LEIGHTON.—Having obtained a judgment in the Court of Queen's Bench that the office of warden of the Fleet prison is taken and seized into the Queen's hands, which judgment has been confirmed by the House of Peers, as appears by the Attorney General's report, dated September 14 last, he prays that the said office may be confirmed to him according to the late King's intentions under his privy seal.

(About 1705.)

JOHN WALLIS, Clerk.—About twenty years since Samuel Rich, clerk, was inducted into the rectory of Stratfieldsaye, in Hampshire, and has ever since received the profits thereof, though

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

he has not taken the oath in pursuance of the Act of the first year of King William and Queen Mary within the time there limited, whereby the said rectory became long since void. The next presentation being now come to the Queen, he prays that it may be presented to him under the great seal.

(1702-1705. Rich was instituted in 1678 and William Chapman in 1705.)

PRATT L'AISNÉ (l'ayné), Officer.—Came over with his late Majesty, and for his services obtained a pension of two shillings a day, but in 1701 his pay was four years and a half in arrear. Having contracted debts on the credit of this pension he prays that the arrears may be paid. *Endorsed as* "Recommended by my Lord Privy Seal."

Enclosed in a letter to Sidney Lord Godolphin, Lord High Treasurer.

Duplicate of the same letter, and another petition to the same effect.

(About 1704-1706.)

CAPTAIN JOHN NANFAN, late Lieutenant Governor of New York.—In 1688 he was first made Ensign, then Captain Lieutenant, and then Captain in the regiment under Colonel Hastings, in which he served in England, Scotland, Ireland and Flanders, till 1697. He then went with the Earl of Bellomont, Governor of New England and New York, exchanging his company here for one of the four independent companies at New York, and the King made him Lieutenant Governor of that place. Lord Bellomont dying early in March, 1700, he executed the office of Commander-in-Chief at New York till the arrival of Lord Cornbury. In 1702 one Peter Matthews, a lieutenant in one of the said four companies, came to England designing to deprive petitioner of his company, and falsely suggested that he intended to settle in the Barbadoes, whereby he was dismissed and Matthews obtained his commission. He prays that his Captain's commission may be restored. (1705-6.)

ABIGAIL CURTISS.—Her husband, John Curtiss has been in the Royal service sixteen years, and at Gibraltar he was shot in the head, out of which were taken several pieces of his skull, "five of which I have by me." He is now on board the transport *Friendship*, at Portsmouth (Captain Ward, commander).

Petitioner is very poor and with child, and has pawned her clothes. Her husband has four years' pay due to him, "but Agent Morgan, etc., holds him out of it, and Captain Pickering and Colonel Holt send me first to one and afterwards to another, and so back again from one to the other day after day." Prays for an order for payment of the said arrears. (1704-6.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

MARY WINTER, relict and executrix of Daniel Winter.—Her husband was first lieutenant of a company of marines aboard the *Restoration*, which company, with the ship's crew was lost in the great dreadful storm, as appears by the said ship's book. Her Majesty graciously ordered bounty of eleven months' pay to the widows, according to their husbands' rating by the navy, yet when she applied at the Navy Office, because the marines are of late not paid by the navy, the Commissioners took no notice of her husband's rank as Lieutenant and would pay her no more than 10*l.* 9*s.*, as the widow of a private marine soldier, though according to his pay and quality it amounts to above 60*l.* She hopes that the Queen intended the same bounty to widows of marine officers "as to the commission and warrant, sea and ordnance officers," and prays for bounty accordingly, being left in debt.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received January 24, 1705-6. Referred to the Privy Council, January 27, 1705-6."

ELIZABETH WAY, ELIZABETH TWELVES, DEBORAH STENT, KATHERINE GARRETT, ELIZABETH HILL, and ANN FINCH.—Have remained for several months past in Newgate gaol, on the common side, under a respite from the death sentence, each of them being with child. They are forced to lie on the bare boards and to live on the allowance of the gaol, which is bread and water only, so that their young children are ready to perish with hunger and cold. Pray to participate in the pardon which has lately been granted to several other criminals.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received January 27, 1705-6."

ALEXANDER BARCLAY.—Has been a close prisoner at Newgate these eighteen weeks past for coming from France without acquainting the Government. Suffers from hunger and illness, so that he has neither flesh on his bones nor blood in his veins. Prays to be set at liberty. Dated January 29, 1706, Newgate.

Endorsed by Harley—"February 4, 1705-6. Send to Mr. Attorney to know how he may have air allowed him to recover his health.

OLIVER POCKLINGTON, Clerk, Chaplain in her Majesty's army.—Has been Chaplain to the regiment of horse at present commanded by Major General Harvey since July, 1693 (upwards of twelve years), and is one of the oldest Chaplains in the army. Has attended the said regiment in England, Flanders, Ireland, and Portugal, till now, with Lord Galloway's leave, he is come to wait upon her Majesty and prays for preferment in the Church.

Endorsed—"January 31, 1705-6."

JOHN DAVIES' PETITION TO THE LORDS AND OTHERS OF HER MAJESTY'S PRIVY COUNCIL.—Lies under their orders in Newgate gaol, with a wife and three children dependent on him. Has always been heartily loyal to the Queen, but being illiterate and

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

ignorant he did not know how to speak when brought before the Council, and did not intend to say anything which might give offence to them. Solemnly protests that if he knew anything to the prejudice of so gracious a Queen he would discover it. Prays therefore to be preserved from perishing “in this dolesome place,” and to be set at liberty or else to be brought to a speedy trial, or to have an allowance made to him, as he is very poor and has no friend to assist him to procure a *habeas corpus*.

Endorsed by Harley—“Received February 19, 1705-6.”

Appended is a note that petitioner’s friend who delivered the petition awaits their Lordships’ pleasure at the door.

GIDEON MURRAY, a Scotch gentleman.—Went abroad to travel in France and Italy with the Earl of Kinnoul, in December, 1687, and came home with him about six years ago. But being informed that by an Act of the last reign he is forbidden to come into or remain in England without a licence under the Privy Seal, and being like to be a material witness in a lawsuit now commencing between Lord Kinnoul and Samuel Jones, of Waltham Abbey, esquire, for valuable lands, and having other concerns to settle in London, he prays for a licence to come into England.

Endorsed—“Received February 25, 1705-6.”

JOHN STATHAM, esquire.—John Shalcrosse, esquire, now deceased, was, by letters patent under the seal of the Duchy and County Palatine of Lancaster, legally vested in the office of supervisor or surveyor of and within the north parts of the Duchy, to him and his assigns during the life of Edmond Jodrell, of Yeadsley, in Cheshire, esquire, who is now living and in perfect health. The said office is by several mesne assignments now vested in petitioner. But he is advised that the service may be better pursued by an immediate authority from the Queen under an oath, whereby he will be enabled to appoint a deputy or deputies for the business of the said office, which is much augmented by the death of the Queen Dowager. He prays therefore that he may have the office for life (upon surrender of the said Edmond Jodrell) and that the Chancellor of the Duchy may be ordered to prepare a warrant for the royal sign manual.

Endorsed—“Petition of John Statham, esquire, from Lord Gower, February 28, 1705-6.”

LOUIS TOURNAY and MATTURIN BOURLIER.—Are French traders and have never been employed by any Prince or State. Came from Holland with passes from Mr. Stanhope, envoy extraordinary at the Hague, purely to buy East Indian goods at the sales beginning March 5. Being seized as alien enemies they pray to be at liberty to buy the said goods (being ready to give security for their behaviour) or to have leave to return to their own country.

Endorsed—“March 4, 1705-6.”

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

SIR JOHN SHELLEY, of Mitchell Grove in the county of Sussex, baronet.—Prays for a Royal pass to enable him to travel in such countries as are in amity with England. *Signed.*

Endorsed—"Received March 15, 1705-6." *In another hand*—"Mr. Harley to enquire into the circumstances."

JOHN BAXTER, mate to the chief-firemaster, and SHADRACH FOX, founder.—Have served the Government under the Board of Ordnance, and have jointly at their own long study and great charge discovered a new invention for making square and conic-chambered guns and mortars and square shells, experiments of which have already been shown before the principal Officers of Ordnance, who reported thereon to the Duke of Marlborough. They pray that the Queen will grant to them and all "claymors" under them the Royal patent for the sole use and benefit of the said inventions for a term of fourteen years, and they will bind themselves to supply the Office of Ordnance with all quantities of guns, mortars, and shells as aforesaid as cheap as any other tradesman.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 6, 1706."

HANNAH MACDONELL.—Is minded to send her sons James and John to the university and academy of Utrecht, and after a while to place her younger son as an apprentice to a merchant in Holland. She thinks it necessary to send a servant to take care of them on the way and to see them settled at the university. Prays for a Royal licence for them to go into Holland.

Endorsed—"Received April 9, 1706."

GEORGE, VISCOUNT MORDINGTON, in the kingdom of Scotland.—The Earl of Kent, Lord Chamberlain, delivered a petition on his behalf about six weeks since. He lies in one of the worst parts of Newgate for a debt of about 50*l*. Prays for an answer to the said petition.

Endorsed—"April 22, 1706. Left by Mr. Weeden."

JAMES BAYLY, mariner.—Was in 1673 and 1674 mate and gunner of the *Mary* yacht, and has been in the merchant service near thirty-six years, doing good service, as by certificate of Trinity House will appear. By the standing rules of the navy he cannot become commander of any warship without her Majesty's special favour, though he is willing to demonstrate how with two frigates he can take or destroy the French West India merchant fleet. He therefore prays that his proposal may be referred to the Lord High Admiral, and that he may be employed in the command of one of the frigates.

Whitehall, 1706, April 24.—The matter has been referred to the Lord High Admiral's Council.

Also :—

Report of Prince George of Denmark, Lord High Admiral, on the above petition.—That when frigates can be spared for such service Bayly may go on board one of them and give his assistance to the officer commanding in chief; and if the latter can produce a certificate that Bayly's advice has contributed to any service done by them, Bayly may be suitably rewarded, but ought not to command a ship in the navy, as he is not regularly qualified for the same.

Admiralty Office, 4th May, 1706.

Petition of James Bayly to the Lord High Admiral.—In relation to the above Report, hopes that he may be allowed to command one of the frigates for six months only, without breaking the rules of the Navy, but does not expect any subsequent command or any benefit arising from this commission for himself or his family; nor does he desire any pay unless he succeeds. But if he goes on board a ship without command, he hopes for a captain's share of any prizes taken.

Petition of the same to the Queen.—Recites his proposals and previous requests, and prays that he may be granted command of one of the frigates, or that he may go with a certain captain who is willing "to accept of the command of the same to the desire of petitioner," or that he may go into the service of some allied prince or state. (4 pages. Copies.)

JOHN LORD POWER.—Has served many years in France and Germany with the English forces sent abroad by Charles II., and upon a peace went again abroad with that King's letter, where he continued during the two late reigns, and on her Majesty's accession commanded a regiment of 1,400 men in the French service, which he had had fourteen years, and had the offer of promotion to be a major-general. Being, however, ambitious to serve the Queen and to live under her protection, he quitted the said service and all offered advantages rather than serve her enemies.

His ancient inheritance in Ireland, and a considerable fortune he had with his wife, being seized and sold, besides other great sufferings of himself and family, as set forth in the annexed report of the late Duke of Ormond, and having nothing to support himself, his numerous family, and the title lately fallen to him by the death of the Earl of Tyrone, he prays her Majesty, in regard he is the only surviving heir of so ancient and loyal a family, which has flourished in Ireland since the conquest thereof, some consideration for the support of his honour and family.

Endorsed—"Received April 23, 1706."

Annexed is copy of the Duke of Ormond's report referred to, dated Kildare, 25 September, 1684, on the services of John Power and his father, Pierce Power, late of Monnylary, co. Waterford, and on the circumstances in which their estates were lost.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

CAPTAIN THOMAS LEGG.—Has served as captain of several of her Majesty's ships and in 1704 of the *Antelope*, at Lisbon and the Straits, from which service he was dismissed at a court martial. Not being conscious of having been wilfully guilty of breach of orders or want of duty, yet through inadvertency or intervening accidents any man may fall under a censure, and as this is the first which ever befell him, he prays that his dismissal may be taken off, and he again employed.

Endorsed—"April 26, 1706."

Accompanied by the report of Prince George to the Queen that he cannot advise Legg's restoration to a command in the fleet. *Signed and dated* July 24, 1706.

Also by the minutes of the court martial held at Lisbon, January 17, 1704-5, at which Captain Legg was found guilty of having, when sent by Sir John Leake to cruise off Cape Spartel and gain intelligence of the state of Gibraltar and the motions of the French, returned to Lisbon in three weeks without having been on his station, been at or sent to Gibraltar, called at Tangier or got any intelligence of the French, but had spent his time in taking prizes; and again, of having, when sent out clean to go to Gibraltar or get intelligence, returned to Lisbon in ten days, with a prize, but with nothing else. He was therefore sentenced to be dismissed from his employment of captain.

ROBERT BLACKBURNE.—Petition to the same effect as that on p. 321: specifying the charge against Counter and the four other prisoners as that of conspiracy to assassinate the King, and Davis as the name of the messenger.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 28, 1706."

Three other petitions to the same effect and almost identical in diction.

MARY LOWNDES, widow of William Lowndes, late sergeant in Lord Barrymore's regiment.—Deceased served about eighteen years in the said regiment, going therewith to Catalonia; but since the said regiment was made a regiment of horse, he, with several other sergeants, was sent home by Lord Peterborough to serve in the regiment to be raised by Lord Barrymore. On the passage home he was killed on board the *Lyme* in a fight against three French privateers, on March 19 last. Petitioner being poor and far from her friends prays for relief.

Endorsed—"Received May 25, 1706."

Enclosed, certificate of his death "off Cape Rozend," attested by Henry Moryson, Duncan Campbell, and the Morocco Ambassador (*the last in Arabic.*)

JOHN COUNTER.—Having suffered above ten years' close imprisonment upon suspicion of having been concerned in a

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

conspiracy against the late King, is reduced to a miserable condition. Being left to her Majesty's will and pleasure by the late Act of Parliament, he prays to be released.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 25, 1706."

REDMOND JOY.—Having heard from certain popish persons of a design against the Queen, to which he refused to engage himself, and having "all along" served the Government industriously, "any time since 1691," as set forth in his letter to the Right Honourable Robert Harley, esquire, of the 16th instant, he has yet been confined in Newgate for seven weeks in a most deplorable condition. He is willing to become a new man and to mend his life, and to make a full discovery of the said design to Mr. Harley on the assurance of her Majesty's protection against further damage. Prays for relief.

(About June 26, 1706.)

HENRY ROBINSON.—Has been condemned to death for stealing a horse. His prosecutor has recovered the horse and is desirous of saving petitioner's life, this being his first offence. Prays to be transported.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received at Windsor, July 14, 1706."

NATHANIEL BOOTH, esquire.—Praying for a grant of the stewardship of the Court belonging to the Honour and Castle of Windsor. (See Petition Entry Book, 1704-1709, No. 2, *page* 221.)

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 12, 1706."

Another petition from the same.—The Solicitor-General reported that upon a surrender to be made to the Queen by Thomas Staples and John Whitfield, grantees of the office, she might gratify petitioner by granting the said office to him if inclined so to do. He therefore prays for such a grant, with as full powers and privileges as have heretofore belonged to it.

Endorsed—"Received July 22, 1706."

Enclosed is the report referred to, signed by Sir Simon Harcourt, July 6, 1706.

CAPTAIN RICHARD LONG.—In the last reign he commanded the *Rupert* prize, and went on a voyage of discovery in America, and first laid the foundation of commerce with the Indians on the coast of Darien, where he learnt of the gold mines of Cany. Could he have been heard on his return to England, and fitted out with 120 seamen at the beginning of the present war, he might have brought 50,000*l.* in gold by the plunder of the mines. Finding that Mr. Secretary Vernon would not give him a hearing, he laid the matter before Admiral Benbow, then bound for the West Indies, who prevailed with the lords of the

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Admiralty, to put him into the *Lewis* prize hulk at Jamaica, the admiral proposing to take him as pilot, but being prevented by his misfortune. Petitioner has ever since spent his time in her Majesty's service in Jamaica, and is but lately arrived in England. The Queen's subjects in Jamaica, called the privateers, at the beginning of the war plundered the mines, but from want of true knowledge brought away only 64*l.* in grain gold, as petitioner can prove by a map. At his first setting out, he proposed to the Duke of Shrewsbury to have 200*l.* for expenses, presents for the Indians, &c., but only received 150*l.* in malt tickets, which he could make but 105*l.* of. His expenses came to 185*l.*, so that he is 80*l.* in disburse. He therefore prays that his case may be considered, and that he may be reimbursed the said sum. *Also duplicate.*

*Enclosed in letter to Harley, mentioning that Lord Pembroke had given him leave to use his name, bidding him enclose a copy of his former petition. He has paid 37*l.* of the money since he came home to Sir Bartholomew Gracedieu, Member of Parliament (for St. Ives.)*

(About 1706, July.)

WILLIAM KEITH.—His parents and family in Scotland have suffered so much by his confinement in England that they can no longer assist him with the necessaries of life. He prays for employment in the Queen's service, or for a small subsistence.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received August 5, 1706."

ALICE LISTER, widow.—Her husband, Lieutenant Thomas Lister, died last Christmas before Barcelona. Prays to partake of the Royal bounty to enable her to return to her children in Ireland.

Enclosed in a letter from (Captain) Robert Gardner (? to Robert Harley,) dated August 17, 1706, and praying that some bounty may be procured for Mrs. Lester, widow, whose husband was in Lord Charlemont's regiment.

Also Lord Charlemont's certificate of the same date setting forth the services of Lieutenant Thomas Leicester, who died about eight months before, having risen from the rank of private soldier.

SIMON CLEMENT'S Memorial.—Formerly made a proposal to import pitch and tar from an unfrequented place at lower prices than are now given owing to the present monopoly of the said commodity by the Swedes if her Majesty would advance 500*l.* This was referred by the Council of the Lord High Admiral to the Commissioners of the Navy, who reported their willingness to encourage the trade, but not knowing the name of the place would give no judgment thereon. By Secretary Harley's direction he has imparted the whole matter to Mr. Churchill, who advises

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

him to apply to the Lord High Treasurer to have the said sum advanced. He prays her Majesty to order that the sum be advanced, upon his giving bond to render an account of its employment.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received August 31, 1706."

THOMAS LYFORD.—Has been convicted for unlawfully taking from Ellianor Soames one stuff gown and petticoat, one silk handkerchief, five half-crowns and one shilling, and other goods, and lies a convicted prisoner in the gaol of Kingston-upon-Thames. He is not yet 18 years of age and it is his first arraignment. Prays for mercy.

Endorsed by Warre—"Letter to the Sheriff to forbear execution."

(About September, 1706.)

FRANCIS TAYLOR, of South Littleton, in the county of Worcester, gentleman.—Being aged and infirm, he desires in case of his death to commit his affairs to his only brother, Ralph Taylor, D.D., who went some years since without licence into France to take care (as a divine of the Church of England) of the Protestants attending the late King James, and has been some time in Holland. Prays for a Royal licence for his brother to return to England.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received November 22, 1706."

WILLIAM BENTLEY.—Lies under sentence of death for a very small offence. Has served at sea for above four years and was but just come ashore when he "accidentally fell into bad company, which brought him into this unhappy misfortune." Being an able seaman, he prays to be sent into her Majesty's service.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received at Kensington, December 8, 1706. Read. Not pardoned."

SARAH BUTLER, widow of Captain James Butler.—Lost her husband and three sons in the service, and her daughter was most barbarously murdered before her eyes. She herself escaped with three terrible wounds and had her house burnt, being left with two grand-children and no earthly subsistence. She has been a prisoner for debt for two years past, and has certificates to shew that she is no cheat. Prays to have the pension given her by King William restored, or to be assisted by her Majesty's bounty to return to her own country.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received December 17, 1706."

(Further particulars enumerated, for which see *p.* 323 above).

Another similar petition is *endorsed* September 14, 1706.

THEODORE BEAMOND.—Lies under sentence of death for a felony, committed while drunk. Is not able to read and had only returned five weeks from the Queen's service, having served at

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

sea, and at the reducing of Barcelona, and in all the Spanish expedition. Prays that his life may be saved, to be spent in her Majesty's service.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received at Kensington, December 11, 1706. Read. To be transported."

GEORGE TOBIAS GUIGUER.—Is a "Swisser" by birth, and a naturalized Englishman, having lived several years in England. He has several matters to regulate with his brother and other relations in Paris, which require his personal attendance. Prays for a Royal licence to go into Holland and thence into France, giving security for his conduct and loyalty.

Endorsed—"December 22, 1706."

COLONEL AMBROSE NORTON.—Was Lieutenant-Colonel of her Majesty's "own Royal regiment of horse when Princess Anne," and surveyor of the mews to the late Kings Charles and James above 24 years. In 1688, when a new establishment of the stables was made, petitioner and Mr. William Bancks, surveyor of the stables at St. James', were left out and Mr. Francis Negus put in their place. Soon after the Queen's accession petitioner and Mr. Bancks petitioned to be restored to their employments, and after reference to the then Lord Treasurer and Commissioners of the stables, it was decided that Mr. Negus should be continued in his post, and that 120*l.* a year, being the salary of one official, should be divided between the then petitioners; but that on the death of any one of them "then the two survivors would be provided for, one by the employment and the other by the full salary." He prays, therefore, that he may be restored to the said employment, now vacant by the death of Mr. Negus.

(? After January, 1706. See *Harley Papers*, *ii*, p. 279.)

GEORGE VANE, gentleman.—Is grandson to Bishop Carleton and of the family of Raby Castle; and during ten years absence from England has served the late Emperor against the Turks in the regiment of the Prince of Montbeliard; afterwards the State of Venice, as engineer; and lastly in the service of the Elector of Bavaria, whence he could not obtain a dismissal before the arrival of the Queen's forces, nor since, till the latter end of last winter. Being esteemed a skilful engineer, he prays for employment in the army. (1706.)

Enclosed is certificate of Marshal the Count d' Arco, knight of the golden fleece, general of the artillery to the Elector of Bavaria (and other titles) that Captain George Vane has served two years as engineer in the electoral forces. Dated at Brussels, April 18, 1706. Fine seal.

ROBERT STRONG.—About April 15th last was appointed master of a merchant ship, for which he was to have had 6*l.* per month, besides other perquisites as master, which he has lost by being

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

detained as evidence in the suit against James Hannan, of London, merchant. Prays for compensation both for time lost and for his future attendance. (1706.)

OWNERS AND FREIGHTERS OF THE SHIP *Worcester*.—"Having hitherto forborne all proceedings in Parliament in order to their obtaining a satisfaction for their loss of the said ship and cargo, and being resolved still not to do so until the great and glorious affair of the Union shall be over," they pray that as soon as the Union bill shall be passed in the House of Lords, they may obtain satisfaction by the method in the annexed proposal. (*Copy.*)

Enclosed is the proposal alluded to.—A company to be founded independent of the East India Company and trading with the countries where that company has hitherto enjoyed a monopoly. The new company to enjoy certain exemptions from toll and to have legal authority to extirpate piracy in Madagascar and elsewhere. (1706.)

SIR EDWARD MANSEL, of Margam, in Glamorganshire, baronet.—Took from the executors of Philip, Earl of Pembroke and Montgomery, in 1675, an assignment of a lease of the castle and lordship of Ogmore, with certain rents and services, which lease the said Earl had taken from King Charles II. in 1661. His Majesty granted Petitioner a fresh lease for 31 years, but in 1688 William Knight surreptitiously obtained a lease of the premises in trust for Sir Humphrey Edwyn, and in 1701 procured an extension of the lease till 1719. Petitioner's own lease having expired last Lady Day, he prays that the remainder of the lease to Knight and Edwyn may be granted to himself. (1706.)

SARAH D'ORE, spinster.—Sets forth her claims against Robert Wrayford, deceased, which she had mentioned in her petition in May of the previous year. Prays that the Lord Treasurer may be commanded to prosecute Mrs. Wrayford, or that the said widow may be allowed to free the land mortgaged from its present encumbrances in order that it may be sold.

(1706.—See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702-1707, p. 442.)

ELLINOR CONWAY, widow, relict of John Conway, gentleman (a captain in the Duke of Bolton's regiment), and daughter of Hewet Finch, esquire, deceased.—Prays for payment of 50 guineas out of Mr. Nicholas' office, and a pension in respect of the arrears due out of Lord Ranelagh's office.

(1706.—See Calendar of Treasury Papers, 1702-1707, p. 452.)

Also a similar petition.

LADY MARY COCHRAN and WILLIAM COCHRAN, Esq., her husband.—Memorandum setting forth the services of Alexander Earl of Kincardin, deceased, to King Charles II., and the pension granted

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

to his son and ratified by the Queen, of which 9,000*l.* is owing to Lady Mary. When the last earl, Lady Mary's brother, died, she was informed of the Queen's intention to continue the same pension to her which her brother had. The last earl resigned the honours of the family in favour of Lady Mary, his eldest sister. But Sir Alexander Bruce, pretending to be heir male, though "descending from the family long before they were nobilitated," was admitted to take his place at the last sessions of Parliament in Scotland, but the point of right between Lady Mary and him was remitted to be determined by the Lords of Session, who found that the honours of the family of Kincardine were legally conveyed to her.

(1706-1707.)

ANTHONY MERRY AND OTHERS, merchants.—Their ship, the *Trumbull* galley, of 350 tons burden, in her return from the Canaries, was taken by four privateers and carried into Dunkirk. They beg for a licence to buy the ship and cargo at Dunkirk and bring them to England, where the customs on the cargo—wine and logwood—will amount to at least 6,000*l.* sterling.

With Reference to Mr. Attorney or Mr. Solicitor General, dated Kensington, February 23, 1706-7.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received January 22, 1706-7. February 23, referred to Attorney General."

Also—

Report by Sir Edward Northey (Attorney General) on above petition.

1706-7, March 4.—Her Majesty may, if she pleases, give the petitioners a licence to redeem the ship and goods; and for that purpose may license their agents to go to Dunkirk; but the goods having been imported into Dunkirk may be liable to be seized if imported here, and I cannot advise the inserting in the licence leave to import them into England; but to leave it to the merchants to dispose of them as they may by law, and Her Majesty may lawfully grant a pass for the sailing of the said ship. *Signed.*

Also memorial of the same petitioners praying that if they may not have a pass to bring the ship and also the goods to England, they may have licence to buy them and a pass to carry them to Holland or Hamburg.

ROBERT JACKSON.—Has remained in the public service in Sweden ever since Mr. Robinson left that kingdom. Received credentials from Sir Charles Hedges, late Secretary of State, in 1703, and has ever since corresponded with Mr. Harley without any salary for his subsistence. Prays for an allowance.

A memorandum concerning him states further that he has spent above 1,000*l.* in the service as Queen's agent at Stockholm, in four years, since his appointment.

(February, 1707.)

ELIZABETH WANDESFORD, widow and relict of GARRET FOULK, esquire, and ELIZABETH FOULK, his daughter.—The said Garret Foulk, brother to Sir Francis Foulk, was for his early service of King William in Ireland, condemned for high treason and imprisoned for sixteen months at Galloway and Dublin, his whole estate being seized. After the said King's victory at the Boyne he obtained his liberty and went volunteer to the battle of Aghrim with General Kirk, being recommended by the Duke of Marlborough; at which battle he lost his life together with two of petitioner's brothers. After other losses she petitioned the House of Commons, who passed a bill for her relief, which bill was read in the House of Lords, but she was not able to follow it owing to the fatigue of attendance and a fit of sickness, and grief at the news of the death of her only brother and nephew in the West Indies. The bill therefore never became an Act, and cannot be brought into the House again by reason of the vote now made that no petition shall be received without the Queen's leave and recommendation. She therefore prays for similar treatment with Mr. Baker of Ireland, whom the Queen has recommended to Parliament in a case of the same nature.

(After February 28, 1706-7. See H. of C. Journals, vol. xv., p. 316. See also p. 86 of present volume.)

JOHN CROCKFORD, bricklayer.—Aged about 22 years—Was deluded by evil company into housebreaking, for which he lies under sentence of death at Kingston gaol. This is his first offence, and being in the prime of healthful years he hopes for the opportunity of a more worthy end than the fatal tree. Prays to serve abroad.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from Her Majesty, March 19, 1706-7."

CHARLES GILDON.—Has by an unhappy mistake, and not out of any malicious design against the Government, been concerned in publishing a pamphlet called "*Sir Rowland Gwynne's Letter, etc.*"; that he has had a liberal education and fortune; that he expects this term a sentence more terrible than death for his offence; that he is under the greatest sorrow and contrition, and will hereafter always abhor and avoid all licence in speech and writing; and therefore prays that Her Majesty will grant him a *noli prosequi*.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received May 2, 1707. Read May 4, 1707. He to apply again after sentence."

THE MUSCOVIA COMPANY.—Having been this morning informed by the Prince's Council that one ship of 40 guns is all that can be added to the convoy for Russia, to see them as far as the latitude of 63°, which, considering the number of ships and the value of their cargoes, they cannot think sufficient; they there-

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

fore pray that the ships of war employed in the coal trade may accompany them as far as 63°, which may be done and the ships be back at Newcastle in ten days time. May 22, 1707.

Enclosed in letter from Benjamin Ayloffe, Governor of the Muscovia Company, to Robert Harley, requesting him to pray the Queen's favour. Same date.

Accompanied by letter from J. Burchett to Robert Harley.—1707, May 22. Admiralty Office.—The Prince and his Council have considered the petition of the Russia Company, which you forwarded yesterday, and in answer thereto I am to acquaint you that the squadron observing the enemy's ships at Dunkirk is but barely strong enough for them; but if Her Majesty thinks fit the *Ludlow Castle*, of 40 guns, designed to guard the fishery off Hastings, shall join the Russia convoy as far as the merchants request.

JOHN GRAYDON.—Has served in the navy twenty-six years, rising to be a vice-admiral; but not having been employed for some time past he prays to be placed on the half-pay list from the time when the Queen made no further use of his service.

Endorsed by Lewis—"Received May 30, 1707, and by Harley, June 8, 1707. Mr. Graydon's petition read. No answer."

Enclosed in a letter from Mr. Hill to Lewis, requesting him to put the petition into Harley's hands to be read to the Queen, and referred to the Prince or to his Council. *Endorsed* May 31, 1707.

JAMES MURRAY, gentleman.—Was in January last apprehended in Northumberland, upon the information of Patrick Graham, who was committed to the county gaol for several highway robberies. In April last petitioner was removed to Newgate. At the two following sessions of gaol delivery he hoped to be discharged, there being no prosecution against him. Yet he remained in gaol till the last sessions, when the court censured Graham for having brought no prosecutor; but Graham promised to have a gentlewoman ready at the Berkshire assizes to prove that petitioner robbed her of a guinea, though he refused to name the person or the occasion. Petitioner is ordered to be sent to the said assizes and Graham is respited as evidence against him. He therefore prays for a *noli prosequi* or a reprieve in order for his pardon in case the jury should convict him on Graham's evidence.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from Lord Mar, July 17, 1707."
Duplicate of the same (3 pp.)

ANNE PUGH, widow of Captain Richard Pugh.—Her husband after serving the Government faithfully for seventeen years, and last as commander of the *Norwich*, was cast away by a hurricane in the West Indies, with a rich prize taken from the enemy

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

eight days before. Her only son was lost in the same service, and she left in charge of a daughter and burdened with debts and other misfortunes. A petition on her behalf was lately presented in Council, which moved the compassion of all present, only for preventing of precedents it was thought fit to leave her to Royal charity. Prays for an annual subsistence.

Endorsed by Harley—"July 20, 1707. Referred to Lord Treasurer."

FISHERMEN OF ROCHESTER, STROUD, GILLINGHAM AND OTHERS. In support of their right to fish in the "great arm of the sea, called the East grounds," into which the river Medway runs. (Transcribed in Petition Entry Book 1704-1709, p. 304, dated July 26, 1707).

Enclosed in a report from Sir James Montague (Solicitor General) finding that the holding of manor and hundred courts for the purposes alluded to in the petition by the lord of the manor of Milton is an usurpation of the right of the crown and an invasion of the liberty of the subject; and recommending that an information in the nature of a *quo warranto* be brought against the said lord of the manor and those acting by his authority. Signed and dated September 20, 1707. (6½ pages).

Also copy of a memorial from the said fishermen and others "the original remaining in the Registry of the Vice-Admiralty Court of Kent." (16 pp.)

PATRICK GRAHAM.—After discharging several trusts and employments honestly and faithfully, as appears by the certificates annexed, he was at last unfortunately drawn into gaming, and from that to robbing on the highway, in which practice, being apprehended, he made a full confession of all he knew, and made a discovery of several notorious highwaymen; among them of one Murray who is now in Newgate with him, but finds means to keep those whom he robbed from appearing against him, so that petitioner's evidence is likely to be rendered useless and himself exposed to the utmost malice of a revengeful gang of robbers. He prays therefore for a pardon on condition of serving in the army beyond sea.

(About July, 1707.)

Enclosed are the certificates alluded to.—(1) A printed pass from Sir Charles Hara, brigadier general of the King's armies and colonel of the Royal regiment of Fusiliers, to return to the Island of Scilly, after faithful service as sergeant in captain Ambrose Edgeworth's company in Major-General Earle's regiment of foot, dated March 1, 1697[-8]. (2) A commission from John Dod, commissioner for recovering and receiving the rights and perquisites of the Lord High Admiral, to act as tidewaiter in the Scilly Isles, dated December 19, 1702. (3) A discharge from the first troop of horse guards, commanded by the Earl of Albemarle,

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

signed by Lieutenant Bartholomew Ogilby, June 2, 1705. (4) A certificate of good service in the said troop, signed by eleven officers, June 28, 1707. (5) A certificate signed by William Arnald, E. Folkingham, and Robert Wylde, wholesale drapers in Cheapside, stating that Graham and his wife had been dealers at their house for many years and had dealt very honestly and justly at all times. Dated June 26, 1707.

CHARLES MOORE.—Lies under sentence of death for felony. Has been in the Royal service fifteen years, and was wounded on Her Majesty's ship the *Newport* near Barcelona. Prays to be transported.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from the Queen September 7, 1707. Read at Windsor."

(In a report of gaol delivery of Newgate, May 28, 1707, Moore is committed to the house of correction for twelve months for theft, and in a report of the same, September 3, 1707, he is sentenced to death for theft, with a note that he was within the benefit of clergy, but had had his clergy allowed him formerly).

Several INHABITANTS of the borough of Devizes and of the places adjacent thereunto and using the market there.—Setting forth the constitution of the borough and its special Justices of the Peace. For some time past there has been a contest at law as to the election of the mayor and common councilmen, and two persons have acted as mayor since Michaelmas last, and have also both acted as beadle and claim toll from those selling corn in the market, to the great disturbance of buyers and sellers. On April 24 last, after a general thanksgiving for the Union had been quietly proclaimed, George Duckett, William Pynsent, John Eyles, and Josiah Diston, Justices of the Peace for the county, but not concerned in the government of the borough, in abuse of their authority went to several of the petitioners and others and demanded toll, using menaces to such as would not pay it to the person they nominated. Mr. Duckett, on being civilly desired by Mr. John Child, a magistrate of the borough, not to disturb the market or interpose in the affairs of the borough, drew his sword almost out of his scabbard at him; and all the said Justices caused a tumult in the market, which, upon their going out, was quiet. Nineteen of the petitioners have been indicted for a riot on their evidence, and have been represented as enemies to the Government. At the last assizes Mr. Justice Gold declared that the meeting was not riotous, but lawful; yet the jury found five of them guilty. Many of them have suffered imprisonments and oppressions at the hands of the said Justices. Wherefore they pray for consideration. Dated September 20, 1707. Signed by Richard Hiller, mayor, and sixty-seven others, including several "country gentlemen and farmers that constantly use the market."

Endorsed by Harley—"Received November 14, 1707."

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

WIDOW of the late JACOB HAMPSON, esquire.—Her said husband, walking home lately to his house in Hogsdon, was most barbarously murdered in the fields by persons unknown. Prays for a pardon to any person concerned in the crime except the murderer, who shall lead to the conviction of his accomplices.

Endorsed by Harley—"Kensington, November 23, 1707. Read. Granted."

Another petition from George Fielding to the same effect.

Endorsed by Lewis—"November 19, 1707."

The BAILIFF and BURGESSES of the borough of Bewdley.—Their predecessors were incorporated by a charter of King Edward IV.'s reign, and have since received additional privileges. In 1699 a petition was exhibited to the King in Council suggesting that a charter granted by King James II. was void, in defence of which they were put to great expense. Being now informed that the Queen has received a petition suggesting that there are several vacancies which cannot be filled without a new charter, "which suggestion is untrue," they pray to be permitted to continue in the enjoyment of their privileges, and that no new charter may be granted. *Draft.*

Endorsed by Harley—"Received November 25, 1707."

CHARLES GILDON.—Was fined 100*l.* for being concerned in publishing *Sir Roland Gwynne's letter to the Earl of Stamford*, which he is wholly incapable of paying. Prays that it may be remitted.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received from Mr. Manwaring, November 27, 1707."

MARY LOW, widow.—On behalf of her son, Richard Low, now under sentence of condemnation in Newgate, having been convicted of a robbery. He is not yet 25 years old, and it is his first offence. He has two brothers serving in the West Indies on board Her Majesty's ship the *Expedition*. Prays that he may be transported.

Endorsed—"Read December 14, 1707."

(In a report of gaol delivery of December 10, four burglaries are recorded against him.)

JOHN HALL.—Convicted of a felony and burglary in Stepney. Though guilty of many crimes and offences he has been wrongfully accused in this case. Has given information before Mr. Justice Cross at Westminster and Mr. Justice Railton whereby several offenders have been convicted. Has been a sailor and prays that his life may be spared to be spent in defence of the nation.

Endorsed—"Read December 14, 1707."

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

JOSEPH MONTEZINES DAY.—Lies under sentence of death for a felony by the information of Wm. Jones, a notorious offender. Is a Jew, and not being acquainted with the conversation of this kingdom was innocently drawn into bad company. Has a wife and four children. Prays to be transported.

Endorsed—"Read December 14, 1707."

(In a report of gaol delivery of December 10, 1707, seven charges of burglary are proved against him).

THE GENERAL CONVENTION OF THE ROYAL BOROUGHES IN SCOTLAND.—Concerning certain complaints of merchants trading with England, whose goods and ships have been seized by order of the Commissioners of Customs contrary to the terms of the treaty of Union of "the first of May last." Praying that the grievances may be removed.

Annexed copy of the petition of the merchants to the said convention, praying that their case may be laid before the Queen. *Note by Defoe*.—"This is the petition of the merchants on which the address on the other side is founded."

(1707.)

JOHN CHAMBERLAYNE.—Having for some years past published a book entitled "*The Present State of England*," he has now prepared materials for "*The State of Great Britain*," and is ready to publish the same as soon as the property of his copy shall be secured to him. Prays for a privilege of publishing the said book for such a term of years as to her Majesty shall seem meet.

(1707.)

WILLIAM KENDRICK.—On behalf of his son-in-law, Andrew Robinson, and the other persons now under condemnation in Scotland, who were on board the *Worcester* when the late captain Green was commander. Their reprieve has from time to time been prolonged, and as it is now drawing to an end he prays for a further extension.

(1706-08.)

THOMAS O'MORIARTY.—Came from France sixteen months ago, whither his father had carried him at the age of eleven to follow King James; but on his father's death he came to serve her Majesty. Mr. Secretary Harley can certify the propositions he made about Dunkirk and other French ports. He has also served all the last summer under Admiral Shovel, and obtained his certificate, having been volunteer with him for the intended expedition to France. But that expedition being altered he is out of employment, and his father's estate being confiscated and he having lost his commission and pension from the French king, he prays for a commission or some other way of living.

(1707 ?)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

WILLIAM BUTLER.—Has remained upwards of seven years in the common side of Newgate for a fine of 100*l.* for a misdemeanour of words. He prays that the fine may be remitted without fees, which he can never hope to pay, or that he may be allowed some small subsistence that he may not be starved to death in the vilest of prisons.

(1707 ?)

JOHN HIGGONS, gentleman.—Setting forth his services and expenses in supporting the title of the Crown to the Wardenship of the Fleet prison, now held by Colonel Leighton. Prays for the office of *custos* of the said prison with the profits which are at present enjoyed by "intruders."

(About 1707. See also Petition Entry Book 1704-1709, p. 272.)

JAMES TYRRELL, the elder.—"Having for some years past bestowed great pains and expense in collecting and writing a general history of England from the best manuscripts and records; and having already published three volumes of it," he prays for the reversion of the office of keeper of her Majesty's records in the Tower, after the death of William Petyt, "who is now in a languishing condition."

Enclosed in a letter to Robert Harley, Secretary of State, thanking him for his consent to deliver the petition, and praying him to explain to the Queen that he had quite intended to dedicate the last volume of his history to her, had not Harley's absence from town prevented his procuring her permission to do so. (1704-1707.)

COLONEL ULICK BROWNE.—Sets forth certain legal proceedings taken by his father-in-law, James Fitzgerald of Awney, in the county of Limerick, to recover an estate whence he was ejected. Prays that a bill may be brought in the "Parliament of Great Britain" for the relief of himself and his wife and Fitzgerald. (1707-1708 ?)

MEMORIAL OF PAUL JODRELL, senior.—King Charles II. granted to him the office of Clerk of the House of Commons for life in reversion after Mr. Goldebrough. He has executed the office since the beginning of the reign of King James, "as he hopes to good content and satisfaction"; and his son, Paul Jodrell, has been granted the reversion of the said office by Letters Patent from the Queen. The style of the said kingdom being now altered to Great Britain, he and his son are willing to be at the charge of renewing their patents to make the same agreeable to the present style, and pray that the same may be done accordingly.

(1707-1708.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

JOHN BOYSE, late 3rd Lieutenant of her Majesty's ship *Grafton*.—The said ship was on the 2nd of May last taken and carried into Dunkirk, where petitioner was stripped and carried to the common goal. He obtained a pass to come to England on parole to return in three months, which has been extended by a month more. Since his arrival he has done some useful service to the Government, and it being now offered to exchange him for any one of the Ecclesiastics here, he prays that such an exchange may be directed.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received January 2, 1707[-8]. Read January 3."

JOHN HALLUNGIUS.—On behalf of the thirteen Dutch regiments and several officers whose pay is still in arrears for their services to the Crown of England during the late war. Last year the accounts of Jacob Van Der Esch, late paymaster to the Dutch forces, were examined, and it was found that all the money he received was paid to the said Dutch troops, and to the forces from Denmark and Saxe-Gotha. The regimental accounts of the Dutch troops were also examined and found to be in arrears. Prays that in pursuance of the pressing instance of the States General this just debt may be brought to the consideration of Parliament.

Endorsed by Harley—"Read January 3, 1707-8. Send it to both the Auditors of the Imprest for a report."

ELLINOR BAGOTT, wife of John Bagott, esquire, and formerly the wife of Patrick Lavallin, esquire.—On her marriage with her deceased husband, in 1685, it appeared to the full satisfaction of her friends and counsel that he was seised in fee of an estate worth about 1,000*l.* per annum in Ireland, as descending from James, his father, and in consideration of 2,000*l.* portion, and of his marriage with her, he settled a jointure on her with provision for her issue. In 1686 he died in London. Then his second brother, Peter, by contrivance with Melchior, his other brother, entered into Patrick's estate and set up some fraudulent entails, but at last, by their deed duly executed on January 24, 1687, granted her a small rent charge for life, which she and her present husband accepted. Yet now Melchior, who survived Peter, refuses to pay this rent charge to them, as being outlaws and unable to recover it. Moreover her present husband's estate was vested in and sold by the trustees for forfeited estates in Ireland; but as the said rent charge was not disposed of by the trustees for the benefit of the public, and she does not presume to pray for relief out of her husband's estate or any other forfeiture, she obtained the Royal consent for a bill in Parliament to relieve her at the last session, but had no advantage thereby, the session being near an end, and she cannot petition the present House of

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Commons without Royal approbation. She prays that her outlawry may be reversed, and that her nominees may be enabled to sue for the rent charge at law or equity.

Endorsed by Harley—"January 4, 1707-8. Queen consents."

JOHN READ.—Born of honest parents in Middlesex and well educated, but drawn in by one Wetherley to be concerned in stealing a gelding, for which he was sentenced to die. This is the first criminal offence for which he has been convicted, and he has made very useful discoveries of housebreaking and other offenders, and may make much more if his life be spared. Prays for a pardon.

Endorsed by Harley—"Brought into the Council by Cox, January 18, 1707-8."

INHABITANTS OF THE PARISH OF ST. JOHN'S, WAPPING.—Anne Gardner [*spelt also Gardiner and Gardener*], aged about 20, now under sentence of death for making away with her female child, lived in their neighbourhood for some years and bore a good character. They have good grounds for believing that the child was still-born, but since she had not discovered her condition to any, she is, in strictness of the law now provided, sentenced to death. They pray for a pardon.

Signed by the lecturer, curate, churchwardens, constable, overseers and fourteen inhabitants of the parish; also by ten of the jury.

Endorsed by Harley—"Read January 24, 1707-8."

A similar petition from the mayor, magistrates and other inhabitants of Guildford is signed by Jonathan Smallpiece, mayor, Richard Onslow, Denzil Onslow and forty-two others.

Endorsed by Harley—"Read at Kensington, January 25, 1707-8."

Enclosed is a petition from the mayor, etc., of Guildford to Sir Richard Onslow, bart., praying that he will endeavour to save Gardner's life, she being a native of the town. Dated January 17, 1707, and signed by twenty-one persons.

Also letter from Henry Newbery to Onslow, January 16, 1707, Guildford, stating that Gardner had been his servant and bore a good character, and praying him to use his influence on her behalf.

Also letter from Thomas Howard, surgeon, to Onslow, January 18, 1707-8, Guildford, stating that six years before Gardner had had one of her thigh bones forced downwards out of place by the fall of a load of timber, which deformity would probably cause the child to be still-born.

ROBERT CRUIKSHANK.—Stands bound in recognizance to appear at the bar of the court of Queen's Bench on the last day of the present term, and is advised that he must be continued

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

over till the next term, on April 21. His ship with her cargo being still at Plymouth he may still arrive there in time to proceed on his intended voyage to Guinea and Maryland, whose loss would be the ruin of himself and the ship's proprietors. Prays, therefore, that his recognizance may be discharged.

Endorsed by Lewis—"February 8, 1707-8."

JOHN BABER, gentleman.—On the death of George Harris, gentleman, who was secretary at Jamaica by patent during life, the late King in 1697 granted the said office to petitioner during pleasure. The said patents being due to determine in September next, he prays for a renewal on the same terms as Mr. Harris. (1704-1708 ?)

MARY BALLFOURE, relict of Lieutenant Ballfoure.—Was allowed a pension by the late King on her husband's death in the service. This is now six years in arrear, and her journey from Scotland has reduced her to great poverty. Prays for relief. (1704-1708 ?)

THOMAS BATEMAN.—Obtained a lease of his lodgings in Scotland Yard from the Queen at her accession, and has since made additions and repairs, especially after the "high wind in 1703." Prays for a confirmation of the lease with a proviso that he shall quit the lodgings when wanted for building a palace at Whitehall. (1704-1708 ?)

WILLIAM BOWEN.—Has been an actor for thirty years past in England and Ireland, and obtained a bountiful competence from the Queen for his wounds received in the first year of her reign while justly resenting some insolent and disrespectful words spoken by one Mr. Forde against her Majesty's royal title. Prays that the patent of the play-house in Ireland may be granted to him and his son William in reversion on the death of Mr. Joseph Ashbury, to whom it was granted for himself and his son in 1683 in reversion after Mr. Morgan, the said Ashbury, though still an actor in the play-house in Ireland, "being very ancient" and his son being dead. (1704-1708 ?)

RICHARD BUSHELL.—Has received sentence of death for a felony. It did not appear at his trial that any out-lock or door was broken, which ought to have been proved to make his crime capital. Has little else to plead for himself but his youth and his willingness to serve by sea or land, and that it is his first suit for mercy. Prays for a conditional pardon. (1704-1708 ?)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

THE ENGLISH CARTHUSIAN MONKS AT NIEUPOORT IN FLANDERS.—For liberty to their fishermen from Nieuport and Blankenburg to exercise their trade of fishing upon the coasts of England in time of war without any molestation, as they had from her Majesty's progenitors, and have had and now have from the States General of Holland upon their coasts, the monks' subsistence depending chiefly on the fishing.

Annexed is a copy of a grant by Charles II. of this liberty to the same fishermen during war time.
(1704-1708 ?)

SIR SYMON CLARKE, of Warwickshire, baronet.—Is descended from one of the first baronets created by King James I. and served under the Earl of Scarsdale as a cornet in James II.'s reign. Having met with misfortunes he prays for employment or a pension. A petition was presented to the Duke of Marlborough by twenty-nine of the chief inhabitants of Worcestershire and Warwickshire praying for a troop or company for him, but no regard was paid to it.
(1704-1708 ?)

JOHN COLE, glazier, of Kingston-on-Thames.—Was employed by Lord Portland under the late Comptroller, Mr. Talman, to glaze and repair the green-houses, melon frames, and other out-works at Hampton Court for King William, on whose death between two and three hundred pounds were owing to him. Has since lost his work and cannot recover his arrears. Prays for relief.
(1704-1708 ?)

CREDITORS AND ADMINISTRATORS OF SIR WILLIAM COURTEEN AND PARTNERS.—The East India Company of the Netherlands in 1644 unjustly seized upon two English ships belonging to Sir William Courteen and partners on their voyage to the East Indies, for which petitioners, not obtaining satisfaction, obtained in 1665 Letters of Reprisal against the Company and other subjects of the States General. King William in 1699 ordered his minister at the Hague to demand satisfaction from the States, and to signify that "they might have better terms in his time than afterwards they could expect," which occasioned offers of satisfaction which by reason of the King's death took no effect. The Company still delaying justice, petitioners conceive themselves warranted to put the Letters of Reprisal into execution. Praying for Royal approbation or relief.
(1704-1708 ?)

RICHARD CROW and MARK CROW, clothworkers, and ANDREW FRYZER, tanner, of Hereford.—Were sentenced at the last assizes to a fine of twenty nobles each for an assault, though not one

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

blow could be proved to have been struck. They cannot pay the fine, "trading here being very dead," and pray that it may be remitted. *Signed.*
(1704-8 ?)

THOMAS DICKASON.—Lies under sentence of condemnation in Newgate for feloniously stealing some goods of small value, which, in truth, he bought of persons that were utter strangers, but was not able to prove it. Being an "ancient man of near fourscore years," and having an old and helpless wife he prays that his life may be spared.
(1704-8 ?)

JUDITH DRAKE, widow.—Was formerly sempstress and starcher to the late King in conjunction with Mrs. College until the latter "by a personal interest she made herself in some great man procured those offices wholly to herself," so that petitioner was discarded without any salary or payment for her disbursements in linen and lace. She afterwards married Doctor James Drake, "but he, unfortunately falling under the displeasure and violent prosecution of some great persons, died broken-hearted," leaving her and their son in great difficulties. Prays for compassion.
(1704-1708 ?)

LADY ELIZABETH HATCHER.—Returned from France in 1702 by Royal licence, but after a stay of six months was obliged to quit the kingdom by her Majesty's commands. The executors of the wills of Sir Joseph Williamson and Lady Catherine O'Brien, her late brother and sister, refused to pay her certain annuities bequeathed to her by her said brother and sister while she remained in France, so she removed into Holland, where the air has not agreed with her continued indisposition—"the ptisick." Prays for a licence to return into England or to live in France.
(1704-1708 ?)

HORATIO HOPKINS, esquire, grandson and heir of Sir William Hopkins, formerly of the Isle of Wight.—His grandfather and father were the first persons that declared for King Charles I. in that country, and their house was one of the first plundered. When the said King "was hurried into the Isle of Wight and in restraint there, they found a way of corresponding with him by letter," and were entrusted in his most important affairs till "his (ever to be deplored) dying day." At the treaty of Newport their house was chosen for his court, and to supply his needs they sold their estate to the value of 1200*l.* per annum, "as may be evidenced by sixty odd letters of his said Majesty's own handwriting" now in petitioner's keeping. His father was

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

promised an estate of land and grant of money in Ireland by King Charles II., but failed to obtain it, and died after several petitions to the said King, leaving petitioner with five brothers and one sister unprovided for. Prays for a civil office or employment.

(1704-1708 ?)

SCROPE, VISCOUNT HOW, baron of "Clanally," [Clenawly] in Ireland.—Raised a regiment of horse in the Protestant interest at the late Revolution, with the charges of which he is still encumbered. Prays for letters patent for the coining of 1,200 tons of copper halfpence and farthings for the use of Great Britain and Ireland and the plantations for a term of twelve years.

(1704-1708 ?)

ROBERT JENISON, late of Wallworth in the county of Durham, esquire.—Sets forth his former diligent services for "the further discovery of the horrid and damnable Popish plot . . . to alter the government of England, to poison the King, and to bring in their religion by bloodshed," which services he performed at great expense to himself for above three years. But "having no reparation made in the late reign, by reason of arrears due to the fleet and army, nor in this, by reason of an unmerited opposition made against his petition, he has been a prisoner in the gatehouse nearly three months for a debt of 12*l*." Being now "at liberty without bread," and designing to go with Captain Laycock to the army in Flanders "to be at some of the sieges in order to obtain commissions, if we escape with our lives," he prays for a supply of money.

(1704-1708.)

JAMES JONES.—Lies under sentence of death in the gaol of Kingston-upon-Thames, aged about fifteen years, for unlawfully taking away a coat, waistcoat and breeches from Richard Boarman, it being his first offence. His father and mother were burnt out of Ireland; the former was slain in Flanders in King William's service, and the latter is attended with blindness and poverty, and supported by petitioner's labour; and her grief for his crime and unhappy circumstances will reduce her grey hairs with sorrow to the grave. Prays, therefore, that his green years may plead for a pardon for his enormous crime, and that he may be sent to a plantation.

(1704-1708 ?)

HENRY LAMBANETT and SARAH, his wife.—Has served several years in the army in this war and the last, and took part in the capture and defence of Gibraltar. On his return thence he sent for his wife from Ireland, and in her passage she was taken and

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

stripped of all she had by the two French privateers that took prisoner several officers of the Queen's French regiment of dragoons. Being descended of a very good family, yet not having been provided for in any post, he prays for a commission. (1704-1708 ?)

CAPTAIN MARTIN LAYCOCK, of Lord Charlemont's late regiment of foot.—Has served the Crown ever since 1685 with many losses and sufferings, and many younger officers have been preferred above him. Was recommended to the Duke of Marlborough by the Duke of Shrewsbury, and also by twenty-four members of the House of Commons, and was put into the list for a company in the five new regiments; and the night before Marlborough went for Holland he promised to petitioner that he should be posted at home or abroad; and as he took coach before Whitehall said "well, well, Laycock, I will post you"—being concerned that petitioner should be out of business. Petitioner, being resolved to spend the rest of his blood in her Majesty's service, has by his long attendance and good interest got a pass under the hand and seal of Mr. Harley, Secretary of State, to go to his Highness in Flanders; but having nothing to bear his charges but what is due from the Crown for his past services, he prays for a grant out of the privy purse for this purpose until he is "provided in a post." (1704-1708.)

HAGIE MAHOMET, of Aleppo, in "Argiers."—Has already presented three petitions setting forth the wrong done him by certain English merchants in Algiers, who being protected by the Turkey Company, and he receiving no answer to his petitions, he was necessitated to apply to the Turkey Company for some small matter to carry him home. They gave him but 10*l.* and a pass from the Queen's Secretary to go to Portsmouth to be transported on the *Poole*. On going to Portsmouth he found the said ship had sailed away some time before the date of the pass, so petitioner came to London to pray for a maintenance till the next fleet sailed to Algiers. He has waited twenty-five months for relief against those merchants and has spent all his money. He prays, therefore, for a maintenance till he can be sent away. (1704-1708 ?)

MICHAEL MALARD.—Once a secular priest and curate of Belleville, in Beaujolais, he embraced the Protestant religion in London nine years ago according to the English Episcopal church, wears the long gown, is a naturalized Englishman, and is a prisoner in the "Marshall Southwork" for a debt of 14*l.* contracted by his wife before she married him, against whom he obtained a warrant from Hickshall and then from the Lord Chief Justice to take her

up, as having her first husband alive. But she is run away and escaped, and all his goods have been sold to pay the landlord and other debts. Prays therefore for a release.

Many witnesses have given their oath how this Yorkshire woman that he had the misfortune to marry said she was the widow of "Jougla," dead and buried in the country, who is still alive and has children by her, but had retired from her because she ran him into debts; two years after, he divorced her because she got a bastard.

He can hope for no relief from the vestry of the French church of the great Savoy because he had not embraced Protestantism in Switzerland and refused to embrace it in the French Presbyterian London church behind the Exchange, but did so in the French church of the Great Savoy according to the English Episcopal church, as being the most pure and like to the primitive church, not knowing they were all "presbyterian and wigs within," directly against the Queen, the monarchical government and the Church of England. "If her Majesty should depend on them they would pull her into bits; they are only for republics and won't depend on the bishops, but they will be themselves bishops under the name of ministers, for the elders obey them blindly in any injustice advantageous to 'em," as he knows by experience. They have destroyed as many "ecclesiastic proselytes" as they could because they were obliged to allow them a yearly pension out of the Royal beneficency, making them turn to Popery, either by necessity or slanders.

Lamothe Blagny, an elder of the said church, by an "unheard barbarousness" bribed his bail to put him into prison under a pretence of security. They have cast him into this bad marriage, for Lamothe commanded "Mr. Bron," minister, to marry him to her; and mistress Durand, though knowing her to be the wife of "Jougla" and he alive, spake all good things of her. They stole his testimonials, certificates of priesthood and pastorship, family papers and registers, and the attestations of the town of Zurich. Very few proselytes have been able to maintain themselves against the said vestry and their "French Committie." If released he must beg his bread from door to door, having no church or place to be a reader, for they cut off his living by putting him in prison. They should have only French chapels and be obliged to receive the Sacraments in the English church of their parish; thus her Majesty would know who are for her or against her. He prays for a pension, considering himself more worthy than the French ministers, who have relations and churches that provide for them in this country.
(1704-1708 ?)

EDWARD MOORE and ALEXANDER VALIER.—For a grant or lease of certain derelict or "fitee" lands, adjoining the sea in or near Habernes and Tydd St. Mary's and several other parishes in

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Lincolnshire, which, for want of embanking and improving are of no advantage to the Crown and useless to the country.
(? 1704-1708).

THOMAS NEVILLE, gentleman.—Having been some time in the French King's dominions he prays for a Royal licence to return to England, offering security for his good behaviour.
(1704-1708 ?)

MARY NUTIN.—Daughter of Walter Brydall and wife of John Nutin, late receiver and paymaster to the transports. He, owing above 2,100*l.* to the Crown, left in the hands of Robert Barker, esquire, deputy remembrancer, 1,995*l.*, which, with the fees she has to pay to pass the accounts, will amount to upwards of the balance. Nevertheless her husband is arrested and she turned out of her house and her effects seized. Prays for her husband's freedom.
(1704-1708 ?)

MATTHEW PLOWMAN, gentleman, senior.—About five weeks ago one Mr. Collingwood intimated to him that several young gentlemen had occasion to go to Holland, but could not proceed without a pass from the Secretary of State's office, and by giving false names and fraudulent representations of their loyalty induced petitioner (who believed the affirmations to be true) to use his influence, whereby a pass was obtained. These persons have been seized lately at Dover, and Collingwood is in confinement, and petitioner is bound to appear "to answer the same." He therefore prays that he may not incur the Queen's displeasure, as he depends on her bounty for support in his old age.
(1708 ?)

BARON POSTHELD, of Ollersfeld.—Being a native of Bohemia and a Protestant, and as such unable to enjoy his estate there, fled to Denmark and took shipping for England aboard the *Sorlings* (Captain Cony, commander), with letters of recommendation from the Danish King to Prince George. But the ship was taken by the French squadron under Monsieur St. Paul. Afterwards he was sent to Holland with a French pass and the French King's allowance for a prisoner (which was six livres only), whence he came to England and delivered his letter to Prince George, who relieved his necessities and recommended him to the King of Prussia; "where, meeting with the like ill fate," he returned to England in hopes to be employed in the forces ordered on the descent, but they being gone he prays to be employed in some of the regiments which are designed to follow the said descent.
(1704-1708 ? Perhaps by "the descent" is meant the despatch of troops with (and following) Lord Galway, in the summer of 1704. See Harley Papers II., 100.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

EDWARD RICH, esquire.—“Has the honour of being grandson to the truly loyal and therefore the more right honourable the Earl of Holland, who was beheaded for the fidelity he bore to your Royal grandfather and his blessed Prince King Charles I. He is next heir to the present Earl of Warwick, but destitute of the comforts of life.” Prays to be provided for in the army or otherwise.
(1704-1708 ?)

RICHARD RICHARDSON, sergeant at law.—There is now a great scarcity of farthings and halfpence in Great Britain and Ireland, and a total want of them in the Plantations. Prays for a grant of Letters Patent to coin 800 tons of the best English copper, to be uttered at the rate of two shillings the pound weight, the duty of threepence halfpenny for the coinage being therein included, and to be stamped with the Queen's image and made current by Royal authority.
(1705-1714.)

WILLIAM RIDER, Lieutenant of her Majesty's forest of Whittlewood.—Served Kings Charles II. and James II. in several considerable employments, but on the Revolution was deprived of the command of a troop of horse in the then Earl of Oxford's regiment, the Avener's place under the Master of Horse, and his patent place of Master of the Fleet-hounds, which cost him 2,500 guineas without any satisfaction though he obtained the late King's promise to be repaid for that employment. Nearly 10,000*l.* is due from the Crown for money lent to King Charles II. during his exile by petitioner's father. He prays for consideration of his case before he is deprived of the honour of her Majesty's Lieutenant of Whittlewood forest.
(1704-1708 ?)

THOMAS ROOTS, gentleman, of the county of Kent.—Prays that his enclosed scheme of a bank may be strictly examined by one of her Majesty's Prime Ministers of State, and if approved may be recommended to either House of Parliament. It will in no way injure the Bank of England, and will provide employment for large classes of persons, and will render the national debt tolerable till it may be fully cleared. If not approved of he begs leave to present the scheme to the French King.
(1704-1708 ?)

SIR WILLIAM RUSSELL, baronet.—His father, Sir William Russell,* having served in Ireland and Flanders, contracted an illness, for which the Queen granted him a pension of 400*l.* per annum on the revenue of Ireland, and on his death she granted to his mother 200*l.* per annum on the said revenue. His mother's

* Son of Sir John Russell and Frances Cromwell; ob. 1707.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

life is now despaired of, and seeing that the Queen has encouraged the Countess of Fauconberg to hope that employment may be bestowed on petitioner, he prays that the said pension may be continued to him for the support of himself and his brother [Francis].
(1708 ?)

SERGEANTS, CORPORALS AND DRUMS OF THE DECEASED COL. BREWAR'S REGIMENT.—Have money due to them to the value of 30*l.* for their pay during the wars in Ireland and Flanders, which they know to have been paid to the colonel many years ago. Deceased has left a plentiful estate in the hands of Mr. Ginkens, who puts them to defiance, as they cannot go to law with him. Prays for payment of what is due.

(After 1702.—See Army Lists and Commission Registers, vol. III., p. 53.)

JOHN SHAW, saddler and freeman of the City of London.—Desiring to travel, he went to France about ten years ago. His father is now dead and has left him heir to considerable effects. Prays for leave to return to England.
(1704-1708 ?)

FERDINAND SHRIMPTON, of Denn, in the county of Bucks, lawman.—His son, John, notwithstanding a careful education and the good trade in which he was settled, has of late years robbed upon the highway, but has never been guilty of murder or violence. He is now touched with remorse, and resolves, though yet at liberty, never to be guilty of it again, provided he could obtain a pardon for his past robberies. Petitioner has also nine sons and two daughters all in a prosperous way of living, and can supply the said John with a suitable subsistence. Prays for a pardon.
(1704-1708 ?)

CHARLWOOD STUCKEY, gentleman.—His father, Valentine, was linen-draper to King Charles II., who, at his death, owed him a considerable sum of money. Has suffered from fire and debt. Prays for employment.
(1704-1708 ?)

JOHN SWAN, gentleman.—Entered into a contract to serve Sir Basil Firebrace for two years, and was continued in that service for a term of six years ; but Sir Basil's business growing too weighty he prayed for a discharge, but was compelled by force, on the pretence that he had been bought as a slave, to serve for eighteen years. Some of his service being for the Crown, King James granted him the fifth part of the customs paid in one year by Sir Basil and Samuel Shepherd, esquire, who was joined with him, for which petitioner gave in a receipt dated 1687,

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO THE QUEEN, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

September 10, to Henry Guy, esquire. But Sir Basil and Shepherd took the receipt from the said Guy, and so deprived petitioner of the grant. Prays for a Royal command to Guy to produce the receipt and to Sir Basil and Shepherd to return him the bounty money with interest.
(1704-1708 ?)

THOMAS VAVASOUR.—Having made information whereby great estates were forfeited to the Crown, Sir Thomas Cooke, Sir Charles Duncombe and Sir Edward Ward, Lord Chief Baron, proposed to give him 10,000*l.* or 20,000*l.* as informant. Nevertheless he is kept out of it. Prays therefore to be paid 1,000*l.* and to be granted employment.

Enclosed memorial shews that he served in the Duke of Monmouth's regiment till it was disbanded in 1679 by Sir Thomas Player and other commissioners, who deducted 5 guineas out of the soldiers' pay contrary to an Act of Parliament (31 Car. II). On petitioning the King this complaint was referred to Sir Robert Sawyer, who ordered the Treasury to prosecute. But the disbanding commissioners refused to account to any but the House of Commons. So appellant petitioned the House, and when a committee had inspected the accounts, Mr. Auditor Done and Mr. Bridges made a report, wherein it appeared that they wanted vouchers for 4,000*l.* out of the 10,000*l.* imprested to Sir Thos. Player, and above 100,000*l.* more was not accounted for. So by the said Act the commissioners forfeited their estates to the King. The Treasury issued out writs and got 6,000 of the money into their custody. Appellant has solicited this suit twenty-two years at great expense and has suffered imprisonment and been aspersed with charges of treason and madness.

NOTE.—It is usual with the officers of the Exchequer and the Treasury to deprive informants of their moiety, whereby they discourage the discovery of frauds.
(1701-1708.)

ROBERT WORDLEY and ELLINOR, his sister.—Are the " orfants " of Captain John Wordley, late commander of her Majesty's ship the old *Revenge*, who faithfully served the Crown in three King's reigns, and having been visited with sickness departed this life in the late King's service. Further, to add to petitioners' calamity, it pleased God to visit the poor petitioner, Robert, with a violent fever, of which he lost his eyesight, as also his sister, Ellinor lost her eyesight by a blast of lightning as she sat at work at marking in linen at her door. They pray for bounty and charity.
(1704-1708 ?)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.

ELIZABETH RICE.—For a pardon for her husband, Sebastian Rice, lying under sentence of death for burglary, he being ready to serve her Majesty by sea or land.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 9, 1704. Respited till Thursday at the Council at Hampton Court."

EDWARD BEMAND.—Was a servant for many years in the families of Harley and his father. Prays that his brother Thomas may be recommended for employment as noontender at the Custom House in the port of London, as there is likely to be a vacancy by the death of Peregrine Dupont, now dangerously ill.

Accompanied by a letter from the same dated 1704, June 20.

STEPHEN THOMSON, of London, merchant.—About April, 1700, he sent Mr. Charles Craven, brother to Lord Craven, to reside as his factor at Bordeaux. When war had been declared he ordered his said apprentice to buy an English ship and man her with English prisoners and return with his effects. This was done, and on the arrival of the ship (called the *Friendship*) at Kinsale petitioner set forth the premises to the Lord Treasurer, who referred the matter to the Commissioners of Customs, and transmitted their report to the Prince's Council. Since the arrival of the ship in the Thames she has been twice seized, notwithstanding that petitioner was allowed to make an entry of the wines of her cargo, and has paid 4,500*l.* for duty. Since which she has been seized by Captain Edwards, acting under Harley's instructions. Seeing that he had no other way to get his apprentice and effects out of an enemy's country, he prays that this seizure may be taken off.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received 1704, June 27."

NATHANIEL FOSTER.—Has been for above seventeen years a shopkeeper in London. His wife having been seduced to Popery by a Romish priest, unknown to him, has for several years kept him company and robbed petitioner of all his substance, for which he has a testimonial from his parish minister and his neighbours. Prays that the apprehension may be encouraged of the said priest, who now in different dresses skulks about town, and is (he supposes) conversant with his wife; also that he may be granted a warrant and a small subsistence till he can bring the priest to justice.

Endorsed by Harley—"Mr. Foster's petition concerning Bodycott, a priest. Received July 30, 1704."

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

ISAAC JACOBSON, Agent for the respective Hanse towns by order of the Senate of the city of Dantzic.—With regard to the seizure of the ship *Neptune*, owned and freighted by merchants of the said city, by a British ship, in consequence of which a suit is depending before the Lords of Appeal. Conceiving that certain attestations made before the Admiralty Court will not be sufficient to procure them a restitution, he prays that Harley will represent their case favourably to the Lords of Appeal, and that if the attestations are not admitted as proofs the proprietors may be admitted to give bail to answer the value of the goods (in case they shall not make out their right) and then have the goods delivered to them. *Signed. Dated* 1704, October 20.

Enclosed is the case of the Dantzic merchants, giving particulars, and showing that “by reason that the city of Dantzic or burghers thereof were not nor are favoured by the French King,” their correspondents took bills of lading in the names of merchants of Stockholm, as though to be delivered there, though in reality they were to be delivered at Dantzic.

PAUL BRETTON.—By virtue of Harley’s warrant he has been a prisoner in the messenger’s hands and in the Marshalsea in the Savoy above three months. Has never intended any evil design against the Queen and Government, “being a Protestant in his principle and a merchant by his profession.” Prays to be delivered.

Endorsed by Harley—“Read October 26, 1704. See order upon it.”

His case contains further details. *Endorsed*—November 23, 1704.

MANAGERS of the TOBACCO COMPANY TRADING TO RUSSIA.—By a memorial, dated November 24 last, Mr. Francis Stratford, since deceased, on behalf of himself and the other managers of the company, stated the case of the *Two Brothers*, which was sent by the said managers “to New Shantz, alias St. Petersburg, in the Baltic sea, now in the possession of the Czar of Muscovy,” having with her papers pursuant to the treaty with Sweden. On her return she was captured by a Swedish man-of-war, and the papers were taken from the master under pretence of being examined, and sent, as he supposes, to Stockholm. Prays that the envoy in Sweden may procure the ship’s discharge and damages. Signed by Nathaniel Gould, Samuel Heathcote, and William Dawsonne.

Endorsed by Harley—“Received December 29, 1704. Writ to Mr. Jackson.”

Enclosed is Stratford’s case above mentioned.

JOHN BATESON.—“Did formerly belong” to Harley’s father and was servant to Major Hinton. Has since kept a shop for

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

several years, but by "trusting" and want of trade is reduced to a very deplorable condition. Prays for relief.
(1704.)

JOHN HUGHES, JOHN HOLINSHED, ISAAC ADAMS and JOHN MAYOTT.—Setting forth their services and praying for an increase in their allowances. (See also p. 327).
(1704-1705.)

SOPHIA BALLARD.—Has been detained in Newgate for several days upon Harley's account, but for what she knows not. Is informed that he believes her capable of making some discovery of something which she is altogether ignorant of. Has four small children, "two of which is turned into the streets," and the other two are with her. Prays for mercy.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received March 15, 1704-5."

ELIZABETH CHAPMAN.—Is in the custody of a messenger upon a malicious information made against her by some persons who owe her a little money. It is evident to all her neighbours in "the pellmell" and to others in this city that she is very poor and makes her living by selling Irish linen and "usquebagh," which her husband sends from Ireland, and that she never had the capacity to act against the Government. Prays to be discharged.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 10, 1705."

Memorial of JOHN BUTTS, her Majesty's consul in Denmark.—He formerly set forth his services in a petition to her Majesty, and received a commission as consul for Denmark. Having exhausted his substance in the service, he was in no capacity to act, and has attended for two years in hopes of being paid his arrears, though his services would have been more useful had he been able to go to his post. Observes that the restraining our trade with France can have little effect unless we prevent the Danes and Swedes from carrying our commodities to that country, and from furnishing the French with all sorts of contraband goods. Such trade can only be stopped by having an English officer resident at Elsinore, to warn Harley, in order to their being intercepted by our men-of-war, who would thus make them legal prizes.

Endorsed by Harley—"April 20, 1705. He had an answer that the Queen would not make a precedent to allow him anything as a consul; but if he did anything of service there he should be rewarded accordingly. This answer was given him in May last, 1704. See the minutes."

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

WILLIAM HOUSTON'S Memorial, *entitled*, "A succinct deduction of the series of affairs relating to Church and State of Scotland from the year 1679 to and with this present state."—"The year preceding, under the ministry of the Duke of Lauderdale, the land groaning under grievances, our Church was reduced to a chaos of defection, division, confusion, which obliged Mr. Welsh and several other ministers to preach in the fields, people being so numerous that churches could not contain them, and they obliged to innocent self-defence. Lauderdale ordered 20,000 highlanders to march in a barbarous manner to plunder and destroy all our hearers or abettors and bring to Edinburgh the heads of the ministers, dead or alive. Which extorted that sudden insurrection of Bothwell Bridge. The people and ministry parling for peace, at Monmouth's coming down, were in a manner cheated or defeated. Hence bloody proclamations of 500*l.* for apprehending ministry and gentry therein concerned, which obliged them to take flight into the fields. Then Scotch and Irish dragoons, with some French officers among their army, were peremptorily commanded to kill ministry, gentry and people wherever they found them. Accordingly they performed by killing 1,851 men and nine women by swords and gibbets, the Romish frogs being very industrious to set them on edge.

"In the year 1681 a Parliament is held at Edinburgh, which commenced with the martyrdom of three divines and divers of the people; so terminate by setting open a door to Romans all over the kingdom, who grew insolent, both laity and clergy, which obliged those people, by-named Cameronians, but Protestants in name and thing, to declare at Sanquhar against their idolatrous proceedings withal to discipline their fraternity for their innocent self-defence to be in readiness against the common enemy, which afterwards we found full of violence and virulence. Having defended themselves strenuously they turned formidable to the common enemy. Within a few years fell out the catastrophe of King Charles' death.

"Then in the next reign was issued out a Popish toleration for Papists and Dissenters too . . . against which they protested, as shaving of Samson's hair, and taking away the penal laws; for they could not believe that Rome was reformed nor the Pope turned Presbyterian, though too many of the Presbyterians . . . 'homologat' the same with letters of thanks, impignorating their faith and fidelity therein. At which juncture the bishops of England were incarcerated in the Tower.

"Scotland, by this inundation of popery and idolatry, appeared everywhere to be a land of graven images; hundreds falling away and going to mass like leaves in autumn.

"The protestation of our fraternity being printed in Holland with a book entitled "*Nephthali*" and divers others, as they did illuminate in Scotland and Ireland and borders of England, so with violence and virulence were preachers and people

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

prosecuted. Proclamations issued out 500*l.* for the head of Mr James Renny, minister, who fell into their hands and was executed." Also for Mr. David Houston, Mr. Mather, Mr. W. Houston and divers others who escaped their hands.

"Mr. David Houston, minister, aged 75, preaching in Ireland was taken and carried to Tyrconnell, then Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, who, after he had used him in a most truculent manner in Ireland, thence remitted him towards Edinburgh . . . to be beheaded by Chancellor Drummond, a violent new-turned Papist, guarded by Irish horse and foot seconded by a party of Claver's troop, *alias* Lord Dundee. With which troops our fraternity engaged, broke them, rescued the prisoner by force of arms.

"This was done about three months before King William's arrival in England, of which we having intelligence by some of our number from Holland we were obliged to keep the fields in our own defence, fearing Papists and Highlanders. We disciplined in a military posture and immediately drew out 2,500 men at Douglas, electing Colonel Clieland, marched against Dundee and the Highlanders, where engaging at Dunkell with above 7,000 of them, yet we defended the churchyard with the loss of few men, broke them, beat them,* [and] marching through the Highlands reduced them to peace and made them subject to the government. Only once again they rallied, some time after, by their anti-christian succours. Our fraternity engaged with them, joining some English troops who were lately come from England; and after a sharp dispute the Highland forces were entirely routed.

"The late King William called our fraternity into Flanders, where, engaging with the French, the colonel, lieutenant-colonel, major, and five captains were all killed at once by the treachery of Barbaria's secretary, which regiment is commanded by Colonel Fergusson and recruited by us."

The Cameronians, "being *pares* in the government," and the greatest sufferers for the Protestant interest, and seeing that the power of church government is equally lodged in our fraternity as in the Presbyterians; being moreover useful and faithful to her Majesty, ought to have free church judicatories of their own, "making truly an organical church, conform to the rules of our ancient assemblies at Westminster, seeing we cannot digest the insufficiency of our clergy, nay, many of them illiterate mechanics and scandalous. The doing whereof will be acceptable to Heaven and profitable to the Queen, who, alas, wants information in order to confer her civil sanction righteously in the hands of our fraternity and people . . . Though, indeed, her Majesty from her princely piety gives her Royal letter for 50*l.* annually from the bishopric of Galway, which is booked in the Scotch Secretary's office, yet never paid, nor never will till her Majesty nominate us collectors for the same." Proposes a gift of 50*l.* a year to each of the four ministers.

*The Cameronian regiment defeated the Highlanders at Dunkeld, Aug. 21, 1689.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

“But to return to a description of the present state of the nation. It is palpable those Gillicrankies, or French friends, grow insolent, were it not our fraternity threatens them to peace.

“The five western shires, Ayr, Renfrew, Galloway, Nithsdale, Clydesdale and Stewartry of Galloway (where there be some Papists—the Earl of Nithsdale and others—yet their swords, arms, and idols are always taken from them by our fraternity upon all occasions of foreign French fears); notwithstanding the people are all generally strict dissenters from popery, prelacy, erastian indulgency; exceeding well armed and disciplined, zealous against the French or anything that smells of popery, being completely furnished by King William, when Prince of Orange, from Holland; those few gentry in those western shires who are Gillicrankies or Jacobites being insignificant, so that those people by-named the Cameronians command them and their arms too at every touch; nay they durst not budge, the universality of those western shires, gracious and graceless, being inclined to follow our fraternity, the gentry, and particularly those in the Kirk or church, under the name of Presbyterians, being in a manner put in and put out by our fraternity (as were the former incumbents). In fine the common enemy cannot project any hopes there.

“The shires of Annandale, Ewesdale, Nithsdale, Liddesdale, Teviotdale . . . the shires adjacent to England, the borders themselves, being by the indefatigable labours of our fraternity informed in their minds and reformed in their lives, are truly zealous for the Protestant interest in the strictest sense, and animate by the Almighty to concur with us against the common enemy, foreign as the French, or domestic as the straggling gentry, insignificant to act or do, we making ten to one against them.

“Thus of the southern part of Scotland; . . . which being influenced by our fraternity, moved her Majesty Queen Mary of blessed memory to give a complement of 40*l.* annually, renewed by her present Majesty . . . which in this reign was once only paid.

“There remains two or three shires of these southern parts contiguous to the Highlanders—Lennox, Stirling, Clackmannanshire. First, as to Lennoxshire, the most part of the gentry, being nullifidians, take up with any impression. The commonalty, being zealous Protestants, are obliged, especially in the winter season, to defend themselves against the ravaging of the Highlanders, committing hardships, that's robbing all their cattle. Though they are not able to reduce the Highlanders, yet do they oppose any small body or party, our fraternity having planted christian societies whereby christianity flourishes into the very borders of those savages. The other two shires are generally [the same], though their gentry do as they list.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

"Hence enters the Highlands and Islands, of whom it may be said, as Eusebius of the Romans—That "*God Almighty suffered the Goths and Vandals to ransack the Romans because they were not more zealous in their conversion.*" . . .

"The West Highlands be generally civilized and tolerable, and much hated by the other for their respect to the gospel; so that in the late catastrophe of Argyle and Monmouth they were generally ruined, and many of them put to death, all by the North and East Highlands, who are either atheists or Papists. The Duke of Gordon, commonly called among them the Cock of the North, is professed Roman, [and] commands four or five hundred men; in like manner do their earls and clans. As those Highlanders have little regard to the church of Presbyterians, and profit little among them, for most of them that travel thither want that zeal, knowledge, discretion as the old Presbyterian's endowments; neither do they regard the army; for as the same is no great strength, so [it is] much in affinity with them—statesmen favouring them as being of their kidney. And when our fraternity give them a scripture reproof, then they mock God's messengers and misuse their servants, and openly say we are not clothed with authority, though, conform to claim of right the government is as much lodged in our persons as any other; for many of the loose Presbyterians flatter and wheedle with them. Thus are they animate to a sinful course and hopes of their imposter from France.

"Notwithstanding of this generality there are several corners in the North where there is true reformation of life and manners, as in Strathnaver, Sunderland (*sic*), Ross, Murray, the most of the people will join our fraternity. In fine, relating to the state, we are sorry to say it is too precarious. Good men could be named who would be faithful to her Majesty, the Protestant interest, and all good designs. Relating to the army, it is very proper at this juncture that they have honest officers—men of conduct, courage, conscience, such as Colonel James Bruce, who came over with the late King William at the Revolution, and men of his spirit. It would conduce much to the interest of Britain. Very well known to Argyle, in whom our fraternity have no interest, save upon account of the Protestant interest.

"To conclude, the Isles and shires of Orkney, Caithness, Banff, etc., are altogether Gilliecrankies or Jacobites:

"The verity of these premises may be attested by two or three gentlemen of your honour's acquaintance now at London."

Endorsed by Harley—"1705, April 20."

(There is a very similar memorial addressed by the same to the Queen and Lord Treasurer, adding "Motives and means of Union." 1706 ?)

MARGARET MILLER:—Gave notice of Jane Salt, *alias* Okes, who followed the pernicious trade of coining, and was convicted

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

of the same. Having been assured by Harley's butler and Mr. Hill, the messenger, that she should have the usual reward, she prays for a certificate for the same.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received April 23, 1705."

MILBOURN MADOX.—Served King Charles I. and Charles II. during the wars in their reigns, and received from the latter a yearly pension of 36*l.*; but in King William's reign Lord Ranelagh kept back so much of his pension as amounts to 500*l.* Prays that he may have his quartermaster's pay (he being quartermaster of horse after Charles II.'s death) as he is lame and infirm and above eighty years of age.

Endorsed by Lewis—"June 29, 1705."

Annexed is a list of pensions paid by Mr. Nicholas, including 30*l.* per annum to Mr. Madox. *Also* a certificate of his appointment to a quartermastership in Captain Coy's troop of Colonel Richard Hamilton's regiment in 1687. *Signed by D. Crawford.* Dated 1705, June 26.

Endorsed—"Enquire whether others in the same circumstances are provided for."

MARY JOLLEY.—Was taken into custody by his honour's [Harley's] orders for coming out of France contrary to Act of Parliament. Believes that she is not the person intended, and being infirm and in danger of losing her eyesight if she remain in the messenger's hands, she prays for a speedy examination.

Endorsed by Lewis—"August 18, 1705."

PHILLIP GARIOT, of Southampton, cutler.—He and his father are French Protestant refugees, who have lived together in Southampton for twenty-five years, he working in his father's shop and having no house of his own, yet both of them obliged to pay to the church and poor and all other parish duties; notwithstanding which the tailors of the town have of late indicted and prosecuted the petitioner and his wife for that the latter, also a French Protestant refugee, hath likewise used her industry in working as a mantua maker, and he, being but a poor man and not able to withstand this vexatious prosecution, prays that her Majesty will recommend him and his wife, and also his sister, Judith Gariot, to the Southampton corporation, that his wife and sister may be permitted to follow the employment of mantua making without any further molestation.

Endorsed—"Received August 25, 1705."

Annexed is a letter to Robert Harley from the mayor of Southampton, John Thornburgh, dated August 13, 1705, stating that Phillip "Garrett" and his father Thomas have exercised their trade of cutlers without molestation, but the said Philip, having taken upon himself a tailor's trade also, has been indicted for so

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

doing; for indeed the poverty of the Company of Tailors is such that it will not admit of its being invaded, which he hopes will not be interpreted a violation of the Queen's protection.

ELIZABETH BOUCHER.—Has been near two months in custody of one Davies, a Queen's messenger, and is so debarred from hearing of any public worship. Prays for leave to go to the French church.

Endorsed—"Mrs. Boucher's petition, September 21, 1705."

ALEXANDER CUNNINGHAM.—"Was employed three years ago by the late King to negotiate a business of importance at the French court." Prays for a recompence for the same; also to be employed by the Queen or to receive a pension. "Thereupon he is ready to inform her Majesty more particularly of the progress he made, and will deliver up the papers relating to that affair as her Majesty shall order him."
(1704-1705).

ROBERT CLARE, printer. Report, declaration and petition.—Giving details of his proceedings between November 29 and December 5 (1705). On complaint of one Mr. Jones that a certain "translation of Letters was printed upon him" by Mr. Bradford and hawked about the streets by his criers; and on receipt from Jones of this "counterfeit paper," Clare obtained a warrant for Bradford's apprehension and went with Brown, the messenger, to execute it. They failed to take Bradford, but arrested Mrs. Bradford, and searched the house for papers but did not find any. Prays to be forgiven for not having made his usual report this week, as this business has taken up all his time.
(December, 1705.)

FRANCIS LAWRENCE.—Prays for the discharge of his wife, Christian Lawrence, who has been in custody under Mr. Browne, the messenger, for about five months on account of her coming from Dunkirk. Petitioner is favoured by Admiral Churchill and entertained in the service.

Endorsed—"Received January 3, 1705-6."

WILLIAM CARRILL.—Is sixty years old and very infirm, and has by virtue of Harley's warrant been committed to the messenger's hands and Newgate, where he has remained a close prisoner, his friends not being allowed access. Was innocent of any design against the Government when he returned to England. Is willing to go into banishment. Prays for deliverance.
(1706, beginning of ? Cf. p. 216 above.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

CAPTAIN PETER D'AUSSY.—Petitioned the Queen about eight months past for subsistence on the half-pay list in Ireland, which was referred to the Duke of Ormonde, as appears by the annexed copy, but no report thereon has yet been made. Supposing that the establishment of Ireland is already overburdened, he applied to the Duke of Marlborough for some provision, and was told that his Grace had recommended him particularly to his honour. Prays that Mr. Harley will prevail with the Queen to make some provision for him in England, either on the civil list or on the settlement of the guards and garrisons.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 25, 1705."

Annexed is a later memorial (to the Duke of Marlborough.)

Endorsed—"January 31, 1705-6."

SIMON CLEMENT.—Two memorials, almost in the same words as that addressed to the Queen, on page 344 *above*; but fixing the sum asked for at 600*l*. Dated February 2, 1705[-6] and August 9, 1706; the later one being endorsed by Harley as read on the same day.

MATTHEW COUDELL'S Memorial.—Acknowledges gratefully the protection which has afforded him an opportunity of obtaining a licence from the Lord Lieutenant of Ireland to go into that kingdom. But he must produce certain assurance of his having been before Harley, and that Harley does not disapprove of his being in England, if he is to have a licence to go to Ireland. As his only means of subsisting depends on this licence he prays that the said assurance may be given to the Duke of Ormonde.

Endorsed—"February 5, 1705-6."

JERONIMO CLIFFORD.—By an order in Council, made the 9th of July, 1705, Harley was directed to lay petitioner's case and papers relating to the damages he had sustained by the Dutch Government and Company of Surinam before the Queen, which he hopes has been done long ago. Since that time he has several times petitioned the Queen, and sent letters to the Privy Council and to Harley, Mr. Warr and Mr. Lewis, proposing to compound the said damages done him and to dispose of his estate and effects in Holland and Surinam to the Dutch at a moderate price, but has had no answer to his petitions or letters. He prays to know what has been done and to be granted copies of all letters, orders and resolutions passed in his favour since the above mentioned date.

Endorsed—"Received March 23, 1705-6."

Annexed is one of the letters referred to from Clifford to Mr. Warr. Fleet prison, July, 1705.—Asking to be informed when

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

Mr. Harley is likely to lay his case before the Queen again, and to have any letters for him from Mr. Stanhope or anyone else in Holland sent on to him by the bearer.

Endorsed—"Received July 30, 1705."

JOHN BOURK.—Has been a footman for twenty years past to Lord Power and other gentlemen, and being able to speak French waited on three of the French generals as interpreter till they went to France a year ago. Upon their return, in January last, he went to Greenwich, hoping to be employed by some of them as servant, but was seized by Major Churchill and detained as a spy. Prays to be discharged from confinement.

Endorsed—"April 18, 1706."

ROBERT STRONG.—Has by Harley's orders attended here ever since the middle of last April to be evidence for the Queen upon the trial of Mr. James Hannan, whom he had expected to be brought to trial last term, but now understands the same is put off till November next. Being a seafaring man it is very detrimental to him to be detained so long from his business. Prays for an allowance during the time that his farther attendance may be thought necessary, or for a discharge with a consideration for the time he has attended.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 25, 1706."

WILLIAM HOPLEY.—Is condemned to death for a burglary. Is twenty-two years old and but lately come from sea. This is his first offence. Prays for "a reprieve for transportation," or to serve the Queen by sea or land.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received July 7, 1706."

WILLIAM CARRILL.—Having notice that he is to be speedily transported, and being very much indisposed, he prays to be admitted to bail in order to recover his health, giving good security for his surrender on the day appointed.
(July, 1706. Cf. p. 237 above.)

CELIA HAINES.—Her action in the affair for which she is now confined was with a real intent to serve the Government, and was in accordance with Harley's directions. Is a widow and has several children, to support whom her goods have all been sold, and who have not now sixpence to support them in a strange country. Prays to be discharged.
(About 1706.)

Another petition from the same.

Is in a miserable condition, being in a "perishing place" with no bed or victuals or money. Prays to be allowed something

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

where she is or to be sent back where she was before till she can get bail.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received August 24, 1706."

THOMAS ARNOLD.—Lies under sentence of death for being concerned in breaking open the house of Samuel Sambrooke, esquire, being enticed thereunto by one James Hacket, who was evidence against him to save his own life. Has served three campaigns in Flanders under the Duke of Marlborough, and was sent hither for recruits. This is his first fault and nothing was taken from Mr. Sambrooke. Prays for pardon on condition of serving abroad.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received December 11, 1706."

Memorial of CHRISTOPHER, LORD SLANE.—The Duke of Marlborough lately offered him letters to the Earl of Galway with an encouragement to bring over deserters from the enemy; but he is of opinion that this cannot be done unless he first carries a corps into Spain to be a foundation for them to join. He therefore proposes to raise 1,000 or 1,200 men in England or Ireland, or to draft them from the regiments in Ireland, and desires that they may be dragoons, as he has always served in the Horse. This will attract most of the Irish away from the enemy's service.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received 1706, December 20."

DAVID LINDSAY'S Representation.—By the Earl of Mar's intercession the Queen has promised him her bounty when he is at liberty. But by the condition of his pardon he must leave the kingdom within six weeks of the date thereof, and in a foreign country his circumstances will be even more miserable. Prays to be informed of the Queen's pleasure.

Endorsed by Lewis—"Received 1706, December 28."

Also a duplicate of the same.

ROBERT STRONG.—Being required to attend as evidence for the Queen, and being a seafaring man, has been three times of late impressed, and obliged to trouble his bail to appear in order to his being set at liberty, which has been very prejudicial to them, they being tradesmen. Prays for a protection from Harley himself or an order to the Admiralty for it to keep him clear of the Press.

Endorsed—"Received December 30, 1706."

Also another petition praying for an allowance and a renewal of his protection, which will expire the next day. (Undated.)

SARAH HEATH, widow.—Her husband, Topp Heath, was mortally wounded by one John Hoskins, who has been pardoned after conviction. Being left with nine children she prays for the Royal bounty.
(After April, 1706.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

JAMES LE MOYNE.—Was committed to Newgate on the 27 of April, 1705, and continued a close prisoner till the 18 of July, 1706, when he was "ordered to be at large" as other prisoners were. Having no friends in London, he would have inevitably perished had not Mr. Fell, the keeper, ordered him drink and victuals daily at his own charges out of pure pity. He prays, therefore, that Mr. Fell may have full satisfaction and that petitioner may be set at liberty.
(About 1706.)

JACOB HOGEN, chief lieutenant at sea in the service of the King of Sweden.—Was taken up last summer at Portsmouth for a spy by order of Sir John Gibson, put in prison, and then sent on board the *Britannia*, under Sir Cloudesley Shovel, and so, in last July, 1706, aboard the *Association*, where Sir Thos. Dilkes had his flag, and where he remained. Went with the fleet to Lisbon and was there discharged. Prays for consideration. Dated 1707, March 5.

Enclosed is a copy of a letter from Sir Cloudesley Shovel to Sir Geo. Byng, stating that Hogan was sent aboard as a spy, but not having perceived "any such thing," he has discharged him, and desires he may have a free passage home and victuals. *Also*, a certificate of good conduct and discharge from Captain Edmund Loades. Both dated 1706, December 30, *Association*.

Endorsed by Lewis—"Received from Mr. Leyenerona, March 8, 1707, and by Harley—"Kensington, March 9, 1706-7. Read."

ELIZABETH HACKETT.—On behalf of her son, James, aged about twenty, under condemnation of death in Newgate for house-breaking. He has served at sea as carpenter's mate several years in the *Boyn* under Captain Peddar and Lord Hamilton, and in 1702 in the *Plymouth* under Captain Kerton, and in 1703 in the *Ludlow* under Captain Cock, in which he was taken prisoner and confined with a fever upon him at Dunkirk. After his exchange he served with Captain Cow on the *Ranelagh* and Captain Miles on the *Dreadnought*, and at the taking of Ostend. As he is her only son and very penitent, and his father and family have been great sufferers for loyalty, she prays for his transportation.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 4, 1707."

JOHN WILLIAMS' Memorial.—Provided in July last, by Harley's direction, John Jones and John Shuter, printers, to attend in the expedition under Lord Rivers, and proposed fifty shillings a week each for their pay, and 20*l.* more to be advanced, without which they would not have left their families and business. But Mr. St. John in the warrant mentioned that 20*l.* as part of their pay, whereby, without Harley's assistance, they will lose what was intended. They also requested that ten shillings a week

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

might be deducted from their pay for each of their wives, leaving letters of Attorney for that purpose entered at the paymaster's office. The money was deducted but never paid to the women, in spite of petitioner's constant attendance at the said office, and they would have starved if he had not paid them with his own money, amounting to 38*l*. Prays therefore for a letter to Mr. Brydges, the paymaster of the forces, and that the Lord Treasurer may be moved to procure payment. Dated May 28, 1707.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 6, 1707."

CAPTAIN EDMOND CLARKE.—Commanded a store-ship in the reduction of Ireland, and the fifth-rate ship of war *Unity* in the late war, going into the merchant service at the peace. Hoped to have had his command renewed when war broke out again; but not obtaining it he placed all his substance in a ship for the merchant service, which was lost in the late great storm. Prays for provision or employment.

Endorsed by Harley—"Received June 18, 1707."

JAMES LE MOYNE, Newgate.—Is in a miserable condition and unable to withstand the rigours of another winter. He prays therefore that he may be discharged from gaol, or may receive a hearing, or may be allowed a sufficient subsistence; and that in the meanwhile he may be set among the debtors from among the company of criminals, where he is obliged by the keepers to lie both day and night, he being naturally averse to all their knavery and ill company.
(October, 1707.)

SARAH BUTLER, widow of Captain James Butler.—Has presented informations against several persons now under prosecution, but has received no encouragement from the Government. Having petitioned the Queen several times for a continuation of her pension she can get no answer. Prays for consideration and for a supply to enable her to travel home in case the pension is not continued.

(1707 ?)

Also another petition, stating that she is ready to be thrown into gaol by her landlord, and almost in danger of her life for serving the Government.

Annexed, part of an information against Elizabeth Dalton, a receiver of stolen goods.

THOMAS ALDRED.—Returns hearty thanks for granting the discharge of his wife upon bail. Is exposed to great hardships from want of trade, though he has been a "housekeeper" these thirty years in the parish of St. Giles. He is now about to obtain a letter of licence from the creditors of his son (who has been

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

the ruin of petitioner), that he may return from Holland, and thereby remove all occasions of farther trouble. Prays to be accepted as bail for the appearance of his said wife when Harley shall think fit.
(1704-1708.)

RICHARD BURRIDGE and JOHN BRADFORD, printers.—“ Having brought to perfection at great cost and charges a new invention called the *British Annalist*, of which they, to prove themselves the sole projectors, have got it entered into the Signet Office, but this new design finding great encouragement in the world, some ill-minded persons, to hinder the sale thereof, have published hand advertisements about town, as well as advertisements in the *Postman* and *Gazette* without subscribing them by any names, to incense people that the said *British Annalist*, (which is humbly presented now to his honour), is a counterfeit sham thing.” Therefore, petitioners having received great damage through their advertisements, which have baulked the sale of the *British Annalist* very much, “ most humbly crave the favour of commanding an advertisement may be put in the *Gazette* to intimate the *British Annalist* is no counterfeit or sham thing.”

Annexed—

ADVERTISEMENT.—Whereas the Company of Stationers, having a design to frighten people from selling the *British Annalist*, by particular advertisements sent to public houses, as well as inserting the same in the *Postman* and *Gazette*, they have advertised that the broad sheet intituled the *British Annalist*, printed by John Bradford, and which was really presented to her Majesty by Mr. Richard Burridge, is a counterfeit thing; but passing by all their shams of counterfeiting dead men’s almanacs and almanacs with feigned names, which will shortly be exposed to the world in a sixpenny book, that people may not be imposed upon for the future with their shams—this is only to inform all traders whatsoever that they may sell the *British Annalist* without any molestation or trouble, for the author and printer will vindicate and stand by the sellers of the same against all that durst oppose it.—R. Burridge, J. Bradford.

Note, the aforesaid broad sheet, called the *British Annalist*, is to be sold by the aforesaid John Bradford at the Bible, in *Westmoreland Court*, in Bartholomew Close, near West Smithfield. Price 2d., metzo tinto, 1s. 6d., in frames, 2s. 6d.
(1704-1708.)

ANN BROWN, widow. Was lately taken into the custody of Mr. Allen, one of her Majesty’s messengers, upon malicious information given against her. Is of known integrity and of good affection to the Government, and has lost her only employment—

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

that of bringing bacon out of the country to sell at Newgate Market—by being detained in custody. She prays that she may be heard and discharged.
(1704-1708.)

PETER CARNEY.—Is a prisoner in the messenger's hands by virtue of Harley's warrant. Protesting his great age, indispositions, and entire innocence. He prays to be admitted to bail.
(1704-1708.)

CHURCHWARDENS AND OVERSEERS of the parish of St. MARGARET'S, WESTMINSTER.—“The numbers and necessities of the poor of the said parish are so abundantly increased that petitioners are forced to beg the charitable benevolence of pious noble persons.” They pray for relief “against Christmas.”
(1704-1708.)

DEBORAH CLARK, “only daughter of John Melton, author of *The Paradise Lost*.”—Petitioner “is in a very low and destitute condition, but is far more desirous to maintain herself by her care and industry than to be burdensome to any honourable person who generosity might induce to relieve her for the respect had to her late dear father.” Is capable of gaining her living had she a small stock to put her in a way to do so, and humbly prays his Honour to compassionate her distressed condition as shall seem meet.
(1704-1708.)

MARY DAVISON, widow.—Upon the recommendation of Mr. Kirk, (page of the back-stairs to the Queen), to Harley, and his recommendation to the Archbishop of York, she was last year admitted to be one of the maundy women. Her husband served in the army for forty years, and lost three sons in the late war. She is aged and in great necessity, and being again recommended by Mr. Kirk, she prays that Harley will again propose her for the charity.
(1704-1708.)

JEAN GALLES, in behalf of the FRENCH HATMAKERS.—About two years ago Harley procured a stop to the prosecutions at law against them by the English hatmaker master, who wished to hinder them from pursuing their trade. Being now summoned to appear next Monday before the Barons of the Exchequer on account of the former suit, they have recourse to his wonted generosity and charity, and pray that he will procure a protection for them to exercise their trade, which is all they have to keep their families from starving.
(1704-1708.)

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS TO ROBERT HARLEY,
SECRETARY OF STATE, 1704-1708.—*Cont.*

JOHAN ADOLPH KESLER.—His case has already been presented by Count Gallas, his Imperial Majesty's Ambassador. Was the son of a minister at Hamburg and "did support his family by merchandizing; but bringing his family and effects to England his wife and child and the great part of his effects was taken by the French, which reduced your petitioner to such necessity that he was obliged to think of other ways for a livelihood." He obtained a licence from the Master of the Revels to go about the country with a show, and being at Wellingborough he was seized upon pretence that he was a Jesuit, and by order of Justice Freeman was committed to Northampton gaol until four weeks later, when he was discharged at the quarter sessions. But having no money to pay the fees his goods were seized, and during his confinement his licence was run out. Prays for relief and renewal of his licence.
(1705-1708.)

ELIZABETH MASSEY to [ROBERT HARLEY ?]—Petition.—Her husband has been thrown into prison by his creditors, and so is unable to do his business at the customs office. Prays for relief. (1704-1708 ?) *Added in her own hand*: "I pray your Onour, for Christ sake if it be never so small for our want is very great."

EDWARD OWENS.—A letter carrier's place being now vacant at the General Post Office he prays for leave to wait on Sir Thomas Frankland as from Harley.
(1704-1708.)

FRANCIS PERRY.—Was recommended by letter from Mr. Robert Cutler and is known to Councillor Woodhouse. Prays to be recommended to the Lord High Treasurer or Commissioners of Customs for a tidewaiter's place now vacant in the port of London.
(1704-1708.)

JOHN WILLIAMS.—Has managed the affairs of the Queen's printing office nearly twenty years, and prays to be appointed to the office of printer for the service of the Secretaries of State, for printing the *Gazette*, etc., vacant by the death of Mr. Edward Jones.
(1704-1708.)

INDEX.

A.

A——, Mr., 166.
 Aberdeen, shire of, state of parties in, 207.
 Abergavenny:
 town of, grant to, mentioned, 322.
 free school in, 322.
 Abjuration oath. *See* Oaths.
 Abingdon, [Montagu Bertie, 2nd] Earl of, 255.
 Aboyne, [John] Gordon [3rd] Earl of, 207.
 Acadia (Acadie), 76.
 Acton:
 inhabitants of, petition from, 259.
 rector of. *See* Saunders.
 Acts and Bills of Parliament:
 Bill for reducing the numbers of Attorneys, 77.
 Bill for altering the Burning in the cheek for some more effectual punishment, 168.
 Bill for selling Concealed Lands to Lady Carteret, 120.
 Bill for relieving distressed prisoners for debt, 87, 99.
 Bill for the relief of poor debtors, 101.
 Act for the Sale of Forfeited Estates in Ireland, 86.
 Act of 4 Anne to raise money for the younger children of Lord Griffin, 303.
 of Indemnity, 319.
 Statute Jac. I, cap. 5, 67, 68.
 Land Tax Act, 95, 96.
 Bill of Naturalization, 100.
 Act for encouraging importation of Naval Stores from North America, 165, 167, 170.
 a Bill for the more free and impartial proceedings in Parliament, 113.
 Bill for suppressing the growth of Papacy, 67.
 Bill to discover estates given to Popish superstitious uses, 99.
 Bill for Regulating Prisons, 86.
 Act for examining Public Accounts (1701), 158, 159.

Acts and Bills of Parliament—*cont.*

for allowing Quakers to make a solemn affirmation instead of taking the usual oath, 95.
 Act for raising recruits, 167, 189.
 the Salt Bill, 98.
 Bill for making the rivers Stower and Salwarpe navigable, 94.
 Scotch Act for alimient of poor prisoners, 88.
 Scotch Bill for Union with England, 108.
 ratifying the Treaty of Union with Scotland, 292.
 an Act to abolish Smoke Silver, Peter's pence, and common fine payable at the Sheriff's tourn, 52.
 Bill for the carrying on of woollen manufactures by a joint-stock company, 85.
 Acts of Parliament, satirical titles of certain supposed, 62, 63.
 Adair, Sir Robert, petition from, 311.
 Adams:
 Isaac, petitions from, 327, 370.
 Roger, letter from, 169.
 Thomas, case of, mentioned, 89.
 Addison:
 Mr., 188.
 Joseph, letter from, 290.
 Admirals, opinions about, 302.
 Admiral:
 the Lord High, 102, 165, 166, 171, 193, 282, 292, 340. *See also* Denmark, Prince George of.
 —, council of, 111, 117, 318, 324.
 —, —, letter to, 113.
 —, —, petition to, 341.
 —, Commissioner for receiving perquisites of. *See* Dod, John.
 —, proctor of, 166.
 vice, of the Blue, 172.
 vice, of the Red. *See* Carmarthen, Marquis of.
 Admiralty, the, 39, 65, 118, 379.
 Lords Commissioners of, 47, 48, 52, 55, 56, 57, 81, 83, 91, 344.
 —, certificate to, 44.
 —, letters from, 40, 52, 83.
 —, memorial to, 93.

Admiralty, the—cont.

Lords Commissioners of—cont.

—, abuses charged against, 48,
56, 57, 58.1st Commissioner of. *See*

Orford, Earl of

secretary of, 59, 81.

solicitor of, 60.

law charges of, 60.

Admiralty, Court of, 116, 139; 148,
149, 150, 153, 162, 163, 166,
170, 175, 180, 181, 193, 200,
201, 221, 302, 369.advocate of. *See* Oldys.Judge of, 193. *See* Hedges, Sir
Charles.

records of, 276.

sessions of, 318.

at Brest, 37.

Admiralty Office, documents dated

at, 34, 103, 121, 122, 142,

147, 148, 149, 187, 189, 192,

199, 209, 215, 224, 282, 284,

297, 305, 341, 350.

chief clerk of, 59.

Advocate-General. *See* Cooke, Sir
J.

African Company, the, 38, 39.

— of Scotland, 64, 175, 179,
255.African House, the Royal, Deputy-
Governor of. *See* Pender.

Aghrim, battle of, 323, 349.

Aglionby, Richard, 195.

Agnew, of Lochnaw, 204.

Airlie [David] Ogilvy, [3rd] Earl
of, 206, 207.

Akerhielm, Samuel, 166, 303.

Albany, in New York Province, 73.

Albemarle:

[George Monk, 1st] Duke of,
petitions to, 6, 7.[Arnold van Keppel, 1st] Earl
of, 88, 193, 351.

Aldred, Thomas, petition from, 381.

Aldridge, Doctor, 280.

Alehouses, 6.

Algiers, English merchants of, 362.

Alicante, 250.

Alienation Office, the, 126.

Aliens, protest against free immi-
gration of, 22.

Allardt, Mr., of Dunkirk, 239.

Allegre, Marquis d', letter to, 305.

Allemonde, —, 39, 45, 302.

Allen:

Captain, 241.

Mr., a Queen's messenger, 382.

Philip, 193, 194.

Sir Richard, 69.

Allgood, Robert, deputy Commis-
sioner of Musters, 200.

Alsop, Lieut. Marmaduke, 189.

Ambassadors, Envoys, &c.:

Danish, in England. *See* Rosen-
crantz.Dutch, in England, 107. *See*
Beuningen Vryberge.of the Emperor, to England.
See Gallas, Count. Primoli.

Ambassadors, Envoys, &c.—cont.

English, at Berlin. *See* Raby,
Lord.—, at Copenhagen. *See*
Vernon, Mr.

—, at Florence, 68.

—, to France, 23.

—, to the Hague, 159, 359.

—, to the Pope. *See* Castle-
maine.—, (Consul-General) in Por-
tugal. *See* Earle, John.

—, to Spain, 23.

—, to Turkey. *See* Paget, Lord.—, in Sweden. *See* Robin-
son, Thomas.

French, at Ryswick, 50.

from Morocco, signs document,
342.

—, letter from, 290.

Polish, in England, 252.

Prussian, to England. *See*
Spanheim.from Savoy. *See* Briançon, Count.
from Sweden, 259. *See* Leyon-
crona.

Amberley, co. Hereford, 5.

America, 343.

French in, 73.

governors of plantations in,
64.Indians of, their relations with
English Colonies, 73.North, postal service to, 147,
170.

potash imported from, 167.

proposed company for trading
to, 164, 165.

Amsterdam, 166, 201.

the Schepens of, 20.

Amya, David, of Gothenburg, peti-
tion from, 323.

Amye, Mr. Doctor, 2.

Anamabo, English factory at, 166.

Anderson, James, writer to the
Signet in Scotland, 277.Andros, Sir Edmund, letter from,
157.

Angers, 122, 183, 184.

Angus, [now Forfar], shire of, state of
parties in, 206.Annandale, [William Johnstone,
1st] Marquis of, 105, 203,
250, 254, 255, 259, 263, 316,
317.

Annandale, shire of, 373.

stewartry of, state of parties
in, 203.Anne, Queen, 104, 105, 107, 108,
109, 110, 113, 117, 120-123,
127, 128, 130, 131, 148-152,
155, 162, 163, 165-167, 170,
171, 173, 175, 180-184, 189,
193-195, 198, 200, 201, 209,
210, 212, 216, 217, 220, 221,
224-227, 229, 236, 237, 239,
242, 243, 247, 253, 255, 256,
263, 264, 267, 282, 285, 287,
290, 298, 300-302, 312, 313,
315-317.

- Anne, Queen—*cont.*
 address of thanks to, 210.
 as princess, 93.
 letter to, 293.
 memorials to, 171, 176.
 petitions to, 115, 158-161, 270,
 297, 318-367, *passim*.
 petition to, mentioned, 238.
 reports to, 192, 284.
 speech of, to Parliament, men-
 tioned, 298.
- Anstruther (Ernstruther), of
 . Anstruther, 206.
- Antigua, 185, 236.
 Lieutenant-Governor of. *See*
 Yeamans, Colonel John.
 collector of Customs at. *See*
 Bolton, Henry.
- Antwerp, 159.
 "Zyde Lakenen," in, 159.
- Apollinaire, Père, 161.
- Appeal, Lords of, 147, 148, 194, 369.
- Appleby, Great and Little, manors
 of, 326.
 Corporation of, address of, 237.
- Appleby:
 Francis, 326.
 —, Margaret daughter of,
 326.
- Arbuthnott, Robert Arbuthnott,
 [4th] Viscount, 206.
- Archangel, in Russia, 148.
- Archer, Mr., memorial from, 173.
- Arco, Marshal the Count d', certi-
 ficate of, 346.
- Argyll, [John Campbell, 2nd] Duke
 of, 204, 251, 256, 259, 262.
 speech of, reported, 260.
- Argyll, shire of, state of parties in,
 204.
- Arlington, [Henry Bennett,] Earl of,
 13.
- Armand, Francois, 149.
- Armenians, 149.
- Armstrong (Armstrange), of Apple-
 girth, 204.
- Army, the:
 abuses in, 308.
 accounts of, Comptrollers of,
 Secretary to. *See* Cart-
 wright, Captain.
 debate on Papacy in, 16, 17.
 Marshall of, 194.
 Master of Horse, Aveners's
 place under, 365.
 regiments in. *See under* Regi-
 ments.
 state of, during Interregnum, 9.
- Arnald, William, signs document,
 352.
- Arnall, Benjamin, petition from,
 237.
- Arnold:
 Mr., 297.
 Thomas, 273.
 —, petition from, 379.
- Arris, Captain, 103.
- Arundel, 102.
 letter dated at, 104.
- Asgill, John, letter from, 297.
- Ashburnham, Sir William, 304.
- Ashbury, Joseph, 358.
- Ashby, Sir John, 35.
- Ashurst, Sir Henry, 69, 165.
- Ashton, William, memorial from,
 274.
- Askham, Dingley, under-sheriff of
 Cambridge and Huntingdon,
 letter from, 98.
- Assigny, Marius d', letter from, 172.
- Assurance, the, 105.
- Aston, Sir Willoughby, 184.
- Atchisons*, Scotch coins called, 49.
- Athlone, [Godart de Ginckel, 1st]
 Earl of, 95, 99.
- Athol, [John Murray, 1st] Duke of,
 Lord Commissioner to the
 General Assembly of Scot-
 land, 194, 205, 255, 256, 259,
 264.
- Atkins:
 Captain Jonathan, 300.
 Mary, letter from, 300.
 Sir Robert, Lord Chief Baron,
 151.
- Atterbury, Doctor Francis, 280.
 criticism of, 253.
- Attorney-General, the, 120, 165,
 166, 229, 264, 271, 303, 325,
 336, 338. *See also* Northey,
 Sir Edward. Prideaux, Edmund.
- Atwood:
 —, 98
 Leigh, 230, 231.
 William, governor of New
 York, 201.
- Aussy, Captain Peter d', petitions
 from, 323, 377.
- Austin:
 Sir Robert, 305.
 Thomas, letters from, 305.
- Austria, Archduke (Prince) Charles
 of, (afterwards called Charles
 III of Spain), 250, 300.
- Aversberg, Comte de, 68.
- Avocatories, the, 148.
- Axtell's case*, used as a precedent,
 25.
- Aylesbury prisoners, the, 325.
- Ayloff, Benjamin, letter from, 350.
- Ayr, shire of, 203, 373.

B

- Babee*, Scotch coin called, 49.
- Baber, John, petition from, 358.
- Backton, co. Hereford, 140.
- Backwell, Leigh, letter from, 296.
- Bagnall:
 Ann, petition from, 34.
 Dudley, 31.

- Bagnaluke, in Bosnia, 336.
 Bagott :
 Ellinor, petition from, 356.
 John, 356.
 Bailey, George, of Gerviswood,
 251, 256.
 Baily, Mr., letters from, 312, 313.
 Baird. *See* Biard.
 Baker :
 —, 242.
 Colonel, his regiment, 67.
 Mr., 349.
 Balcarres, [Colin] Lindsay, [3rd]
 Earl of, 206.
 Baldwin, Mr., 94.
 Balfour of Burleigh, [Robert, 5th]
 Lord, 206.
 Balincourt, Col. de, letter from,
 199.
 Baliol, John, 262.
 Ball, Richard, 326.
 Ballantine, Lord [1704], 205.
 Ballard, Sophia, petition from, 370
 Ballfour :
 Lieutenant, 358.
 Mary, petition from, 358.
 Balmerino, [John] Elphinstone, [3rd]
 Lord, 206.
 Baltic, the, 192.
 Bancks, William, 346.
 Banestre, William, certificate by,
 121.
 Banff, [George] Ogilvy [4th] Lord,
 207.
 Banff, shire of, 374.
 state of parties in, 207.
 Bangole, in Guinea, 175.
 Bank of England, the, 243, 278,
 280, 365.
 Bank, proposed scheme for a, 365.
 Bankes, Banks :
 B., letter from, 286.
 William, 115.
 —, queries of, 188.
 Bannister, Mr. Sergeant, 185.
 Bantry, 233.
 Bara, Mons., 239.
 Barbadoes, 38, 71, 236, 258, 284,
 337.
 letters from, mentioned, 235.
 early history of, 7.
 postal charge to, 147.
 Barbaria, —, secretary of, 372.
 Barbary, 146.
 coasts of, 245.
 Barcelona, 126, 227, 344, 346, 352.
 action at, 137.
 siege of, 238.
 Barclay, Alexander, petition from,
 338.
 Barfleur [Edward Russell] Viscount,
 preamble to patent of
 creation, 48.
 Barfoord, Captain, of the *Guldenew*,
 Danish frigate, 43.
 Bargamy [William] Hamilton, [3rd]
 Lord, 203.
 Barker, Mr., Queen's Remembrancer
 of the Exchequer, 161.
 Robert, 364.
 Barnes, Elias, certificate of, 211,
 212.
 Barrett *or* Barret :
 Mr., 232, 328.
 John, petition from, 328.
 Barrow, Isaac, bishop of St. Asaph, 18.
 Barry, —, 226.
 Barrymore, Lord, his regiment, 342.
 Basse, Henry, 168.
 Bassett, Richard, 3.
 Bastile, the. *See under* Paris.
 Bateman, Thomas, petitions from
 81, 358.
 [Bateman, Thomas?], letter from,
 129.
 Bateson, John, petition from, 369.
 Bath (the Bath), 107, 110, 117, 270.
 Bath :
 [John Granville, 1st] Earl of,
 his son, 31.
 Randolph, letter from, 307.
 Battalee, Mr., 314.
 Bavaria, (Duke) Elector of, 346.
 —, his troops, 182.
 Bauwens, P., letter from, 293.
 Baxter, John, petition from, 340.
 Bayard, Colonel, 98.
 Bayly, James, petitions from, 340,
 341.
 of Laington, 204.
 Beachy Head, battle off, Admiral
 Torington's behaviour at, 30,
 31, 32.
 Beaumont, Theodore, petition from,
 345.
 Beard, Captain Robert, petition
 from, 311.
 Beauford, Beauford. *See* Lovat,
 (Simon Fraser,) Lord.
 Beaumont :
 Rear Admiral Basil, 198.
 Elizabeth, 198.
 Anne Henrietta, 198.
 Catherine Arabella, 198.
 Christiana, 198.
 Beckman, Martin, certificate from,
 55.
 Bedford, 192.
 Bedford, [Wriothesley Russell, 2nd]
 Duke of, 162.
 Bedwin [Great], co. Wilts., 28.
 report on the election at, 311.
 Beechley, [co. Glouce.], the surveyor of,
 84.
 Beeston, Sir William, 82.
 Belasyse (Bellassis) :
 [John Belasyse, 1st] Lord, 16.
 Sir Henry, 109.
 Belhaven, [John Hamilton, 2nd]
 Lord, 205, 259, 262, 263.
 —, speech of, reported, 261.
 Bell, John, letter from, 154.
 Belleville, in Beaujolais, curate of.
 See Malard, Michael.
 Bellisle, M. de, 144.
 Bellomont, Richard [Coote, 1st] Earl
 of, 46, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 98.
 Captain Kidd's charges against,
 79.

- Bellmont, Richard [Coote, 1st] Earl of—*cont.*
 letters from, 69, 70, 71, 72, 74.
 memorial from, 37.
 petition from, 35.
- Bemand:
 Edward, petition from, 368.
 Thomas, 368.
- Benbow (Bembo), Rear Admiral [John], 72, 343.
- Bengal, 149.
- Bennett or Bennet:
 Jos., letter from, 64.
 William, 332.
 Mr., 253.
 Mr., a justice of Shaftesbury, 93.
 of Grubett, 203.
- Benson, Captain, 211.
- Bentley, William, 273.
 —, petition from, 345.
- Berenson, Rasmus, master of the *Meurmeester*, 151.
- Bergen, 129.
- Berkeley, [George Berkeley, 1st] Earl, 41, 45.
- Berkshire assizes, 350.
- Berrington, letters dated at, 91, 92, 116, 117.
- Berthon, Paul, 164.
- Bertie, Charles, letter from, 161.
- Berwick, [James Fitzjames], Duke of, his regiment, 181.
- Berwick:
 deputy-governor of. *See* Nott, Major.
 letter dated at, 277.
 mayor of. *See* Nealson.
 postmaster of, 174.
- Bestoso, Jeronimo, petition from, 327.
- Bethune (Bettune), of Balfour, 206.
- Betts, Isaac, petition from, 77.
- Beuningen, Heer van, late ambassador from the States General, 19, 20.
- Bevan, Daniel, petition of, mentioned, 211.
 —, certificate of, 212.
 —, letter to, 211.
- Beverley, co. Yorks., letter dated at, 100.
- Beverley, Mr., 187.
- Bewdley, bailiff and burgesses of, petition from, 353.
- Biard [Baird], of Achmedan, 207.
- Bickerstaffe, Sir Charles, his case, 65.
- Bilbao, 201, 235.
- Billers, John, articles of complaint against, 285.
- Billing, Samuel, Mayor of Coventry, signs petition, 329.
- Billingsby, Captain, 133.
- Billop, Christopher, 276.
 —, signs certificate, 112.
- Birch, Colonel [John], misconduct of his troops in Herefordshire, 5.
- Biron, Richard, letter from, 149.
- Bishops, the, 116.
 sequestration of lauds of during Interregnum, 5.
 the Seven, imprisonment of, 371.
- Blackborough, [co. Norf.], priory of, 1.
- Blackburne, Robert, letters from, 127, 236.
 —, petitions from, 321, 342.
- Blackstakes, letter dated at, 175.
- Blackstones, the, 135.
- Blagny, Lamothe, 363.
- Blair, of Blair, 203.
- Blankenburg, 359.
- Blankett, William, 276.
- Blantyre, Walter Stewart, [6th] Lord, 204.
- Blanzac, Mons., letters from, 224, 237.
- Bla'hwaite, Mr., 108.
- Blencow or Blencowe:
 John, letter from, 140.
 William, letters from, 218, 234.
- Blenheim, battle of, 321, 326.
- Blick, Giles, affidavit of, 115.
- Bliss, Thomas, letter from, 214.
- Blower, Captain Humphry, 52.
- Boarman, Richard, 361.
- Bobin, Isaac, letter from, 234, 291.
 —, memorial from, 231.
- Bodle, Scotch coin called, 49.
- Bohemia, 364.
- Bois, Mons. de, 173.
- Bolter, Mr., 86.
- Bolton:
 —, 62.
 [Charles Pawlet, 2nd] Duke of, his regiment, 347.
 Henry, an accomplice of Kidd, his information, 82.
- Books. *See also* Pamphlets.
Baker's Chronicle, 297.
Ce mois dernier de Mars, 176.
Chamberlayne's Present State of England, 354.
Crosfield's England's Glory Reviv'd, 115.
Dampier's Voyages, 276.
Gentili's De jure belli, 120.
Grotius' De promissis, 120.
Nephthali, 371.
Paradise Lost, 383.
Two Campaigns in one Panegyric Essay, 201.
- Booth or Boothe:
 Sir George, 11.
 Nathaniel, letter from, 126.
 —, petition from, 343.
- Bordeaux, 116, 128, 135, 139, 151, 215, 368.
- Borders, the, 269.
- Borrett, William, solicitor to the Treasury, 152.
 —, letters from, 166, 170, 177, 238, 271, 273.
- Boscawen, Mr., 214.
- Bosnia, Reformed Church of, 336.
- Boston, in New England, 78, 333.
 letters dated at, 69, 70, 71, 72.

- Bothwell Bridge, "insurrection" of, 371.
- Boucher:
James, letters from, 226, 230, 236.
Elizabeth, petitions from, 333, 376.
- Bougis, Marquis de, 291.
- Boulogne, 304.
- Bourdieu, M. de, chaplain at Stockholm, 167, 232.
- Bourk, John, petition from, 378.
- Bourke, Brigadier, his regiment, 181.
- Bourlier, Matturin, petition from, 339.
- Bourn, William, case of, mentioned, 89.
- Bowen, William, petition from, 358.
—, William son of, 358.
- Bowens, Rechter, of Ostend, 239.
- Bower, Mr., tutor to Lord Dupplin's son, 256.
- Bowrey, Thomas, letter from, 278.
—, signs petition, 320.
- Boyne, the, battle of, 349.
—, —, mentioned, 34.
- Boyse, John, petition from, 356.
- Bracebridge Mr., justice of the peace for Warwickshire, 124.
- Braddoe, L., letter from, 32.
- Bradford:
[Francis Newport, 1st] Earl of, 253.
John, petition from, 382.
Mr., 376.
Mrs., 376.
—, 260.
- Bradish, Joseph, a pirate, 68, 70, 71, 76.
- Bradley, Captain Thomas, 244, 245.
- Bradshaw, —, 10.
- Brampton [Bryan], co. Hereford, 37.
letter addressed to, 110.
- Bramston, Dr. George, letters from, 139, 166, 181, 189, 213, 219, 221, 237.
—, report of, mentioned, 201.
- Brande:
Anthony, Count, petition from, 336.
—, Mary, sister of, 336.
- Brandenburg regiment, the, 159, 160, 161.
- Bray, co. Berks, 120.
- Brayne, John, letters from, 182, 229.
- Breadalbane, [John] Campbell, [1st] Earl of, 205.
- Brecon, county of, 199.
—, gentlemen of, 185.
- Breda, Treaty of, 20.
- Breglio (Breslo), in Piedmont, letter dated at, 291.
- Breholt, Captain John, petition from, 330.
- Brereton, Edward, 200.
letters from, 170, 198.
- Brerewood, —, 174.
- Brest, 38, 47, 48, 135, 183, 231, 287.
Court of Admiralty at, 37.
Intendant at *See under* Roberts, Mr.
operations against, 41, 42.
- Bret, Mr., 279.
- Breton (Bretton), Paul, 164, 180, 332.
letter from, 181.
petition from, 369.
- Brewar, Col., his regiment, petition from serjeants, etc. of, 366.
- Briangon, Count Envoy from Savoy, 210, 307.
- Bridge, Daniel, 33.
- Bridger, Mr., 165.
- Bridges:
Daniel, 296.
Marshall, 155.
Mr., paymaster of the Forces, 190, 381.
Mr., 367.
- Bridport, co. Dorset, 28.
- Brie, James Le Moyne de, 273, 297. —
letter from, 244.
petitions from, 380, 381.
- Briggs, Dr. William, petition of, 51.
- Bright, John, certifies document, 221.
- Brightland, Mr., 305.
- Brill, intelligence from, 108.
- Brimston Hill, St. Christopher's, 228.
- Brissom Rocks, the, [Land's End], 171.
- Bristol, 28, 218, 228.
castle of, 8, 9.
corporation of, 21.
letters dated at, 43, 185.
Officers of Customs at, sign petition, 84.
a tideman at, petition concerning, 84.
a ship of, 232.
- British Annalist*, the, 382.
- British Seas, the, 50.
- Broad Waters, near Kidderminster, letter dated at, 167.
- Brocas, Mr., his son-in-law. *See* Lamouline, Captain.
- Brodie, of Brodie, 207.
- Brome, Captain John, 117, 118.
- Bronley:
Mr., 84.
—, 253.
- Brommich, Col. J., his conduct at siege of Gloucester, 4.
- Bromwell, Captain, 76.
- Bron, Mr., 363.
- Brooke:
Captain Samuel, 7.
—, Jane, widow of, petition from, 7.
- Brotherton, Mr., 43, 61, 63.
- Brown:
Ann, petition from, 382.
James or John, 189, 191.

- Brown—*cont.*
 Dr. Thomas, master of Pembroke Hall, Cambridge, 327.
 —, a messenger, 376.
 of Bishoptown, 204.
- Browne:
 Colonel Ulick, petition from, 355.
 Dr. Jos., letter from, 229.
- Bruce:
 Colonel James, 331, 374.
 of Broomhall, 249.
 of Clackmannan, 206.
 of Kinross, 206.
 Lady Mary, of Ochiltree, 249.
 Brussels, document dated at, 346.
 Bruyas, a Jesuit, 76.
 Brydall, Walter, 364.
- Brydges:
 J., signs letter, 148.
 Mr., paymaster of the forces.
See Bridges.
- Buccleugh, [Anna] Scott, Duchess of, 203, 205.
- Buchan:
 [David] Erskine, [9th] Earl of, 205, 207.
 of Achmacoy, 207.
- Buchan, shire of, state of parties in, 207.
- Buchanan, of Sound and Sanside, 208.
- Buckeridge, —, 242, 243.
- Buckingham [George Villiers, 2nd] Duke of, 10, 12.
- Buckley:
 Samuel, letter from, 245.
 Mr., 91.
- Budge, R., case of, 302.
- Buenos Ayres, ships of, 154.
- Burches:
 George, 332.
 Hugh, 332.
- Burchett, Josiah, 53.
 letters from, 103, 142, 147, 149, 187, 189, 199, 209, 282, 297, 305, 350.
 letters to, 103, 178, 189, 197, 199, 209.
 signs documents, 192, 216, 284.
- Burdet, Richard, petition from, 77.
- Burgh, Captain, 95.
- Burke, —, 62, 82.
- Burleigh, Ferdinando, 164.
- Burnaby, H., letter from, 164.
- Burnet:
 Gilbert, bishop of Salisbury, 254.
 —, certificate from, 212.
 of Leyes, 207.
- Burridge, Richard, petition from, 382.
- Burrington, near Plymouth, letter dated at, 186.
- Bursledon, [co. Hants.], 57.
- Bury (St. Edmunds), co. Suff., 12.
- Bushe, Arthur, petition from, 101.
- Bushell, Richard, petition from, 358.
- Bute, [Sir James Stuart, 1st] Earl of, 204, 319.
- Bute, shire of, state of parties in, 204.
- Butler:
 Bridget, petition from, 77.
 Captain, 130.
 Captain James, 323, 345, 381.
 Captain T., 132.
 —, letter from, 133.
 Richard, memorial from, 274.
 Sarah, petitions from, 323, 345, 381.
 William, 282.
 —, letters from, 230, 231, 246, 281, 283.
 —, petition from, 355.
 Mr., 241.
- Butts, John, English Consul in Denmark, memorial from, 370.
- Byfeld, Thomas, and Co., petition from, 335.
- Bykoff, Daniel, 282.
- Byng, Vice-Admiral Sir George, 172, 183, 189, 192, 284, 313.
 letter from, 190.
 letter to, 380.
- C.
- Cabal, the, 62.
- Cabinet, or Cabinet Council, the, 37.
- Cadiz, 37, 109, 111, 153, 154, 195, 287, 302.
 letter dated at, 46.
- Cadogan, William, signs document, 322.
- Caesar, —, of Simond's Inn, 325.
- Caithness, shire of, 374.
 member for, 253.
 state of parties in, 208.
- Calais, 226.
 Commissary of. *See* Châteauneuf, Mons.
 intelligence from, 125, 126.
- Calamy, E., letter from, 297.
- Calder, of Murton, 207.
- Calenbourg, —, a Dutch Admiral, 143.
- Canarett Bay, 41.
 descent on, mentioned, 55.
- Cambridge, letter dated from, 98.
- Cambridgeshire, deputy lieutenant of.
See Willis, Sir Thomas.
- Lord lieutenant of. *See* Bedford, Duke of.
- High Sheriff of, 98.
- Under Sheriff of. *See* Askham, Dingley.

- Cambridge University, 62.
 Pembroke Hall, 327.
 —, senior fellow of. *See* Lloyd, Robert Lumley.
 —, master of. *See* Brown, Dr.
- Cameron, of Lochiel, 208.
 Cameronian regiment, victory of, 372.
 Cameronians, the, 312, 313, 371, 372, 373. *And see* Houston, William, fraternity of.
- Camisards, the, 136, 181, 212.
- Camocke, Captain George, letter from, 232.
- Campbell :
 Sir Alex., of Cesnock, 204, 251, 256.
 Colin, of Glendaruel, 123.
 Duncan, signs document, 342.
 of Auchinbreck, 204.
 of Calder, 207.
- Canada, governor of, 76.
- Canaries, the, 128, 244, 348.
- Canterbury, Archbishops of :
 (William Sancroft), 232.
 (Thomas Tenison), 81.
- Cantiran, Madame Catherine d'Espoy de, memorandum of, 209.
 Marc Antony, son of, 209.
 Susan, daughter of, 209.
- Cany, gold mines of, 343.
- Capel, [Arthur Capel, 1st] Lord, 325.
- Capell, Sir Henry, 33.
 speech by, 18.
- Cape Clear, 53.
- Cape Coraine, 234.
- Cape Finisterre, 133, 293.
- Cape Florida, 53.
- Cape of Good Hope, 66, 175, 215, 265.
- Cape Hogue, 53.
- Cape Ortegal, 293.
- Cape Roxent, 342.
- Cape St. Mary, 36.
- Cape St. Vincent, 50, 107.
- Cape Spartel, 342.
- Cardonnel, Mr., 217.
- Carew :
 George, 7, 19.
 Sir Nicholas, motion of, in Parliament, 15.
- Carey or Cary :
 Charles, 289.
 Captain Edward, 322.
- Carleton, Bishop, 346.
- Carlisle, [James Hay, 1st] Earl of, 7.
- Carlisle, Mayor of, 195.
- Carmarthen, [Peregrine Osborne], 2nd Marquis of, 41, 42, 113, 180, 324.
 memorial from, 293.
 —, mentioned, 192.
- Carney, Peter, petition from, 383.
- Carolina, 335.
- Carr :
 Robert, letter from, 217.
 William, letters from, 208, 217.
 —, letter to, 217.
 of Carsland, 206.
- Carribbee Islands, the, 13, 172.
 governor of. *See* Codrington, Colonel.
- Carriek and Kile, bailiwick of, state of parties in, 203.
- Carrill, William, *alias* Alphonso, letters from, 216, 225, 226, 237.
 petitions from, 376, 378.
- Carstares, Rev. William, 281, 299.
 letters from, 103, 104, 105, 106, 110, 111, 113, 114, 128, 141, 150, 250, 271, 292, 294, 295, 297, 309, 314, 315, 316, 317.
 signs proclamation, 276.
- Cartagena, 284, 300.
- Carteret :
 Sir George, grant to, mentioned, 120.
 Lady, 120.
- Carthaginians, the, 138.
- Cartwright, Captain, 187.
- Caseynkoff, Daniel, 282.
- Cason, Robert, 39.
- Caspers, Henry, examination of, 163, 164.
- Cassilis, [John] Kennedy, [8th] Earl of, 203.
- Cassills, Robert, letter from, 225.
 "Castle Delmine," 166.
- Castlehaven, 232.
- Castlemaine, [Roger Palmer], Earl of, his defence of his embassy to the Pope, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.
- Castleton :
 [George Saunderson, 5th] Viscount 34.
 Nathaniel, Comptroller of the Penny Post, letter to, 305.
- Catalonia, 213, 342.
- Catalonians, the, (Catalans), 137.
- Catheart, [Alan] Catheart, [6th] Lord, 203.
- Catharine of Braganza, wife of Charles II, (afterwards Queen Dowager) council of, clerk of. *See* Lacy, Patrick.
- Cave, Clempson, Captain, letter from, 155.
- Chamberlain, Lord, 99, 117. *See* Kent, Duke of. Shrewsbury, Duke of.
- Chamberlain :
 Dr., 234, 235, 291.
 —, letter to, 234.
 Justice, 289.
- Chamberlayne, John, secretary to the governors of Queen Anne's Bounty, memorial from, 200.
 John, petition from, 354.
- Chamberlen, P., letter from, 87.
- Chambers, Arthur, 273.
- Chamellin, M. de, 144.
- Champneys, Mr., 90.
- Chanagnac, Mons. de, 228.
- Chancellor, Lord, 1, 2, 69, 72, 75. *See also* Somers, Lord.
- Chancery, Court of, 63, 302, 330.
 compared to the Inquisition, 215.
- Channel, the. *See* English Channel.
- Chaplains, one of the Queen's. *See* Carstares, William.
- Chapman :
 Elizabeth, petition from, 370.
 William, 337.

- Charenton, Temple of, 334.
 Charlbury, co. Oxon., 330.
 Charlemont, [William, 2nd] Viscount,
 his regiment, 344, 362.
 Charles I, King, 325, 360, 365, 375,
 Charles II, King, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21,
 26, 127, 330, 341, 347, 355, 361,
 365, 371, 375.
 grant of, 359.
 —, mentioned, 120, 276.
 linen draper to. *See* Stuckey,
 Valentine.
 petitions to, 7, 14, 15, 20.
 Surveyor of mews to. *See* Norton,
 Colonel.
 Château-neuf, Mons., Commissary of
 Calais, 239.
 Chatham, co. Kent, 29, 53, 57.
 document dated at, 278.
 commission of the navy at, 149.
 Chatham Chest, the, 55, 56.
 Chavagnac, Marquis de, 235, 236.
 Chelmsford, 247.
 Chelsea, 108.
 Cheque, Clerks of the, one of the. *See*
 under Sharpe, William.
 Cherbourg, 44, 45.
 Cheshire, 280.
 Chester, letter dated at, 213.
 Chief Justice of. *See* Jekyll.
 Mayor of. *See* Puleston, Edward,
 Recorder of. *See* Comberbatch,
 Roger.
 Chester, Bishop of :
 Nicholas Stafford, endorses docu-
 ment, 332.
 Chief Baron, the Lord. *See* Exchequer.
 Chief Justice, the Lord, 165, 247, 362.
 See Holt.
 Chigwell, letter dated at, 237.
 Chilbourn, Percival, 155.
 Child or Childe :
 John, 352.
 Sir Francis, 63.
 —, signs petition, 259.
 Sir Lacon William, Master in
 Chancery, affidavit sworn
 before, 213.
 Chillcot, Edward, his case, 92, 93.
 Chippenham, letter dated at, 210.
 Choisseul, Mons., 228.
 Cholmley, Brigadier, 255, 256.
 Cholmondly, Francis, 184.
 Churchill :
 Admiral George, 376.
 —, letters from, 102, 296.
 —, signs documents, 148, 216,
 318.
 John, report of, 225.
 Lieutenant-General, 117.
 Major, 378.
 Mr., 344.
 William, memorial from, 296.
 Church of England, the, 156, 232, 250,
 251, 264, 323, 362.
 clergy of, 323.
 —, their ignorance, illustrated,
 3, 6.
 changes in, during the Common-
 wealth, 4.
 Church of England, the—*cont.*
 relation of Nonconformists to,
 14, 15.
 Church of Scotland. *See under* Scot-
 land.
 Cicill, or Sisell, an Irishman, 218.
 Clackmannanshire, 373.
 state of parties in, 205.
 Clanronald, Captain of the. *See*
 McDonald.
 Clapham [co. Surrey], letter dated at, 33.
 Clare :
 Lord, Major-General, his regiment,
 181.
 Robert, petition from, 376.
 Clarendon, [Edward Hyde, 1st] Earl
 of, his History, 330.
 brings information against Sir
 Robert Harley, 13.
 Clarges, [Sir] Thomas, letter from, 34.
 Clark or Clarke :
 Deborah, petition from, 383.
 Captain Edmond, petition from,
 381.
 Francis, memorandum by, 197.
 George, letters from, 103, 121, 122;
 —, letter to, 103.
 Sir Symon, petition from, 359.
 Captain Thomas, 72.
 Claverhouse. *See* Dundee, Viscount.
 Clay, Robert, signs certificate, 87.
 Clayton, —, 122.
 Cleasby, Captain, of the *Northumber-*
 land, 187.
 Cleland, of Cleland, 204.
 Clement :
 Simon, memorials from, 277, 344,
 377.
 —, —, mentioned, 296.
 Mr., 74.
 Clements, Captain, 324.
 Clere, Mons. de, 228.
 Clieand, Colonel, 372.
 Clifford, Jeronimo, petition from, 377;
 Clifton, Sir Gervas, 34.
 Cloth, report on manufacture of, 2.
 Clun, [co. Salop], 64.
 Clutterbuck, Sir William, Mayor of
 Bristol, 21.
 Clydesdale, shire of, 373.
 Coal, duty on, towards the fund for
 rebuilding St. Paul's Cathedral,
 81.
 imported, revenue raised from,
 301.
 price of, 129.
 Cochran :
 Lady Mary, petition from, 347.
 William, petition from, 347.
 Cock, Captain, 380.
 Cockburn :
 of Langton, 203.
 Mr., of Ormistoun, late treasurer-
 depute in Scotland, 105, 205,
 316.
 Cockpit, the. *See* Westminster.
 Codrington, General, (Colonel), governor
 of the Leeward Islands, 78, 82,
 228.
 articles against, 95.

- Cogi Baba, 149.
 Coins and Coinage :
 account of Scotch, 49.
 re-coining in 1695 and 1696, 120.
 of half-pence and farthings, 361, 365.
 Coke, T., letter from, 221.
 Colby, Thomas, senior, his case, 55.
 Colchester, corporation for making "bays" at, 3.
 Cole :
 Captain, 255.
 John, of Kingston-on-Thames, petition from, 359.
 Coleman, Thomas, 7.
 Colepepper :
 Thomas, 90.
 W., his brother, 90.
 Coleraine, [Henry Hare, 2nd] Lord, 28.
 Coleshill, co. Warwick, 123.
 Colesse, —, 224.
 Colinge, Richard, yeoman usher of the House of Lords, petition from, 321.
 College, Mrs., 360.
 Colleton, Sir Peter, letter to, 39.
 Collier :
 Mr., 279.
 Mrs., 255.
 Col. Thomas, Lieut., Governor of Jersey, letter from, 198.
 Collings, William, witnesses petition, 129.
 Collingwood, Mr., 364.
 Collins, Paul, letter from, 98.
 Colquhoun (Colwhon), of Luss, 204.
 Colt :
 Sir Henry Dutton, letter from, 225.
 —, Harry, nephew of, 225.
 —, —, letters from, 270, 289.
 Mr., 84.
 Colwhon, of Luss. *See* Colquhoun.
 Comberbatch, Roger, Recorder of Chester, letter from, 215.
 Combes, James, petition from, 188.
 Commissioners, the. *See* Ecclesiastical Commission, Court of. Public Accounts, Commissioners of. Customs, Commissioners of. Prizes, Commissioners of. Navy, Commissioners of. Trade, and Plantations, Commissioners of. Sick and Wounded, Commissioners of.
 Committees, Parliamentary. *See* under Commons, House of. Parliament.
 Common Brewery, the, 314.
 Common Informers, 56.
 Common Pleas, the Court of, 20.
 Common Prayer, book of, Nonconformist attitude towards, 15.
 Commons, House of, 32, 51, 69, 70, 71, 72, 84, 95, 97, 100, 161, 174, 283, 288, 292, 294, 298, 325, 349, 357, address of, to the Queen, 160. addresses of, the Queen's answer to, 96, 97, 99. address to, 21.
 Commons, House of—*cont.*
 calls of the, 114.
 case submitted to, 88.
 clerk of. *See* Goldebrough. Jodrell.
 Committees of, 19, 300.
 Committee of Privileges and Elections, 29, 89, 278.
 Committee on the state of the Navy, notes for speeches in, 55, 56, 57.
 debate in, described, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19.
 furniture for, warrant for supply of, 106.
 list of bills presented to, 116.
 — members of, 55.
 — placemen in, mentioned, 39.
 members of, at the Coronation, 99.
 —, coronation medals for, 100, 101.
 memorials to, 46, 67, 99.
 messengers of, 327.
 officers of, 173.
 —, salaries of, 327.
 order of, 300.
 —, mentioned, 86.
 petitions to, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 56, 64, 66, 77, 83, 84, 87, 88, 112, 120, 164, 167, 191, 301, 311.
 —, mentioned, 85.
 proceedings in, against the Earl of, Castlemaine, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.
 proposal to, 93.
 Serjeant at Arms of. *See* Powell. Topham.
 Speaker of, 87, 133. *See* Littleton. Harley, Robert (2). Powle.
 —, warrant for committal by, 168.
 Commonwealth, the. *See* Interregnum, the.
 Commonwealth's men, 35.
 Como, Joseph, case of, 201.
 Compton :
 Henry, 81.
 Spencer, 336.
 Concealed Estates, 120.
 Coningsborg, 208.
 Coningsby, Thomas [1st] Lord, Lord Justice in Ireland, 35, 37, 269.
 —, impeachment of, 40.
 Conington, Mrs., 225.
 Conlife, Mr., 229.
 Connelly :
 Jeremiah, letter from, 230, 231.
 —, 224.
 [Conelly], Conilla, 217, 218.
 Connecticut, 74.
 Constantinople, 115.
 Conti, Prince of, 50, 125.
 Convocation, the, intended address from, 278.
 Conway :
 Ellinor, petition from, 347.
 Captain John, 347.
 Cony, Captain, 364.
 Cook or Cooke :
 John, 105.
 —, letter from, 185.

- Cook or Cooke—*cont.*
 Sir John, 318.
 —, letters from, 126, 140, 163, 193.
 —, report of, mentioned, 201.
 Sir Thomas, 63, 367.
 Cookham, John, 92.
 Cookham, co. Berks., 120.
 Cooper, William, opinion given by, 118, 119, 120.
 Copenhagen, 163.
 council books at, 44.
 Corfe [Castle], co. Dorset, 28, 45.
 Cork, 232.
 Harbour, 53.
 Mayor of, 282.
 Cornbury, [Edward Hyde, afterwards 3rd Earl of Clarendon], Viscount 27, 28, 337.
 Cornwall or Cornewall :
 Charles, letters from, 91, 92, 102, 103, 116, 117.
 —, letters to, 103.
 Captain Robert, letter from, 102.
 Thomas, certificate of service of, 114.
 Captain Woolfran, petition from, 324.
 Cornwall, 32.
 elections in, 253.
 Coronation, medals at, 99.
 Corso, —, 149.
 Corticelli, Angelo, case of, 201.
 Coryton, Ann, widow of Sir John, signs petition, 259.
 Cotton, Sir John, 110.
 —, letter from, 99.
 Coudell :
 Captain Matthew, letters from, 181, 190, 191, 248.
 —, memorial from, 377.
 —, petitions from, 191, 329, 336.
 Thomas, 191.
 Council, the. *See* Privy Council.
 Council of State, the, petition to, 6.
 Counter :
 John, letter from, 127.
 —, petition from, 342.
 —, 321.
 Country Party, the New, 54.
 Court, the, 67.
 party, 54.
 supposed plot against, 155, 156.
 Courten or Courteen :
 William, petition from, 7.
 Sir William, 7.
 —, creditors and administrators of, petition from, 359.
 Courtland, Colonel, collector at New York, 76.
 Court Martials, 53, 107.
 account of Admiral Torington's, 29.
 proposed appeal from, 310.
 Coventry, Mayor and Aldermen of, petition from, 329.
 Mayor of. *See* Billing.
 Cow, Captain, of the *Ranelagh*, 380.
 Cox or Coxo :
 Charles, letter from, 287.
 Cox or Coxo—*cont.*
 Charles—*cont.*
 —, certificate by, 121.
 Dr., 79.
 Judge, 185.
 Mr., 168.
 —, 357.
 Coy, Captain, his troop, 375.
 Craggs, James, letter from, 171.
 Craigie, (Cragie, Craige) :
 of Gernsey [Gairsey], 208.
 of Riccarton, 205.
 Cramp, Thomas, 304.
 Cranstoun, [William] Cranstoun, [5th] Lord, 205.
 Craven :
 [William Craven, 1st] Earl of, his regiment, 18.
 [William Craven, 2nd] Lord, 368.
 Charles, 135, 368.
 Crawford :
 [John] Lindsay, [19th] Earl of, 206.
 D., signs document, 375.
 of Kerse, 204.
 of Kilbirny, 204.
 Crawley, Richard, 276.
 letters from, 140, 148, 149, 150, 192.
 letter to, 163.
 Cremer, Anthony, 333.
 Criminal Law, proposal relating to, 168.
 Crispin Hall, co. Suffolk, 120.
 Crockford, John, petition from, 349.
 Crofts, Sir Herbert, 111.
 Crokery, in Norway, 142.
 Cromarty, [Sir George Mackenzie] 1st Earl of, 123, 194, 315, 316.
 Cromarty, shire of, state of parties in, 208.
 Cromwell :
 Frances, 365.
 Oliver, 9, 10.
 Richard, 10, 11.
 Crosfield, Robert, letter from, 113.
 petition from, 115.
 Cross, Mr. Justice, 353.
 Crossley, Charles, information by, 217.
 Crow :
 Mark, petition from, 359.
 Richard, petition from, 359.
 Crowder, Abraham, affidavit of, 221.
 Crowley, Ambrose, letter from, 273.
 Cruikshank, Robert, petition from, 357.
 Crusins, Mr., chaplain to Prince George, 253.
 Cuba, 53, 224.
 Culemburge, Vice-Admiral, 31.
 Culverden, Robert, letter from, 293.
 Cumby, John, 276.
 Cumming, of Alter, 208.
 Cunningham or Cunninghame :
 Alexander, petition from, 376.
 Sir David, 150.
 Robert, 260.
 —, letter to, 299.
 Cunningham, bailwick of, state of parties in, 204.

Curaçao, 82, 83.
 Curtis :
 Abigail, petition from, 337.
 John, 337.
 Customs, the, 190.
 Commissioners of, 46, 290, 296,
 298, 354, 368, 384. *See also*
 Henley, Robert.
 —, petition to, 81.
 —, warrant to, 288.
 officers of, 195.
 Custom House, the, 81.
 noontender at, 368.
 Cuthbert, of Castlehill, 207.
 Cutler, Robert, 384.
 Cutting, Alexander, letter from, 87.
 Cutts, [John], Lord, 110.
 his regiment, 35.
 signs memorial, 322.

D

Dabbs, Arthur, affidavit of, 213.
 Dackin, John, petition from, 323.
 "Daily Courant," the, 245.
 Dairol, Mr., 291.
 Dalhousie, [William] Ramsey, [5th]
 Earl of, 205.
 Dalmahoy, of Dalmahoy, 205.
 Dalrymple, Sir D., letter from, 312.
 Dalton, Elizabeth, 381.
 Daly, Father, 227.
 Dampier, William, 276.
 Danby, Thomas [Osborne], Earl of,
 petition of to Charles II, 20, 21.
 Dancart, Captain, proposals of, 239.
 Dantzig, city of, letter from mentioned,
 140.
 merchants of, case of, 369.
 Senate of, 369.
 Danners, Mr., 47.
 Darien :
 coast of, 343.
 gulf of, 241, 300.
 proposal to establish pardoned
 pirates and buccaneers at, 162.
 Darien scheme, the, 64, 150, 179, 258.
 Dartiquinave, —, 253.
 Dartmouth, 217.
 agent for prizes at. *See* Newman,
 Mr.
 documents dated at, 163, 164.
 Dashwood, —, 255.
 Davenant (D'Avenant) :
 Charles, memorial from, 168.
 Dr., 63.
 Davenport, Brigadier General, 297.
 Davers, [Sir] Robert, letter from, 39.
 Davie, Captain George, case of, 165,
 166.
 Davies or Davis :
 John, petition from, 338.
 Edward, a pirate, 70.
 Henry, petition of, mentioned,
 189.
 Richard, memorial from, 323.
 Dr. William, certificate of, 128.
 —, a Queen's messenger, 376.
 —, 342.
 Davison, Mary, petition from, 383.
 Davy :
 Edmund, 88.
 Robert, 88.
 Sarah, petition from, 88.
 Dawson, Mr. Secretary, letter to, 232.
 Dawsonne, William, signs document,
 369.
 Day, Joseph Montezines, petition from,
 354.
 "Deadman," the, 240.
 Deane :
 Sir Anthony, 33.
 C., Clerk of Commissary General's
 Office, signs document, 200.
 Dean, forest of, state of timber in, 269.
 Dearlove, Peter, 318.
 Deaven, Caesar, affidavit of, 128.
 de Bours, Rear-Admiral, 133.
 Debt, petitions from persons im-
 prisoned for, 84, 85, 101.
 Debtors, enlistment of, 148, 157, 158.
 Deering :
 Captain Unton, memorial of, 93.
 Edward, speech by, 18.
 De Foe, Daniel, (Goldsmith), 141, 241,
 298, 354.
 letter from, 310.
 pamphlet by, 135, 136, 137, 138,
 139.
 Degge, Mr., 219.
 Delafaye, Mr., 216.
 Delarose, William, case of, 112.
 petition of, 112.
 De la Rue, —, 127.
 Delaval, (Debanal,) Vice-Admiral Sir
 Ralph, 29, 324.
 Delegates, High Court of, Commission
 from, held at Lichfield, 242.
 Delestrille, Captain Isaac, memorial
 from, 329.
 Dell, William, letter from, 156, 157.
 Denbigh, [Basil Fielding, 4th] Earl of,
 signs document, 326.
 Denbigh, choice of Sheriffs for, 198.
 Denmark and the Danes, 50, 126, 151,
 178, 364, 370.
 English Consul in. *See* Butts,
 John.
 Envoy from, 164.
 —, memorial from, mentioned,
 150.
 forces in the English service, 356.
 Prince George of, (the Prince), 102,
 103, 108, 113, 117, 122, 132, 133,
 147, 165, 171, 174, 176, 178, 181,
 197, 198, 241, 282, 284, 296, 364.
 —, his Council, 116, 133, 134,
 135, 172, 183, 187, 189, 215, 278,
 309, 318, 327, 344, 349, 350, 368.
 —, —, letter from, 148.

- Denmark and the Dances—*cont.*
 Prince George of—*cont.*
 —, —, report to, 282.
 —, his chaplain. *See* Crusins.
 —, letter to, 305.
 —, memorial to, 296.
 —, petition to, 297.
 —, reports from, 192, 284, 324, 341, 342.
 —, his secretary, letter to, 190.
- Denmark, King of :
 [Christian V.], 43.
 [Frederick IV.], 364.
 Queen of, 210.
- Dennis, Anne, memorial from, 91.
- Deptford, 217, 278.
- Dequester, Matthew, 6.
- Derbyshire, 107, 219.
- Derby, Mayor of. *See* Turner, W.
- Derby, [James Stanley], 2nd Duke of, 280.
- Derham, Richard, petition of, 15.
- Dering, Sir Edward, letter from, 85.
- Desborough, Major-General, 11.
- Desmont, M. le Chevalier, 145.
- Devizes :
 inhabitants of, petition from, 352.
 Mayor of. *See* Hiller, Richard.
- Dickason, Thomas, petition from, 360.
- Diego Ruys, island of, 265.
- Dieppe, 240.
- Diggins, Captain James, 301.
- Dilkes, [Rear] Admiral Sir Thomas, 231, 281, 302, 380.
- Dinan (Dinant) in Brittany, 217.
- Dispensing Power, the, 26.
- Dissenters, the, 198, 240, 297.
- Diston, Josiah, 352.
- Ditchley, in Spilsbury, co. Oxon., inquisition taken at, 330.
- Dobyn, R., 155, 156.
- Dobyns, Colonel William, letter from, 277.
- Dod :
 John, commission from, 351.
 Mr., rector of Malpas, 332.
- Doddington, Mr., 226.
- Dominica, 233.
- Doncaster, co. York, 12.
- Done, Mr. Auditor, 367.
- Donegal (Dunnagall) [Arthur Chester, 3rd] Earl of, his regiment, 123.
- Donegal, county of, High Sheriff of. *See* Forward, Colonel John.
- Donelan, Baron, 95.
- Don Mascarenhas, (Don Marascinos), island of, near Madagascar, 176, 294.
- Dorchester, co. Dorset, 28.
 assizes at, 93.
- D'Ore, Sarah, petition from, 347.
- Dorney, John, signs document, 4.
- Dorrell, Edward, proposal of, for lighting the streets, 93.
- Dorington, Lieut.-General, his regiment, 181.
- Dorset, [Charles Sackville, 6th] Earl of, 106.
- Dorsetshire :
 elections for, 28, 29.
 receiver of Crown rents in, 277.
- Dorstone, vicar of. *See* Prosser, Thomas.
- Douglas, in Scotland, 372.
- Douglas :
 [Archibald] Douglas, [1st] Duke of, 204.
 of Eagleshaw, 208.
 of Glenbervie, 207.
- Dover, 116, 149, 192, 226, 364.
 letter dated at, 155.
 Mayor of. *See* Sturgis.
- Dovercourt-cum-Harwich, vicar of. *See* Thompson, William.
- Dowdell :
 Edward, 88.
 Henry, 88.
- Downe, [Henry Dawnay, 3rd] Viscount, 220.
- Downs, the, 43, 47, 48, 53, 142, 187, 192, 301, 318.
 letters dated from, 40, 78.
- Drake :
 Francis, recorder of Plymouth, 186.
 —, certificate of, 112.
 Dr. James, 360.
 Judith, petition from, 360.
 Peter, 305, 306.
 Dr., 214.
- Draper, —, late rector of Framlingham, 327.
- Driver, Thomas, letter from, 183.
- Drummond :
 Chancellor, 372.
 of Glengys, 206.
 Lord, 205,
 Captain Robert, 175, 176, 178.
- du Bart, —, 40.
- Dublin, 349.
 letters dated at, 121, 265, 307.
- du Cass, Mons., 236.
- Duckett, George, 352.
- Dudinston, Lake, 187.
- Dudley, Sir Matthew, case of, 164, 165.
- Duels and Duelling, 94.
- Duffus, [Kenneth] Sutherland [3rd] Lord, 207, 253.
- du Guay, —, his squadron, 177.
- Duke, Richard, letter from, 186.
- Dumfries, Earl of, (1705), 203.
- Dumfries (?), (Dumprooz), 177.
- Dummer, Edmund, 108.
 letters from; 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 45, 89, 90, 104, 108, 110, 122, 170, 195, 223, 227, 235, 246, 284, 290,
 petition from, 319.
 his reply to Fitch, 57.
- Dumbar, battle of, allud d to, 9.
- Dunbar :
 of Burgie, 207.
 of Grange, 207.
 of Mochrum, 204.
- Duncomb or Duncombe :
 Anthony, signs document, 166.
 Sir Charles, 63, 367.
 John, 270.

- Dundas, of Dundas, 205.
 Dundee, [John Graham,] Viscount of, (Claverhouse), 372.
 Dundee, 372.
 brewers of, petition of, mentioned, 277.
 Dundonald, [John] Cochrane [4th] Earl of, 204.
 Dungeness, 246.
 Dunkeld, engagement at, 372.
 Dunkirk, 40, 123, 126, 151, 180, 213, 239, 293, 319, 348, 354, 356, 376, 380.
 English garrison at, 6.
 French ships off, 350.
 governor of. *See* Lemon, Count.
 Dunny, Mr., 231.
 Dunster, Charles, letters from, 177.
 petition from, 328.
 Dupont, Dominic, 239.
 Duport, Peregrine, 368.
 Dupplin, [Thomas Hay, 6th] Viscount, 205.
 Dupuy, Elias, signs petition, 320.
 Durand, Mistress, 363.
 Durham, county of :
 Clerk of the Peace for. *See* Stonehewer, Charles.
 magistrates of, 89.
 Durham, city of, Mayor of. *See* Hall, Anthony.
 Dutch, the. *See* under Holland.
- E
- Earle or Erle :
 John, certificate by, 334.
 Brigadier Thomas, 50.
 —, his regiment, 322.
 —, as Major-General, his regiment, 87, 351.
 —, as Lieutenant-General, 238, 322.
 —, letter from, 246.
 East Bedford :
 Churchwardens and inhabitants of, certificate by, 328.
 Vicar of. *See* Goodwin, John.
 "East Grounds," fishing in, 351.
 East India Company, the, 265, 271, 320, 347.
 (the Old), treasurer of, 63.
 of Scotland, 175, 179.
 East Indies, the, 19, 80, 320, 359.
 goods of, 339.
 trade with, 265.
 East Lothian, member of Scotch parliament for. *See* Fletcher, Mr.
 Ecclestone, co. Lancs., parish of, 314.
 Ecclesiastical Commission, Court of, 20.
- Echlin, Lieut.-General Robert, petition from, 311.
 Eden, Robert, receipt from, 102.
 Edge-hill, battle of, 4.
 Edgeworth :
 Captain Ambrose, his company, 351.
 Colonel, 305.
 —, 87.
 Edinburgh, 122, 123, 372.
 the Abbey in, 267.
 Castle of, 312.
 College of, 299.
 —, head of. *See* Carstares, William.
 Gate House in, 319.
 Holyrood House, letter dated at, 269.
 letters dated at, 113, 128, 129, 141, 142, 194, 251, 254, 260, 262, 271, 273, 274, 281, 285, 297, 309.
 Lord Provost of, 331. *See also* McClellan, Sir Samuel.
 News Letter from, 249.
 parliament at, 371.
 Parliament House in, 259, 267.
 Parliament close in, 263.
 public rejoicings at, 227.
 tumults in, 251, 252, 254, 256, 257.
 Eding, William, a Dane, 164.
 Edisbury, Joseph, signs report, 86.
 Edward IV, charter of, mentioned, 353.
 Edward VI, King, 17.
 Edwards :
 Captain, 368.
 Sir John, his petition to the House of Lords, 19.
 Mary, letter from, 127.
 Edwin, Thomas, letter from, 195.
 Edwins, John, letter from, 157.
 Edwyn, Sir Humphrey, 347.
 Eglinton [Alexander Montgomerie, 9th] Earl of, 204, 283.
 Elector Palatine, the, Envoy Extraordinary of. *See* Lecheraine.
 troops of, 210.
 Elgin, shire of. *See* Moray.
 member for, 253.
 Elibank, [Alexander] Murray, [4th] Lord, 205.
 Elizabeth, Queen, 17.
 Elliot, —, his regiment, 211.
 Elphinstone, [John Elphinstone, 8th] Lord, 206, 283.
 Elsinore, 370.
 Elton, Andrew, 276.
 Eltz :
 Baron d', 307.
 —, letters from, 209, 219, 275, 292.
 Madame de Keyelstadt de, 275.
 Elwin, Sir John, 186.
 Elys, Edmund, letter from, 187.
 Emperor, the, 336.
 loan to, 215.
 [Leopold I], 171, 346.
 [Joseph I], 279.
 —, letter to, mentioned, 245.
 Empire, the, 147, 148.

- Enclosures; proposed bill concerning destruction of, 51.
- English, *or* Inglish, Robert, of Edinburgh, 251, 256.
- English Channel, the, 42, 131, 301, 309. operations in, 41.
- Enniskillen, (Enniskilling,) garrison of, 311.
- Enstone, co. Oxon., 330.
- Eppingen, camp at, letter dated at, 322.
- Epworth, [co. Linc.], manor of, grant of, mentioned, 120.
- Ernstruther. *See* Anstruther.
- Erroll, [Charles Hay, 13th] Earl of, 207, 256.
- Erskine, (Areskin):
Colonel John, governor of Stirling Castle, 251, 256.
of Cambo, 206.
- Es, (Esche), Jacob Van der, 158, 159, 160, 356.
- Essex:
[Arthur Capel, 1st] Earl of, 151.
Algernon [Capel, 2nd] Earl of, warrant to, 238.
- Estrées, Comte d', his squadron, 38.
- Eugene, Prince. *See* under Savoy.
- Evan, John, signs document, 301.
- Evans, Sir Stephen, 108, 165.
- Everard, John, 330.
- Evertz, Admiral, 31.
- Ewesdale, shire of, 373.
- Exchequer, the, 152.
Barons of the, 383.
Bills, 310.
Chancellor of, 161.
Chief Barons of. *See* Atkins.
Ward.
Court of, petition from clerks in, 77.
King's (Queen's) Remembrancer of. *See* Barker.
—, office of, petition from clerks in, 77.
New Years gifts at, 83.
officers of, 367.
Tellers of, clerk to, 120.
—, case of clerks under, 94.
- Excise, the, 183, 184, 185.
abuses of, in Wales, 46.
appointment in, 301.
bribery and corruption in, 124.
Commissioners of, 47, 89, 157.
See also Parry.
—, petition to, 92, 93.
officers of, at Shaftesbury, 92, 93.
- Exclusion Bill, debate in the Commons following the rejection of, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19.
- Exeter, 35.
letter dated at, 85.
merchants of, 186.
- Eyles, John, 352.
- Eyre, John, 88.
- F.
- Fabrot, Peter, 240.
- Fagge, Robert, receipt from, 102.
- Fairborne, Admiral Sir Stafford, 155, 227.
common opinion about, 302.
letters from, 117, 175, 183.
signs certificate, 112.
- Fairfax:
[Thomas, 3rd] Lord, 11, 12.
[Thomas, 5th] Lord, petition from, 318.
Captain, 278.
Henry, memorials from, 162, 163.
- Fairlight, parish of, 304.
- Falconer, of Bomano, 207.
- Falkland:
[Anthony Cary, 5th] Viscount, 28.
[Lucius Henry Cary, 6th] Viscount 322.
- Falmouth, co. Cornwall, 35, 117, 172, Roads, 191.
- Farley, Mr., 250.
- Farnham, 326.
- Faro, in Portugal, 36.
- Farrington, Brigadier General, 247.
- Faucenberg, Countess of, [Mary Cromwell], 366.
- Fawconer, Colonel, 211.
- Fawler, John, letter from, 224.
- Fearne, David, letters from, 275, 285, 331.
case of, 186.
memorial from, 196, 197.
- Fee farm rents, 8.
- Fell, Mr., keeper of Newgate, 380.
- Fells, Mr, 281.
- Fenwick, Robert, signs document, 209.
- Feret, Monsieur, 173.
- Ferguson, Robert, 123.
- Fergusson, Colonel, 372.
- Ferville, M. de, 144.
- Ficher, Jean, letter from, 166.
- Fielding:
George, petition from, 353.
Israel, letter from, 181.
- Filazer for Western Counties. *See* Speke, Charles.
- Finch:
Ann, petition from, 338.
Hewet, 347.
- Finchley, 328.
- Findlater, [James] Ogilvy, [3rd] Earl of, 207.
- Firebrace, Sir Basil, 366.
- Fisher:
[the Jesuit], book against, mentioned, 3.
Sir Clement, 123, 124.
Edward, 1, 2.
- Fitch, —, 57.
- Fitzgerald:
Brigadier, his regiment, 181.
James, of Awney, 355.

Fitzjames, Harry, 11.
 Flanders, 35, 36, 50, 87, 92, 182, 191,
 247, 248, 267, 321, 322, 338, 361,
 365, 372.
 army in, 361.
 campaigns in, 379.
 Government of, 261.
 war in, 366.
 Fleet, the. *See* Ships.
 Fleet-hounds, Master of, 365.
 Fleet Prison. *See* London.
 Fleetwood, —, 11.
 Fletcher :
 —, his faction in New England,
 73.
 Mr., 111, 142.
 Mark, 20.
 of Saltoun, 249, 252, 254, 259, 261.
 263, 278.
 Florence, 68.
 Floyd, or Lloyd. Richard, paymaster
 to the Queen's works, 253, 259.
 Flushing, 246.
 "Flying Post," the, 250, 259.
 Foley, Mr., 39.
 Foleys, the, 69.
 Folkingham, E., signs document, 352.
 Fonvive, J. de, letter from, 187.
 Forbes :
 [William] Forbes. [12th] Lord,
 207.
 of Culloden, 208.
 Forbin, —, 293.
 Forde, Mr., 358.
 Forester, W., letter from, 99.
 Forfar, [Archibald] Douglas, [1st]
 Earl of, 204.
 Forfar, shire of. *See* Angus.
 Forrester, Sir William, 260.
 Fort :
 Alexander, 225.
 Thomas, 225.
 Fort Lewis, 231.
 Fort William, school at, 295.
 Forward, Colonel John, his case, 51.
 Foster, Nathaniel, petition from, 368.
 Fotheringham, of Powrie, 206.
 Foulk or Foulks :
 Elizabeth, petitions from, 86, 349.
 Sir Francis, 349.
 Garret, 349.
 Fountaine, Andrew, his counsel, 40.
 Fowler, William, 163.
 letter to, 46.
 Fowls, of Collaton (Collanton), 205.
 Fownes, W., letter from, 98.
 Fox, Shadrach, petition from, 340.
 Framlingham, co. Suffolk, rectory of,
 327.
 France and the French, 17, 34, 38, 50,
 63, 76, 96, 115, 118, 119, 121,
 123, 147, 175, 178, 183, 191, 193,
 197, 198, 201, 215, 221, 225, 227,
 294, 303, 333, 334, 336, 338, 339,
 354, 360, 384.
 army besieging Barcelona, 227.
 Commissioners, the, 76.
 Council of, 213.
 cutlers and gunsmiths from, 177.
 designs of, on West Indies, 235.

France and the French—*cont.*
 English ships destroyed or taken
 by, 53.
 fleet of. *See* Ships.
 generals in England, 378.
 governors in East Indies, 80.
 Irish troops in service of, 181, 247
 248.
 ministry of, 122.
 officers, in England, 237.
 peace of Ryswick with. *See*
 Ryswick.
 prisoners of war in England, 164.
 See also Lichfield, Nottingham.
 Protestants in, 329.
 Protestant refugees from, 67, 214,
 215, 375.
 regiments in English service, 240.
 treasonable correspondence with,
 231.
 the war with, 189, 276.
 wine, imported from, 296.
 France, King of :
 [Louis XIV], 152, 160, 183, 184,
 237, 239, 329, 354, 364, 365, 369.
 —, banquier to, 334.
 —, his cipher, 235.
 Franco, Mr., 109.
 Frankland, Sir Thomas, 195, 293, 384.
 letters from, 109, 111, 125, 211.
 signs petition, 259.
 Fraser :
 [Charles] Fraser, [4th] Lord, 207.
 of Kinaries, 208.
 of Struy, 208.
 Captain Simon, of Beaufort [styled
 Lord Lovat], 122, 183, 184.
 —, plot of, memorial relating
 to, 122.
 Mr., 314.
 Frederick, Captain, of the *Postilion*,
 166.
 Freeland, Peter, affidavit of, 175.
 Freeman :
 Justice, 384.
 Mr., 95.
 Freke, John, letter from, 45.
 Friedrichshall, 151.
 Friend, Dr., 250.
 Frigate Bay, 228.
 Fryzer, Andrew, petition from, 359.

G.

Gabarett, Mons. de, 228.
 Gallas Count, Imperial Ambassador,
 384.
 Galles, Jean, petition from, 383.
 Galloway, 349, 373.
 stewartry of, 373.
 Galloway, [James] Stewart, [5th]
 Earl of, 203, 338.
 Galloway, Captain Patrick, of the
 Hawke, 189.

- Galmoy, Major-General, his regiment, 181.
- Galway:
articles of, 101.
bishopric of, 372.
- Galway, [M. de Ruigny], Earl of, 46, 215, 245, 379.
letter from, 277.
- Gardiner or Gardner:
—, deputy collector of Rhode Island, 71.
Anne, 357.
James, 273.
John, signs document, 326.
Captain Robert, 344.
- Gariot (Garrett):
Judith, 375.
Philip, of Southampton, petition from, 375.
Thomas, 375.
- Garnett, Colonel, 228.
- Garrard. *See* Gerard.
- Garrett, Katherine, petition from, 338.
- Garroll, Richard, 3.
- Garvin, Captain William, memorial from, 112.
- Gates, William, letter from, 283.
- Gazette*, the, 20, 129, 187, 188, 237, 289, 382, 384.
proposals with regard to, 216.
- Geneva, 217.
- Genoa, 46.
- George, Prince. *See under* Denmark.
- George, —, 226.
- Geraldine, Mous., 230.
- Gerard, [Charles Gerard], 6th Baron, 184.
- Geres, Count de, 173.
- Germany and the Germans, 147, 182, 321.
- Gethin, Sir Richard, letter from, 94.
- Gething, Mr., 83.
- Gibbons, William, M.D., signs certificate, 128.
- Gibraltar, 53, 147, 174, 227, 337, 342.
Bay, letter dated at, 212.
Governor of. *See* Shrimpton.
siege of, 361.
Straits of, 45.
- Gibson:
Charles, petition from, 329
J., letter from, 95.
Sir John, 380.
—, letter from, 281.
Captain, 211.
Colonel, 322.
- Gieseke, Herman, 201.
- Gildon:
Charles, petitions from, 349, 353.
—, letter from, 232.
—, wife of, 232.
- Gillam, *alias* Kelly, James, a pirate, 68, 70, 71, 74.
- Gillingham, fishermen of, petition from, 351.
- Gillman, Lieutenant Stephen, memorial from, 167.
- Gilpin, W., letter from, 195.
- Ginkens, Mr., 366.
- Giustiniano, Luke, of Genoa, 201.
- Glamorganshire, great sessions for, 121.
- Glanderston, letter dated at, 150.
- Glandstaines, of Glandstaines, 203.
- Glascocoe, Lady Jane, letter from, 227.
- Glasgow, 150.
Provost of. *See* Montgomery.
University, 299.
—, professorship of Divinity in, 299.
- Glasgow, [David Boyle, 1st] Earl of, 204, 283, 298, 319.
- Glasier:
Jeffry, letter from, 175.
Mr., 129.
- Gleane, Sir Thomas, petition from, 84.
signs petition, 100.
- Gledhill, Captain, 192.
- Glencairn, [William] Cunningham, [12th] Earl of, 203, 204.
- Glengarry, [Macdonald of], 208.
- Gloucester, 9.
Council of War at, mentioned, 4.
bishop of, 5.
- Godolphin:
[Sidney Godolphin, 1st] Earl of, 63, 106, 158, 169, 186, 187, 238, 242, 243, 253, 267, 278, 280, 287, 292, 295, 296, 298, 299, 300, 303, 312, 313, 314, 316, 317, 325, 331, 345, 347, 351, 368, 381, 384.
—, letters to, 290, 337.
—, memorials to, 168, 200.
—, petitions to, 129, 163, 184, 188, 199, 213, 314.
—, proposal to, 276.
—, report of, 152.
Mr., 24.
- Godwin Pass, St. Christopher's, 228.
- Gold, Mr. Justice, 352.
- Goldebrough, Mr., 355.
- Goldsmith. *See* De Foe.
- Goobet:
Captain, 117.
Hannah, petition from, 117.
- Goodwin:
John, certificate signed by, 328.
Timothy, 160.
- Goodwin Sands, the, 53.
- Gordon:
[George] Gordon, [1st] Duke of, 205, 207, 208, 374.
Lewis, of Oakenhead, letter from, 126.
of Earlston, 204.
of Gordonstown, 207.
—, 177.
- Goring, Charles, petition from, 314.
- Gothenburg, 163, 180, 201.
- Gother, Captain James, 40.
- Gottery, Robert, affidavit of, 115.

- Goudett, John, impeachment of, 51.
 Gouge :
 Richard, 321.
 Tamar, petition from, 321.
 Gough, Sir Henry, letter from, 123.
 Gould, Nathaniel, signs document, 369.
 Goweing, Mons., 192.
 Gower :
 [Sir John Leveson Gower, 1st] Lord, 221, 339.
 William, letter from, 125.
 —, petition from, 129.
 Gracedieu, Sir Bartholomew, 344.
 Grady, James, 188, 189.
 Graham :
 Patrick, 350.
 —, memorial from, 289.
 —, petition from, 351.
 (Grehom), of Grahamshall, 208.
 Grain (Grayne), Isle of, co. Kent, 184.
 Grancey, M. le Chevalier de, 145.
 Grand Alliance, the, 152.
 Grandcourt, manor of, 1.
 Grandpré, M. de, 145.
 Grant :
 of Ballindalloch, 206.
 of Grant, 207, 208.
 Granville, George, 213.
 letter from 242.
 Grave, M. de, 189.
 Gravelines, 125.
 Gravesend, 65.
 Gray :
 [Patrick] Gray, [8th] Lord, 206.
 John, 29.
 Graydon, Vice-Admiral John, petition from, 350.
 Greder, M. de, 292, 296, 307.
 letter from, 307.
 Greeks, the, 138.
 at Oxford, 115.
 Greek Church, the, patriarchs of, 115.
 Green or Greene :
 Captain Thomas, 149, 150, 176, 180, 296, 354.
 —, his ship, 275. *See* under *Ships: the Worcester.*
 Thomas, M.A., petition from, 331.
 Green Cloth, the, Board of, 99.
 Greenhill, Commissioner, 198.
 Green Mews, letter dated at, 286.
 Greenwax, the, estreats of, 127.
 Greenwich, 65, 378.
 East, manor of, 141.
 Hospital, 99, 115.
 Gregory :
 Dr., of Oxford, 256.
 —, 226.
 Grenadiers, the, 217.
 Grierson, of Lagg, 204.
 Griffin :
 [Edward Griffin, 1st] Lord, 303.
 Mr., case of, 303.
 Griffiths, Thomas, witnesses petition, 129.
 Groen, Werner, 116, 139, 147, 148, 149.
 Groyne, ("Groin") the, [Corunna], 293.
 Guernsey, 155.
 letter dated at, 157.
 Guiguer :
 Mr., of Lyons, 217.
 —, of London, 217.
 George Tobias, a Swiss, petition from, 346.
 Guildford, mayor and magistrates of, petition from, 357.
 Guinea, 358.
 coast of, 166.
 Guiscar, Marquis de, 214.
 Guiscard, Mons. de, letter from, 291.
 Gullock, Captain Thomas, 71, 76, 77.
 papers relating to, 68, 69.
 Gully, Timothy, memorial from, 191.
 Gunfleet, the, 38.
 Guy :
 Henry, 367.
 Mr., 107, 108, 110.
 Gyllenborgh, Charles, a Swede, 198.
 H—, J., 50.
 "Habeas Corpus," act of, suspended, 27.
 Habernes, co. Linc., 363.
 Hackett :
 Elizabeth, petition from, 380.
 James, 379, 380.
 Haddington, [Thomas] Hamilton, [6th] Earl of, 205.
 Haddock, Sir Richard, signs certificate, 112.
 Haggas :
 Matthew, 326.
 —, Appleby, son of, 326.
 —, —, Francis, son of, petition of, 326.
 Hague, the, 339.
 promissory note dated at, 159.
 Haines, Celia, petition from, 378.
 Haldane (Halden, Haddo) John, of Gleneagle, 256.
 Halifax :
 [George Saville, 1st] Marquis of, 19.
 [Charles Montague, 1st] Baron, report of, 201.
 Hall :
 Anthony, mayor of Durham, signs certificate, 89.
 Daniel, signs petition, 330.
 John, petition from, 353.
 Joseph, signs petition, 301.

- Hallungius, John, petition from, 356.
Hamburg, 178, 192, 348, 384.
 merchants of, 201.
 English resident at. *See* Wygh.
Hamill, William, petition from, 311.
Hamilton :
 [James Douglas, 4th] Duke of, 104, 109, 110, 111, 204, 205, 249, 250, 252, 255, 256, 257, 259, 261, 262, 263, 325, 380.
 —, letters from, 248, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270.
 Lord Archibald, 269.
 of Baldoon, 204.
 Brigadier, his regiment, 167.
 Captain, 211.
 Lady Elizabeth, 194.
 James, memorial from, 37.
 petition of, 35.
 Colonel Richard, his regiment, 375.
 Mr., 90, 259.
Hammond :
 Mr., 63.
 Thomas, letters from, 278, 287.
 —, signs petition, 320.
 Commissioner, letter to, 133.
Hampden, (Hambden), John, speech by, 16.
Hampshire, elections for, 29.
Hampson, Jacob, his widow, petition from, 353.
Hampton Court, co. Midd., 11.
 Councils at, 318, 368.
 greenhouses, etc. at, 359.
Hanmore, —, 253.
Hannan, James, 177, 188, 189, 271, 347.
 case against, 191, 192.
 case of, 114.
 trial of, 378.
Hanover :
 Court of, 260.
 House of, 215.
Hanse towns, the, agent for. *See* Jacobson.
Hara :
 Sir Charles, 351.
 Sir George, 36.
Harbord (William), speech by, 16.
Harborough, 296.
Harcourt :
 Mr., 62, 84.
 Sir Simon, Solicitor General, reports by, 288, 324, 343.
Hardwick, Captain E., letter from, 269.
Hardy, John, attorney, 219, 221.
Harley :
 Sir Edward, (Colonel), 7, 15, 369.
 —, ordered to present petition to the House of Commons, 5.
 Sir Robert, account of his services to Charles I, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.
 —, buys the office of receiver of Fee Farm rents, 8, 14.
 —, petitions from, 7, 8.
 —, petition from soldiers of his regiment, 6, 7.
Harley—*cont.*
 Robert (2), son of Sir Edward, successively Speaker, Secretary of State, Chancellor of the Exchequer, 21, 39, 46, 115, 152, 166, 170, 180, 181, 190, 209, 221, 224, 225, 269, 279, 299, 336.
 —, letters to, 27, 28, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 43, 45, 50, 61, 64, 81, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 116, 117, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 140, 141, 142, 147, 148, 150, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 161, 162, 163, 164, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 174, 175, 177, 178, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 209, 210, 211, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 219, 221, 222, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 241, 242, 243, 245, 246, 247, 248, 250, 252, 265, 269, 270, 271, 273, 274, 275, 277, 278, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 300, 303, 304, 305, 307, 309, 310, 311, 314, 315, 316, 317, 322, 323, 331, 344, 350, 353, 375.
 —, letter from, 39.
 —, endorses documents, 121, 171, 174, 226, 228, 237, 241, 300, 309, 318—352, 353, 355, 356, 357, 368—381.
 —, office of, 197.
 —, payment to, as speaker, 93.
 —, petitions to, 86, 99, 101, 117, 314, 368—384.
 —, secretaries of, letters to, 149, 199, 209, 282.
 —, speech of, notes for, 56, 57, 62.
 Edward, son of Robert (2), 290, 310.
 John, 314.
 Margaret, petition from, 314.
 (Harlow), Mr., 39, 40.
Harley, [co. Salop], letter dated at, 286.
Harling, in Friesland, 163.
Harpley, —, 1.
Harris, George, 358.
Harrison v. *Burwall*, case of, 20.
Harrison, Sir Edmund, 69, 74, 75, 79.
 letter to, 70.
Hart, Sir Richard, 21.
Hartley, Smithsby, letter from, 186.
Harvey :
 General, 247.
 Major-General, his regiment, 338.
 William, letter from, 237.
Harwich, 35, 36, 53.
 Mayor of. *See* Smith, Daniel.
Haselwood, Captain, 246.
Hassam, Mr., 91.
Hastings, Colonel, his regiment, 337.

- Hastings, fishery off. 350.
 Mayor and Jurats of, letter from, 304, 309.
 —, petition from, 89.
- Hatcher, Lady Elizabeth, petition from, 360.
- Hatmakers, French, 383.
- Haughton, Captain, 125.
- Hautefeuille, Marquis d', letters from, 199, 235.
- Haverford West, 97.
- Havre de Grace, 126, 281.
- Hawkworth, Thomas, 219, 220.
 deposition by, 222.
- Hay, William, letter from, 97.
- Hayes, —, 247.
- Heath :
 Sarah, petition from, 379.
 Topp, 219, 379.
- Heathcote :
 Sir Gilbert, 214.
 —, letter from, 174.
 Samuel signs document, 369.
- Hedges, Sir Charles, Judge of the Court of Admiralty, and Secretary of State, 52, 147, 152, 165, 167, 187, 195, 197, 198, 231, 252, 322, 333, 348.
 letters from, 113, 295.
 letters to, 116, 185, 240.
 office of, 232.
 petition to, 237.
 report by, 282.
- Heinsius, (Hensyes), Grand Pensionary of Holland, (the Pensioner), 152, 159.
- Henley, Robert, Commissioner of Customs, 188.
- Henly, Robert, Commissioner of Transportations, his journal, 40.
- Henry VIII, King, 17.
 grant by, mentioned, 322.
- Henry, Matthew, letter from, 213.
- Herbert of Cherbury, [Henry Herbert, 1st] Lord (2nd creation), 140.
- Hereford, 9.
 assizes at, 359.
 divinity lecturer at. *See* Rogers, Henry.
 Gaol, letters dated at, 129, 155.
 general sessions at, resolution of, 5.
 letter dated at, 289.
- Herefordshire, Clerk of the Peace for.
See Williams, Paul.
 Fee Farm rents in, 8.
 Grand Inquest of, petition from, 5.
 Justices of, 140, 170.
 recruits raised in, 170.
 under-sheriff of, 156.
- Hereford, diocese of, mismanagement of, 3.
- Hern, Sir Joseph, 165.
- Hertford, assizes at, 247.
- Hesse, Prince of, secretary of, 211.
- Heusden, in Holland, letter dated at, 112.
- Hewer, William, letter from, 33.
- Heyden, Vanden, of Ostend, 239.
- Heydon Castle, letter dated at, 177.
- Hickes :
 Captain, 40.
 John, letters from, 88, 185.
- Hickshall, 242.
- Hickshall, —, 362.
- Higsons, John, petition from, 355.
- High Church party, the, their reputed object, 54.
- High Commission, court of, 3, 63.
- Higues, the river, in Hispaniola, 82, 83.
- Hill :
 —, letters from, 182, 350.
 Elizabeth, petition from, 338.
 Mr. 152, 375.
 Captain Richard, petition from, 321, 322.
- Hiller, Richard, signs petition, 352.
- Hindon, co. Wilts., 28.
- Hinton :
 Major, 369.
 Thomas, 211, 212.
- Hitch, Robert, signs document, 171.
- Hitcham, Sir Robert, 327.
- Hoare :
 Alderman, of Hull, 222.
 John, Mayor of Northampton, signs petition, 56.
- Hochstet, battle of. *See* Blenheim.
- Hodges, Sir William, case of, 153, 154.
- Hodgkins, *alias* Hoskins, John, memorial from, 219.
- Hodgson :
 Edward, 302.
 Mrs., widow, 302.
- Hogen, Jacob, petition from, 380.
- Holden, Anne, petition from, 77.
- Holinshed, John, petitions from, 327, 370.
- Holland and the Dutch, 19, 20, 51, 96, 122, 141, 151, 158, 185, 186, 193, 198, 201, 245, 260, 303, 332, 336, 340, 348, 360, 362, 364, 372, 382.
 ambassador of, in England. *See* Ambassadors.
 complaints of, against Admiral Torington, 29, 30, 32.
 Convention between England and, 333.
 East India Company of, 19.
 English envoy in, 147.
 — shipwright in, 171.
 fishing trade of, 125.
 merchants of, 154.
 militia on English Establishment, paymaster of. *See* Es, Van der.
 Pensionary, the Grand. *See* Heinsius.
 a Rear-Admiral of, 42.
 recruit horses for, 214.
 regiments in the English service, 356.
 West India Company of, a ship of, 166.
- Holland, [Henry Rich., 1st] Earl of, 325, 365.
- Holles (Hollis) [Denzil Holles, 1st] Baron, 10.
- Hollman, Mr., 68.

- Holmes, Captain William, report from, 193.
- Holt :
 Collector, 337.
 Sir Charles, his bill, reasons against, 40.
 Sir John, Lord Chief Justice, 29, 242, 290.
 —, petition to, 259.
- Home :
 [Charles] Home, [6th] Earl of, 203.
 Sir George, 302.
- Hompesch, General, 275.
- Honan, Daniel, 83.
- Hopetoun, [Charles Hope, 1st] Earl of, 204, 205, 206.
- Hopkins :
 Horatio, petition from, 360.
 Mr., 188.
 Sir William, 360.
- Hopley, William, petition from, 378.
- Hopson, Charles, 225.
- Horn, Daniel, 168.
- Horse Guards, Exempt of 3rd troop of.
See Holmes, Captain William.
- Hoskins :
 John, 379.
 Sir John, 156.
 Thomas, memorial from, 99.
- Hotham :
 Sir Charles, his regiment, 217.
 —, letter from, 100.
 Sir John, 15.
- Houderin, Jean, 239.
- Household, the, 52.
 Lord Steward of, 108.
- Houston or Houstonne :
 —, 275.
 David, 372.
 William, 372.
 —, letter from, 201.
 —, memorial from, 371, 372, 373, 374,
 —, petition from, 331.
 —, his fraternity, 195, 331.
And see Cameronians.
- of Houston, 204, 205.
- Hovin, Duquay, letter from, 135.
- How, Howe :
 Jack, 73.
 Mr., 62, 84.
 Scrope, Viscount Howe and Baron of Ctenawly, petition from, 361.
- Howard :
 Mary, 19.
 Thomas, letter from, 357.
 William, 289.
- Howorth, H., letter from, 192.
- Hoxton, [co. Midd.], 353.
- Hughs, John, petitions from, 327, 370.
- Huguetan or Huguetant :
 —, a banker, 232.
 John Henry, petition from, 332.
 —, memorial from, 240.
- Hudson's Bay, 53, 76.
- Hull, 220.
 aldermen of. *See* Hoare. Mowld.
 mayor of. *See* Trippett.
- Hull—*cont.*
 Court of Record at, 223.
- Hume :
 Peter, yeoman of the removing Wardrobe, 106.
 —, letter from, 166.
 —, 256.
- Hungary, 336.
- Hungerford, Sir Edward, letter from (?), 27.
- Hunt :
 Captain, 74.
 Thomas, letter from, 170.
- Hunter :
 Captain, 52.
 Thomas, petition from, 318.
- Huntingdonshire :
 High Sheriff of, 98.
 Under Sheriff of. *See* Askham, Dingley.
- Huntingdon, letter dated at, 161.
- Huntingdon (Huntinton), John, signs certificates, 112, 114.
- Hurley, Father, 227.
- Hussey, Jane, letter from, 331.
 petition from, 330.
- Hutchings, —, 201, 202.
- Hutchins, Mr., 98.
- Hutton, Dr., 253, 260.
- Hyde :
 Captain, 76.
 Lady, 242.
 [Laurence, afterwards] Lord, 16.
- Hyères, Isle of, 137.
- Hyndford, [John] Carmichael, [1st] Earl of, 204.

I

- Iberville, Mons. de, 236.
- Impeachments, 40, 51.
- Imprests, Auditors of, 65, 356.
- Inagua (Hineago Isle), 224.
- Inchiquin, Lady Elizabeth, letter from, 140.
- Indian Sachem, an, 70.
- Indies, the, Company of Scotland.
 trading to, 64.
 —, secretary to, 149.
- Inglish, Robert. *See* English.
- Ingoldsby, R., signs document, 322.
- Ingram, William, deputy vice-admiral of Yorkshire, 220, 221.
 letter from, 222.
- Innes :
 William, letter from, 314
 of Coxton, 208.
 of Innes, 207.
- Inquisition, the, 215, 336.
- Interregnum :
 account of intrigues at the close of,
 10, 11, 12, 13, 14.
 condition of clergy during, 4, 5.
 state of parishes during, 6.
- Inverallochy, 206.

- Ipsen, Peter, and Company, case of, 200.
- Ireland and the Irish, 38, 66, 87, 112, 126, 156, 162, 171, 175, 179, 190, 191, 217, 224, 230, 245, 257, 269, 289, 328, 341, 361, 365, 371.
- Advocate General of, 4.
- Attorney General of, 282.
- Establishment of, 197.
- forfeited estates in, 86, 88, 197, 311.
- , trustees for sale of, 77, 101, 356.
- half pay list of, 377.
- Jesuits in, their cipher, 235.
- linen manufactory in, 98.
- linen and "usquebagh" from, 370.
- Lords Justices of. *See* Porter, Sir Charles. Coningsby, Thomas.
- Lord Lieutenant of, 190, 377.
- Papists in, 16, 236.
- Parliament of, the Speaker's conduct, 121.
- play-house in, 358.
- proposed address from, against the Union of Scotland and England, 255.
- Protestants in, disarming of, 51.
- reduction of, 381.
- Solicitor General of, 282.
- troops in the French service, 181, 308, 379.
- wars in, the, 322, 366.
- Irving, of Drum, 207.
- Irwin :
—, 195.
- Lady, memorandum of agreement of, 120.
- Isaacson, Anthony, petition of, 213.
- Ispahan, 149.
- Italy and the Italians, 171, 182, 210, 279, 336, 339.
- English success in, 247, 248.
- proposed neutrality in, 152.
- James I. King, 359.
- James II, King, 22, 23, 26, 27, 38, 54, 92, 123, 127, 152, 164, 260, 262, 330, 353, 354, 355, 365, 366.
- plot to restore, 34.
- proposal to decently inter, 91.
- Protestants attending on, 345.
- surveyor of mews to. *See* Norton, Colonel.
- validity of letters of Marque granted by, 37.
- James Edward, the Old Pretender, styled "Prince of Wales" and James VIII (Chevalier St. George), 63, 105, 231, 257, 258, 273, 279, 312, 313, 315.
- James, William, signs petition, 100.
- Jauny, Robert, 331.
- Jeffreys :
Lord Chief Justico, 151, 152.
- Captain, 211, 212.
- Jeff., 213.
- , letter from, 185.
- Jekyll, Sir Joseph, Chief Justice of Chester, 198.
- Jenifer, Daniel, 276.
- Jenison, Robert, of Wallworth, co. Durham, petition from, 361.
- Jenkinson, —, 255.
- Jenks :
B., letters from, 123, 286.
- David, 286.
- Jenner, Baron, 152.
- Jennings :
John, letter from, 84.
- Captain John, 107.
- , as Admiral Sir John, 182, 229, 284.
- Peter, agent for Prizes in America, his account, 50.
- Jersey, [Edward Villiers, 1st] Earl of, 63, 71, 74, 76, 106.
- Jersey, in America. *See* New Jersey.
- Jesuits, 258.
- their cipher, 235.
- Jews, 22, 96, 354.
- Joby, M., 296.
- Jodrell :
Edmond, 339.
- Paul, letters from, 100, 108.
- , memorial from, 355.
- , signs document, 210.
- Paul, junior, 355.
- John Dorey, the tale of, mentioned, 63.
- Johnson :
Alexander, 289.
- Captain, 230.
- Lawrence, 164.
- Johnston :
James, Clerk Registrar of Scotland, 142.
- Mr., 255.
- Nathaniel, 189.
- Sir Patriek, 250.
- , letter from, mentioned, 292.
- Johnstoun, Mr., speech of, mentioned, 131.
- Jolley, Mary, petition from, 375.
- Jolliff, Peter, 44.
- Jolly, Brigadier, 238.

Joly, Mons., letter from, 226.

Jones :

Edward, 160, 384.

Lieut.-Col. James, letter from, 265.

James, letter from, 112.

—, petition from, 361.

Jezreel, 290.

—, letter to, 244.

—, signs document, 330.

John, 380.

John, and Company, petition from, 167.

Mr., 111, 125, 129, 303, 376.

Richard, Sheriff of Wiltshire, letter from, 88.

Samuel, of Waltham Abbey, 339.

Sir William, speech by, 15, 16.

William, 354.

—, letter from, 161.

Jough, William de, affidavit of, 192, 193.

“Joula,” widow of, 363.

Joy or Joye, Redmond, 237, 246.

letters from, 236, 248.

petition from, 343.

Judge Admiral, the, 150.

Jurgens, Casper, 201.

K

Keech, William, signs petition, 320.

Keeper, Lord, 108, 149, 240. *See* Wright, Sir N.

Keith :

William, 344.

—, of Ludquharn, 123.

Kelly, a pirate. *See* Gillam, James.

Kemblin, Mrs., 335.

Kemp, John, Sergeant at Mace, 300.

Kemphorne, Samuel, 276.

Kems, of Kems, 204.

Kendall, George, renewal of commission to, 112.

Kendrick, William, petition from, 354.

Kennedy, of Cullen, 203.

Kensington, Viscount, title of, 325.

Kensington, 62, 216, 227, 345, 346, 353.

letters dated at, 281, 282, 283, 348, 380.

Kent :

[Anthony Grey, 11th] Earl of, 108.

[Henry Grey, 12th] Earl, afterwards Marquis, afterwards Duke of, Lord Chamberlain, 190, 340.

Kent, 215, 224.

Vice-Admiralty Court of, registry of, 351.

Kenten, Mr., 99.

Kentish Knock, the, 53.

Kentish Lottery, the, 65.

Kentish petition, the, warrant relating to, 87. *See also* 90, 91.

Kerr :

Commodore, 284.

Mr., letters to, 312, 313.

—, letter from, 312.

Kerton, Captain, 380.

Kesler, Johan Adolph, petition from, 384.

Ketelby, Edward, his case, 42.

Key :

Captain, his case, 88.

Mr., letter from, 98.

Kidd, Captain William, 46, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 149.

letter from, 78.

his defence and protest, 78, 79, 80.

information against, 62, 65, 66.

summary of documents relating to, 81, 82, 83.

Kiddington, co. Oxon., 330.

Kildare, report dated at, 341.

Kier, of Kier, 206.

Kilkenny, Dean of, 322.

Killigrew, Admiral Henry, 30, 324.

petition of, report on, 215.

Kilmarnock [William] Boyd, [3rd] Earl of, 203.

Kinecardine, Sir Alexander [Bruce, 6th]

Earl of, 205, 206, 249, 263, 347.

Kinecardine, shire of. *See* Mearns, the.

King :

Dr., 282.

William, 121.

King's or Queen's Bench :

court of, 20, 357.

office, 164.

Kingsclere, in Hampshire, 212.

King's Evil, the, 319.

Kingsland fair, 270.

Kingsmill, Mr., 211.

King's tenth, the, of a French prize, 83.

Kingston, [Archibald] Seton, [2nd] Viscount, 205.

Kingston-upon-Hull. *See* Hull.

Kingston-upon-Thames, gaol of, 345, 349, 361.

Kinnaird, Lord Killsture, [? Patrick, Lord, of Inchture], 206.

Kinnoul, [Thomas Hay, 6th] Earl of, 339.

Kinsale, 53, 81, 128, 232, 368.

letter dated at, 172.

mayor of, 282.

Kintore [John] Keith, [1st] Earl of, 207.

Kirk or Kirke :

Major-General [Percy], 67, 349.

Mr., a page of the back-stairs, 383.

Thomas, signs document, 171.

Klaas, Gommer Van Bortel, public notary, 160.

Knees, Henry, petition of, 318.

—, petition of, mentioned, 126.

Knight :

Sir John, 21.

William, 347.

Kute, [? Kotor], 336.

L

Lace, Henry, petition from, 318.
 Lacoste, —, a French Protestant, 68.
 Lacy, Patrick, Clerk of the Queen Dowager's Council, petition from, 326.
 Læen, Van der, Lady, petition from, 158, 159, 160, 161.
 Lagos, 36.
 letter dated at, 124.
 La Hogue (?), line of battle at, 35.
 Lake :
 —, 302.
 Edward, grant of a baronetcy to, 4.
 Lamb, Peter, 323.
 Lambanett :
 Henry, petition from, 361.
 Sarah, petition from, 361.
 Lambert, John (Major-General), 11, 12, 13.
 Lambeth, Council at, 18.
 La Mellonière, Colonel, his regiment, 329.
 Lamothe, C., signs document, 334.
 Lamouline, Paul Robert, 55.
 Lancashire, 266, 280.
 elections in, 253.
 Lancaster, Duchy of :
 Chancellor of, 339. *See* Derby, Lord.
 letters-under seal of, 339.
 supervisors of North parts of, 339.
 Landlords, ruin of, by taxes, 96.
 Land's End, 230.
 Land tax, the, criticism of, 96.
 Landry, M. de la Tour, 173.
 Lane, Sir Thomas, 331.
 Lange, Gustavus, letter from, 40.
 Langeron, Marquis de, 144, 145.
 Langham, Thomas, sheriff of Northamptonshire, signs petition, 56.
 Langlands, of Langlands, 203.
 Langton-juxta-Hornecastle, manor of, grant of, mentioned, 120.
 Languedoc, 136, 181.
 Lanham, Mr., —, 92, 93.
 Lapsford, Captain, 237.
 Largs, Laird of, 276.
 La Rochelle. *See* Rochelle.
 Lauderdale, [John Maitland, 2nd Earl of, and] Duke of, Secretary of State for Scotland, 205, 371.
 La Vallière, Major de, 76.
 Lavallin :
 James, 356.
 Melchior, 356.
 Patrick, 356.
 Peter, 356.
 La Villette, ensign, 173.
 Law, International, case relating to, 118, 119, 120.
 Law, John, petition from, 320.

Lawrence :
 Captain, 163.
 Christian, 376.
 Francis, petition from, 376.
 Laycock, Captain Martin, 361.
 letter from, 162.
 petition from, 362.
 Leach, John, 169.
 Lead, reasons against a duty on, 48.
 Leake, Captain John, 102, 103.
 as Admiral Sir John, 227, 302, 342.
 Lecocq, Peter, 276.
 Lecheraine, Count de, memorial from, 171.
 Lee :
 Lieut.-General, his regiment, 181.
 Mr., 325.
 Sir Thomas, 18.
 Leedes, Anthony, petition from, 323.
 Leeds, [Thomas Osborne, 1st] Duke of, letter from, mentioned, 86.
 Lees, Baron of, title of, 325.
 Leeward Islands, the, 53, 78, 82.
 governor of. *See* Codrington.
 letters from, mentioned, 235.
 Legg, Captain Thomas, petition from, 342.
 Legondez, Mons., 307.
 Leghorn, 118, 119, 180, 185, 190, 295.
 Leicestershire, 107.
 Leighton, Colonel Baldwin, 332, 355.
 petition from, 336.
 Leith, 320.
 keeper of the Coquet seal at, 112.
 Lem, Nicholas, 288.
 Leman, Ensign Charles, letter from, 212.
 Lemon, Count, governor of Dunkirk, 239.
 Le Moyne. *See* Brie.
 Lennox, shire of, 373.
 Lens, Mr., 290.
 Leominster, [co, Hereford], 270.
 letters dated at, 157, 269.
 Lesley, Lesly :
 Dean, 279.
 [Patrick] of Buccleugh [Balquhain] Count of the Empire, 207.
 —, of Lord Donegal's regiment, 123.
 Letter Office, the. *See* under Post Office.
 Levant Company, the, petition of, 3.
 Leven, [David] Leslie, [5th] Earl of, 142, 206, 315, 317.
 letter from, 130.
 Lewis :
 Erasmus, 210, 224, 237, 274, 377.
 —, letters from, 252, 291.
 —, letters to, 149, 181, 215, 221, 224, 232, 251, 254, 260, 271, 273, 350.
 —, memoranda by, 210, 217.
 —, endorses documents, 180, 293, 300, 319, 353, 358, 375, 380.
 Mr., 111, 188, 197, 331.
 R., letter from, 87.

- Leyoncrona, C., 221, 281, 318, 380.
 letters from, 116, 147, 162, 190, 198, 215.
- Lichfield, [Edward Henry] Earl of, petition from, 330.
- Lichfield, 175, 239, 243.
 letters dated at, 183, 185, 199, 209, 219, 238, 275, 292, 307.
 Sheriff of, 243.
- Liddesdale, shire of, 373.
- Liddle, Samuel, 208.
- "Lilly Bur Lero," the tune of, 63.
- Limerick, Lord (1702), letter from, 99.
- Limerick, 114, 191, 233.
- Lillingston, Colonel, 265.
 his regiment, 185.
- Lima, 284.
- Limon, in Piedmont, 291.
- Lincolnshire, election of sheriffs of, 197.
- Lindores, [David] Leslie, [5th] Lord, 206.
- Lindsay :
 David, 300.
 —, letters from, 229, 379.
 of Eagle, 206.
- Linen, manufactory of, in Ireland, 98.
- Lingen, Sir Harry, his estate in Hereford, 5.
- Linlithgow, [James] Livingston, [5th] Earl of, 205.
- Lionne, le Comte de, letters from, 183, 185.
- Lisbon, 110, 161, 233, 235, 287, 333, 335, 342, 380.
 letter dated at, 293.
 intelligence from, 36.
 packet boats to, 277.
- Lisborne, Lord, his regiment, 322.
- Lisle, Edward, letter from, 211.
- Lister, (Lester, Leicester) :
 Alice, petition from, 344.
 Lieutenant Thomas, 344.
- Littleton :
 Dr., 38.
 Sir Thomas, speaker, letter to, 72.
- Liverpool, 43.
 collectorship of Customs at, 213.
 election at, 43.
 inhabitants of, address of, 61.
- Livesay, Colonel, his regiment, 192.
- Livingstone or Livingston :
 —, bond of, mentioned, 83.
 Mr., 73, 74.
 Robert, of Albany, 46, 78, 79, 80.
- Lizard Point, the, 53, 155, 172, 177.
- Llanellen, tithes of, 322.
- Llanthewy Rytneck, tithes of, 322.
- Llanvihangel Crucorney, tithes of, 322.
- Llanvilling, "outride" of, in Montgomeryshire, 157.
- Lloyd :
 Lieutenant, 211.
 Major, letter from, 193.
 Richard. *See* Floyd.
 Robert Lumley, petition from, 327.
 Captain Thomas, letter from, 200.
 Thomas, 38.
 William, 7.
- Loades, Captain Edmund, certificate from, 380.
- Locher, —, 217.
- Lock :
 Mr., 187.
 Robert, case of, 29.
- Lockhart :
 Colonel William, his petition to the House of Commons, 6.
 of Carnwath, 204.
 of Lee, 204.
- London, 12, 21, 29, 115, 122, 126, 127, 135, 155, 163, 176, 211, 217, 224, 225, 266, 298, 313, 314, 333.
 letters dated at, 59, 64, 90, 96, 98, 102, 105, 106, 110, 111, 114, 116, 123, 147, 167, 168, 170, 174, 180, 185, 198, 214, 222, 223, 228, 229, 235, 244, 246, 278, 284, 291, 292, 294, 295, 298, 299, 300, 305.
 burning of, ascribed to Papists, 17.
 comptroller of. *See* Stracey.
 customs of, officers in, 214.
 Lord Mayor of, 81. *See also* Withers.
 merchants of, 296, 331.
 —, petition from, 301.
 Papists in, memorial relating to, 67.
 port of, 188.
 the Recorder of, 273.
 the Sergeant of, 273.
 Sheriffs of, order to, 300.
 thieves in, information relating to, 306, 307.
- London and Westminster, places in or near :
 Albemarle Street, letter dated at, 180.
 Arundel Street, Strand, letter dated at, 269.
 Bartolemey Close, 231.
 Birch Lane, 57.
 Bow Street, alleged meetings in, 13.
 Brown's coffee house, 158.
 Charing Cross, 68.
 —, Allan's coffee house in, 111.
 Charterhouse, the, 326.
 Cheapside, 306, 352.
 —, an eating house in, 217.
 the Cockpit, 241, 299, 332.
 Coleman Street, letter dated at, 122.
 Cornhill, 174, 306.
 Doctor's Commons, letters dated at, 119, 126, 139, 140, 150, 163, 166, 175, 181, 189, 193, 213, 219, 221, 237.
 Dover Street, 79.
 Drury Lane, 68.
 Duke Street, old Admiralty Office in, 59.
 Exchange, the, 19.
 —, French Presbyterian Church behind, 363.
 Excise Office, document dated at, 124.

London and Westminster, places in or near—*cont.*

- Fisher Street, Red Lion Square, letter dated at, 91.
 Fleet prison, 84, 86.
 —, letter dated at, 377.
 —, petition from debtors in, 99.
 —, wardenship of, 332, 336, 355.
 Fleet Street, Castle Tavern in, 90.
 Hyde Park Corner, 289.
 the King's Bench prison, 86, 320.
 Lincolns Inn, letters dated at, 124, 287.
 —, a porter of. *See* Wilson, Thomas.
 Ludgate Hill, the "Three Kings" in, 306.
 Ludgate prison, petition from debtors in, 100.
 the Marshalsea, 273, 305, 323, 362, 369.
 —, letters dated at, 305.
 the Mews, 279.
 Mile End, 76.
 New Court Inn, Swithin's Lane, letter dated at, 170.
 Newgate market, 383.
 — prison, 177, 241, 284, 289, 297, 306, 319, 320, 321, 338, 340, 342, 350, 351, 353, 370, 376, 380, 381.
 — —, letters dated at, 225, 226, 227, 229, 230, 231, 237, 246.
 — —, common side, 283, 355.
 — —, keeper of, 168, 273.
See Fell.
 — —, certificate signed by prisoners in, 248.
 Old Bailey, 225, 290, 335.
 —, court of, 273.
 —, sessions at, 177, 244.
 —, "the Bell" in, 306.
 the Press yard, letter dated at, 232.
 Queen's Court, opposite St. Dunstan's Church, 160.
 St. Giles, parish of, 381.
 St. James', 68.
 —, Cabinet council at, 324.
 —, document dated at, 162.
 —, French Chapel of, minister of. *See* Mesnard.
 —, surveyor of stables at. *See* Bancks, William. Negus, Francis.
 —, warrant dated at, 326.
 —, House, letter dated at, 181.
 —, Palace, 108, 121.
 St. Margaret's parish, church-wardens of, petition from, 383.
 St. Martin's Lane, in the Strand, 98.
 St. Paul's Cathedral, 219.
 —, re-building of, 277.
 —, —, fund for, 81.
 —, Queen Anne's visit to, 217.
 St. Swithin's Lane, letter dated at, 174.
 St. Thomas' Hospital, Southwark, 51.

London and Westminster, places in or near—*cont.*

- the Savoy, 160.
 —, French church of, 363.
 —, minister of. *See* Lamothe, C.
 —, the "Marshall's" in, 270, 369.
 Scotland Yard, 358.
 Soho Square, Sir Robert Rich's house in, 59.
 Somerset House, 212.
 — Chapel, 21.
 Swift's Lane, near London Stone, 231.
 Temple Bar, Lamb Inn near, letter dated at, 269.
 Temple, the (Inner), letters dated at, 166, 170, 177, 238, 271.
 Temple [Stairs], the, 35.
 the Tower, 8, 10, 20.
 — Hill, 218.
 — liberty, 27.
 —, works done at, 286.
 —, keeper of records at. *See* Petyt.
 Vere Street, near Clare Market, 231.
 Westmoreland Court, "the Bible" in, 382.
 Whitehall, 19, 23, 44, 279, 281, 358, 362.
 —, letters dated at, 227, 252, 283, 284, 300, 334.
 —, works done at, 286.
 Wood Street Compter, 300.
 Wyam Court, in Great Russell Street, letter addressed to, 299.
 York Buildings, letter dated at, 35.
 London, Bishop of. *See* Compton, Henry.
 Londonderry, (Derry), 185.
 garrison 311.
 governor of. *See* Michelbourne.
 siege of, 51, 67, 112.
 Long :
 Captain Richard, petition from, 343.
 —, letters from, 238, 241, 300.
 Longreach, the, in the Thames, 187.
 Loots, Paulus, 333.
 Lords, House of, 15, 17, 18, 20, 32, 100, 108, 197, 214, 321, 325, 336, 347, 349.
 —, issue of writs for meeting of, 292.
 —, petition to, 19.
 Lorraine, Duke of, his troops, 182.
 Lorraine, M. le Bailly de, 145.
 Lothian, [William] Ker [2nd] Marquis of, 203, 205.
 Lothians, the, address from, against the Union, 260.
 Loudoun, [Hugh] Campbell, [3rd] Earl of, 203, 298.
 Lovat :
 [? Hugh], Lord, a minor, 207, 208.
 (Simon Fraser), Lord. *See* Fraser.

Lovell, S. [? Sir Salathiel], signs document, 285.

Low :

Mary, petition from, 353.

Richard, 353.

Low Countries, tho, 198, 214. *And see* Flanders.

Lowen :

—, 253.

Roger, 259.

Lowestoft, 132.

Lowman, Henry, letters from, 281, 282, 283.

—, —, —, letters to, 281, 283.

Lowndes :

Mary, petition from, 342.

Mr., 158, 161.

William, 342.

—, letter from, 169.

Luche, Mons. de, 173.

Ludgershall, co. Wilts., 28.

Ludlow, co. Salop, 42.

letter dated at, 270.

Ludlow Castle, 129.

proposed grant of, to Ludlow Corporation, 125.

Lulworth, co. Dorset, 44.

Lumley (Lomley) [Richard] Viscount, 21.

Lundy, of Lundy, 206.

Lundy Island, 155.

Lush, John, of Motcombe, 92, 93.

Luttrell, Narcissus, 77.

Luxembourg, Duke of, Marshal, 36.

Lyddell, Mr., 111.

Lyford, Thomas, petition from, 345.

Lymington, 45.

Lyngoe, Captain, 192.

Lynn, Samuel, signs document, 323.

Lytens, Martin, master of the *St. Peter*, 237.

M

Mc Clellan, Sir Samuel, Lord Provost of Edinburgh, 251.

McDonald :

Captain of the Clanronald, 207, 208.

of Glengarry, 207, 208.

of Skye, 207.

of Slait, 207, 208.

Macdonell *or* Macdonald, Hannah, 224.

—, petition from, 340.

James, 340.

John, 340.

Mc Douall, of Garthland, 204.

Machines, "gignills" for manufacture of cloth in Gloucestershire, 2.

Mc Intosh, of Mc Intosh, 207.

Mackartney, Colonel, 213.

Mc Kay, of Bighouse, 208.

Mackay, Colonel Robert, his regiment, 87.

Mackenzie :

[Alexander ?], case of, 183.

Roderick, secretary of the Scotch African Company, 149.

of Assin, 208.

of Couly, Gerlock, and Scatwell, 208.

Macleane :

Sir John, 123.

—, certificate of, 329.

Lady, 123.

McLoard, of McLoard, 208.

Macmillan, —, letter from, mentioned, 313.

McNeill, Robert, petition from, 319.

McPherson, of McPherson and Cluny, 208.

Mactire, Henry, letter from, 201.

Madagascar, 65, 74, 78, 178, 179, 265. piracy in, 293, 294, 347.

Madder, Mr., 180.

Maderty, Lord, (1706), 205.

Madox, Milbourn, petition from, 375.

Maes, Mr., of Ostend, advocate, 239.

Magett, Mr., 230.

Magrath, Miles, memorial of, 123.

Mahomet, Hagie, of Aleppo, petition from, 362.

Mahoney's regiment, in Spain, 181.

Maidstone, 247.

letter dated at, 214.

Maintenon, Madame de, 173.

Mair, Captain John, 123.

Malaga, 53.

battle of, account of, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147.

—, list of killed and wounded in, 140.

Malard, Michael, petition from, 362.

Malloch :

Rawlin, 88.

Roger, 88.

Mallory, Elizabeth, petition from, 86.

Malt, duty on, 96.

Malpas, rector of. *See* Dod.

Maltsoff, Timothy, 282.

Manchester, 43.

Manley, Thomas, 214.

Manners, Thomas, of North Milford, deposition of, 324.

Mansel, Sir Edward, of Margam, petition from, 347.

Manser, Jane, 242, 243.

Mansfield [co. Notts.], 12.

Manston, Captain John, 186.

Mantua, Duke of, 279.

Manwaring, Mr., 353.

Mar, [John, 22nd] Earl of, 205, 207, 261, 292, 298, 379.

letter to, 229.

letters from, 227, 229, 300.

March [William] Douglas, [2nd] Earl of, 203.

Marchmont, [Sir Patrick Hume, 1st] Earl of, 203, 261.

Marden, co. Hereford, petition from parishioners of, 5.

- Marischal, [William] Keith, [9th] Earl of, 206, 207, 256.
 Margate (Margats) Bay, 53.
 Marines, the, 93, 311.
 Maritan, in Madagascar, 176.
 Marlborough :
 [John Churchill], Earl of, afterwards 1st Duke of, 117, 131, 167, 168, 171, 182, 199, 201, 213, 217, 237, 253, 255, 275, 279, 280, 293, 303, 307, 308, 315, 316, 317, 319, 321, 340, 349, 362, 377, 379.
 —, letter from, 322.
 —, memorial to, 162.
 —, petition to, mentioned, 359.
 [Sarah] Duchess of, 253, 255.
 Marseilles, French galleys at, 291.
 Marston, letter dated at, 218.
 Martiens, Captain George, 107.
 Martin, William, letter from, 305.
 Martinique, (Martinico) Island of, 128, 233, 236.
 document dated at, 301.
 Governor of, 235.
 Mary I, Queen, 17.
 Mary II, Queen, 30, 44, 86, 93, 373, petition to, 35.
 memorial to, 37.
 Mary of Modena, Queen of James II, (Queen Dowager), 212, 279, 339.
 Maryland, 358.
 Mason :
 Captain, 324.
 Gawen, letters to, 248, 269, 270.
 Lieutenant Joseph, 174.
 Massachusetts Bay, Governor and Council of, 68, 69, 76. *See also* Bellamont, Earl of.
 Massey, Elizabeth, petition from, 384.
 Massie, Sir Edward, 9, 10.
 Masters, Mr., 125.
 Mather, Mr., 194.
 Mathew, George, 34.
 Matthews, Peter, 337.
 Maurice, Lieut.-Col., letter from, 199.
 Mauritius, 176.
 Mayott, John, signs certificate, 87.
 petitions from, 327, 370.
 Maxwell, of Morith, 204.
 Maze of Holland, the, 246.
 Meares, Sampson, letter from, 278.
 Mearns, (Mernse) the, [now Kincardine], shire of, 206.
 Meath, 88.
 Meath, [Edward Brabazon], 4th Earl of, certificate from, 323.
 Mediterranean, the, 50, 233.
 Medley, Samuel, 220, 222.
 Medway, the river, 351.
 Meeston Mills, 326.
 Melcombe Regis [co. Dorset], 277.
 Meldrum, Mr., 259.
 Melfort, [John Drummond, 1st] Earl of, 232.
 Mellichamp, William, letter from, 278.
 Mellor, Edward, petition of, mentioned, 189.
 Melville, [George] Melville, [1st] Earl of, 206.
 Merchants, Court of, recommended, 3.
 Merriden, co. Warwick, 123.
 Merry, Anthony, petition from, 348.
 Mesnard :
 J., signs document, 334.
 Ph., signs document, 334.
 Messelière, Marquis de la, letter from, 303.
 memorial from, 175.
 Messina, the French at, 137.
 Methley, letter dated at, 222.
 Methuen, John, Chancellor [of Ireland], 63.
 as ambassador to Portugal, 245.
 —, letter from, 277.
 Meure, Abraham, letter from, 224.
 Meuves, Stephen de, petition from, 334.
 Michelburne, Colonel John, petition from, 66.
 his regiment, 66, 67, 112.
 Middlesex, 127.
 Sheriff of, 127.
 Middleburg, in Zealand, 20.
 Middleton :
 Nathaniel, 89.
 Sir William, 92.
 Milan, government of, 261.
 Miles :
 Captain, 380.
 John, 29.
 Mr., letter from, mentioned, 174.
 Miller :
 Margaret, petition from, 374.
 Mr., 81.
 Mills, Leonard, deposition by, 184.
 Millward, Robert, letter from, 295.
 Milton, (Melton), John, daughter of. *See* Clark, Deborah.
 Milton [co. Kent], lord of the manor of, 351.
 Miquettes, the, 227.
 Miremont, Marquis de, 163, 212, 214.
 Mitchell :
 Sir David, 162, 240.
 —, letter from, 180.
 —, signs documents, 148, 216, 318.
 Captain Hercules, 284.
 Martha, memorial from, 284.
 Modena, Princess of. *See* Mary.
 Moderate Churchmen, their reputed object, 54.
 Mohun, [Charles, 5th] Lord, his regiment, 213.
 Molesworth, Mr., 43.
 Molineux, Dr., 95.
 Molyneux, [William, 4th] Viscount, 62.
 Molyneux, Mr., 61, 62.
 Mombasa, 294.
 Mona, the island of, 82.
 Monk, George, afterwards 1st Duke of Albemarle, 12.
 Monmouth, James Scott, Duke of, 152, 371.
 his regiment, 367.
 Monmouth, county of, 322.
 Justice of the Peace for. *See* Price, Christopher.
 Monnylary, co. Waterford, 341.

- Monroe, Colonel Henry, petition from, 311.
- Montagu, Montague :
 [Ralph Montagu, 1st] Earl and Duke of, 106, 254.
 J., signs document, 210.
 Sir James, Solicitor General, reports by, 288, 351.
 —, 19.
- Montbéliard, Prince of, his regiment, 346.
- Monteton, Mons., 135.
- Montgomery, county of, 140, 199.
- Montgomery :
 Hugh, provost of Glasgow, 251, 256.
 Mr., 299.
- Montpellier, 136.
- Montrose, [James Graham, 4th] Marquis of, afterwards 1st Duke of, 204, 205, 251, 256, 298.
- Montserrat, 236.
- Moore :
 Charles, petition from, 352.
 Edward, petition from, 363.
 Serjeant Francis, 1.
 John, bishop of Norwich, 254.
 Mr., 63.
 of Rawellen, 203.
- Moor Hall, co. Norfolk, 120.
- Moray (Murray), county of, (including Elgin, Forres, and Nairn), 207, 374.
- Mordant, Brigadier General, 247.
- Mordaunt, [John] Lord, eldest son of the 3rd Earl of Peterborough, 94.
 his regiment, 210.
- Mordington :
 [James] Douglas [3rd] Lord, 203.
 George, Viscount, petition from, 340.
- Morgan :
 Daniel, 185.
 George, 21.
 Mr., 358.
 Peter, 185.
 Thomas, 185.
 —, agent, 337.
- Morice :
 Nicholas, petition from, 330.
 Sir William, 330.
- Morienne, Mons. de, 173.
- Morley :
 George, signs document, 166.
 —, 94.
- Morocco, King of, his army, 244.
- Morris, Richard, 273.
- Morrison, of Prestongrange, 205.
- Morton, [James] Douglas, 12th Earl of, 206.
- Moryson, Henry, signs document, 342.
- Mounsher, John, receipt from, 102.
- Mountain, Joseph, 223.
- Mountford (Montford), —, 322.
- Mountjoy, [Thomas Windsor], Lord, 247.
- Mowld, Alderman, of Hull, letter to, 222.
- Moyle, Joseph, 330.
- Mugg, Captain, 158.
- Mulgrave [John Sheffield, 3rd] Earl of, (afterwards Marquis of Normanby), his regiment, 18.
- Mulledy, Sir Anthony, 101.
- Munden, Rear - Admiral Sir John, (Jack), 109, 324.
- Mundy, Major, 246.
- Munro :
 of Culrain, 208.
 of Fowlis, 208.
- Murdock, of Murdock, 204.
- Murray :
 [Charles] Steuart, [6th] Earl of, 207, 208.
 Gibeon, petition from, 339.
 James, 289, 351.
 —, petition from, 350.
 Captain John, 129.
 —, 313.
 of Broughton, 204.
 of Polrossy, 208.
 of Pomais, 205, 206.
- Muscat, Arabs at, 294.
- Musgrave :
 Sir Christopher, 62, 84, 96.
 —, letter to, 195.
 Richard, letter from, 177.

N

- N——, P——, letter from, 228.
- Nairne, Sir David, 295.
 letters from, 283, 284, 286.
- Namur, 161.
 first siege of, 117.
- Nanfan, Captain John, petition from, 337.
- Nantes, 176, 192, 233.
- Naturalisations, account of (1703-4), 164.
- Navy, the. *See under Ships.*
 Board, 53, 58, 96, 102, 111, 121, 178, 278.
 —, letters to, 40, 121.
 —, estimate from, 8.
 —, order to, 52.
 Lists, 39, 46, 48, 51, 53, 118, 126, 254,
 —, proposed remedy for the defects of, 44.
 Office, 35, 48, 338.
 —, documents dated at, 51, 67, 81, 110, 111, 154, 161, 288, 290.
- Neale, [Dr. Richard], Bishop, 3.
- Nealson, —, mayor of Berwick, 175.
- Negus, Francis, 346.
- Nelmes :
 Caleb, 269.
 John, letter from, 269.
- Nemond, Mons. de, 173.
- Nesmond, Monsieur, 50.
- Nether Hall, co. Essex, manor of, 120.

- Netherlands, the. *See* Holland. Low Countries. Flanders.
 Spanish, 253.
 East India Company of, 359.
- Netherseal [co. Leicester], 326.
- Netterville:
 John, ease of, 197.
 John, letter from, 278.
- Neutrals, opinion relating to rights of, in time of war, 118, 119, 120.
- Neville, Admiral, 37.
- Neville, Thomas, petition from, 364.
- Nevis, island of, 66, 235, 236.
 letter dated at, 62.
 inhabitants of, 274.
- Nevis point, 228.
- Newark, Lord (1706), 206.
- Newbery, Henry, letter from, 357.
- Newbury, gaol at, 211, 212.
- Newcastle, 122, 126, 350.
 letter dated at, 154.
 merchants of, letter from, 208.
- Newcastle, [John Holles, 3rd] Duke of, 280.
- New England, 66, 80.
 fish from, 235.
 Governor of. *See* Bellamont.
- Newfoundland, 103, 322.
 Company of Foot at, 191.
 proposal to seize, 168.
- New Hampshire, assembly of, 75.
- New Jersey, 141.
 Lieutenant-Governor of. *See* Collier.
- Newman, Mr., 164, 166, 278, 279.
- Newmarket, 193, 253.
- Newport, in Flanders. *See* Nieuport.
- Newport, (Glasgow), 175.
- Newport, Isle of Wight, 360.
- New Radnor. *See* Radnor, New.
- News letters, 249, 252, 254, 256, 259.
- Newstead, Captain Richard, petition from, 311.
- Newton:
 Dr., 38.
 Sir John, 197.
 Samuel, letter from, 168.
 William, 213, 215.
- New York, 73, 74, 76, 78, 79, 80, 82, 93, 98, 128, 201, 330, 331.
 fort at, 72.
 Governor of. *See* Atwood, Bellamont.
 Lieutenant Governor of. *See* Nanfan.
- Niblett, Joshua, letter from, 246.
- Nice, 291.
- Nicholas, Mr., 323.
 certificate of, 375.
 his office, 347.
- Nicolls, John, 184.
- Nielsen, Niels, of Stockholm, petition from, 323.
- Nieuport, in Flanders, 126.
 English Carthusian monks at, petition from, 359.
- Nisbet, of Dirlton, 205.
- Nithsdale, shire of, 373.
- Nithsdale, [William] Maxwell, [5th] Earl of, 203, 373.
- Niza, 137.
- Non-Associators, the, 54.
- Nonconformists, the, 54.
 petition from, 14, 15.
- Non-Jurors, the, 54.
- Nore, the, 65, 89.
 the buoy in the, 80, 215.
 letter dated at, 133.
- Norfolk, Duchess of, 77.
- Norris:
 Sir John, letter from, 291.
 Thomas, letter from, 43.
- North:
 [William North, 6th] Lord [and 2nd] Lord Grey, 183, 184.
- Northamptonshire, Sheriff of. *See* Langham, Thomas.
- Northampton, gaol at, 384.
 —, mayor of. *See* Hoare.
- Northaw, co. Herts., letter dated at, 148.
- Northend, letters dated at, 242, 299.
- Northesk, [David] Carnegie, [4th] Earl of, 206.
- Northey, Sir Edward, (Attorney-General), letters from, 219, 241.
 —, —, reports by, 327, 330, 348.
- North Foreland, 53.
- Northleigh, co. Oxon, 330.
- North seas, the, 50.
 fishery in, 89.
- Northumberland, 350.
- Norton:
 Colonel Ambrose, petition from, 346.
 William, signs document, 171.
- Norwich. Bishop of. *See* Moore, John.
- Nott, Major, deputy Governor of Berwick, 181.
- Nottingham, county of, 219.
- Nottingham, 12, 235, 292.
 letters dated at, 199, 225, 226, 238, 296, 307.
- Nottingham [Daniel Finch, 2nd] Earl of, 35, 105, 107, 108, 110, 278, 280, 317, 329.
 signs document, 109.
- Nowell, Thomas, 221.
- Nutin:
 John, 364.
 Mary, petition from, 364.
- Nutting, Mr., paymaster of transports, 186.
- O.
- Oaths, 22, 26, 327.
 petition of Nonconformists relating to, 14, 15.
 of Abjuration, 94, 104, 240, 250.
 of Allegiance, 104.
 of Assurance, in Scotland, 104.
 of Obedience, 189.
 of Supremacy, 189.

O'Brien, Lady Catherine, executors of, 360.
 Ockley, John, affidavit of, 320.
 Odall, —, 71.
 Ogilby:
 Lieut. Bartholomew, signs document, 352.
 John, petition from, 319.
 Ogle:
 Henry, signs petition, 100.
 Samuel, letter from, 174.
 Ogleshorp, Sir Theophilus, 63.
 Ogmores, castle and lordship of, 347.
 Okeover:
 Captain Humphrey, 92.
 Sarah, memorial from, 92.
 Old Road, St. Christopher's, 228.
 Oldys, Dr., Advocate of the Admiralty, his case, 37.
 Oliphant, [Charles, 7th] Lord, 207.
 O'Moriarty, Thomas, petition from, 354.
 Onslow or Onslowe:
 Denzil, signs petition, 357.
 Sir Richard, signs petition, 357.
 —, letters to, 357.
 Mr., 183.
 Oporto, 135.
 Opperoelt, Gaspar Van, 159.
 Ordnance:
 Board of, 340.
 estimates for supply of, 8.
 Oreson, (near Plymouth), 83.
 Orford, [Edward Russell], Earl of, 56, 61, 64, 65, 83.
 letter to, 78.
 charges against, 58, 59.
 preamble to patent of creation, 48.
 Orkney, isle of, 374.
 Orkney and Caithness, collector of, 331.
 Orkney, [George Hamilton, 1st] Earl of, letter to, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269.
 signs document, 322.
 Ormond [James Butler, 2nd] Duke of, 214, 231, 279, 302, 341, 377.
 —, letter from, 305.
 Duchess of, 328.
 Ormskirk, [co. Lanc.], 93.
 Orotava, port of, 245.
 Ostend, 131, 180, 239, 288.
 capture of, 380.
 letter dated at, 293.
 Oswestry, co. Salop, 27.
 Otterton, [co. Devon], 186.
 Over Hall, co. Essex, manor of, 120.
 Overseal [co. Leic.], 326.
 Overton:
 Benjamin, 89.
 Ebenezer, 89.
 Oviat, John, 168.
 petition from, 324, 325.
 Owen, Sir Arthur, justice of Pembroke-shire, 97.

Owens, Edward, petition from, 384.
 Owlbury, 64.
 Oxenford, [Robert] McGill, [2nd] Viscount, 205.
 Oxford, royal grant given at, 4.
 Oxford University, 167, 215.
 Christ Church, 280.
 Gloucester Hall, 115.
 Greek students at, 115.
 St. John's College, a fellow of.
See Davis, Dr. William.
 Swedish student at, 303.
 Oxford, [Aubrey de Vere, 20th] Earl of, 10.
 his regiment, 18, 365.
 Ozell, John, letter from, 269.

P.

Page, Matthew, petition from, 324.
 Paget, [William Paget, 6th] Lord, 115.
 Pagett, H., signs documents, 148, 216.
 Palmer:
 Joseph, a pirate, 70, 71.
 —, deposition of, mentioned.
 73, 75, 76.
 Robert, case of, mentioned, 89.
 Palmes, Brigadier, 326.
 Palmeto Point, St. Christopher's, 228.
 Pamphlets:
 election, 52, 54.
 political, 62, 63.
Criticism of the terms of the Union with Scotland, 240, 241.
Of the Fleet and Sir George Rooke, 135, 136.
Sir Roland Gwynne's letter to the Earl of Stamford, 349, 353.
Jura Populi Anglicani, 90.
A Marriage betwixt Brutus and Fergus, 253.
the Observer, 109.
Oxford Almanack, 214, 215.
Reasons for reducing the Pirates at Madagasear, 294.
 Panama, 284.
 Panmure, [James] Maule, [4th] Earl of, 206.
 Papillon, Philip, Cashier of the Victualling, 64, 65.
 receipt from, 102.
 Papists, the. *See* Roman Catholics.
 Paris, 191, 232, 334, 346.
 letters dated at, 199, 224, 235, 237, 271.
 the Bastille, 183, 184.
 Reformed Church of, pastor of.
See Mesnard, J.
 Parkhurst, Mr., 161.

- Parliament, the, 10, 11, 14, 40, 109, 141, 172, 197, 214, 249, 250, 280, 292.
- Acts of. *See under* Acts.
- Committee for demolishing the Monuments of Superstition and Idolotry, certificate to, 4.
- Committee for Plundered Ministers, petition to, 5.
- Committee to consider the state of the Navy and Trade, minutes of, 309.
- debates in, publishing of, proposal relating to, 156, 157.
- elections of members for, 10, 27.
- , pamphlets issued at, 52, 54.
- the Long, 9.
- memorial to, 91.
- notes for a speech in, 55, 56.
- order of, relating to the Post Office, 5.
- proposed Committee for the regulation of Trade, 47.
- the Rump, 11, 12.
- Parry, Mr., a Commissioner of Excise, 46, 96, 111.
- Parsons:
- , 228.
- John, 320.
- Parties, political, analysis of (1698), 54.
- Partington, Captain Henry, 186.
- Paschal, —, 161.
- Paterson *or* Patterson:
- Mr., 227, 275.
- , letter to, 190.
- William, 109, 296.
- , letters from, 178, 179, 180, 243, 251, 254, 260, 273, 274, 281, 303.
- Paton, John, junior, 168.
- Paty, John, 325.
- Paulett, Lord William, 100.
- Paucefort, Tracy, report of, 311.
- Peddar, Captain, 380.
- Peike, Mr., 92.
- Peisley, William, Justice of North Wales, 213.
- Pelham:
- Charles, 197.
- Sir Nicholas, 304.
- Pellerin, Mons., 232.
- letter to, 234.
- Pembroke, fair at, 184.
- Pembrokeshire, 97.
- justices of. *See* Owen, Sir Arthur; Phillips, Sir John; Adams, Roger.
- Pembroke, 97.
- letter dated at, 169.
- deputy mayor of. *See* Rogers, John.
- Pembroke:
- Philip [Herbert, 4th] Earl of, and [Ist] Earl of Montgomery, 347.
- Pembroke—*cont.*
- [Thomas Herbert, 8th] Earl of, 238, 344.
- , his answer to Mr. Povey, 287.
- Pender, —, deputy governor of the Royal African House, 231.
- Pendergrass, —, 127.
- Penn:
- Governor [William, of Pennsylvania], 168.
- Mr., 279.
- Pensioners, Band of, paymaster of, 63.
- Pergers, Jacob, 19, 20.
- "Perkinites," the, 218.
- Perry, Francis, petition from, 384.
- Perry Hall, letter dated at, 123.
- Persia, seaport towns of, 294.
- Perth, [James] Drummond, [4th] Earl of, 205.
- Perthshire, address from, against the Union, 260.
- Pesmoncady. *See* St. Croix.
- Peterborough [Charles Mordaunt, 3rd] Earl of, 94, 180, 183, 250, 342.
- letter from, 277.
- Peters, John, petition from, 120.
- Peter's Pence*, bill for abolition of, 52.
- Petit Guavas, 236.
- Petyt, William, 355.
- Petty, George Speke, signs document, 210.
- Phillips, John, letter from, 81.
- Phippany, Israel, affidavit of, 175.
- Physicians, College of, papists admitted to, 67.
- Pickering, Captain, 337.
- Pierrepont, G., letter from, 92.
- Pigott, Captain, 58.
- Pindar, Paul, 19, 20.
- Pinfold:
- John, 174.
- Sir Thomas, 38.
- Pipon, Thomas, 276.
- Piracy, 66, 69, 70, 162.
- legal definitions of, 37, 118.
- See also under* Kidd, Captain William.
- Pitkin, —, 174.
- Pitmedden, —, 260.
- Pitt, John, petition from, 89.
- Pittis, William, letter from, 200.
- Placicus, Eustachius, case of, 115.
- Plantations, the, 365.
- Player, Sir Thomas, 367.
- speech by, 17.
- Pless, Mr., of Dunkirk, 239.
- Plowman:
- Matthew, petition from, 364.
- William, letter from, 123.
- , case of, opinion on, 118, 119, 120.
- Plymouth, 47, 53, 66, 91, 151, 173, 187, 224, 358.
- letters dated at, 35, 43.
- French prizes at, 228.

- Plymouth—*cont.*
 Post Office, letter dated at, 227.
 recorder of. *See* Drake, Francis.
 Sound, 172.
 —, letter dated at, 176.
 Plymouth, [Other Windsor, 2nd Earl of, 94.
 Pocklington, Oliver, petition from, 338.
 Poitiers, 232.
 Poland:
 Prince Conti in, 125.
 —, party of, in, 50.
 Poland:
 [Frederick Augustus I], King of, 252.
 —, reported victory of, 259.
 Polhill, David, 87, 90, 91.
 Pollin, Count Charles, memorandum of agreement of, 120.
 Pollixfen, Mr., 123.
 Pollock, Sir Robert, 299.
 Pontach, Mons. de, 145.
 Pontchartrain, Mons. de, letter from, 287.
 Pontefract, letter dated at, 222.
 Poole or Pool:
 Elizabeth, case of, 242.
 —, petition from, 259.
 Sarah, case of, 242.
 Poole, co. Dorset, certificate from the Mayor and Corporation of, 44.
 Pope, the, 17, 24, 50, 152, 279, 336.
 Earl of Castlemaine's Embassy to, 22.
 Popery, 15, 16, 17, 18.
 bill against, 122.
 "Popish Bill," the, 18.
 Popish books, sale of, in London, 63.
 Popish Plot, the, 361.
 Popham, Alexander, 88.
 Por-de-nac Point, 198.
 Portalegre, 125.
 Porter:
 Captain, 127.
 Sir Charles, 35, 37.
 —, impeachment of, 40.
 Portland, co. Dorset, 45, 163, 277.
 quarries at, 276.
 Portland, [William Bentinck, 1st] Earl of, 161, 359.
 Portmore, [David Colyear, 1st] Earl of, his regiment, 211.
 Portobello, 284.
 Port Royal, in Jamaica, 224.
 Port St. Mary, 327.
 Portsmouth, 40, 47, 48, 52, 57, 103, 109, 118, 187, 218, 314, 326, 362, 380.
 letters dated at, 36, 45, 100, 193, 281.
 fortifications at, 152.
 Harbour, 53.
 Mayor of. *See* Vineing, John.
 purveyor for the Navy at, 59.
 Portugal, 136, 242, 245, 279, 332, 333.
 convoy for, 214.
 court of, 213.
 Dutch forces in, 214.
 English trade with, 277.
 Portugal, [Pedro II.] King of, 137.
 arms for, 122.
 Postal communication, proposed improvements in, 90, 95.
 Postheld, Baron, of Ollersfield, petition from, 364.
 "Postman," the, 188, 382.
 Postmaster General, the, 319.
 letter to, 293.
 —, mentioned, 122.
 Post Office, the, (Letter Office), 5, 33, 93, 279, 384.
 letters dated at, 109, 111.
 letter directed to, 246.
 accounts of, 39.
 petition relating to, 6.
 Comptroller of. *See* Castleton, Nathaniel.
 Potter, Mr., of Ostend, 239.
 Poulett, [John Poulett, 4th] Lord, 260.
 Poulson, Robert, affidavit of, 128.
 Poultaine, Mons, 228.
 Povey, [John, Clerk of the Council], 287.
 Powell:
 Caleb, petition from, 199.
 Mr., 270, 288.
 Samuel, letter from, 91.
 —, case of, 90.
 —, warrant to, 91.
 Power:
 John [9th] Lord, 377.
 —, petition from, 341.
 Lady, 197.
 Pierce, 341.
 Powis:
 [William Herbert, 1st] Marquis of, 43.
 Mr. Justice, 171.
 Powle, Henry, speaker of the House of Commons, letter to, 22.
 Pownal, Colonel, his regiment, 174.
 Powys House, 324.
 Poy, Adrian, 333.
 Pragman, —, of Stade, 213.
 Pratt, L'Aisné, petition from, 337.
 Prees, Elizabeth, 155.
 Presbyterians, the, 106, 108.
 ministers in England, 253.
See also under Scotland.
 President, the Lord, 148, 225.
 Preston, [Richard Graham], Viscount, 44.
 his plot against William III, 232.
 Price:
 Captain, 57.
 Christopher, petition from, 322.
 J., signs certificate, 112.
 Robert, 51.

Prices:
 of cloth, high, 2.
 of carriage of letters, 6.
 Prichard, James, 129, 140.
 Prideaux, Edmund, Attorney General, 6.
 Priestman, Mr., 57.
 Primoli, Monsieur. envoy from the Emperor, 279.
 Prince of Wales. *See* James Edward, (the old Pretender).
 Pringle, Mr., Secretary deputy of Scotland, 150, 272, 298.
 Printers, the Queen's, memorial from, 115.
 Prior, —, 253.
 Prisons and Prisoners:
 bills for regulating, 86, 87.
 petitions from, 84, 85, 99, 100.
 Prisoners of War, Commission for exchanging, 329.
 Pritchard, Thomas, petition from, 270.
 Privateers and Privateering, 19, 37, 44, 45, 114, 116, 118, 119.
 Privy Council, the, 17, 18, 22, 44, 56, 107, 122, 148, 169, 170, 171, 195, 196, 278, 280, 287, 289, 294, 300, 338, 353, 357.
 letter from, 210.
 letters to, mentioned, 377.
 clerk of. *See* Colinge, Richard.
 Committee of, 317, 319.
 orders of, 292.
 —, mentioned, 39.
 petition to, 324, 338.
 —, mentioned, 42.
 Privy Seal, Lord, 337.
 Prizes:
 Account of, 305.
 Commissioners of, 50, 161, 170.
 —, letter from, 166.
 law relating to, 139.
 money, unfair distribution of, 61.
 Prize Office, the, 296.
 letters dated at, 164, 166.
 Probyn, Thomas, 124.
 Procter, William, signs document, 209.
 Procurator-General. *See* Smith, Thomas.
 Prosser:
 Sarah, 155.
 Thomas, 155.
 Protestants and Protestantism, 88, 216.
 churches abroad, 18.
 French, 68.
 interest, the, 319, 361.
 refugee, a, 332.
 Provence, 136.
 Prussia, troops of, 210.
 Prussia. [Frederick I.], King of, 252, 364.
 Public Accounts:
 Commissioners for, 33, 160.
 —, letter to, 33.
 —, return to, 46.
 state of, 226, 229.

Pugh or Pughe:
 Anne, petition from, 350.
 —, petition from, mentioned, 287.
 H., letters from, 129, 155.
 Captain Richard, 350.
 Puleston, Edward, mayor of Chester, letter from, 215.
 Puntalls, the, 109.
 Purslow and Clun [co. Salop], 64.
 Purssell, —, a spy, 217.
 Pury Hall, 123.
 Pynsent, William, 352.

Q.

Quakers, the, solemn affirmation of, 95.
 Quebec, bishop of. *See* St. Valier.
 Queen Anne's Bounty, governors of, secretary to. *See* Chamberlayne.
 rules of, 200.
 Queen's Bench, court of, 177, 324.
 farmer of Crown Fees in. *See* Colinge Richard.
 Queensberry, [James Douglas, 2nd] Duke of, 106, 107, 110, 114, 142, 203, 204, 257, 272, 283, 285, 298, 312, 314, 316, 317.
 servant of. *See* Stewart, William.
 Queen's Messengers, the, memorial from, 292.
 —, clerk of the Cheque to. *See* Sharpe, William.

R.

Raby, [Thomas Wentworth, 3rd] Lord, 247, 252, 253, 256.
 his regiment, 211.
 Raby Castle, family of, 346.
 Radcliffe, Jaspar, petition from, 88.
 Radnor, [Charles Bodvile Robartes, 2nd] Earl of, 86.
 Radnor, county of, 199.
custos rotulorum of, 210.
 justices of, 210.
 Radnor or New Radnor, 29.
 elections at, 15.
 Ragotski, Prince, letter from, mentioned, 245.
 Railton, Mr. Justice, 353.
 Raleigh, Carey, 88.
 Ramhead, 53.
 Ramillies, battle of, 227, 287.

- Ramsey :
 of Abbotshall, 205.
 of Edington, 203.
- Ramsgate, 318.
- Ranelagh, [Richard Jones, 1st]
 Earl of, 117, 375.
 his office, 92, 331, 347.
 letter to, 39.
- Ratisbon, Diet at, 147.
- Rawiings, Lieutenant, of the
Drake, letter from, 199.
- Rea, Lord, (1706), 208.
- Read, John, 300.
 information of, 306, 307.
 petition from, 357.
- Redman, Charles, signs document,
 171.
- Red Sea, the, 78.
- Reeves, John, petition from, 335.
- Reformation, the, 50, 255.
- Regelson, Niels Hansen, master of
 the *Trevuldighet*, 151.
- Regiments :
 clothing of, 64.
 Cameronian, 372*n*.
 Coldstreams, the, 92.
 Dragoons, Queen's French, 362.
 French. *See* France.
 Fusiliers, Royal regiment of,
 351.
 Guards, the, 297, 307.
 —, Foot, a captain in. *See*
 Salisbury.
 —, —, 1st regiment of,
 326.
 —, Horse, 323, 351.
 —, —, 1st troop of, 68.
 Horse, Royal regiment of, 346.
 raising of by individuals, 94,
 95.
See also Baker, Barrymore,
 Berwick, Bolton, Bourke,
 Brandenburg, Brewar,
 Charlemont, Clare, Craven,
 Cutts, Dillon, Donegal, Dor-
 rington, Earle, Elliot, Fitz
 Gerald, Galmoy, Hamilton,
 Harvey, Hastings, Hotham,
 La Mellonière, Lee, Lilling-
 ston, Lisborne, Livesay,
 Mackay, Mahoney, Michel-
 burne, Mohun, Mordaunt,
 Mulgrave, Oxford, Portmore,
 Pownal, Raby, Rock, Shelden,
 Stewart, Strathnaver, Walker,
 Webb, Widdrington, York,
 James, Duke of.
- Relinque, M. de, 145.
- Renfrew, shire of, 373.
- Rennes, 230.
- Renny, James, minister, 372.
- Requests, Court of, 63, 261.
- Revels, Master of, 384.
- Revolution, the, 48, 85, 186, 245,
 250, 302, 315, 361, 365, 374.
- Rewell, Walter, 184.
- Reynolds (Reinold) :
 —, of Pontefract, 222, 223.
 —, —, affidavit of, 220.
 Thomas, 58.
- Rhode Island, 71.
 deputy collector of. *See* Gar-
 diner.
- Rice :
 Elizabeth, petition from, 368.
 Sebastian, 368.
- Rich :
 Anne, petition from, 325.
 Cope, 325.
 Edward, petitions from, 325,
 365.
 Sir Robert, charges against,
 58, 59.
 Samuel, 337.
- Richard, Captain, of the *Happy*
Return, 60.
- Richardson :
 Frances, 166.
 John, letter from, 277.
 —, petition from, 184.
 Richard, serjeant at law, peti-
 tion from, 365.
- Richmond, letter dated at, 295.
 Fair at, 296.
- Richmond :
 W., signs document, 301.
 Duke of, signs document, 326.
- Ricotier, Captain, 55.
- Ridell, of Ridell, 203.
- Rider, William, petition from, 365.
- Ridley, Nicholas, signs document,
 209.
- Riga, 178.
- Rigby :
 Captain, of the *Dragon*, 53, 189,
 190.
 Sir Alexander, letter from, 123.
- Rivers, [Richard Savage, 4th] Earl of,
 238, 246, 380.
 agent of. *See* Woolsley, Newdi-
 gate.
- Roberts :
 Mr., Intendant at Brest, letter to,
 135.
 R., 333.
- Robertson, of Strowan, 206.
- Robinson :
 Andrew, 354.
 Henry, 6.
 —, petition from, 343.
 Mr., 348.
 Sir Robert, 324.
 Dr. Thomas, envoy in Sweden,
 167,
 —, memorandum concerning,
 303.
 William, information of, 332.
- Robyn, Mr., of Dunkirk, 239.
- Rochelard :
 M. de, *ainé*, 145.
 —, cadet, 145.
- Rochelle, 128, 163, 165, 227.
- Rochester, [Lawrence Hyde, 1st] Earl
 of, 16, 255, 280.
- Rochester, agent at, 164.
 fishermen of, petition from, 351.
- Rock, Colonel, his regiment, 158.
- Rodney, Colonel Anthony, 181.

- Rogers :
 Henry, petition from, 3.
 John, 169.
 Samuel, letter from, 247.
 Mr., 168.
- Rogopore, 176.
- Rolles, Sir John, 186.
- Rollo, [Robert, 4th] Lord, 205.
- Rolls, the, Master of, 2.
- Roman Catholics (Papists), 16, 21, 54,
 62, 101, 131, 142, 156, 216, 217,
 279, 327.
 address against, 21, 22.
 cipher of, 235.
 disqualifications of, 68.
 memorial relating to, 67.
- Rome and the Romans, 138.
- ambassadors at, customs of, 24.
 Jews in, 22.
 letter from, quoted, 18.
- Romney (Runney) [Henry Sidney],
 Earl of, 63, 79, 80, 232.
- Romsey, John, Town Clerk of Bristol,
 21.
- Rooke, Sir George, 39, 45, 80, 96, 100,
 103, 126, 143, 144, 146.
 letters from, 46, 124.
 letter to, 103.
 common opinion of, 302.
 defence of his conduct in 1696, 47,
 48.
 pamphlet against, 135, 136, 137,
 138.
- Roots, Thomas, petition from, 365.
- Rosencrantz, Mons., [envoy from Den-
 mark], 151.
- Ross, co. Hereford, 98, 374.
- Ross :
 [William, 12th] Lord, 204.
 Baron, of Balnagoun, 208.
 Lieut.-Colonel Charles, petition
 from, 311.
 of Kilravock, 207.
- Rosse, Charles, signs document, 322.
- Roswell, Mr., 253.
- Roths, [John Leslie, 9th] Earl of, 206,
 207, 250.
- Rotterdam, 160, 192.
 English inhabitants of, 323.
 letter from, 147.
- Rouen, 237.
- Rouse, William, petition from, 88.
- Rouvroy, M. de, 145.
- Row, John, of Bexhill, 304.
- Rowett, John, letter from, 190.
- Rowse, —, 201.
- Roxburghe, [John Ker, 5th] Earl of,
 130, 203, 261.
 letters from, 312, 313.
 letter to, 312.
- Roye, Marquis de, 144.
- Ruggles, John, memorial of, men-
 tioned, 82.
- Ruglen, [John Douglas, 1st] Earl of
 204, 270.
- Russell :
 (Roucelle), Colonel, 238.
 [Edward], Admiral of the Red,
 afterwards Earl of Orford, *q.v.*,
 41, 79, 80.
- Russell—*cont.*
 Francis, 363.
 J., letter from, 190.
 Sir John, 365.
 Mr., 46.
 [William] Lord, (son of William,
 5th Earl of Bedford), speech by,
 17.
 Sir William, bart., (son of Sir John
 Russell and Frances Cromwell),
 365.
 —widow of, 365, 366.
 Sir William, bart., son of the
 above, petition from, 365.
- Russia and the Russians (Muscovites),
 178, 282.
- Company, the, petition from, 349.
- , governor of. *See* Ayloffé,
 Benjamin.
- merchants trading to, 148.
- Tobacco Company trading to,
 petition from, 369.
- Russia, [Peter I], Czar of, 369.
 letter from, 293.
- Rutherford :
 [Andrew, 1st] Lord, 6.
 [Robert, 4th] Lord, 203.
- Ruthven, Lord (1706), 205.
- Rye, co. Sussex, 53, 128.
 letter dated at, 246.
- Ryswick, peace (treaty) of, 50, 329.

- Sackville, Anno, letter from, 215.
- Sacramental Test, the, 249, 251, 264.
- Sadler, Thomas, affidavit of, 213.
- St. Asaph, bishop of. *See* Barrow.
- Saint Auban, Sieur de, 177.
- St. Christopher, Island of, 227, 236.
 document dated at, 228.
 governor of. *See* Geres, Count de.
 inhabitants of, 274.
- Ste. Croix, the river, in America (*Indian*
name, Pesmoncady), 76.
- St. Domingo, 82, 172.
- St. Eloy, Sieur de, memorial from, 240.
- St. George's Channel, 155.
- St. George, the Chevalier de. *See*
 James Edward.
- St. Gernains, 62, 315.
 castle of, 37.
 Court of, 226.
- St. Helens, [Isle of Wight], 41, 45, 48,
 100, 214.
 letter dated at, 117.
- St. Helens, co. Lancs., 314.
- St. Ives, Cornwall, election at, 89.
- St. John, island of, governor of. *See*
 Villebon, M. de.

- St. John :
 Henry, [Secretary at War, afterwards Secretary of State], 289, 380.
 —, signs document, 325.
 —, [junior], letter from, 211.
 Sir Henry, signs document, 325.
 Sir Walter, 28.
 Wat., signs document, 325.
 Mr., 64.
- St. Lo, Captain G., Commissioner of the Navy at Chatham, 121, 149. certificate of, 278.
- St. Malo, 114, 189, 191, 211, 230, 231. bishop of, 230.
 privateers of, 176, 177, 290.
- St. Martin, island of, 151, 155, 281.
 King's Lieutenant in. *See* Vasmeinier, Mons. de.
- St. Mary Port, letter dated at, 109.
- St. Mary's Island, in Madagascar, 175.
- St. Matthews Point, 41.
- St. Paul :
 —, 126.
 Mons., 135, 143, 364.
- St. Petersburg, (New Shantz), 369.
- St. Quintin, Sir William, letter from, 222.
 letter to, 222.
- Sainto Spetia, bay of, 292.
- St. Thomas, 62.
- St. Valier, Jean de la Croix de, 164, 287, 305.
- Salisbury, or [New] Sarum, co. Wilts., 28.
 assizes at, 29.
- Salisbury, Bishop of. *See* Burnet.
- Sallee, (Salley), 18.
- Salt, duty on, 96.
- Salt, (*alias* Okes), Jane, 374.
- Saltoun :
 [William] Fraser, [11th] Lord, 207.
 Mr. Fletcher of. *See* Fletcher.
- Salisbury, Charles, petition from, 332.
- Salwarpe, the river, 94.
- Sambrooke, Samuel, 379.
- Sandam, near Hull, constable of, 220.
- Sandy Point, St. Christopher's, 228.
- Sanquhar, 371.
- Santa Cruz, the two governors of, 244.
 Christian merchants at, 244.
- Santa Fè (Sante Pee), 300.
- Santiny, Mons. de, 305.
- Sarum, New. *See* Salisbury.
- Sasse, Vauguelin de, 198.
- Saujon, Le Chevalier de, 173.
- Saulveboeuf, (Sautboeuf), Mons. de., 296.
 letter from, 238.
- Saunders :
 Anthony, rector of Acton, signs petition, 259.
 Captain, 233.
- Savoy, 152, 307.
 Prince Eugene of, 108, 253, 292.
 [Victor Amadeus]. Duke of, his troops, 182.
- Sawyer, Sir Robert, 367.
- Saxby, —, 10.
- Saxe-Gotha :
 regiments of in English service, 356.
 troops of, 210.
- Scaleby, letter dated at, 195.
- Scarborough, [Richard Lumley, 1st] Earl of, his chaplain, 327.
- Scarsdale, [Robert Leke, 3rd] Earl of, 359.
- Schey, Gellis, Rear-Admiral, 29, 30.
- Schleswig, (Sleswick) Holstein, Duke of, subjects of, 170.
- Schomberg, [Frederick Armand de Schomberg, Marshal, afterwards 1st] Duke of, 67, 336.
- Schuyler, Captain, 73.
- Scilly Islands, the, 135, 155, 351.
- Scotland and the Scots, 141, 168, 178, 179, 194, 278, 296, 320, 354.
 account of the state of the coin in, 49.
- Adjutant-General of all the forces in. *See* Hay, William.
- affairs in (1702), 104, 105, 106, 108, 109. *See also* under Carstares.
- African Company of, 142, 175, 179, 296, 297.
 —, memorial from, 64.
 —, secretary of. *See* Mackenzie.
- Anti-Union party in, 254, 260.
- Barons of the Exchequer in, 302.
- Bishops and non-juring clergy in, gift designed for, 315.
- Clerk Registrar of. *See* Johnston, James.
- Commission of the Treaty in, 264.
- Commissioners for the taking of Public Accounts, 109.
- Committee of Calculators, 269, 275.
- the Convention in (1689), 108.
- customs in, 255, 302.
 —, managers of, 109.
- Earl Marshal of. *See* Marischal, Earl.
- Episcopal clergy of, 249.
- the Equivalent, 253—256, 266, 274, 275, 276, 293, 303, 304, 310.
 —, Commissioners for, representation to, 296.
- Excise of, 255, 311.
- field conventicles in, 371.
- General Assembly of, 113, 258, 259, 264, 310.
 —, Commission of, 255, 259.
 —, —, monitory letter from, 142.
 —, moderator of. *See* Wilkie, Thomas. Wishart, W.
 —, proclamation of, 276.
- History of events in (1679—1705), 371, 372, 373, 374.
- Highlanders of, 371, 372, 373.
- Highlands of, state of, 374.
- imports and exports, 288.
- islands of, 374.
- Jacobites (Gillierankies) in, 150, 252, 258, 312, 313, 316, 373, 374.
 —, indemnity granted to, 113.

Scotland and the Scots—*cont.*

the Kirk (Church) of, 250, 257, 262, 264, 267, 373.

—, Commission of, 249, 254, 260, 264, 271, 272.

—, —, monitory letter from, 272.

—, elders of, as members of parliament, 298.

—, ministers of, analysis of, 113.

Lord Chancellor of, 106, 249, 256, 268. *See* Seafield, Earl of.

Lord High Commissioner of, 129, 130, 250, 251, 263, 268, 269, 285.

Lord Justice Clerk of, 253, 255, 313.

Lords of Session, 348.

Lord Treasurer Depute of, 105.

papists and papacy in, 371, 372.

parliament of, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 122, 130, 141, 249, 251, 252,

254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 260, 270, 272, 274, 275, 285, 297, 318, 348.

—, Acts of:

for alimient of poor prisoners, 88.

for ratifying the Articles of the Union, 238.

the Cess Act, 268.

for an imposition on beer, 277.

for settling the method of choosing new representatives for the United Parliament, 283.

of Parliamentary protection, 286.

for securing the Protestant religion and Presbyterian church government, 238.

of Security, 130, 150.

for Security of the Kirk, 260.

of Supply, 130.

—, members of, 315.

—, —, election of, 110, 111.

—, method of elections for, 107.

Peers of, 320.

—, scheme for the election of the sixteen representative, 313.

plot in, 318.

Presbyterians and Presbyterianism in, 104, 107, 142, 249,

250, 255, 257, 258, 259, 271, 312.

—, monitory letter to, 272.

Privy Council of, 105, 113, 227, 252, 256, 286.

—, a new, 316.

Protestant interest in, 331.

Protestant Succession in, 315, 316, 317.

Royal Boroughs in, 262, 263, 267.

—, General Convention of, petition from, 354.

said to have been the first to settle in Acadia and Hudson's Bay, 76.

Secretaries of, 319.

—, office of, 372.

Secretary Deputy of. *See*

Pringle.

Scotland and the Scots—*cont.*

"Squadron Volante," 266, 274.

state of parties in, 202, 203, 204,

205, 206, 207, 208.

state of revenue of, 226.

state of weights and measures in, 227.

the Succession in, 150.

Treasury of, 21, 109.

—, accounts of, 283.

the Union, 104, 168, 177, 248-251,

254, 255, 257, 258, 259-269, 271,

274, 278, 279, 281, 282, 292, 298,

312, 331, 347, 354.

—, articles of, 238, 248, 252,

254, 258, 259, 260, 262, 263, 266,

267, 268, 269, 273, 274, 275, 281.

—, Commissioners for, 108, 111,

238, 243, 249, 251, 259.

—, —, copy of Letters Patent appointing, 109.

—, pamphlet criticising the terms of, 240.

—, state of parties with regard to, 257-264.

Scott:

Lieutenant, 171.

—, Alice, widow of, 171.

of Ancrum, 203.

of Chatto, 203.

of Harden, 203.

of Thirlston, 203.

Seafield [James Ogilvy, 1st] Earl of, Lord Chancellor of Scotland,

105, 110, 111, 113, 194, 207, 298,

316.

letter from, 129.

Seaforth [William] McKenzie [5th] Earl of, 208.

Searle, Captain, 284.

Secretaries of State, the, distribution of foreign affairs between, 216.

letter to, 201.

Sefton, [co. Lanc.], vicarage of, 62.

Sefton, John, of Knottingley, 223.

Selby, deposition made at, 324.

Selkirk [Charles] Hamilton, [2nd] Earl of, 203, 204.

Sergison:

Charles, letters from, 310, 311.

Mr., 111.

Seton, of Pitmedden, 207.

Seymour, Sir Edward, 62, 84.

Shadwell, watch-house at, 199.

Shaftesbury, co. Dorset, 28.

Excise officers at, 92.

Red Lion and Catherine Wheel, inns at, 92.

a justice of. *See* Bennett, Mr.

Shalcrosse, John, 339.

Shales, Mr., 188, 286.

Shapkin, William, 282.

Sharpe, William, petition from, 117.

Sharrett, William, 47.

memorial from, 46.

Shaw:

John, saddler, petition from, 366.

of Greenock, 244.

Sheerness, co. Kent, 29, 53, 65, 66.

Sho'den, Lieut.-General, his regiment, 181, 182.
 Shelley, Sir John, of Mitchell Grove, co. Surrey, petition from, 340.
 Shepherd (Sheppard):
 Samuel, 213, 214, 366.
 —, letter from, 235.
 William, letter from, 123.
 Shore, Sir H., 116.
 Sherrat, Thomas, petition from, mentioned, 189.
 Sherriffe, Edward, of Eventon, 270.
 Sheriff's town, bill for abolition of common fine payable at, 52.
 Shields, port of, 154.
 Shipbuilding, remonstrance concerning exportation of timber fit for 2.
 Ships:
 Danish, 44, 221.
 Dutch, 41, 181, 192, 221, 239, 302, 333.
 English, 30, 37, 40, 41, 135, 228, 239, 369.
 French, 36, 128, 233, 244, 245, 287, 293.
 Swedish, 44, 59, 139, 143, 221, 237, 281, 369.
 Ships named:
 Adventure, galley, 69, 70, 74, 78, 79, 80, 83.
 Adventure, prize, 68, 78.
 Advice, 70, 71.
 —, letter dated from, 78.
 America, 118.
 Amity, of Boston, New England.
 See Steele, Thomas.
 Anglesea, 177.
 —, letter dated from, 186.
 Ann, 53.
 Anna Catherina, 170, 200.
 Ann and Mary, 208.
 Annandale, 265, 271.
 Antegoa, 78.
 Antelope, 53, 342.
 Apollon, 228.
 Asia, transport, 321.
 Assistance, 103, 132.
 Association, 380.
 Barfleur, 278.
 Betty, galley, 180.
 Betty, of Limerick, 189, 191.
 Bonaventure, surgeon of. *See* Crossley, Charles.
 Bonne Esperance, 19.
 Boyne, 380.
 Breda, 53.
 Bridgwater, 218.
 Britannia, 33, 380.
 —, letter dated from, 240.
 Buttonmaker, 163.
 Burlington, 215.
 Cambridge, 45, 53.
 Captain Simpson, 126.
 Carlisle, 53.
 Catherine, of Rotterdam, 194.
 Centurion, 53.
 Chatham, 36.
 Chester, 132, 170, 200.
 Chichester, 45.

Ships named—*cont.*
 Christian's Haven, master of, 155.
 Christina, 163.
 Colchester, 171, 172.
 Content, brigantine, 175.
 Cork, galley, 185.
 Coronation, 53.
 Coventry, 45, 191.
 Crown, 215.
 Cumberland, 57.
 Dartmouth, 53, 215.
 Defiance, "a Mocu ship," 176.
 Deptford, 172, 173.
 Diamond, 38, 53.
 Diligence, privateer, 151.
 Dispatch, brigantine, 155.
 Dolphin, 52.
 Dolphin, of Dunkirk, 246.
 Dorothea, 201, 202.
 Dorrel, 76.
 Dorsetshire, 45.
 Dove, 335.
 Dover, 132, 133.
 Dragon, 53.
 Drake, sloop, 199.
 Dreadnought, 37, 42, 53, 380.
 Duchess, 71, 73, 80.
 Dunkirk, 114.
 Elephant, 173.
 Elizabeth, 42, 117.
 Exchange, 36.
 Exeter, 53, 102, 103.
 —, captain of. *See* Assigny.
 Expedition, 353.
 Flying Horse, 202.
 Fort, galley, 185.
 Fortune, store ship, 93.
 Francis and Thomas, 98.
 Frankland, packet, 246.
 Friendship, 368.
 Friendship, pink, 135.
 Friendship, transport, 337.
 Fubbs, yacht, 149.
 Germooone, prize, 83.
 Glorieux, 228.
 Gloucester, 45.
 Golden Hart, 170, 200.
 Grafton, lieutenant of. *See* Boyse, John.
 Guldenlew, Danish frigate, 43.
 Gyldenstern, of Stade, 215.
 Hampshire, 53.
 Hampton Court, 43.
 Happy Return, 53, 60.
 Harwich, 53.
 Hawke, frigate, 187, 189.
 Henry Bonadventure, 19.
 Hogback, privateer, 151.
 Hope, 53, 116, 139, 147, 148, 192, 302.
 Hope, of Stade, 213, 215.
 Ipswich, 128.
 Jaffrow Susanna, 192.
 James, 115.
 James, brigantine, 188, 191.
 Jersey, 53.
 Jesus Maria Joseph, 153.
 John, galley, 244.
 John James, 173.
 Justitia, 162.

Ships named—*cont.*

Kent, 29, 107.
Kingfisher, letters dated from, 40, 155.
King William, packet, 223, 246, 284.
La Gloutone, 173.
La Jason, 134.
Lark, sloop, 276.
L'Auguste, 134.
La Valeur, 135.
La Brittain, 228.
Le Duc de Los, 228.
Le Fidelle, 228.
Lewis, prize, 344.
Lichfield, 45, 91, 133.
Lucia, a Schleswic ship, 157.
Ludlow, 126, 380.
Ludlow Castle, 350.
Lumley Castle, 53.
Lusitania, 118.
Lyme, 45, 342.
Marlborough, galley, 276.
"Marquee Darboes," a French privateer, 230.
Martin, galley, 276.
Mary, yacht, 340.
 —, letter dated from, 176.
Mary Rose, 53, 118.
Medway, 172, 173, 177.
Meurmeester or *Mason*, 151.
Montagu, 242.
 —, captain of. *See* Allen.
Mordant, 53.
Mocha, frigate, 73, 78.
Neptune, 45, 369.
 —, letter dated from, 41.
Neptune, brigantine, 139, 166.
Newark, 45.
Newport, 352.
Nottingham, galley, 276.
Northumberland, 187.
Norwich, 53, 350.
Oxford, 215.
Pendennis, 53.
Penzance, 163.
Philip and Mary, 118.
Phoenix, 53.
Plymouth, 380.
 —, captain of. *See* Mitchell.
Poole, 362.
Portland, 53.
Portsmouth, 35, 53.
Postilion, captain of. *See* Frederick.
Prince George, packet, 227.
 —, letter dated from, 212.
Quedah, the, 149.
Queen, 45.
 —, letter dated from, 46.
Ranelagh, 380.
Restoration, 338.
Revenge, 367.
Revenge, a privateer, 126.
Richard and John, of Ipswich, 39.
Rochester, 66, 133, 172, 173, 222.
Royal Anne, letter dated from, 182.
Royal Katherine, 58.
 —, letter dated from, 124.

Ships named—*cont.*

Royal Sovereign, 53.
 —, letter dated from, 183.
Royal William, 45.
Ruby, 43.
Rupert, prize, 343.
St. Albans, 53.
St. Antonio, a sloop, 78, 82.
St. David, 53.
St. Jacob, of Stockholm, 318.
St. John, 140.
St. Peter, a Swedish ship, 180, 237.
Salisbury, 126.
Samuel, 76.
Sandadoes, 45.
Santa Cruz, 153.
Santissima Trinidad, 153.
Santo Cristo de Maracabo, 153.
Satisfaction (*Genugsamkeit*), 163, 164, 166.
Sea Adventure, a hoy, 44.
Sedgemore, 53.
Seine, prize, 164.
Severn, 58, 185.
Shoreham, 233.
Shrewsbury, 45.
 —, letter dated from, 117.
Soldado, prize, 58.
Sorlings, 130, 133, 134, 224, 364.
 —, purser of. *See* Connolly.
Southwell, galley, 117.
Speedwell, 233.
Speedy Return, 175, 176.
Stirling Castle, 43.
Suffolk, 58.
Sun, prize, 295.
Sussex, 53.
Swallow, 53.
Thetis, of Rochefort, 172.
Tiger, prize, 53.
Trevuldigheid or *Trinity*, 151.
Triton, 176, 177.
Triumph, letter dated from, 190.
Triumphant, 233.
Trumball, galley, 348.
Two Brothers, 369.
Unity, 381.
Valeur, 177.
Vanguard, 45.
Venetian galley, 107.
Victory, 35, 53.
Warwick, captain of. *See* Smyth.
Welfare, 117.
Weymouth, 36, 140.
Winchester, 53, 131, 132.
Windsor Castle, 53.
Wolf, sloop, 147.
Woolwich, 36.
Worcester, 130, 132, 133, 142, 149, 150, 176, 178, 180, 270, 278, 296, 354.
 —, letter dated from, 133.
 —, Master of. *See* Green, Thomas.
 —, proprietors of, letters from, 264, 287.
 —, petition from, 320, 347.
Yarmouth, 45.

Ships not named :
 Arab slave ships, 294.

Ships not named—*cont.*

- the designed Baltic fleet, 80.
- the Barbadoes fleet, 330.
- the Canary fleet, 35.
- the Danish fleet, 59.
- the Dover and Calais packet, 329.
- Dunkirk "capers," 239.
- privateer, 50.
- Squadron, 131, 135, 180.
- Dutch East India fleet, 19.
- fleet, the, 30, 45, 153, 212, 239.
- —, in the Mediterranean, 41.
- "doggers," 176.
- privateer, 227.
- transports, 240.
- East India ships, 141, 155, 172, 215.
- the East India squadron, 60, 231.
- Eastland fleet, the, 240, 293.
- English, in the Mediterranean, 41, 214.
- bomb-vessels, 38, 41, 42, 100.
- building of by contract, an abuse, 57, 58.
- men-of-war destroyed, lost, etc., lists of, 46, 53.
- merchantmen, 38.
- privateers, 116, 192, 193.
- , sailing qualities of, criticised, 136.
- sloop, 239.
- a Falmouth packet, 287.
- the Fleet, 17, 35, 43, 47, 219, 220, 312.
- , at Cadiz, 111.
- , at Malaga, 143.
- French fleet, 36, 38, 47, 117, 143, 228, 313.
- men-of-war, 53, 231, 241, 309.
- privateers, 44, 48, 125, 128, 180, 185, 191, 230, 246, 301, 304, 309, 333, 342, 362.
- a Genoese ship, 235.
- a Gottenberg ship, 295.
- the Greenland fleet, 293.
- a Guernsey privateer, 187.
- a Hamburg man-of-war, 208.
- Hastings fishing boats, 89.
- imported, duty on, 46.
- a Maes cruiser, 147.
- the Muscovy fleet, 293.
- the Navy, 77, 93, 116, 166.
- , accounts of, 33, 39, 52, 67.
- , —, irregular method of passing, 56.
- , Commissioners of, 52, 57, 64, 77, 81, 149, 161, 198, 295, 344.
- , —, letter to, 83.
- , Comptroller of, office of, a clerk in. *See* Parsons.
- , criticism of, 135, 136, 137, 138.
- , debt of, 35.
- , Deputy Paymaster of, his perquisites, 55, 56.
- , estimates of, 8, 33, 34, 47, 51, 64, 86, 87, 90.

Ships not named—*cont.*

- the Navy—*cont.*
- , Jacobite officers in, 136.
- , maladministration of, 55, 56, 57, 78, 59, 60, 136.
- , paymasters of, 209.
- , pensions and half-pay for, 21.
- , pressing for, 229.
- , resolution concerning the state of the, 55, 56.
- , sea pay of officers in, 40.
- , supply of masts for, 178.
- , supply of timber for, from Dean Forest, 269.
- , surveyor of, 81.
- , treasurer of. *See* Orford, Earl of.
- , treatment of marines in, 174.
- , treatment of sailors in, 45, 61.
- , unpopularity of service in, 89.
- , victualling of. *See* Victualling.
- Newcastle colliers, 122.
- convoy, 148.
- the Oporto fleet, 35.
- an Ostend man-of-war, 321.
- the Ostend packet, 246.
- an Ostend privateer, 147, 208.
- a Picaroon, 100.
- a Portugal convoy, 48.
- Russian (Muscovite) fleet, 240.
- a Scottish frigate, 122.
- man-of-war, 320.
- a Smyrna ship, 36.
- Spanish fleet, 153, 154.
- galleons, 284.
- men-of-war, 143.
- Plate fleet, 111.
- the Straits squadron, 57, 60, 64.
- a Swedish fleet, 59.
- a Swedish man-of-war, engagement with, 130, 132, 133, 134, 135.
- Swedish prizes, 139, 140, 142.
- the Toulon squadron, 48.
- the West India merchant fleet, 340.
- the West India squadron, 60, 178, 281.
- Yachts, the King's, 29.
- a Yacht, 65.
- Zealand privateers, 246.
- Shobdon, [co. Heref], letter dated at, 184.
- Shore, Mathias, sergeant-trumpeter, 51.
- Shoreham, 104, 180.
- Shorter, Thomas, letter from, 190.
- Shovell, Sir Cloudesley, 30, 43, 108, 118, 122, 143, 144, 145, 154, 168, 182, 183, 229, 240, 294, 354, 380.
- common opinion of, 302.
- letter from, 240.
- signs document, 216.
- Shrewsbury, 92.
- Shrewsbury [Charles Talbot, 12th] Earl, afterwards Duke of, 72, 73, 74, 75, 79, 241, 344, 362.
- letter to, 70.

- Shrimpton :
 Frederick, of Denn, petition from, 366.
 John, 366.
 Brig.-Gen. John, letter from, 247.
- Shropshire, election of Knights of the Shire for, 92.
- Shut, Mr., Counsellor, of Lincoln's Inn, 253, 255.
- Shuter, John, 380.
- Sick and Wounded seamen, Commissioners for, 224, 296.
- Signet Office, the, 382.
- Silly, Marquis de, 173, 239.
 letters from, 225, 271.
- Sinclair, of Stevenston, 205.
- Sisell, —, an Irishman, *See* Cicill.
- Sittingbourne, 80.
- Sk —, Mr., 166.
- Skeen :
 of Hallyard, 206.
 of Skéen, 207.
- Slane, [Christopher, Lord], letters from 242, 245, 247, 307.
 —, memorial from, 379.
- Slyford, Thomas, merchant, 184.
- Smallpiece, Jonathan, mayor of Guildford, signs petition, 357.
 —, letter from, 357.
- Smith :
 —, 285.
 Aaron, 42.
 Daniel, signs certificate, 321.
 James, 195.
 —, letter from, 216.
 Justice, 246.
 J., 28.
 Peter, information of, 62.
 Captain Thomas, petition from, 83.
 Thomas, Procurator-General, 140.
 —, Mayor of Poole, signs certificate, 45.
 William, letter from, 295.
- Smyth :
 Captain George, 284.
 —, Eleanor, widow of, 284.
- Smoke Silver*, bill for abolition of, 52.
- Snemüller (Sniemulder) Captain David, 159, 160, 161.
- Snow, Benjamin, case of, 335.
- Soame, Edmund, petition of, 64.
- Soames :
 Colonel, 246.
 Ellinor, 345.
- Soldiers, their responsibility for acts done in the course of their duty, 25.
- Soley :
 John, signs document, 167.
 Mrs., 242.
- Solicitor-General, the. *See* Harcourt, Sir Simon ; Montagu, Sir James.
- Solicofre, —, 217.
- Sollon, —, 228.
- Somers [John], Lord, 63, 79, 82, 98, 243, 278, 313.
 letter to, 72.
- Somersetshire :
 elections for, 29.
 —, prospects of, 28.
- Sophia, the Princess, 268.
- Sospello, in Piedmont, 291.
- Souger, M. de, 173.
- Soumans :
 Arent, 141.
 Joanna, petition from, 141.
 Peter, 141.
 Rachel, petition from, 141.
- Sound, the, 227.
- Soundings, the, 47, 48, 53, 284, 301.
- Southampton, 375.
 mayor of. *See* Thornburgh, John.
- Southcoate :
 Sir Edward, petition from, 319.
 Penelope, 319.
- Southesk, [James] Carnegie, [5th] Earl of, 206.
- South Littleton, co. Worc., 345.
- South Seas, the, piracies in, 330.
- South Shields, excise officer in. *See* Middleton, Nathaniel.
- Southwell, Mr., 278.
- Southwold Bay, 35.
- Spain and the Spaniards, 63, 110, 146, 153, 154, 181, 195, 201, 213, 214, 227, 236, 276, 312, 379.
 expedition to, 346.
 Government of, 261.
 memorial from a Spaniard, 176.
 of St. Domingo, 82.
 ships of. *See* under ships.
 war with, 300.
- Spain, King of :
 (Philip V), 108, 284.
 Charles III (so called by the Allies). *See* Austria, Archduke of.
- Spanheim, Faron de, ambassador from the King of Prussia, 253, 256.
- Speke or Speake :
 Charles, 151, 152.
 George, 151, 152.
 Henry, letter from, 157.
 Hugh, letters from, 85, 158.
 —, case of, 151, 152.
- Spelman, Sir Henry, 1.
- Spithead, 47, 48.
 letter dated at, 182.
- Stade, in the Duchy of Bremen, 116, 139, 147, 148, 213, 215.
- Stafford, Edmund, his case, 88.
- Staffordshire, fee farm rents in, 8.
- Stair, [John Dalrymple, 1st] Lord, 203, 205, 249, 259, 316, 317.
- Stamp Duty, Commissioners of, 163.
- Stanciliffe, James, letter from, 141.
- Standage, (Standidge), George, of Knottingley, 223.
- Stanhope :
 Charles, [2nd Viscount], 6.
 James, letter to, 152.
 Walter, signs petition, 100.
 Mr., 260, 332, 339, 378.
 —, letter to, 333.
- Stanley, Sir John, 75.
 letter from, 299.
 letter to, 69.
- Stanwix, Mr., 195.
- Stapleford Abbots, manor of, grant of, mentioned, 120.

- Stapleford Regis, manor of, grant of, mentioned, 120.
 Staples, Thomas, 343.
 Star Chamber, court of, 3.
 Starke, Thomas, signs petition, 320.
 States General of the United Netherlands, 139, 154, 162, 180, 193, 215, 229, 356, 359.
 letter from, mentioned, 245.
 letter to, mentioned, 245.
 minister of, at Venice, 152.
 Statham, John, petition from, 339.
 —, mentioned, 221.
 Stationers, Company of, 382.
 Steele, Thomas, petition from, 333.
 Stent, Deborah, petition from, 338.
 Stepney, 353.
 Stepney, Mr., 210.
 speech of, 245.
 Sterry, Mr., 171.
 Stevens, Mr., 232.
 Stevenston, [co. Devon.] 186.
 Steward, the Lord. *See under* Household.
 Stewart or Steuart :
 Captain, of the *Content*, 175.
 —, of the *Duchess*, 71, 80.
 Lieut.-General, paper received from, 122.
 Major-General, his regiment, 98.
 —, certificate by, 323.
 William, 123.
 of Ballaggan, 206.
 Gerntilly, 206.
 of Kincardine, 207.
 Stiles, Thomas, letter from, 282.
 Stirling, shire of, 373.
 Stirling Castle, governor of. *See* Erskine.
 Stirling, John, letters from, 298, 299.
 Stockholm, 116, 147, 163, 334, 369.
 agent at. *See* Jackson, Robert.
 chaplain at. *See* Bourdieu.
 Stockton-[on-Tees], mayor of. *See* Wrangham, Thomas.
 Stonehewer, Charles, Clerk of the Peace for Durham, signs certificate, 89.
 Stonesfield, co. Oxon., 330.
 Stour, the river, 94.
 Stracey, Mr., comptroller of the City, 174.
 Strahan, George, letter from, 210.
 "Straits," the. *See* Gibraltar.
 Stratfieldsaye, co. Hants., rector of. *See* Rich, Samuel. Chapman, William.
 Stratford, Francis, 369.
 Strathmore, [John] Lyon, [2nd] Earl of, 205, 206.
 Strathnaver, 374.
 Strathnaver, [John] Lord, his regiment, 98.
 Stretch, Michael, 135.
 letters from, 216, 218, 224, 289.
 Streton, of Lauriston, 206.
 Strickland, Sir William, 256.
 Strong :
 Anne, letter from, 286.
 Strong—*cont.*
 Robert, master of the *James*, 188, 191, 271, 286.
 —, affidavit of, 115.
 —, petitions from, 346, 378, 379.
 Stroud, fishermen of, petition of, 351.
 Stuckey :
 Charlwood, petition from, 366.
 Valentine, 366.
 Stucley, John, letter from, 227.
 Sturgis, Peatly, letter from, 288.
 Suffolk, assizes for, 327.
 Sunbury, Middlesex, 240.
 Sunderland [Robert Spencer 2nd] Earl of, 23, 63, 300.
 Sunderland, port of, 154.
 Sunderland (*sic*), in the Highlands, 374.
 Sutherland, [John, 16th] Earl of, 208, 259, 286, 290, 291.
 Sunning-hill, letter dated at, 242.
 Surat, 149.
 Surinam, Dutch Company of, 377.
 Surveyor-General, the, 120.
 Susa, 125.
 Sussex, 215.
 coast of, 100.
 Swan, John, petition from, 366.
 Swanage Bay, 44.
 Swann, Captain, 29, 32.
 Sweden and the Swedes, 116, 126, 142, 162, 178, 202, 296, 318, 370.
 English envoy to. *See* Robinson, Dr. Thomas.
 Envoy from. *See* Ambassadors, etc.
 importation of tar and pitch from, 344.
 treaty with, 369.
 Sweden, [Charles XII], King of, 147, 148, 215, 279, 334, 380.
 Swenson, Thomas, 215.
 Swinton, of Swinton, 203.
 Switzerland and the Swiss, 182, 363.
 Sword Blade Company, the, 197.
 Symer, —, 249.

T

- Taalman, Captain, 29, 32.
 Taff, Doctor Lawrence, 88.
 Tailors, Company of, 376.
 Tallard :
 Marshall, 209.
 —, letter from, 307.
 —, surgeon of, 239.
 Mons., letters from, mentioned, 239.
 Talman, Mr., Comptroller, 359.
 Talnash, [Thomas] Lieutenant-General, 40, 41, 42.

- Tangier, 342.
 debate on supply for, described,
 15, 16, 17, 18, 19.
 Earl of Teviot's disaster at,
 mentioned, 7.
 English garrison in, 6, 7, 13, 16.
- Tatton, Colonel, his regiment, 211.
- Taxes and Taxation :
 land tax, criticism of, 96.
 on malt, 96.
 on salt, 96.
 window, 96.
- Taylor :
 Captain, 184, 231.
 Francis, petition from, 345.
 John, letter from, 178.
 Ralph, D.D., 345.
 Thomas, clerk of the cheque, 58.
 —, memorial from, 219.
 Taylor, Mr., 253, 331.
- Teignmouth (Tinnmouth). Company of
 Invalids at, captain of. *See*
 Lloyd.
- Temple, Sir William, speech by, 18.
- Teneriffe, Island of, 245.
- Tertogos, shoals, in Florida, 241.
- Terudant, city of, 244.
- Tests, 22, 26.
 the Antipapal, 14.
 proposed declaration in place of,
 for Nonconformists, 14.
- Teviot, [Andrew Rutherford, 1st] Earl
 of, his disaster at Tangier, men-
 tioned, 7.
- Teviotdale, shire of, 373.
- Thames, the river, 79, 170, 368.
 King's shipbuilding yards on, 57.
- Thanet, [Thomas Tufton, 6th] Earl of,
 certifies petition, 326.
- Thelluson, Mons., intelligence sent to,
 214.
- Thelwall, Ambrose, 198.
- Thieves, information relating to, in
 London, 306, 307.
- Thingwall, co. Chesh., 332.
- Thionville, 275.
- Thomas :
 John, master of the *Betty*, galley,
 180.
 Mary, 121.
 Theophilus, 5.
- Thompson :
 Frank, 199.
 John, 220, 222, 223.
 Stephen, petition from, 368.
 —, his ship, 149, 175.
 William, 44, 45.
 William, vicar of Dovercourt-cum-
 Harwich, signs certificate, 321.
- Thornburgh, John, mayor of South-
 ampton, letter from, 375.
- Thornly, Mrs., 231.
- Thorpe, Captain William, of the
Hogback, 151, 192, 201.
- Thorold, William, memorial from,
 323.
- Throckmorton, Lady, 227.
- Tilbury Fort, 187.
- Till, William, mate of the *Lichfield*,
 133.
- Tilly :
 John, petition from, 332.
 M. de, 164.
- Titus, Colonel [Silas], speech by, 17.
- Tobacco pipe clay, growing export
 of, 2.
- Tobago, 235, 236.
- Toland, [John], 279.
- Tolat, Anthony, letter from, 155.
- Toldorvey, John, vicar of Marden, 5 n.
- Tollet, George, letters from, 35, 50, 95,
 96, 110, 111, 154, 288, 290.
- Tompson, Lieutenant, of the *Lichfield*,
 135.
- Tomson :
 James, petition from, 325.
 —, Clifton, son of, 325.
 —, —, Wyndham, son of, 325.
- Tonge Castle, letter dated from, 92.
- Topham, John, Sergeant of the House
 of Commons, 106.
- Torbay, letter dated at, 240, 246, 247.
- Torpichen, [James] Sandilands, [7th]
 Lord, 205.
- Torrington, [Arthur Herbert] Earl,
 account of his Court Martial, 29,
 30, 31, 32.
- Totnes, 91.
 letter dated at, 187.
- Tottenham, [Tatnam], 294.
- Toulon, 38, 190.
 French galleys at, 291.
 roads, 137.
- Toulouse, Comte de, 191.
 his account of the battle of
 Malaga, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147.
- Tournay, Louis, petition from, 339.
- Tourton, Mr., 217.
- Tourville, [Admiral], 36, 38.
- Townsend, George, letter from, 124.
- Townshend :
 [Sir Horatio, afterwards] Lord, 12.
 Henry, signs document, 167.
- Tracy, R., letter from, 140.
- Trade and Plantations :
 Commissioners for, 76, 165, 167,
 255, 335.
 —, letter to, 71.
 —, —, mentioned, 72, 74, 81,
 82.
 —, report of, 2, 3.
 proposed Committee of, 47.
- Trade, English :
 export, 2.
 foreign import duties on, 2.
- Transportation, Commissioner of. *See*
 Henly, Robert.
- Transport service, difficulties of, 40.
- Trant :
 Sir Patrick, 197.
 Lady, her case, 197.
- Traquair, [Charles] Stewart, [4th] Earl
 of, 203.
- Travell, Sir Thomas, case of, 302.
- Treasurer, the Lord, 107, 116, 122, 125,
 126, 175, 346. *See* Godolphin.
- Treasury, the 102, 108, 161, 367.
 Lords (Commissioners) of, 17, 50,
 67, 70, 75, 77, 112, 117, 120, 173,
 302.

Treasury, the—*cont.*
 New Year's gifts at, 83.
 Solicitor of. *See* Borrett.
 Tregony [co. Cornwall], 21.
 Trenchard [Sir John], Secretary of State, 40.
 Tretzel, Simon, of Stockholm, petition from, 323.
 Trevanion, John, 214.
 Trinity House, 340.
 charter to, mentioned, 310.
 Elder Brethren of, letter from, 197, 198.
 pilots of, 126, 318.
 Trippett, Robert, mayor of Hull, 219, 220.
 letter from, 222.
 Trumbull, Sir William, order of, mentioned, 112.
 Trumpeters, the King's, 51.
 Tupper, Peter, 276.
 Turkey and the Turks, 138, 336, 346.
 an English merchant to, 231.
 Turkey Company, the, 25, 362.
 Turner :
 Captain, of the *Diligence*, 151.
 W., mayor of Derby, letter from, 189.
 Turner, Scotch coin, called, 49.
 Turnham Green, execution at, 253.
 inhabitants of, petition from, 259.
 Tursis, Duc de, 144.
 Tuscany, Duke of (the Great Duke), 118, 119, 123.
 Tutchin, Mr., 109, 111.
 Tweeddale [John Hay, 2nd] Marquis of, 111, 122, 128, 203, 205, 250, 251, 256, 275, 318.
 —, Lord John Hay, son of, death of, 255.
 Twelves, Elizabeth, petition from, 338.
 Twickenham, 142.
 Tydd St. Mary's, co. Linc., 363.
 Tyler, Solomon, letter from, 184.
 Tymewell, Mr., 111.
 Tyreconnel :
 [Richard Talbot] Earl of, 372.
 Lady (1702), 109.
 Tyrone, [James Power], Earl of, 341.
 Tyrrel, James, the elder, petition from, 355.

U

Union, the, with Scotland. *See under* Scotland.
 Universities, the, 278.
 Upwell, co. Norfolk, lands in, 120.
 Urry, Captain, 284.
 Ushant (Hyssand), 41, 192.
 Utrecht, University of, 340.

V

Valck, John, of Gothenburg, 201.
 Vale Royal, in Cheshire, 184.
 Valier, Alexander, petition from, 363.
 Vandeveld :
 William, sen., 21.
 William, jun., 21.
 Vane, George, petition from, 346.
 Van Homrigh, Mr., 95.
 Vaubonnes, Marquis de, 237.
 Vaughan :
 John, 140.
 Richard, certificates relating to, 301.
 Vaux, —, 195.
 Vavasour :
 Thomas, petition from, 367.
 W., signs document, 171.
 Veles Malques, 143, 146.
 Vendôme (Vandosme) Duke of, 247.
 Venice, State of, 152, 346.
 Venloo, 110.
 Ventimiglia, 292.
 Vera Cruz (Lever de Crux), treasure ships from, 241.
 Verdun, John, 170.
 Vere, Lady, aunt of Sir Robert Harley, 9.
 Vernol, —, 270.
 Vernon :
 Charles, letter from, 290.
 James, Secretary of State, 69, 70, 71, 82, 97, 291, 319, 343.
 —, letter from, 94.
 —, letter to, 74.
 Mr., envoy at Copenhagen, 290.
 William, signs document, 167.
 Versailles, 62.
 letter dated at, 287.
 Vickerman, William, petition from, 334.
 Vietualling :
 by Commanders of Squadrons, an abuse, 57, 61.
 Commissioners of, 64.
 —, accounts of, 37.
 —, instructions to, mentioned, 83.
 assistant Comptroller of, 55.
 in the Mediterranean, 56.
 Vienna, 171.
 Vigo, 153, 154, 173, 287.
 "Vigo time," the, 314.
 Villa Franca, 137.
 Villanova, in Portugal, 36.
 Villars, Maréchal de, 136.
 Villebon, M. de, governor of St. John's, 76.
 Villette, Marquis de, 144.
 Vineing, John, mayor of Portsmouth, 175.
 Virgille, Bartholomew, letter from, 244.

- Virly, Mons. le Clerk, letter from, 180.
 —, letter to, 181.
- Voss, John Hansen, master of the *Hope*, 116, 139, 148.
- Vryberge, Mons. Van, [Envoy from the States General], 181.
 letter from, 194.
- W
- W—, S—, letter from, 209.
- Wadding, Michael, petition from, 328.
- Waessenhoove (Wassenhove), Francoisa Van. *See* Laen.
- Wakelyn, Captain, of the *Ipswich*, 128.
- Walachia, Prince of, 115.
- Walcot, Humphrey, letter from, 187.
- Wales, 23, 27.
 the Marches of, Court of, 125.
 North, 129.
 —, judicial seal of three counties in, 213.
 South, 129.
- Wales, Prince of. *See* James Edward.
- Walker :
 —, 288.
 Dr., his regiment in Londonderry, 67.
 Captain George, letter from, 238.
 George, affidavit of, 192, 193.
 John, quarter-master of the *Adventure Galley*, 83.
- Wallace (Wallis), of Craigie, 203.
- Waller, Dr., 38.
- Walters, —, 255.
- Wallis :
 Dr., 9.
 John, petition from, 336.
- Walloon, 182.
- Walmisley, Mr. Chancellor, of Lichfield, 242, 243.
- Walpole, Robert, signs document, 216.
- Walrond, John, petition from, 88.
- Walton, (Liverpool), 62.
- Wandesford, Elizabeth, 86.
 petition from, 349.
- Wapping, inhabitants of St. John's parish in, petition from, 357.
 —, certificate of, 271.
- Warburton, J., letter from, 224.
- Ward :
 Captain, 337.
 Sir Edward, 367.
- Wardrobe :
 the Great, master of. *See* Montagu, Earl of.
 the removing, yeoman of. *See* Hume, Peter.
- Waring, Walter, letter from, 64.
 —, letter to, 64.
- Warre, Richard [under Secretary of State], 194, 232.
 letter from, 152.
 letters to, 140, 148, 150, 166, 169, 170, 177, 238, 281, 282, 283, 377.
 endorses documents, 128, 189, 224, 328, 333, 345.
- Warren, Captain, 66.
- Warsaw, 334.
 letter dated at, 293.
- Warton, Sir Michael, letter from, 197.
- Warwick, gaol, 124.
- Warwick :
 [Robert Rich, 2nd] Earl of, 6.
 [Edward Henry Rich, 7th], Earl of, 325, 365.
- Warwickshire, 107.
 inhabitants of, petition from, mentioned, 359.
 a justice for. *See* Bracebridge, Mr.
- Waterford, letter dated at, 232.
- Waterhouse, Benjamin, letter to, 246.
- Waters, Mr., 174.
- Watkins, Brevet-Colonel, 246.
- Wavell :
 Captain David, 171.
 Martha, 171.
- Way, Elizabeth, petition from, 338.
- Weatherly, —, a pirate, 71, 76.
- Weaver, Mr., collector at New York, 98.
- Webb, Brigadier John Richmond, his regiment, 210.
- Weedon, Mr., 340.
- Weights and measures, state of, 227.
See also Scotland.
- Welburne, co. Yorks., letter dated 95.
- Wells, co. Somerset, 152.
- Wellingborough, 384.
- Welsh :
 —, letter to, 312.
 Mr., 371.
- Wemyss, [David] Wemyss, [4th] Earl of, 206.
- West Friesland, 20.
- West Indies, the, 53, 66, 82, 90, 107, 110, 171, 227, 230, 265, 279, 284, 293, 328, 343, 349, 350, 353.
 packet boats to, 246, 277.
 postal communication with, 90, 102, 108, 170, 319.
 the Spanish, 153, 154.
- Westminster, 12.
 Abbey (Church), certificate of destruction of images in, 4.
 Court of Conscience of, 164.
 Hall, 99.
 —, Courts at, 310.
 letters dated at, 97, 297.
 places in. *See* London.
- Westminster, Treaty of, 20.
- Westwoodhay [co. Berks.], rector of. *See* Bovan.
- Wetherley, —, 357.
- Weyman, Captain, 58.
- Weymouth, co. Dorset, 28, 44, 140, 277.
 corporation of, 277.

- Weymouth, co. Dorset—*cont.*
 mathematical school at, proposal relating to, 276.
- Wharton, [Thomas, 1st] Earl of, 253, 256, 260, 280.
- Wheeler, Colonel, his company, 326.
- Whetstone, Admiral Sir William, 133, 135, 140, 142, 168, 241, 242, 300.
 letter from, 130, 131.
- Whinfield, George, signs document, 209.
- Whitaker, Captain, *afterwards* Admiral, Edward, 276.
- Whitbourne [co. Hereford], 3.
- White :
 Captain of the *Severn*, 58.
 (*alias* Whitebread), Thomas, 120.
- Whitechapel, 242.
- Whitehead, John, 223.
- Whitfield, John, 343.
- Whitgift, Archbishop, his registry of fees, mentioned, 3.
- Whitsand Bay, 198.
- Whittlewood, forest of, Lieutenant of. *See* Rider, William.
- Whitworth, Mr., 282.
- Widdrington, Colonel, his regiment, 92.
- Wight, Isle of, 100, 360.
- Wigtoun [John] Fleming, [8th] Earl of, 204.
- Wildish, —, 247.
- Wilkie :
 Andrew, 176.
 Thomas, Moderator of General Assembly, signs letter, 142.
- Wilkinson, Andrew, signs document, 171.
- Willcocks, Nathan, letter from, 247.
- William III, King, 28, 29, 32, 35, 37, 54, 56, 93, 97, 101, 102, 104, 112, 120, 152, 159, 160, 162, 173, 200, 216, 225, 250, 259, 298, 311, 320, 330, 336, 337, 342, 343, 345, 349, 358, 359, 372, 375, 376.
 letter to, mentioned, 82.
 petition to, 51.
 physician in ordinary to. *See* Briggs, Dr. William.
 Lord Preston's plot against, 232.
- William and Mary, 33, 34, 331.
- Williams :
 Benjamin, 335.
 Dr., 13, 14.
 Sir Edward, letter from, 185.
 John, memorial from, 380.
 —, petition from, 384.
 Paul, signs document, 170.
 Rice, petition from, 163.
- Williamson, Sir Joseph, executors of, 360.
- Willis :
 —, letter from, mentioned, 288.
 Sir Thomas, deputy-lieutenant of Cambridgeshire, 162.
- Willoughby, [Francis, 5th] Lord, of Parham, 7, 13.
- Wilshaw, Captain Thomas, 110.
- Wilson or Willson :
 John (*or* Edward), 320, 321.
- Wilson or Willson—*cont.*
 Robert, 320.
 Thomas, 325.
- Wilton, co. Wilts., 28.
- Wiltshire :
 elections for, prospects of, 27, 28.
 Justices of the Peace for, letter from, 210.
 Sheriff of. *See* Jones, Richard.
- Wimpole, co. Cambridge, 333.
- Wimprey, John, petition from, 87.
- Winchester, Mr., attorney, 219, 220.
- Window tax, the, 96.
- Windsor, 152, 195, 253, 294, 295, 313, 319, 352.
 letter dated at, 243.
 Castle, letters patent given at, 109.
 Honour of, stewardship of court of, 343.
 Royal warrant given at, 238.
- Windsor, [Other], Lord, 120.
- Wines :
 White Madeira, called Jesuits' wine, 74.
 Spanish mountain wines, 74.
- Winford, Thomas Cookes, signs document, 167.
- Wingfield, Robert, 120.
- Winnington, Sir Francis, speech by, 18.
- Winter :
 Daniel, 338.
 Mary, petition from, 338.
- Winton :
 [George] Seton, [5th] Earl of, 205.
 Mr., 270.
- Wishart :
 Admiral [Sir James], 302.
 W., Moderator of the General Assembly, signs document, 272.
- Witherings, Thomas, 6.
- Withers :
 H., signs document, 322.
 Sir William, Lord Mayor of London, order by, 300.
- Witt, John, 164.
- Wood :
 —, 10.
 Mr., a Turkey merchant, 231.
- Woodchurch in Wyrall, co. Cheshire, 332.
 Incumbents of. *See* Jauny, Robert; Burches, Hugh.
- Woodhouse, Councillor, 384.
- Woodroffe, Dr., 115.
- Wool :
 bill for establishing a joint-stock Company for the manufacture of, 85.
 export of, growing, 2.
 trade in, memorial relating to, 274.
- Woolaerd, Peter, letter to, mentioned, 288.
- Woolsley :
 Mr., 125.
 Newdigate, 125.
- Woolwich, 35, 165, 335.
 Yard, master-shipwright at, 81.
- Worcester, 269, 270.
 battle of, 9, 330.

Worcestershire :

- Fee Farm rents in, 8.
- inhabitants of, petition from mentioned, 359.
- Justices of, letter from, 167.

Wordley :

- Ellinor, petition from, 367.
- Captain John, 367.
- Robert, petition from, 367.

Works, Office of, 286.

- document dated at, 225.
- master-joiner's place in, 225.
- Surveyorship in, 225.

Worsopp, Sir Thomas, 101.

Wrangham, Thomas, Mayor of Stockton, signs certificate, 89.

Wrayford :

- Robert, 333, 347.
- Thomasine, petition from, 333.
- Mrs., 347.

Wren, Sir Christopher, letter to, 299.

- , report of, 225.

Wrenham :

- John, 1.
- , John, his son, 1.

Wright, Sir N., [Lord Keeper], order by, 324.

Wrongey, [co. Norfolk], priory of, 1.

Wygh (Wyche), Mr., 209.

Wylde, Robert, signs certificate, 352.

Wynn, Captain, 72, 74.

Wyverton, [co. Linc.], manor of, grant of, mentioned, 120.

Wyvill, Francis, certifies document, 221.

Y

Y—, M—, letter from, 185.

Yarmouth, 131, 132, 237.

Great, letter dated at, 185.

Roads, letter dated at, 131.

Yard :

Robert, 188, 232.

R., signs document, 166.

Yeamans, Colonel John, letters from, mentioned, 82.

Yorkshire, 162.

Deputy Vice-Admiral of. *See*

Ingram, William.

elections in, 253.

Marshall of the Vice-Admiralty of.

See Crowder, Abraham.

recruits in, 171.

West Riding of, 171.

York, city of, 12, 162, 171.

mayor of. *See* Redman, Charles.

York, Archbishop of :

(John Sharp), 329, 383.

York, James, (afterwards James II,

King of England, *q.v.*) Duke of,

(the Duke), 16, 18, 186, 187.

his regiment, 18.


Youghal, 165.

Young of Barr, 206.

Z

Zell, Duke of, 253.

Zuhm, Captain, Adjutant-General of the sea, 30, 32.



162255
Great Britain. Historical Manuscripts Commission
Manuscripts of His Grace the Duke of
Portland v. 48

Gov. Doc
G.B.
Com
Hi

**University of Toronto
Library**

**DO NOT
REMOVE
THE
CARD
FROM
THIS
POCKET**

Acme Library Card Pocket
LOWE-MARTIN CO. LIMITED

